Integrability methods in AdS/CFT

Romuald A. Janik

Jagiellonian University Krakow

- Z. Bajnok, RJ: 0807.0499
- Z. Bajnok, RJ, T. Łukowski: 0811.4448
- Z. Bajnok, A. Hegedus, RJ, T. Łukowski: 0906.????

Outline



- **2** The worldsheet QFT of the superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- 3 Spectrum on a cylinder
- 4 The gauge theory side
- **(5)** Computation of Konishi anomalous dimension from strings in $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- 6 Generalizations: twist two operators
- Ø Generalizations: 5-loop Konishi
 - B Conclusions



• The gravity/string side is 'easy' at strong coupling

- conventional supergravity description
- strings are classical/semiclassical
- α' corrections difficult for the string worldsheet theory \longrightarrow Difficult to extend to smaller λ .

• Integrability: Solve the worldsheet theory exactly for any α' (with $g_s = 0$)



- The gravity/string side is 'easy' at strong coupling
 - conventional supergravity description
 - strings are classical/semiclassical
- α' corrections difficult for the string worldsheet theory \longrightarrow Difficult to extend to smaller λ .
- Integrability: Solve the worldsheet theory exactly for any α' (with $g_s = 0$)



- The gravity/string side is 'easy' at strong coupling – conventional supergravity description
 - strings are classical/semiclassical
- α' corrections difficult for the string worldsheet theory \longrightarrow Difficult to extend to smaller λ .
- Integrability: Solve the worldsheet theory exactly for any α' (with $g_s = 0$)



- The gravity/string side is 'easy' at strong coupling
 - conventional supergravity description
 - strings are classical/semiclassical
- α' corrections difficult for the string worldsheet theory \longrightarrow Difficult to extend to smaller λ .
- Integrability: Solve the worldsheet theory exactly for any α' (with $g_s = 0$)



- The gravity/string side is 'easy' at strong coupling
 - conventional supergravity description
 - strings are classical/semiclassical
- α' corrections difficult for the string worldsheet theory \longrightarrow Difficult to extend to smaller λ .
- Integrability: Solve the worldsheet theory exactly for any α' (with $g_s = 0$)



- The gravity/string side is 'easy' at strong coupling
 - conventional supergravity description
 - strings are classical/semiclassical
- α' corrections difficult for the string worldsheet theory \longrightarrow Difficult to extend to smaller λ .
- Integrability: Solve the worldsheet theory exactly for any α' (with $g_s = 0$)

Integrability program:

Goal: Solve exactly $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM or superstrings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in the large N_c limit for any value of the coupling $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N_c$

... what do we mean by 'solve'?

Integrability program:

Goal: Solve exactly $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM or superstrings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in the large N_c limit for any value of the coupling $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N_c$

... what do we mean by 'solve'?

Integrability program:

Goal: Solve exactly $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM or superstrings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in the large N_c limit for any value of the coupling $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N_c$

... what do we mean by 'solve'?

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is an exact CFT
- Find the spectrum of the dilatation operator
- Equivalently, find the anomalous dimensions of all operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM as a function of the coupling constant $g^2=\lambda/16\pi^2$

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$

String theory side:

- Find energy states of a superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- I.e. find the energy levels of quantized worldsheet QFT of the superstring

• $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is an exact CFT

- Find the spectrum of the dilatation operator
- Equivalently, find the anomalous dimensions of all operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM as a function of the coupling constant $g^2 = \lambda/16\pi^2$

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$

String theory side:

- Find energy states of a superstring in $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- I.e. find the energy levels of quantized worldsheet QFT of the superstring

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is an exact CFT
- Find the spectrum of the dilatation operator
- Equivalently, find the anomalous dimensions of all operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM as a function of the coupling constant $g^2=\lambda/16\pi^2$

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$

String theory side:

- Find energy states of a superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- I.e. find the energy levels of quantized worldsheet QFT of the superstring

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is an exact CFT
- Find the spectrum of the dilatation operator
- Equivalently, find the anomalous dimensions of all operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM as a function of the coupling constant $g^2 = \lambda/16\pi^2$

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$

String theory side:

- Find energy states of a superstring in $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- I.e. find the energy levels of quantized worldsheet QFT of the superstring

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is an exact CFT
- Find the spectrum of the dilatation operator
- Equivalently, find the anomalous dimensions of all operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM as a function of the coupling constant $g^2 = \lambda/16\pi^2$

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$

String theory side:

- Find energy states of a superstring in $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- I.e. find the energy levels of quantized worldsheet QFT of the superstring

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is an exact CFT
- Find the spectrum of the dilatation operator
- Equivalently, find the anomalous dimensions of all operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM as a function of the coupling constant $g^2=\lambda/16\pi^2$

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$

String theory side:

• Find energy states of a superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

• I.e. find the energy levels of quantized worldsheet QFT of the superstring

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is an exact CFT
- Find the spectrum of the dilatation operator
- Equivalently, find the anomalous dimensions of all operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM as a function of the coupling constant $g^2=\lambda/16\pi^2$

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$

String theory side:

- Find energy states of a superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- I.e. find the energy levels of quantized worldsheet QFT of the superstring

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is an exact CFT
- Find the spectrum of the dilatation operator
- Equivalently, find the anomalous dimensions of all operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM as a function of the coupling constant $g^2 = \lambda/16\pi^2$

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$

String theory side:

- Find energy states of a superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- I.e. find the energy levels of quantized worldsheet QFT of the superstring

 \ldots in fact these questions coincide

Operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM \longleftrightarrow (quantized) string states in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Single trace operators \longleftrightarrow single string statesMultitrace operators \longleftrightarrow multistring states

Operator dimension \longleftrightarrow Energy of a string state in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM	\longleftrightarrow	(quantized) string states in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
Single trace operators	\longleftrightarrow	single string states
Multitrace operators	\longleftrightarrow	multistring states
Large N _c limit	\longleftrightarrow	suffices to consider single string states
Operator dimension	\longleftrightarrow	Energy of a string state in $AdS_5 imes S^5$

- Consider the worldsheet theory of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in a (generalized) light cone gauge
- Worldsheet hamiltonian corresponds to translations in global AdS time
- One $U(1)_R$ charge is uniformly spread on the string worldsheet
 - this defines the σ coordinate
 - identifies the size of the worldsheet cylinder with the charge J of the corresponding state
- One obtains a highly interacting theory
- The theory is *not* conformal (c.f. BMN limit/pp-wave)
- The theory is *not* relativistic (in the two-dimensional sense)

• Consider the worldsheet theory of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in a (generalized) light cone gauge

- Worldsheet hamiltonian corresponds to translations in global AdS time
- One $U(1)_R$ charge is uniformly spread on the string worldsheet
 - this defines the σ coordinate
 - identifies the size of the worldsheet cylinder with the charge J of the corresponding state
- One obtains a highly interacting theory
- The theory is *not* conformal (c.f. BMN limit/pp-wave)
- The theory is *not* relativistic (in the two-dimensional sense)

- Consider the worldsheet theory of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in a (generalized) light cone gauge
- Worldsheet hamiltonian corresponds to translations in global AdS time
- One $U(1)_R$ charge is uniformly spread on the string worldsheet
 - this defines the σ coordinate

- One obtains a highly interacting theory
- The theory is *not* conformal (c.f. BMN limit/pp-wave)
- The theory is *not* relativistic (in the two-dimensional sense)

- Consider the worldsheet theory of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in a (generalized) light cone gauge
- Worldsheet hamiltonian corresponds to translations in global AdS time
- One $U(1)_R$ charge is uniformly spread on the string worldsheet
 - this defines the σ coordinate
 - identifies the *size* of the worldsheet cylinder with the charge J of the corresponding state
- One obtains a highly interacting theory
- The theory is *not* conformal (c.f. BMN limit/pp-wave)
- The theory is *not* relativistic (in the two-dimensional sense)

- Consider the worldsheet theory of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in a (generalized) light cone gauge
- Worldsheet hamiltonian corresponds to translations in global AdS time
- One $U(1)_R$ charge is uniformly spread on the string worldsheet
 - this defines the σ coordinate
 - identifies the *size* of the worldsheet cylinder with the charge J of the corresponding state
- One obtains a highly interacting theory
- The theory is *not* conformal (c.f. BMN limit/pp-wave)
- The theory is *not* relativistic (in the two-dimensional sense)

- Consider the worldsheet theory of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in a (generalized) light cone gauge
- Worldsheet hamiltonian corresponds to translations in global AdS time
- One $U(1)_R$ charge is uniformly spread on the string worldsheet
 - this defines the σ coordinate

- One obtains a highly interacting theory
- The theory is *not* conformal (c.f. BMN limit/pp-wave)
- The theory is *not* relativistic (in the two-dimensional sense)

- Consider the worldsheet theory of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in a (generalized) light cone gauge
- Worldsheet hamiltonian corresponds to translations in global AdS time
- One $U(1)_R$ charge is uniformly spread on the string worldsheet
 - this defines the σ coordinate

- One obtains a highly interacting theory
- The theory is *not* conformal (c.f. BMN limit/pp-wave)
- The theory is *not* relativistic (in the two-dimensional sense)

- Consider the worldsheet theory of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in a (generalized) light cone gauge
- Worldsheet hamiltonian corresponds to translations in global AdS time
- One $U(1)_R$ charge is uniformly spread on the string worldsheet
 - this defines the σ coordinate

- One obtains a highly interacting theory
- The theory is not conformal (c.f. BMN limit/pp-wave)
- The theory is *not* relativistic (in the two-dimensional sense)

- Consider the worldsheet theory of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in a (generalized) light cone gauge
- Worldsheet hamiltonian corresponds to translations in global AdS time
- One $U(1)_R$ charge is uniformly spread on the string worldsheet
 - this defines the σ coordinate

- One obtains a highly interacting theory
- The theory is *not* conformal (c.f. BMN limit/pp-wave)
- The theory is *not* relativistic (in the two-dimensional sense)

- Bena, Polchinski, Roiban showed that the worldsheet QFT of the superstring is integrable (on the classical level)
- Assuming quantum integrability one may proceed to solve the theory exactly on an infinite plane (historically this was considered in the spin-chain language [Beisert,Staudacher])
- Identify explicit global symmetry $su_c(2|2) imes su_c(2|2)$
- Guess the set of asymptotic states (using information from pp-wave limit/gauge theory)
- Find the S-matrix between these states which satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and has the appropriate global symmetry

 $S_{12}S_{23}S_{13} = S_{13}S_{23}S_{12}$

• This fixes the S-matrix up to an overall scalar factor (\equiv 'dressing phase')

$$S(p_1,p_2) = S_0(p_1,p_2) \cdot \left[\hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2) \otimes \hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2)
ight]$$

- Bena, Polchinski, Roiban showed that the worldsheet QFT of the superstring is integrable (on the classical level)
- Assuming quantum integrability one may proceed to solve the theory exactly on an infinite plane (historically this was considered in the spin-chain language [Beisert,Staudacher])
- Identify explicit global symmetry $su_c(2|2) imes su_c(2|2)$
- Guess the set of asymptotic states (using information from pp-wave limit/gauge theory)
- Find the S-matrix between these states which satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and has the appropriate global symmetry

 $S_{12}S_{23}S_{13} = S_{13}S_{23}S_{12}$

• This fixes the S-matrix up to an overall scalar factor (\equiv 'dressing phase')

 $S(p_1,p_2) = S_0(p_1,p_2) \cdot \left[\hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2) \otimes \hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2)
ight]$

Romuald A. Janik (Krakow)

- Bena, Polchinski, Roiban showed that the worldsheet QFT of the superstring is integrable (on the classical level)
- Assuming quantum integrability one may proceed to solve the theory exactly on an infinite plane (historically this was considered in the spin-chain language [Beisert,Staudacher])
- Identify explicit global symmetry $su_c(2|2) imes su_c(2|2)$
- Guess the set of asymptotic states (using information from pp-wave limit/gauge theory)
- Find the S-matrix between these states which satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and has the appropriate global symmetry

 $S_{12}S_{23}S_{13} = S_{13}S_{23}S_{12}$

• This fixes the S-matrix up to an overall scalar factor (\equiv 'dressing phase')

 $S(p_1,p_2) = S_0(p_1,p_2) \cdot \left[\hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2) \otimes \hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2)
ight]$

- Bena, Polchinski, Roiban showed that the worldsheet QFT of the superstring is integrable (on the classical level)
- Assuming quantum integrability one may proceed to solve the theory exactly on an infinite plane (historically this was considered in the spin-chain language [Beisert,Staudacher])
- Identify explicit global symmetry $su_c(2|2) imes su_c(2|2)$
- Guess the set of asymptotic states (using information from pp-wave limit/gauge theory)
- Find the S-matrix between these states which satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and has the appropriate global symmetry

 $S_{12}S_{23}S_{13} = S_{13}S_{23}S_{12}$

• This fixes the S-matrix up to an overall scalar factor (\equiv 'dressing phase')

 $S(p_1,p_2) = S_0(p_1,p_2) \cdot \left[\hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2) \otimes \hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2)
ight]$

- Bena, Polchinski, Roiban showed that the worldsheet QFT of the superstring is integrable (on the classical level)
- Assuming quantum integrability one may proceed to solve the theory exactly on an infinite plane (historically this was considered in the spin-chain language [Beisert,Staudacher])
- Identify explicit global symmetry $su_c(2|2) \times su_c(2|2)$
- Guess the set of asymptotic states (using information from pp-wave limit/gauge theory)
- Find the S-matrix between these states which satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and has the appropriate global symmetry

 $S_{12}S_{23}S_{13} = S_{13}S_{23}S_{12}$

• This fixes the S-matrix up to an overall scalar factor (\equiv 'dressing phase')

 $S(p_1,p_2) = S_0(p_1,p_2) \cdot \left[\hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2) \otimes \hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2)
ight]$

- Bena, Polchinski, Roiban showed that the worldsheet QFT of the superstring is integrable (on the classical level)
- Assuming quantum integrability one may proceed to solve the theory exactly on an infinite plane (historically this was considered in the spin-chain language [Beisert,Staudacher])
- Identify explicit global symmetry $su_c(2|2) \times su_c(2|2)$
- Guess the set of asymptotic states (using information from pp-wave limit/gauge theory)
- Find the S-matrix between these states which satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and has the appropriate global symmetry

 $S_{12}S_{23}S_{13} = S_{13}S_{23}S_{12}$

• This fixes the S-matrix up to an overall scalar factor (\equiv 'dressing phase')

 $S(p_1,p_2) = S_0(p_1,p_2) \cdot \left[\hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2) \otimes \hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2)
ight]$

[Beisert]
- Bena, Polchinski, Roiban showed that the worldsheet QFT of the superstring is integrable (on the classical level)
- Assuming quantum integrability one may proceed to solve the theory exactly on an infinite plane (historically this was considered in the spin-chain language [Beisert,Staudacher])
- Identify explicit global symmetry $su_c(2|2) \times su_c(2|2)$
- Guess the set of asymptotic states (using information from pp-wave limit/gauge theory)
- Find the S-matrix between these states which satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and has the appropriate global symmetry

 $S_{12}S_{23}S_{13} = S_{13}S_{23}S_{12}$

• This fixes the S-matrix up to an overall scalar factor (\equiv 'dressing phase')

 $S(p_1,p_2) = S_0(p_1,p_2) \cdot \left[\hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2) \otimes \hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2)
ight]$

[Beisert]

- Bena, Polchinski, Roiban showed that the worldsheet QFT of the superstring is integrable (on the classical level)
- Assuming quantum integrability one may proceed to solve the theory exactly on an infinite plane (historically this was considered in the spin-chain language [Beisert,Staudacher])
- Identify explicit global symmetry $su_c(2|2) \times su_c(2|2)$ [Beisert]
- Guess the set of asymptotic states (using information from pp-wave limit/gauge theory)
- Find the S-matrix between these states which satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and has the appropriate global symmetry

 $S_{12}S_{23}S_{13} = S_{13}S_{23}S_{12}$

• This fixes the S-matrix up to an overall scalar factor (\equiv 'dressing phase')

 $S(p_1,p_2) = S_0(p_1,p_2) \cdot \left[\hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2) \otimes \hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1,p_2)
ight]$

- Bena, Polchinski, Roiban showed that the worldsheet QFT of the superstring is integrable (on the classical level)
- Assuming quantum integrability one may proceed to solve the theory exactly on an infinite plane (historically this was considered in the spin-chain language [Beisert,Staudacher])
- Identify explicit global symmetry $su_c(2|2) \times su_c(2|2)$ [Beisert]
- Guess the set of asymptotic states (using information from pp-wave limit/gauge theory)
- Find the S-matrix between these states which satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and has the appropriate global symmetry

 $S_{12}S_{23}S_{13} = S_{13}S_{23}S_{12}$

• This fixes the S-matrix up to an overall scalar factor (\equiv 'dressing phase')

$$S(p_1, p_2) = S_0(p_1, p_2) \cdot \left[\hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1, p_2) \otimes \hat{S}_{su_c(2|2)}(p_1, p_2)
ight]$$

- [RJ]
- [Beisert,Hernandez,Lopez], [Beisert,Eden,Staudacher] found an exact solution of the crossing equation the S-matrix is currently known exactly
- Poles of the S-matrix lead to an infinite set of bound states labelled by *Q*. These have to be added to the set of asymptotic states
- The theory is solved in the infinite volume limit!
- The S-matrix is a highly nontrivial function of λ (equivalently α') which incorporates α' corrections to all orders!

Caveat: We still have to use this information to find the spectrum on a cylinder of finite size

- [Beisert,Hernandez,Lopez], [Beisert,Eden,Staudacher] found an exact solution of the crossing equation the S-matrix is currently known exactly
- Poles of the S-matrix lead to an infinite set of bound states labelled by Q. These have to be added to the set of asymptotic states
- The theory is solved in the infinite volume limit!
- The S-matrix is a highly nontrivial function of λ (equivalently α') which incorporates α' corrections to all orders!

Caveat: We still have to use this information to find the spectrum on a cylinder of finite size

- [RJ]
- [Beisert,Hernandez,Lopez], [Beisert,Eden,Staudacher] found an exact solution of the crossing equation the S-matrix is currently known exactly
- Poles of the S-matrix lead to an infinite set of bound states labelled by *Q*. These have to be added to the set of asymptotic states
- The theory is solved in the infinite volume limit!
- The S-matrix is a highly nontrivial function of λ (equivalently α') which incorporates α' corrections to all orders!

Caveat: We still have to use this information to find the spectrum on a cylinder of finite size

- [RJ]
- [Beisert,Hernandez,Lopez], [Beisert,Eden,Staudacher] found an exact solution of the crossing equation the S-matrix is currently known exactly
- Poles of the S-matrix lead to an infinite set of bound states labelled by *Q*. These have to be added to the set of asymptotic states
- The theory is solved in the infinite volume limit!
- The S-matrix is a highly nontrivial function of λ (equivalently α') which incorporates α' corrections to all orders!

Caveat: We still have to use this information to find the spectrum on a cylinder of finite size

- Generalize crossing equation for the scalar factor
- [Beisert,Hernandez,Lopez], [Beisert,Eden,Staudacher] found an exact solution of the crossing equation the S-matrix is currently known exactly
- Poles of the S-matrix lead to an infinite set of bound states labelled by Q. These have to be added to the set of asymptotic states
- The theory is solved in the infinite volume limit!
- The S-matrix is a highly nontrivial function of λ (equivalently α') which incorporates α' corrections to all orders!

- Generalize crossing equation for the scalar factor
- [Beisert,Hernandez,Lopez], [Beisert,Eden,Staudacher] found an exact solution of the crossing equation the S-matrix is currently known exactly
- Poles of the S-matrix lead to an infinite set of bound states labelled by Q. These have to be added to the set of asymptotic states
- The theory is solved in the infinite volume limit!
- The S-matrix is a highly nontrivial function of λ (equivalently α') which incorporates α' corrections to all orders!

- Generalize crossing equation for the scalar factor
- [Beisert,Hernandez,Lopez], [Beisert,Eden,Staudacher] found an exact solution of the crossing equation the S-matrix is currently known exactly
- Poles of the S-matrix lead to an infinite set of bound states labelled by Q. These have to be added to the set of asymptotic states
- The theory is solved in the infinite volume limit!
- The S-matrix is a highly nontrivial function of λ (equivalently α') which incorporates α' corrections to all orders!

- Generalize crossing equation for the scalar factor
- [Beisert,Hernandez,Lopez], [Beisert,Eden,Staudacher] found an exact solution of the crossing equation the S-matrix is currently known exactly
- Poles of the S-matrix lead to an infinite set of bound states labelled by Q. These have to be added to the set of asymptotic states
- The theory is solved in the infinite volume limit!
- The S-matrix is a highly nontrivial function of λ (equivalently α') which incorporates α' corrections to all orders!

- In general this is a very complicated problem even for integrable QFT's
- Something may be said about large volume behaviour
- The spectrum on a cylinder of large size is given by a Bethe ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k\neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$

- In fact, it coincides exactly with the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of [Beisert, Staudacher]
- But on top of this there are virtual corrections Lüscher corrections

- Lead to violations of the Bethe Asnatz
- All this has a natural counterpart on the gauge theory side...

- In general this is a very complicated problem even for integrable QFT's
- Something may be said about large volume behaviour
- The spectrum on a cylinder of large size is given by a Bethe ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k\neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$

- In fact, it coincides exactly with the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of [Beisert, Staudacher]
- But on top of this there are virtual corrections Lüscher corrections

- Lead to violations of the Bethe Asnatz
- All this has a natural counterpart on the gauge theory side...

- In general this is a very complicated problem even for integrable QFT's
- Something may be said about large volume behaviour
- The spectrum on a cylinder of large size is given by a Bethe ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k\neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$

- In fact, it coincides exactly with the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of [Beisert, Staudacher]
- But on top of this there are virtual corrections Lüscher corrections

- Lead to violations of the Bethe Asnatz
- All this has a natural counterpart on the gauge theory side...

- In general this is a very complicated problem even for integrable QFT's
- Something may be said about large volume behaviour
- The spectrum on a cylinder of large size is given by a Bethe ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL}=\prod_{k\neq i}S(p_i,p_k)$$

- In fact, it coincides exactly with the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of [Beisert, Staudacher]
- But on top of this there are virtual corrections Lüscher corrections

- Lead to violations of the Bethe Asnatz
- All this has a natural counterpart on the gauge theory side...

- In general this is a very complicated problem even for integrable QFT's
- Something may be said about large volume behaviour
- The spectrum on a cylinder of large size is given by a Bethe ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k \neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$

- In fact, it coincides exactly with the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of [Beisert, Staudacher]
- But on top of this there are virtual corrections Lüscher corrections

- Lead to violations of the Bethe Asnatz
- All this has a natural counterpart on the gauge theory side...

- In general this is a very complicated problem even for integrable QFT's
- Something may be said about large volume behaviour
- The spectrum on a cylinder of large size is given by a Bethe ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k \neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$

- In fact, it coincides exactly with the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of [Beisert, Staudacher]
- But on top of this there are virtual corrections Lüscher corrections

- Lead to violations of the Bethe Asnatz
- All this has a natural counterpart on the gauge theory side...

- In general this is a very complicated problem even for integrable QFT's
- Something may be said about large volume behaviour
- The spectrum on a cylinder of large size is given by a Bethe ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k \neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$

- In fact, it coincides exactly with the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of [Beisert, Staudacher]
- But on top of this there are virtual corrections Lüscher corrections



- Lead to violations of the Bethe Asnatz
- All this has a natural counterpart on the gauge theory side...

- In general this is a very complicated problem even for integrable QFT's
- Something may be said about large volume behaviour
- The spectrum on a cylinder of large size is given by a Bethe ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k \neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$

- In fact, it coincides exactly with the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of [Beisert, Staudacher]
- But on top of this there are virtual corrections Lüscher corrections



- Lead to violations of the Bethe Asnatz
- All this has a natural counterpart on the gauge theory side...

- In general this is a very complicated problem even for integrable QFT's
- Something may be said about large volume behaviour
- The spectrum on a cylinder of large size is given by a Bethe ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k \neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$

- In fact, it coincides exactly with the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of [Beisert, Staudacher]
- But on top of this there are virtual corrections Lüscher corrections



- Lead to violations of the Bethe Asnatz
- All this has a natural counterpart on the gauge theory side...

 $\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$



Correspond to the Bethe Ansatz

 $e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k\neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$



- Lüscher corrections
 - ... and multiple Lüscher corrections

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$



Correspond to the Bethe Ansatz

 $e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k \neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$



- Lüscher corrections
- 2 ... and multiple Lüscher corrections

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$



Correspond to the Bethe Ansatz

 $e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k \neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$



- Lüscher corrections
- 2 ... and multiple Lüscher corrections

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$



Correspond to the Bethe Ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k\neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$



- Lüscher corrections
- 2 ... and multiple Lüscher corrections

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$



Correspond to the Bethe Ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k\neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$



- Lüscher corrections
- 2 ... and multiple Lüscher corrections

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$



Correspond to the Bethe Ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k\neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$



- Lüscher corrections
 - ... and multiple Lüscher corrections

$$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$



Correspond to the Bethe Ansatz

$$e^{ip_iL} = \prod_{k\neq i} S(p_i, p_k)$$



- Lüscher corrections
- 2 ... and multiple Lüscher corrections

$$\operatorname{tr} \Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z^2 X^2 + \ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z D^2 Z + \ldots$$

• Its anomalous dimension should be given by the ABA exactly up to 3 loops:

$$E_{Bethe} = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 - (2820 + 288\zeta(3))g^8 + \dots$$

• The true result is

 $E = E_{Bethe} + \Delta_{wrapping} E$

with $\Delta_{wrapping} E$ appearing first at 4 loops

 $\operatorname{tr} \Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z^2 X^2 + \dots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z D^2 Z + \dots$

• Its anomalous dimension should be given by the ABA exactly up to 3 loops:

 $E_{Bethe} = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 - (2820 + 288\zeta(3))g^8 + \dots$

• The true result is

 $E = E_{Bethe} + \Delta_{wrapping} E$

with $\Delta_{wrapping} E$ appearing first at 4 loops

$\operatorname{tr} \Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z^2 X^2 + \ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z D^2 Z + \ldots$

• Its anomalous dimension should be given by the ABA exactly up to 3 loops:

 $E_{Bethe} = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 - (2820 + 288\zeta(3))g^8 + \dots$

• The true result is

 $E = E_{Bethe} + \Delta_{wrapping} E$

with $\Delta_{wrapping} E$ appearing first at 4 loops

 $\operatorname{tr} \Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z^2 X^2 + \ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z D^2 Z + \ldots$

• Its anomalous dimension should be given by the ABA exactly up to 3 loops:

 $E_{Bethe} = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 - (2820 + 288\zeta(3))g^8 + \dots$

• The true result is

 $E = E_{Bethe} + \Delta_{wrapping} E$

with $\Delta_{wrapping} E$ appearing first at 4 loops

$$\operatorname{tr} \Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z^2 X^2 + \ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z D^2 Z + \ldots$$

• Its anomalous dimension should be given by the ABA exactly up to 3 loops:

$$E_{Bethe} = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 - (2820 + 288\zeta(3))g^8 + \dots$$

• The true result is

 $E = E_{Bethe} + \Delta_{wrapping} E$

with $\Delta_{wrapping} E$ appearing first at 4 loops

$$\operatorname{tr} \Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z^2 X^2 + \ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z D^2 Z + \ldots$$

• Its anomalous dimension should be given by the ABA exactly up to 3 loops:

$$E_{Bethe} = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 - (2820 + 288\zeta(3))g^8 + \dots$$

The true result is

$$E = E_{Bethe} + \Delta_{wrapping} E$$

with $\Delta_{wrapping} E$ appearing first at 4 loops

$$\operatorname{tr} \Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z^2 X^2 + \ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} Z D^2 Z + \ldots$$

• Its anomalous dimension should be given by the ABA exactly up to 3 loops:

$$E_{Bethe} = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 - (2820 + 288\zeta(3))g^8 + \dots$$

• The true result is

$$E = E_{Bethe} + \Delta_{wrapping} E$$

with $\Delta_{wrapping} E$ appearing first at 4 loops



Figure C.1: Wrapping diagrams with chiral structure $\chi(1,2,3)$



Figure C.2: Wrapping diagrams with chiral structure $\chi(1, 3, 2)$

$ \begin{array}{c cccc} W_{C1} \rightarrow * & 1 \\ W_{C2} \rightarrow * & 2 \\ W_{C3} \rightarrow -W_{C5} \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{rcl} W_{C4} & \rightarrow & {\rm finite} \\ W_{C5} & \rightarrow & -W_{C3} \\ W_{C6} & \rightarrow & {\rm finite} \end{array}$	
--	---	--

Table C.2: Results of D-algebra for diagrams with structure $\chi(1, 3, 2)$



Figure C.3: Wrapping diagrams with chiral structure $\chi(2, 1, 3)$








Figure C.6: Wrapping diagrams with chiral structure $\chi(1)$ (continued)



Table C.8: Loop integrals for 4-loop wrapping diagrams. The arrows of the same type indicate contracted spacetime derivatives

Romuald A. Janik (Krakow)

 $\Delta = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 + \underbrace{(-2496 + 576\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8}_{-1440\zeta(5)} + \dots$

[F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg, D.Zanon]

 $(-2584 \longrightarrow -2496$ after the appearance of our paper)

• The wrapping part is thus

 $\Delta_{wrapping} E = (324 + 864\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8$

 $\Delta = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 + \underbrace{(-2496 + 576\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8}_{,} + \dots$

 $[F. {\it Fiamberti}, A. {\it Santambrogio}, C. {\it Sieg}, D. {\it Zanon}]$

 $(-2584 \longrightarrow -2496$ after the appearance of our paper)

• The wrapping part is thus

 $\Delta_{wrapping} E = (324 + 864\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^{8}$

 $\Delta = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 + \underbrace{(-2496 + 576\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8}_{q} + \dots$

 $[F. {\it Fiamberti}, {\it A}. {\it Santambrogio}, {\it C}. {\it Sieg}, {\it D}. {\it Zanon}]$

 $(-2584 \longrightarrow -2496$ after the appearance of our paper)

• The wrapping part is thus

 $\Delta_{wrapping} E = (324 + 864\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8$

$$\Delta = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 + \underbrace{(-2496 + 576\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8}_{[F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg, D.Zanon]} + \dots$$

 $(-2584 \longrightarrow -2496$ after the appearance of our paper)

• The wrapping part is thus

 $\Delta_{wrapping} E = (324 + 864\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8$

 $\Delta = 4 + 12g^2 - 48g^4 + 336g^6 + \underbrace{(-2496 + 576\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8}_{[F. Fiamberti, A. Santambrogio, C. Sieg, D. Zanop]} + \dots$

 $(-2584 \longrightarrow -2496$ after the appearance of our paper)

• The wrapping part is thus

 $\Delta_{wrapping} E = (324 + 864\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8$

$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

• What particles should circulate in the loop?

- fundamental magnons (Q = 1)
- *Q*-magnon bound states (in the antisymmetric representation)
- Moreover we should sum over all internal states of these particles $\equiv \sum_{b}$

The Konishi computation

• For the Konishi at 4 loops only the F-term like expression contributes



$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

• What particles should circulate in the loop?

- fundamental magnons (Q = 1)
- *Q*-magnon bound states (in the antisymmetric representation)
- Moreover we should sum over all internal states of these particles $\equiv \sum_{b}$
- We have to derive the S-matrix between the Q-magnon bound state and the fundamental magnon (previously explicitly known only for Q = 1 and Q = 2)

The Konishi computation

• For the Konishi at 4 loops only the F-term like expression contributes



$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

• What particles should circulate in the loop?

- fundamental magnons (Q = 1)
- Q-magnon bound states (in the antisymmetric representation)
- Moreover we should sum over all internal states of these particles $\equiv \sum_{b}$
- We have to derive the S-matrix between the Q-magnon bound state and the fundamental magnon (previously explicitly known only for Q = 1 and Q = 2)



$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

• What particles should circulate in the loop?

- fundamental magnons (Q = 1)
- *Q*-magnon bound states (in the antisymmetric representation)
- Moreover we should sum over all internal states of these particles $\equiv \sum_{b}$



$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

• What particles should circulate in the loop?

- fundamental magnons (Q = 1)
- *Q*-magnon bound states (in the antisymmetric representation)
- Moreover we should sum over all internal states of these particles $\equiv \sum_{h}$



$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

• What particles should circulate in the loop?

- fundamental magnons (Q = 1)
- *Q*-magnon bound states (in the antisymmetric representation)
- Moreover we should sum over all internal states of these particles $\equiv \sum_{h}$



$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

• What particles should circulate in the loop?

- fundamental magnons (Q = 1)
- *Q*-magnon bound states (in the antisymmetric representation)
- Moreover we should sum over all internal states of these particles $\equiv \sum_{b}$



$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

• What particles should circulate in the loop?

- fundamental magnons (Q = 1)
- *Q*-magnon bound states (in the antisymmetric representation)
- Moreover we should sum over all internal states of these particles $\equiv \sum_{b}$
- We have to derive the S-matrix between the Q-magnon bound state and the fundamental magnon (previously explicitly known only for Q = 1 and Q = 2)

$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

• We have

$$\left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} = \frac{16g^{4}}{(Q^{2} + q^{2})^{2}} + \dots$$

• The scalar part gives

$$S_{Q-1}^{scalar, sl(2)} = \frac{3q^2 - 6iQq + 6iq - 3Q^2 + 6Q - 4}{3q^2 + 6iQq - 6iq - 3Q^2 + 6Q - 4} \cdot \frac{16}{9q^4 + 6(3Q(Q+2) + 2)q^2 + (3Q(Q+2) + 4)^2}$$

• The matrix part (summed over b) evaluates to

$$S_{Q-1}^{matrix,sl(2)} = \frac{5184Q^2(3q^2 + 3Q^2 - 4)^2 g^4}{(q^2 + Q^2)^2((3q - 3iQ + 3i)^2 - 3)^2}$$

• We are left with an integral over q and a summation over Q

Romuald A. Janik (Krakow)

$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} = \frac{16g^{4}}{(Q^{2}+q^{2})^{2}} + \dots$$

• The scalar part gives

$$S_{Q-1}^{scalar,sl(2)} = \frac{3q^2 - 6iQq + 6iq - 3Q^2 + 6Q - 4}{3q^2 + 6iQq - 6iq - 3Q^2 + 6Q - 4} \cdot \frac{16}{9q^4 + 6(3Q(Q+2) + 2)q^2 + (3Q(Q+2) + 4)^2}$$

• The matrix part (summed over b) evaluates to

$$S_{Q-1}^{matrix,sl(2)} = \frac{5184Q^2(3q^2 + 3Q^2 - 4)^2 g^4}{(q^2 + Q^2)^2((3q - 3iQ + 3i)^2 - 3)^2}$$

• We are left with an integral over q and a summation over Q

Romuald A. Janik (Krakow)

$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

• We have

$$\left(\frac{z^-}{z^+}\right)^2 = \frac{16g^4}{(Q^2 + q^2)^2} + \dots$$

• The scalar part gives

$$S_{Q-1}^{scalar,sl(2)} = \frac{3q^2 - 6iQq + 6iq - 3Q^2 + 6Q - 4}{3q^2 + 6iQq - 6iq - 3Q^2 + 6Q - 4} \cdot \frac{16}{9q^4 + 6(3Q(Q+2) + 2)q^2 + (3Q(Q+2) + 4)^2}$$

• The matrix part (summed over b) evaluates to

$$S_{Q-1}^{matrix,sl(2)} = \frac{5184Q^2(3q^2 + 3Q^2 - 4)^2 g^4}{(q^2 + Q^2)^2((3q - 3iQ + 3i)^2 - 3)^2}$$

• We are left with an integral over q and a summation over Q

$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

• We have

$$\left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} = \frac{16g^{4}}{(Q^{2}+q^{2})^{2}} + \dots$$

• The scalar part gives

$$S_{Q-1}^{scalar,sl(2)} = \frac{3q^2 - 6iQq + 6iq - 3Q^2 + 6Q - 4}{3q^2 + 6iQq - 6iq - 3Q^2 + 6Q - 4} \cdot \frac{16}{9q^4 + 6(3Q(Q+2) + 2)q^2 + (3Q(Q+2) + 4)^2}$$

• The matrix part (summed over b) evaluates to

$$S_{Q-1}^{matrix,sl(2)} = \frac{5184Q^2(3q^2 + 3Q^2 - 4)^2 g^4}{(q^2 + Q^2)^2((3q - 3iQ + 3i)^2 - 3)^2}$$

• We are left with an integral over q and a summation over Q

$$\Delta E = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \left(\frac{z^{-}}{z^{+}}\right)^{2} \sum_{b} (-1)^{F_{b}} \left[S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{i}^{\pm})S_{Q-1}(z^{\pm}, x_{ii}^{\pm})\right]_{b(11)}^{b(11)}$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{z^-}{z^+}\right)^2 = \frac{16g^4}{(Q^2 + q^2)^2} + \dots$$

• The scalar part gives

$$S_{Q-1}^{scalar,sl(2)} = \frac{3q^2 - 6iQq + 6iq - 3Q^2 + 6Q - 4}{3q^2 + 6iQq - 6iq - 3Q^2 + 6Q - 4} \cdot \frac{16}{9q^4 + 6(3Q(Q+2)+2)q^2 + (3Q(Q+2)+4)^2}$$

• The matrix part (summed over b) evaluates to

$$S_{Q-1}^{matrix, sl(2)} = \frac{5184Q^2(3q^2 + 3Q^2 - 4)^2 g^4}{(q^2 + Q^2)^2((3q - 3iQ + 3i)^2 - 3)^2}$$

• We are left with an integral over q and a summation over Q

Romuald A. Janik (Krakow)

- The integral over q can be carried out analytically by residues
- The result is

$$\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \left\{ -\frac{num(Q)}{(9Q^4 - 3Q^2 + 1)^4 (27Q^6 - 27Q^4 + 36Q^2 + 16)} + \frac{864}{Q^3} - \frac{1440}{Q^5} \right\}$$

 $\begin{aligned} num(Q) =& 7776 Q (19683 Q^{18} - 78732 Q^{16} + 150903 Q^{14} - 134865 Q^{12} + \\ &+ 1458 Q^{10} + 48357 Q^8 - 13311 Q^6 - 1053 Q^4 + 369 Q^2 - 10) \end{aligned}$

• Two last terms give at once 864 $\zeta(3)-1440\,\zeta(5)$

• The remaining rational function remarkably sums up to an integer giving finally

$$\Delta_{\mathit{wrapping}} E = (324 + 864 \zeta(3) - 1440 \zeta(5)) g^8$$

- The integral over q can be carried out analytically by residues
- The result is

$$\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \left\{ -\frac{num(Q)}{\left(9Q^4 - 3Q^2 + 1\right)^4 \left(27Q^6 - 27Q^4 + 36Q^2 + 16\right)} + \frac{864}{Q^3} - \frac{1440}{Q^5} \right\}$$

 $num(Q) = 7776Q(19683Q^{18} - 78732Q^{16} + 150903Q^{14} - 134865Q^{12} + 1458Q^{10} + 48357Q^8 - 13311Q^6 - 1053Q^4 + 369Q^2 - 10)$

• Two last terms give at once 864 $\zeta(3)-1440\,\zeta(5)$

• The remaining rational function remarkably sums up to an integer giving finally

$$\Delta_{\mathit{wrapping}} E = (324 + 864\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8$$

- The integral over q can be carried out analytically by residues
- The result is

$$\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \left\{ -\frac{num(Q)}{\left(9Q^4 - 3Q^2 + 1\right)^4 \left(27Q^6 - 27Q^4 + 36Q^2 + 16\right)} + \frac{864}{Q^3} - \frac{1440}{Q^5} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} num(Q) = & 7776Q(19683Q^{18} - 78732Q^{16} + 150903Q^{14} - 134865Q^{12} + \\ & + 1458Q^{10} + 48357Q^8 - 13311Q^6 - 1053Q^4 + 369Q^2 - 10) \end{split}$$

• Two last terms give at once 864 $\zeta(3)-1440\,\zeta(5)$

• The remaining rational function remarkably sums up to an integer giving finally

$$\Delta_{wrapping}E = (324 + 864\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8$$

- The integral over q can be carried out analytically by residues
- The result is

$$\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \left\{ -\frac{num(Q)}{\left(9Q^4 - 3Q^2 + 1\right)^4 \left(27Q^6 - 27Q^4 + 36Q^2 + 16\right)} + \frac{864}{Q^3} - \frac{1440}{Q^5} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} num(Q) = & 7776Q(19683Q^{18} - 78732Q^{16} + 150903Q^{14} - 134865Q^{12} + \\ & + 1458Q^{10} + 48357Q^8 - 13311Q^6 - 1053Q^4 + 369Q^2 - 10) \end{split}$$

• Two last terms give at once 864 $\zeta(3)-1440\,\zeta(5)$

• The remaining rational function remarkably sums up to an integer giving finally

$$\Delta_{wrapping}E = (324 + 864\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8$$

- The integral over q can be carried out analytically by residues
- The result is

$$\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \left\{ -\frac{num(Q)}{\left(9Q^4 - 3Q^2 + 1\right)^4 \left(27Q^6 - 27Q^4 + 36Q^2 + 16\right)} + \frac{864}{Q^3} - \frac{1440}{Q^5} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} num(Q) = & 7776Q(19683Q^{18} - 78732Q^{16} + 150903Q^{14} - 134865Q^{12} + \\ & + 1458Q^{10} + 48357Q^8 - 13311Q^6 - 1053Q^4 + 369Q^2 - 10) \end{split}$$

- Two last terms give at once 864 $\zeta(3)-1440\,\zeta(5)$
- The remaining rational function remarkably sums up to an integer giving finally

$$\Delta_{\mathit{wrapping}} E = (324 + 864 \zeta(3) - 1440 \zeta(5)) g^8$$

- The integral over q can be carried out analytically by residues
- The result is

$$\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \left\{ -\frac{num(Q)}{\left(9Q^4 - 3Q^2 + 1\right)^4 \left(27Q^6 - 27Q^4 + 36Q^2 + 16\right)} + \frac{864}{Q^3} - \frac{1440}{Q^5} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} num(Q) = & 7776Q(19683Q^{18} - 78732Q^{16} + 150903Q^{14} - 134865Q^{12} + \\ & + 1458Q^{10} + 48357Q^8 - 13311Q^6 - 1053Q^4 + 369Q^2 - 10) \end{split}$$

- Two last terms give at once 864 $\zeta(3)-1440\,\zeta(5)$
- The remaining rational function remarkably sums up to an integer giving finally

$$\Delta_{wrapping} E = (324 + 864 \zeta(3) - 1440 \zeta(5))g^8$$

 $O_M = \operatorname{tr} Z D^M Z + \dots$

- The Konishi operator is just $O_{M=2}$.
- So far there is no gauge theory perturbative computation for arbitrary M
- The 4-loop correction obeys the maximal transcendentality principle of [Kotikov,Lipatov]
- There is a prediction of the pole structure of the anomalous dimensions analytically continued to $M = -1 + \omega$ from BFKL and NLO BFKL equations.
- The non-wrapping part violates this prediction [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin]
- The wrapping correction exactly restores agreement

 $O_M = \operatorname{tr} Z D^M Z + \dots$

- The Konishi operator is just $O_{M=2}$.
- So far there is no gauge theory perturbative computation for arbitrary M
- The 4-loop correction obeys the maximal transcendentality principle of [Kotikov,Lipatov]
- There is a prediction of the pole structure of the anomalous dimensions analytically continued to $M = -1 + \omega$ from BFKL and NLO BFKL equations.
- The non-wrapping part violates this prediction [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin]
- The wrapping correction exactly restores agreement

 $O_M = \operatorname{tr} Z D^M Z + \dots$

- The Konishi operator is just $O_{M=2}$.
- So far there is no gauge theory perturbative computation for arbitrary M
- The 4-loop correction obeys the maximal transcendentality principle of [Kotikov,Lipatov]
- There is a prediction of the pole structure of the anomalous dimensions analytically continued to $M = -1 + \omega$ from BFKL and NLO BFKL equations.
- The non-wrapping part violates this prediction [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin]
- The wrapping correction exactly restores agreement

 $O_M = \operatorname{tr} Z D^M Z + \dots$

- The Konishi operator is just $O_{M=2}$.
- So far there is no gauge theory perturbative computation for arbitrary M
- The 4-loop correction obeys the maximal transcendentality principle of [Kotikov,Lipatov]
- There is a prediction of the pole structure of the anomalous dimensions analytically continued to $M = -1 + \omega$ from BFKL and NLO BFKL equations.
- The non-wrapping part violates this prediction [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin]
- The wrapping correction exactly restores agreement

 $O_M = \operatorname{tr} Z D^M Z + \dots$

- The Konishi operator is just $O_{M=2}$.
- So far there is no gauge theory perturbative computation for arbitrary M
- The 4-loop correction obeys the maximal transcendentality principle of [Kotikov,Lipatov]
- There is a prediction of the pole structure of the anomalous dimensions analytically continued to $M = -1 + \omega$ from BFKL and NLO BFKL equations.
- The non-wrapping part violates this prediction [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin]
- The wrapping correction exactly restores agreement

 $O_M = \operatorname{tr} Z D^M Z + \dots$

- The Konishi operator is just $O_{M=2}$.
- So far there is no gauge theory perturbative computation for arbitrary M
- The 4-loop correction obeys the maximal transcendentality principle of [Kotikov,Lipatov]
- There is a prediction of the pole structure of the anomalous dimensions analytically continued to $M = -1 + \omega$ from BFKL and NLO BFKL equations.
- The non-wrapping part violates this prediction [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin]
- The wrapping correction exactly restores agreement

 $O_M = \operatorname{tr} Z D^M Z + \dots$

- The Konishi operator is just $O_{M=2}$.
- So far there is no gauge theory perturbative computation for arbitrary M
- The 4-loop correction obeys the maximal transcendentality principle of [Kotikov,Lipatov]
- There is a prediction of the pole structure of the anomalous dimensions analytically continued to $M = -1 + \omega$ from BFKL and NLO BFKL equations.
- The non-wrapping part violates this prediction [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin]
- The wrapping correction exactly restores agreement
We computed the 4-loop wrapping corrections for arbitrary twist two operators

 $O_M = \operatorname{tr} Z D^M Z + \dots$

where D is a light-cone derivative and M is any even integer [Bajnok,RJ,Łukowski]

- The Konishi operator is just $O_{M=2}$.
- So far there is no gauge theory perturbative computation for arbitrary M
- The 4-loop correction obeys the maximal transcendentality principle of [Kotikov,Lipatov]
- There is a prediction of the pole structure of the anomalous dimensions analytically continued to $M = -1 + \omega$ from BFKL and NLO BFKL equations.
- The non-wrapping part violates this prediction [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin]
- The wrapping correction exactly restores agreement

- The computation of the Konishi anomalous dimension from multiparticle Lüscher corrections can be extended to 5 loops.
- Several new features appear...
- Due to the special form of kinematics already an *infinite* set of coefficients of the BES dressing phase starts to contribute
- One has to take into account the modification of the Bethe ansatz quantization due to the virtual particles
- How to check the result?
 - Not much hope for a direct perturbative computation but there are other cross-checks...
 - The final answer should have a nice transcendentality structure...
 - The contribution of dynamical poles (μ -terms) should cancel between the dressing phase contribution and the rest of the expression coming from the matrix part and the modifications of BA quantization

- The computation of the Konishi anomalous dimension from multiparticle Lüscher corrections can be extended to 5 loops.
- Several new features appear...
- Due to the special form of kinematics already an *infinite* set of coefficients of the BES dressing phase starts to contribute
- One has to take into account the modification of the Bethe ansatz quantization due to the virtual particles
- How to check the result?
 - Not much hope for a direct perturbative computation but there are other cross-checks...
 - The final answer should have a nice transcendentality structure...
 - The contribution of dynamical poles (μ -terms) should cancel between the dressing phase contribution and the rest of the expression coming from the matrix part and the modifications of BA quantization

- The computation of the Konishi anomalous dimension from multiparticle Lüscher corrections can be extended to 5 loops.
- Several new features appear...
- Due to the special form of kinematics already an *infinite* set of coefficients of the BES dressing phase starts to contribute
- One has to take into account the modification of the Bethe ansatz quantization due to the virtual particles
- How to check the result?
 - Not much hope for a direct perturbative computation but there are other cross-checks...
 - The final answer should have a nice transcendentality structure...
 - The contribution of dynamical poles (μ -terms) should cancel between the dressing phase contribution and the rest of the expression coming from the matrix part and the modifications of BA quantization

- The computation of the Konishi anomalous dimension from multiparticle Lüscher corrections can be extended to 5 loops.
- Several new features appear...
- Due to the special form of kinematics already an *infinite* set of coefficients of the BES dressing phase starts to contribute
- One has to take into account the modification of the Bethe ansatz quantization due to the virtual particles
- How to check the result?
 - Not much hope for a direct perturbative computation but there are other cross-checks...
 - The final answer should have a nice transcendentality structure...
 - The contribution of dynamical poles (μ -terms) should cancel between the dressing phase contribution and the rest of the expression coming from the matrix part and the modifications of BA quantization

- The computation of the Konishi anomalous dimension from multiparticle Lüscher corrections can be extended to 5 loops.
- Several new features appear...
- Due to the special form of kinematics already an *infinite* set of coefficients of the BES dressing phase starts to contribute
- One has to take into account the modification of the Bethe ansatz quantization due to the virtual particles
- How to check the result?
 - Not much hope for a direct perturbative computation but there are other cross-checks...
 - The final answer should have a nice transcendentality structure...
 - The contribution of dynamical poles (μ -terms) should cancel between the dressing phase contribution and the rest of the expression coming from the matrix part and the modifications of BA quantization

- The computation of the Konishi anomalous dimension from multiparticle Lüscher corrections can be extended to 5 loops.
- Several new features appear...
- Due to the special form of kinematics already an *infinite* set of coefficients of the BES dressing phase starts to contribute
- One has to take into account the modification of the Bethe ansatz quantization due to the virtual particles
- How to check the result?
 - Not much hope for a direct perturbative computation but there are other cross-checks...
 - The final answer should have a nice transcendentality structure...
 - The contribution of dynamical poles (μ -terms) should cancel between the dressing phase contribution and the rest of the expression coming from the matrix part and the modifications of BA quantization

- The computation of the Konishi anomalous dimension from multiparticle Lüscher corrections can be extended to 5 loops.
- Several new features appear...
- Due to the special form of kinematics already an *infinite* set of coefficients of the BES dressing phase starts to contribute
- One has to take into account the modification of the Bethe ansatz quantization due to the virtual particles
- How to check the result?
 - Not much hope for a direct perturbative computation but there are other cross-checks...
 - The final answer should have a nice transcendentality structure...
 - The contribution of dynamical poles (µ-terms) should cancel between the dressing phase contribution and the rest of the expression coming from the matrix part and the modifications of BA quantization

- The computation of the Konishi anomalous dimension from multiparticle Lüscher corrections can be extended to 5 loops.
- Several new features appear...
- Due to the special form of kinematics already an *infinite* set of coefficients of the BES dressing phase starts to contribute
- One has to take into account the modification of the Bethe ansatz quantization due to the virtual particles
- How to check the result?
 - Not much hope for a direct perturbative computation but there are other cross-checks...
 - The final answer should have a nice transcendentality structure...
 - The contribution of dynamical poles (μ -terms) should cancel between the dressing phase contribution and the rest of the expression coming from the matrix part and the modifications of BA quantization

- The computation of the Konishi anomalous dimension from multiparticle Lüscher corrections can be extended to 5 loops.
- Several new features appear...
- Due to the special form of kinematics already an *infinite* set of coefficients of the BES dressing phase starts to contribute
- One has to take into account the modification of the Bethe ansatz quantization due to the virtual particles
- How to check the result?
 - Not much hope for a direct perturbative computation but there are other cross-checks...
 - The final answer should have a nice transcendentality structure...
 - The contribution of dynamical poles (μ -terms) should cancel between the dressing phase contribution and the rest of the expression coming from the matrix part and the modifications of BA quantization

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The computation of the finite size effects through Lüscher corrections is of a distinctly (2D) quantum field theoretical nature
- The result came from a single diagram in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- May be possible to access information on strings in highly curved $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- Various groups proposed formulations (functional relations/systems of nonlinear integral equations) which aim to give an exact solution for any λ
- Use 5-loop Konishi as a testing ground for these formulations...

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The computation of the finite size effects through Lüscher corrections is of a distinctly (2D) quantum field theoretical nature
- The result came from a single diagram in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- May be possible to access information on strings in highly curved $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- Various groups proposed formulations (functional relations/systems of nonlinear integral equations) which aim to give an exact solution for any λ
- Use 5-loop Konishi as a testing ground for these formulations...

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The computation of the finite size effects through Lüscher corrections is of a distinctly (2D) quantum field theoretical nature
- The result came from a single diagram in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- May be possible to access information on strings in highly curved $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- Various groups proposed formulations (functional relations/systems of nonlinear integral equations) which aim to give an exact solution for any λ
- Use 5-loop Konishi as a testing ground for these formulations...

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The computation of the finite size effects through Lüscher corrections is of a distinctly (2D) quantum field theoretical nature
- The result came from a single diagram in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- May be possible to access information on strings in highly curved $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- Various groups proposed formulations (functional relations/systems of nonlinear integral equations) which aim to give an exact solution for any λ
- Use 5-loop Konishi as a testing ground for these formulations...

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The computation of the finite size effects through Lüscher corrections is of a distinctly (2D) quantum field theoretical nature
- The result came from a single diagram in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- May be possible to access information on strings in highly curved $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- Various groups proposed formulations (functional relations/systems of nonlinear integral equations) which aim to give an exact solution for any λ
- Use 5-loop Konishi as a testing ground for these formulations...

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The computation of the finite size effects through Lüscher corrections is of a distinctly (2D) quantum field theoretical nature
- The result came from a single diagram in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- May be possible to access information on strings in highly curved $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- Various groups proposed formulations (functional relations/systems of nonlinear integral equations) which aim to give an exact solution for any λ
- Use 5-loop Konishi as a testing ground for these formulations...

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The computation of the finite size effects through Lüscher corrections is of a distinctly (2D) quantum field theoretical nature
- The result came from a single diagram in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- May be possible to access information on strings in highly curved $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- Various groups proposed formulations (functional relations/systems of nonlinear integral equations) which aim to give an exact solution for any λ
- Use 5-loop Konishi as a testing ground for these formulations...

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The computation of the finite size effects through Lüscher corrections is of a distinctly (2D) quantum field theoretical nature
- The result came from a single diagram in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- May be possible to access information on strings in highly curved $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- Various groups proposed formulations (functional relations/systems of nonlinear integral equations) which aim to give an exact solution for any λ
- Use 5-loop Konishi as a testing ground for these formulations...