Dark Matter

Between Now and Never

Leszek Roszkowski

Univ. of Sheffield, England and Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland

Dark Matter Programme at GGI

Dark Matter Programme at GGI

- venue: Galileo Galilei Institute, Florence
- dates: 26 April 19 June 2010
- organizers: H. Baer, L. Covi, L. Roszkowski and P. Ullio

Cosmology After WMAP...

Post WMAP-5yr (April 08) ...+ACBAR+CBI+SN+LSS+... $\Omega_i = \rho_i / \rho_{crit}$

Hubble $H_0 = 100 h \text{ km/s/Mpc}$

Cosmology After WMAP...

Post WMAP-5yr (April 08)

...+ACBAR+CBI+SN+LSS+...

 $\Omega_i =
ho_i /
ho_{crit}$

Hubble $H_0 = 100 \, h \, {
m km/s/Mpc}$

assume simplest ΛCDM model

- matter $\Omega_{
 m m}h^2=0.1378\pm 0.0043$
- \checkmark baryons $\Omega_{
 m b}h^2=0.02263\pm0.00060$

- $\ \, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\Lambda}=0.715\pm0.20\ldots$



LSS (2dF, SDSS, Lyman- α)



Cosmology After WMAP...

Post WMAP-5yr (April 08)

...+ACBAR+CBI+SN+LSS+...

 $\Omega_i =
ho_i /
ho_{crit}$

Hubble $H_0 = 100 \, h \, {
m km/s/Mpc}$

CMB (WMAP, ACBAR, CBI,...)

assume simplest ΛCDM model

- matter $\Omega_{
 m m}h^2=0.1378\pm 0.0043$
- \checkmark baryons $\Omega_{
 m b}h^2=0.02263\pm0.00060$

$$\ \, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\Lambda}=0.715\pm0.20\ldots$$



LSS (2dF, SDSS, Lyman- α)



- concordance model works well
- main components: dark energy and dark matter

factor of 4-10 improvement expected from Planck

Cosmic Pie







DM candidates and particle physics models

- DM candidates and particle physics models
- SUSY neutralino most popular candidate

- DM candidates and particle physics models
- SUSY neutralino most popular candidate
- prospects for direct detection

- DM candidates and particle physics models
- SUSY neutralino most popular candidate
- prospects for direct detection
- indirect detection
 - PAMELA
 - Fermi/GLAST

- DM candidates and particle physics models
- SUSY neutralino most popular candidate
- prospects for direct detection
- indirect detection
 - PAMELA
 - Fermi/GLAST
- EWIMPs/superWIMPs

- DM candidates and particle physics models
- SUSY neutralino most popular candidate
- prospects for direct detection
- indirect detection
 - PAMELA
 - Fermi/GLAST
- EWIMPs/superWIMPs
- summary

Is evidence for DM convincing?

Is evidence for DM convincing?
 Yes, through its gravitational effects.

- Is evidence for DM convincing?
 Yes, through its gravitational effects.
- Is DM made up of particles?

- Is evidence for DM convincing?
 Yes, through its gravitational effects.
- Is DM made up of particles?
 Suggested by clustering but otherwise an assumption.

- Is evidence for DM convincing?
 Yes, through its gravitational effects.
- Is DM made up of particles?
 Suggested by clustering but otherwise an assumption.
- Is DM made up of only/predominantly one species?

- Is evidence for DM convincing?
 Yes, through its gravitational effects.
- Is DM made up of particles?
 Suggested by clustering but otherwise an assumption.
- Is DM made up of only/predominantly one species?
 Economical assumption (Occam's razor).

- Is evidence for DM convincing?
 Yes, through its gravitational effects.
- Is DM made up of particles?
 Suggested by clustering but otherwise an assumption.
- Is DM made up of only/predominantly one species?
 Economical assumption (Occam's razor).
- Is DM cold?

- Is evidence for DM convincing?
 Yes, through its gravitational effects.
- Is DM made up of particles?
 Suggested by clustering but otherwise an assumption.
- Is DM made up of only/predominantly one species?
 Economical assumption (Occam's razor).
- Is DM cold?

CDM: claimed problems not unsurmountable.

- Is evidence for DM convincing?
 Yes, through its gravitational effects.
- Is DM made up of particles?
 Suggested by clustering but otherwise an assumption.
- Is DM made up of only/predominantly one species?
 Economical assumption (Occam's razor).
- Is DM cold?

CDM: claimed problems not unsurmountable.

Has DM been detected yet?

- Is evidence for DM convincing?
 Yes, through its gravitational effects.
- Is DM made up of particles?
 Suggested by clustering but otherwise an assumption.
- Is DM made up of only/predominantly one species?
 Economical assumption (Occam's razor).
- Is DM cold?

CDM: claimed problems not unsurmountable.

Has DM been detected yet?
 Some anomalies and hints – DM origin of 'signal' not convincing.

DM: The Big Picture

* – not invented to solve the DM problem

well-motivated* particle candidates with $\Omega \sim 0.1$

DM: The Big Picture

L.R. (2000), hep-ph/0404052



- neutrino ν hot DM
- neutralino χ
- "generic" WIMP
- axion a
- \checkmark axino \widetilde{a}
- $oldsymbol{s}$ gravitino $\widetilde{oldsymbol{G}}$
- vast ranges of interactions and masses
- different production mechanisms in the early Universe (thermal, non-thermal)
- need to go beyond the Standard Model
- WIMP candidates testable at present/near future
- axino, gravitino EWIMPs/superWIMPs not directly testable, but some hints from LHC

No shortage of ideas...

...but few good ones, ...and even fewer longer-lasting

No shortage of ideas... ...but few good ones, ...and even fewer longer-lasting

Iightest neutralino χ of supersymmetry

 $m_\chi \sim M_{
m SUSY}~(\sim 0.1-1~{
m TeV})$, interactions sub-weak ($\lesssim 10^{-4}\sigma_{weak})$

No shortage of ideas... ...but few good ones, ...and even fewer longer-lasting

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Iightest neutralino } \chi \text{ of supersymmetry} \\ m_{\chi} \sim M_{\rm SUSY} \ (\sim 0.1 - 1 \, {\rm TeV}), \text{ interactions sub-weak} \ (\lesssim 10^{-4} \sigma_{weak}) \end{array} \end{array}$

lightest Kałuża-Klein (KK) state from warped/universal extra dimensions

 $m_{
m KK} \sim 0.4 - 1~{
m TeV}$, interactions \lesssim those of χ , testable

a sub-class of WIMPs (eg. Dirac ν , etc)

No shortage of ideas... ...but few good ones, ...and even fewer longer-lasting

- $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Iightest neutralino } \chi \text{ of supersymmetry} \\ m_{\chi} \sim M_{\mathrm{SUSY}} \ (\sim 0.1 1 \, \mathrm{TeV}), \text{ interactions sub-weak} \ (\lesssim 10^{-4} \sigma_{weak}) \end{array} \end{array}$
- lightest Kałuża-Klein (KK) state from warped/universal extra dimensions

 $m_{
m KK} \sim 0.4 - 1 \, {
m TeV}$, interactions \lesssim those of χ , testable

a sub-class of WIMPs (eg. Dirac ν , etc)

massive (almost) sterile sneutrino $\tilde{\nu}_R$ Dirac-type, $m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \sim M_{\rm SUSY}$ (~ 0.1 - 1 TeV), interactions \ll those of χ , non-thermal relic, not easily testable

No shortage of ideas... ...but few good ones, ...and even fewer longer-lasting

- $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Iightest neutralino } \chi \text{ of supersymmetry} \\ m_{\chi} \sim M_{\rm SUSY} \ (\sim 0.1 1 \, {\rm TeV}), \text{ interactions sub-weak} \ (\lesssim 10^{-4} \sigma_{weak}) \end{array} \end{array}$
- lightest Kałuża-Klein (KK) state from warped/universal extra dimensions

axion

 $m_{
m KK} \sim 0.4 - 1~{
m TeV}$, interactions \lesssim those of χ , testable

a sub-class of WIMPs (eg. Dirac ν , etc)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & \text{massive (almost) sterile sneutrino } \tilde{\nu}_R \\ & \text{Dirac-type, } m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \sim M_{\rm SUSY} \ (\sim 0.1 - 1 \, {\rm TeV}), \, \text{interactions} \ll \text{those of } \chi, \end{array}$

non-thermal relic, not easily testable

as attractive as is old..., search in progress

No shortage of ideas... ...but few good ones, ...and even fewer longer-lasting

- $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Iightest neutralino } \chi \text{ of supersymmetry} \\ m_{\chi} \sim M_{\rm SUSY} \ (\sim 0.1 1 \, {\rm TeV}), \text{ interactions sub-weak} \ (\lesssim 10^{-4} \sigma_{weak}) \end{array} \end{array}$
- lightest Kałuża-Klein (KK) state from warped/universal extra dimensions

axion

axino \widetilde{a} , gravitino G

 $m_{
m KK} \sim 0.4 - 1~{
m TeV}$, interactions \lesssim those of χ , testable

a sub-class of WIMPs (eg. Dirac ν , etc)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & \text{massive (almost) sterile sneutrino } \tilde{\nu}_R \\ & \text{Dirac-type, } m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \sim M_{\rm SUSY} \ (\sim 0.1 - 1 \, {\rm TeV}), \, \text{interactions} \ll \text{those of } \chi, \end{array}$

non-thermal relic, not easily testable

as attractive as is old..., search in progress

extremely-weakly interacting relics

warm ($\sim \text{keV}$) or cold, not directly testable (but hints from LHC) add your own...

No shortage of ideas... ...but few good ones, ...and even fewer longer-lasting

- $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Iightest neutralino } \chi \text{ of supersymmetry} \\ m_{\chi} \sim M_{\rm SUSY} \ (\sim 0.1 1 \, {\rm TeV}), \text{ interactions sub-weak} \ (\lesssim 10^{-4} \sigma_{weak}) \end{array} \end{array}$
- lightest Kałuża-Klein (KK) state from warped/universal extra dimensions

axion

axino \widetilde{a} , gravitino G

 $m_{
m KK} \sim 0.4 - 1~{
m TeV}$, interactions \lesssim those of χ , testable

a sub-class of WIMPs (eg. Dirac ν , etc)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{massive (almost) sterile sneutrino $ \tilde{\nu}_R$ \\ \hline \mbox{Dirac-type, $ m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \sim M_{\rm SUSY}$ ($ \sim 0.1 - 1$ TeV), interactions $ \ll$ those of χ,} \end{array}$

non-thermal relic, not easily testable

as attractive as is old..., search in progress

extremely-weakly interacting relics

warm ($\sim \text{keV}$) or cold, not directly testable (but hints from LHC) **add your own...**

several other interesting candidates: well-tempered neutralino, multiple (UPT) DM, little Higgs DM, mirror DM, shadow DM, sequestered DM, secluded DM, flaxino DM, Higgs portal DM, inflation and DM, etc etc. – no nonsense but not superior either

No shortage of ideas... ...but few good ones, ...and even fewer longer-lasting

- $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Iightest neutralino } \chi \text{ of supersymmetry} \\ m_{\chi} \sim M_{\rm SUSY} \ (\sim 0.1 1 \, {\rm TeV}), \text{ interactions sub-weak} \ (\lesssim 10^{-4} \sigma_{weak}) \end{array} \end{array}$
- lightest Kałuża-Klein (KK) state from warped/universal extra dimensions

axion

axino \widetilde{a} , gravitino G

 $m_{
m KK} \sim 0.4 - 1~{
m TeV}$, interactions \lesssim those of χ , testable

a sub-class of WIMPs (eg. Dirac ν , etc)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{massive (almost) sterile sneutrino $ \tilde{\nu}_R$ \\ \hline \mbox{Dirac-type, $ m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \sim M_{\rm SUSY}$ ($ \sim 0.1 - 1$ TeV), interactions $ \ll$ those of χ,} \end{array}$

non-thermal relic, not easily testable

as attractive as is old..., search in progress

extremely-weakly interacting relics

warm ($\sim \text{keV}$) or cold, not directly testable (but hints from LHC) **add your own...**

several other interesting candidates: well-tempered neutralino, multiple (UPT) DM, little Higgs DM, mirror DM, shadow DM, sequestered DM, secluded DM, flaxino DM, Higgs portal DM, inflation and DM, etc etc. – no nonsense but not superior either

It is fairly easy to invent a DM relic
It is fairly easy to invent a DM relic

it is much (!) harder to invent a (lasting) model of 'new physics'

direct detection (DD): measure WIMPs scattering off a target

go underground to beat cosmic ray bgnd

direct detection (DD): measure WIMPs scattering off a target

go underground to beat cosmic ray bgnd

indirect detection (ID):

direct detection (DD): measure WIMPs scattering off a target

go underground to beat cosmic ray bgnd

- indirect detection (ID):
 - HE neutrinos from the Sun (or Earth)

WIMPs get trapped in Sun's core, start pair annihilating, only ν 's escape

direct detection (DD): measure WIMPs scattering off a target

go underground to beat cosmic ray bgnd

- indirect detection (ID):
 - HE neutrinos from the Sun (or Earth)

WIMPs get trapped in Sun's core, start pair annihilating, only ν 's escape

antimatter (e^+ , \bar{p} , \bar{D}) from WIMP pair-annihilation in the MW halo
from within a few left.

from within a few kpc

direct detection (DD): measure WIMPs scattering off a target

go underground to beat cosmic ray bgnd

- indirect detection (ID):
 - HE neutrinos from the Sun (or Earth)

WIMPs get trapped in Sun's core, start pair annihilating, only ν 's escape

antimatter (e^+ , \bar{p} , \bar{D}) from WIMP pair-annihilation in the MW halo
from within a few

from within a few kpc

 gamma rays from WIMP pair-annihilation in the Galactic center
 depending on DM distribution in the GC

direct detection (DD): measure WIMPs scattering off a target

go underground to beat cosmic ray bgnd

- indirect detection (ID):
 - HE neutrinos from the Sun (or Earth)

WIMPs get trapped in Sun's core, start pair annihilating, only ν 's escape

antimatter (e^+ , \bar{p} , \bar{D}) from WIMP pair-annihilation in the MW halo
from within a few

from within a few kpc

- gamma rays from WIMP pair-annihilation in the Galactic center
 depending on DM distribution in the GC
- other ideas: traces of WIMP annihilation in dwarf galaxies, in rich clusters, etc

more speculative











impressive experimental effort



Bayesian analysis, flat priors, MCMC scan of 8 params (4 SUSY+4 S

 $\begin{array}{c} m & \nu_0 \\ \hline \text{target} \\ \hline \text{target} \\ \hline \text{Cause target recoil} - detect it \\ \hline \end{array}$

Constrained MSSM (mSUGRA)



internal (external): 68% (95%) region

Bayesian analysis, flat priors, MCMC scan of 8 params (4 SUSY+4 S

Constrained MSSM (mSUGRA)



XENON-10 (June 07) and CDMS-II (Feb 08): $\sigma_p^{SI} \lesssim 10^{-7} \, {\rm pb}:$

also Zeplin-III

target

Cause target recoil - detect it

 \Rightarrow already explore 68% region

(large $m_0 \gg m_{1/2} \Rightarrow$ heavy squarks) largely beyond LHC reach

internal (external): 68% (95%) region

Bayesian analysis, flat priors, MCMC scan of 8 params (4 SUSY+4 S

Constrained MSSM (mSUGRA)



internal (external): 68% (95%) region

XENON-10 (June 07) and CDMS-II (Feb 08): $\sigma_p^{SI} \lesssim 10^{-7}$ pb:

also Zeplin-III

target

Cause target recoil - detect it

 \Rightarrow already explore 68% region

(large $m_0 \gg m_{1/2} \Rightarrow$ heavy squarks) largely beyond LHC reach



Bayesian analysis, flat priors, MCMC scan of 8 params (4 SUSY+4 S

Constrained MSSM (mSUGRA)



internal (external): 68% (95%) region \Rightarrow DD: prospects look very good XENON-10 (June 07) and CDMS-II (Feb 08): $\sigma_p^{SI} \lesssim 10^{-7} \, {\rm pb}:$

also Zeplin-III

target

Cause target recoil - detect it

 \Rightarrow already explore 68% region

(large $m_0 \gg m_{1/2} \Rightarrow$ heavy squarks) largely beyond LHC reach



Bayesian analysis, flat priors

Bayesian analysis, flat priors

Constrained MSSM (mSUGRA)



Bayesian analysis, flat priors

Non-Universal Higgs Model (NUHM)

 $m_{H_u}^2, m_{H_d}^2
eq m_0^2$



higgsino DM region at $m_\chi \simeq 1 \, {
m TeV}$

Constrained MSSM (mSUGRA)



Bayesian analysis, flat priors

Non-Universal Higgs Model (NUHM)

 $m_{H_u}^2, m_{H_d}^2
eq m_0^2$



Constrained MSSM (mSUGRA)

higgsino DM region at $m_\chi \simeq 1 \, {
m TeV}$

 \Rightarrow similar patterns, except 1 TeV higgsino in NUHM

Bayesian analysis, flat priors

Non-Universal Higgs Model (NUHM)

 $m_{H_u}^2, m_{H_d}^2
eq m_0^2$



Constrained MSSM (mSUGRA)

higgsino DM region at $m_{\chi} \simeq 1 \, {
m TeV}$

similar patterns, except 1 TeV higgsino in NUHM

collider signatures also similar

1.5

LHC, DM: it will be hard to distinguish models



- Iook for traces of WIMP annihilation in the MW halo (γ -rays, e^+ 's, \bar{p} , ...)
- detection prospects often strongly depend on astrophysical uncertainties (halo models, astro bgnd, ...)

Much activity in connection with:



- Iook for traces of WIMP annihilation in the MW halo (γ -rays, e^+ 's, \bar{p} , ...)
- detection prospects often strongly depend on astrophysical uncertainties (halo models, astro bgnd, ...)

Much activity in connection with:

PAMELA



- Iook for traces of WIMP annihilation in the MW halo (γ -rays, e^+ 's, \bar{p} , ...)
- detection prospects often strongly depend on astrophysical uncertainties (halo models, astro bgnd, ...)

Much activity in connection with:

- PAMELA
- Fermi (GLAST)

e⁺ data from PAMELA & DM

PAMELA satelite (since 2007)







O. Adriani et al., arXiv:0810.4995

no excess in $ar{p}$ flux

puzzling: growth at large e^+ energy



O. Adriani et al., arXiv:0810.4995

9 no excess in $ar{p}$ flux

puzzling: growth at large e^+ energy

also indication from ATIC at $\sim 0.7-1\,{\rm TeV}$

 e^+ : difficult measurement



Schubnell, Feb. 09

e⁺ data from PAMELA & DM

no excess in $ar{p}$ flux

P puzzling: growth at large e^+ energy

If excess genuine, explanations:

pulsars

Hooper+Serpico, Profumo, ...

DM (stable or not), leptophilic, ...

many theoretical speculations

no excess in $ar{p}$ flux

puzzling: growth at large e^+ energy

If excess genuine, explanations:

pulsars

Hooper+Serpico, Profumo, ...

DM (stable or not), leptophilic, ...

many theoretical speculations

new $e^+ - e^-$ Fermi-LAT data \Rightarrow DM origin severely restricted

(also ATIC excess not confirmed)



Grasso, et al., May 09

e⁺ data from PAMELA & DM

no excess in $ar{p}$ flux

puzzling: growth at large e^+ energy

If excess genuine, explanations:

pulsars

Hooper+Serpico, Profumo, ...

DM (stable or not), leptophilic, ...

many theoretical speculations

new $e^+ - e^-$ Fermi-LAT data \Rightarrow DM origin severely restricted

(also ATIC excess not confirmed)

e.g., serious problems with extragal. γ -ray spectrum due to IC from cosmological DM-borne positrons



Profumo+Jeltema, May 09
L. Roszkowski, String Pheno-09, Warsaw – p.1

e⁺ data from PAMELA & DM

DM origin of PAMELA e^+ excess down but not (yet?) completely out

no excess in $ar{p}$ flux

puzzling: growth at large e^+ energy

If excess genuine, explanations:

pulsars

Hooper+Serpico, Profumo, ...

DM (stable or not), leptophilic, ...

many theoretical speculations

new $e^+ - e^-$ Fermi-LAT data \Rightarrow DM origin severely restricted

(also ATIC excess not confirmed)

e.g., serious problems with extragal. γ -ray spectrum due to IC from cosmological DM-borne positrons



Profumo+Jeltema, May 09

e^+ data from PAMELA & DM

no excess in $ar{p}$ flux

P puzzling: growth at large e^+ energy

If excess genuine, explanations:

pulsars

Hooper+Serpico, Profumo, ...

DM (stable or not), leptophilic, ...

many theoretical speculations

new $e^+ - e^-$ Fermi-LAT data \Rightarrow DM origin severely restricted

(also ATIC excess not confirmed)

e.g., serious problems with extragal. γ -ray spectrum due to IC from cosmological DM-borne positrons



Profumo+Jeltema, May 09

 \Rightarrow DM origin of PAMELA e^+ excess down but not (yet?) completely out

...pulsar explanation sufficient

Fermi/GLAST



in orbit since 2008

Fermi/GLAST



in orbit since 2008

- ${}$ full sky map in γ -ray spectrum, $\sim 20\,{
 m MeV}$ to $\sim 300\,{
 m GeV}$
- superior energy and angular resolution
- improve accuracy/energy range of EGRET by an order of magnitute
- Ist year data to be released in August 09

...stay tuned

e.g. CMSSM

boost factor BF=1

e.g. CMSSM

boost factor BF=1

Fermi: γ -rays from Gal. Center



⇒ SUSY WIMP signal expected IF DM halo cuspy enough

e.g. CMSSM

boost factor BF=1

Fermi: γ -rays from Gal. Center



⇒ SUSY WIMP signal expected IF DM halo cuspy enough

PAMELA: positron fraction



 \Rightarrow CMSSM DM: inconsistent with PAMELA's e^+ claim

...even for unrealistically large BF

e.g. CMSSM

boost factor BF=1

Fermi: γ -rays from Gal. Center



⇒ SUSY WIMP signal expected IF DM halo cuspy enough

PAMELA: positron fraction



 \Rightarrow CMSSM DM: inconsistent with PAMELA's e^+ claim

...even for unrealistically large BF

...similar for NUHM, other unified SUSY models

The great tragedy of Science – the slying of a beautiful hypothesis by an ugly fact

T.H. Huxley

The great tragedy of Science – the slying of a beautiful hypothesis by an ugly fact

T.H. Huxley

One should never believe any experiment until it has been confirmed by theory

A. Eddington

• predicted by SUSY $\sqrt{}$

- predicted by SUSY $\sqrt{}$
- not invented to solve the DM problem

- predicted by SUSY $\sqrt{}$
- not invented to solve the DM problem
- In the section of the section of

- \checkmark predicted by SUSY \checkmark
- not invented to solve the DM problem
- In the second prospects of the second prospects of the second prospect of the second pr
- LHC: expected to discover SUSY $\sqrt{}$

- predicted by SUSY $\sqrt{}$
- In not invented to solve the DM problem
- In the section of the section of
- LHC: expected to discover SUSY $\sqrt{}$

...What if Nature has made a different choice?

The Big Picture

<u>well–motivated</u> particle candidates such that $\Omega \sim 0.1$



- WIMP (neutralino, weakly int'ing states, ...): discoverable now
- EWIMP/superWIMP (axino, gravitino, super-weakly int'ing states, ...): hopeless in direct detection, but hints possible at LHC

both \widetilde{a} and \widetilde{G} : viable DM candidates (cold, warm)

both \widetilde{a} and \widetilde{G} : viable DM candidates (cold, warm)

$LSP\setminusNLSP$	neutralino χ	stau $\widetilde{ au}_1$
\widetilde{a}	\checkmark	\checkmark
\widetilde{G}	Χ*	\checkmark

*: unless $m_{\widetilde{G}} \lesssim 1 \, {
m GeV}$

both \widetilde{a} and \widetilde{G} : viable DM candidates (cold, warm)

$LSP\setminusNLSP$	neutralino χ	stau $\widetilde{ au}_1$
\widetilde{a}	\checkmark	\checkmark
\widetilde{G}	Χ*	\checkmark

*: unless $m_{\widetilde{G}} \lesssim 1 \, {
m GeV}$

● LHC: seemingly stable charged state ($\tilde{\tau}_1$): ⇒ hint for EWIMP DM, either \tilde{a} or \tilde{G}

both \widetilde{a} and \widetilde{G} : viable DM candidates (cold, warm)

$LSP\setminusNLSP$	neutralino χ	stau $\widetilde{ au}_1$
\widetilde{a}	\checkmark	\checkmark
\widetilde{G}	Χ*	\checkmark

*: unless $m_{\widetilde{G}} \lesssim 1 \, {
m GeV}$

- LHC: seemingly stable charged state $(\tilde{\tau}_1)$: \Rightarrow hint for EWIMP DM, either \tilde{a} or \tilde{G}
- LHC: seemingly stable neutral state (χ) but no signal in DD/ID DM searches (also $\Omega_{\chi}h^2 \neq 0.1$): \Rightarrow hint for only \tilde{a} DM

both \widetilde{a} and \widetilde{G} : viable DM candidates (cold, warm)

$LSP \setminus NLSP$	neutralino χ	stau $\widetilde{ au}_1$
\widetilde{a}	\checkmark	\checkmark
\widetilde{G}	Χ*	\checkmark

*: unless $m_{\widetilde{G}} \lesssim 1 \, {
m GeV}$

- LHC: seemingly stable charged state $(\tilde{\tau}_1)$: \Rightarrow hint for EWIMP DM, either \tilde{a} or \tilde{G}
- LHC: seemingly stable neutral state (χ) but no signal in DD/ID DM searches (also $\Omega_{\chi}h^2 \neq 0.1$): ⇒ hint for only \tilde{a} DM
- \Rightarrow LHC: strong indications for EWIMP DM possible



- dark matter: possible choices, few well motivated
- neutralino of unified SUSY models: by far most attractive and well-motivated candidate for dark matter



- dark matter: possible choices, few well motivated
- neutralino of unified SUSY models: by far most attractive and well-motivated candidate for dark matter
- very good prospects for discovery in DM direct searches & (at low mass, $m_\chi \lesssim 400 \, {
 m GeV}$) LHC



- dark matter: possible choices, few well motivated
- neutralino of unified SUSY models: by far most attractive and well-motivated candidate for dark matter
- very good prospects for discovery in DM direct searches & (at low) mass, $m_\chi \lesssim 400 \, {
 m GeV}$) LHC
- direct detection: $\sigma_n^{SI} \simeq 10^{-9\pm 1}\,{
 m pb}$



- dark matter: possible choices, few well motivated
- neutralino of unified SUSY models: by far most attractive and well-motivated candidate for dark matter
- very good prospects for discovery in DM direct searches & (at low mass, $m_\chi \lesssim 400 \, {
 m GeV}$) LHC
- ${}_{igstaclescolorized}$ direct detection: $\sigma_p^{SI}\simeq 10^{-9\pm1}\,{
 m pb}$

indirect detection: prospects strongly dependent on halo models and astro bgnd
generally somewhat less promising



- dark matter: possible choices, few well motivated
- neutralino of unified SUSY models: by far most attractive and well-motivated candidate for dark matter
- very good prospects for discovery in DM direct searches & (at low mass, $m_\chi \lesssim 400 \, {
 m GeV}$) LHC
- ${}_{igstaclescolorized}$ direct detection: $\sigma_p^{SI}\simeq 10^{-9\pm1}\,{
 m pb}$

- Indirect detection: prospects strongly dependent on halo models and astro bgnd generally somewhat less promising
- Fermi/GLAST should see diffuse γ radiation from Galactic center

...if DM halo cuspy enough



- dark matter: possible choices, few well motivated
- neutralino of unified SUSY models: by far most attractive and well-motivated candidate for dark matter
- very good prospects for discovery in DM direct searches & (at low mass, $m_\chi \lesssim 400 \, {
 m GeV}$) LHC
- ${}_{igstaclescolorized}$ direct detection: $\sigma_p^{SI}\simeq 10^{-9\pm1}\,{
 m pb}$

- Indirect detection: prospects strongly dependent on halo models and astro bgnd generally somewhat less promising
- Fermi/GLAST should see diffuse γ radiation from Galactic center

...if DM halo cuspy enough

PAMELA e^+ result inconsistent with neutralino DM in unified SUSY

...astrophysical explanation (pulsars) appears sufficient?



- dark matter: possible choices, few well motivated
- neutralino of unified SUSY models: by far most attractive and well-motivated candidate for dark matter
- very good prospects for discovery in DM direct searches & (at low mass, $m_\chi \lesssim 400 \, {
 m GeV}$) LHC
- ${}_{igstaclescolorized}$ direct detection: $\sigma_p^{SI}\simeq 10^{-9\pm1}\,{
 m pb}$

- Indirect detection: prospects strongly dependent on halo models and astro bgnd generally somewhat less promising
- Fermi/GLAST should see diffuse γ radiation from Galactic center

...if DM halo cuspy enough

PAMELA e^+ result inconsistent with neutralino DM in unified SUSY

...astrophysical explanation (pulsars) appears sufficient?

EWIMPs as DM relics ($\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{G}, ...$): not directly testable but persuasive hints possible at LHC
L. Roszkowski, String Pheno-09, Warsaw – p.2