

CERN's Industrial Policy

Poland April 2008

Thierry Lagrange





CERN in Numbers

3

2502 staff* 776 Fellows and Associates* 8855 users* Budget (2008): **937** MCHF (605M EUR)



Member States: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

Observers:

Thierly Lagrange

India, Israel, Japan, the Russian Federation, the United States of America, Turkey, the European Commission and Unesco

POLISH NATIONALS AT CERN (31.12.2007)

	Employees of a sub- contractor	Fellows	Paid Associates	Project Associates	Staff Members	Students	Summer Students	Unpaid Associates	Users	Total
20-24						4	4	1	13	22
25-29	1	14		10	11	9		2	33	80
30-34	3	6		10	10			1	36	66
35-39	1	1		3	9			2	13	29
40-44	3			1	1			1	11	17
45-49	3			2	4			4	14	27
50-54	4	1	1	6				3	17	31
55-59				8	3			2	18	31
60-65			1	4				2	19	26
>65	111						X		10	10
Total	15	21	2	44	38	13	4	18	184	339

Polish staff by professional category (31.12.2007)

Professional Class	Total
2	34
3	1
5A	2
5B	1
Grand Total	38

Professional code description	Total
Engineering work	1
Mechanics	1
Electricity	1
Electronics	3
Computing Engineers	23
Applied physics	3
Computing physicists	2
Computing technician	1
General professional administr.	1
Personnel management	1
General administrative work	
Grand Total	38



An Aerial View of CERN



Accelerators are highly complex systems, requiring equipment and technology designed and made to the highest specifications.

To build an accelerator as the LHC requires a broad range of generic research and development projects with industry and new advances in such high-tech and fastmoving fields as computing, electronics, superconducting magnets,....

Prototype equipment on an industrial scale.



Computing/IT Vacuum & cryogencis Electronics Electricity Magnets Mechanics **Material Science** Radiofrequency **Control Systems**

8

<image>

Technology at CERN

WHAT DO WE BUY

Thierry Lagrange

WHAT DO WE BUY ? (2007) SUPPLIES FOR CHF 264'137'379.-• CIVIL ENGINEERING : CHF 32'525'081.-• ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING : CHF 59'445'951.-• ELECTRONICS : CHF 27'493'134.-• COMPUTER SYSTEMS : CHF 31'474'649.-• MECHANICAL SUPPLIES : CHF 39'878'702.-

• VACUUM AND LOW-TEMPERATURE TECHNOLOGY : CHF 32'634'822.-

• PARTICLE DETECTORS : CHF 1'712'047.-

• MISCELLANEOUS (PHOTO EQUIPMENT, GASES, VEHICLES, PETROL, TOOLS, FURNITURE, OFFICE SUPPLIES, PUBLICATIONS) : CHF 36'135'572.-

• DESIGN STUDIES - MISCELLANEOUS SUPPLIES CHF 2'837'421.-





CERN's purchasing policy for project's

CERN's policy for large project consists in acting as a general contractor. CERN does the conceptual design of the accelerators and it's main components (plus part of the hardware for the detectors) and subcontracts to industry. This mitigates the risks (delays, extra costs, failure to perform the contract) and reduces cost. It also allows to satisfy the need to distribute contracts in the MS.

CERN's policy for project procurement

CERN's projects requirements are divided in 2 categories:

- 1) Standard industrial products
- 2) New high-tech products requiring a conceptual design phase. The manufacturing methodology has to be developed.
- Each category has a different strategy. The risk as well as the expected benefits and spin-offs are entirely different.

The responsibility lies with the supplier. (power converters, transformers, cryoplants)

A performance specification using international quality standards is the basis for tendering.

EX: Large Helium cryogenic plants for LHC

EX: Large Helium cryogenic plants for LHC

Cryoplant 18 kW equivalent @ 4.5 K

- A compressor station.(90 t, 300 m²).
- A cold box with expansion turbines. (**100 m3**, **60 t**)
- Cryogenic infrastructure (storage vessel and tanks).

A lot of utilities:

- Electrical power supply: **5 MW** per plant
- Cooling Water : 450 m3/h per plant
- Compressed air: 100 Nm3/h per plant
- Building ventilation (300 kW)
- Cranes for installation and maintenance (5 to 20 t)



Thierry Lagrange

2) New high-tech products for HEP

These products are strategic and exclusively designed for CERN needs.

To reduce price and risk, CERN buys most of the components. This gives better control over the production and allows to spread the procurement over all the Member States as more companies can be involved.

Ex:superconducting magnet



Procuring the components of an accelerator: example of purchasing policy for large projects



- Magnets
- Cryogenics
- Beam dump
- Radio-frequency
- Vacuum
- Power converters
- Beam instrumentation
- Civil Engineering
- Cooling & ventilation
- Power distribution
- Infrastructure & services
- Installation & coordination



Rules

Purchasing rules have been written to guarantee to the Member states a fair and transparent process and to distribute the contracts over the Member States while keeping competition.

- Cheapest compliant bidder for supply contracts.

- Limited to Member States.

- Fair return (selective tendering, realignement, splitting, subcontracting).

Lowest compliant bidder

Lowest compliant bidder vs. best value for money or best technical offer/fixed budget.

Best price at first shot. No price negotiations.

Mechanism of fair return

THE RETURN COEFFICIENT OF MEMBER STATES IS THE RATIO BETWEEN THAT MEMBER STATE'S SHARE OF THE VALUE OF CONTRACTS AND THAT MEMBER STATE'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE CERN BUDGET OVER 4 YEARS



NMS= Non Member States

BALANCED MEMBER STATES

FOR 2008, A MEMBER STATE IS CONSIDERED POORLY BALANCED IF ITS INDUSTRIAL RETURN COEFFICIENT IS:

< 0.93 FOR SUPPLY CONTRACTS < 0.40 FOR INDUSTRIAL SERVICE CONTRACTS

OTHERWISE, IT IS CONSIDERED TO BE WELL BALANCED

Thierry Lagrange

	Supplies	š	Industrial Services			
	Total (CHF)	Return Coefficient Target: 0.93	Total (CHF)	Return Coefficient Target: 0.4		
Austria	16 635 566	0.39	4 343 025	0.32		
Belgium	52 064 221	1.02	14 706 837	0.88		
Bulgaria	1 379 532	0.36	331 913	0.27		
Switzerland	163 886 259	2.70	107 827 484	5.46		
Czech Republic	7 806 158	0.50	-	-		
Germany	374 348 383	0.95	60 406 608	0.47		
Denmark	30 126 570	0.90	11 409 640	1.05		
Spain	78 623 695	0.52	6 276 992	0.13		
Finland	45 555 718	1.78	127 471	0.02		
France	498 950 868	1.65	258 603 921	2.63		
United Kingdom	100 736 698	0.30	6 282 129	0.06		
Greece	1 857 371	0.07	1 985 412	0.22		
Hungary	5 387 620	0.34	135 984	0.03		
Italy	328 109 444	1.37	28 268 490	0.36		
Netherlands	44 037 862	0.52	82 756 020	3.01		
Norway	1 456 792	0.04	1 511 082	0.12		
Poland	13 792 307	0.36	11 112 929	0.89		
Portugal	13 853 692	0.63	28 033 408	3.89		
Sweden	17 309 054	0.35	2 090 387	0.13		
Slovak Republic	15 621 447	2.53		-		



Selective tendering

http://fi-purchasing.web.cern.ch/fi-purchasing/advanceinfopublic.htm

CERN/FC/4884 Original: English 17 November 2004

ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE POUR LA RECHERCHE NUCLEAIRE CERN EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

FINANCE COMMITTEE

Three-hundred-and-fourth Meeting Geneva – 15 December 2004

Advance information on forthcoming market surveys and calls for tenders expected to exceed 200 000 Swiss francs

This document lists all calls for tenders expected to exceed 200 000 Swiss francs or, when applicable, the preceding market surveys which are scheduled to be issued during the period from **December 2004 to the end of April 2005.**

The numbers in the Requirement field correspond to the Activity Codes used by CERN which facilitate the drawing up of lists of potential suppliers.

In the line entitled Cost Range, a very rough indication of the cost range of the product is given in the form of letters **A**, **B**, **C** or **D**. **A** represents items estimated at less than 750 kCHF, **B** represents items between 750 kCHF and 5 MCHF, **C** represents items between 5 MCHF and 10 MCHF and **D** represents items above 10 MCHF.

Table IV gives information concerning previously announced market surveys and invitations to tender which no longer appear in Table I to III of this document.

Table V dists technology transfer possibilities.

Thierry Lagrange

MARKET SURVEY

PURPOSE

- Encourage early exchange of information
- Allows Delegates, ILO's, etc., to propose potential bidders
- Allows CERN to draw up a final specification
- Fair return policy: subcontracting (or co-contracting) in several countries with less return.

• Allows CERN to draw up a list of qualified bidders

=> Selection of companies is based on qualifications of firms, return coefficient and contributions.

BUDGET 2007 20 MEMBER STATES' CONTRIBUTIONS

Amounts in Swiss francs

-	Germany	19.73%	202′452′100		Norway	2.37%	
	United Kingdom	17.67%	181′370′000	_	Poland	2.21%	22′687′350
	France*	14.88%	152′718′800	-	Denmark	1.76%	18'100'250
	Italy	12.05%	123'635'450	響	Greece	1.54%	15′854′400
	Spain	8.16%	83'790'450	+	Finland	1.40%	14'416'100
	Netherlands	4.46%	45′739′400		Portugal	1.19%	12′243′100
	Switzerland	3.07%	31'462'150		Hungary	0.76%	7′839′950
	Belgium	2.66%	27'260'750		Czech Republi	ic 0.88%	9′075′800
	Sweden	2.48%	25'451'950		Slovak Repub	lic 0.34%	3'491'100
	Austria	2.17%	22′250′950		Bulgaria	0.21%	2′147′800

1'026'287'800

* Additional contribution from France 9'000'000 CHF

Total CHF

100%

THE ALIGNMENT RULE

2 possiblities:

1. Lowest bidder is from PB Member State : CONTRACT

2. Lowest bidder is from WB Member State

If first bidder from PB Member States is < 20% and aligns with cheapest offer: CONTRACT

COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

SUPPLY CONTRACTS

The country in which goods are manufactured or where the last major transformation took place.

INDUSTRIAL SERVICE CONTRACTS

The country in which the bidder is located.

Thierry Lagrange

COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

If at least 50% (40% for industrial services) of the total amount of the tender comes from a poorly balanced MS, the whole offer will be treated as that from a bidder in a poorly balanced Member State.

CMS DETECTOR LAYOUT





PROCUREMENT FOR EXPERIMENTS

• No CERN money, but still.....

• CERN contract partner

CERN places the contract on behalf of the collaboration according to CERN rules

EXCEPT : not limited to CERN MS.

no realignement procedure

Benefits deriving from CERN's contracts

- Research and development benefits
- Improved technical skills
- Improved marketing position (reference)
- Common development of new products, shared
 IP
- International benchmarking
- Technology transfer



CERN's future projects After the LHC







Thierry Lagrange

37

CERN's procurement during operation phase

More 'Standard products' products.

Requirements often below 200kCHF.

Thierry Lagrange

• Example of contracts for the LHC with Poland

ZEC: pipelines for cooling plants. CERN and the experiments are very satisfied with the quality of thework done.This is explained by the very qualified workforce.



Cooling plants

CERN defined the technical requirements and made the conceptual design Zec final design, calculations and manufacturing. When problems: collaboration with CERN to find solutions



DESCRIPTION CMS UNDERGROUND COOLING SYSTEM COOLING PLANTS FOR CNGS STEEL PIPING IN THE TI2 & TI8 TRANSPORT AND HANDLING **SUPPLY OF 19 INCH ELECTRONICS** IT2907/LHC/LHC INTERFACE BOXES FOR LHC VAC VESSELS FOR ISOLDE TARGETS WATER COOLING SYSTEM ON THE CMS YOKE HF TRUCKS FOR THE ATLAS EXPERIMENT **DISK SERVERS FOR PHYSICS DATA** CMS YOKE RACKS COOLING CIRCUIT HV POWER SUPPLY UNITS FOR THE ATLAS SCT CMS YOKE GAS PIPING **INSTRUMENTATION RACKS - IT-3242/AT/LHC** PIPING OF YE1

SUPPLIER	AMOUNT
ZEC SERVICE SP. Z O.O.	3,877,940
ZEC SERVICE SP.Z O.O.	3,085,668
ZEC SERVICE SP. Z O.O.	2,277,279
ALLIED PICKFORDS POL	1,472,230
MICROOMEGA SP. Z.O.C	863,489
THE MINING ELECTRON	808,621
INSTYTUT PROBLEMOW	741,916
ZEC SERVICE SP. Z O.O.	731,380
BUDIMEX DROMEX S.A.	608,351
JTT COMPUTER SA	492,866
ZEC SERVICE SP. Z O.O.	425,280
FIDELTRONIK ZBIGNIEW	421,686
ZEC SERVICE SP. Z O.O.	413,091
THE MINING ELECTRON	388,058
ZEC SERVICE SP. Z O.O.	247,300

What to do

Mapping of CERN future requirements and match with Polish companies

Involvement at early stage in development work of new projects.

Networking at CERN.

		N	UMBERS	OF RESPONSE	S ON IT/	PE FOR POLISH	I FIRMS			
Year	PL	DECLINED	%	INTEREST	%	NOREPLY	%	(blank)	%	TOTAL
ΙΤ		6	11%	21	40%	21	40%	5	9 %	53
2003	PL	/ 1		5		3		2		11
2004	PL	2		9		5				16
2005	PL	2		5		9		3		19
2006	PL	1		1		4				6
2007	PL	2		1		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1				1
PE		37	15%	104	42%	97	39%	10	4%	248
2003	PL	15		36		31		1		83
2004	PL	5		12		15		1		33
2005	PL	12		24		36		4		76
2006	PL	4		25		10		3		42
2007	PL			7	di series	5		1		14
TOTAL		43	14%	125	42%	118	39%	15	5%	301
	NUM	BERS OF RESP	ONSES (ON IT/PE FOR AL	L COUN	ITRIES FIRMS (I	NCLUDE	D POLISH FIRM	15)	
Year	CERN	DECLINED	%	INTEREST	<u>L COUN</u> %	NOREPLY	NCLUDE %	(blank)	<u>15)</u> %	TOTAL
Year <i>IT</i>	CERN	DECLINED	0NSES (% 24%	INTEREST	L COUN % 49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY 520	NCLUDE % 19%	D POLISH FIRM (blank) 206	8%	TOTAL 2684
Year <i>IT</i> 2003	CERN	DECLINED 635 141	0NSES (% 24%	INTEREST 1323 307	<u>L COUN</u> % 49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY <i>520</i> 93	NCLUDE % 19%	<u>D POLISH FIRM</u> (blank) <i>206</i> 86	% 8%	TOTAL 2684 627
Year <i>IT</i> 2003 2004	CERN	DECLINED 635 141 138	0NSES (% 24%	INTEREST 1323 307 274	% 49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY <i>520</i> 93 95	NCLUDE % 19%	(blank) (blank) 206 86 23	<u>8%</u>	TOTAL 2684 627 530
Year <i>IT</i> 2003 2004 2005	CERN CERN CERN CERN	BERS OF RESP DECLINED 635 141 138 169	0NSES (% 24%	INTEREST 1323 307 274 342	<u>L COUN</u> % 49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY 520 93 95 115	NCLUDE % 19%	(blank) (blank) <u>206</u> 86 23 57	% 8%	TOTAL 2684 627 530 683
Year <i>IT</i> 2003 2004 2005 2006	CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN	DECLINED 635 141 138 169 83	0NSES (% 24%	INTEREST 1323 307 274 342 226	% 49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY 520 93 95 115 112	% 19%	(blank) (blank) <u>206</u> 86 23 57 18	% 8%	TOTAL 2684 627 530 683 439
Year <i>IT</i> 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007	CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN	BERS OF RESP DECLINED 635 141 138 169 83 104	0NSES (% 24%	INTEREST 1323 307 274 342 226 174	<u>L COUN</u> % 49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY 93 95 115 112 105	% 19%	(blank) (blank) 206 86 23 57 18 22	% 8%	TOTAL 2684 627 530 683 439 405
Year <i>IT</i> 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 <i>PE</i>	CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN	BERS OF RESP DECLINED 635 141 138 169 83 104 2657	0NSES (% 24% 14%	INTEREST 1323 307 274 342 226 174 9648	<u>L COUN</u> % 49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY 520 93 95 115 112 105 5577	NCLUDE % 19% 29%	(blank) (blank) 206 86 23 57 18 22 1633	8% 8%	TOTAL 2684 627 530 683 439 405 19515
Year <i>IT</i> 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 <i>PE</i> 2003	CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN	BERS OF RESP DECLINED 635 141 138 169 83 104 2657 655	0NSES (% 24% 14%	INTEREST 1323 307 274 342 226 174 9648 2389	49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY 520 93 95 115 112 105 5577 1410	NCLUDE % 19% 29%	(blank) (blank) 206 86 23 57 18 22 1633 286	8%	TOTAL 2684 627 530 683 439 405 19515 4740
Year <i>IT</i> 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 <i>PE</i> 2003 2004	CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN	BERS OF RESP DECLINED 635 141 138 169 83 104 2657 655 609	0NSES (% 24% 14%	INTEREST 1323 307 274 342 226 174 9648 2389 2216	49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY 93 95 115 112 105 5577 1410 1335	NCLUDE % 19% 29%	(blank) (blank) 206 86 23 57 18 22 1633 286 466	8%	TOTAL 2684 627 530 683 439 405 19515 4740 4626
Year <i>IT</i> 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 <i>PE</i> 2003 2004 2004 2005	CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN	BERS OF RESP DECLINED 635 141 138 169 83 104 2657 655 609 649	0NSES (% 24% 14%	INTEREST 1323 307 274 342 226 174 9648 2389 2216 2398	49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY 93 95 115 112 105 5577 1410 1335 1463	NCLUDE % 19% 29%	(blank) (blank) 206 86 23 57 18 22 1633 286 466 395	8% 8%	TOTAL 2684 627 530 683 439 405 19515 19515 4740 4626 4905
Year <i>IT</i> 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 <i>PE</i> 2003 2004 2005 2004 2005 2006	CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN	BERS OF RESP DECLINED 635 141 138 169 83 104 2657 655 609 649 448	0NSES (% 24% 14%	INTEREST 1323 307 274 342 226 174 9648 2389 2216 2398 1703	49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY 93 93 95 115 112 105 5577 1410 1335 1463 877	NCLUDE % 19% 29%	(blank) (blank) 206 86 23 57 18 22 1633 286 466 395 281	8%	TOTAL 2684 627 530 683 439 405 19515 4740 4740 4626 4905 3309
Year <i>IT</i> 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 <i>PE</i> 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007	CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN	BERS OF RESP DECLINED 635 141 138 169 83 104 2657 655 609 649 448 296	0NSES (% 24% 14%	INTEREST 1323 307 274 342 226 174 9648 2389 2216 2398 1703 942	49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY 93 95 115 112 105 5577 1410 1335 1463 877 492	NCLUDE % 19% 29%	(blank) (blank) 206 86 23 57 18 22 1633 286 466 395 281 205	8% 8%	TOTAL 2684 627 530 683 439 405 19515 4740 4626 4905 3309 1935
Year <i>IT</i> 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 <i>PE</i> 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 <i>TOTAL</i>	CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN CERN	BERS OF RESP DECLINED 635 141 138 169 83 104 2657 655 609 649 448 296 3292	0NSES (% 24% 14% 15%	INTEREST 1323 307 274 342 226 174 9648 2389 2216 2398 1703 942 10971	49% 49%	ITRIES FIRMS (I NOREPLY 93 95 115 112 105 5577 1410 1335 1463 877 492 6097	NCLUDE % 19% 29% 27%	(blank) (blank) 206 86 23 57 18 22 1633 286 466 395 281 205 1839	8% 8% 8%	TOTAL 2684 627 530 683 439 405 19515 4740 4626 4905 3309 1935 22199

What to do

Communication with the companies keyword.

Visit to CERN (exhibitions, groups of companies)

Distribution in Poland of CERN's procurement requirements

Work of Industrial Liaison Officer crucial.

Future projects & needs

- Long term future; CLIC
- Short & medium term future
 Refurbishment of PS and SPS
 - Linac 4
 - Disc servers & Industrial PC
 Upgrade SUPER LHC
 R&D on injection lines
- Services

Thank You!