Two-component dark matter

- preliminary results -

Bohdan GRZADKOWSKI University of Warsaw

- Multi-component generic dark matter
- Vector-fermion dark matter model
- Summary

◇ A. Ahmed, M. Duch, BG and M. Iglicki, "Multi-Component Dark Matter: dark vector boson and dark Majorana fermion(s)", in progress
 ◇ M. Duch, BG, M. McGarrie, "A stable Higgs portal with vector dark matter", JHEP 1509 (2015) 162

Multi-component generic dark matter

Motivations:

- Naturality
- No satisfactory single-component model

- Two separate dark sectors, χ_i and $\tilde{\chi}_i$, common dark sector $\tilde{\phi}$ and SM ϕ
- Stabilizing symmetry: $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}'_2$

$ A(\mathbb{Z}_2,\mathbb{Z}_2') $	$\chi_0(-,+)$	$\chi_1(-,+)$	$ ilde{\phi}(-,-)$	
$\tilde{A}(\mathbb{Z}_2,\mathbb{Z}_2')$	$\tilde{\chi}_0(+,-)$	$\tilde{\chi}_1(+,-)$		$\varphi(\pm,\pm)$ - Sivi

We limit our-self to a model that contains three odd particles $\chi, \tilde{\chi}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline A(\mathbb{Z}_2,\mathbb{Z}_2') & \chi(-,+) \\ \hline \tilde{A}(\mathbb{Z}_2,\mathbb{Z}_2') & \tilde{\chi}(+,-) \\ \hline \end{array} & \tilde{\phi}(-,-) \\ \hline \end{array} & \phi(+,+) - \mathsf{SM} \\ \end{array}$$



$$\begin{split} \chi\chi(\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\chi},\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi})&\leftrightarrow\phi\phi'\\ \chi\chi&\leftrightarrow\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\chi},\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}\leftrightarrow\chi\chi(\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\chi})\\ \tilde{\phi}\phi&\leftrightarrow\chi\tilde{\chi},\chi\phi\leftrightarrow\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\phi},\tilde{\chi}\phi\leftrightarrow\chi\tilde{\phi},\\ \tilde{\phi}\leftrightarrow\chi\tilde{\chi} \end{split}$$

where ϕ, ϕ' belong to the visible sector.

Annihilation Conversion Semi-annihilation Semi-decay



Figure 1: The Feynman diagrams for annihilation, conversion, semi-annihilation, and decay.

$$\begin{split} \frac{dn_{\chi}}{dt} &= -3Hn_{\chi} - \langle \sigma^{\chi\chi\phi\phi} v_{\mathsf{M}\mathfrak{gl}} \rangle \left(n_{\chi}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\chi}^{2} \right) & \text{annihilation} \\ &- \left[\langle \sigma^{\chi\chi\bar{\chi}\bar{\chi}} v_{\mathsf{M}\mathfrak{gl}} \rangle \left(n_{\chi}^{2} - n_{\chi}^{2} \bar{n}_{\chi}^{2} \right) + \{ \bar{\chi} \to \tilde{\phi} \} \right] & \text{conversion} \\ &- \left[\langle \sigma^{\chi\bar{\psi}\bar{\chi}} \psi_{\mathsf{M}\mathfrak{gl}} \rangle \left(n_{\chi}n_{\bar{\phi}} - \bar{n}_{\chi}\bar{n}_{\chi} \bar{n}_{\chi} \right) + \{ \tilde{\phi} \leftrightarrow \bar{\chi} \} \right] & \text{semi-annihilation} \\ &+ \Gamma_{\bar{\phi} \to \chi\bar{\chi}} \left(n_{\bar{\phi}} - \bar{n}_{\bar{\phi}} \frac{n_{\chi}n_{\chi}}{\bar{n}_{\chi}} \bar{n}_{\chi} \right) , & \text{semi-decay} \\ \\ &\frac{dn_{\chi}}{dt} = \frac{dn_{\chi}}{dt} [\chi \leftrightarrow \bar{\chi}], \\ &\frac{dn_{\phi}}{dt} = -3Hn_{\phi} - \langle \sigma^{\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}\phi\psi}v_{\mathsf{M}\mathfrak{gl}} \rangle \left(n_{\chi}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\chi}^{2} \right) & \text{annihilation} \\ &- \left[\langle \sigma^{\bar{\chi}\bar{\phi}\chi\psi}v_{\mathsf{M}\mathfrak{gl}} \rangle \left(n_{\chi}^{2} - n_{\chi}^{2} \frac{\bar{n}_{\chi}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\chi}^{2}} \right) + \{ \chi \leftrightarrow \bar{\chi} \} \right] & \text{conversion} \\ &- \left[\langle \sigma^{\bar{\chi}\bar{\phi}\chi\psi}v_{\mathsf{M}\mathfrak{gl}} \rangle \left(n_{\chi}^{2} - n_{\chi}^{2} \frac{\bar{n}_{\chi}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\chi}^{2}} \right) + \{ \chi \leftrightarrow \bar{\chi} \} \right] & \text{semi-annihilation} \\ &- \Gamma_{\bar{\phi} \to \chi\bar{\chi}} \left(n_{\phi} - \bar{n}_{\phi} \frac{n_{\chi}}{\bar{n}_{\chi}} \frac{n_{\chi}}{\bar{n}_{\chi}} \right) & \text{semi-annihilation} \\ &- \Gamma_{\bar{\phi} \to \chi\bar{\chi}} \left(n_{\phi} - \bar{n}_{\phi} \frac{n_{\chi}}{\bar{n}_{\chi}} \frac{n_{\chi}}{\bar{n}_{\chi}} \right) & \text{semi-annihilation} \\ &- \Gamma_{\bar{\phi} \to \chi\bar{\chi}} \left(n_{\phi} - \bar{n}_{\phi} \frac{n_{\chi}}{\bar{n}_{\chi}} \frac{n_{\chi}}{\bar{n}_{\chi}} \right) & \text{semi-decay} \\ \end{split}$$



Figure 2: 2- and 3-component dark matter scenarios, we consider m_{χ} to be fixed, the gray region represent parameter space where the all three dark sector particles are stable, whereas the regions I, II and III represent the 2-component scenarios with $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\chi}$ and χ are unstable, respectively.



$$\alpha \equiv \frac{g_{\phi\phi\phi}}{g_{\mathsf{SM}}} = \frac{g_{\phi\chi\chi}}{g_{\mathsf{SM}}} = \frac{g_{\phi\chi\chi}}{g_{\mathsf{SM}}}, \quad \beta \equiv \frac{g_{\phi\phi\phi}}{g_{\mathsf{SM}}}, \quad \xi \equiv \frac{g_{\chi\chi\phi}}{g_{\mathsf{SM}}}.$$

• All the thermally averaged cross sections of the order of the electroweak scale, i.e.

$$\langle \sigma^{abcd} v_{\mathsf{M} \mathsf{ø} \mathsf{I}} \rangle \approx \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi} m^2 f_{abcd}^2(\alpha, \beta, \xi) \sim \sigma_0 f_{abcd}^2(\alpha, \beta, \xi),$$

where $\sigma_0 \equiv \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi}m^2 \sim 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and m is the mass of dark matter candidate which is order electroweak scale $\sim 100 \text{ GeV}$. $f_{abcd}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ is a dimensionless function which parametrizes the couplings of each annihilation diagrams in terms of α, β and ξ .

• We parameterize all the thermally average cross sections $\langle \sigma^{abcd} v_{M \not o l} \rangle$ in terms of $f_{abcd}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$:

$$\begin{split} f_{\chi\chi\phi\phi'} &\sim f_{\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\chi}\phi\phi'} \propto \alpha^2, \\ f_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}\phi\phi'} \propto (\alpha + \beta)\beta, \\ f_{\chi\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\chi}\phi} &\sim f_{\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\phi}\chi\phi} \sim f_{\chi\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\phi}\phi} \propto (\alpha + \beta)\xi, \\ f_{\chi\phi\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\phi}} \sim f_{\tilde{\chi}\phi\chi\tilde{\phi}} \sim f_{\tilde{\phi}\phi\chi\tilde{\chi}} \propto (\alpha + \beta)\xi, \\ f_{\chi\chi\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\chi}} \sim f_{\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\chi}\chi\chi} \propto (\alpha^2 + \xi^2), \\ f_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}\chi\chi} \sim f_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\chi}} \propto (\alpha\beta + \xi^2). \end{split}$$

• Decay width of the $\tilde{\phi}$ is approximately $\Gamma_{\tilde{\phi} \to \chi \tilde{\chi}} \sim \xi^2 \times \mathcal{O}(1)$ GeV when the decay processes are kinematically allowed otherwise it is zero.

•
$$Y_i(x) \equiv \frac{n_i(x)}{s(x)}$$
, where $x \equiv \frac{m_{\tilde{\phi}}}{T}$

• SM is in thermal equilibrium, so $Y_{\phi}(x) \sim \overline{Y}_{\phi}(x)$.

Case-I: $m_{\tilde{\phi}} \gtrsim m_{\tilde{\chi}} + m_{\chi}$

BMP-I: $m_{\tilde{\phi}} = 300 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}} = 150 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{\chi} = 100 \text{ GeV}$



Figure 3: The left, middle and right plots are for the values of parameter $\xi = 0.1, 1$ and 10, respectively. The values of other parameters are kept fixed $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. Hereafter x is defined as $x \equiv m_{\tilde{\phi}}/T$.

• In this 2CDM scenario it is interesting to observe the decoupling of the ϕ from the thermal bath. Note that we consider $\beta \equiv g_{\phi\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}}/g_{\rm SM} = 0$ and hence there is no direct annihilation of the $\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}$ to SM fields. The only way the $\tilde{\phi}$ may remain in equilibrium with the thermal bath is through the semi-annihilation processes $\chi\phi \leftrightarrow \tilde{\phi}\tilde{\chi}$ and $\tilde{\chi}\phi \leftrightarrow \tilde{\phi}\chi$. Therefore when any of the two remaining states χ or $\tilde{\chi}$ decouples from the equilibrium, then the $\tilde{\phi}$ also decouples. Case-II: $m_{\tilde{\chi}} \ge m_{\chi} + m_{\tilde{\phi}}$

BMP-II: $m_{\tilde{\phi}} = 125 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{\chi} = 100 \text{ GeV}$



Figure 4: The left, middle and right plots are for the values of parameter $\xi = 0.1, 1$ and 10, respectively. The values of other parameters are kept fixed $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$.

• Again, since $\beta \equiv g_{\phi\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}}/g_{\text{SM}} = 0$ therefore there is no direct annihilation of the $\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}$ to SM fields. The only way the $\tilde{\phi}$, which is the dominant DM component, may disappear is the semi-annihilation processes $\chi\phi \leftrightarrow \tilde{\phi}\tilde{\chi}$ and $\tilde{\chi}\phi \leftrightarrow \tilde{\phi}\chi$. Therefore the yield for $\tilde{\phi}$ is very sensitive to the presence and interactions of the two remaining states χ or $\tilde{\chi}$.



Figure 5: As in the previous figure, but with $\beta = 0.1$.

BMP-III: $m_{\tilde{\phi}} = 25 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}} = 50 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{\chi} = 100 \text{ GeV}$



Figure 6: The left, middle and right plots are for the values of parameter $\xi = 0.1, 1$ and 10, respectively. The values of other parameters are kept fixed $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$.



Figure 7: As above, but with $\beta = 0.1$.

BMP-IV: $m_{\tilde{\phi}} = 50~{
m GeV}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}} = 75~{
m GeV}$ and $m_{\chi} = 100~{
m GeV}$



Figure 8: The left, middle and right plots are for the values of parameter $\beta = 0.1, 1$ and 10, respectively. The values of other parameters are kept fixed $\alpha = 1$ and $\xi = 1$.

BMP-V: $m_{\tilde{\phi}} = 50~{
m GeV}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}} = 50~{
m GeV}$ and $m_{\chi} = 100~{
m GeV}$



Figure 9: The left, middle and right plots are for the values of parameter $\beta = 0.1, 1$ and 10, respectively. The values of other parameters are kept fixed $\alpha = 1$ and $\xi = 1$.

Vector-fermion two-component dark matter

$$\mathcal{G}_{SM} \equiv SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \quad \mathcal{G}_{DS} \equiv U(1)_X$$
$$S = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0, 2), \quad \chi = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0, 1).$$

SM fields are neutral under the dark-sector gauge group \mathcal{G}_{DS} .

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \mathcal{L}_{DS} + \mathcal{L}_{int},$$

where \mathcal{L}_{SM} is the SM Lagrangian, \mathcal{L}_{DS} is the dark-sector Lagrangian,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{DS} &= -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{X} \mathcal{F}_{X}^{\mu\nu} + \left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}S\right)^{*} \mathcal{D}^{\mu}S + \mu_{S}^{2} |S|^{2} - \lambda_{S} |S|^{4} \\ &+ \bar{\chi} \big(i \not\!\!D - m_{D}\big) \chi - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \big(yS^{*}\chi^{\mathsf{T}}\mathcal{C}\chi + \mathsf{H.c.}\big), \end{split}$$

and \mathcal{L}_{int} is the interaction Lagrangian between the SM and the dark-sector,

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = -\kappa |S|^2 |H|^2.$$

Charge conjugation symmetry C:

$$X_{\mu} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} -X_{\mu}, \quad S \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} S^*, \quad \chi \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} \chi^c \equiv -i\gamma_2 \chi^*,$$

where γ_2 is the gamma matrix. It is instructive to write the scalar potential for our model,

$$V(H,S) = -\mu_H^2 |H|^2 + \lambda_H |H|^4 - \mu_S^2 |S|^2 + \lambda_S |S|^4 + \kappa |H|^2 |S|^2.$$

T. Hambye, JHEP 0901 (2009) 028,
M. Duch, BG, M. McGarrie, JHEP 1509 (2015) 162,
S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 24, (2013) 241301

Tree-level positivity or stability of scalar potential implies the following constraints:

$$\lambda_H > 0, \quad \lambda_S > 0, \quad \kappa > -2\sqrt{\lambda_H \lambda_S}$$

Minimization conditions for the scalar potential:

$$(2\lambda_H v^2 - 2\mu_H^2 + \kappa v_x^2)v = 0, \quad (2\lambda_S v_x^2 - 2\mu_S^2 + \kappa v^2)v_x = 0,$$

where $\langle H^{\intercal} \rangle \equiv (0, v/\sqrt{2})$ and $\langle S \rangle \equiv v_x/\sqrt{2}$ are the vevs of respective fields. We require $\kappa^2 < 4\lambda_H\lambda_S$ and the values of vevs are:

$$v^2 = \frac{4\lambda_S\mu_H^2 - 2\kappa\mu_S^2}{4\lambda_H\lambda_S - \kappa^2}, \quad v_x^2 = \frac{4\lambda_H\mu_S^2 - 2\kappa\mu_H^2}{4\lambda_H\lambda_S - \kappa^2},$$

We expand the Higgs doublet and the singlet around their vevs as follow:

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\pi^+ \\ v+h+i\pi^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v_x + \phi + i\sigma),$$

where $\pi^{0,\pm}$ and σ are the Goldstone modes and they will be gauged away in the unitary gauge to give masses to Z, W^{\pm} and X.

The mass squared matrix for the scalar fluctuations (h, ϕ)

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda_H v^2 & \kappa v v_x \\ \kappa v v_x & 2\lambda_S v_x^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

 \mathcal{M}^2 can be diagonalized by the orthogonal rotational matrix \mathcal{R} , such that,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{diag}}^2 \equiv \mathcal{R}^{-1} \mathcal{M}^2 \mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{h_1}^2 & 0\\ 0 & m_{h_2}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathsf{where} \quad \mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha\\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where (h_1, h_2) are the two Higgs physical states in the mass eigen bases with masses $(m_{h_1}^2, m_{h_2}^2)$, defined in terms of (h, ϕ)

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sin 2\alpha = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(\lambda_{SM} - \lambda_H) 2\mathcal{M}_{12}^2}{\sqrt{(\mathcal{M}_{11}^2 - \mathcal{M}_{22}^2)^2 + 4(\mathcal{M}_{12}^2)^2}}, \quad \cos 2\alpha = \cdots.$$

There are 5 real parameters in the potential: μ_H , μ_S , λ_H , λ_S and κ . Adopting the minimization conditions μ_H , μ_S could be replaced by v and v_x . The SM vev is fixed at v = 246.22 GeV. Using the condition $M_{h_1} = 125.7$ GeV, v_x^2 could be eliminated in terms of v^2 , λ_H , κ , λ_S , $\lambda_{SM} = M_{h_1}^2/(2v^2)$:

$$v_x^2 = v^2 \frac{4\lambda_{SM}(\lambda_H - \lambda_{SM})}{4\lambda_S(\lambda_H - \lambda_{SM}) - \kappa^2}$$

Eventually there are 4 independent parameters:

 $(\lambda_H, \kappa, \lambda_S, g_x),$

where g_x is the $U(1)_X$ coupling constant.

- Bottom part of the plot $(\lambda_H < \lambda_{SM} = M_{h_1}^2/(2v^2) = 0.13)$: the heavier Higgs is the currently observed one.
- Upper part $(\lambda_H > \lambda_{SM})$ the lighter state is the observed one.
- White regions in the upper and lower parts are disallowed by the positivity conditions for v_x^2 and $M_{h_2}^2$, respectively.





Contour plots for the vacuum expectation value of the extra scalar $v_x \equiv \sqrt{2} \langle S \rangle$.

Vacuum stability

$$V = -\mu_H^2 |H|^2 + \lambda_H |H|^4 - \mu_S^2 |S|^2 + \lambda_S |S|^4 + \kappa |S|^2 |H|^2$$

2-loop running of parameters adopted

$$\lambda_H(Q) > 0, \quad \lambda_S(Q) > 0, \quad \kappa(Q) + 2\sqrt{\lambda_H(Q)\lambda_S(Q)} > 0$$



The mass of the Higgs boson is known experimentally therefore within the SM the initial condition for running of $\lambda_H(Q)$ is fixed

$$\lambda_H(m_t) = M_{h_1}^2 / (2v^2) = \lambda_{SM} = 0.13$$

For VDM this is not necessarily the case:

$$M_{h_1}^2 = \lambda_H v^2 + \lambda_S v_x^2 \pm \sqrt{\lambda_S^2 v_x^4 - 2\lambda_H \lambda_S v^2 v_x^2 + \lambda_H^2 v^4 + \kappa^2 v^2 v_x^4}$$

VDM:

- Larger initial values of λ_H such that $\lambda_H(m_t) > \lambda_{SM}$ are allowed delaying the instability (by shifting up the scale at which $\lambda_H(Q) < 0$).
- Even if the initial λ_H is smaller than its SM value, $\lambda_H(m_t) < \lambda_{SM}$, still there is a chance to lift the instability scale if appropriate initial value of the portal coupling $\kappa(m_t)$ is chosen.

$$\beta_{\lambda_H}^{(1)} = \beta_{\lambda_H}^{SM\ (1)} + \kappa^2$$

After the SSB the dark fermionic sector Lagrangian can be rewritten as,

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \frac{i}{2} \left(\bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \chi + \bar{\chi}^c \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \chi^c \right) - \frac{m_D}{2} \left(\bar{\chi} \chi + \bar{\chi}^c \chi^c \right) - \frac{y v_x}{2} \left(\bar{\chi}^c \chi + \bar{\chi} \chi^c \right) - \frac{g_X}{2} \left(\bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \chi - \bar{\chi}^c \gamma^{\mu} \chi^c \right) X_{\mu} - \frac{y}{2} \left(\bar{\chi}^c \chi + \bar{\chi} \chi^c \right) \phi.$$

Mass eigenstates

$$\psi_{\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\chi + \chi^c), \qquad \psi_{\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}} (\chi - \chi^c),$$

with $m_{\pm} = m_D \pm y v_x$.

In the new bases we can rewrite the above dark fermionic Lagrangian as,

$$\mathcal{L}_{F} = \frac{i}{2} \left(\bar{\psi}_{+} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{+} + \bar{\psi}_{-} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{-} \right) - \frac{1}{2} m_{+} \bar{\psi}_{+} \psi_{+} - \frac{1}{2} m_{-} \bar{\psi}_{-} \psi_{-}$$
$$- \frac{i}{2} g_{X} \left(\bar{\psi}_{+} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{-} + \bar{\psi}_{-} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{+} \right) X_{\mu} - \frac{y}{2} \left(\bar{\psi}_{+} \psi_{+} + \bar{\psi}_{-} \psi_{-} \right) \phi.$$

The dark fermionic mass eigenstates ψ_{\pm} are Majorana fermions and the mass difference between the two Majorana states (ψ_{\pm}) is defined as,

$$\Delta m_{\psi} \equiv m_{+} - m_{-} = 2yv_{x}$$

Note that the above Lagrangian has a discrete symmetry $Z_2 \times Z'_2$, under which the SM fields are even whereas the dark sector fields transform as follows

Symmetry	X_{μ}	ψ_+	ψ_{-}	ϕ
Z_2	_	+	_	+
Z'_2			+	+

Table 1: Discrete symmetries: $Z_2 \times Z'_2$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline A(\mathbb{Z}_2,\mathbb{Z}_2') & \chi(-,+) \\ \hline \tilde{A}(\mathbb{Z}_2,\mathbb{Z}_2') & \tilde{\chi}(+,-) \\ \hline \end{array} & \tilde{\phi}(-,-) \\ \hline \phi(+,+) - \mathsf{SM} \end{array}$$

$$X \sim \left(\begin{array}{c} \psi_{+} \\ -\frac{i}{2}g_{X} (\bar{\psi}_{+}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{-} + \bar{\psi}_{-}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{+}) X_{\mu} \\ \psi_{-} \end{array} \right)$$



Figure 10: The vector dark matter X_{μ} and Majorana fermion dark matter ψ_{\pm} annihilation diagrams. Above V and $(\bar{f})f$ denote the SM vector bosons (W^{\pm} and Z) and the SM (anti)fermions (quarks and leptons).



Figure 11: Semi-annihilation diagrams for the dark particles.



Figure 12: Dark matter conversion processes.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dn_X}{dt} &= -3Hn_X - \langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{gl}}}^{XX\phi\phi'} \rangle \left(n_X^2 - \bar{n}_X^2 \right) - \langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{gl}}}^{X\psi_+\psi_-h_i} \rangle \left(n_X n_{\psi_+} - \bar{n}_X \bar{n}_{\psi_+} \frac{n_{\psi_-}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_-}} \right) \\ &- \langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{gl}}}^{X\psi_-\psi_+h_i} \rangle \left(n_X n_{\psi_-} - \bar{n}_X \bar{n}_{\psi_-} \frac{n_{\psi_+}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_+}} \right) - \langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{gl}}}^{Xh_i\psi_+\psi_-} \rangle \bar{n}_{h_i} \left(n_X - \bar{n}_X \frac{n_{\psi_+} n_{\psi_-}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_+} \bar{n}_{\psi_-}} \right) \\ &- \langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{gl}}}^{XX\psi_+\psi_+} \rangle \left(n_X^2 - \bar{n}_X^2 \frac{n_{\psi_+}^2}{\bar{n}_{\psi_+}^2} \right) - \langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{gl}}}^{XX\psi_-\psi_-} \rangle \left(n_X^2 - \bar{n}_X^2 \frac{n_{\psi_-}^2}{\bar{n}_{\psi_-}^2} \right) \\ &+ \Gamma_{\psi_+ \to X\psi_-} \left(n_{\psi_+} - \bar{n}_{\psi_+} \frac{n_X n_{\psi_-}}{\bar{n}_X \bar{n}_{\psi_-}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dn_{\psi_{-}}}{dt} = -3Hn_{\psi_{-}} - \langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M} \not \mathsf{sl}}}^{\psi_{-}\psi_{-}\phi\phi'} \rangle \left(n_{\psi_{-}}^2 - \bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}^2 \right) - \langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M} \not \mathsf{sl}}}^{\psi_{-}\psi_{+}Xh_{i}} \rangle \left(n_{\psi_{-}}n_{\psi_{+}} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}\bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}\frac{n_{X}}{\bar{n}_{X}} \right)$$

$$-\left\langle\sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{I}}}^{X\psi_{-}\psi_{+}h_{i}}\right\rangle\left(n_{X}n_{\psi_{-}}-\bar{n}_{X}\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}\frac{n_{\psi_{+}}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}}\right)-\left\langle\sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{I}}}^{\psi_{-}h_{i}}X\psi_{+}\right\rangle\bar{n}_{h_{i}}\left(n_{\psi_{-}}-\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}\frac{n_{\psi_{+}}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}}\frac{n_{X}}{\bar{n}_{X}}\right)$$

$$-\left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{\psi_{-}\psi_{-}XX}\right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{-}}^{2}-\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}^{2}\frac{n_{X}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{X}^{2}}\right)-\left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{\psi_{-}\psi_{-}\psi_{+}\psi_{+}}\right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{-}}^{2}-\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}^{2}\frac{n_{\psi_{+}}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2}}\right)$$

$$+\Gamma_{\psi_+\to X\psi_-}\left(n_{\psi_+}-\bar{n}_{\psi_+}\frac{n_{\psi_-}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_-}}\frac{n_X}{\bar{n}_X}\right),\,$$

$$\frac{dn_{\psi_+}}{dt} = -3Hn_{\psi_+} - \langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M} \not \mathsf{sl}}}^{\psi_+ \psi_+ \phi \phi'} \rangle \left(n_{\psi_+}^2 - \bar{n}_{\psi_+}^2 \right) - \langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M} \not \mathsf{sl}}}^{\psi_+ \psi_- X h_i} \rangle \left(n_{\psi_+} n_{\psi_-} - \bar{n}_{\psi_+} \bar{n}_{\psi_-} \frac{n_X}{\bar{n}_X} \right)$$

$$-\left\langle\sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{X\psi_{+}\psi_{-}h_{i}}\right\rangle\left(n_{X}n_{\psi_{+}}-\bar{n}_{X}\bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}\frac{n_{\psi_{-}}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}}\right)-\left\langle\sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{\psi_{+}h_{i}X\psi_{-}}\right\rangle\bar{n}_{h_{i}}\left(n_{\psi_{+}}-\bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}\frac{n_{\psi_{-}}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}}\frac{n_{X}}{\bar{n}_{X}}\right)$$

$$-\left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{\psi_{+}\psi_{+}XX} \right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{+}}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2} \frac{n_{X}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{X}^{2}} \right) - \left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{\psi_{+}\psi_{+}\psi_{-}\psi_{-}} \right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{+}}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2} \frac{n_{\psi_{-}}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}^{2}} \right) - \left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{\psi_{+}\psi_{+}\psi_{-}\psi_{-}} \right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{+}}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2} \frac{n_{\psi_{-}}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}^{2}} \right) - \left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{\psi_{+}\psi_{+}\psi_{-}\psi_{-}} \right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{+}}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2} \frac{n_{\psi_{-}}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}^{2}} \right) - \left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{\psi_{+}\psi_{+}\psi_{-}\psi_{-}} \right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{+}}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2} \frac{n_{\psi_{-}}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}^{2}} \right) - \left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{\psi_{+}\psi_{+}\psi_{-}\psi_{-}} \right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{+}}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2} \frac{n_{\psi_{-}}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}^{2}} \right) - \left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{\psi_{+}\psi_{+}\psi_{-}\psi_{-}} \right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{+}}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2} \frac{n_{\psi_{-}}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}^{2}} \right) - \left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{ø}\mathsf{l}}}^{\psi_{+}\psi_{+}\psi_{-}\psi_{-}} \right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{+}}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2} \frac{n_{\psi_{+}}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}^{2}} \right) - \left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{w}}}^{\psi_{+}\psi_{+}\psi_{-}\psi_{-}} \right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{+}}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2} \frac{n_{\psi_{+}}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{-}}^{2}} \right) - \left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{w}}}^{\psi_{+}\psi_{+}\psi_{-}\psi_{-}} \right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{+}}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2} \frac{n_{\psi_{+}}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2}} \right) - \left\langle \sigma_{v_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{w}}}^{\psi_{+}\psi_{+}\psi_{-}\psi_{-}} \right\rangle \left(n_{\psi_{+}}^{2} - \bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2} \frac{n_{\psi_{+}}^{2}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_{+}}^{2}} \right) \right)$$

,

$$-\Gamma_{\psi_+\to X\psi_-} \left(n_{\psi_+} - \bar{n}_{\psi_+} \frac{n_{\psi_-}}{\bar{n}_{\psi_-}} \frac{n_X}{\bar{n}_X} \right)$$

• potential: 5 $(\mu_H, \mu_S, \lambda_H, \lambda_S, \kappa)$, vector DM: 1 (g_x) , fermionic DM: 2 (m_D, y) ,

•
$$v = 246 \text{ GeV}$$
 and $M_{h_1} = 125 \text{ GeV}$,

• we adopt: $\kappa, \sin \alpha, m_X, g_x, m_{\pm}$, then $M_{h_2}, \mu_H, \mu_S, \lambda_H, \lambda_S$ and m_D , y are calculable.

$$m_X = g_x v_x \quad m_\pm = m_D \pm y v_x$$

Strategies:

- A: $y \ll 1 \ (m_+ \simeq m_-) \implies \text{slow } \psi_{\pm}\psi_{\pm}$ annihilation (so ψ_- dominate the DM abundance) $\implies Y_{\psi_{\pm}}$ controlled by semi-annihilation which is sensitive to g_x and to the whole dark sector. To have semi-annihilation controlled exclusively by g_x one should assume $m_+ + m_- > m_X + M_{h_2}$ and small mixing $\sin \alpha \sim 0.1$. Strong dependence on g_x is expected. It would be a three-component DM.
- **B:** $y \gg 1$ and $\sin \alpha \sim 0.1$ with $m_X < M_{h_2} \implies$ fast $\psi_{\pm}\psi_{\pm}$ annihilation and X may dominate the DM abundance $\implies n_X$ controlled by semi-annihilation which is sensitive to g_x and to the whole dark sector. In addition $m_+ + m_- < m_X + M_{h_2}$ to allow for disappearance of X in the semi-annihilation.



• A 3CDM case, X_{μ} , ψ_+ and ψ_- are stable.

• Comparison of the 3CDM with micrOMEGAs 4.3 with the dominant component is $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$ but with the subdominant component it could be up to few times.



• A 2CDM case: X_{μ} and ψ_{-} are stable.

- Comparison with micrOMEGAs 4.3 is $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$ or less.
- Note that for left (right) plot the coupling g_X is for 0.2(1) determines interesting aspects of dynamics of dark matter evolution.
 (in the generic setup g_X corresponds to ξ ∝ g_{χχ̃φ̃})



• A 2CDM case: X_{μ} and ψ_{-} are stable.

- Comparison with micrOMEGAs 4.3 is $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$ or less.
- Note that for left (right) plot the coupling g_X is for 0.1(1) determines interesting aspects of dynamics of dark matter density evolution (in the generic setup g_X corresponds to $\xi \propto g_{\chi \tilde{\chi} \tilde{\phi}}$)



- Two-sector (2-3 component) dark matter generic scenario based on the stabilizing $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}'_2$ symmetry was considered.
- Sensitivity of the leading component to the presence of the other dark elements was determined and discussed.
- The vector-fermion model based on extra U(1) symmetry was introduced and the set of three Boltzmann equations for the system was discussed. Its numerical solutions were presented. Cross-sections were generated by CalcHEP while the Boltzmann equations were solved adopting a dedicated code. For 2-component dark matter an agreement with micrOMEGAs 4.3 at the $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$ level was confirmed.
- The project is still in progress.