Some properties of the generic 2HDM in the alignment limit

Bohdan GRZADKOWSKI University of Warsaw

- Brief introduction into the 2HDM
- Implications of the LHC Higgs signal:
 - The H_1VV coupling as in the SM the alignment limit
 - CP-violation in the alignment limit requires the most general 2HDM
 - "Heavy" Higgs bosons (H_2, H_3, H^{\pm}) in the alignment limit
- Spontaneous CP violation
- Summary

- B.G., O. M. Ogreid and P. Osland," Heavy Higgs bosons in the alignment limit of the 2HDM", in progress
- B.G., O. M. Ogreid and P. Osland, "Spontaneous CP violation in the 2HDM: physical conditions and the alignment limit", Phys.Rev. D94 (2016) no.11, 115002
- B.G., O. M. Ogreid and P. Osland, "CP-Violation in the ZZZ and ZW^+W^- vertices at $e^+e^$ colliders in Two-Higgs-Doublet Models", JHEP 1605 (2016) 025,
- B.G., O. M. Ogreid and P. Osland, "Measuring CP violation in Two-Higgs-Doublet models in light of the LHC Higgs data", JHEP 1411 (2014) 084,
- B.G., O. M. Ogreid and P. Osland, "Diagnosing CP properties of the 2HDM", JHEP **1401** (2014) 105,

Brief introduction into the 2HDM

Motivations for 2HDM:

- Baryon asymmetry and the Sakharov conditions for baryogenesis
 - Baryon number non-conservation,
 - C- and CP-violation,
 - Thermal inequilibrium,

Extra sources of CP-violation are required!

- Possibility of large (tree-level generated) FCNC, e.g. $t \to cH$ decays, interesting non-standard flavour physics
- 2HDM provide a framework for light new physics (light "heavy" Higgs bosons) that is easily tolerated by the Higgs boson discovery. see e.g.
 - J. Bernon, J. Gunion, H. Haber, Y. Jiang, S. Kraml, "Scrutinizing the alignment limit in two-Higgs-doublet models. II. $m_H=125~{\rm GeV}$ ", Phys.Rev. D93 (2016) no.3, 035027
 - J. Bernon, J. Gunion, H. Haber, Y. Jiang, S. Kraml, "Scrutinizing the alignment limit in two-Higgs-doublet models: $m_h=125~{\rm GeV}$ ", Phys.Rev. D92 (2015) no.7, 075004

The 2HDM potential:

$$\begin{split} V(\phi_{1},\phi_{2}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ m_{11}^{2} \phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1} + m_{22}^{2} \phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2} + \left[m_{12}^{2} \phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2} + \text{H.c.} \right] \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1} (\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1})^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2} (\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2})^{2} + \lambda_{3} (\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}) (\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}) + \lambda_{4} (\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}) (\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\lambda_{5} (\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2})^{2} + \text{H.c.} \right] + \left[\lambda_{6} (\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}) + \lambda_{7} (\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}) \right] \left[(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}) + \text{H.c.} \right] \end{split}$$

Yukawa couplings:

$$-\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\mathsf{quarks}} = \overline{Q_L^0} \left[\tilde{\Phi}_1 \eta_1^{U,0} + \tilde{\Phi}_2 \eta_2^{U,0} \right] U_R^0 + \overline{Q_L^0} \left[\Phi_1 \left(\eta_1^{D,0} \right)^\dagger + \Phi_2 \left(\eta_2^{D,0} \right)^\dagger \right] D_R^0 + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

with $\tilde{\Phi}_j = i\sigma_2 \Phi_j^*$

$$M_U^0 = \langle \tilde{\Phi}_1 \rangle \eta_1^{U,0} + \langle \tilde{\Phi}_2 \rangle \eta_2^{U,0} \qquad M_D^0 = \langle \Phi_1 \rangle \eta_1^{D,0} + \langle \Phi_2 \rangle \eta_2^{D,0}$$

The type II model: \mathbb{Z}_2 softly broken (by $m_{12}^2 \neq 0$): $\Phi_1 \to -\Phi_1$ and $d_R \to -d_R \Rightarrow \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0$, $\eta_1^{U,0} = \eta_1^{D,0} = 0$. Here the most general 2HDM will be considered.

In an arbitrary basis, the vevs may be complex, and the Higgs-doublets can be written as

$$\Phi_j = e^{i\xi_j} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_j^+ \\ (v_j + \eta_j + i\chi_j)/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Here v_j are real numbers, so that $v_1^2 + v_2^2 = v^2$. The fields η_j and χ_j are real. The phase difference between the two vevs is defined as

$$\xi \equiv \xi_2 - \xi_1$$
.

Next, let's define the Goldston bosons G_0 and G^{\pm} by an orthogonal rotation

$$\begin{pmatrix} G_0 \\ \eta_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\beta} & s_{\beta} \\ -s_{\beta} & c_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} G^{\pm} \\ H^{\pm} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\beta} & s_{\beta} \\ -s_{\beta} & c_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1^{\pm} \\ \varphi_2^{\pm} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $s_{\beta} \equiv \sin \beta$ and $c_{\beta} \equiv \cos \beta$ for $\tan \beta \equiv v_2/v_1$. Then G_0 and G^{\pm} become the massless Goldstone fields. H^{\pm} are the charged scalars.

The model contains three neutral scalar mass-eigenstates, which are linear compositions of the η_i ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \eta_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

with the 3×3 orthogonal rotation matrix R satisfying

$$R\mathcal{M}^2 R^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{diag}}^2 = \mathrm{diag}(M_1^2, M_2^2, M_3^2),$$

and with $M_1 \leq M_2 \leq M_3$. A convenient parametrization of the rotation matrix R is

$$R = R_3 R_2 R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_3 & s_3 \\ 0 & -s_3 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_2 & 0 & s_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_2 & 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & s_1 & 0 \\ -s_1 & c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_1 c_2 & s_1 c_2 & s_2 \\ -(c_1 s_2 s_3 + s_1 c_3) & c_1 c_3 - s_1 s_2 s_3 & c_2 s_3 \\ -c_1 s_2 c_3 + s_1 s_3 & -(c_1 s_3 + s_1 s_2 c_3) & c_2 c_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

The potential contains 14 real parameters, our input parameters are

$$\mathcal{P}_{67} \equiv \{M_{H^{\pm}}^2, \mu^2, M_1^2, M_2^2, M_3^2, \text{Im}\lambda_5, \text{Re}\lambda_6, \text{Re}\lambda_7, v_1, v_2, \xi, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\},\$$

where μ^2 is defined as $\operatorname{Re} m_{12}^2 \equiv \frac{2v_1v_2}{v_1^2}\mu^2$ and the extrema conditions are:

$$m_{11}^{2} = v_{1}^{2}\lambda_{1} + v_{2}^{2}(\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4}) + \frac{v_{2}^{2}}{c_{\xi}}(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{5}c_{\xi} - \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{5}s_{\xi})$$

$$+ \frac{v_{1}v_{2}}{c_{\xi}}[\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{6}(2 + c_{2\xi}) - \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{6}s_{2\xi}] + \frac{v_{2}}{v_{1}c_{\xi}}(v_{2}^{2}\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{7} - \operatorname{Re}m_{12}^{2}),$$

$$m_{22}^{2} = v_{2}^{2}\lambda_{2} + v_{1}^{2}(\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4}) + \frac{v_{1}^{2}}{c_{\xi}}(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{5}c_{\xi} - \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{5}s_{\xi})$$

$$+ \frac{v_{1}v_{2}}{c_{\xi}}[\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{7}(2 + c_{2\xi}) - \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{7}s_{2\xi}] + \frac{v_{1}}{v_{2}c_{\xi}}(v_{1}^{2}\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{6} - \operatorname{Re}m_{12}^{2}),$$

$$\operatorname{Im}m_{12}^{2} = \frac{v_{1}v_{2}}{c_{\xi}}(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{5}s_{2\xi} + \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{5}c_{2\xi}) + \frac{v_{1}^{2}}{c_{\xi}}(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{6}s_{\xi} + \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{6}c_{\xi})$$

$$+ \frac{v_{2}^{2}}{c_{\xi}}(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{7}s_{\xi} + \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{7}c_{\xi}) - \operatorname{Re}m_{12}^{2}t_{\xi},$$

with $c_x = \cos x$, $s_x = \sin x$, and $t_x = \tan x$.

Gauge couplings:

$$H_i Z_{\mu} Z_{\nu} : \frac{ig^2}{2\cos^2\theta_{\mathsf{W}}} e_i g_{\mu\nu}, \qquad H_i W_{\mu}^+ W_{\nu}^- : \frac{ig^2}{2} e_i g_{\mu\nu}$$

where

$$e_i \equiv v_1 R_{i1} + v_2 R_{i2}$$

In terms of the mixing angles

$$e_1 = v \cos \alpha_2 \cos(\beta - \alpha_1)$$

$$e_2 = v [\cos \alpha_3 \sin(\beta - \alpha_1) - \sin \alpha_2 \sin \alpha_3 \cos(\beta - \alpha_1)]$$

$$e_3 = -v [\sin \alpha_3 \sin(\beta - \alpha_1) + \sin \alpha_2 \cos \alpha_3 \cos(\beta - \alpha_1)]$$

Note that

$$e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2 = v^2$$

$$(Z^{\mu}H_iH_j): \frac{g}{2v\cos\theta_{\mathsf{W}}}\epsilon_{ijk}e_k(p_i-p_j)^{\mu},$$

Scalar couplings:

$$H_iH^-H^+: -iq_i$$

where

$$q_{i} = \frac{2e_{i}}{v^{2}}M_{H^{\pm}}^{2} - \frac{R_{i2}v_{1} + R_{i1}v_{2} - R_{i3}vt_{\xi}}{v_{1}v_{2}c_{\xi}}\mu^{2} + \frac{g_{i} - R_{i3}v^{3}t_{\xi}}{v^{2}v_{1}v_{2}}M_{i}^{2} + \frac{R_{i3}v^{3}}{2v_{1}v_{2}c_{\xi}^{2}}\operatorname{Im}\lambda_{5}$$

$$-\frac{v^{2}(R_{i3}vt_{\xi} - R_{i2}v_{1} + R_{i1}v_{2})}{2v_{2}^{2}c_{\xi}}\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{6} - \frac{v^{2}(R_{i3}vt_{\xi} + R_{i2}v_{1} - R_{i1}v_{2})}{2v_{1}^{2}c_{\xi}}\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{7}$$

and $g_i \equiv v_1^3 R_{i2} + v_2^3 R_{i1}$.

$$H^{+}H^{+}H^{-}H^{-}: -4iq$$

where

$$q = -\frac{1}{2v^2v_1^2v_2^2} \left(v_1^2 - v_2^2\right)^2 \mu^2 + \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{g_k^2}{2v^4v_1^2v_2^2} M_k^2 + \frac{v^2\left(v_1^2 - 3v_2^2\right)}{4v_1v_2^3} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_6 + \frac{v^2\left(v_2^2 - 3v_1^2\right)}{4v_2v_1^3} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_7$$

Yukawa couplings:

$$-\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\mathsf{quarks}} = \overline{Q_L^0} \left[\tilde{\Phi}_1 \eta_1^{U,0} + \tilde{\Phi}_2 \eta_2^{U,0} \right] U_R^0 + \overline{Q_L^0} \left[\Phi_1 \left(\eta_1^{D,0} \right)^\dagger + \Phi_2 \left(\eta_2^{D,0} \right)^\dagger \right] D_R^0 + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

with $\tilde{\Phi}_j = i\sigma_2 \Phi_j^*$

$$M_U^0 = \langle \tilde{\Phi}_1 \rangle \eta_1^{U,0} + \langle \tilde{\Phi}_2 \rangle \eta_2^{U,0} \qquad M_D^0 = \langle \Phi_1 \rangle \eta_1^{D,0} + \langle \Phi_2 \rangle \eta_2^{D,0}$$



FCNC

$$\Phi_j = \left(\begin{array}{c} \Phi_j^+ \\ \Phi_j^0 \end{array}\right)$$

$$\begin{split} -\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\mathsf{quarks}} &= & \overline{U}_L \left(\Phi_i^0\right)^* \eta_i^U U_R + \overline{D}_L \Phi_i^0 \left(\eta_i^D\right)^\dagger D_R \\ &- \overline{D}_L K^\dagger \Phi_i^- \eta_i^U U_R + \overline{U}_L K \Phi_i^+ \left(\eta_i^D\right)^\dagger D_R + \mathsf{h.c.}, \end{split}$$

where K is the CKM matrix. Next, we decompose these η_i -matrices into a part κ proportional to the masses, and an orthogonal part

$$\eta_i^Q = \kappa^Q \hat{v}_i + \rho^Q \hat{w}_i$$

with Q = U, D. Here

$$\hat{v}_j = \frac{v_j}{v} e^{i\xi_j}$$

$$\hat{w}_1 = -\frac{v_2}{v} e^{-i\xi_2}$$

$$\hat{w}_2 = \frac{v_1}{v} e^{-i\xi_1}$$

$$\kappa^D = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \operatorname{diag}(m_d, m_s, m_b)$$
 $\kappa^U = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \operatorname{diag}(m_u, m_c, m_t)$

CP violation and invariants under U(2) basis rotations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Phi}_1 \\ \bar{\Phi}_2 \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\psi} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & e^{-i\xi}\sin\theta \\ -e^{i\chi}\sin\theta & e^{i(\chi-\xi)}\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- L. Lavoura and J. P. Silva, "Fundamental CP violating quantities in a $SU(2) \times U(1)$ model with many Higgs doublets", Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 4619 [hep-ph/9404276].
- F. J. Botella and J. P. Silva, "Jarlskog-like invariants for theories with scalars and fermions", Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 3870 [hep-ph/9411288].
- G. C. Branco, M. N. Rebelo and J. I. Silva-Marcos, "CP-odd invariants in models with several Higgs doublets", Phys. Lett. B 614, 187 (2005) [hep-ph/0502118].
- J. F. Gunion and H. E. Haber, "Conditions for CP-violation in the general two-Higgs-doublet model", Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 095002 [hep-ph/0506227].
- S. Davidson and H. E. Haber, "Basis-independent methods for the two-Higgs-doublet model", Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 035004 [Erratum-ibid. D 72 (2005) 099902] [hep-ph/0504050].
- C. C. Nishi, "CP violation conditions in N-Higgs-doublet potentials", Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 036003 [Erratum-ibid. D 76 (2007) 119901] [hep-ph/0605153].
- I. P. Ivanov, "Minkowski space structure of the Higgs potential in 2HDM", Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 035001 [Erratum-ibid. D 76 (2007) 039902] [hep-ph/0609018].
- M. Maniatis, A. von Manteuffel and O. Nachtmann, "CP violation in the general two-Higgs-doublet model: A Geometric view", Eur. Phys. J. C 57 (2008) 719 [arXiv:0707.3344 [hep-ph]].
- G. C. Branco, L. Lavoura and J. P. Silva, "CP Violation", Int. Ser. Monogr. Phys. 103, 1 (1999).

CP conservation: CP is conserved if and only if:

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{1} = \frac{1}{v^{5}} \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} M_{i}^{2} e_{i} e_{j} q_{k} = 0$$

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{2} = \frac{e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}}{v^{9}} \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} M_{i}^{4} M_{k}^{2} = 0$$

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{30} = \frac{1}{v^{5}} \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} M_{i}^{2} q_{i} e_{j} q_{k} = 0.$$

where J_i are the weak basis invariants found by Lavoura, Silva and Botella (1994, 1995) and discussed by Branco, Rebelo and Silva-Marcos (2005), Davidson, Gunion and Haber (2005), Ivanov (2006, 2007), Nishi (2006) and M. Maniatis, A. von Manteuffel and O. Nachtmann (2008).

CP conservation: The conditions for CP conservation could be rewritten as:

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{1} = \frac{1}{v^{5}} \left[M_{1}^{2} e_{1} (e_{2}q_{3} - e_{3}q_{2}) + M_{2}^{2} e_{2} (e_{3}q_{1} - e_{1}q_{3}) + M_{3}^{2} e_{3} (e_{1}q_{2} - e_{2}q_{1}) \right] = 0$$

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{2} = \frac{e_{1}e_{2}e_{3}}{v^{9}} (M_{2}^{2} - M_{1}^{2}) (M_{3}^{2} - M_{2}^{2}) (M_{1}^{2} - M_{3}^{2}) = 0$$

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{30} = \frac{1}{v^{5}} \left[M_{1}^{2}q_{1}(e_{2}q_{3} - e_{3}q_{2}) + M_{2}^{2}q_{2}(e_{3}q_{1} - e_{1}q_{3}) + M_{3}^{2}q_{3}(e_{1}q_{2} - e_{2}q_{1}) \right] = 0$$

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{1} = \frac{1}{v^{5}} \begin{vmatrix} q_{1} & q_{2} & q_{3} \\ e_{1} & e_{2} & e_{3} \\ e_{1}M_{1}^{2} & e_{2}M_{2}^{2} & e_{3}M_{3}^{2} \end{vmatrix}, \qquad \operatorname{Im} J_{2} = \frac{2}{v^{9}} \begin{vmatrix} e_{1} & e_{2} & e_{3} \\ e_{1}M_{1}^{2} & e_{2}M_{2}^{2} & e_{3}M_{3}^{2} \\ e_{1}M_{1}^{4} & e_{2}M_{2}^{4} & e_{3}M_{3}^{4} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{30} = \frac{1}{v^5} \begin{vmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \\ q_1 M_1^2 & q_2 M_2^2 & q_3 M_3^2 \end{vmatrix}$$

The H_1VV coupling as in the SM - the alignment limit

The LHC Higgs data suggest that HZZ and HW^+W^- couplings are close to the SM prediction.

$$\downarrow \\ H_i Z_{\mu} Z_{\nu} : \quad \frac{ig^2}{2\cos^2\theta_{\mathsf{W}}} e_i \, g_{\mu\nu}, \qquad H_i W_{\mu}^+ W_{\nu}^- : \quad \frac{ig^2}{2} e_i \, g_{\mu\nu}$$

We define (within 2HDM) the alignment limit as $e_1 = v$

Then

$$e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2 = v^2$$
 \Rightarrow $e_2 = e_3 = 0$

Note that no assumption has been made concerning the mass scale of beyond the SM physics: M_2 , M_3 and μ^2 defined as

$$\operatorname{Re} m_{12}^2 = \frac{2v_1v_2}{v^2}\mu^2.$$

The coupling of H_1 to a pair of vector bosons, e_1 , could be written as follows:

$$e_1 = v \cos(\alpha_2) \cos(\alpha_1 - \beta)$$

The most general solution of the alignment limit (known also as the alignment condition) $e_1 = v$, $e_2 = 0$, $e_3 = 0$:

$$\alpha_2 = 0$$
 $\alpha_1 = \beta$

The rotation matrix in this case becomes

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{13} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} & R_{23} \\ R_{31} & R_{32} & R_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & s_1 & 0 \\ -s_1 c_3 & c_1 c_3 & s_3 \\ s_1 s_3 & -c_1 s_3 & c_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the mixing matrix could be written in this case as

$$R = R_3 R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_3 & s_3 \\ 0 & -s_3 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & s_1 & 0 \\ -s_1 & c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

CP-violation in the alignment limit requires the most general 2HDM

The J invariants in the alignment limit:

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{1} = \frac{1}{v^{5}} \left[M_{1}^{2} e_{1}(e_{2}q_{3} - e_{3}q_{2}) + M_{2}^{2} e_{2}(e_{3}q_{1} - e_{1}q_{3}) + M_{3}^{2} e_{3}(e_{1}q_{2} - e_{2}q_{1}) \right] \to 0$$

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{2} = \frac{e_{1}e_{2}e_{3}}{v^{9}} (M_{2}^{2} - M_{1}^{2})(M_{3}^{2} - M_{2}^{2})(M_{1}^{2} - M_{3}^{2}) \to 0$$

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{30} = \frac{1}{v^{5}} \left[M_{1}^{2}q_{1}(e_{2}q_{3} - e_{3}q_{2}) + M_{2}^{2}q_{2}(e_{3}q_{1} - e_{1}q_{3}) + M_{3}^{2}q_{3}(e_{1}q_{2} - e_{2}q_{1}) \right]$$

$$\to \frac{e_{1}q_{2}q_{3}}{v^{3}} (M_{3}^{2} - M_{2}^{2})$$

- Note that $e_1 = v$ implies no CP violation in H_iVV couplings (Im $J_2 = 0$), the only possible CP violation may appear in cubic scalar couplings $H_2H^+H^-$ and $H_3H^+H^-$, proportional to q_2 and q_3 , respectively.
- The necessary condition for CP violation is that both $H_2H^+H^-$ and $H_3H^+H^-$ must exist together with non-zero ZH_2H_3 vertex. The latter implies that for CP invariance either H_2 or H_3 would have to be odd under CP, on the other hand if both of them couple to H^+H^- (that is CP even), then there is no way to preserve CP.

In the case $\lambda_6=\lambda_7=0$ the $(\mathcal{M}^2)_{13}$ and $(\mathcal{M}^2)_{23}$ are related as follows

$$(\mathcal{M}^2)_{13} = \tan \beta (\mathcal{M}^2)_{23}$$

As a consequence of the above relation there is a constraint that relates mass eigenvalues, mixing angles and $\tan \beta$ (Khater and Osland, 2003):

$$M_1^2 R_{13}(R_{12} \tan \beta - R_{11}) + M_2^2 R_{23}(R_{22} \tan \beta - R_{21}) + M_3^2 R_{33}(R_{32} \tan \beta - R_{31}) = 0$$

Alignment limit (
$$\alpha_2=0, \alpha_1=\beta$$
) \Rightarrow $(M_2^2-M_3^2)s_3c_3s_\beta=0$

- $M_2 \neq M_3$, but $\alpha_3 = 0, \pi/2$, then $q_3 = 0, q_2 = 0$, respectively (since in 2HDM5, in the alignment limit ${\rm Im}\,\lambda_5 = 0$), so no CP violation, or
- $M_2=M_3$, therefore ${\rm Im}\,J_3=0$, so again no CP violation.

Partial conclusions:

- The observation of the SM-like Higgs boson at the LHC implies (within the 2HDM with \mathbb{Z}_2 softly broken) vanishing CP violation in the scalar potential.
- The above conclusion could be realized either by large masses of the extra Higgs bosons (the decoupling regime) or by so called the alignment with relatively light extra Higgs bosons (the case discussed here). For both possibilities the H_1VV coupling is SM-like and CP violation disappears (within the 2HDM with \mathbb{Z}_2 softly broken).

If $\lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0$, so within the \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric model (2HDM5), the alignment implies no CP violation.

 \Downarrow

In order to have scalar sector CPV in the alignment limit the \mathbb{Z}_2 must be violated hardly (by dim 4 interactions).



FCNC

"Heavy" Higgs bosons (H_2, H_3, H^{\pm}) in the alignment limit

Scalars-vector couplings in the alignment limit:

$$e_1 = v$$
, $e_2 = e_3 = 0$

$$H_1 Z_{\mu} Z_{\nu} : \frac{ig^2}{2\cos^2\theta_{\mathsf{W}}} v g_{\mu\nu}, \qquad H_1 W_{\mu}^+ W_{\nu}^- : \frac{ig^2}{2} v g_{\mu\nu}$$

$$Z^{\mu}H_{2}H_{3}: \frac{g}{2v\cos\theta_{W}}(p_{2}-p_{3})^{\mu}$$

$$H_2H^+W^{-\mu}: \frac{ig}{2}e^{-i\alpha_3}(p_2-p^+)^{\mu}, \qquad H_2H^-W^{+\mu}: \frac{-ig}{2}e^{i\alpha_3}(p_2-p^-)^{\mu},$$

 $H_3H^+W^{-\mu}: \frac{ig}{2}e^{-i(\alpha_3+\pi/2)}(p_2-p^+)^{\mu}, H_3H^-W^{+\mu}: \frac{-ig}{2}e^{i(\alpha_3+\pi/2)}(p_2-p^-)^{\mu},$

Scalar couplings in the alignment limit:

Couplings between H_i and H^+H^- are given in the alignment limit (for $\xi=0$) by:

$$q_{1} = \frac{1}{v} \left(2M_{H^{\pm}}^{2} - 2\mu^{2} + M_{1}^{2} \right)$$

$$q_{2} = + c_{3} \left[\frac{(c_{\beta}^{2} - s_{\beta}^{2})}{vc_{\beta}s_{\beta}} (M_{2}^{2} - \mu^{2}) + \frac{v}{2s_{\beta}^{2}} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{6} - \frac{v}{2c_{\beta}^{2}} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{7} \right] + s_{3} \frac{v}{2c_{\beta}s_{\beta}} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{5}$$

$$q_{3} = - s_{3} \left[\frac{(c_{\beta}^{2} - s_{\beta}^{2})}{vc_{\beta}s_{\beta}} (M_{3}^{2} - \mu^{2}) + \frac{v}{2s_{\beta}^{2}} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{6} - \frac{v}{2c_{\beta}^{2}} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{7} \right] + c_{3} \frac{v}{2c_{\beta}s_{\beta}} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{5}$$

$$H_1H_1H_1: \qquad \frac{M_1^2}{2v} \qquad \qquad H_jH_kH_k: \qquad \frac{q_j}{2}$$
 $H_1H_jH_j: \qquad \frac{q_1}{2} + \frac{M_j^2 - M_{H^{\pm}}^2}{v} \qquad \qquad H_iH^+H^-: \qquad q_i$

where i = 1, 2, 3 and j, k = 2, 3.

Yukawa couplings in the alignment limit:

Diagonal up-type couplings:

$$\bar{u}_k u_k H_1: \qquad -\frac{m_{u_k}}{v} \\
\bar{u}_k u_k H_2: \qquad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\operatorname{Re} \rho_k^U + i \gamma_5 \operatorname{Im} \rho_k^U \right) \\
\bar{u}_k u_k H_3: \qquad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\operatorname{Im} \rho_k^U + i \gamma_5 \operatorname{Re} \rho_k^U \right)$$

$$\Gamma(H_2 \to u_k \bar{u}_k) \propto M_2 \beta_k (|\operatorname{Re} \rho_k^U|^2 \beta_k^2 + |\operatorname{Im} \rho_k^U|^2),$$

with
$$\beta_k = \sqrt{1 - 4m_k^2/M_2^2}$$
. Since $\beta_k \simeq 1$

$$\frac{\Gamma(H_2 \to u_k \bar{u}_k)}{\Gamma(H_3 \to u_k \bar{u}_k)} = \frac{M_2}{M_3} + \mathcal{O}\left(|\rho_k^U|^2 \frac{m_k^{U^2}}{M_2^2}\right)$$

Alignment-sensitive observables:

$$\mathsf{BR}(H_1 \to W^+ W^-, ZZ) = \mathsf{BR}(H_{\mathsf{SM}} \to W^+ W^-, ZZ)$$
 $\mathsf{BR}(H_{2,3} \to W^+ W^-, ZZ, H_1 H_1, H_1 Z) = 0$
 $\mathsf{BR}(H_3 \to H_1 H_2) = 0$

$$\frac{\mathsf{BR}(H_3 \to H^+ H^-)}{\mathsf{BR}(H_3 \to H_2 H_2)} = 4 \sqrt{\frac{M_3^2 - 4 M_{H^\pm}^2}{M_3^2 - 4 M_2^2}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(H_2 \to f \bar{f})}{\Gamma(H_3 \to f \bar{f})} = \frac{M_2}{M_3} + \mathcal{O}\left(|\rho|^2 \frac{m_f^2}{M_2^2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(H_2 \to H^+ W^-)}{\Gamma(H_3 \to H^+ W^-)} = g(M_2, M_3, M_{H^\pm}, M_W),$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{BR}(H_3 \to H_2 Z)}{\mathsf{BR}(H_3 \to H^+ W^-)} = h(M_2, M_3, M_{H^\pm}, M_W, M_Z),$$

Spontaneous CP violation

The goal: to formulate conditions for SCPV in terms of observables

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{1} = \frac{1}{v^{5}} \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} M_{i}^{2} e_{i} e_{k} q_{j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{v^{5}} [e_{1} e_{2} q_{3} (M_{2}^{2} - M_{1}^{2}) - e_{1} e_{3} q_{2} (M_{3}^{2} - M_{1}^{2}) + e_{2} e_{3} q_{1} (M_{3}^{2} - M_{2}^{2})],$$

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{2} = \frac{2}{v^{9}} \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} e_{i} e_{j} e_{k} M_{i}^{4} M_{k}^{2} = \frac{2e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}}{v^{9}} \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} M_{i}^{4} M_{k}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{2e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}}{v^{9}} (M_{2}^{2} - M_{1}^{2}) (M_{3}^{2} - M_{2}^{2}) (M_{3}^{2} - M_{1}^{2}),$$

$$\operatorname{Im} J_{30} \equiv \frac{1}{v^{5}} \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} q_{i} M_{i}^{2} e_{j} q_{k},$$

$$= \frac{1}{v^{5}} [q_{1} q_{2} e_{3} (M_{2}^{2} - M_{1}^{2}) - q_{1} q_{3} e_{2} (M_{3}^{2} - M_{1}^{2}) + q_{2} q_{3} e_{1} (M_{3}^{2} - M_{2}^{2})].$$

Theorem: CP is conserved if and only if $\text{Im } J_1 = \text{Im } J_2 = \text{Im } J_{30} = 0$.

$$\begin{split} V(\Phi_1,\Phi_2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2 + \left[m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2 + \text{H.c.} \right] \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_1}{2} (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} (\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2) (\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\lambda_5 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2)^2 + \text{H.c.} \right] + \left\{ \left[\lambda_6 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1) + \lambda_7 (\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2) \right] (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2) + \text{H.c.} \right\} \\ &\equiv Y_{a\bar{b}} \Phi_{\bar{a}}^\dagger \Phi_b + \frac{1}{2} Z_{a\bar{b}c\bar{d}} (\Phi_{\bar{a}}^\dagger \Phi_b) (\Phi_{\bar{c}}^\dagger \Phi_d). \end{split}$$

Theorem: In order for CP violation to be spontaneous, at least one of the $\text{Im }J_i$ invariants must be non-zero, while four other weak-basis I invariants constructed from the coefficients of the potential, must vanish.

$$\begin{split} I_{Y3Z} &= \text{Im} \left[Z_{a\bar{c}}^{(1)} Z_{e\bar{b}}^{(1)} Z_{b\bar{e}c\bar{d}} Y_{d\bar{a}} \right], \\ I_{2Y2Z} &= \text{Im} \left[Y_{a\bar{b}} Y_{c\bar{d}} Z_{b\bar{a}d\bar{f}} Z_{f\bar{c}}^{(1)} \right], \\ I_{3Y3Z} &= \text{Im} \left[Z_{a\bar{c}b\bar{d}} Z_{c\bar{e}d\bar{g}} Z_{e\bar{h}f\bar{q}} Y_{g\bar{a}} Y_{h\bar{b}} Y_{q\bar{f}} \right], \\ I_{6Z} &= \text{Im} \left[Z_{a\bar{b}c\bar{d}} Z_{b\bar{f}}^{(1)} Z_{d\bar{h}}^{(1)} Z_{f\bar{a}j\bar{k}} Z_{k\bar{j}m\bar{n}} Z_{n\bar{m}h\bar{c}} \right]. \end{split}$$

Theorem: Let us assume that the quantity

$$D \equiv e_1^2 M_2^2 M_3^2 + e_2^2 M_3^2 M_1^2 + e_3^2 M_1^2 M_2^2$$

is non-zero. Then, in a charge-conserving general 2HDM, CP is violated spontaneously if and only if the following three statements are satisfied simultaneously:

• At least one of the three invariants $\operatorname{Im} J_1$, $\operatorname{Im} J_2$, $\operatorname{Im} J_{30}$ is nonzero.

•
$$M_{H^{\pm}}^2 = \frac{v^2}{2D} [e_1 q_1 M_2^2 M_3^2 + e_2 q_2 M_3^2 M_1^2 + e_3 q_3 M_1^2 M_2^2 - M_1^2 M_2^2 M_3^2],$$

•
$$q = \frac{1}{2D}[(e_2q_3 - e_3q_2)^2M_1^2 + (e_3q_1 - e_1q_3)^2M_2^2 + (e_1q_2 - e_2q_1)^2M_3^2 + M_1^2M_2^2M_3^2].$$

Spontaneous CP violation in the alignment limit in terms of physical couplings

$$e_1 = v, e_2 = e_3 = 0$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow$$

$$M_{H^{\pm}}^2 = \frac{vq_1 - M_1^2}{2},$$

$$q = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{q_2^2}{M_2^2} + \frac{q_3^2}{M_3^2} + \frac{M_1^2}{v^2} \right).$$

Summary

- 2HDM allows for extra sources of CP-violation that might be useful to explain baryon asymmetry.
- We have defined the alignment limit as $e_1 = v$, so that only H_1 couples to VV as in the SM.
- In the alignment limit there is no CP-violation if $\lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0$ (\mathbb{Z}_2 imposed).
- The requirement of extra sources of CP-violation in the presence of light extra scalars favours the most general 2HDM with $\lambda_6 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_7 \neq 0$ (no \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry).
- The requirement of extra sources of CP-violation in the presence of light extra scalars implies an interesting possibility of large FCNC that couple to Higgs bosons (in progress).
- There exists a set of alignment-sensitive observables for H_2, H_3, H^{\pm} that might be useful to test the alignment scenario, e.g. $BR(H_{2,3} \to W^+W^-, ZZ, H_1H_1, H_1Z) =$

0, $BR(H_3 \to H_1 H_2) = 0$ or

$$\frac{\mathsf{BR}(H_3 \to H^+ H^-)}{\mathsf{BR}(H_3 \to H_2 H_2)} = 4\sqrt{\frac{M_3^2 - 4M_{H^{\pm}}^2}{M_3^2 - 4M_2^2}}$$

- In order to disprove SCPV a minimal set of measurements consists of M_{H^\pm} and q_1 , if they do not satisfy $q_1=\left(2M_{H^\pm}^2+M_1^2\right)/v$, then CP is not violated spontaneously.
- To prove SCPV is strictly speaking impossible since one would need to show that the conditions

$$\begin{split} M_{H^{\pm}}^2 &= \frac{v^2}{2D} [e_1 q_1 M_2^2 M_3^2 + e_2 q_2 M_3^2 M_1^2 + e_3 q_3 M_1^2 M_2^2 - M_1^2 M_2^2 M_3^2], \\ q &= \frac{1}{2D} [(e_2 q_3 - e_3 q_2)^2 M_1^2 + (e_3 q_1 - e_1 q_3)^2 M_2^2 + (e_1 q_2 - e_2 q_1)^2 M_3^2 + \\ &+ M_1^2 M_2^2 M_3^2]. \end{split}$$

hold exactly. Since measurements are always subject to experimental (and theoretical) uncertainties, indeed, the above equations could at best only hold

within some confidence level. Note, however, that the verification of the above constraints requires a determination of 11 parameters. M_1 and v are already known, so 9 new measurements should be performed in order to test these constraints. Therefore we conclude that in order to test SCPV, all potential parameters must be known.

Stationary points of the potential

By demanding that the derivatives of the potential with respect to the fields should vanish in the vacuum, we end up with the following stationary-point equations:

$$m_{11}^{2} = v_{1}^{2}\lambda_{1} + v_{2}^{2}(\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4}) + \frac{v_{2}^{2}}{c_{\xi}}(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{5}c_{\xi} - \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{5}s_{\xi})$$

$$+ \frac{v_{1}v_{2}}{c_{\xi}}\left[\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{6}(2 + c_{2\xi}) - \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{6}s_{2\xi}\right] + \frac{v_{2}}{v_{1}c_{\xi}}(v_{2}^{2}\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{7} - \operatorname{Re}m_{12}^{2}),$$

$$m_{22}^{2} = v_{2}^{2}\lambda_{2} + v_{1}^{2}(\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4}) + \frac{v_{1}^{2}}{c_{\xi}}(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{5}c_{\xi} - \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{5}s_{\xi})$$

$$+ \frac{v_{1}v_{2}}{c_{\xi}}\left[\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{7}(2 + c_{2\xi}) - \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{7}s_{2\xi}\right] + \frac{v_{1}}{v_{2}c_{\xi}}(v_{1}^{2}\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{6} - \operatorname{Re}m_{12}^{2}),$$

$$\operatorname{Im}m_{12}^{2} = \frac{v_{1}v_{2}}{c_{\xi}}(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{5}s_{2\xi} + \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{5}c_{2\xi}) + \frac{v_{1}^{2}}{c_{\xi}}(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{6}s_{\xi} + \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{6}c_{\xi})$$

$$+ \frac{v_{2}^{2}}{c_{\xi}}(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{7}s_{\xi} + \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{7}c_{\xi}) - \operatorname{Re}m_{12}^{2}t_{\xi},$$

with $c_x = \cos x$, $s_x = \sin x$, and $t_x = \tan x$. Thus, we may eliminate m_{11}^2 , m_{22}^2 and $\operatorname{Im} m_{12}^2$ from the potential by these substitutions, thereby reducing the number of parameters of the model.

Alignment conditions in terms of the potential parameters

$$v_{2}^{2}\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{i\xi}\lambda_{7}\right) + v_{2}v_{1}\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{2i\xi}\lambda_{5}\right) + v_{1}^{2}\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{i\xi}\lambda_{6}\right) = 0,$$

$$v_{2}^{4}\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\xi}\lambda_{7}\right) + v_{2}^{3}v_{1}(-\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{345}) + 3v_{2}^{2}v_{1}^{2}\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i\xi}(\lambda_{6} - \lambda_{7})\right] +$$

$$+v_{2}v_{1}^{3}(\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{345}) - v_{1}^{4}\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\xi}\lambda_{6}\right) = 0$$

where
$$\lambda_{345} \equiv \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \text{Re} \left(e^{2i\xi} \lambda_5 \right)$$
.

In the CP-conserving limit, with $\xi=0$, ${\rm Im}\,\lambda_5={\rm Im}\,\lambda_6={\rm Im}\,\lambda_7=0$, we reproduce the single alignment condition found by Dev and Pilaftsis, JHEP 1412 (2014) 024, If one wishes to satisfy the alignment conditions for any value of v_1 , v_2 and ξ , the following constraints must be fulfilled:

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 + \lambda_4, \quad \lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0$$

- The above condition is inconsistent with CPV
- In a different context a potential that satisfies the above condition was considered in "Do precision electroweak constraints guarantee e^+e^- collider discovery of at least one Higgs boson of a two Higgs doublet model?" P. Chankowski, T. Farris, B. Grzadkowski, J. Gunion, J. Kalinowski, M. Krawczyk, Phys.Lett. B496 (2000) 195-205

Alignment defined in terms of bilinear potential couplings and vevs

Using the minimization conditions the alignment conditions can be formulated as

$$\operatorname{Im} m_{12}^2 = 0,$$

$$m_{11}^2 - m_{22}^2 = \operatorname{Re} m_{12}^2 \left(\frac{v_1}{v_2} - \frac{v_2}{v_1} \right).$$

The scalar masses and the re-expression of the λ s

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{M}_{11}^2 & = & v_1^2\lambda_1 - v_2^2s_\xi^2\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_5 - \frac{v_2^2}{2c_\xi}s_\xi c_{2\xi}\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_5 + \frac{v_1v_2}{2c_\xi}(1+2c_{2\xi})\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_6 \\ & -2v_1v_2s_\xi\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_6 - \frac{v_2^3}{2v_1c_\xi}\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_7 + \frac{v_2}{2v_1c_\xi}\mathrm{Re}\,m_{12}^2, \\ \\ \mathcal{M}_{22}^2 & = & v_2^2\lambda_2 - v_1^2s_\xi^2\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_5 - \frac{v_1^2}{2c_\xi}s_\xi c_{2\xi}\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_5 + \frac{v_1v_2}{2c_\xi}(1+2c_{2\xi})\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_7 \\ & -2v_1v_2s_\xi\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_7 - \frac{v_1^3}{2v_2c_\xi}\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_6 + \frac{v_1}{2v_2c_\xi}\mathrm{Re}\,m_{12}^2, \\ \\ \mathcal{M}_{33}^2 & = & -v^2c_\xi^2\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_5 - \frac{v^2}{2c_\xi}(2s_\xi^3 - 3s_\xi)\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_5 \\ & - \frac{v^2v_1}{2v_2c_\xi}\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_6 - \frac{v^2v_2}{2v_1c_\xi}\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_7 + \frac{v^2}{2v_1v_2c_\xi}\mathrm{Re}\,m_{12}^2, \\ \\ \mathcal{M}_{12}^2 & = & v_1v_2(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) + v_1v_2c_\xi^2\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_5 + \frac{v_1v_2}{2c_\xi}(2s_\xi^3 - 3s_\xi)\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_5 + \frac{v_1^2}{2c_\xi}(2+c_{2\xi})\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_6 \\ & - v_1^2s_\xi\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_6 + \frac{v_2^2}{2c_\xi}(2+c_{2\xi})\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_7 - v_2^2s_\xi\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_7 - \frac{1}{2c_\xi}\mathrm{Re}\,m_{12}^2, \\ \\ \mathcal{M}_{13}^2 & = & -\frac{1}{2}vv_2s_2\varepsilon_\xi\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_5 - \frac{1}{2}vv_2c_2\varepsilon_\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_5 - vv_1s_\xi\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_6 - vv_1c_\xi\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_6, \\ \\ \mathcal{M}_{23}^2 & = & -\frac{1}{2}vv_1s_2\varepsilon_\xi\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_5 - \frac{1}{2}vv_1c_2\varepsilon_\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_5 - vv_2s_\xi\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_7 - vv_2c_\xi\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_7. \end{array}$$

The charge boson mass is given as follows

$$M_{H^{\pm}}^{2} = \frac{v^{2}}{2v_{1}v_{2}c_{\xi}}\operatorname{Re}\left(m_{12}^{2} - v_{1}^{2}\lambda_{6} - v_{2}^{2}\lambda_{7} - v_{1}v_{2}e^{i\xi}\left[\lambda_{4} + \lambda_{5}\right]\right)$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix will be the masses of the three neutral scalars. In order to find these, a cubic equation needs to be solved. For our purposes, a different approach will suffice. We may rewrite the elements of the mass matrix \mathcal{M}_{ij}^2 in terms of the eigenvalues M_i^2 and elements of the rotation matrix R_{ij} as six equations:

$$\mathcal{M}_{11}^2 = M_1^2 R_{11}^2 + M_2^2 R_{21}^2 + M_3^2 R_{31}^2, \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{22}^2 = M_1^2 R_{12}^2 + M_2^2 R_{22}^2 + M_3^2 R_{32}^2, \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{33}^2 = M_1^2 R_{13}^2 + M_2^2 R_{23}^2 + M_3^2 R_{33}^2, \tag{5}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{12}^2 = M_1^2 R_{11} R_{12} + M_2^2 R_{21} R_{22} + M_3^2 R_{31} R_{32}, \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{13}^2 = M_1^2 R_{11} R_{13} + M_2^2 R_{21} R_{23} + M_3^2 R_{31} R_{33}, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{23}^2 = M_1^2 R_{12} R_{13} + M_2^2 R_{22} R_{23} + M_3^2 R_{32} R_{33}. \tag{8}$$

The above seven equations are linear in the λ_i -parameters of the potential. We have 10 such parameters (counting both real and imaginary parts of λ_5 , λ_6 and λ_7) and may now solve this set of seven equations for seven of the λ_i -parameters, thus expressing them in terms of the other parameters we have introduced. It is convenient to solve for the following set of parameters: $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \operatorname{Re}\lambda_5, \operatorname{Im}\lambda_6, \operatorname{Im}\lambda_7)$. We also introduce the more convenient parameter μ^2 by putting

$$\operatorname{Re} m_{12}^2 = \frac{2v_1v_2}{v^2}\mu^2.$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1 &= -\frac{v_2^2}{v^2 v_1^2 c_\xi^3} \mu^2 + \frac{\left(R_{11} v - R_{13} v_2 t_\xi\right)^2}{v^2 v_1^2} M_1^2 + \frac{\left(R_{21} v - R_{23} v_2 t_\xi\right)^2}{v^2 v_1^2} M_2^2 \\ &+ \frac{\left(R_{31} v - R_{33} v_2 t_\xi\right)^2}{v^2 v_1^2} M_3^2 - \frac{v_2^2 t_\xi}{2 v_1^2 c_\xi^2} \mathrm{Im} \lambda_5 - \frac{v_2 (2 c_2 \xi + 1)}{2 v_1 c_\xi^3} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_6 + \frac{v_2^3}{2 v_1^3 c_\xi^3} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_7, \\ \lambda_2 &= -\frac{v_1^2}{v^2 v_2^2 c_\xi^3} \mu^2 + \frac{\left(R_{12} v - R_{13} v_1 t_\xi\right)^2}{v^2 v_2^2} M_1^2 + \frac{\left(R_{22} v - R_{23} v_1 t_\xi\right)^2}{v^2 v_2^2} M_2^2 \\ &+ \frac{\left(R_{32} v - R_{33} v_1 t_\xi\right)^2}{v^2 v_2^2} M_3^2 - \frac{v_1^2 t_\xi}{2 v_2^2 c_\xi^2} \mathrm{Im} \lambda_5 + \frac{v_1^3}{2 v_2^3 c_\xi^3} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_6 - \frac{v_1 (2 c_2 \xi + 1)}{2 v_2 c_\xi^3} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_7, \\ \lambda_3 &= \frac{2}{v^2} M_H^2 \pm - \frac{1}{v^2 c_\xi^3} \mu^2 + \frac{\left(R_{12} v - R_{13} v_1 t_\xi\right) \left(R_{11} v - R_{13} v_2 t_\xi\right)}{v^2 v_1 v_2} M_1^2 \\ &+ \frac{\left(R_{22} v - R_{23} v_1 t_\xi\right) \left(R_{21} v - R_{23} v_2 t_\xi\right)}{v^2 v_1 v_2} M_2^2 \\ &+ \frac{\left(R_{32} v - R_{33} v_1 t_\xi\right) \left(R_{31} v - R_{33} v_2 t_\xi\right)}{v^2 v_1 v_2} M_2^2 \\ &+ \frac{\left(R_{32} v - R_{33} v_1 t_\xi\right) \left(R_{31} v - R_{33} v_2 t_\xi\right)}{v^2 v_1 v_2} M_2^3 \\ &- \frac{1}{2 c_\xi^2} t_\xi \mathrm{Im} \lambda_5 - \frac{v_1 c_2 \xi}{2 v_2 c_\xi^2} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_6 - \frac{v_2 c_2 \xi}{2 v_1 c_\xi^3} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_7, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda_4 &= -\frac{2}{v^2} M_{H^\pm}^2 + \frac{c_2 \xi}{v^2 c_\xi^2} \mu^2 + \frac{R_{13}^2}{v^2 c_\xi^2} M_1^2 + \frac{R_{23}^2}{v^2 c_\xi^2} M_2^2 + \frac{R_{33}^2}{v^2 c_\xi^2} M_3^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{2c_\xi^2} t_\xi \mathrm{Im} \lambda_5 - \frac{v_1 c_2 \xi}{2v_2 c_\xi^3} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_6 - \frac{v_2 c_2 \xi}{2v_1 c_\xi^3} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_7, \\ \mathrm{Re} \lambda_5 &= \frac{1}{v^2 c_\xi^3} \mu^2 - \frac{R_{13}^2}{v^2 c_\xi^2} M_1^2 - \frac{R_{23}^2}{v^2 c_\xi^2} M_2^2 - \frac{R_{33}^2}{v^2 c_\xi^2} M_3^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4c_\xi^3} (3s_\xi + s_{3\xi}) \mathrm{Im} \lambda_5 - \frac{v_1}{2v_2 c_\xi^3} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_6 - \frac{v_2}{2v_1 c_\xi^3} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_7, \\ \mathrm{Im} \lambda_6 &= -\frac{v_2 t_\xi}{v^2 v_1 c_\xi^2} \mu^2 + \frac{R_{13} \left(R_{13} v_2 t_\xi - R_{11} v \right)}{v^2 v_1 c_\xi} M_1^2 + \frac{R_{23} \left(R_{23} v_2 t_\xi - R_{21} v \right)}{v^2 v_1 c_\xi} M_2^2 \\ &+ \frac{R_{33} \left(R_{33} v_2 t_\xi - R_{31} v \right)}{v^2 v_1 c_\xi} M_3^2 - \frac{v_2}{2v_1 c_\xi^3} \mathrm{Im} \lambda_5 - \frac{1}{2c_\xi^2} t_\xi c_2 \xi \mathrm{Re} \lambda_6 + \frac{v_2^2 t_\xi}{2v_1^2 c_\xi^2} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_7, \\ \mathrm{Im} \lambda_7 &= -\frac{v_1 t_\xi}{v^2 v_2 c_\xi^2} \mu^2 + \frac{R_{13} \left(R_{13} v_1 t_\xi - R_{12} v \right)}{v^2 v_2 c_\xi} M_1^2 + \frac{R_{23} \left(R_{23} v_1 t_\xi - R_{22} v \right)}{v^2 v_2 c_\xi} M_2^2 \\ &+ \frac{R_{33} \left(R_{33} v_1 t_\xi - R_{32} v \right)}{v^2 v_2 c_\xi} M_3^2 - \frac{v_1}{2v_2 c_\xi^3} \mathrm{Im} \lambda_5 + \frac{v_1^2 t_\xi}{2v_2^2 c_\xi^2} \mathrm{Re} \lambda_6 - \frac{1}{2c_\xi^2} t_\xi c_2 \xi \mathrm{Re} \lambda_7. \end{split}$$