

Light scalar dark matter from double Higgs portal

Bohdan Grzadkowski
University of Warsaw

Matter to the Deepest
Recent Developments in Physics of Fundamental Interactions
XXXIX International Conference of Theoretical Physics
September 15th, 2015, Ustroń, Poland

Outline:

- 2HDM S Model
- Motivations
- Strategy
- Resulting Constraints on the parameter space
- Direct DM detection constraints
- Summary

- A. Drozd, B. G., J. F. Gunion and Y. Jiang, "Extending two-Higgs-doublet models by a singlet scalar field - the Case for Dark Matter", JHEP 1411 (2014) 105, arXiv:1408.2106.
- A. Drozd, B. G., J. F. Gunion and Y. Jiang, "Light Higgs portal DM and the role of isospin violation", in progress

2HDM_S model

2HDM_S - Yukawa Interactions

- Type I (only H_2 couples to fermions)
- Type II (H_2 couples to up-type fermions, H_1 other)

Symmetry: $Z_2 : H_1 \rightarrow -H_1$, other scalar fields Z_2 -even

$Z'_2 : S \rightarrow -S$, other fields Z'_2 -even

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} = & m_{11}^2 H_1^\dagger H_1 + m_{22}^2 H_2^\dagger H_2 - [m_{12}^2 H_1^\dagger H_2 + \text{h.c.}] + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} (H_1^\dagger H_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} (H_2^\dagger H_2)^2 \\ & + \lambda_3 (H_1^\dagger H_1) (H_2^\dagger H_2) + \lambda_4 (H_1^\dagger H_2) (H_2^\dagger H_1) + \left\{ \frac{\lambda_5}{2} (H_1^\dagger H_2)^2 + \text{h.c.} \right\} \\ & + \frac{m_0^2}{2} S^2 + \frac{\lambda_S}{4!} S^4 + \kappa_1 S^2 (H_1^\dagger H_1) + \kappa_2 S^2 (H_2^\dagger H_2) \end{aligned}$$

EWSB: Z'_2 unbroken \rightarrow NO VEV FOR $S \rightarrow$ NO MIXING WITH $H_{1,2}$

$$H_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1,2}^+ \\ (\nu_{1,2} + \rho_{1,2} + i\eta_{1,2})/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \tan \beta \equiv \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1}, \quad \nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2 = (246 \text{ GeV})^2$$


Literature

- X.-G. He, T. Li, X.-Q. Li, J. Tandean and H.-C. Tsai, *Constraints on scalar dark matter from direct experimental searches*, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 023521 [arXiv:0811.0658].
- B. G. and P. Osland, *Tempered two-Higgs-doublet model*, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 125026 [arXiv:0910.4068].
- A. Badin and A. A. Petrov, *Searching for light Dark Matter in heavy meson decays*, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 034005 [arXiv:1005.1277 [hep-ph]].
- M.S. Boucenna and S. Profumo, *Direct and indirect singlet scalar dark matter detection in the lepton-specific two-Higgs-doublet model*, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 055011 [arXiv:1106.3368].
- X.-G. He, B. Ren and J. Tandean, *Hints of standard model Higgs boson at the LHC and light dark matter searches*, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 093019 [arXiv:1112.6364].
- Y. Bai, V. Barger, L.L. Everett and G. Shaughnessy, *Two-Higgs-doublet-portal dark-matter model: LHC data and Fermi-LAT 135 GeV line*, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 015008 [arXiv:1212.5604].
- X.-G. He and J. Tandean, *Low-mass dark-matter hint from CDMS II, Higgs boson at the LHC and darkon models*, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 013020 [arXiv:1304.6058].
- Y. Cai and T. Li, *Singlet dark matter in a type-II two Higgs doublet model*, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 115004 [arXiv:1308.5346].
- L. Wang, *A simplified 2HDM with a scalar dark matter and the galactic center gamma-ray excess*, arXiv:1406.3598.
- C.-Y. Chen, M. Freid and M. Sher, *The next-to-minimal two Higgs doublet model*, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 075009 [arXiv:1312.3949].
- A. Drozd, B. G., J. F. Gunion and Y. Jiang, *Extending two-Higgs-doublet models by a singlet scalar field - the Case for Dark Matter*, JHEP 1411 (2014) 105, [arXiv:1408.2106].


Motivations

2HDM_S

- An attempt to provide both extra CP violation *and* DM candidate - 2HDM_S minimal model,
- 2HDM provides an interesting "low-mass" new physics accessible at the LHC,
- Chance for $M_{DM} < m_h/2$



Motivations



$$\Gamma(h \rightarrow SS) \propto \lambda_x^2 \quad \text{for} \quad V(H, S) = \dots + \lambda_x H^\dagger H S^2$$

A. Drozd, B.G. and J. Wudka, "Multi-scalar-singlet extension of the Standard Model - the case for dark matter and an invisible higgs boson", JHEP 1204 (2012) 006, arXiv:1112.2582

Strategy

5 mass eigenstates: h, H, A, H^\pm, S

$$V_S = \frac{1}{2} m_S^2 S^2 + \lambda_h v h S^2 + \lambda_H v H S^2 + \dots$$

- 10 parameters in the potential, various basis possible

General Basis:

- $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5$
- $m_{12}^2, \tan \beta$
- m_S, κ_1, κ_2

Physical Basis:

- $m_h, m_H, m_A, m_{H^\pm}, \sin \alpha$
- $m_{12}^2, \tan \beta$
- $m_S, \lambda_h, \lambda_H$



- 2 types of Yukawa interaction

	Type I and II		Type I		Type II	
	C_V	C_U	C_D	C_U	C_D	
Higgs						
h	$\sin(\beta - \alpha)$	$\cos \alpha / \sin \beta$	$\cos \alpha / \sin \beta$	$\cos \alpha / \sin \beta$	$-\sin \alpha / \cos \beta$	
H	$\cos(\beta - \alpha)$	$\sin \alpha / \sin \beta$	$\sin \alpha / \sin \beta$	$\sin \alpha / \sin \beta$	$\cos \alpha / \cos \beta$	
A	0	$\cot \beta$	$-\cot \beta$	$\cot \beta$	$\tan \beta$	

Strategy

B. Dumont, J. F. Gunion, Y. Jiang and S. Kraml, "Constraints on and future prospects for Two-Higgs-Doublet Models in light of the LHC Higgs signal", Phys. Rev. D **90**, 035021 (2014), arXiv:1405.3584

- theoretical constraints: perturbativity, vacuum stability, perturbative unitarity
- experimental constraints
 - B/LEP limits H^+ , e.g. from $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ one finds $m_{H^\pm} > 510$ GeV at 95%CL
 - S,T,U
 - LHC fit at 68% CL




2HDM

Take good 2HDM points

Scalar Singlet parameter scan:

- $m_S \in [1 \text{ GeV}, 1 \text{ TeV}],$
- $\lambda_h, \lambda_H \in [-4\pi, 4\pi],$
- theoretical constraints: perturbativity, vacuum stability, perturbative unitarity, EWSB ($\langle S \rangle = 0$),
- $BR(h \rightarrow SS) < 10\%,$
- WMAP/Planck,
- direct DM detection.

Strategy




Calculation of DM relic abundance Ω :

MicrOmegas by G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov, A. Semenov, Comput.Phys.Commun. 180 (2009) 747-767, arXiv:0803.2360



$$\Omega^{WMAP/Planck} = 0.1187 \pm 0.0017$$

Resulting Constraints on the parameter space



- h fits LHC data,
- small λ_h required by $BR(h \rightarrow SS) < 10\%$,
- substantial λ_H needed for Ω_{DM} ,
- m_H can not be too large.

Direct DM detection constraints



Direct DM detection constraints

TYPE II - isospin violation

$$\sigma_{DM-N} = \frac{4\mu_{ZA}^2}{\pi} f_p^2 \left[Z + \frac{f_n}{f_p}(A-Z) \right]^2$$


$$BR(h \rightarrow SS) \leq 0.1 \Rightarrow \lambda_h < 0.015$$

$$\frac{f_n}{f_p} = \frac{m_n}{m_p} \frac{\sum_q \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_h}{m_h^2} C_q^h + \frac{\lambda_H}{m_H^2} C_q^H \right) f_n^q \right]}{\sum_q \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_h}{m_h^2} C_q^h + \frac{\lambda_H}{m_H^2} C_q^H \right) f_p^q \right]} \rightarrow \frac{m_n \sum_q C_q^H f_n^q}{m_p \sum_q C_q^H f_p^q} \quad (\text{S - indep.})$$

Table: Yukawa couplings of up and down type quarks to light and heavy Higgs bosons h, H in Type I/II models. The Yukawa Lagrangian is normalised as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \frac{m_q}{v} C_q^h \bar{q} q h + \frac{m_q}{v} C_q^H \bar{q} q H$$

	Type I	Type II
C_U^h	$\cos \alpha / \sin \beta$	$\cos \alpha / \sin \beta$
C_U^h	$\cos \alpha / \sin \beta$	$-\sin \alpha / \cos \beta$
C_D^H	$\sin \alpha / \sin \beta$	$\sin \alpha / \sin \beta$
C_D^H	$\sin \alpha / \sin \beta$	$\cos \alpha / \cos \beta$



Direct DM detection constraints

TYPE II - isospin violation

$$\sigma_{DM-N} = \frac{4\mu_{ZA}^2}{\pi} f_p^2 A^2 \left[\frac{Z}{A} + \frac{f_n}{f_p} \left(1 - \frac{Z}{A} \right) \right]^2$$



$$\sigma_{DM-p}^{EXP} \geq \sigma_{DM-p}^{THEO} \Theta(f_n, f_p)$$

$$\Theta(f_n, f_p) = \left[\frac{Z}{A} + \frac{f_n}{f_p} \left(1 - \frac{Z}{A} \right) \right]^2$$

J. L. Feng, J. Kumar, D. Marfatia and D. Sanford, "Isospin-Violating Dark Matter", Phys. Lett. B **703**, 124 (2011) [arXiv:1102.4331]


Direct DM detection constraints

Type II, $m_h \simeq 125$ GeV and $\lambda_h \simeq 0$




Direct DM detection constraints

Type II, $m_h \simeq 125$ GeV and $\lambda_h \simeq 0$



Direct DM detection constraints

Type II, $m_H \simeq 125$ GeV and $\lambda_H \simeq 0$




Conclusions

- 2HDM is allowed by current collider limits, even in the non-decoupling regime
- 2HDMS provides a viable DM candidate and an opportunity for extra CP-violation
- $\Omega_{DM} h^2$ and LUX requirements are met for $m_{DM} \lesssim 50$ GeV within the Type II 2HDMS if
 - $h(H)$ is the state observed at the LHC
 - $\lambda_h(\lambda_H) \ll 1$ then $BR[h(H) \rightarrow SS] \ll 1$
 - H, h responsible for DM annihilation with $\lambda_H(\lambda_h) \sim 1$
 - LUX limit satisfied by isospin violation: $f_n/f_p < 1$ (or by resonance in the case of $m_H = 125$ GeV)
- LHC prospects for the Type II 2HDMS with isospin violation:
 - $H(h)$ invisible, as $BR(H \rightarrow SS) \sim 1$ or $BR(h \rightarrow SS) \sim 1$
 - $(\tan\beta, \sin\alpha)$ fixed ($h(H)$ Yukawa's SM like)
 - $m_{H^\pm} \gtrsim 510$ GeV
 - A and H^\pm interactions have to be investigated

Direct DM detection constraints

$$m_h \simeq 125 \text{ GeV} \quad \lambda_h \simeq 0$$



Theoretical constraints - Vacuum stability

2HDM Tree Level Vacuum Stability Constraints

- $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$
- $\lambda_3 > -\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$
- $\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - |\lambda_5| > -\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$
- $\lambda_3 > -\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$

Scalar Singlet Tree Level Vacuum Stability Constraints

- $\lambda_S > 0$
- $\kappa_1 > -\sqrt{\frac{1}{12} \lambda_1 \lambda_S}$
- $\kappa_2 > -\sqrt{\frac{1}{12} \lambda_2 \lambda_S}$
- if $\kappa_1 < 0$ or $\kappa_2 < 0$ then
 - $-2\kappa_1\kappa_2 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda_S\lambda_3 > -\sqrt{4(\frac{1}{12}\lambda_1\lambda_S - \kappa_1^2)(\frac{1}{12}\lambda_2\lambda_S - \kappa_2^2)}$
 - $-2\kappa_1\kappa_2 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda_S(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - |\lambda_5|) > -\sqrt{4(\frac{1}{12}\lambda_1\lambda_S - \kappa_1^2)(\frac{1}{12}\lambda_2\lambda_S - \kappa_2^2)}$