#### EXTENDED WEAK COUPLING LIMIT

Jan Dereziński

Based on joint work with Wojciech De Roeck

# 2. Various levels of description used in physics

- More exact fundamental description;
- More approximate effective description.

One of the aims of theoretical and mathematical physics is to justify effective models as limiting cases of more fundamental theories. 3. <u>Small quantum system weakly interacting</u> with a large reservoir.

We are interested in a class of dynamics generated by a Hamiltonian (self-adjoint operator)  $H_{\lambda}$  of the form Hamiltonian of the small system

- + Hamiltonian of the large reservoir
- +  $\lambda$  times interaction.

There are a number of varieties of such Hamiltonians used in quantum physics and they go under various names. We use the name Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonians. 4. Reduced weak coupling limit (Pauli, van Hove,...,Davies)

- Reduce the dynamics to the small system.
- Consider weak coupling  $\lambda \to 0$ .
- Rescale time as  $\frac{t}{\lambda^2}$ .
- Subtract the dynamics of the small system.

In the limit one obtains a dynamics given by a **completely positive Markov semigroup**. It is an irreversible non-Hamiltonian dynamics.

5. Extended weak coupling limit (Accardi-Frigerio-Lu, D.-De-Roeck)

Known also as stochastic limit.

- Consider weak coupling  $\lambda \to 0$ .
- Rescale time as  $\frac{t}{\lambda^2}$ .
- Rescale the reservoir energy by the factor of  $\lambda^2$  around the Bohr frequencies.
- Subtract the dynamics of the small system.

In the limit one obtains a (reversible) quantum Langevin dynamics, which gives a dilation of the completely positive semigroup obtained in the reduced weak coupling limit.

# PLAN OF THE MINICOURSE

- 1. DILATIONS OF CONTRACTIVE SEMIGROUPS
- 2. WEAK COUPLING LIMIT FOR FRIEDRICHS OPERATORS
- **3. COMPLETELY POSITIVE MAPS**
- 4. COMPLETELY POSITIVE SEMIGROUPS
- 5. PAULI FIERZ OPERATORS
- 6. LANGEVIN DYNAMICS OF MARKOV SEMIGROUPS
- 7. WEAK COUPLING LIMIT FOR PAULI-FIERZ OPERATORS
- 8. CANONICAL COMMUTATION RELATIONS
- 9. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE CCR IN FOCK SPACES
- 10. SMALL SYSTEM IN CONTACT WITH BOSE GAS

# 7. <u>DILATIONS</u> OF CONTRACTIVE SEMIGROUPS

# 8. <u>Dilations</u> of contractive semigroups

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a Hilbert space and  $e^{-it\Upsilon}$  a contractive semigroup. This implies that  $i\Upsilon$  is dissipative:

$$-i\Upsilon + i\Upsilon^* \le 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a Hilbert space containing  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $I_{\mathcal{K}}$  the embedding of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $e^{-itZ}$  a unitary group on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . We say that  $(\mathcal{Z}, I_{\mathcal{K}}, e^{-itZ})$  is a dilation of  $e^{-it\Upsilon}$  iff

$$I_{\mathcal{K}}^* e^{-itZ} I_{\mathcal{K}} = e^{-it\Upsilon}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

This clearly implies

$$I_{\mathcal{K}}^* e^{-itZ} I_{\mathcal{K}} = e^{-it\Upsilon^*}, \quad t \le 0.$$

We say that the dilation is minimal if  $\{e^{-itZ} \mathcal{K} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is total in  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

#### 9. <u>Standard construction of a dilation I</u>

We define the vector space  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  of functions f from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathcal{K}$ , such that

 $\{s \in \mathbb{R} | f(s) \neq 0\}$  is a finite set.

We equip  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  with a bilinear form

$$(f|f') := \sum_{t \ge s} (f(s)|e^{-i\Upsilon|t-s|} f'(t))_{\mathcal{K}} + \sum_{t < s} (f(s)|e^{i\Upsilon^*|t-s|} f'(t))_{\mathcal{K}}$$

One checks that the form  $(\cdot|\cdot)$  is positive definite. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the subspace of f, for which (f|f) = 0. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the completion of the pre-Hilbert space  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{N}$ .

#### 10. <u>Standard construction of a dilation II</u>

For  $u \in \mathcal{K}$  define  $Pu(s) := \delta_{s,0}u$ , where  $\delta_{s,0}$  is Kronecker's delta. Then  $Pu := [Pu] \in \mathcal{F}$  defines an isometric embedding of  $P : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{F}$ . Define now

$$\tilde{W}_t f(s) = f(s-t).$$

 $\tilde{W}_t$  is a one-parameter group on  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  that preserves the form  $(\cdot|\cdot)$ . Therefore, it defines a one-parameter unitary group  $W_t$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $W_t$  dilates the semigroup  $e^{-it\Upsilon}$ :

$$PW_tP = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\Upsilon}$$

In fact, it is a minimal dilation of  $e^{-it\Upsilon}$ .

## 11. Construction of a dilation

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be an auxiliary space and  $\nu : \mathcal{K} \to \mathfrak{h}$  satisfy  $\frac{1}{2i}(\Upsilon - \Upsilon^*) = -\pi \nu^* \nu.$ 

Let (1| be a linear functional with domain  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ : (1| $f = \int f(x) dx$ .

Let  $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$  be the operator of multiplication on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  by the variable x. Define  $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Introduce the singular Friedrichs operator given by the following formal expression:

 $Z := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) & (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nu^* \otimes (1) \\ (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nu \otimes |1) & Z_{\mathrm{R}} \end{bmatrix}$ Then  $(\mathcal{Z}, I_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tZ})$  is a dilation of  $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\Upsilon}$ . 12. <u>Construction of a dilation</u> – <u>the unitary group</u>

$$U_{t} = I_{\mathrm{R}}^{*} e^{-\mathrm{i}tZ_{\mathrm{R}}} I_{\mathrm{R}} + I_{\mathcal{K}}^{*} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\Upsilon} I_{\mathcal{K}}$$
  
$$-\mathrm{i}(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} I_{\mathcal{K}}^{*} \int_{0}^{t} du \ e^{-\mathrm{i}(t-u)\Upsilon} \nu^{*} \otimes (1|e^{-\mathrm{i}uZ_{\mathrm{R}}} I_{\mathrm{R}})$$
  
$$-(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} I_{\mathrm{R}}^{*} \int_{0}^{t} du \ e^{-\mathrm{i}(t-u)Z_{\mathrm{R}}} \nu \otimes |1| e^{-\mathrm{i}u\Upsilon} I_{\mathcal{K}}$$
  
$$(2\pi)^{-1} I_{\mathrm{R}}^{*} \int du_{1} du_{2} \ e^{-\mathrm{i}u_{2}Z_{\mathrm{R}}} \nu \otimes |1| e^{-\mathrm{i}(t-u_{2}-u_{1})\Upsilon} \nu^{*} \otimes (1|e^{-\mathrm{i}u_{1}Z_{\mathrm{R}}} I_{\mathrm{R}}.$$
  
$$0 \le u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{1}+u_{2} \le t$$

We check that  $U_t$  is a strongly continuous unitary group. Therefore, we can define Z as its unitary generator:  $U_t = e^{-itZ}$ . (Here  $I_R$  is the embedding of  $\mathfrak{h} \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ). 13. <u>Construction of a dilation</u>
– resolvent of the generator

For  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ , we define

$$R(z) := I_{\rm R}^* (z - Z_{\rm R})^{-1} I_{\rm R} + I_{\mathcal{K}}^* (z - \Upsilon)^{-1} I_{\mathcal{K}} + (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} I_{\mathcal{K}}^* (z - \Upsilon)^{-1} \nu^* \otimes (1 | (z - Z_{\rm R})^{-1} I_{\rm R} + (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} I_{\rm R}^* (z - Z_{\rm R})^{-1} \nu \otimes |\nu\rangle (z - \Upsilon)^{-1} I_{\mathcal{K}} + (2\pi)^{-1} I_{\rm R}^* (z - Z_{\rm R})^{-1} \nu \otimes |1\rangle (z - \Upsilon)^{-1} \nu^* \otimes (\nu | (z - Z_{\rm R})^{-1} I_{\rm R}; R(\overline{z}) := R(z)^*.$$

We can check that  $R(z_1) - R(z_2) = (z_2 - z_1)R(z_1)R(z_2)$ ,  $KerR(z) = \{0\}$ . Therefore, we can define Z as the selfadjoint operator Z satisfying  $R(z) = (z - Z)^{-1}$ . 14. <u>Construction of a dilation</u> – removing a cutoff

Z is the norm resolvent limit for  $r \to \infty$  of the following regularized operators:

$$Z_r := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} (\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) & (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nu^* \otimes (1|1_{[-r,r]}(Z_{\mathrm{R}})] \\ (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nu \otimes 1_{[-r,r]}(Z_{\mathrm{R}})|1) & 1_{[-r,r]}(Z_{\mathrm{R}})Z_{\mathrm{R}} \end{bmatrix}$$

(Note that it is important to remove the cut-off in a symmetric way).

# 15. False quadratic form of the generator of dilations

On  $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \otimes (L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}))$  we can define the (non-self-adjoint) quadratic form

$$Z^{+} := \begin{bmatrix} \Upsilon & (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nu^{*} \otimes (1) \\ (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nu \otimes |1) & Z_{\mathrm{R}} \end{bmatrix}$$

One can say that it is a "false form" of Z. In fact, for  $\psi, \psi' \in \mathcal{D}$ , the function  $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto (\psi | e^{-itZ} \psi')$  is differentiable away from t = 0, its derivative  $t \mapsto \frac{d}{dt}(\psi | e^{-itZ} \psi')$  is continuous away from 0 and at t = 0 it has the right limit equal to

$$-i(\psi|Z^+\psi') = \lim_{t\downarrow 0} t^{-1} \left(\psi|(e^{-itZ}-1)\psi'\right)$$

# 16. Scaling invariance

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , introduce the following unitary operator on  $\mathcal{Z}$ 

$$j_{\lambda}u = u, \quad u \in \mathcal{K}; \qquad j_{\lambda}g(y) := \lambda^{-1}g(\lambda^{-2}y), \quad g \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}.$$

Note that

$$j_{\lambda}^* Z_{\mathrm{R}} j_{\lambda} = \lambda^2 Z_{\mathrm{R}}, \quad j_{\lambda}^* |1) = \lambda |1).$$

Therefore, the operator Z is invariant with respect to the following scaling:

$$Z = \lambda^{-2} j_{\lambda}^* \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{2} \frac{1}{2} (\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) & \lambda (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nu^* \otimes (1) \\ \lambda (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nu \otimes |1\rangle & Z_{\mathrm{R}} \end{bmatrix} j_{\lambda}.$$

# 17. WEAK COUPLING LIMIT FOR FRIEDRICHS OPERATORS

## 18. Friedrichs operators

Let  $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a Hilbert space, where  $\mathcal{K}$  is finite dimensional. Let  $I_{\mathcal{K}}$  be the embedding of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let K be a self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $H_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a selfadjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $V : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Define the Friedrichs Hamiltonian

$$H_{\lambda} := \begin{bmatrix} K & \lambda V^* \\ \lambda V & H_{\mathrm{R}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

19.Reduced weak coupling limit<br/>for Friedrichs operators

Assume that  $\int \|V^* e^{-itH_R} V\| dt < \infty$ . Define the Level Shift Operator

$$\Upsilon := \sum_{k} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_k(K) V^* \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t(H_\mathrm{R}-k)} \,V \mathbf{1}_k(K) \mathrm{d}t.$$

Note that  $\Upsilon K = K \Upsilon$ .

Theorem.

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} e^{itK/\lambda^2} I_{\mathcal{K}}^* e^{-itH_{\lambda}/\lambda^2} I_{\mathcal{K}} = e^{-it\Upsilon}$$

## 20. Continuity of spectrum

Assumption. We suppose that for any  $k \in \operatorname{sp} K$  there exists an open  $I_k \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in I_k$ ,

$$\operatorname{Ran1}_{I_k}(H_{\mathbf{R}}) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_k \otimes L^2(I_k, \mathrm{d}x),$$

 $1_{I_k}(H_{\mathbf{R}})H_{\mathbf{R}}$  is the multiplication operator by the variable  $x \in I_k$  and

$$1_{I_k}(H_{\mathbf{R}})V \simeq \int_{I_k}^{\oplus} v(x) \mathrm{d}x.$$

We assume that  $I_k$  are disjoint for distinct k and  $x \mapsto v(x) \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{h}_k)$  is continuous at k.

## 21. Asymptotic space

Define  $\mathfrak{h} := \bigoplus_k \mathfrak{h}_k, \ \mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}).$  Let  $\nu : \mathcal{K} \to \mathfrak{h}$  be defined as

$$\nu := (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigoplus_k v(k) \mathbf{1}_k(K).$$

Note that it satisfies

$$\nu^*\nu = \frac{1}{i}(\Upsilon - \Upsilon^*).$$

As before, we set  $Z_{\rm R}$  to be the multiplication by x on  $L^2({\mathbb R})$  and

$$Z := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) & (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nu^* \otimes (1) \\ (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nu \otimes |1) & Z_{\mathrm{R}} \end{bmatrix},$$
  
so that  $(\mathcal{Z}, I_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tZ})$  is a dilation of  $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\Upsilon}$ .

#### 22. Scaling

For  $\lambda > 0$ , we define the family of partial isometries  $J_{\lambda,k} : \mathfrak{h}_k \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{h}_k \otimes L^2(I_k)$ :

$$(J_{\lambda,k}g_k)(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda}g_k(\frac{y-k}{\lambda^2}), & \text{if } y \in I_k; \\ 0, & \text{if } y \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I_k \end{cases}$$

We set  $J_{\lambda} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{H}$ , defined for  $g = (g_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_R$  by

$$J_{\lambda}g := \sum_{k} J_{\lambda,k}g_k,$$

and on  $\mathcal{K}$  equal to the identity. Note that  $J_{\lambda}$  are partial isometries and

$$s-\lim_{\lambda\searrow 0}J_{\lambda}^{*}J_{\lambda}=1.$$

23. Extended weak coupling limit for Friedrichs operators

On  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{K} \oplus \bigoplus_k \mathfrak{h}_k \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . we define the renormalizing Hamiltonian  $Z_{\text{ren}} := K \oplus \bigoplus_k k$ .

Theorem.

$$s^* - \lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} e^{i\lambda^{-2}tZ_{\text{ren}}} J^*_{\lambda} e^{-i\lambda^{-2}tH_{\lambda}} J_{\lambda} = e^{-itZ}$$

Here we used the strong\* limit:  $s^* - \lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} A_{\lambda} = A$ means that for any vector  $\psi$ 

$$\lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} A_{\lambda} \psi = A \psi,$$
$$\lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} A_{\lambda}^{*} \psi = A^{*} \psi.$$

# 24. <u>COMPLETELY POSITIVE MAPS</u>

#### 25. Positive maps

Let  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$  be Hilbert spaces. We say that a map  $\Lambda: B(\mathcal{K}_1) \to B(\mathcal{K}_2)$ 

is positive iff  $A \ge 0$  implies  $\Lambda(A) \ge 0$ . We say that  $\Lambda$  is Markov iff  $\Lambda(1) = 1$ .

## 26. *n*-positive maps

Let  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$  be Hilbert spaces. We say that a map  $\Lambda$  is *n*-positive iff

 $\Lambda \otimes \mathrm{id} : B(\mathcal{K}_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \to B(\mathcal{K}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ 

is positive. We say that it is completely positive, or c.p. for short iff it is n-positive for any n.

There are many positive but not completely positive maps. For instance, the transposition is positive but not 2-positive.

#### 27. The Stinespring dilation of a c.p. map

#### Theorem.

**1.** Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Hilbert space and  $\nu \in B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{h})$ . Then  $\Lambda(A) := \nu^* A \otimes 1 \nu$  (\*)

#### is c.p.

- 2. Conversely, if  $\Lambda$  is c.p., then there exist a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\nu \in B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{h})$  such that (\*) is true and  $B(\mathcal{K}_2) \otimes 1 \nu \mathcal{K}_1$  is dense in  $\mathcal{K}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ .
- 3. If  $\mathfrak{h}'$  and  $\nu'$  also satisfy the above properties, then there exists a  $U \in U(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}')$  such that  $\nu' = 1_{\mathcal{K}_2} \otimes U \nu$ .

We equip the algebraic tensor product  $B(\mathcal{K}_1) \otimes \mathcal{K}_2$  with the scalar product:

$$\tilde{v} = \sum_{i} X_{i} \otimes v_{i}, \quad \tilde{w} = \sum_{i} Y_{i} \otimes w_{i},$$
$$(\tilde{v}|\tilde{w}) = \sum_{i,j} (v_{i}|\Lambda(X_{i}^{*}Y_{j})w_{j}).$$

By the complete positivity, it is positive.

# 29. Construction of the Stinespring dilation II

Define

$$\pi_0(A)\tilde{v} := \sum_i AX_i \otimes v_i.$$

We check that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_0(A)\tilde{v}|\pi_0(A)\tilde{v}) &\leq \|A\|^2(\tilde{v}|\tilde{v}), \\ \pi_0(AB) &= \pi_0(A)\pi_0(B), \\ \pi_0(A^*) &= \pi_0(A)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the set of  $\tilde{v}$  with  $(\tilde{v}|\tilde{v}) = 0$ . Then the completion of  $\mathcal{H} := B(\mathcal{K}_1) \otimes \mathcal{K}_2/\mathcal{N}$  is a Hilbert space. There exists a nondegenerate \*-representation  $\pi$  of  $B(\mathcal{K}_1)$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\pi(A)(\tilde{v} + \mathcal{N}) = \pi_0(A)\tilde{v}.$$

For every such a representation we can identify  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $\mathcal{K}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{h}$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\pi(A) = A \otimes 1$ . We set

 $\nu v := 1 \otimes v + \mathcal{N}.$ 

We check that

$$\Lambda(A) = \nu^* A \otimes 1 \ \nu.$$

#### 31. Uniqueness of the Stinespring dilation

If  $\mathfrak{h}'$ ,  $\nu'$  is another pair. We check that

$$\left\|\sum_{i} X_{i} \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{h}} \nu v_{i}\right\| = \left\|\sum_{i} X_{i} \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{h}'} \nu' v_{i}\right\|.$$

Therefore, there exists a unitary  $U_0 : \mathcal{K}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{h} \to \mathcal{K}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{h}'$ such that  $U_0 \nu = \nu'$  and  $U_0 A \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{h}} = A \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{h}'} U_0$ . Therefore, there exists a unitary  $U : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}'$  such that  $U_0 = 1 \otimes U$ .

# Theorem. If $\Lambda$ is c.p. and $\Lambda(1)$ is invertible, then $\Lambda(A)^*\Lambda(1)^{-1}\Lambda(A) \leq \Lambda(A^*A).$

**Proof.** 

$$\Lambda(A)^* \Lambda(1)^{-1} \Lambda(A) = \nu^* A^* \otimes 1\nu (\nu^* \nu)^{-1} \nu^* A \otimes 1\nu$$
  
$$\leq \nu^* A^* A \otimes 1 \nu.$$

# **33.** COMPLETELY POSITIVE SEMIGROUPS

# 34. C.p. semigroups

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space. We will consider a c.p. semigroup on  $B(\mathcal{K})$ . We will always assume the semigroup to be continuous, so that it can be written as  $e^{tM}$  for a bounded operator M on  $B(\mathcal{K})$ It is called Markov if it preserves the identity.

If  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  are generators of (Markov) c.p. semigroups and  $c_1, c_2 \ge 0$ , then  $c_1M_1 + c_2M_2$  is a generator of a (Markov) c.p. semigroup. This follows by the Trotter formula. **Example 1.** Let  $\Upsilon = \Theta + i\Delta$  be an operator on  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $M(A) := i\Upsilon A - iA\Upsilon^* = i[\Theta, A] - [\Delta, A]_+$ is a generator of a c.p. semigroup and

$$e^{tM}(A) = e^{it\Upsilon} A e^{-it\Upsilon^*}$$

**Example 2.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a c.p. map on  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then it is the generator of a c.p. semigroup and

$$e^{t\Lambda}(A) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{j!} \Lambda^j(A).$$

**Theorem.** Let  $e^{tM}$  be a c.p. semigroup on a finite dimensional space  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then there exists self-adjoint operators  $\Theta$ ,  $\Delta$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ , an auxiliary Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{h}$  and an operator  $\nu \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$  such that M can be written in the so-called Lindblad form

$$M(S) = \mathbf{i}[\Theta, A] - [\Delta, A]_{+} + \nu^* A \otimes 1 \nu, \qquad A \in B(\mathcal{K}).$$

We can choose  $\Theta$  and  $\nu$  so that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Theta = 0, \quad \mathrm{Tr}\nu = 0.$$

 $e^{tM}$  is Markov iff  $2\Delta = \nu^* \nu$ .
## 37. Generators of c.p. semigroups II

**Remark.** If we identify  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}^n$ , then we can write

$$\nu^* A \otimes 1\nu = \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_j^* A \nu_j.$$

Then  $\operatorname{Tr}\nu = 0$  means  $\operatorname{Tr}\nu_j = 0, \ j = 1, \ldots, n$ .

The unitary group on  $\mathcal{K}$ , denoted  $U(\mathcal{K})$ , is compact. Therefore, there exists the Haar measure on  $U(\mathcal{K})$ , which we denote dU. Note that

$$\int UXU^* \mathrm{d}U = \mathrm{Tr}X.$$

Define

$$i\Theta - \Delta_0 := \int M(U^*) U dU,$$

where  $\Theta$  and  $\Delta_0$  are self-adjoint. Lemma.  $\int M(XU^*)UdU = (i\Theta - \Delta_0)X$ . Proof. First check this identity for unitary X, which follows by the invariance of the measure. But every operator is a linear combination of unitaries. 39. Construction of the Lindblad form II

Differentiating the inequality

$$e^{tM}(X)^* e^{tM}(1)^{-1} e^{tM}(X) \le e^{tM}(X^*X)$$

we obtain

$$M(X^*X) + X^*M(1)X - M(X^*)X - X^*M(X) \ge 0.$$

Replacing X with UXU, where U is unitary, we obtain  $M(X^*X)+X^*U^*M(1)UX-M(X^*U^*)UX-X^*U^*M(UX) \ge 0.$ Integrating over  $U(\mathcal{K})$  we obtain  $M(X^*X)+X^*X\operatorname{Tr} M(1)-(\mathrm{i}\Theta-\Delta_0)X^*X-X^*X(-\mathrm{i}\Theta-\Delta_0)^* \ge 0.$  40. Construction of the Lindblad form III

Define

$$\Delta_1 := \Delta_0 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} M(1),$$
  

$$\Lambda(A) := M(A) - (\mathrm{i}\Theta - \Delta_1)A - A(-\mathrm{i}\Theta - \Delta_1)A$$

Arguing as above we see that  $\Lambda$  is completely positive. Hence it can be written as

$$\Lambda(A) = \nu_1^* A \otimes 1 \nu_1.$$

## 41. The Hamiltonian part of the Lindblad form

The operator  $\Theta$  has trace zero, because

$$i\mathrm{Tr}\Theta + \mathrm{Tr}\Delta_0 = \int U_1 M(U^*) U U_1^* \mathrm{d}U \mathrm{d}U_1$$
$$= \int U_2 U M(U^*) U_2^* \mathrm{d}U \mathrm{d}U_2$$
$$= -i\mathrm{Tr}\Theta + \mathrm{Tr}\Delta_0.$$

We will say that the generator of a c.p. semigroup is purely dissipative if  $\Theta = 0$ .

### 42. Non-uniqueness of the Lindblad form

Let w be an arbitrary vector in  $\mathfrak{h}$  and

$$\Delta := \Delta_1 + \nu^* 1 \otimes |w| + \frac{1}{2} (w|w),$$
  
$$\nu := \nu_1 + 1 \otimes |w|.$$

Then the same generator of a c.p. semigroup can be written in two Lindblad forms:

$$(i\Theta - \Delta_1)A + A(-i\Theta - \Delta_1) + \nu_1^* A \nu_1$$
  
=  $(i\Theta - \Delta)A + A(-i\Theta - \Delta) + \nu^* A \nu.$ 

In particular, choosing  $w := -\text{Tr}\nu_1$ , we can make sure that  $\text{Tr}\nu = 0$ .

Let  $\rho$  be a nondegenerate density matrix. On  $B(\mathcal{K})$  we introduce the scalar product

$$(A|B)_{\rho} := \mathrm{Tr}\rho^{1/2}A^*\rho^{1/2}B$$

If M is a map on  $B(\mathcal{K})$ , then  $M^{*\rho}$  will denote the adjoint for this scalar product. Clearly,

$$M^{*\rho}(A) = \rho^{-1/2} M^{*}(\rho^{1/2} A \rho^{1/2}) \rho^{-1/2}$$

#### 44. Detailed Balance Condition I

Let M be a generator of a c.p. semigroup. Recall that it can be uniquely reresented as

$$M = \mathbf{i}[\Theta, \cdot] + M_{\mathrm{d}},$$

where  $M_d$  is its purely dissipative part and  $i[\Theta, \cdot]$  its Hamiltonian part. We say that M satisfies the Detailed Balance Condition for  $\rho$  iff  $M_d$  is self-adjoint and  $i[\Theta, \cdot]$  is anti-self-adjoint for  $(\cdot|\cdot)_{\rho}$ .

**Proposition.** If M, the generator of a Markov c.p. semigroup, satisfies the Detailed Balance Condition for  $\rho$ , then

$$[\Theta, \rho] = 0, \qquad M_{\rm d}(\rho) = 0.$$

#### 45. Detailed Balance Condition II

Theorem. Suppose that  $\delta$  is a positive operator and  $\epsilon$  is an antiunitary operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\epsilon^2 = 1$ ,  $\epsilon \delta \epsilon = \delta^{-1/2}$ . Let  $\nu \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$ . Assume that

$$\rho^{-1/2} \otimes 1 \ \nu \rho^{1/2} = 1 \otimes \delta \ \nu,$$

$$(\phi \otimes w | \nu \psi) = (\nu \phi | \psi \otimes \delta \epsilon w), \quad \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{K}, \quad w \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Then  $M(A) := -\frac{1}{2}[\nu^*\nu, A]_+ + \nu^*A \otimes 1\nu$ 

is a purely dissipative generator of a c.p. Markov semigroup satisfying the Detailed Balance Condition for  $\rho$ and  $\nu^* \nu \rho^{1/2} = \rho^{1/2} \nu^* \nu$ .

# 46. PAULI FIERZ OPERATORS

47. <u>Bosonic Fock spaces.</u> Creation/annihilation operators

1-particle Hilbert space:  $\mathcal{H}_{R}$ . Fock space:  $\Gamma_{s}(\mathcal{H}_{R}) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \otimes_{s}^{n} \mathcal{H}_{R}$ . Vacuum vector:  $\Omega = 1 \in \otimes_{s}^{0} \mathcal{H}_{R} = \mathbb{C}$ .

If  $z \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{R}}$ , then  $a(z)\Psi := \sqrt{n}(z|\otimes 1^{(n-1)\otimes}\Psi \in \otimes_{\mathrm{s}}^{n-1}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{R}}, \quad \Psi \in \otimes_{\mathrm{s}}^{n}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is the annihilation operator of z and  $a^{*}(z) := a(z)^{*}$  the corresponding creation operator. They are closable operators on  $\Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{R}})$ .

### 48. Second quantization

For an operator q on  $\mathcal{H}_R$  we define the operator  $\Gamma(q)$  on  $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{H}_R)$  by

$$\Gamma(q)\Big|_{\otimes^n_{\mathrm{s}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{R}}} = q \otimes \cdots \otimes q.$$

For an operator h on  $\mathcal{H}_R$  we define the operator  $d\Gamma(h)$  on  $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{H}_R)$  by

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma(h)\Big|_{\otimes^n_{\mathrm{s}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{R}}} = h \otimes 1^{(n-1)\otimes} + \cdots 1^{(n-1)\otimes} \otimes h.$$

Note the identity  $\Gamma(e^{ith}) = e^{itd\Gamma(h)}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_R$  be Hilbert spaces. Consider a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{K} \otimes \Gamma_s(\mathcal{H}_R)$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_R$  is the 1-particle space of the reservoir and  $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{H}_R)$  is the corresponding bosonic Fock space. The composite system is described by the self-adjoint operator

$$H_{\lambda} = K \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d\Gamma(H_{R}) + \lambda(a^{*}(V) + a(V))$$

Here K describes the Hamiltonian of the small system,  $d\Gamma(H_R)$  describes the dynamics of the reservoir expressed by the second quantization of  $H_R$ , and  $a^*(V)/a(V)$ are the creation/annihilation operators of an operator  $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H}_R)$ .

# 49. Creation/annihilation operators in coupled spaces

If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a Hilbert space and  $V \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H}_R)$ , then for  $\Psi \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_s^n \mathcal{H}_R$  we set

$$a(V)\Psi := \sqrt{n}V^* \otimes 1^{(n-1)\otimes}\Psi \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \otimes_{\mathrm{s}}^{n-1}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{R}}.$$

a(V) is called the annihilation operator of V and  $a^*(V) := a(V)^*$  the corresponding creation operator. They are closable operators on  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \Gamma_s(\mathcal{H}_R)$ .

## 51. <u>Alternative notation</u>

Identify  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{R}}$  with  $L^{2}(\Xi, \mathrm{d}\xi)$ , for some measure space  $(\Xi, \mathrm{d}\xi)$ , so that one can introduce  $a_{\xi}^{*}/a_{\xi}$  – the usual creation/annihilation operators. Let h be the multiplication operator by  $x(\xi)$ . Then V can be identified with a function  $\Xi \ni \xi \mapsto v(\xi) \in B(\mathcal{K})$  and we have an alternative notation:

$$d\Gamma(H_{\rm R}) = \int x(\xi) a_{\xi}^* a_{\xi} d\xi,$$
  

$$a^*(V) = \int v(\xi) a_{\xi}^* d\xi,$$
  

$$a(V) = \int v^*(k) a_{\xi} d\xi,$$
  

$$H = K + \int x(\xi) a_{\xi}^* a_{\xi} d\xi + \lambda \int \left( v(\xi) a_{\xi}^* + v^*(\xi) a_{\xi} \right) d\xi.$$

# 52.LANGEVIN DYNAMICSOF MARKOV SEMIGROUPS

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Suppose that we are given M, the generator of a c.p. Markov semigroup on  $B(\mathcal{K})$ . Recall that there exists an operator  $\Upsilon$ , an auxiliary Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{h}$  and an operator  $\nu$ from  $\mathcal{K}$  to  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$  such that

$$-\mathrm{i}\Upsilon + \mathrm{i}\Upsilon^* = -\nu^*\nu$$

and  $M\ {\rm can}\ {\rm be}\ {\rm written}\ {\rm in}\ {\rm the}\ {\rm Lindblad}\ {\rm form}$ 

$$M(A) = -i(\Upsilon A - A\Upsilon^*) + \nu^* A \otimes 1 \nu, \qquad A \in B(\mathcal{K}).$$

Let (1) denote the (unbounded) linear form on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$(1|f := \int f(x) \mathrm{d}x.$$

1) will denote the adjoint form. We define the 1particle space  $\mathcal{Z}_{R} := \mathfrak{h} \otimes L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ . The full Hilbert space is  $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{K} \otimes \Gamma_{s}(\mathcal{Z}_{R})$ .  $Z_{R}$  is the operator of multiplication by the variable x on  $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ . 55. Quantum Langevin dynamics II

We choose a basis  $(b_j)$  in  $\mathfrak{h}$  and write

$$\nu = \sum \nu_j \otimes |b_j).$$

Set

$$\nu_j^+ = \nu_j,$$
  
$$\nu_j^- = \nu_j^*.$$

We will denote by  $I_{\mathcal{K}}$  the embedding of  $\mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{K} \otimes \Omega$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

## 56. Quantum Langevin dynamics III

For  $t \ge 0$  we define the quadratic form

$$\begin{split} U_t &:= e^{-id\Gamma(Z_R)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int dt_n \cdots dt_1 \\ &\times (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ j_1, \dots, j_n}} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \in \{+, -\} \\ (-i)^n e^{-i(t-t_n)\Upsilon} \nu_{j_n}^{\epsilon_n} e^{-i(t_n - t_{n-1})\Upsilon} \cdots \nu_{j_1}^{\epsilon_1} e^{-i(t_1 - 0)\Upsilon} \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{k=1, \dots, n: \\ k=1, \dots, n:}} a^* (e^{it_k Z_R} | 1) \otimes b_{j_k}) \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{k'=1, \dots, n: \\ k'=1, \dots, n:}} a(e^{it_{k'} Z_R} | 1) \otimes b_{j_{k'}}); \\ U_{-t} &:= U_t^*. \end{split}$$

Theorem.  $U_t$  is a strongly continuous unitary group on  $\mathcal{Z}$ , and hence can be written as  $U_t = e^{-itZ}$  for some self-adjoint operator Z. We have

$$1_{\mathcal{K}}^* e^{-itZ} 1_{\mathcal{K}} = e^{-it\Upsilon},$$
  
$$1_{\mathcal{K}}^* e^{itZ} A \otimes 1 e^{-itZ} 1_{\mathcal{K}} = e^{tM}(A)$$

Formally (and also rigorously with an appropriate regularization)

$$Z = \frac{1}{2} (\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) + d\Gamma(Z_{\rm R}) + (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^* (\nu \otimes |1)) + (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} a (\nu \otimes |1))$$

# 58. <u>Quantum Langevin equation I</u> (Hudson - Parthasaraty)

The cocycle  $W_t := e^{itd\Gamma(Z_R)} e^{-itZ}$  solves

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{i}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}W_t \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) \right. \\ &+ (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}a^* \left(\nu \otimes |\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tZ_{\mathrm{R}}} 1)\right) + (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}a \left(\nu \otimes |\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tZ_{\mathrm{R}}} 1)\right) \right) W_t, \end{aligned}$$

## 59. Quantum Langevin equation II

Apply the Fourier transformation on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , so that  $(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}|1)$  will correspond to  $|\delta_0\rangle$ . Writing  $\hat{W}_t$  for  $W_t$  after this transformation, we obtain the quantum Langevin equation in a more familiar form:

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\hat{W}_{t} = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon + \Upsilon^{*}) + a^{*}\left(\nu \otimes |\delta_{t}\right)\right) + a\left(\nu \otimes |\delta_{t}\right)\right)\hat{W}_{t}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}_0 := \mathfrak{h} \otimes (C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ . Let  $\overset{\text{al}}{\Gamma}_{s}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ , denote the corresponding algebraic Fock space and  $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{K} \otimes \overset{\text{al}}{\Gamma}_{s}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ . For  $\psi, \psi' \in \mathcal{D}$ , and t > 0, the cocycle  $\hat{W}(t)$  solves

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\psi|\hat{W}(t)\psi') = \left(\psi|(\Upsilon + a^*(\nu \otimes |\delta_t))\hat{W}_t\psi'\right) + \sum_i \left(\psi|\nu_i\hat{W}_ta(|b_i)\otimes |\delta_t))\psi'\right)$$

61. The "age" of observables

For any Borel set  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the space  $L^2(I)$  can be treated as a subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, we have the decomposition

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathfrak{h} \otimes L^2(I)) \otimes \Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathfrak{h} \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus I)).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{R}}(I) := 1_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes B\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathfrak{h} \otimes L^{2}(I))\right),$$
$$\mathfrak{M}(I) := B\left(\mathcal{K} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathfrak{h} \otimes L^{2}(I))\right),$$

are well defined as von Neumann subalgebras of  $B(\mathcal{Z})$ .

## 62. Quantum Langevin dynamics and the observables

A quantum Langevin dynamics makes the bosons "older". At the time t = 0 they may become entangled with the small system.

Theorem. If t > 0 and  $I \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus ] - t, 0[$ , then  $e^{itZ} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(I) e^{-itZ} = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(I+t),$  $e^{itZ} \mathfrak{M}([-t,0]) e^{-itZ} = \mathfrak{M}([0,t]).$ 

# 63. <u>WEAK COUPLING LIMIT</u> FOR PAULI-FIERZ OPERATORS

64. Reduced weak coupling limit (E.B.Davies)

We consider a Pauli-Fierz operator

$$H_{\lambda} = K \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d\Gamma(H_{\mathrm{R}}) + \lambda(a^{*}(V) + a(V))$$

We assume that  $\mathcal{K}$  is finite dimensional and for any  $A \in B(\mathcal{K})$  we have  $\int ||V^*A \otimes 1| e^{-itH_0} V| |dt < \infty$ .

Theorem. There exists a Markov semigroup  $e^{tM}$  such that

 $\lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} e^{-itK/\lambda^2} I_{\mathcal{K}}^* e^{itH_{\lambda}/\lambda^2} A \otimes 1 e^{-itH_{\lambda}/\lambda^2} I_{\mathcal{K}} e^{itK/\lambda^2} = e^{tM}(A),$ 

and a contractive semigroup  $e^{-it\Upsilon}$  such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} e^{itK/\lambda^2} I_{\mathcal{K}}^* e^{-itH_{\lambda}/\lambda^2} I_{\mathcal{K}} = e^{-it\Upsilon}$$

Assumption. Suppose that for any  $\omega \in \operatorname{sp} K - \operatorname{sp} K$  there exists open  $I_{\omega} \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\omega \in I_{\omega}$  and

$$\operatorname{Ran1}_{I_{\omega}}(H_{\mathrm{R}}) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{\omega} \otimes L^{2}(I_{\omega}, \mathrm{d}x),$$

 $1_{I_{\omega}}(H_{\rm R})H_{\rm R}$  is the multiplication operator by the variable  $x\in I_{\omega}$  and

$$1_{I_{\omega}}(H_{\mathrm{R}})V \simeq \int_{I_{\omega}}^{\oplus} v(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

We assume that  $I_{\omega}$  are disjoint for distinct  $\omega$  and  $x \mapsto v(x) \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_{\omega})$  is continuous at  $\omega$ .

#### 66. Formula for the Davies generator I

The operator  $\Upsilon: \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$  arising in the weak coupling limit is

$$\Upsilon := -i \sum_{\omega} \sum_{k-k'=\omega} \int_0^\infty 1_k(K) V^* 1_{k'}(K) e^{-it(H_R - \omega)} V 1_k(K) dt.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{h} := \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathfrak{h}_{\omega}$ . We define  $\nu_{\omega} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_{\omega}$ 

$$\nu_{\omega} := (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\omega = k - k'} \mathbf{1}_k(K) v(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{k'}(K),$$

 $u: \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ 

$$\nu := \sum_{\omega} \nu_{\omega}.$$

#### 67. Formula for the Davies generator II

#### Note that

$$i\Upsilon - i\Upsilon^* = \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\substack{k-k'=\omega}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1_k(K) V^* 1_{k'}(K) e^{-it(H_R - \omega)} V 1_k(K) dt$$
$$= \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\substack{k-k'=\omega}} 1_k(K) v^*(\omega) 1_{k'}(K) v(\omega) 1_k(K)$$
$$= \nu^* \nu.$$

The generator of a c.p. Markov semigroup that arises in the reduced weak coupling limit, called sometimes the Davies generator is

$$M(A) = -i(\Upsilon A - A\Upsilon^*) + \nu^* A \otimes 1\nu, \qquad A \in B(\mathcal{K}).$$

Recall that given  $(\Upsilon, \nu, \mathfrak{h})$  we can define the space  $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}$ and the Langevin dynamics  $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tZ}$  on the space  $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{K} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{R}})$ . Recall that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{R}} = \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathfrak{h}_{\omega} \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

We will need the renormalizing Hamiltonian on  $\mathcal{Z}$ 

$$Z_{\rm ren} := E + d\Gamma(\bigoplus_{\omega} \omega).$$

#### 69. Scaling

For  $\lambda > 0$ , we define the family of partial isometries  $J_{\lambda,\omega} : \mathfrak{h}_{\omega} \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{h}_{\omega} \otimes L^2(I_{\omega})$ :

$$(J_{\lambda,\omega}g_{\omega})(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda}g_{\omega}(\frac{y-\omega}{\lambda^2}), & \text{if } y \in I_{\omega}; \\ 0, & \text{if } y \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I_{\omega} \end{cases}$$

We set  $J_{\lambda} : \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{R}} \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{R}}$ , defined for  $g = (g_{\omega})$  by  $J_{\lambda}g := \sum_{\omega} J_{\lambda,\omega}g_{\omega}.$ 

Note that  $J_{\lambda}$  are partial isometries and

s- 
$$\lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} J_{\lambda}^* J_{\lambda} = 1.$$

#### 70. Extended weak coupling limit

## (Inspired by Accardi-Frigerio-Lu). Theorem.

$$\mathbf{e}^* - \lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} \mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda^{-2}tZ_{\mathrm{ren}}} \Gamma(J_{\lambda}^*) \, \mathbf{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda^{-2}tH_{\lambda}} \, \Gamma(J_{\lambda}) = \mathbf{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tZ}$$

Theorem. (Convergence of the interaction picture).  $s^* - \lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} \Gamma(J_{\lambda}^*) e^{i\lambda^{-2}tH_0} e^{-i\lambda^{-2}(t-t_0)H_{\lambda}} e^{i\lambda^{-2}t_0H_0} \Gamma(J_{\lambda})$   $= e^{itZ_0} e^{-i(t-t_0)Z} e^{-it_0Z_0}.$ 

## 71. Asymptotics of correlation functions

Corrolary Let  $A_{\ell}, \ldots, A_1 \in B(\mathcal{Z})$  and  $t, t_{\ell}, \ldots, t_1, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$s^{*} - \lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} I_{\mathcal{K}}^{*} e^{i\lambda^{-2}tH_{0}} e^{-i\lambda^{-2}(t-t_{\ell})H_{\lambda}} e^{-i\lambda^{-2}t_{\ell}H_{0}}$$
$$\times \Gamma(J_{\lambda})A_{\ell}\Gamma(J_{\lambda}^{*}) \cdots \Gamma(J_{\lambda})A_{1}\Gamma(J_{\lambda}^{*})$$
$$e^{i\lambda^{-2}t_{1}H_{0}} e^{-i\lambda^{-2}(t_{1}-t_{0})H_{\lambda}} e^{-i\lambda^{-2}t_{0}H_{0}} I_{\mathcal{K}}$$

$$= I_{\mathcal{K}}^{*} e^{itZ_{0}} e^{-i(t-t_{\ell})Z} e^{-it_{\ell}Z_{0}} A_{\ell}$$
  
...  $A_{1} e^{it_{1}Z_{0}} e^{-i(t_{1}-t_{0})Z} e^{-it_{0}Z_{0}} I_{\mathcal{K}}$ 

# 72. CANONICAL COMMUTATION RELATIONS
Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a real vector space equipped with an antisymmetric form  $\omega$ . (We call  $\mathcal{Y}$  a symplectic space if  $\omega$  is nondegenerate). Let  $U(\mathcal{H})$  denote the set of unitary operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We say that

 $\mathcal{Y} \ni y \mapsto W^{\pi}(y) \in U(\mathcal{H})$ 

is a representation of the CCR over  ${\mathcal Y}$  in  ${\mathcal H}$  if

 $W^{\pi}(y_1)W^{\pi}(y_2) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_1\omega y_2}W^{\pi}(y_1 + y_2), \quad y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}.$ This implies the canonical commutation relation in the Weyl form

$$W^{\pi}(y_1)W^{\pi}(y_2) = e^{-iy_1\omega y_2} W^{\pi}(y_2)W^{\pi}(y_1).$$

Let  $\mathcal{Y} \ni y \mapsto W^{\pi}(y)$  be a representation of the CCR. We say that  $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  is cyclic if  $\operatorname{Span}\{W^{\pi}(y)\Psi : y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Clearly,

 $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto W^{\pi}(ty) \in U(\mathcal{H})$ 

is a 1-parameter group. We say that a representation of the CCR ) is regular if this group is strongly continuous for each  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

## 75. Field operators

Assume that  $y \mapsto W^{\pi}(y)$  be a regular representation of the CCR.

$$\phi^{\pi}(y) := -\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W^{\pi}(ty) \Big|_{t=0}$$

 $\phi^{\pi}(y)$  will be called the field operator corresponding to  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ . We have Heisenberg canonical commutation relation

$$[\phi^{\pi}(y_1), \phi^{\pi}(y_2)] = \mathrm{i}y_1 \omega y_2$$

We can extend the definition of field operators to the complexification  $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$ :

$$\phi(y_{\mathrm{R}} + \mathrm{i} y_{\mathrm{I}}) = \phi(y_{\mathrm{R}}) + \mathrm{i} \phi(y_{\mathrm{I}}).$$

# 76. Quasi-free representations

Let  $\mathcal{Y} \ni y \mapsto W^{\pi}(y)$  be a representation of the CCR. We say that  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$  is a quasi-free vector iff there exists a quadratic form  $\eta$  such that

$$(\Psi|W(y)\Psi) = \exp(-\frac{1}{4}y\eta y).$$

Note that  $\eta$  is necessarily positive, that is  $y\eta y \ge 0$  for  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

A representation is called quasi-free if there exists a cyclic quasi-free vector in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

It is easy to see that quasi-free representations are regular. Therefore, in a quasi-free representation we can define the corresponding field operators, denoted  $\phi(y)$ .

## 77. Correlation functions

Theorem. Let  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ . Suppose we are given a regular representation of the CCR

$$\mathcal{Y} \ni y \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi(y)} \in U(\mathcal{H}).$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) For any  $n = 1, 2, ..., y_1, ..., y_n \in \mathcal{Y}, \Psi \in \text{Dom}(\phi(y_1) \cdots \phi(y_n))$ , and

$$(\Psi|\phi(y_1)\cdots\phi(y_{2m-1})\Psi) = 0;$$
  

$$(\Psi|\phi(y_1)\cdots\phi(y_{2m})\Psi)$$
  

$$= \sum_{\sigma\in\text{Pairings}(2m)} \prod_{j=1}^m (\Psi|\phi(y_{\sigma(2j-1)})\phi(y_{\sigma(2j)})\Psi).$$

(2)  $\Psi$  is a quasi-free vector.

## 78. Conjugate Hilbert space

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a (complex) Hilbert space. The space conjugate to  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a Hilbert space  $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$  equipped with an antilinear map

$$\mathcal{Z} \ni z \mapsto \overline{z} \in \mathcal{Z}$$

such that  $(\overline{z}_1|\overline{z}_2) = \overline{(z_1|z_2)}$ . We will write  $\overline{\overline{z}} = z$ .

Natural model of a conjugate space: take  $\overline{Z} = Z$  as a real vector space;  $\overline{z} = z$ ; the new multiplication by the imaginary unit changes the sign:

$$i \overline{\cdot} z := -i \cdot z.$$

79. <u>Symplectic space</u> built on a complex Hilbert space

For a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{Z}$  we define

$$\mathcal{Y} = \operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{Z} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Z}}) := \{(z, \overline{z}) : z \in \mathcal{Z}.\}.$$

 ${\mathcal Y}$  is equipped with symplectic form

$$(z,\overline{z})\omega(w,\overline{w}) = 2\mathrm{Im}(z|w).$$

Note that  $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{Y}$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{Z}\oplus\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ .

80. Creation/annihilation operators

Suppose that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{Z} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Z}}) \ni y \mapsto W(y) \in U(\mathcal{H}).$$

is a regular representation of the CCR. For  $z \in \mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathcal{Y}$  we introduce creation/annihilation operators

$$a(z) := \phi(0, \overline{z}), \quad a^*(z) = \phi(z, 0).$$

They satisfy the usual relations

$$[a(z_1), a(z_2)] = 0, \quad [a(z_1), a(z_2)] = 0, [a(z_1), a^*(z_2)] = (z_1|z_2).$$

# 81. Identifying a symplectic space with a Hilbert space

Often we identify  $\mathcal{Y}$  with  $\mathcal{Z}$  by

$$\mathcal{Z} \ni z \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z,\overline{z}) \ni \mathcal{Y}$$

so that  $z\omega w = \operatorname{Im}(z|w)$ . Then

$$\phi(w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a^*(w) + a(w)),$$
  
$$a^*(w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi(w) - i\phi(w)),$$
  
$$a(w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi(w) + i\phi(w)).$$

# 82. <u>REPRESENTATIONS OF THE CCR</u> <u>IN FOCK SPACES</u>

## 83. Fock representation of the CCR

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a Hilbert space and consider the creation/annihilation operators acting on the Fock space  $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$ . Then

$$\phi(w) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( a^*(w) + a(w) \right)$$

are self-adjoint and

$$\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{Z} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Z}}) \simeq \mathcal{Z} \ni z \mapsto \exp \mathrm{i}\phi(w)$$

is a regular representation of the CCR called the Fock representation. We have

$$(\Omega | e^{i\phi(w)} \Omega) = e^{-\frac{1}{4}(w|w)}.$$
$$a(z)\Omega = 0.$$

It is an example of a quasi-free representation.

#### 84. Double Fock space

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a Hilbert space and consider the Fock space  $\Gamma_{s}(\mathcal{Z} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Z}})$ . We have creation/annihilation operators  $a^{*}(z_{1}, z_{2}), a(z_{1}, z_{2})$ , satisfying

$$\begin{bmatrix} a^*(z_1, \overline{z}_2), a^*(w_1, \overline{w}_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a(z_1, \overline{z}_2), a(w_1, \overline{w}_2) \end{bmatrix} = 0, \\ \begin{bmatrix} a(z_1, \overline{z}_2), a^*(w_1, \overline{w}_2) \end{bmatrix} = (z_1 | w_1) + \overline{(z_2 | w_2)}.$$

The antiunitary involution

$$\mathcal{Z} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Z}} \ni (z_1, \overline{z}_2) \mapsto \epsilon(z_1, \overline{z}_2) := (z_2, \overline{z}_1) \in \mathcal{Z} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Z}},$$

will be useful. Note that

$$\Gamma(\epsilon)a(z_1,\overline{z}_2)\Gamma(\epsilon) = a(z_2,\overline{z}_1),$$
  

$$\Gamma(\epsilon)a^*(z_1,\overline{z}_2)\Gamma(\epsilon) = a^*(z_2,\overline{z}_1).$$

85. <u>Parametrization of Araki-Woods</u> representation of the CCR

Fix a self-adjoint operator  $\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  satisfying  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $\operatorname{Ker}(\gamma - 1) = \{0\}$ . We will also use a positive operator  $\rho$ on  $\mathcal{Z}$  called the 1-particle density related to  $\gamma$  by

$$\gamma := \rho (1+\rho)^{-1}, \quad \rho = \gamma (1-\gamma)^{-1}.$$

#### 86. Left Araki-Woods representation of the CCR

 $\mathcal{Z} \supset \operatorname{Dom}(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}) \ni z \mapsto W_{\gamma,l}(z) \in U(\Gamma_{s}(\mathcal{Z} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Z}}))$  is a regular representation of the CCR, called the left Araki-Woods representation, where

$$\begin{split} a_{\gamma,l}^{*}(z) &:= a^{*} \Big( (\rho+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} z, 0 \Big) + a \Big( 0, \overline{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{z} \Big), \\ a_{\gamma,l}(z) &:= a \Big( (\rho+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} z, 0 \Big) + a^{*} \Big( 0, \overline{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{z} \Big), \\ \phi_{\gamma,l}(z) &:= 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (a_{\gamma,l}^{*}(z) + a_{\gamma,l}(z)), \quad W_{\gamma,l}(z) := e^{i\phi_{\gamma,l}(z)} . \end{split}$$
  
In fact,  $W_{\gamma,l}(z_{1}) W_{\gamma,l}(z_{2}) = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{Im}(z_{1}|z_{2})} W_{\gamma,l}(z_{1}+z_{2}).$  We will write  $\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma,l}^{\text{AW}}$  for the von Neumann algebra generated by  $W_{\gamma,l}(z).$ 

 $\mathbf{W}$ 

W

## 87. Right Araki-Woods representation of the CCR

 $\overline{\mathcal{Z}} \supset \text{Dom}(\overline{\rho^2}) \ni z \mapsto W_{\gamma,r}(z) \in U(\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Z}}))$  is a regular representation of the CCR, called the right Araki-Woods representation, where

$$\begin{split} a^*_{\gamma,\mathrm{r}}(\overline{z}) &:= a^* \Big( 0, (\rho+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} z \Big) + a \Big( \overline{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{z}, 0 \Big), \\ a_{\gamma,\mathrm{r}}(\overline{z}) &:= a \Big( 0, (\rho+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} z \Big) + a^* \Big( \overline{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{z}, 0 \Big), \\ \phi_{\gamma,\mathrm{r}}(\overline{z}) &:= 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (a^*_{\gamma,\mathrm{r}}(\overline{z}) + a_{\gamma,\mathrm{r}}(\overline{z})), \quad W_{\gamma,\mathrm{r}}(\overline{z}) := \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi_{\gamma,\mathrm{r}}(\overline{z})} \,. \\ \mathbf{In \ fact}, \ W_{\gamma,\mathrm{r}}(\overline{z}_1) W_{\gamma,\mathrm{r}}(\overline{z}_2) &= \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\mathrm{Im}(z_1|z_2)} W_{\gamma,\mathrm{l}}(\overline{z}_1 + \overline{z}_2). \ \mathbf{We \ will} \\ \mathbf{write} \ \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{AW}}_{\gamma,\mathrm{r}} \ \mathbf{for \ the \ von \ Neumann \ generated \ by \ W_{\gamma,\mathrm{r}}(\overline{z}). \end{split}$$

# 88. Araki-Woods representation of the CCR as a quasi-free representation

The vacuum  $\Omega$  is a bosonic quasi-free vector for  $W_{\gamma,l}$ . Its expectation value for the Weyl operators is equal to

$$\left(\Omega|W_{\gamma,\mathbf{l}}(z)\Omega\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}(z|z) - \frac{1}{2}(z|\rho z)\right)$$

and the "two-point functions" are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\Omega | a_{\gamma,l}^*(z_1) a_{\gamma,l}(z_2) \Omega\right) = (z_2 | \rho z_1), \\ &\left(\Omega | a_{\gamma,l}^*(z_1) a_{\gamma,l}^*(z_2) \Omega\right) = 0, \\ &\left(\Omega | a_{\gamma,l}(z_1) a_{\gamma,l}(z_2) \Omega\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

89. Araki-Woods representation of the CCR and von Neumann algebras  $and \nabla C(c) = a^{AW}$  of a Hence  $\Gamma(c) \mathfrak{m}^{AW} \Gamma(c) = \mathfrak{m}^{AW}$ 

 $\Gamma(\epsilon)a_{\gamma,l}^{AW}\Gamma(\epsilon) = a_{\gamma,r}^{AW}$ , etc. Hence,  $\Gamma(\epsilon)\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma,l}^{AW}\Gamma(\epsilon) = \mathfrak{M}_{\gamma,r}^{AW}$ .  $\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma,l}^{AW}$  is a factor. If  $\gamma = 0$ , then it is of type I. If  $\gamma$  has some continuous spectrum, it is of type III.

Proposition. Ker $\gamma = \{0\}$ iff  $\Omega$  is a cyclic vector for  $\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma,l}^{AW}$ iff  $\Omega$  is a separating vector for  $\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma,l}^{AW}$ iff  $(\Omega|\cdot\Omega)$  is a faithful state on  $\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma,l}^{AW}$ . In this the case, the Tomita-Takesaki theory yields the modular conjugation  $J = \Gamma(\epsilon)$  and the modular operator  $\Delta = \Gamma(\gamma \oplus \overline{\gamma}^{-1})$ . 90. <u>Araki-Woods representation of the CCR</u> and free dynamics

Let h be a positive self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal Z$  commuting with  $\gamma$  and

$$\tau^t(W_{\gamma,\mathbf{l}}(z)) := W_{\gamma,\mathbf{l}}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}th} z).$$

Then  $t \mapsto \tau^t$  extends to a  $W^*$ -dynamics on  $\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma,l}^{AW}$  and

$$L = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(h \oplus (-\overline{h}))$$

is its Liouvillean, that means

$$\tau^{t}(A) = e^{itL} A e^{-itL}, \quad A \in \mathfrak{M}_{\gamma,l}^{AW},$$
$$L\Omega = 0.$$

 $(\Omega|\cdot\Omega)$  is a  $(\tau,\beta)$ -KMS state iff  $\gamma = e^{-\beta h}$ , or equivalently, the density satisfies the Planck law  $\rho = (e^{\beta h} - 1)^{-1}$ .

91. Confined Bose gas

Assume that  $\gamma$  (and equivalently  $\rho$ ) is trace class. Then  $\Gamma(\gamma)$  is trace class with

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Gamma(\gamma) = \det(1-\gamma)^{-1} = \det(1+\rho).$$

Define the state  $\omega_{\gamma}$  on the  $W^*$ -algebra  $B(\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z}))$  given by the density matrix

$$\Gamma(\gamma)/\mathrm{Tr}\Gamma(\gamma).$$

Then

$$\omega_{\gamma}(W(z)) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}(z|z) - \frac{1}{2}(z|\rho z)\right)$$

Thus we obtain the same expectation values as for the Araki-Woods representation. 92. <u>Confined Bose gas</u> in terms of a Araki-Woods representations

There exists a unitary operator

 $R_{\gamma}: \Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(Z) \otimes \Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}) \to \Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{Z} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Z}})$ 

(a Bogoliubov transformation) such that

$$W_{\gamma,l}(z) = R_{\gamma}W(z) \otimes 1R_{\gamma}^{*},$$
  
$$\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma,l}^{AW} = R_{\gamma} B(\Gamma_{s}(\mathcal{Z})) \otimes 1 R_{\gamma}^{*}$$

# 93. SMALL SYSTEM IN CONTACT

WITH BOSE GAS

94. <u>Small quantum system</u> in contact with Bose gas at zero density

Hilbert space of the small quantum system:  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}^n$ . The Hamiltonian of the small system: K. The free Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\rm fr} := K \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \int |\xi| a^*(\xi) a(\xi) d\xi.$$

$$\mathbb{R}^d \ni \xi \mapsto v(\xi) \in B(\mathcal{K})$$

describes the interaction:

$$V := \int v(\xi) \otimes a^*(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi + \mathrm{ho}$$

The full Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonian:  $H := H_{fr} + \lambda V$ . The Pauli-Fierz system at zero density:

$$\left(B(\mathcal{K}\otimes\Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})),\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\cdot\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\right)$$

95. Small quantum system in contact with Bose gas at density  $\rho$ .

The algebra of observables of the composite system:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma} := B(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{\gamma, \mathbf{l}}^{\mathrm{AW}} \subset B\left(\mathcal{K} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \oplus L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))\right).$$

The free Pauli-Fierz semi-Liouvillean at density  $\rho$ :

$$L_{\mathrm{fr}}^{\mathrm{semi}} := K \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \left( \int |\xi| a_{\mathrm{l}}^*(\xi) a_{\mathrm{l}}(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi - \int |\xi| a_{\mathrm{r}}^*(\xi) a_{\mathrm{r}}(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi \right).$$

The interaction:  $V_{\gamma} := \int v(\xi) \otimes a_{\gamma,l}^*(\xi) d\xi + hc.$ The full Pauli-Fierz semi-Liouvillean at density  $\rho$ :

$$L_{\gamma}^{\text{semi}} := L_{\text{fr}}^{\text{semi}} + \lambda V_{\gamma}.$$

The Pauli-Fierz  $W^*$ -dynamical system at density  $\rho$ :

$$(\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma}, \tau_{\gamma}), \text{ where } \tau_{\gamma,t}(A) := \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tL_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{semi}}} A \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tL_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{semi}}}$$

96. Relationship between the dynamics at zero density and at density  $\rho$ .

Set  $\gamma = 0$  (equivalently,  $\rho = 0$ ).  $\mathfrak{M}_0 \simeq B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \otimes 1.$   $L_0^{\mathrm{semi}} \simeq H \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \int |\xi| a_{\mathrm{r}}^*(\xi) a_{\mathrm{r}}(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi.$  $\tau_0 t(A \otimes 1) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} A \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH} \otimes 1.$ 

If we formally replace  $a_{l}(\xi)$ ,  $a_{r}(\xi)$  with  $a_{\gamma,l}(\xi)$ ,  $a_{\gamma,r}(\xi)$ (the CCR do not change!) then  $\mathfrak{M}_{0}$ ,  $L_{0}^{\text{semi}}$ ,  $\tau_{0}$  transform into  $\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma}$ ,  $L_{\gamma}^{\text{semi}}$ ,  $\tau_{\gamma}$ . In the case of a finite number of degrees of freedom this can be implemented by a unitary Bogoliubov transformation.  $(\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma}, \tau_{\gamma})$  can be viewed as a thermodynamical limit of  $(\mathfrak{M}_{0}, \tau_{0})$ .

#### 97. Thermal reservoirs

Theorem. If  $\gamma = e^{-\beta|\xi|}$ , then

- 1. In the reduced weak coupling limit we obtain a c.p. Markov semigroup satisfying the Detailed Balance Condition wrt the state given by the density matrix  $e^{-\beta K}/Tr e^{-\beta K}$ ;
- 2. For any  $\lambda$  there exists a normal KMS state for the  $W^*$ -dynamical system  $\tau_{\gamma}$ ; Araki, D.-Jakšić-Pillet
- 3. Under some conditions on the interaction saying that it is sufficiently regular and effective, there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for  $0 < |\lambda| < \lambda_0$ , this state is a unique normal stationary state (Jakšić-Pillet, D-Jakšić, Bach-Fröhlich-Sigal, Fröhlich-Merkli).

98. Standard representation of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma}$ .

Often one uses the so-called standard representation:  $\pi:\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma}\to B(\mathcal{K}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{K}}\otimes\Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}(L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})\oplus L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})),$  $\pi(A \otimes B) = A \otimes 1 \otimes B,$  $J\Phi_1 \otimes \overline{\Phi}_2 \otimes \Psi = \Phi_2 \otimes \overline{\Phi}_1 \otimes \Gamma(\epsilon) \Psi.$ The free Pauli-Fierz Liouvillean:  $L_{\rm fr} := K \otimes 1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \overline{K} \otimes 1$  $+1 \otimes 1 \otimes \int (|\xi| (a_1^*(\xi)a_1(\xi) - a_1^*(\xi)a_1(\xi))) d\xi,$  $\pi(V_{\gamma}) = \int v(\xi) \otimes 1 \otimes a_{\gamma,l}^*(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi + \mathrm{hc},$  $J\pi(V_{\gamma})J = \int 1 \otimes \overline{v}(\xi) \otimes 1 \otimes a_{\gamma,\mathbf{r}}^*(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi + \mathrm{hc.}$ The full Pauli-Fierz Liouvillean at density  $\rho$ :

$$L_{\gamma} = L_{\rm fr} + \lambda \pi(V_{\gamma}) - \lambda J \pi(V_{\gamma}) J.$$