

# Energy and angular momentum of the weak gravitational waves on the Schwarzschild background – quasilocal gauge-invariant formulation

Jacek Jezierski\*

Department of Mathematical Methods in Physics,  
University of Warsaw, ul. Hoża 74, 00-682 Warsaw, Poland

General Relativity and Gravitation **31** (1999) 1855-1890

## Abstract

Four-dimensional spherically covariant gauge-invariant quasilocal framework for the perturbation of the Schwarzschild metric is given. An important ingredient of the analysis is the *concept of quasilocality*, which does duty for the separation of angular variables in the usual approach. Moreover, there is no need to represent perturbations by normal modes (with time dependence  $\exp(-ikt)$ ). We consider fields in spacetime and the Cauchy problem for them is well defined outside the horizon. A precise and full analysis for the “mono-dipole” part of the theory is presented. Direct construction (from the constraints) of the reduced canonical structure for the initial data and explicit formulae for the gauge-invariants are proposed. The reduced symplectic structure explains the origin of the axial and polar invariants. This enables one to introduce an energy and angular momentum for the gravitational waves, which is invariant with respect to the gauge transformations. An explicit expression for the energy and new proposition for angular momentum is introduced, in particular, compatibility of the Christodoulou-Kleinerman S.A.F. condition with well-posedness of our functionals is checked. Both generators (energy and angular momentum) represent quadratic approximation of the ADM nonlinear formulae in terms of the perturbations of the Schwarzschild metric. The boundary-initial value problem for the linearized Einstein equations on a Schwarzschild background outside the horizon is formulated. The previously known results are presented in a new geometric and self-consistent way. Both degrees of freedom fulfill the generalized scalar wave equation. For the axial degree of freedom the radial part of the equation corresponds to the Regge-Wheeler result and for the polar one we get Zerilli result.

## 1 Introduction

We propose natural gauge-invariant canonical variables, which are assigned to the spherical foliation of the Schwarzschild background in a spherically covariant way. The gauge-invariant quantities, we obtain, are scalars with respect to the spherical angles  $(\theta, \varphi)$  and the whole formulation *does not* depend on the choice of the coordinates on a sphere. In the usual approach ([1], [2], [3], [5]) we deal with spherical tensor harmonics. The tensor harmonics components transform in a nontrivial way with respect to the diffeomorphism group on  $S^2$ . For scalars the situation is much simpler. Roughly speaking, we only need to fix radial coordinate  $r$  and temporal  $t$ , and the formulation is “spherically” covariant (coordinate free formulation with respect to the spherical angles).

We distinguish the novel aspects of our work in subsection below (see also discussion in Section 8).

---

\*Partially supported by a grant KBN Nr 2 P03A 047 15. E-mail: Jacek.Jezierski@fuw.edu.pl

In Section 2 we introduce standard notions for linearized gravity and its (3+1)-formulation.

Section 3 contains the main technical results related to the so-called (2+1)-decomposition of the initial data and to the gauge invariant description of the evolution. The invariants introduced in this Section contain the full gauge-independent information about initial data. If we “insert” the initial value constraints into the canonical symplectic structure, we can express the symplectic two-form  $\Omega$  in terms of the invariants. This is precisely shown in Appendix B. This way our invariants play a role of the reduced unconstrained initial data, which is gauge independent. In particular, if we choose usual spherical angles  $(\theta, \varphi)$  and decompose our invariants with respect to the spherical harmonics, we obtain Moncrief’s gauge-invariant quantities [5] (see also discussion in Section 8). Moreover, the axial degree of freedom fulfills four-dimensional counterpart of the radial equation proposed by Regge and Wheeler [1], [4], [5], and the polar invariant is related to the Zerilli equation [2], [4], [5].

In the next Section the analysis of constraints and Killing fields on an initial surface gives charges for the linear field on the Schwarzschild background.

Section 5 is devoted to the stationary solutions, their behaviour on the horizon and precise interpretation of the “mono-dipole” solutions.

Reduction of the symplectic form presented in Appendix B allows to introduce invariants from the symplectic point of view in Section 6. In the next Section we define (in a gauge-invariant way) a hamiltonian system, energy and angular momentum generators and boundary-initial value problem for the linearized field on the Schwarzschild background. Although one can rediscover the formulae (4.19 and 5.34 in [5]) splitting the odd and even part of the energy (7.2) into multipoles, the expression (7.3) for the angular momentum seems to be a new one. Moreover, we are able to show that the obtained hamiltonian is a quadratic approximation of the ADM mass defined at spatial infinity for the full nonlinear Einstein theory, and this particular result will be described elsewhere.

The energy and angular momentum generators are well defined for regular radiation data  $\underline{x}$ ,  $\underline{X}$ ,  $\underline{y}$ ,  $\underline{Y}$  which are finite on the horizon and vanishing at spatial infinity according to the S.A.F. Christodoulou-Kleinerman condition [14].

In Appendix A we show how to reconstruct the full four-metric  $h_{\mu\nu}$  from the invariants, assuming the gauge conditions used in [1] and [3]. This construction explains the relations between our invariants and the special form of the metric used in [1] and [3]. In particular, we examine precisely “mono-dipole” part of the metric ( $l = 0$  and  $l = 1$ ), which seems to be not fully analyzed in the literature.

We believe that the methods proposed in this paper give a significant insight into a geometric origin of the odd and even perturbations of the Schwarzschild metric. This paper seems to be also a nontrivial review of known results in a self-consistent way<sup>1</sup>. Moreover, we hope that this framework will help us to understand small but fully nonlinear perturbation of the spherically symmetric black hole from the initial data point of view (see for example [18]).

## 1.1 New results

- Four-dimensional, spherically covariant, gauge-invariant, quasilocal description of the perturbation of a Schwarzschild metric.
- Direct construction (from the constraints) of the reduced canonical structure for the initial data and explicit formulae for the invariants.
- More precise and full analysis for the mono-dipole part of the theory.
- An explicit expression for the energy and new proposition for angular momentum, in particular, compatibility of the Christodoulou-Kleinerman S.A.F. condition with well-posedness of our functionals.

---

<sup>1</sup>The author was not familiar with Moncrief’s results [5] during the calculations described in this article.

## 2 Linearized gravity

In this Section we remind some standard notions related to the Einstein equations and the initial value problem.

Linearized Einstein theory (see e.g. [8] or [9]) can be formulated as follows. Einstein equation

$$2G_{\mu\nu}(g) = 16\pi T_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.1)$$

after linearization gives

$$h_{\mu\alpha;\nu}{}^{;\alpha} + h_{\nu\alpha;\mu}{}^{;\alpha} - h_{\mu\nu}{}^{;\alpha}{}_{;\alpha} - (\eta^{\alpha\beta}h_{\alpha\beta})_{;\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}[h_{\alpha\beta}{}^{;\alpha\beta} - h_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha;\beta}{}_{\beta}] = 16\pi T_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.2)$$

where pseudoriemannian metric  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  is the background metric and “;” denotes four-dimensional covariant derivative with respect to the metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ . Moreover, we assumed that  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  is a vacuum solution of Einstein equation ( $G_{\mu\nu}(\eta) = 0$ ).

The (3+1)-decomposition of (2.2) gives 6 dynamical equations for the space-space components  $h_{kl}$  of the metric (latin indices run from 1 to 3) and 4 equations which do not contain second time derivatives of  $h_{kl}$ . It is possible to introduce this decomposition straightforward. However, a natural way for the formulation of the (3+1)-splitting for the equation (2.2) is to linearize the ADM formulation of the initial value problem for the full nonlinear Einstein equation (2.1). We shall introduce canonical variables for the linearized case. They appear in a natural way, if we start from the ADM formulation of the initial value problem for Einstein equations [7].

Let  $(g_{kl}, P^{kl})$  be the Cauchy data for Einstein equations on a three-dimensional space-like surface  $\Sigma$ . This means that  $g_{kl}$  is a Riemannian metric on  $\Sigma$  and  $P^{kl}$  is a symmetric tensor density, which we identify with the ADM momentum [7], i.e.

$$P^{kl} = \sqrt{\det g_{mn}}(g^{kl}\text{Tr}K - K^{kl})$$

where  $K_{kl}$  is the second fundamental form (external curvature) of the imbedding of  $\Sigma$  into a spacetime  $M$ .

The 12 functions  $(g_{kl}, P^{kl})$  must fulfill 4 Gauss-Codazzi constraints

$$P_i{}^l{}_{|l} = 8\pi\sqrt{\det g_{mn}}T_{i\mu}n^\mu \quad (2.3)$$

$$(\det g_{mn})R - P^{kl}P_{kl} + \frac{1}{2}(P^{kl}g_{kl})^2 = 16\pi(\det g_{mn})T_{\mu\nu}n^\mu n^\nu \quad (2.4)$$

where  $T_{\mu\nu}$  is an energy momentum tensor of the matter, by  $R$  we denote the (three-dimensional) scalar curvature of  $g_{kl}$ ,  $n^\mu$  is a future timelike four-vector normal to the hypersurface  $\Sigma$ , and the calculations have been made with respect to the three-metric  $g_{kl}$  (“|” denotes the covariant derivative, indices are raised and lowered etc.).

The Einstein equations and the definition of the metric connection imply the first order (in time) differential equations for  $g_{kl}$  and  $P^{kl}$  (see [7] or [8] p. 525), and contain the lapse function  $N$  and the shift vector  $N^k$  as parameters

$$\dot{g}_{kl} = \frac{2N}{\sqrt{g}}\left(P_{kl} - \frac{1}{2}g_{kl}P\right) + N_{k|l} + N_{l|k} \quad (2.5)$$

where  $g := \det g_{mn}$  and  $P := P^{kl}g_{kl}$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P}^{kl} = & -N\sqrt{g}R^{kl} + \sqrt{g}\left(N^{|kl} - g^{kl}N^{|m}{}_{|m}\right) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}N\sqrt{g}g^{kl}R - \frac{2N}{\sqrt{g}}\left(P^{km}P_m{}^l - \frac{1}{2}PP^{kl}\right) + (P^{kl}N^m)_{|m} + \\ & + \frac{N}{2\sqrt{g}}g^{kl}\left(P^{kl}P_{kl} - \frac{1}{2}P^2\right) - N^k{}_{|m}P^{ml} - N^l{}_{|m}P^{mk} + 8\pi N\sqrt{g}T_{mn}g^{km}g^{ln} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Let us consider an initial value problem for the linearized Einstein equations on the Schwarzschild background  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ , i.e.

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \quad (2.7)$$

together with the radial coordinates:  $x^3 = r$ ,  $x^1 = \theta$ ,  $x^2 = \varphi$ . Moreover,  $t = x^0$  denotes the time coordinate. We consider only the part of the Schwarzschild spacetime outside of the horizon,  $r \geq 2m$ .

We use the following convention for indices: greek indices  $\mu, \nu, \dots$  run from 0 to 3;  $k, l, \dots$  are spatial coordinates and run from 1 to 3;  $A, B, \dots$  are spherical angles  $(\theta, \varphi)$  on a two-dimensional sphere  $S(r) := \{r = x^3 = \text{const}\}$  and run from 1 to 2. Moreover, let  $\eta_{AB}$  denote a two-dimensional metric on  $S(r)$ .

Let  $v := 1 - \frac{2m}{r}$ . There are the following non-vanishing Christoffel symbols for the metric (2.7):

$$\Gamma^3_{33} = -\frac{m}{vr^2}; \quad \Gamma^3_{AB} = -\frac{v}{r}\eta_{AB}; \quad \Gamma^A_{3B} = \frac{1}{r}\delta^A_B; \quad \Gamma^3_{00} = \frac{mv}{r^2}; \quad \Gamma^0_{30} = \frac{m}{vr^2}; \quad \Gamma^A_{BC}$$

where  $\delta^A_B$  is the Kronecker's symbol and  $\Gamma^A_{BC}$  are the same as for a standard unit sphere  $S(1)$  (in usual spherical coordinates  $\Gamma^\theta_{\varphi\varphi} = -\sin\theta \cos\theta$  and  $\Gamma^\varphi_{\varphi\theta} = \cot\theta$ ).

The curvature of the background metric we denote by  $C^\mu_{\nu\lambda\kappa}$ , and the following components of the Riemann tensor are non-vanishing (up to the symmetries of the indices)

$$C^{0A}_{0B} = C^{3A}_{3B} = \frac{m}{r^3}\delta^A_B; \quad C^{AB}_{CD} = \frac{2m}{r^3}(\delta^A_C\delta^B_D - \delta^A_D\delta^B_C); \quad C^{03}_{03} = -\frac{2m}{r^3}$$

We can introduce the submanifolds of the Schwarzschild spacetime  $M$ :

$$\Sigma_s := \{x \in M : x^0 = s, x^3 \geq 2m\} = \bigcup_{r \in [2m, \infty[} S_s(r) \quad \text{where } S_s(r) := \{x \in \Sigma_s : x^3 = r\} \quad (2.8)$$

and  $\Sigma_s$  is a partial Cauchy surface outside the horizon.

The surface  $\Sigma$  carries the induced Riemannian metric  $\eta_{kl}$ , i.e.

$$\eta_{kl} dy^k dy^l = \frac{1}{v} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) \quad (2.9)$$

Usually it is convenient to change the coordinate  $r$  to  $r_*$ , which is a solution of an ordinary differential equation (see [4])

$$\frac{dr_*}{dr} = \frac{1}{v}; \quad r_* := r + 2m \ln \left( \frac{r}{2m} - 1 \right) \quad (2.10)$$

and moves horizon to  $-\infty$ .

The ADM momentum  $P^{kl}$  for the metric (2.9) on each slice  $\Sigma_s$  vanishes ( $P^{kl} = 0$ ). The shift vector is also trivial ( $N^k = 0$ ) and the lapse  $N = \sqrt{v}$  is vanishing on the horizon. Moreover, the Ricci tensor for the three-metric  $\eta_{kl}$  has the components

$$R_{kl} = \frac{1}{N} N_{|kl}$$

and the scalar curvature  $R$  vanishes.

Let us define the linearized variations  $(h_{kl}, P^{kl})$  of the full nonlinear Cauchy data  $(g_{kl}, P^{kl})$  around background data  $(\eta_{kl}, 0)$  as

$$h_{kl} := g_{kl} - \eta_{kl}, \quad P^{kl} := P^{kl} \quad (2.11)$$

We should now rewrite equations (2.3)–(2.6) in a linearized form in terms of  $(h_{kl}, P^{kl})$ . Let us denote  $P := \eta_{kl} P^{kl}$  and  $h := \eta^{kl} h_{kl}$ . The vector constraint (2.3) can be linearized as follows

$$P_i{}^l{}_{|l} \approx P_i{}^l{}_{|l} \quad (2.12)$$

Let us stress that the symbol “|” has different meanings on the left-hand side and on the right-hand side of the above formula. It denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the full nonlinear metric  $g_{kl}$  when applied to the ADM momentum  $P^{kl}$ , but on the right-hand side it means the covariant derivative with respect to the background metric  $\eta_{kl}$ . The scalar constraint (2.4) after linearization takes the form

$$\mathbf{R} - \frac{1}{g} \left( P^{kl} P_{kl} - \frac{1}{2} (P^{kl} g_{kl})^2 \right) \approx \left( h^{kl}{}_{|l} - h^{|k} \right)_{|k} - h^{kl} \mathbf{R}_{kl} \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\eta := \det \eta_{kl}$ .

The linearized constraints for the vacuum ( $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ ) have the form

$$P_l^k{}_{|k} = 0 \quad (2.14)$$

$$\left( h^{kl}{}_{|l} - h^{|k} \right)_{|k} - h^{kl} \mathbf{R}_{kl} = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

The linearization of (2.5) leads to the equation

$$\dot{h}_{kl} = \frac{2N}{\sqrt{\eta}} \left( P_{kl} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{kl} P \right) + h_{0k|l} + h_{0l|k} \quad (2.16)$$

where  $N := \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\eta^{00}}} = \sqrt{v}$ ,  $N_k = \eta_{0k} = 0$  are the lapse and shift for the background. Let us denote the linearized lapse by  $n := \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{v} h_0^0$ . The linearization of (2.6) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta}} \dot{P}_{kl} = -n \mathbf{R}_{kl} - N \delta R_{kl} + n_{|kl} - N_{|m} \delta \Gamma^m{}_{kl} - \eta_{kl} \left( n^{|m}{}_{|m} - \eta^{ij} \delta \Gamma^m{}_{ij} N_{|m} - h^{mn} N_{|mn} \right) \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$\delta \Gamma^m{}_{kl} := \frac{1}{2} \left( h^m{}_{k|l} + h^m{}_{l|k} - h_{ml}{}^{|k} \right)$$

is the linearized Christoffel symbol and similarly

$$\delta R_{kl} := \frac{1}{2} \left( h^m{}_{k|lm} + h^m{}_{l|km} - h_{kl|m}{}^m - h_{|kl} \right)$$

is the linearized Ricci tensor.

It is well known (see e.g. [19]) that the linearized Einstein equations (2.2) are invariant with respect to the “gauge” transformation

$$h_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu} + \xi_{\mu;\nu} + \xi_{\nu;\mu} \quad (2.18)$$

where  $\xi_\mu$  is a covector field. The (3+1)-decomposition of the gauge acts on the Cauchy data in the following way

$$2v\Lambda^{-1} P_{kl} \rightarrow 2v\Lambda^{-1} P_{kl} + (v\xi^0{}_{|k})_{|l} + (v\xi^0{}_{|l})_{|k} - \eta_{kl} (v\xi^{0|m})_m \quad (2.19)$$

$$h_{kl} \rightarrow h_{kl} + \xi_{l|k} + \xi_{k|l} \quad (2.20)$$

where  $\Lambda := \sqrt{v} \sqrt{\det \eta_{kl}} (= r^2 \sin \theta)$ . It can be easily checked that the scalar constraint (2.15) and the vector constraint (2.14) are invariant with respect to the gauge transformations (2.19) and (2.20). The Cauchy data  $(h_{kl}, P^{kl})$  and  $(\bar{h}_{kl}, \bar{P}^{kl})$  on  $\Sigma$  are equivalent to each other if they can be related by the gauge transformation  $\xi_\mu$ . The evolution of canonical variables  $P^{kl}$  and  $h_{kl}$  given by equations (2.16), (2.17) is not unique unless the lapse function  $n$  and the shift vector  $h_{0k}$  are specified.

We will show in the sequel that it is possible to define a reduced dynamics in terms of invariants, which is no longer sensitive on gauge conditions. The construction is analogous to the analysis given in [16].

### 3 Equations of motion for the invariants

The radial foliation of the Cauchy surface  $\Sigma$  related to the spherical symmetry allows to perform (2+1)-decomposition of the initial data. In this Section we introduce reduced gauge invariant data on  $\Sigma$  for the gravitational field, similar to the invariants introduced in [16]. For this purpose we use a spherical foliation of  $\Sigma$  (see formulae (2.8) and (2.9)).

Let  $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}$  denotes the two-dimensional Laplace-Beltrami operator on a unit sphere  $S(1)$ . Moreover,  $H := \eta^{AB}h_{AB}$ ,  $\chi_{AB} := h_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{AB}H$ ,  $S := \eta^{AB}P_{AB}$ ,  $S_{AB} := P_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{AB}S$  according to the notation used in [16].

The spatial gauge (2.20) splits in the following way

$$h_{33} \rightarrow h_{33} + \frac{2}{N}(N\xi_3)_{,3} \quad (3.1)$$

$$h_{3A} \rightarrow h_{3A} + \xi_{3,A} + \xi_{A,3} - \frac{2}{r}\xi_A \quad (3.2)$$

$$h_{AB} \rightarrow h_{AB} + \xi_{A||B} + \xi_{B||A} + \frac{2}{r}\eta_{AB}\xi^3 \quad (3.3)$$

where by “||” we denote the covariant derivative with respect to the two-metric  $\eta_{AB}$  on  $S(r)$ . Similarly, the temporal gauge (2.19) can be splitted as follows

$$\Lambda^{-1}P^3_3 \rightarrow \Lambda^{-1}P^3_3 - \xi^{0||A}_A - \frac{2}{r}\xi^{0,3} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Lambda^{-1}P_{3A} \rightarrow \Lambda^{-1}P_{3A} + \left[ \frac{1}{N}(N\xi^0)_{,3} - \frac{1}{r}\xi^0 \right]_{||A} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\Lambda^{-1}S_{AB} \rightarrow \Lambda^{-1}S_{AB} + \xi^0_{||AB} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{AB}\xi^{0||C}_C \quad (3.6)$$

$$\Lambda^{-1}S \rightarrow \Lambda^{-1}S - \frac{2}{N}(N\xi^{0,3})_{,3} - \frac{2}{r}\xi^{0,3} - \xi^{0||C}_C \quad (3.7)$$

It is also quite easy to rewrite the (2+1)-decomposition of (2.16) as

$$\dot{h}_{33} = \Lambda^{-1}(P^3_3 - S) + \frac{2}{N}(Nh_{03})_{,3} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\dot{h}_{3A} = 2v\Lambda^{-1}P_{3A} + h_{03||A} + h_{0A,3} - \frac{2}{r}h_{0A} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\dot{h}_{AB} = 2v\Lambda^{-1}S_{AB} - \eta_{AB}\Lambda^{-1}P^{33} + h_{0A||B} + h_{0B||A} + 2vr^{-1}\eta_{AB}h_{03} \quad (3.10)$$

The dynamical equations (2.17) take the following (2+1)-form

$$2\Lambda^{-1}\dot{P}_{33} = -\frac{1}{v}h^0_{0||A}_A - 2r^{-1}h^0_{0,3} + \frac{1}{v}h^3_{3||A}_A + 2r^{-2}h^3_{3,3} + \\ + (H_{,3} - 2h^A_{3||A} - 2r^{-1}h^3_{3,3})_{,3} + 2r^{-1}(H_{,3} - 2h^A_{3||A} - 2r^{-1}h^3_{3,3}) \quad (3.11)$$

$$2\Lambda^{-1}\dot{P}_{3C} = \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}(\sqrt{v}h^0_{0,3} - r^{-1}h^0_{0,3}) \right]_{||C} - \frac{m}{vr^2}h^3_{3||C} + \frac{1}{2}(H_{,3} - 2h^A_{3||A} - 2r^{-1}h^3_{3,3})_{||C} + \\ + h_{3C||A}{}^{||A} + \frac{1}{r^2}h_{3C} - \chi^A_{C||A,3} \quad (3.12)$$

$$2\Lambda^{-1}\dot{S}_{AB} = h^0_{0||AB} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{AB}h^0_{0||C}_C + h^3_{3||AB} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{AB}h^3_{3||C}_C + \\ - (h^3_{A||B} + h^3_{B||A} - \eta_{AB}h^3_{||C})_{,3} + (v\chi^C_{B,3}\eta_{CA})_{,3} +$$

$$+\chi_{AB}{}^{\parallel C}{}_{\parallel C} - \chi^C{}_{A\parallel BC} - \chi^C{}_{B\parallel AC} + \eta_{AB}\chi^{CD}{}_{\parallel CD} + \frac{2}{r^2}\chi_{AB} \quad (3.13)$$

$$2\Lambda^{-1}\dot{S} = -\sqrt{v}(\sqrt{v}h_{0,3}^0)_{,3} - \frac{1}{r}h_{0,3}^0 - h_0^{\parallel A}{}_{\parallel A} + (h^3{}_3 + H)^{\parallel A}{}_{\parallel A} + \frac{2}{r^2}(h^3{}_3 + H) - \frac{12m}{r^3}h^3{}_3 + \\ + \frac{2m}{r^2}h^3{}_{3,3} + v(H,{}_3 - 2h_3^A{}_{\parallel A} - 2r^{-1}h_3^3)_{,3} + 4\frac{v}{r}(H,{}_3 - 2h_3^A{}_{\parallel A} - 2r^{-1}h_3^3) - 2\chi^{CD}{}_{\parallel CD} \quad (3.14)$$

The vector constraint (2.14) splits in a similar way

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}(\sqrt{v}P^3{}_3)_{,3} + P_3^A{}_{\parallel A} - r^{-1}S = 0 \quad (3.15)$$

$$P^3{}_{A,3} + S_A{}^B{}_{\parallel B} + \frac{1}{2}S_{\parallel A} = 0 \quad (3.16)$$

And finally the (2+1)-decomposition of the scalar constraint (2.15) can be written in the form

$$h^{\parallel l}{}_{\parallel l} - h^{kl}{}_{\parallel kl} + h^{kl}R_{kl} = \frac{\sqrt{v}}{r^3} \left[ r^2\sqrt{v}(rH,{}_3 - 2rh_{3A}{}^{\parallel A} - 2h_3^3) \right]_{,3} + \\ + h^3{}_{3\parallel A}{}^A + 2r^{-2}h^3{}_3 - \frac{6m}{r^3}h^3{}_3 + \frac{1}{2}H^{\parallel C}{}_{\parallel C} + r^{-2}H - \chi^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB} = 0 \quad (3.17)$$

Let us notice that we can split the dynamics into two separate parts, which we call axial and polar respectively. The axial part of initial data consists of two momentum components  $P^{3A\parallel B}\varepsilon_{AB}$ ,  $S^C{}_{A\parallel CB}\varepsilon^{AB}$  and two metric components  $h_{3A\parallel B}\varepsilon^{AB}$ ,  $\chi^C{}_{A\parallel CB}\varepsilon^{AB}$ . The only gauge freedom is contained in  $\xi_{A\parallel B}\varepsilon^{AB}$ , which acts on the metric components. The gauge invariants  $P^{3A\parallel B}\varepsilon_{AB}$  and  $S^C{}_{A\parallel CB}\varepsilon^{AB}$  are related by the curl part of the vector constraint (3.16) as follows:

$$(r^2P^{3A\parallel B}\varepsilon_{AB})_{,3} + r^2S^C{}_{A\parallel CB}\varepsilon^{AB} = 0 \quad (3.18)$$

It is easy to verify that the following pair of gauge invariants:

$$\mathbf{y} := 2\Lambda^{-1}r^2P^{3A\parallel B}\varepsilon_{AB} \quad (3.19)$$

$$\mathbf{Y} := \Lambda(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)h_{3A\parallel B}\varepsilon^{AB} - r^2(\Lambda\chi^C{}_{A\parallel CB}\varepsilon^{AB})_{,3} \quad (3.20)$$

contains the whole information about axial part of initial data up to the gauge freedom (see also Appendix A). We will show in the sequel, that this is a canonical pair with respect to the symplectic structure of linearized Einstein equations.

Let us define the polar invariants as follows

$$\mathbf{x} := r^2\chi^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB} - \frac{1}{2}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)H + \mathcal{B} [2h^3{}_{33} + 2rh^3{}_{3C}{}_{\parallel C} - rvH,{}_3] \quad (3.21)$$

$$\mathbf{X} := 2r^2S^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB} + \mathcal{B} [2rP^{3A}{}_{\parallel A} + \overset{\circ}{\Delta}P^3{}_3] \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B} := (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r} \right)^{-1}$$

is a *quasilocal* operator – it is local with respect to the coordinates  $t, r$  but non-local on each sphere  $S(r)$ . The proof that  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{X}$  contain the whole information about polar part of initial data  $P^{3A}{}_{\parallel A}$ ,  $S^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB}$ ,  $P^3{}_3$ ,  $S$ ,  $h^3{}_{3C}{}_{\parallel C}$ ,  $\chi^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB}$ ,  $h^3{}_3$ ,  $H$  up to the gauge transformation  $\xi^0, \xi^3, \xi^A{}_{\parallel A}$  we give in Appendix A. Moreover, in Appendix B we show that  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{Y})$  is the reduced canonical initial data on  $\Sigma$ .

We can check the reduced field equations for the axial invariants

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{v}{\Lambda} \mathbf{Y} \quad (3.23)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{Y}} = \Lambda \left\{ \partial_3 \left[ \frac{v}{r^2} (r^2 \mathbf{y})_{,3} \right] + \frac{1}{r^2} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) \mathbf{y} \right\} \quad (3.24)$$

More precisely, the curl part of (3.12) gives (3.23) and (3.24) follows directly from (3.9), (3.10) and may be checked by inspection.

It can be easily verified, that the axial invariant  $\mathbf{y}$  fulfills (as a consequence of the above dynamical equations) the generalized scalar wave equation

$$\left( \square + \frac{8m}{r^3} \right) \mathbf{y} = 0 \quad (3.25)$$

where  $\square$  denotes the usual wave operator with respect to the background metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ .

There exists a simple relation between the equation (3.25) and the so-called *Regge-Wheeler equation* [1], [4], [5]. Let us rewrite equation (3.25) in the form

$$-\ddot{\mathbf{y}} + \frac{v}{r} [v(r\mathbf{y})_{,3}]_{,3} = V^{(-)} \mathbf{y} \quad (3.26)$$

where  $V^{(-)}$  is a ‘‘spherical operator’’ defined as

$$V^{(-)} := -\frac{v}{r^2} \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + \frac{6m}{r} \right)$$

If we insert the invariant  $\mathbf{y}$  in a special form  $\mathbf{y} = \exp(i\sigma t) Y_l(\theta, \varphi) Z^{(-)}(r)/r$  into equation (3.26), we obtain the Regge-Wheeler equation

$$\left( \frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + \sigma^2 \right) Z^{(-)} = V^{(-)} Z^{(-)}$$

Here  $Y_l$  is a spherical harmonics such that  $\overset{\circ}{\Delta} Y_l = -l(l+1)Y_l$  and coordinate  $r_*$  is defined by (2.10).

The polar invariants fulfill the following equations:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{v}{\Lambda} \mathbf{X} \quad (3.27)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{\Lambda}{r^2} \left\{ (vr^2 \mathbf{x})_{,3} \right\}_{,3} + \left[ \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + v(1 - 2\mathcal{B}) + 1 \right] \mathcal{B} \mathbf{x} \right\} \quad (3.28)$$

To obtain (3.27) we need equations (3.8–3.10) and vector constraints (3.15), (3.16). Similarly, (3.28) is a consequence of (3.11–3.13) and scalar constraint (3.17) (see also Appendix A).

There exists also a generalized scalar wave equation for the polar invariant but it is no longer local, it is only quasilocal:

$$\left[ \square + \frac{8m}{r^3} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} - 1) \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{3m}{r} \right) \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r} \right)^{-2} \right] \mathbf{x} = 0 \quad (3.29)$$

The similar equation to the (3.26) can be presented in the analogous form

$$-\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{v}{r} [v(r\mathbf{x})_{,3}]_{,3} = V^{(+)} \mathbf{x} \quad (3.30)$$

but now the operator  $V^{(+)}$  is defined ‘‘quasilocally’’

$$V^{(+)} := -\frac{v}{r^2} \left[ (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^2 \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} - \frac{6m}{r} \right) + \frac{36m^2}{r^2} \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) \right] \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r} \right)^{-2}$$

If we insert the invariant  $\mathbf{x}$  in an analogous special form  $\mathbf{x} = \exp(i\sigma t)Y_l(\theta, \varphi)Z^{(+)}(r)/r$  into equation (3.30), we obtain the *Zerilli equation* [2], [4], [5]

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + \sigma^2\right) Z^{(+)} = V^{(+)} Z^{(+)}$$

This way we have shown, that both equations (Regge-Wheeler and Zerilli) possess gauge invariant formulations, and their solutions contain the entire gauge-independent information about the linearized gravitational field on the Schwarzschild background (see also [5]).

Let us notice, that  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are scalars on each sphere  $S_t(r)$  with respect to the coordinates  $x^A$ . For the scalar  $f$  on a sphere we can define a “monopole” part  $\text{mon}(f)$  and a “dipole” part  $\text{dip}(f)$  as a corresponding component with respect to the spherical harmonics on  $S^2$ . Similarly, the “dipole” part of a vector  $v^A$  corresponds to the dipole harmonics for the scalars  $v^A_{||A}$  and  $\varepsilon^{AB}v_{A||B}$ . Let us denote by  $\underline{f}$  the “mono-dipole-free” part of  $f$ . According to this decomposition we have

$$\mathbf{x} = \text{mon}(\mathbf{x}) + \underline{\mathbf{x}}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = \text{dip}(\mathbf{y}) + \underline{\mathbf{y}}$$

The dipole part of  $\mathbf{x}$  and monopole part of  $\mathbf{y}$  are vanishing<sup>2</sup>. The rest of the mono-dipole part of each scalar can be solved explicitly from the equations (3.23)–(3.28) and the solution has the form

$$\mathbf{x} - \underline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{4\mathbf{m}}{r - 3m}$$

$$\mathbf{y} - \underline{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{12\mathbf{s}}{r^2}$$

From (3.23), (3.27) and the observation, that  $\text{mon}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{dip}(\mathbf{Y}) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\dot{\mathbf{m}} = \dot{\mathbf{s}} = 0$$

Moreover,  $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}m = 0$ ,  $(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)\mathbf{s} = 0$ , which simply means, that  $\mathbf{m}$  is a monopole and  $\mathbf{s}$  is a dipole, and they are constant with respect to the coordinates  $t, r$ . They correspond to the charges which will appear in the next Section. More precisely,  $\mathbf{m} = p^0$ . Moreover, the angular momentum charge (4.3) can be obtained from the relation between spatial constant three-vector in cartesian coordinates and dipole harmonics

$$\mathbf{s} = \frac{s^l z_l}{r}$$

where  $z_l$  are cartesian coordinates,  $r = \sqrt{\delta^{kl}z_k z_l}$  and  $s^l$  is a corresponding three-vector representing angular momentum (see [19]).

## 4 “Charges” on the Schwarzschild background

The vector constraint (2.14) allows to introduce “charges” related to the symmetries of the background metric. There are three generators of the rotation group, which are simultaneously Killing vectors on the initial surface  $\Sigma$ . Let us denote this Killing field by  $Z^k$ . It is a solution of the Killing equation

$$Z_{k||l} + Z_{l||k} = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>This is included in the definitions (3.21) and (3.19). More precisely,  $\mathbf{y}$  is a divergence (see also (B.1)) and  $\mathbf{x} = r^2 \chi^{AB}_{||AB} + (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)[\dots]$ . Moreover,  $\text{dip}(\chi^{AB}_{||AB}) = 0$  because double-divergence of any traceless tensor is “mono-dipole-free” (see also (B.2) in Appendix B).

Let  $V \subset \Sigma$  be a compact region in  $\Sigma$ . For example  $V := \bigcup_{r \in [r_0, r_1]} S_s(r)$  and  $\partial V = S_s(r_0) \cup S_s(r_1)$ .

From (2.14) and (4.1) we get

$$0 = \int_V P^{kl}{}_{|l} Z_k = \int_V (P^{kl} Z_k)_{|l} = \int_{\partial V} P^{3k} Z_k \quad (4.2)$$

The equation (4.2) expresses the ‘‘Gauss’’ law for the angular momentum charge ‘‘measured’’ by the flux integral. It is easy to relate this charge to the dipole part of invariant  $\mathbf{y}$ , which will appear in the sequel – (3.19) in the next section. For example, when  $Z = \partial/\partial\varphi$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 16\pi s^z &:= 16\pi j^{xy} = -2 \int_{\partial V} P^3{}_{\varphi} = -2 \int_{\partial V} P^3{}_A (r^2 \varepsilon^{AB} \cos\theta)_{||B} = \\ &= 2 \int_{\partial V} r^2 P^3{}_A{}_{||B} \varepsilon^{AB} \cos\theta = \int_{\partial V} \Lambda \mathbf{y} \cos\theta \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The time translation defines a mass charge from the scalar constraint (2.15) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_V N \sqrt{\eta} \left[ (h^{kl}{}_{|l} - h^{lk})_{|k} - h^{kl} N_{|kl} \right] = \\ &= \int_V \left[ N \sqrt{\eta} (h^{lk}{}_{|k} - h^{ll}) + \sqrt{\eta} (N^{ll} h - N_{|k} h^{kl}) \right]_{|l} = \\ &= \int_{\partial V} \Lambda \left( h^{3k}{}_{|k} - h^{l3} + \frac{1}{N} h N^{l3} - \frac{1}{N} h^{k3} N_{|k} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and it can be related to the monopole part of an invariant  $\mathbf{x}$  introduced in the next section by (3.21).

$$\begin{aligned} 16\pi p^0 &= \int_{\partial V} \Lambda \left( N h^{3k}{}_{|k} - N h^{l3} + N_k h^{k3} - N^3 h \right) = \\ &= \int_{\partial V} \frac{\Lambda}{r} \left( 2h^{33} - vr H_{,3} - \left(1 - \frac{3m}{r}\right) H \right) = \int_{\partial V} \frac{\Lambda}{r} \mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathbf{x} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

## 5 Stationary solutions

For the axial degree of freedom  $\mathbf{y}$  we can rewrite equation (3.26), using the new coordinate  $z := \frac{2m}{r}$ , i.e.

$$4m^2 \ddot{\mathbf{y}} = (1-z)^2 z^4 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{y}}{\partial z^2} - (1-z) z^4 \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial z} + (1-z) z^2 (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 4z) \mathbf{y}$$

This way the horizon corresponds to  $z = 1$  and the spatial infinity to  $z = 0$ . Let us consider stationary solutions of the above equation

$$(1-z) z^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{y}}{\partial z^2} - z^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial z} + (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 4z) \mathbf{y} = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

which are regular at the spatial infinity (corresponding to  $z = 0$ ). Moreover, if we separate the angular variables and include standard asymptotic behaviour at  $z = 0$ :

$$\mathbf{y} = z^{l+1} Y_l(x^A) u(z)$$

then the equation for the function  $u$  is relatively simple

$$(1-z) z u'' + [2l+1 - (2l+3)z] u' - (l-1)(l+3)u = 0$$

and the regular solution at  $z = 0$  is given by the hypergeometric function

$$u = F(l - 1, l + 3, 2l + 2; z)$$

In particular, for  $l = 1$  the function  $u$  is constant, it represents the angular momentum charge solution (4.3), and the corresponding  $\mathbf{y}$  is finite on the horizon. On the other hand, for  $l \geq 2$  we obtain logarithmic divergence of the hypergeometric function  $F$  at  $z = 1$ . More precisely,

$$F(l - 1, l + 3, 2l + 2; z) = z^{-2l-1} [P(z) + \tilde{P}(z) \ln(1 - z)]$$

where  $P$  and  $\tilde{P}$  are polynomials. The solution is not regular at  $z = 1$  and it confirms the result of Vishveshwara [3], that the only nontrivial stationary perturbation is given by the axial perturbation with  $l = 1$ . The interpretation of this solution is given by (4.3). One can check by direct computation for the Kerr metric [10], [11]

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2 &:= r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta; \quad \Delta := r^2 - 2mr + a^2 \\ g_{00} &= -1 + \frac{2mr}{\rho^2}; \quad g_{0\varphi} = -\frac{2mra \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2}; \quad g_{rr} = \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta}; \quad g_{\theta\theta} = \rho^2 \\ g_{\varphi\varphi} &= \sin^2 \theta \left( r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2mra^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

that the infinitesimal angular momentum gives the same result. More precisely, the linear part of the Kerr metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  with respect to the parameter  $a$

$$g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - 4 \frac{ma}{r} \sin^2 \theta dt d\varphi + O(a^2)$$

gives only the one non-vanishing component of the linearized Kerr metric on the Schwarzschild background:

$$h_{0\varphi} = -\frac{2ma}{r} \sin^2 \theta$$

From the definition (3.19) and the equation (3.9) we can calculate the invariant  $\mathbf{y} = 12ma \cos \theta / r^2$ . It is easy to compare the result with (4.3) and it gives angular momentum charge  $s^z = ma$ .

Can we consider a monopole solution in axial invariant? The definition (3.19) in terms of the initial data does not allow nontrivial monopole part of the axial invariant. However, we can consider such situation, if we admit singular metric. Formally, this case corresponds to the infinitesimal Taub-NUT solution. The Taub-NUT metric [12], [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu &= (r^2 + l^2)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) + \tilde{v}^{-1} dr^2 - \tilde{v}(dt + 2l \cos \theta d\varphi)^2 = \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - 4vl \cos \theta dt d\varphi + O(l^2); \quad \tilde{v} := \frac{r^2 - 2mr - l^2}{r^2 + l^2} \end{aligned}$$

gives the linearized metric  $h_{0\varphi} = -2lv \cos \theta$  and the monopole axial invariant  $\mathbf{y} = -\frac{4l}{r}(1 - \frac{3m}{r})$ . We should stress, that this is only a formal calculation because the tensor  $h_{0\varphi} = -2lv \cos \theta$  is not well defined along the  $z$ -axis, and is excluded as a global solution. The monopole charge in  $\mathbf{y}$  plays a role of the topological obstruction for the existence of the global metric. It is similar to the magnetic monopole in electrodynamics (see also [19]).

For polar degree of freedom  $\mathbf{x}$  from equation (3.30) we get

$$4m^2 \ddot{\mathbf{x}} = (1 - z)^2 z^4 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{x}}{\partial z^2} - (1 - z) z^4 \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial z} + (1 - z) z^2 \left[ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} - 1)(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - 3z)^{-2} \right] (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) \mathbf{x}$$

and the corresponding stationary equation has the following form

$$(1-z)z^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{x}}{\partial z^2} - z^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial z} + \left[ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} - 1)(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - 3z)^{-2} \right] (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) \mathbf{x} = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

For  $l = 0$  the solution  $\mathbf{x} = \frac{z}{2-3z}$  is related to (4.5) and corresponds to the mass charge. More precisely, if we put in the metric (2.7)  $m + \delta m$  instead of parameter  $m$  and take the linear part in  $\delta m$ , we get

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}(m + \delta m) dx^\mu dx^\nu = \eta_{\mu\nu}(m) dx^\mu dx^\nu + \frac{2\delta m}{r} dt^2 + \frac{2\delta m}{v^2 r} dr^2 + O(\delta m^2)$$

and the even invariant<sup>3</sup>  $\mathbf{x} = 2\mathcal{B}h^{33} = \frac{4\delta m}{r} \left(1 - \frac{3m}{r}\right)^{-1}$ . If we compare with mass charge (4.5), we obtain  $p^0 = \delta m$  (see also [1]).

For  $l \geq 2$  we have the following transformation law:

$$\overset{\circ}{\Delta} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) \mathbf{x} = -6z^2(1-z) \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial z} + \left[ \overset{\circ}{\Delta} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) + 6z(1-z) - 18z^2(1-z)(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - 3z)^{-1} \right] \mathbf{y}$$

which moves the solution of (5.1) into solutions of (5.2). In particular, it is clear, that for  $l \geq 2$  the stationary solutions of the equation (5.2) have the same logarithmic divergence on the horizon as the solutions of the equation (5.1). The explicit stationary solutions (in a specific gauge) were also given by Zerilli in [2], and here we present a gauge invariant confirmation of this result.

Remark Although  $l = 1$  is excluded in the definition of  $\mathbf{x}$ , we can consider another variable

$$(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \mathbf{x} := (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} r^2 \chi^{AB}{}_{||AB} - \frac{1}{2} H + \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r} \right)^{-1} [2h^{33} + 2rh^{3A}{}_{||A} - rvH_{,3}]$$

which is no longer gauge-invariant in its dipole part (see also (A.8) in Appendix A). More precisely, it transforms with respect to the gauge transformation as follows

$$\text{dip} \left( \frac{1}{2} H + \frac{r}{6m} vQ \right) = \text{dip}(-(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \mathbf{x}) \longrightarrow \text{dip}(-(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \mathbf{x}) + \text{dip}(\xi^A{}_{||A})$$

where  $Q$  is defined in Appendix A by (A.2). Formally, the dipole solution  $(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \mathbf{x} = \ln(1-z)Y_1(x^A)$  fulfills the same equation (5.2), and it corresponds to the Regge-Wheeler infinitesimal translation as a gauge transformation<sup>4</sup> (eq. 32 in [1]):

$$\xi^3 = \cos \theta; \quad \xi^\theta = \frac{\sin \theta}{2m} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right); \quad \xi^A{}_{||A} = \frac{\cos \theta}{m} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right)$$

This way the stationary polar dipole solution corresponds to the infinitesimal translation gauge and it is also logarithmically divergent on the horizon.

## 6 The symplectic form and its reduction

In this Section we show the relation between the symplectic structure and the invariants introduced in Section 4. Let  $(P^{kl}, h_{kl})$  be the Cauchy data on a hypersurface  $\Sigma$ . Let us consider the symplectic structure

$$\Omega := \int_{\Sigma} \delta P^{kl} \wedge \delta h_{kl}$$

<sup>3</sup>Is there any relation between singularity of  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $r = 3m$  and the lower limit for the orbits of the geodesic motions?

<sup>4</sup>If we assume that the translation corresponds to the  $\xi^3 = \cos \theta$ , then the component  $\xi^\theta$  is uniquely defined as the polar gauge transformation preserving the gauge condition  $h_3^A{}_{||A} = 0$ , which has been used by Regge-Wheeler [1] and Vishveshwara [3].

It is invariant with respect to the spatial gauge transformation, which is fixed on the boundary ( $\delta\xi^k|_{\partial\Sigma} = 0$ ):

$$\int_{\Sigma} \delta P^{kl} \wedge \delta h_{kl} \xrightarrow{\xi^k} \int_{\Sigma} \delta P^{kl} \wedge \delta h_{kl} + 2 \int_{\partial\Sigma} \delta P^3{}_l \wedge \delta \xi^l$$

Moreover, it is invariant with respect to the temporal gauge, if we assume that  $\xi^0$  and its normal derivative<sup>5</sup> are fixed on the boundary:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \delta P^{kl} \wedge \delta h_{kl} &\xrightarrow{\xi^0} \int_{\Sigma} \delta P^{kl} \wedge \delta h_{kl} + \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Sigma} \sqrt{\eta} \left[ \delta(N\xi^0)|_k \wedge \delta h^{3k} - \delta(N\xi^0)|^3 \wedge \delta h + N\delta\xi^0 \wedge \delta(h^{l3} - h^{3l}|_l) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Roughly speaking, the symplectic structure is invariant with respect to the gauge modulo boundary terms. The quadratic form  $\int_{\Sigma} \delta P^{kl} \wedge \delta h_{kl}$  can be decomposed into monopole part, dipole part and the remainder in a natural way.

From the considerations given in Appendix B (formulae (B.5) and (B.13)) we can easily see, that the “radiation” part

$$\underline{\Omega} = \int_{\Sigma} \delta \underline{P}^{kl} \wedge \delta \underline{h}_{kl} \sim \int_{\Sigma} \delta \underline{\mathbf{X}} \wedge \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \underline{\mathbf{x}} + \delta \underline{\mathbf{Y}} \wedge \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \underline{\mathbf{y}}$$

where symbol “ $\sim$ ” denotes equality modulo boundary term. Moreover, the “mono-dipole” part has the form (see (B.9) and (B.4) respectively)

$$\text{mon} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \delta P^{kl} \wedge \delta h_{kl} \right) = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} \delta P_{33} \wedge \delta \mathcal{B}^{-1} \text{mon}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Sigma} r \delta P^3{}_3 \wedge \delta \text{mon}(H) \quad (6.1)$$

$$\text{dip} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \delta P^{kl} \wedge \delta h_{kl} \right) \sim - \int_{\Sigma} \Lambda \delta \text{dip}(\mathbf{y}) \wedge \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} \delta(h_{3A}|_B \varepsilon^{AB}) \quad (6.2)$$

The monopole part of the polar invariant  $\text{mon}(\mathbf{x})$  and the dipole part of the axial one  $\text{dip}(\mathbf{y})$  represent mass and angular momentum respectively, and they are supposed to be fixed. These quantities are analogous to the electric charge in electrodynamics. If we assume, that there is no matter inside volume  $\Sigma$ , then both of them are fixed by the constraints, provided that they are controlled at  $r = 2m$  as a boundary condition on the horizon. Let us assume, that  $\delta \text{mon}(\mathbf{x})|_{S(r=2m)} = 0$ ,  $\delta \text{dip}(\mathbf{y})|_{S(r=2m)} = 0$ , then the mono-dipole part (6.1) and (6.2) vanish, and the symplectic structure reduces to the “mono-dipole-free” invariants

$$\int_{\Sigma} \delta P^{kl} \wedge \delta h_{kl} \sim \int_{\Sigma} \delta \underline{\mathbf{X}} \wedge \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \underline{\mathbf{x}} + \delta \underline{\mathbf{Y}} \wedge \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \underline{\mathbf{y}} \quad (6.3)$$

This way we obtain  $\underline{\mathbf{X}}, \underline{\mathbf{x}}, \underline{\mathbf{Y}}, \underline{\mathbf{y}}$  as the quasi-local canonical variables, describing reduced unconstrained initial data on  $\Sigma$ .

**Remark** One can ask the question, when (6.3) is a strict equality not only modulo boundary term. The symplectic two-form  $\Omega$  reduces to the mono-dipole-free invariants, if we assume the following boundary conditions, which fix the gauge freedom on the boundary:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta h_{AB}|_{\partial\Sigma} = 0; \quad \delta(2h_3^3 + 2rh_3^A|_A - rH_{,3})|_{\partial\Sigma} = 0 \\ \delta(P^{3A}|_B \varepsilon_{AB})|_{\partial\Sigma} = 0; \quad \delta(P_3^3 + 2r \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} P^{3A}|_A)|_{\partial\Sigma} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

In Appendix A we analyze the possibility, when  $\underline{H}, \underline{Q}, \underline{\Pi}$  and  $\chi^{AC}|_{CB} \varepsilon_A{}^B$  are precisely the gauge conditions, and then  $\chi^{AB}|_{AB}$  corresponds to the control of  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$ . Roughly speaking, the “control mode”

<sup>5</sup>You may “correct” the symplectic form by a boundary term in such a way, that the result is gauge invariant for  $\xi^\mu$  vanishing on the  $\partial\Sigma$  without an extra assumption about derivatives (see [20]).

given by (6.4) contains four boundary conditions related to the gauge freedom plus two boundary conditions for the unconstrained degrees of freedom which we propose to call *Dirichlet boundary data*.

If we introduce quasilocal coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} q^1 &:= \mathring{\Delta}^{-1/2}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1/2} \underline{\mathbf{x}}; & p_1 &:= \mathring{\Delta}^{-1/2}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1/2} \underline{\mathbf{X}} \\ q^2 &:= \mathring{\Delta}^{-1/2}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1/2} \underline{\mathbf{y}}; & p_2 &:= \mathring{\Delta}^{-1/2}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1/2} \underline{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

we can rewrite the reduced symplectic structure (6.3) in the canonical form

$$\Omega = \int_{\Sigma} \delta \underline{\mathbf{X}} \wedge \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \underline{\mathbf{x}} + \delta \underline{\mathbf{Y}} \wedge \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \underline{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{n=1}^2 \int_{\Sigma} \delta p_n \wedge \delta q^n$$

Unconstrained initial data for the full nonlinear theory (which is similar to the considerations in this article) has been proposed in [18]. Moreover, the concept of quasilocality appeared in [17] and has been developed in [20]. The boundary data possesses its counterpart in the full nonlinear theory (see proposition in [20]), and it will be discussed elsewhere.

## 7 Energy and angular momentum of the gravitational waves

The reduction of the symplectic form (6.3) allows to formulate the hamiltonian relation in terms of the reduced canonical variables

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} \dot{\mathbf{x}} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \mathbf{X} - \dot{\mathbf{X}} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \mathbf{x} + \\ & + \int_{\Sigma} \dot{\mathbf{y}} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \mathbf{Y} - \dot{\mathbf{Y}} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \mathbf{y} = 16\pi \delta \mathcal{H} + \\ & + \int_{\partial \Sigma} \frac{\Lambda}{r} v(r\mathbf{x})_{,3} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \mathbf{x} + \frac{\Lambda}{r} v(r\mathbf{y})_{,3} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \delta \mathbf{y} \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 16\pi \mathcal{H} &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{v}{\Lambda} \mathbf{X} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \mathbf{X} + \frac{v}{\Lambda} \mathbf{Y} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \mathbf{Y} + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\Lambda}{r^2} \left[ v(r\mathbf{x})_{,3} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r\mathbf{x})_{,3} + \mathbf{x} \frac{r^2}{v} V^{(+)} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \mathbf{x} \right] + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\Lambda}{r^2} \left[ v(r\mathbf{y})_{,3} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r\mathbf{y})_{,3} + \mathbf{y} \frac{r^2}{v} V^{(-)} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \mathbf{y} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

(see also eq. 4.19 and 5.34 in [5]).

Similarly, for angular momentum  $J$  we propose the following expression

$$J(Z) = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathbf{X} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} Z^A \partial_A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{Y} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} Z^A \partial_A \mathbf{y} \quad (7.3)$$

where  $Z$  is a Killing field (4.1). In particular for  $Z = \partial/\partial\varphi$  the  $z$ -component of the angular momentum takes the form:

$$J_z = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathbf{X} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{,\varphi} + \mathbf{Y} \mathring{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{,\varphi} \quad (7.4)$$

The conservation laws for the energy and angular momentum

$$\partial_0 \mathcal{H} = 0$$

$$\partial_0 J(Z) = 0$$

are fulfilled, if we assume appropriate boundary conditions on the horizon and at the spatial infinity. The natural choice of those conditions is to assume that  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\underline{\mathbf{y}}$  are vanishing on the boundary  $\partial\Sigma$ .

After separation of the angular variables in (7.2) we obtain a hamiltonian, which has been used for the energy method by Wald [6] (see also eq. 386 in [4]), and it confirms the stability of the Schwarzschild metric (see also [5]). Here we have shown how to combine an energy of different multipoles together.

## 7.1 Regular initial data

If we assume, that the invariants  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}$  are vanishing on the horizon, then we get a nice hamiltonian system outside the horizon. We can also assume, that  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\underline{\mathbf{y}}$  are fixed and finite on the horizon. More precisely, we propose the following initial-boundary data:

1.  $\text{mon}(\mathbf{x})=0$ , because of the singularity at  $r = 3m$ ; moreover, this charge indicates that we have chosen wrong parameter  $m$  in the background.
2.  $\text{dip}(\mathbf{y})$  – a weak internal angular momentum.
3. The radiation data  $(\underline{\mathbf{x}}, \underline{\mathbf{X}}, \underline{\mathbf{y}}, \underline{\mathbf{Y}})$  have to be finite on the horizon; moreover,  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}, \underline{\mathbf{y}}$  should be controlled as a Dirichlet boundary condition. We assume also that  $h_{\mu\nu} = O(1/r)$  at spatial infinity. The center of mass contained in  $\text{dip}[(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1}\mathbf{x}]$  and linear momentum in  $\text{dip}(\Pi)$  can be always “gauged out”, see Appendix A.1, and those gauge transformations correspond to the infinitesimal translation and boost, respectively. Performing those gauge transformations, we pass to the “center mass rest frame”.
4. The asymptotics of the invariants  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}, \underline{\mathbf{y}}$  at spatial infinity should guarantee finite hamiltonian, and this can be achieved for standard asymptotics  $h_{\mu\nu} = O(1/r)$ . Unfortunately, the standard asymptotics  $\frac{1}{r}$  does not guarantee finite angular momentum. More precisely, standard asymptotics at spatial infinity  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}, \underline{\mathbf{y}} = O(1/r)$ ,  $\dot{\underline{\mathbf{x}}}, \dot{\underline{\mathbf{y}}} = O(1/r^2)$  give logarithmically divergent integral in (7.4). The proposition by Christodoulou and Kleinerman [14], so-called S.A.F. condition, fits perfectly, if we adopt it to the linearized theory. We propose the following asymptotics at spatial infinity

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}, \underline{\mathbf{y}} = O(r^{-3/2}), \quad \dot{\underline{\mathbf{x}}}, \dot{\underline{\mathbf{y}}} = O(r^{-5/2})$$

Remark Christodoulou and Kleinerman assume, that the full ADM data have better asymptotics (except conformal factor in the Riemannian metric). Let us notice that, if we assume that  $P^{kl} = O(r^{-1/2})$  and  $h_{kl} = O(r^{-3/2})$ , then all boundary terms in the symplectic form (analyzed in Appendix B) are vanishing like  $\frac{1}{r}$  at spatial infinity.

## 8 Conclusions

We have shown the natural functionals, which represent energy and angular momentum of the weak gravitational radiation on a Schwarzschild background. Particularly, we do not have to separate angular variables, and the result is gauge-invariant.

We give also a complete (together with the interpretation) analysis of the stationary solutions. In particular, the result of Vishveshwara [3], that stationary axial perturbation can exist only for dipole perturbation, can be easily recovered. However, if we assume more general conditions on a horizon, we may have other solutions. The dipole part of the axial invariant corresponds to the spherical symmetry of the background metric and represents angular momentum. On the other hand, there are no dipole invariants in the polar part because the background metric is not invariant with respect to the boosts and spatial translations<sup>6</sup>. Moreover, the lack of invariant in the dipole polar part corresponds to the fact, that this part of the metric can be always “gauged away”. We would like to stress, that mono-dipole perturbations of the Schwarzschild metric represent different phenomena than the higher-pole perturbations. It is clear from the approach that  $l \geq 2$  represents *gravitational*

<sup>6</sup>Dipole invariants exist in flat Minkowski space and they represent linear momentum and center of mass respectively (see [19]).

*wave perturbation*, whereas  $l = 0$  and  $l = 1$  correspond to the *charges*. For example,  $l = 0$  in polar part represents infinitesimal perturbation of the mass (we move in the space of solutions from one Schwarzschild solution to another), dipole part in axial part corresponds to the infinitesimal angular momentum (we move from Schwarzschild to Kerr solution). Formally also monopole part in axial degree of freedom can be analyzed, and represents the Taub-NUT charge, but this move is excluded by topology.

Moreover, the equations of motion for the gauge-invariant degrees of freedom correspond to the well-known Regge-Wheeler and Zerilli results. In particular, if we choose usual spherical angles  $(\theta, \varphi)$  and decompose our invariants with respect to the spherical harmonics, we obtain Moncrief's gauge-invariant quantities. More precisely, even mode position  $Q_{\text{Moncrief}}$  (eq. 5.34 in [5]) corresponds to our  $\mathbf{x}$  ( $r\mathbf{x} = (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)Q_{\text{Moncrief}}$ ) but in [5] there is no explicit formula for the momentum<sup>7</sup>  $P$  corresponding to our invariant  $\mathbf{X}$ . Moreover, in odd part of the reduced hamiltonian  $H_T$  (4.19 in [5]) the interpretation of the  $k_1$  as a position is wrong. In fact, the equation (4.20 in [5]) is *not* a consequence of the reduced Hamilton equations for the Moncrief's hamiltonian  $H_T$ . This equation holds rather for  $\pi_1$  than for  $k_1$ . It is clear from our equations (3.23) and (3.24) that the role of the canonical position and momenta in [5] should be exchanged for the odd waves.

## A Reduction of the initial data to the invariants

It is convenient to introduce the following variables:

$$\Pi := 2rP^{3A}{}_{||A} + \overset{\circ}{\Delta}P^3{}_3 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$Q := 2h_3^3 + 2rh_3^A{}_{||A} - rH_{,3} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The scalar constraint (3.17) takes the form

$$\frac{\sqrt{v}}{r}(r^2\sqrt{v}Q)_{,3} + r^2\chi^{AB}{}_{||AB} - \frac{1}{2}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)H - \left(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r}\right)h_3^3 = 0 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

From vector constraint (3.15–3.16) we get

$$r\sqrt{v}(\sqrt{v}\Pi)_{,3} + \left(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r}\right)rP^{3A}{}_{||A} + 2vr^2S^{AB}{}_{||AB} = 0 \quad (\text{A.4})$$

### A.1 Dipole polar part of the initial data

In this subsection we consider only dipole parts of the variables, and we denote by the same letter their dipole parts as the full objects in the rest of the paper.

1. From vector constraint (A.4), (3.15) and the definition (A.1) we have

$$rP^{3A}{}_{||A} = \frac{r^2\sqrt{v}}{6m}(\sqrt{v}\Pi)_{,3} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$P^3{}_3 = \frac{r^2\sqrt{v}}{6m}(\sqrt{v}\Pi)_{,3} - \frac{1}{2}\Pi \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$S = \left[ \frac{r^2\sqrt{v}}{6m}(\sqrt{v}\Pi)_{,3} \right]_{,3} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

This means, that  $\Pi$  contains the full information about the dipole polar part of  $P^{kl}$ .

2. From scalar constraint (A.3) we get

$$h_3^3 = -\frac{\sqrt{v}}{6m}(r^2\sqrt{v}Q)_{,3}$$

---

<sup>7</sup>It appears in a hamiltonian  $H^*$  (5.34 in [5]).

Moreover, from the definition (A.2) we have

$$2rh_3^A{}_{||A} = Q + \frac{\sqrt{v}}{3m}(r^2\sqrt{v}Q)_{,3} + rH_{,3}$$

This way we can see, that  $Q$  and  $H$  contain the full information about the dipole polar part of the linearized metric  $h_{kl}$ .

3. The polar dipole gauge can be described by the following transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{r^3}{12m\Lambda}\Pi &\longrightarrow -\frac{r^3}{12m\Lambda}\Pi + \xi^0 \\ -\frac{rv}{6m}Q &\longrightarrow -\frac{rv}{6m}Q + \xi^3 \\ \frac{1}{2}H + \frac{rv}{6m}Q &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}H + \frac{rv}{6m}Q + \xi^A{}_{||A} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and they show, that we can always perform quasilocal gauge transformation in such a way that  $\Pi$ ,  $Q$  and  $H$  are vanishing.

Moreover, from (3.8–3.12) we can check the evolution equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -h_0^0 &= \frac{r^3}{6m\Lambda}\dot{\Pi} + \frac{1}{6}Q \\ h_{03} &= \frac{r^2v}{12m\Lambda}(r\Pi)_{,3} - \frac{r^2}{12m}\dot{Q} \\ h_0^A{}_{||A} &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{H} + \frac{rv}{6m}\dot{Q} - \frac{rv}{6m\Lambda}\Pi \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have shown, that quasilocal gauge  $\Pi = Q = H = 0$  gives vanishing dipole polar part of the full metric  $h_{\mu\nu}$ .

## A.2 Radiation polar part of the initial data in Regge-Wheeler gauge

The special form of the metric  $h_{\mu\nu}$  proposed in [1] will be called *Regge-Wheeler gauge*<sup>8</sup>. In polar part it gives the following gauge conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{AB}{}_{||AB} = h_{0A}{}^{||A} = h_{3A}{}^{||A} = 0; \quad r^2\chi^{AB}{}_{||AB} &\longrightarrow r^2\chi^{AB}{}_{||AB} + (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)\xi^A{}_{||A} \\ r^2h_{0A}{}^{||A} &\longrightarrow r^2h_{0A}{}^{||A} + \overset{\circ}{\Delta}\xi_0 + r^2\dot{\xi}^A{}_{||A}; \quad r^2h_{3A}{}^{||A} \longrightarrow r^2h_{3A}{}^{||A} + \overset{\circ}{\Delta}\xi_3 + r^2(\xi^A{}_{||A})_{,3} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

which allow to reconstruct the polar part of the metric  $h_{\mu\nu}$  as follows:

1. Equation

$$2v\Lambda^{-1}S^{AB}{}_{||AB} = \dot{\chi}^{AB}{}_{||AB} - (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)h_{0A}{}^{||A}$$

follows directly from (3.10) and gives  $S^{AB}{}_{||AB} = 0$ . The variable  $\Pi$  (defined by (A.1)) contains the same information as  $\underline{\mathbf{X}} = 2r^2S^{AB}{}_{||AB} + \mathcal{B}\Pi$ . From (A.4) we reconstruct  $\underline{P}^{3A}{}_{||A}$ , and (A.1) gives  $\underline{P}^3{}_3$ . Finally, we reconstruct  $\underline{S}$  from vector constraint (3.15). This way we have obtained four polar components of the ADM momentum  $\underline{P}^{kl}$ , namely  $S^{AB}{}_{||AB}$ ,  $\underline{P}^{3A}{}_{||A}$ ,  $\underline{P}^3{}_3$  and  $\underline{S}$ . The remaining two axial components are analyzed in subsection A.4.

<sup>8</sup>Regge-Wheeler impose maximal number of gauge conditions: 1 axial gauge (A.10) and 3 polar gauge conditions (A.9) in radiation part. They do not discuss mono-dipole part, except some stationary solutions. Chandrasekhar in his book [4] does not impose axial gauge. Vishveshwara uses the same formalism as Regge-Wheeler plus axial gauge (A.11) for the dipole and, moreover, in polar part he assumes  $\text{dip}(h_0^0 + h_3^3) = 0$ . The monopole part is not discussed in the literature.

2. The spatial metric we reconstruct from the scalar constraint (A.3) together with (A.2) and the observation that  $\mathcal{B}Q = \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)H$ . More precisely, we get a system of two equations for two missing components  $\underline{H}$ ,  $\underline{h}^3_3$  of the spatial metric (we need boundary data to solve them!).

3. The lapse  $\underline{h}^0_0$  we get from  $\dot{S}^{AB}{}_{||AB}$ , which is given by (3.13). And finally, the missing component  $\underline{h}_{03}$  of the shift we can calculate from the equation

$$2r^2\Lambda^{-1}P^{3A}{}_{||A} + \overset{\circ}{\Delta}h_{03} = r^2\dot{h}_{3A||A} - r^2(h_{0A}{}^{||A})_{,3}$$

which may be easily checked from (3.9). The axial components of the spatial metric and the axial part of the shift vector we analyze in subsection A.4.

The above analysis together with the results in subsection A.4 show, how to reconstruct the full initial data  $(\underline{P}^{kl}, \underline{h}_{kl})$  together with lapse  $\underline{h}^0_0$  and shift  $\underline{h}_{0k}$  in the Regge-Wheeler gauge (A.9) and (A.10) from the reduced initial data  $(\underline{\mathbf{x}}, \underline{\mathbf{y}}, \underline{\mathbf{X}}, \underline{\mathbf{Y}})$ .

### A.3 Radiation polar part of the initial data in quasilocal gauge

We do not like to impose any conditions directly on lapse and shift. It is more elegant to impose gauge conditions on the initial data only. The “time conservation laws” of the gauge conditions obtained from equations of motion give lapse and shift indirectly. For this purpose we propose the following quasilocal gauge conditions

$$\underline{Q} = \underline{H} = \underline{\Pi} = 0$$

which allow to reconstruct the radiation polar part of the four-metric in a quasilocal way.

$$rvQ \longrightarrow rvQ + 2\left(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r}\right)\xi^3$$

$$\frac{r^2}{\Lambda}\Pi \longrightarrow \frac{r^2}{\Lambda}\Pi - \frac{1}{2}\overset{\circ}{\Delta}\left(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r}\right)\xi^0$$

$$vQ - \frac{1}{2}\left(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r}\right)H \longrightarrow vQ - \frac{1}{2}\left(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r}\right)H - \left(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r}\right)\xi^A{}_{||A}$$

Moreover, each component of the metric  $\underline{h}_{\mu\nu}$  depends quasilocally on the invariants. This can be shown as follows:

1. From (A.2) and (A.3) we obtain metric components

$$r^2\chi^{AB}{}_{||AB} = \underline{\mathbf{x}}; \quad \underline{H} = 0$$

$$\underline{h}^3_3 = -r\underline{h}_{3A}{}^{||A} = \left(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r}\right)^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{x}}$$

2. From (A.1), (A.4) and (3.15) we get the ADM momentum

$$2r^2S^{AB}{}_{||AB} = \underline{\mathbf{X}}; \quad \underline{S} = \left[2vr\overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1}\left(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r}\right)^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{X}}\right]_{,3} - \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{X}}$$

$$\overset{\circ}{\Delta}\underline{P}^3_3 = -2r\underline{P}^{3A}{}_{||A} = 2v\left(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r}\right)^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{X}}$$

3. Moreover,

$$r\Pi - \Lambda r\dot{Q} = \left(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r}\right)(rP^3_3 - 2\Lambda h_{03})$$

and

$$v\dot{Q} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r} \right) \dot{H} = \Lambda^{-1} v \Pi - \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r} \right) h_{0A}^A$$

give the following components of the shift vector

$$\underline{h}_{0A}^A = 0; \quad \underline{h}_{03} = \frac{v}{\Lambda} \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r} \right)^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{X}}$$

and finally the equation for  $\dot{\Pi}$  gives the lapse function

$$\underline{h}^0_0 = \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r} \right)^{-1} \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} \underline{\mathbf{x}} - 2v\mathcal{B}\underline{\mathbf{x}} - 2rv\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{,3} \right)$$

The above quasilocal formulae for the radiation polar part of the metric  $h_{\mu\nu}$  allow to check the equations of motion (3.27) and (3.28) by inspection from the (3.8-3.13).

Why we prefer the quasilocal gauge?

Because the initial data with compact support becomes more clear. The full (constrained) initial data with compact support give the reduced initial data with compact support, and the opposite is true only in quasilocal gauge. The data with compact support allow to avoid the boundary value problems.

#### A.4 Monopole part of the initial data

The monopole part of the data seems to be not analyzed in the literature. We propose here the complete analysis of this simple ‘‘gap’’. Let us prolongate the gauge condition  $H = 0$ , which fixes the radial coordinate, and for the monopole part let us assume

$$\text{mon}(H) = 0$$

This way  $\text{mon}(\mathbf{x}) = 2v\mathcal{B}\text{mon}(h^3_3)$ , and the monopole part of the metric takes the form

$$\text{mon}(H) = 0; \quad \text{mon}(h^{33}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}^{-1}\text{mon}(\mathbf{x}) = 2\frac{p^0}{r}$$

For time coordinate we propose the following gauge condition

$$\text{mon}(P^3_3) = 0$$

which is no longer quasilocal, it needs the boundary data at spatial infinity for the parabolic equation obtained from (3.4). A similar situation (nonlocal reconstruction) we encounter during the analysis of the dipole axial part (see the next subsection).

From the vector constraint (3.15) and  $\text{mon}(P^3_3) = 0$  we obtain

$$\text{mon}(S) = 0$$

This way the whole ADM momentum is trivial in its monopole part.

The trace of (3.10):

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{H} = \Lambda^{-1} P^{33} + h_{0A}^A + \frac{2v}{r} h_{03}$$

gives  $\text{mon}(h_{03}) = 0$ , and finally the lapse we get from the monopole part of (3.11):

$$\text{mon}\left(2\frac{r^2}{\Lambda}\dot{P}^3_3\right) = \text{mon}(-2rvh_{0,3}^0 + 2vh_3^3)$$

If we assume, that  $h_0^0$  vanishes at spatial infinity, we obtain

$$\text{mon}(h_0^0) = \frac{p^0}{m} \ln v$$

The infinity, which we encounter in the monopole part of the invariant  $\mathbf{x}$  at  $r = 3m$ , suggests that we have to assume  $p^0 = 0$ , and this way we exclude the possibility of manipulation with the mass parameter  $m$  in the background metric.

## A.5 Axial part of the initial data

1. The ADM momentum components  $P^{3A||B}\varepsilon_{AB}$  and  $S^{AC}{}_{CB}\varepsilon_A{}^B$  we get from  $\mathbf{y}$  and axial part of the vector constraint (3.18).
2. In radiation part ( $l \geq 1$ ) we impose gauge condition

$$\chi^{AC}{}_{||CB}\varepsilon_A{}^B = 0; \quad r^2\chi^{AC}{}_{||CB}\varepsilon_A{}^B \longrightarrow r^2\chi^{AC}{}_{||CB}\varepsilon_A{}^B + (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)\xi_{A||B}\varepsilon^{AB} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

which fixes  $\xi_{A||B}\varepsilon^{AB}$  quasilocally. The component  $h_{3A||B}\varepsilon^{AB}$  is obviously contained in  $\mathbf{Y}$ . Moreover, from (3.10) we have

$$r^2\dot{\chi}^{AC}{}_{||CB}\varepsilon_A{}^B = 2v\frac{r^2}{\Lambda}S^{AC}{}_{||CB}\varepsilon_A{}^B + (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)h_{0A||B}\varepsilon^{AB}$$

which gives  $h_{0A||B}\varepsilon^{AB} = 0$ . This way we have shown, how to reconstruct axial part of the metric  $\underline{h}_{\mu\nu}$  from the invariants  $(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{Y})$ .

3. The dipole part of the metric ( $l = 1$ ) can be fixed by the gauge condition

$$\text{dip}(h_{3A||B}\varepsilon^{AB}) = 0; \quad \text{dip}(h_{3A||B}\varepsilon^{AB}) \longrightarrow \text{dip}(h_{3A||B}\varepsilon^{AB}) + [\text{dip}(\xi_{A||B}\varepsilon^{AB})]_{,3} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

which gives a ‘‘parabolic’’ equation for the angular gauge transformation  $\text{dip}(\xi_{A||B}\varepsilon^{AB})$  with the boundary value at spatial infinity. Moreover, stationary solution in  $\text{dip}(\mathbf{y})$  appears in  $h_{0A||B}\varepsilon^{AB}$ . More precisely, from the ‘‘time conservation law of the gauge condition’’

$$0 = r^2\text{dip}(\dot{h}_{3A||B}\varepsilon^{AB}) = r^2\text{dip}(h_{0A||B}\varepsilon^{AB})_{,3} + \text{dip}(\mathbf{y})$$

we obtain the dipole axial part of the shift  $\text{dip}(h_{0A||B}\varepsilon^{AB}) = \frac{4\mathbf{s}}{r^3}$ , and in particular for  $\mathbf{s} = s \cos \theta$  we have  $h_{0\varphi} = -\frac{2s \sin^2 \theta}{r}$ , which has been proposed by Vishveshwara (eq. 5.2 in [3]).

## B Reduction of the symplectic form

Let  $(p^{kl}, q_{kl})$  denote the Cauchy data on a hypersurface  $\Sigma$ . The (2+1)-splitting of the tensor  $q_{kl}$  gives the following components on a sphere:  $\overset{2}{q} := \eta^{AB}q_{AB}$ ,  $q_{33}$  – scalars on  $S^2$ ,  $q_{3A}$  – vector and  $\overset{\circ}{q}_{AB} := q_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{AB}\overset{2}{q}$  – symmetric traceless tensor on  $S^2$ . Similarly, we can decompose the tensor density  $p^{kl}$ . On each sphere  $S(r)$  we can manipulate as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V p^{kl}q_{kl} &= \int_V p^{33}q_{33} + 2p^{3A}q_{3A} + \frac{1}{2}p^{\overset{22}{q}} + \overset{\circ}{p}{}^{AB}\overset{\circ}{q}_{AB} = \\ &= \int_V p^{33}q_{33} - 2(rp^{3A}{}_{||A})\overset{\circ}{\Delta}{}^{-1}(rq_{3A}{}^{||A}) - 2(rp^{3A||B}\varepsilon_{AB})\overset{\circ}{\Delta}{}^{-1}(rq_{3A||B}\varepsilon^{AB}) + \frac{1}{2}p^{\overset{22}{q}} + \\ &+ 2\int_V (r^2\varepsilon^{AC}\overset{\circ}{p}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC})\overset{\circ}{\Delta}{}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1}(r^2\varepsilon^{AC}\overset{\circ}{q}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC}) + \\ &+ 2\int_V (r^2\overset{\circ}{p}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB})\overset{\circ}{\Delta}{}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1}(r^2\overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following identities on a sphere

$$-\int_{S(r)} \pi^A v_A = (r\pi^A{}_{||A})\overset{\circ}{\Delta}{}^{-1}(rv^A{}_{||A}) + (r\pi^{A||B}\varepsilon_{AB})\overset{\circ}{\Delta}{}^{-1}(rv_{A||B}\varepsilon^{AB}) \quad (\text{B.1})$$

and similarly for the traceless tensors we have

$$\int_{S(r)} \overset{\circ}{\pi}{}^{AB}\overset{\circ}{v}{}_{AB} = 2\int_{S(r)} (r^2\varepsilon^{AC}\overset{\circ}{\pi}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC})\overset{\circ}{\Delta}{}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1}(r^2\varepsilon^{AC}\overset{\circ}{v}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC}) +$$

$$+2 \int_{S(r)} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{\pi}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{v}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) \quad (\text{B.2})$$

The axial part of the quadratic form  $\int_V p^{kl} q_{kl}$  we define as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{axial part} &= -2 \int_V (rp^{3A||B} \varepsilon_{AB}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (rq_{3A||B} \varepsilon^{AB}) + \\ &+ 2 \int_V (r^2 \varepsilon^{AC} \overset{\circ}{p}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \varepsilon^{AC} \overset{\circ}{q}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC}) \end{aligned}$$

The remainder we define as a polar part. Moreover, from the axial part of the vector constraint (3.18):

$$(r^2 p^{3A||B} \varepsilon_{AB})_{,3} + r^2 \varepsilon^{AC} \overset{\circ}{p}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC} = 0 \quad (\text{B.3})$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{axial part} &= -2 \int_V (rp^{3A||B} \varepsilon_{AB}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (rq_{3A||B} \varepsilon^{AB}) + \\ &- 2 \int_V (r^2 p^{3A||B} \varepsilon_{AB})_{,3} \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \varepsilon^{AC} \overset{\circ}{q}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC}) = \\ &= -2 \int_{\partial V} (r^2 p^{3A||B} \varepsilon_{AB}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \varepsilon^{AC} \overset{\circ}{q}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC}) + \\ &- 2 \int_V (r^2 p^{3A||B} \varepsilon_{AB}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} [q_{3A||B} \varepsilon^{AB} - (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \varepsilon^{AC} \overset{\circ}{q}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC})_{,3}] \end{aligned}$$

We can see the invariants in the volume term, if we write the dipole part separately

$$\text{dipole axial part} = -2 \int_V \text{dip}(r^2 p^{3A||B} \varepsilon_{AB}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (q_{3A||B} \varepsilon^{AB}) \quad (\text{B.4})$$

and finally the radiation axial part contains gauge-invariants in the volume term

$$\begin{aligned} \text{radiation axial part} &= -2 \int_{\partial V} (r^2 p^{3A||B} \varepsilon_{AB}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \varepsilon^{AC} \overset{\circ}{q}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC}) + \\ &- 2 \int_V (r^2 p^{3A||B} \varepsilon_{AB}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} [( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) q_{3A||B} \varepsilon^{AB} - (r^2 \varepsilon^{AC} \overset{\circ}{q}{}^A{}^B{}_{||BC})_{,3}] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

For the polar part we can use the rest of the vector constraints

$$\frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} (\sqrt{v} p^3{}_3)_{,3} + r p_{3A}{}^{||A} - \overset{2}{p} = 0 \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$(r^2 p^{3A}{}_{||A})_{,3} + (r^2 \overset{\circ}{p}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) + \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{\Delta} \overset{2}{p} = 0 \quad (\text{B.7})$$

and we can reduce partially the polar part as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{polar part} &= \int_V p^3{}_3 q_3{}^3 - 2 (rp^{3A}{}_{||A}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (rq_{3A}{}^{||A}) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} (\sqrt{v} p^3{}_3)_{,3} + rp^{3A}{}_{||A} \right) \overset{2}{q} + \\ &- 2 \int_V \left[ (r^2 p^{3A}{}_{||A})_{,3} + \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{\Delta} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} (\sqrt{v} p^3{}_3)_{,3} + rp^{3A}{}_{||A} \right) \right] \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) = \\ &= \int_{\partial V} r p^3{}_3 \left[ \frac{1}{2} \overset{2}{q} - (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) \right] - 2 \int_{\partial V} (r^2 p^{3A}{}_{||A}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_V rp_{3A}{}^{\parallel A} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{q} + 2rv \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB})_{,3} - (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB}) - 2 \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (rq^{3A}{}_{\parallel A}) \right] + \\
& + \int_V p^3{}_3 \left[ q_3^3 + \sqrt{v} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \left( \frac{r^3}{\sqrt{v}} \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB} \right)_{,3} - \frac{\sqrt{v}}{2} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} \overset{\circ}{q} \right)_{,3} \right] \tag{B.8}
\end{aligned}$$

The above calculation shows, that we should consider the mono-dipole part separately. The monopole part is very simple

$$\text{mon} \left( \int_V p^{kl} q_{kl} \right) = \int_V \frac{1}{2} p_{33} \mathcal{B}^{-1} \text{mon}(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial V} rp_3^3 \text{mon}(\overset{\circ}{q}) \tag{B.9}$$

where invariant  $\zeta$  is defined as follows

$$\zeta := \mathcal{B} \left[ 2q^{33} + 2rq^{3A}{}_{\parallel A} - rv \overset{\circ}{q}_{,3} \right] + r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB} - \frac{1}{2} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) \overset{\circ}{q}$$

Using (A.6), (A.7), (A.5) and integrating by parts, we can also rewrite (from the beginning) the dipole part in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{dipole polar part} & = \int_V p^{33} q_{33} - 2(rp^{3A}{}_{\parallel A}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (rq^{3A}{}_{\parallel A}) + \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{p} \overset{\circ}{q} = \\
& = \int_V \left[ \frac{r^2 \sqrt{v}}{6m} (\sqrt{v} \Pi)_{,3} - \frac{1}{2} \Pi \right] q_{33} - 2 \frac{r^2 \sqrt{v}}{6m} (\sqrt{v} \Pi)_{,3} \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (rq^{3A}{}_{\parallel A}) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{r^3 \sqrt{v}}{6m} (\sqrt{v} \Pi)_{,3} \right]_{,3} \overset{\circ}{q} = \\
& = \int_{\partial V} \frac{r^3 \sqrt{v}}{12m} (\sqrt{v} \Pi)_{,3} \overset{\circ}{q} + \frac{r^2}{6m} \Pi \left( rq^{3A}{}_{\parallel A} + q^{33} - \frac{1}{2} vr \overset{\circ}{q}_{,3} \right) + \\
& - \int_V \Pi \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_3^3 + \frac{\sqrt{v}}{6m} \left[ r^2 \sqrt{v} \left( q_3^3 - \frac{1}{2} r \overset{\circ}{q}_{,3} + rq_3^A{}_{\parallel A} \right) \right]_{,3} \right\} = \int_{\partial V} \frac{r^3 \sqrt{v}}{12m} (\sqrt{v} \Pi)_{,3} \overset{\circ}{q} + \frac{r^2 v}{12m} \Pi Q = \\
& = \int_{\partial V} rv \Pi \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r} \right)^{-1} Q - r^2 p^{3A}{}_{\parallel A} \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} \overset{\circ}{q} \tag{B.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where here  $\Pi$  denotes only its dipole part and  $\Pi$  itself is defined by (A.1). We have also used scalar constraint (A.3), and finally the dipole polar part takes its boundary form (B.10).

The radiation polar part can be also reduced, if we use scalar constraint (3.17) in two equivalent forms (motivated by (B.8))

$$\sqrt{v} \left( \frac{r^3}{\sqrt{v}} \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB} \right)_{,3} + (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) \left[ q_3^3 - \frac{\sqrt{v}}{2} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} \overset{\circ}{q} \right)_{,3} \right] = \sqrt{v} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} \zeta \right)_{,3} + \mathcal{B} \zeta \tag{B.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{\Delta} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) \overset{\circ}{q} + 2rv \left( r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB} \right)_{,3} - \overset{\circ}{\Delta} r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB} - 2(\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) (rq^{3A}{}_{\parallel A}) = \\
& = 2v \sqrt{v} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} \zeta \right)_{,3} + 2v \mathcal{B} \zeta - (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) \mathcal{B}^{-1} \zeta \tag{B.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (B.11), (B.12) into (B.8) and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{radiation polar part} & = \int_V p^3{}_3 \left[ q_3^3 + \sqrt{v} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \left( \frac{r^3}{\sqrt{v}} \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB} \right)_{,3} - \frac{\sqrt{v}}{2} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} \overset{\circ}{q} \right)_{,3} \right] + \\
& + \int_V rp_{3A}{}^{\parallel A} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{q} + 2rv \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB})_{,3} - (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{\parallel AB}) - 2 \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (rq^{3A}{}_{\parallel A}) \right] +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\partial V} r p^3{}_3 \left[ \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{q} - (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) \right] - 2 \int_{\partial V} (r^2 p^{3A}{}_{||A}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) = \\
& = \int_{\partial V} r p^3{}_3 \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{q} - r \Pi \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) + \int_V p^3{}_3 (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \left[ \sqrt{v} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} \zeta \right) \right]{}_{,3} + \mathcal{B} \zeta \Big] + \\
& + \int_V r p^{3A}{}_{||A} \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \left[ 2 \sqrt{v} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} \zeta \right) \right]{}_{,3} + 2 \mathcal{B} \zeta - (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) v^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{-1} \zeta \Big] = \\
& = \int_{\partial V} r \left[ p^3{}_3 + 2 \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (r p^{3A}{}_{||A}) \right] (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \zeta + r p^3{}_3 \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{q} - r \Pi \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) + \\
& + \int_V \left[ -\frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} (\sqrt{v} \Pi) \right]{}_{,3} + \mathcal{B} \Pi - (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) \mathcal{B}^{-1} v^{-1} r p^{3A}{}_{||A} \Big] \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \zeta = \\
& = \int_{\partial V} r p^3{}_3 \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{q} + r \Pi \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (\zeta - r^2 \overset{\circ}{q}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB}) + \int_V [2r^2 \overset{\circ}{p}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB} + \mathcal{B} \Pi] \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \zeta \text{ (B.13)}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the equality

$$2r^2 \overset{\circ}{p}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB} + \frac{r}{\sqrt{v}} (\sqrt{v} \Pi) \right]{}_{,3} + (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2) \mathcal{B}^{-1} v^{-1} r p^{3A}{}_{||A} = 0$$

which is a simple consequence of the vector constraint (A.4), (B.6) and (B.7). It is easy to check, that the volume terms in the final form of (B.5) and (B.13) contain demanded gauge-invariant result.

Let us summarize the result we have proved

$$\int_V p^{kl} q_{kl} = \text{monopole part} + \text{dipole part} + \text{radiation part}$$

where the monopole part is given by (B.9), the dipole part is a sum of (B.4) and (B.10):

$$\text{dipole part} = \text{dipole axial part} + \text{dipole polar part}$$

and the radiation part is a sum of (B.5) and (B.13):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{radiation part in } V = \int_V [2r^2 \overset{\circ}{p}{}^{AB}{}_{||AB} + \mathcal{B} \Pi] \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} \zeta + \\
& - 2 \int_V (r^2 p^{3A}{}_{||B} \varepsilon_{AB}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} [q_{3A}{}_{||B} \varepsilon^{AB} - (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \varepsilon^{AC} \overset{\circ}{q}{}^B{}_{||BC})]{}_{,3} \\
& \text{boundary terms} = \int_{\partial V} r v \Pi \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2 - \frac{6m}{r} \right)^{-1} Q - r^2 p^{3A}{}_{||A} \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} \overset{\circ}{q} + \\
& - 2 \int_{\partial V} (r^2 p^{3A}{}_{||B} \varepsilon_{AB}) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{-1} (\overset{\circ}{\Delta} + 2)^{-1} (r^2 \varepsilon^{AC} \overset{\circ}{q}{}^B{}_{||BC})
\end{aligned}$$

## C (2+1)-decomposition of the gauge for lapse and shift

The gauge transformation (2.18) splits according to the (2+1)-decomposition, and we obtain the following gauge transformation law, which acts on lapse and shift:

$$h_{00} \rightarrow h_{00} + 2\dot{\xi}_0 - \frac{2m}{r^2} \xi_3 \tag{C.1}$$

$$h_{0A} \rightarrow h_{0A} + \xi_{0,A} + \xi_{A,0} \tag{C.2}$$

$$h_{03} \rightarrow h_{03} + \dot{\xi}_3 - v \xi_{,3}^0 \tag{C.3}$$

## D Tensor harmonics against scalars

For the “historical reasons” we summarize in the table the quasilocal relations between tensor harmonics decomposition and our scalars on  $S^2$ :

tensor harmonics component	corresponding scalar on $S^2$
$h_1^{\text{odd}}$	$h_{3A  B}\varepsilon^{AB}$
$h_2^{\text{odd}}$	$\chi^C{}_{A  CB}\varepsilon^{AB}$
$h_1^{\text{even}}$	$h_3^A{}_{  A}$
$H_2^{\text{even}}$	$h^3{}_3$
$K$	$\frac{1}{2}H$
$G$	$\chi^{AB}{}_{  AB}$

where the three-metric  $h_{kl}$  is decomposed into tensor harmonics as follows (see 4.1 and 5.1 in [5]):

$$h_{kl} = h_1^{\text{odd}}\hat{e}_1 + h_2^{\text{odd}}\hat{e}_2 + h_1^{\text{even}}\hat{f}_1 + H_2^{\text{even}}v^{-1}\hat{f}_2 + r^2K\hat{f}_3 + r^2G\hat{f}_4$$

## References

- [1] T. Regge, J. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. 108, 1063–1069 (1957)
- [2] F.J. Zerilli, Phys. Rev. D2, 2141–2160 (1970)
- [3] C.V. Vishveshwara, Phys. Rev. D1, 2870–2879 (1970)
- [4] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*, (Clarendon Press Oxford, 1983)
- [5] V. Moncrief, Annals of Physics 88, 323–342 (1974)
- [6] R. Wald, J. Math. Phys. 20, 1056–1058 (1979)
- [7] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, C. Misner, *The dynamics of general relativity*, in: Gravitation: an introduction to current research, ed. L. Witten, p. 227 (Wiley, New York, 1962)
- [8] C. Misner, K.S. Thorne, J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, 1973)
- [9] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifschitz, *Field Theory* (Mir, Moscow, 1976)
- [10] R.P. Kerr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 11, 237 (1963)
- [11] R.H. Boyer, R.W. Lindquist, J. Math. Phys. 8, 265 (1967)
- [12] A.H. Taub, Ann. Math. 53, 472 (1951)
- [13] E.T. Newman et.al., J. Math. Phys. 4, 1467 (1963)
- [14] D. Christodoulou, S. Kleinerman, *The Global Nonlinear Stability of the Minkowski Space* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1993)
- [15] J. Kijowski, W.M. Tulczyjew, *A Symplectic Framework for Field Theories*, Lecture Notes in Physics No.107 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979)

- [16] J. Jezierski, J. Kijowski, *General Relativity and Gravitation* 22, 1283–1307 (1990)
- [17] J. Jezierski, J. Kijowski, *Quasi-local hamiltonian of the gravitational field*, in: *Proceedings of the Sixth Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity, Kyoto 1991, Part A*, editors H. Sato and T. Nakamura, 123–125 (World Scientific, 1992)
- [18] J. Jezierski, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 11, 1055–1068 (1994)
- [19] J. Jezierski, *General Relativity and Gravitation* 27, 821–843 (1995)
- [20] J. Kijowski, *General Relativity and Gravitation* 29, 307–343 (1997)