

Sol Riemannian Geometry
 $M; g: D \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $D \subset \mathbb{T}M$
 scalar product (lin. combination of velocities)

Riemannian Geodesic Problem
 Def: $\gamma \in M; [0, T]$
 Find $\gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow M$ s.t.
 1) $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q$
 2) $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in D_{\gamma(t)} \leftarrow$ horizontal curve
 3) $\int_0^T g(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) dt \rightarrow$ minimal

Questions?
 1. Is there any horizontal curve joining p and q?
 2. If 1) then is there hor. curve that minimizes the energy? \rightarrow local. H.K.P. shows that VEF is local locally
 3. If 2) how to find such a curve? (What are the equations?) \rightarrow let's do some calculations

Local description (rooted in Control Theory)
 $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{span}\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ X_i are lin. independent
 $\dot{\gamma}(t) = \sum u_i(t) X_i(\gamma(t))$ $g(X_i, X_j) = \delta_{ij}$ - orthonormal v.f.s
 $u(t) = (u_1(t), \dots, u_k(t)) \rightarrow$ a control
 $\text{Energy}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \sum u_i(t)^2 dt$ $L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^k)$

The End-point map
 $L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^k) \xrightarrow{E} M \times \mathbb{R}$
 $u(t) \mapsto (\gamma(T), E_{\text{end}}(\gamma))$
 s.t. that $\dot{\gamma}(t) = \sum u_i(t) X_i(\gamma(t))$
 $\gamma(0) = p$

Main idea - study the 1st derivative of the end-point map
 $dE(u)[\Delta u] = \partial_{t=0} \int_0^T \sum u_i(t)^2 dt = \partial_{t=0} \int_0^T \sum u_i(t) \Delta u_i(t) dt$
 where $\dot{\gamma}_s(t) = \sum (u_i(t) + s \Delta u_i(t)) X_i(\gamma_s(t))$
 $\gamma_s(0) = p$ $\partial_{t=0} \gamma_s(T) = 0$

$\partial_{t=0} \dot{\gamma}_s(T) = \sum \Delta u_i(t) X_i(\gamma_s(t)) + \sum u_i(t) \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial q}(\gamma_s(t)) \cdot \partial_{t=0} \gamma_s(t)$
 $\partial_{t=0} \gamma_s(0) = 0$
 the linear part $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$
 $x(0) = 0$
 $x(t) = \int_0^t A(\tau)x(\tau) d\tau$
 it's a variation of $x(t) = \int_0^t u_i(\tau) X_i(\gamma(\tau)) d\tau$ where $A^{\tau, i}$ is a bounded matrix of variation of control v.f.s.

All in all $\partial_{t=0} \gamma_s(T) = \int_0^T \sum \Delta u_i(t) X_i(\gamma_s(t)) dt$
 the derivative of the energy $\partial_{t=0} E_{\text{end}}(u + s \Delta u) = \partial_{t=0} \int_0^T \sum (u_i + s \Delta u_i)^2 dt = \int_0^T \sum 2 u_i(t) \Delta u_i(t) dt$
 $dE(u)[\Delta u] = \left(\int_0^T \sum X_i^T \Delta u_i X_i(\gamma_s(t)) dt, \int_0^T \sum 2 u_i(t) \Delta u_i(t) dt \right)$
 $L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^k)$

Examples
 Heisenberg system $\mathbb{R}^3(x, y, z)$
 $X_1 = \partial_x + y \partial_z, X_2 = \partial_y - x \partial_z$
 $[X_1, X_2] = -2 \partial_z$
 $\text{for } [X_1, X_2, [X_1, X_2]] = T \mathbb{R}^3$
 Martinet plane $X_1 = \partial_x, X_2 = \partial_y + x \partial_z$ $\text{at } x=0$
 $[X_1, X_2] = 2x \partial_z, [X_1, [X_1, X_2]] = 2 \partial_z$
 A Lie bracket generating $\varphi = a(t)x + d(t)y + c(t)z$
 $u_i(t), u_j(t)$ - controls
 $\langle \varphi, 0 \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow a(t) = 0$
 $\dot{x} = u_1(t) \Rightarrow a(t) = 0$
 $\dot{y} = u_2(t) \Rightarrow a(t) = 0$
 $\dot{z} = x \dot{u}_1(t) \Rightarrow u_1(t) + x \dot{c}(t) = 0$
 $\begin{cases} a(t) = 2u_2 x + c(t) \\ b(t) = 0 \\ c(t) = 0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} c(t) = 0 \\ c'(t) = -u_2 \\ c(t) = -\int u_2 dt \end{cases}$
 $\rightarrow (0, t, z_0)$ demand trajectory

The main lemma
 If $u(t)$ is optimal, then the image of $dE(u)$ cannot be the whole $T_{(t, E_{\text{end}}(u))} M \times \mathbb{R}$
 Proof. Assume the contrary: $\exists \Delta u^i$ - controls, s.t. that $dE(u)[\Delta u^i]$ spans the whole $T_{(t, E_{\text{end}}(u))} M \times \mathbb{R}$
 So consider $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$
 $(i^*) \mapsto E(u + \sum t_i \Delta u^i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ jump
 $\Phi(0) = E(u) = (s(T), E_{\text{end}}(s))$
 $\exists u \Phi(0) = dE(u)[\Delta u^i]$ so $\exists u$ $d\Phi(0)$
 So Φ is a local diffeomorphism and 0 is the whole $T_{(t, E_{\text{end}}(u))} M \times \mathbb{R}$
 (Inverse F. Thm) $\Rightarrow (s(T), E_{\text{end}}(s) - \varepsilon) \in \text{Im } \Phi$
 this contradicts optimality

Corollary - if $u(t)$ is optimal then the image is a proper subspace in $T_{(t, E_{\text{end}}(u))} M \times \mathbb{R}$
 Abnormal case $dE(u) \subset T_{(t, E_{\text{end}}(u))} M \times \mathbb{R}$ is a proper subspace
 Hamiltonian description $\exists \varphi(t): T_{s(t)} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. that $dE(u) \subset \ker \varphi(T)$
 define $\varphi(t): T_{s(t)} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by pulling back it by the flow of $X_{u(t)}$
 then $\langle \varphi(t), D_{s(t)} \rangle = 0$ $\varphi(t) \neq 0$
 $\dot{\varphi}^*(t) = - \int_0^t \varphi^*(\tau) u_i(\tau) \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial q}(\gamma(\tau)) d\tau$
 note that if $H(\varphi, \gamma, u) = \langle \varphi, \sum u_i(t) X_i(\gamma) \rangle$
 then $\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} = \sum u_i(t) X_i(\gamma) \\ \dot{\varphi} = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial s} = - \langle \varphi(t), \sum u_i(t) \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial s} \rangle \end{cases}$

Normal case $dE(u) \not\subset T_{(t, E_{\text{end}}(u))} M \times \mathbb{R}$ and is \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}
 then $\exists \varphi: T_{s(t)} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 s.t. that $\text{Im } dE(u) \subset \text{graph}(\varphi(T))$
 that is $T_{s(t)} M \times \mathbb{R} = \int_0^T \sum \Delta u_i^T X_i(\gamma_s(t)) dt + \int_0^T \sum u_i(t) \Delta u_i(t) dt$
 we can take that $u_i(t) = \varphi(T X_{u_i}^T(X_s(t)))|_{s=t} = 0$
 \rightarrow it follows that $u_i(t)$ are C^∞

What is the level of φ
 If $\int \Delta u_i^T u_i = 0$ then $\int \Delta u_i u_i = \varphi(T X_{u_i}^T(\sum \Delta u_i X_i))$
 It turns out that $X_{u_i}(\dot{\gamma}^t) \notin T_{s(t)} M$
 the smallest distribution along $\gamma_s(t)$ containing $D_{s(t)} \dot{\gamma}^t$ and invariant by the flow of $X_{u(t)}$

Hamiltonian description $\exists \varphi(t): T_{s(t)} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. that
 $\begin{cases} \dot{\varphi}^*(t) = - \int_0^t \varphi^*(\tau) u_i(\tau) \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial q}(\gamma(\tau)) d\tau = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial s} \\ \dot{\gamma}(t) = \sum u_i(t) X_i(\gamma_s(t)) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \\ \langle \varphi(t), X_i \rangle = u_i(t) \end{cases}$
 $H(\varphi, \gamma, u, t) = \langle \varphi, \sum u_i X_i(\gamma) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} u_i^2$
 u_i is a control variable

Hamiltonian description $\exists \varphi(t): T_{s(t)} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. that
 $\begin{cases} \dot{\varphi}^*(t) = - \int_0^t \varphi^*(\tau) u_i(\tau) \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial q}(\gamma(\tau)) d\tau = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial s} \\ \dot{\gamma}(t) = \sum u_i(t) X_i(\gamma_s(t)) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \\ \langle \varphi(t), X_i \rangle = u_i(t) \end{cases}$
 $H(\varphi, \gamma, u, t) = \langle \varphi, \sum u_i X_i(\gamma) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} u_i^2$
 u_i is a control variable

Conclusion
 Thm. Normal curves in SR geometry are locally minimizing