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Summary. The problem of description of the unit balls of the $n$-dimensional Orlicz and Musielak-Orlicz spaces in the spade of all compact convex subset of $R^{n}$ is studied. For $n=2$ every compact symmetric body is the unit ball of some Orlicz space. This result cannot be extended to arbitrary $n \geqslant 3$. The unit ball of the $n$-dimensional Musielak-Orlicz space is stable.

It is well-known that to every compact centrally symmetric convex set with non-empty interior there corresponds a norm defined by the Minkowski functional. Consider a finite dimensional Orlicz space. More precisely, let $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a convex function with $\varphi(0)=0$. By $l_{n}^{\varphi}$ we denote the space of sequences $\left(x_{k}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$
\left\|\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|_{\varphi}=\inf \left\{\alpha: \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi\left(\left|x_{k} / \alpha\right|\right) \leqslant 1 .\right.
$$

We refer the reader to [3] for basic facts about Orlicz spaces. There is a natural question, whether each compact symmetric convex subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with non-empty interior can be a unit ball $B\left(l_{n}^{\varphi}\right)$ of some $n$-dimensional Orlicz space $l_{n}^{\varphi}$. In this note we discuss the above question. An answer is affirmative if $n=2$ and negative if $n \geqslant 3$.

The condition $\left\|\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|_{\varphi}=\left\|\left(\left|x_{k}\right|\right)\right\|_{\varphi}$ geometrically means that $B\left(l_{n}^{\varphi}\right)$ is symmetric with respect to each hyperplane $\left\{x_{k}: x_{k_{0}}=0\right\}, k_{0}=1,2, \ldots, n$. The convex set $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $\left(x_{k}\right) \in Q$ if and only if $\left(\left|x_{\pi(i)}\right|\right) \in Q$ for all permutations $\pi$ of $1,2, \ldots, n$ will be called symmetric. Obviously the unit ball $B\left(l_{n}^{\varphi}\right)$ of $l_{n}^{\varphi}$ is a symmetric convex subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$.

Because $l_{n}^{\varphi}$ is an Orlicz space defined on the atomic measure space with mass of atoms equal to one, the domain of $\varphi$ may be restricted to $[0,1]$ if it is assumed that $\left\|e_{i}\right\|_{\varphi}=1$.

Theorem 1. Every compact symmetric convex subset of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ with non-empty interior is a unit ball of some Orlicz space $l_{2}^{\varphi}$.

Proof. Let $Q$ be a compact symmetric convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with non-empty interior. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the Minkowski functional of $Q$. We may and do assume that $\left\|e_{i}\right\|=1$. We define a function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ by

$$
f(x)=\max \{z:\|(x, z)\|=1\} .
$$

Note that if $x<1$ then there exists exactly one $z \geqslant 0$ with $\|(x, z)\|=1$. The function $f$ is concave and decreasing and $f(0)=1,\|(f(x), x)\|=1$. Let $x_{0}>0$ be such that $\left\|\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right\|=1$. We have $0 \leqslant f(1) \leqslant f\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0} \leqslant 1$. If $x_{0}<1$ then $0 \geqslant f_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \geqslant-1 \geqslant f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$, since $f^{-1}$ exists in some neighbourhood of $x_{0}$ (and $f^{-1}=f$ ).

If $x_{0}=1$, then $l_{2}^{\varphi}=l_{2}^{\infty}$. In this case $Q$ is a unit ball of an Orlicz space generated by a function

$$
\varphi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { for } & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1 \\
+\infty & \text { for } & t>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now assume that $x_{0}<1$. Define

$$
\varphi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{llc}
(1-f(t)) / 2\left(1-x_{0}\right) & \text { if } & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant x_{0} \\
\frac{1}{2}+\left(t-x_{0}\right) / 2\left(1-x_{0}\right) & \text { if } & x_{0}<t
\end{array}\right.
$$

The function $\varphi$ is convex. Indeed, the restricted functions $\left.\varphi\right|_{\left[0, x_{0}\right]}$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{\left(x_{0}, \infty\right)}$ are convex. We only need to show that

$$
\varphi_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0_{-}} \frac{\varphi\left(x_{0}+h\right)-\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)}{h} \leqslant \frac{1}{2\left(1-x_{0}\right)}=\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

The end of the above equality holds since $0 \geqslant f_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \geqslant-1$.
We claim that $B\left(l_{2}^{\varphi}\right)=Q$. Let $0 \leqslant x \leqslant y \leqslant 1$ be such that $\|(x, y)\|=1$. To prove our claim it is sufficient to show that $\|(x, y)\|_{\varphi}=1$. We have

$$
\|(x, y)\|_{\varphi}=\inf \left\{\alpha: \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)+\varphi\left(\frac{y}{\alpha}\right) \leqslant 1\right\}=\inf A
$$

where $A=\{\alpha: x / \alpha \leqslant f(y / \alpha)\}$.
Obviously $1 \in A$. Suppose that some $\alpha_{0}<1$ belongs to $A$. Then $\|\left(y / \alpha_{0}\right.$, $\left.x / \alpha_{0}\right) \| \leqslant 1$, but this contradices with $\|(x, y)\|=1$. Therefore $\|(x, y)\|_{\varphi}=$ $=\inf A=1$. This completes the proof of Theorem.

Remark 1. Instead of $\varphi$ in the proof of Theorem 1 we can use the following Orlicz functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
t / 2 x_{0} & \text { if } & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant x_{0} \\
1-f(t) / 2 x_{0} & \text { if } & x_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant 1 \\
+\infty & \text { if } & t>1
\end{array}\right. \\
& \varphi_{2}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
h(t) & \text { if } & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant x_{0} \\
1-h(f(x)) & \text { if } & x_{0}<t \leqslant 1
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where we choose a function $h$ in such a way that $\varphi_{2}$ is convex. Obviously $h\left(x_{0}\right)$ must be equal to $1 / 2$.

Therefore $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ the same Orlicz space can be generated by two distinct Orlicz functions. For instance the Euclidean norm in $R^{2}$ is generated by $\varphi, \varphi_{1}$ where $f(t)=\sqrt{1-t^{2}}$ and by $\varphi_{3}=t^{2}$ etc. Note that from the confrom the construction presented in the proof of Theorem 1 follows that the space $l_{2}^{1}$ is generated by exactly one Orlicz function (because $x_{0}=1 / 2$ ).

It should be pointed out that in the two dimensional case there exists strict convex Orlicz space generated by no strict convex Orlicz function (cf. [5], [6], [1], [2]).

Remark 2. There exists a compact symmetric convex subset of $\mathbf{R}^{3}$, which is a unit ball of no Orlicz space $l_{3}^{\varphi}$. For example let

$$
Q=\operatorname{conv}\left\{ \pm e_{1}, \pm e_{2}, \pm e_{3},\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Indeed, suppose that there exists an Orlicz function such that $Q=B\left(l_{3}^{\varphi}\right)$. Since intersection $Q$ with the plane $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{3}: x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbf{R}\right\}$ give $l_{2}^{1}$-ball. Thus $\varphi(0)=0, \quad \varphi(1 / 2)=1 / 2$ and $\varphi(1)=1$, so $\varphi(t)=t$ for $t \in[0,1]$. Therefore $l_{3}^{\varphi}=l_{3}^{1}$, but $Q \neq B\left(l_{3}^{1}\right)$.

We will need the following fact.
lemma. Let $H$ denote subset of the unit interval $(0,1)$ such that
(i) $1 / 2 \in H$,
(ii) $a \in H$ implies $(1-a) \in H$,
(iii) $a \in H$ implies $(1-a) / 2 \in H$.

Then $H$ is dense in $(0,1)$.
Proof. Applaing (ii) and (iii) we obtain
(iv) $a \in H$ implies $a / 2 \in H$.

Suppose that $k / 2^{n} \in H, k=1,2,3, \ldots, 2^{n}-1, n \in \mathbf{N}$. It is sufficient to show that $l / 2^{n+1} \in H$ for all $l=1, \ldots, 2^{n+1}-1$. If $l \in 2^{n}$, then $l / 2^{n} \in H$ and by (iv) $l / 2^{n+1} \in H$. If $2^{n}<l<2^{n+1}$, then $\left(2^{n+1}-l\right) / 2^{n+1} \in H$ and by (ii) $l / 2^{n+1} \in H$.

Proposition. The sections of the unit ball $B\left(l_{3}\right)$ by the planes $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right) \in\right.$ $\left.\in \mathbf{R}^{3}: x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbf{R}\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{3} \quad x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbf{R}\right\}$ uniquely determines the Orlicz function $\varphi$.

Proof. Let $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$ be the Luxemburg norm of $l_{3}$. We can and do assume that $\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{\varphi}=1$. Let $x_{0}>0$ be such that $\left\|\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, 0\right)\right\|=1$. We define functions $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1], g:\left[0, x_{0}\right] \rightarrow[0,1]$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =\max \left\{z:\|(x, z, 0)\|_{\varphi}=1\right\} \\
g(x) & =\max \left\{z:\|(x, x, z)\|_{\varphi}=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It should be pointed out that the functions $f$ and $g$ can be defined in the case if only plane sections of $B\left(l_{3}\right)$ presented in statement of Proposition are known.

Put $y_{1}=\max \{x: f(x)=1\}, y_{2}=\max \{x: g(x)=1\}$. Because $f$ and $g$ are concave and decreasing, the restricted functions $f_{1}=\left.f\right|_{[y, 1,1]}$ and $g_{1}=$ $=\left.g\right|_{\left[\text {[2 }_{2}, 1\right]}$ are strictly decreasing. Therefore $f_{1}^{-1}$ and $g_{1}^{-1}$ exist.

Since $\varphi$ is increasing, convex and $\varphi([0,1]) \subset[0,1]$ it is sufficient to find a set $B$ such that $H=\{\varphi(x): x \in B\}$ is a dense subset of $(0,1)$. Note that if $\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right\|=1$ and $0 \leqslant x_{i}<1 \quad i=1,2,3$, then $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)+$ $+\varphi\left(x_{3}\right)=1$. Thus if $x, f(x), g(x) \in(0,1)$, then $\varphi(x)+\varphi(f(x))=1$ and $\varphi(x)+\varphi(x)+\varphi(g(x))=1$. Therefore if the value $b=\varphi(y)$ is known, then we can determine $\varphi\left(f_{1}^{-1}(y)\right)=1-\varphi(y)$, and analogously $\varphi\left(g_{1}^{-1}(y)\right)=[1-$ $-\varphi(y)] / 2$. Let $B$ be a set such that
(a) $x_{0} \in H$
(b) $x \in B$ implies $f_{1}^{-1}(x) \in B$
(c) $x \in B$ implies $g_{1}^{-1}(x) \in B$.

Then $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=1 / 2 \in H$ and $b \in H$ implies $(1-b) \in H$ (by (b)) and $(1-b) / 2$ $H$ (by (c)). Invoking the Lemma we conclude $H$ is dense in $(0,1)$. Therefore $\varphi$ is uniquely determined by the functions $f$ and $g$.

Remark 3. Above Proposition can be written for arbitrary $l_{n}^{\varphi}, n \geqslant 3$ and $l$.

Problem. Characterize all $B\left(l_{n}^{p}\right)$ in the space of compact symmetric convex subsets of $\mathbf{R}^{n}(n \geqslant 3)$.

The case of Musielak-Orlicz spaces. Consider more general class of spaces: Musielak-Orlicz spaces. In the 2 -dimensional case the unit ball of the Musielak-Orlicz space generated by $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ is a set

$$
B=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}: \varphi_{1}(|x|)+\varphi_{2}(|y|) \leqslant 1\right.
$$

where $\varphi_{i}$ are convex functions with $\varphi_{i}(0)=0, i=1,2$. Obviously the unit
ball of each Musielak-Orlicz space is centrally symmetric convex body. It is also symmetric with respect to $x$ and $y$-axes when we consider the plane.

Theorem 2. Every compact convex set $B \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ with int $B \neq \emptyset$ such that $(x, y) \in B$ implies $( \pm x, \pm y) \in B$ is a unit ball of some 2-dimensional Musielak--Orlicz space.

Proof. Let $B$ be a subset of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ satisfying the assumption of the Theorem. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm corresponding to $B$. Let $a, b>0$ be such that $\|(a, 0)\|=\|(0, b)\|=1$. Put

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{1}(t)=\frac{t}{a} \\
\varphi_{2}(t)= \begin{cases}1-\max \{z:\|(a z, t)\|=1\} & \text { if } 0 \leqslant t \leqslant b \\
+\infty & \text { if } t \geqslant b\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is not hard to see that $\varphi_{i}$ are convex functions with $\varphi_{i}(0)=0$, $=1,2$, and the unit ball of the Musielak-Orlicz space generated by $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ coincides with $B$.

Remark 4. There exists a compact symmetric convex subset of $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ which is the unit ball of no Musielak-Orlicz space. For example the set $Q$ from Remark 2. Indeed, suppose, to get a contradiction, that $Q$ is the unit ball of a 3-dimensional Musielak-Orlicz space generated by convex functions $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}$ i.e.

$$
Q=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{3} \varphi_{1}(|x|)+\varphi_{2}(|y|)+\varphi_{3}(|z|) \leqslant 1\right\} .
$$

Because $(1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1) \in B$ we have $\varphi_{i}(1) \leqslant 1$. Because $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$, $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ belong to the unit sphere we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\varphi_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=1 \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\varphi_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=1 \\
& \varphi_{2}\binom{1}{2}+\varphi_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

After solving the above three equations we obtain $\varphi_{i}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} i=1,2,3$.
Thus we have $\varphi_{i}(t)=t$ for $t \in[0,1]$, since $\varphi_{i}$ are convex. This contradicts with

$$
\varphi_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \in Q
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{1}(1 / 2)+\varphi_{2}(1 / 2)+\varphi_{3}(1 / 2)=3 / 2>1 .
$$

The problem of description of all the unit balls of Musielak-Orlicz spaces in the space of compact convex subset of $R^{n}(n \geqslant 3)$ remains open.

The affine structure of the unit ball. For a point $x$ a convex compact set $B$ we define a face generated by $x$ in $B$ as follows

$$
F_{x}=\{y \in B \text { : there exist } z \in B \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha \in(0,1]
$$

such that $x=\alpha y+(1-\alpha) z\}$
Note that $x \in \operatorname{ext} B$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim} F_{x}=0$.
Remark 5. Let $\varphi_{i}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be convex functions s.t. $\varphi_{i}(t)=0$ iff $t=0$ Let $U_{i}$ be maximal open subsets of $\mathbf{R}$ such that $\varphi_{i}$ is linear on each connected component of $U_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Denote by ${I_{n}^{\left(\varphi_{i}\right)}}^{\text {the }} n$ dimensional Musielak-Orlicz space generated by $\varphi_{i}$. Let $x \in l_{n}^{\left(\varphi_{i}\right)}$ with $\|x\|=1$. We have $\operatorname{dim} F_{x}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{lin} Y$, where $Y=\left\{y: x \pm y \in B\left(l_{n}^{\left(\varphi_{i}\right)}\right)\right.$. By convexity of $\varphi_{i}$ for $z \in Y$

$$
1=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left[\varphi_{i}\left(x_{i}-z_{i}\right)+\varphi_{i}\left(x_{i}+z_{i}\right)\right]=1
$$

so

$$
\varphi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\varphi_{i}\left(x_{j}-z_{i}\right)+\varphi_{i}\left(x_{i}+z_{i}\right)\right] \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

Therefore if $x_{i} \notin U_{i}$ then $z_{i}=0$, i.e. $z \in Y$ and $i \in J(x)=\left\{i: x_{i} \in U_{i}\right\}$ implies $z_{i}=0$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} F_{x}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & k=0 \quad \text { and }
\end{array}\|x\|=1\right.
$$

where $k=\operatorname{card} J(x)$.
The $m$-skeleton of a convex set $B$ is the set of all $x \in B$ such that $\operatorname{dim} F_{x} \leqslant m$. We recall that a convex compact set $B$ in an Euclidean space is said to be stable if all $m$-skeletions of $B$ are closed (see [4]).

Theorem 3. The unit ball of $l_{n}^{(\varphi i)}$ is stable.
Proof. Fix $m \leqslant n$. Let a sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F_{x^{k}} \leqslant n$ converges
to $x^{0}$. We need to show that $\operatorname{dim} F_{x^{0}} \leqslant m$. Suppose, to get a contradiction, that $\operatorname{dim} F_{x^{0}}=m^{\prime}>m$. Then card $J\left(x^{0}\right)=m^{\prime}+1$ and there exists $K$ such that $x_{i}^{k}$ and $x_{i}^{0}$ belong to the same component of $U_{i}$ for all $k \geqslant K$ and all $i \in J\left(x^{0}\right)$ (since $U_{i}$ are open). By Remark 5 it follows that $\operatorname{dim} F_{x^{m}} \geqslant m^{\prime}>m$ for all $k \geqslant K$. This contradiction ends the proof.
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## Р. Гжонслевич, Конечномерные пространства Орлича

В работе рассматривается проблема изображения шара $n$-мерного пространства Орлича и Муселяка-Орлича в пространстве всех компактных выпуклых множеств в $R^{n}$. Для $n=2$ симметрическое компактное выпуклое множество является шаром определенного пространства Орлича. Дается пример на то, что не существует такого изображения для $n \geqslant 3$. Доказывается, что шар $n$-мерного пространства Муселяка Орлича является стабильным.

