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Preface

This book is based on lectures delivered in July-August 1972, at the Suceava Summer School organized by the Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of the Socialist Republic of Romania, in cooperation with the Society of Mathematical Sciences. The study of the algebras of operators in Hilbert spaces was initiated by F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, in connection with some problems of theoretical physics. The wealth of the mathematical facts contained in their fundamental papers interested many mathematicians. This soon led to the crystallization of a new branch of mathematics: the theory of algebras of operators. The first systematic exposition of this theory appeared in the well-known monograph by J. Dixmier [26], which was subtitled Algebres de von Neumann. It expounded almost all the significant results achieved until its appearance. Afterwards, the theory continued to develop, for it had important applications in the theory of group representations, in mathematical physics and in other branches of mathematics. Of great importance were the results obtained by M. Tomita, who exhibited canonical forms for arbitrary von Neumann algebras. In recent times fine classifications and structure theorems have been obtained for von Neumann algebras especially by A. Connes.

The present book contains what we consider to be the fundamental part of the theory of von Neumann algebras. The book also contains the essential elements of the spectral theory in Hilbert spaces. The material is divided into ten chapters; besides the basic text, each chapter has two complementary sections: exercises, comments and bibliographical comments. The book ends with a bibliography, which includes all the titles we know of, which deal with the theory of algebras of operators and some related fields.

The reader is supposed to know only some elementary facts from functional analysis.

In writing this book we made use of existing books and courses (J. Dixmier [26], [42], I. Kaplansky [22], J. R. Ringrose [3], [4], [5], S. Sakai [10], [32], M. Takesaki [17], [18], D. M. Topping [8]), as well as many articles, some of them available only as preprints. Some of the exercises are borrowed from J. Dixmier’s book [26]. For the bibliography we made much use of Israel Halperin’s Operator Algebras Newsletter.

Thanks are due to Grigore Arsene and Dan Voiculescu for the help given during the writing of this book, for the useful discussions and for the bibliographical information they gave us.

We thank Sanda Strătilă for compiling the bibliography and for the careful typing of the manuscript.
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Introduction

In the study of operator algebras there are two main methods, the first is of an algebraic character, while the second is more analytic.

The algebraic method proceeds by a successive reduction of problems concerning the arbitrary operators to problems about positive operators and from these to problems about projections, where one can avail oneself of the lattice-theoretical geometry of projections. In this geometry the main notion is that of equivalence and the main result is the comparison theorem, an important technical device being the polar decomposition of operators. These methods are elementary, but they afford a clear classification of the von Neumann algebras into general types. The results obtained by these methods are presented in Chapter 4 and in the first sections of Chapter 7.

The analytic method, which is more complex and profound, consists of a systematic manipulation with linear forms defined on operator algebras; they may be bounded, or unbounded. Here the important facts are concentrated around certain results which extend the classical Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem, the main technical tool here being the polar decomposition of linear forms. The analytic methods permit the analysis of relations existing between the given algebra and its commutant, as well as of those which relate the predual of the given algebra to the Hilbert space in which this algebra is operating. In Chapter 6 the relations existing between the type of the given algebra and of its commutant are studied, whereas Chapters 7 and 8 exhibit the quantitative relations which measure the relative wealth of the given algebra and of its commutant. For finite von Neumann algebras the existence of a trace which measures the relative dimension of projections allows the evaluation of the quantitative relations between the given algebra and its commutant by a coupling function of a metric nature. In other, more general, cases, the coupling between the given algebra and its commutant can be measured only by projective objects, namely cardinals associated with central projections, but the information thus obtained is not always satisfactory.

The von Neumann algebras which are well equilibrated with their commutants are called standard von Neumann algebras, and the main result of Chapter 10 is that any von Neumann algebra is isomorphic to a standard von Neumann algebra in a canonical form. This has been known for a long time in the case of the semifinite von Neumann algebras; to be extended to the general case, it required a new technique namely a “polar decomposition” for the involution of the
algebra. Chapter 10 is dedicated to the study of the canonical forms of the von Neumann algebras as well as to some applications to the theory of arbitrary von Neumann algebras.

The theory of operator algebras is based on two fundamental results: the density theorem of J. von Neumann and the density theorem of I. Kaplansky, both presented in Chapter 3.

The present book covers results contained in M. Takesaki’s work [18], and, with the exception of the reduction theory and of the examples of factors included there, those of J. Dixmier’s book [26].

The reduction theory aims at decomposing an arbitrary von Neumann algebra into a family of von Neumann algebras with trivial centers (the so-called factors), in such a manner that the algebra be obtainable from this family, whereas its properties will be derivable from those of the factors. In this manner, the reduction theory transfers to the factors the purely non-commutative part of the algebra, whereas the commutative part is reflected in the space of the indices of the family of factors; the main problem of the structure and classification of the von Neumann algebras is thus reduced to the corresponding problems for factors. For the reduction theory one can read J. Dixmier’s book [26], as well as the expository article by L. Zsidó [3], based on the ideas of S. Sakai [11]. Both develop the classical reduction theory of J. von Neumann, but from seemingly different points of view, which can easily be shown to be similar. For factor theory we recommend the works of J. Dixmier [26], [52], S. Sakai [32], D. McDuff [3], H. Araki and E. J. Woods [3], A. Connes [15], [19], [21 – 24]. Important results concerning the structure of von Neumann algebras are contained in the works of A. Connes [6], [7], and M. Takesaki [29], [33].

Our exposition refers to the spatial theory of von Neumann algebras, which considers them as being subalgebras of the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space. S. Sakai obtained in [3] the abstract characterization of von Neumann algebras and developed the theory of von Neumann algebras by non-spatial methods. Thus, in S. Sakai’s book [32] the reader will find some of the results we present here, with different proofs. Also, S. Sakai’s book [32] contains some other results which are not included in the present book.

“Algebras of operators” usually designate something more general than von Neumann algebras, the so-called C*-algebras. In our exposition we have only incidentally referred to the C*-algebras, but this theory makes full use of the theory of von Neumann algebras. For this theory, as well as for its applications to the theory of group representations, we refer the reader to J. Dixmier’s monograph [42].

Other topics connected with the theory of operator algebras, but not treated in the present book, are the following: the problem of the generation of von Neumann algebras (see D. M. Topping [8], T. Saitô [10]), non-commutative harmonic analysis and duality theory for locally compact groups (see P. Eymard [1]), M. Takesaki [23], M. Walter [2], [4], J. Ernest [5], [8]), non-commutative ergodic theory (see A. Guichardet [18]), applications to the theory of operators (see R. G. Douglas [3], [4], J. Ernest [9]), connections with some problems of theoretical physics (see D. Kastler [1], [3], G. E. Emch [2], D. Ruelle [4]).
Although rather a long time has elapsed since the publication of the works by F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann and their results are included in the books mentioned above, we consider that their works are still worth reading for those interested in the theory of operator algebras.

The present book is self-contained with complete proofs. The exercises contain results which enrich the text and which can be proved with the methods described in it; the more difficult exercises are marked by an asterisk, whereas some of the exercises which offer no difficulty are used in the main text and are marked by the symbol "!".

The final sections of each chapter include complements which contain bibliographical references, as well as the names of the mathematicians to whom the results contained in each chapter are to be ascribed.

The bibliography lists the works on operator algebras theory, as well as entries concerning the theory of group representations, mathematical physics and operator theory.
1

Topologies on spaces of operators

In this chapter we introduce the main topologies in the space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space.

1.1. Lemma. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector space, $\varphi$ a linear form on $\mathcal{E}$ and $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ seminorms on $\mathcal{E}$, such that

$$ |\varphi(x)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{E}. $$

Then there exist linear forms $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$ on $\mathcal{E}$, such that

$$ \varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k, $$

$$ |\varphi_k(x)| \leq p_k(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{E}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n. $$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{E}^n$ be the Cartesian product of $n$ copies of $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{E}^n$ the diagonal of $\mathcal{E}^n$, $p$ the semi-norm on $\mathcal{E}^n$ defined by

$$ p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k(x_k), \quad (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{E}^n, $$

and $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ the linear form on $\mathcal{D}$ defined by

$$ \tilde{\varphi}_0(x, \ldots, x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{E}. $$

From the hypothesis we immediately infer that the linear form $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ on $\mathcal{D}$ is majorized on $\mathcal{D}$ by the semi-norm $p$. With the Hahn-Banach theorem we infer that there exists a linear form $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{E}^n$, having the following properties

$$ \tilde{\varphi}(x, \ldots, x) = \tilde{\varphi}_0(x, \ldots, x), \quad x \in \mathcal{E}, $$

$$ |\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)| \leq p(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \quad (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{E}^n. $$
We then define the forms \( \varphi_k \) by the relations
\[
\varphi_k(x) = \varphi(0, \ldots, 0, x, 0, \ldots, 0), \quad x \in \mathcal{E}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n,
\]
where, in the right-hand member, \( x \) stands on the \( k \)-th place.

The linear forms thus defined satisfy the conditions of the statement.

Q.E.D.

1.2. Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be a Banach space, \( \mathcal{E}^* \) the dual of \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) a vector subspace of \( \mathcal{E}^* \). We denote by \( \sigma(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F}) \) the weak topology defined in \( \mathcal{E} \) by the family \( \mathcal{F} \) of linear forms; then the \( \sigma(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F}) \)-topology is defined by the family of semi-norms \( \{ p_{\varphi} \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{F} \} \), where
\[
p_{\varphi}(x) = |\varphi(x)|, \quad x \in \mathcal{E}.
\]
We consider the norm topology in \( \mathcal{E}^* \) and we denote by \( \overline{\mathcal{F}} \) the closure of \( \mathcal{F} \) in this topology. We denote by \( \mathcal{E}_1 \) the closed unit ball in \( \mathcal{E} \).

**Lemma.** Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be a Banach space, \( \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}^* \) a vector subspace and \( \varphi \) a linear form on \( \mathcal{E} \).

(i) \( \varphi \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F}) \)-continuous iff \(*\) \( \varphi \in \mathcal{F} \).

(ii) \( \varphi \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F}) \)-continuous on \( \mathcal{E}_1 \) iff \( \varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{F}} \).

(iii) The topologies \( \sigma(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F}) \) and \( \sigma(\mathcal{E}; \overline{\mathcal{F}}) \) coincide on \( \mathcal{E}_1 \).

(iv) If \( \mathcal{F} \) is closed in the norm topology and \( \varphi \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F}) \)-continuous on \( \mathcal{E}_1 \), then \( \varphi \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{E}; \overline{\mathcal{F}}) \)-continuous on \( \mathcal{E} \).

**Proof.** (i) Obviously, if \( \varphi \in \mathcal{F} \), then \( \varphi \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F}) \)-continuous. Conversely, if \( \varphi \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F}) \)-continuous, then there exist \( \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n \in \mathcal{F} \), such that
\[
|\varphi(x)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{\psi_k}(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{E}.
\]

By virtue of Lemma 1.1, there exist linear forms \( \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n \) on \( \mathcal{E} \), such that
\[
\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k
\]
\[
|\varphi_k(x)| \leq p_{\varphi_k}(x) = |\psi_k(x)|, \quad x \in \mathcal{E}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

If \( \psi_k = 0 \), then \( \varphi_k = 0 \). If \( \psi_k \neq 0 \), then there exists \( x_k \in \mathcal{E} \), such that \( \psi_k(x_k) = 1 \) and, for any \( x \in \mathcal{E} \), we have
\[
|\varphi_k(x - \psi_k(x)x_k)| \leq |\psi_k(x - \psi_k(x)x_k)| = 0.
\]

Consequently, we have
\[
\varphi_k = \varphi_k(x_k)\psi_k \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k \in \mathcal{F}.
\]

*) 'Iff' stands for 'if and only if'.
(ii) It is easily seen that if $\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$, then the restriction of $\varphi$ to $\mathcal{E}_1$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F})$-continuous. Conversely, let $\varphi$ be a linear form on $\mathcal{E}$, whose restriction to $\mathcal{E}_1$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F})$ continuous. Then $\varphi$ is norm-continuous and, therefore $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^\ast$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary positive real number. Since the restriction of $\varphi$ to $\mathcal{E}_1$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F})$-continuous at 0, we infer that there exist linear forms $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n \in \mathcal{F}$ such that:

$$\|x\| \leq 1, \sum_{k=1}^n \psi_k(x) < 1 \Rightarrow |\varphi(x)| < \varepsilon.$$ 

Hence we immediately infer that, for any $x \in \mathcal{E}$, we have

$$|\varphi(x)| \leq \varepsilon \|x\| + \|\varphi\| \sum_{k=1}^n \psi_k(x).$$

By virtue of Lemma 1.1, it follows that there exist linear forms $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ on $\mathcal{E}$, such that

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2,$$

$$|\varphi_1(x)| \leq \varepsilon \|x\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{E},$$

$$|\varphi_2(x)| \leq \|\varphi\| \sum_{k=1}^n \psi_k(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{E}.$$ 

Consequently, $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\|\varphi - \varphi_2\| = \|\varphi_1\| \leq \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we get $\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$.

Statements (iii), (iv) immediately follow from (i) and (ii).

Q.E.D.

1.3. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the space of all bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$. We consider $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ as a Banach space only with respect to the usual operator norm:

$$\|x\| = \sup \{\|x\|; \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \|\xi\| = 1\}.$$ 

For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ we define a linear form $\omega_{\xi, \eta}$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by:

$$\omega_{\xi, \eta}(x) = \langle x\xi, \eta \rangle, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Obviously, $\omega_{\xi, \eta} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^\ast$ and it is easily checked that $\|\omega_{\xi, \eta}\| = \|\xi\| \cdot \|\eta\|$. The form $\omega_{\xi, \eta}$ will be simply denoted by $\omega_{\xi}$. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\sim$ be the vector space generated in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^\ast$ by the forms $\omega_{\xi, \eta}, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$, whereas $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\sim^\ast$ denotes the norm closure of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\sim$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^\ast$.

Besides the norm topology we shall also consider the following topologies in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$: the weak operator topology, or the wo-topology: it is the topology defined by the family of semi-norms

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \ni x \mapsto |\langle x\xi, \eta \rangle|, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H};$$

in other words, it is just the $\sigma(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}); \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\sim)$-topology;
the strong operator topology, or the so-topology: it is the topology defined by the family of semi-norms:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \ni x \mapsto \|x \xi\|, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H};$$

the ultraweak operator topology, or the w-topology: it is, by definition, the \(\sigma(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})); \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\omega\)-topology.

We now apply Lemma 1.2, where we make \(\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\), \(\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\omega\) and \(\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\sigma\), and by taking into account the terminology just introduced, we get the following

Lemma. Let \(\mathcal{H}\) be a Hilbert space. Then:

(i) \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\omega\) is the set of all wo-continuous linear forms on \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\).

(ii) \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\sigma\) is the set of all w-continuous linear forms on \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\).

(iii) A linear form \(\varphi\) on \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) is w-continuous iff its restriction to \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1\) is wo-continuous.

(iv) In \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1\) the wo-topology and the w-topology coincide.

1.4. Theorem. A linear form \(\varphi\) on \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) is wo-continuous iff it is so-continuous.

Proof. It is easy to see that the so-topology is finer (stronger), than the wo-topology; therefore, any wo-continuous linear form is so-continuous. Conversely, if \(\varphi\) is so-continuous, then there exist non-zero vectors \(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}\), such that

$$|\varphi(x)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|x \xi_k\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

From Lemma 1.1, there exist linear forms \(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n\) on \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\), such that

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k$$

$$|\varphi_k(x)| \leq \|x \xi_k\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.$$ 

Let \(k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\) be any fixed index. We obviously have \(\mathcal{H} = \{x \xi_k; x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\}\).

As a consequence of what we have already proved, the mapping

$$x \xi_k \mapsto \varphi_k(x)$$

is a bounded linear form on \(\mathcal{H}\). With Riesz' theorem we infer that there exists \(\eta_k \in \mathcal{H}\), such that \(\varphi_k(x) = (x \xi_k | \eta_k), x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\).

Consequently, for any \(k\) there exists an \(\eta_k \in \mathcal{H}\), such that \(\varphi_k = \omega_{\xi_k, \eta_k}\). Therefore

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\omega,$$

i.e., \(\varphi\) is wo-continuous.
1.5. From Theorem 1.4 and from Mackey's theorem we infer the following:

**Corollary.** A convex subset of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is wo-closed if it is so-closed.

1.6. From the Hahn-Banach theorem and from Theorem 1.4, we infer the following.

**Corollary.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a vector subspace. A linear form on \( \mathcal{M} \) is wo-continuous iff it is so-continuous.

1.7. **Lemma.** The Banach space \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is isomorphic to the dual of the Banach space \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\ast \) by the mapping given by the canonical bilinear form

\[
\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\ast \ni (x, \varphi) \mapsto \varphi(x).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). By the formula

\[
\Phi_x(\varphi) = \varphi(x), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\ast,
\]

one defines a bounded linear form on \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\ast \), such that \( \| \Phi_x \| \leq \| x \| \). In fact, we have the equality \( \| \Phi_x \| = \| x \| \), as can be inferred from the following computation:

\[
x \| = \sup \{ \| x \xi \| ; \xi \in \mathcal{H}, \| \xi \| = 1 \}
\]

\[
= \sup \{ \| (x \xi | \eta) \| ; \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}, \| \xi \| = \| \eta \| = 1 \}
\]

\[
= \sup \{ \| \omega_{\xi, \eta}(x) \| ; \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}, \| \xi \| = \| \eta \| = 1 \}
\]

\[
\leq \sup \{ \| \Phi_x(\omega_{\xi, \eta}) \| ; \| \omega_{\xi, \eta} \| = 1 \} \leq \| \Phi_x \|.
\]

Conversely, let \( \Phi \in (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\ast)^\ast \). By the formula

\[
\bar{\varphi}(\xi, \eta) = \Phi(\omega_{\xi, \eta}), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H},
\]

we define a bounded sesquilinear form on \( \mathcal{H} \). With the help of Riesz' theorem we get a uniquely determined operator \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), such that

\[
\bar{\varphi}(\xi, \eta) = (x \xi | \eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}.
\]

It follows that \( \Phi(\omega_{\xi, \eta}) = \Phi_x(\omega_{\xi, \eta}) \), for any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \). Consequently, \( \Phi \) and \( \Phi_x \) coincide on \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\ast \). This shows that \( \Phi = \Phi_x \).

\[\text{Q.E.D.}\]

1.8. **Theorem.** For any Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) the closed unit ball \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1 \) of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is wo-compact.

**Proof.** According to Lemma 1.7, \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) = (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\ast)^\ast \); from the Alaoglu theorem, it follows that \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1 \) is w-compact. By taking into account statement (iv) from Lemma 1.3, we infer that \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1 \) is wo-compact.

\[\text{Q.E.D.}\]

1.9. **Lemma.** Let \( \mathcal{B} \) be a Banach space and \( \mathcal{B}_\ast \subset \mathcal{B}^\ast \) a norm closed vector subspace, such that \( \mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B}_\ast)^\ast \) through the canonical bilinear form on \( \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}_\ast \). Let
Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be a $\sigma(\mathcal{B}; \mathcal{B}_*)$-closed vector subspace. We denote $\mathcal{M}_* = \{ \varphi |_{\mathcal{M}} : \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_* \} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^*$. Then:

(i) $\mathcal{M}_*$ is a norm closed vector subspace of $\mathcal{M}^*$;

(ii) for any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_*$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_*$, such that

$$\psi = \varphi |_{\mathcal{M}}, \|\varphi\| \leq \|\psi\| + \varepsilon,$$

(iii) $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_*)^*$, through the canonical bilinear form on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}_*$.

Proof. (i) Let $\mathcal{M}^\circ = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_* : \varphi |_{\mathcal{M}} = 0 \}$ be the polar of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{B}_*$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{B}; \mathcal{B}_*)$-closed, from the bipolar theorem we infer that

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^\circ = \{ x \in \mathcal{B} : \varphi(x) = 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \mathcal{M}^\circ \}.$$ 

The mapping $\mathcal{B}_* \ni \varphi \mapsto \varphi |_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{M}_*$ is linear, of norm $\leq 1$ and its kernel is equal to $\mathcal{M}^\circ$. Consequently, it induces a linear mapping

$$\mathcal{B}_*/\mathcal{M}^\circ \ni \varphi |_{\mathcal{M}^\circ} \mapsto \varphi |_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{M}_*.$$ 

We shall show that this mapping is isometric. Let $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{B}_*$ be such that $\|\varphi_0 |_{\mathcal{M}^\circ}\| = 1$, i.e., $\text{dist}(\varphi_0, \mathcal{M}^\circ) = 1$. From the Hahn-Banach theorem we infer that there exists a bounded linear form $\Phi$ on $\mathcal{B}_*$, such that $\|\Phi\| = \overline{\Phi}(\varphi_0) = 1$ and $\Phi(\varphi) = 0$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}^\circ$. Since $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B}_*)^*$, we infer that there exists an $x \in \mathcal{B}$, such that $\|x\| = \varphi_0(x) = 1$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{M}^\circ$. It follows that $x \in \mathcal{M}^\circ = \mathcal{M}$ and, therefore, $\|\varphi_0 |_{\mathcal{M}}\| \geq \varphi_0(x) = 1$. Thus, the mapping $\mathcal{B}_*/\mathcal{M}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$ we have just defined is an isometric isomorphism.

Since $\mathcal{B}_*/\mathcal{M}^\circ$ is complete, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_*$ is a complete subspace of $\mathcal{M}^*$ and, therefore, it is norm closed.

(ii) For any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_*$ there exists, by virtue of what we have just proved, a $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{B}_*$, such that $\psi = \varphi_0 |_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\|\psi\| = \|\varphi_0 |_{\mathcal{M}^\circ}\| = \text{dist}(\varphi_0, \mathcal{M}^\circ)$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{M}^\circ$ such that $\|\varphi_0 + \varphi_1\| \leq \|\psi\| + \varepsilon$. Let us define $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{B}_*$. Then $\varphi |_{\mathcal{M}} = \psi$ and $\|\varphi\| \leq \|\psi\| + \varepsilon$.

(iii) For any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ the mapping

$$\Psi_x : \mathcal{M}_* \ni \psi \mapsto \psi(x)$$

is a bounded linear form on $\mathcal{M}_*$. By taking into account (ii) and the canonical identification $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B}_*)^*$, we get

$$\|\Psi_x\| = \sup \{ |\psi(x)| : \|\psi\| < 1 \} = \sup \{ |\varphi(x)| : \|\varphi\| < 1 \} = \|x\|.$$ 

Conversely, let $\psi \in (\mathcal{M}_*)^*$. We define a linear form on $\mathcal{B}_*$ by the formula

$$\Phi(\varphi) = \Psi(\varphi |_{\mathcal{M}}), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_*.$$ 

Then $\Phi \in (\mathcal{B}_*)^*$ and $\Phi |_{\mathcal{M}} = 0$. Since $(\mathcal{B}_*)^* = \mathcal{B}$, there exists an $x \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\Phi(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_*$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}^\circ$. Consequently, $x \in \mathcal{M}^\circ = \mathcal{M}$ and $\Phi(\varphi |_{\mathcal{M}}) = \varphi |_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \Psi_x(\varphi |_{\mathcal{M}})$, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_*$, i.e., $\Psi = \Psi_x$. Q.E.D.
1.10. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$.

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a $w$-closed vector subspace. We introduce the following notations:

$\mathcal{M}_w$ is the $w$-dual of $\mathcal{M}$, i.e., the set of all the $w$-continuous linear forms on $\mathcal{M}$. Obviously, $\mathcal{M}_w$ is a vector subspace of $\mathcal{M}^*$.

$\mathcal{H}_*$ is the $w$-dual of $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., the set of all $w$-continuous linear forms on $\mathcal{H}$. Obviously, $\mathcal{H}_*$ is a vector subspace of $\mathcal{H}^*$.

**Theorem.** Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a $w$-closed vector subspace. Then the following statements are true:

(i) $\mathcal{M}_w = \{ \varphi |_{\mathcal{M}} ; \varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_w \};$

(ii) $\mathcal{H}_* = \{ \varphi |_{\mathcal{H}} ; \varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_* \};$

(iii) $\mathcal{H}_* = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_w$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_*$ is equal to the norm closure of $\mathcal{M}_w$ in $\mathcal{H}_*$;

(iv) $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_*)^*$, i.e., $\mathcal{M}$ is identified, as a normed space, to the dual of $\mathcal{M}_*$ through the canonical bilinear form on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}_*$;

(v) for any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_*$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_*$, such that:

$$\varphi |_{\mathcal{M}} = \psi, \quad \| \varphi \| \leq \| \psi \| + \varepsilon;$$

(vi) if $\psi$ is a linear form on $\mathcal{M}$, then $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_*$ iff the restriction of $\psi$ to the closed unit ball $\mathcal{M}_1$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is $w$-continuous.

**Proof.** The statements (i), (ii) follow from Lemma 1.3 (i), (ii), with the help of the Hahn-Banach theorem.

The statements (iv), (v), as well as the fact that $\mathcal{M}_*$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{H}_*$, follow from Lemma 1.9, by taking into account the statement (ii) from above and Lemma 1.7.

By virtue of statements (i), (ii) from above, the bounded linear mapping $\varphi \mapsto \varphi |_{\mathcal{M}}$ maps $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_w$ on $\mathcal{M}_w$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_*$ on $\mathcal{H}_*$. Since by Definition 1.3, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_w$ is uniformly dense in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_*$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_w$ is uniformly dense in $\mathcal{H}_*$. Thus, statement (iii) is proved.

From statements (i), (ii) from above, it follows that the topology induced on $\mathcal{M}$ by the $w$-topology (resp., the $w$-topology) of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the $\sigma(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{M}_w)$-topology (resp., the $\sigma(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}_*)$-topology). By virtue of statement (iii), $\mathcal{H}_* = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_w$.

Consequently, statement (vi) follows from Lemma 1.2 (ii).

Q.E.D.

1.11. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a $w$-closed vector subspace. The norm-closed vector subspace $\mathcal{M}_*$ of the dual $\mathcal{H}^*$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is called the predual of $\mathcal{M}$. This term is justified by statement (iv) from Theorem 1.10.

**Exercises**

In the exercises that follow some elementary notions about bounded linear operators on Hilbert spaces are assumed, although these are expounded in Chapter 2.
E.1.1. Let \( \{x_i\} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a directed set. Then
\[
x_i \xrightarrow{\text{w}} 0 \iff x_i^*x_i \xrightarrow{\text{w}} 0.
\]

*E.1.2. Let \( \varphi \) be a \( \text{w} \)-continuous linear form on \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). Then there exist two families of mutually orthogonal vectors \( \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}, \{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\} \subset \mathcal{H} \), such that
\[
\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{\xi_k, \eta_k},
\]
\[
\|\varphi\| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|\xi_k\| \|\eta_k\|.
\]

E.1.3. With the help of E.1.2, show that for any \( \text{w} \)-continuous linear form \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), there exist two sequences \( \{\xi_k\}, \{\eta_k\} \subset \mathcal{H} \), such that \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_k\|^2 < +\infty \), \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\eta_k\|^2 < +\infty \), and:
\[
\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_{\xi_k, \eta_k}.
\]
In particular, the \( \text{w} \)-topology in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is defined by the system of semi-norms
\[
x \mapsto \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x\xi_k|\eta_k) \right|,
\]
where \( \{\xi_k\}, \{\eta_k\} \subset \mathcal{H} \), \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_k\|^2 < +\infty \), \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\eta_k\|^2 < +\infty \).

E.1.4. The ultrastrong topology on \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is defined by the system of semi-norms
\[
x \mapsto \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x\xi_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2},
\]
where \( \{\xi_k\} \subset \mathcal{H}, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_k\|^2 < +\infty \).

Show that in the closed unit ball of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) the ultrastrong topology coincides with the strong topology.

Prove the following relations between the indicated topologies:

- Weak topology \( \leq \) Strong topology
- Ultraweak topology \( \leq \) Ultrastrong topology
- \( \Lambda \) norm topology


1E.1.5. Show that the $*$-mapping
\[ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \ni x \mapsto x^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \]
Is weakly, ultraweakly and norm-continuous.

1E.1.6. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an infinitely dimensional Hilbert space and $\{\xi_n\}$ an orthonormal sequence in $\mathcal{H}$. One defines the following operators:
\[ v_n : \xi \mapsto (\xi | \xi_n)\xi_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \]
Show that $v_n \to 0$ in the ultrastrong topology but, for any $n$, one has $\|v_n^*\xi_n\| = 1$. Infer that:
(i) the $*$-operator is not strongly (resp., ultrastrongly) continuous on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.
(ii) the strong (resp., ultrastrong) topology is strictly finer (i.e. stronger) than the weak (resp., ultraweak) topology in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.
(iii) the norm topology is strictly finer than the ultrastrong topology in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

1E.1.7. Show that, for any $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the mappings
\[ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \ni x \mapsto ax \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \]
\[ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \ni x \mapsto xa \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \]
are weakly, strongly, ultraweakly and ultrastrongly continuous.

1E.1.8. Which of the following mappings
\[ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1 \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \ni (x, y) \mapsto xy \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \]
\[ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1 \ni (x, y) \mapsto xy \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \]
is strongly and ultrastrongly continuous?

1E.1.9. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an ultrastrong neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. With the help of E.1.6 (iii), show that there exists a $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that
\[ \sup \{ \|x\xi\| ; x \in \mathcal{V} \} = + \infty. \]
Infer from this that, by endowing the space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the product of the ultrastrong topologies, and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the weak topology, the mapping
\[ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \ni (x, y) \mapsto xy \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \]
is not continuous.

1E.1.10. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an infinitely dimensional Hilbert space and $\{\xi_n\}$ an orthonormal sequence in $\mathcal{H}$. We define the operators
\[ e_n : \xi \mapsto (\xi | \xi_n)\xi_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \]
\[ x_{n,m} = e_n + ne_m, \quad m, n = 1, 2, \ldots \]
Show that 0 is ultrastrongly adherent to the set \( \{ x_{n,m} \}_{n,m=1,2,...} \) but no sequence in this set converges weakly to 0. Infer from this fact that \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) \) is not metrizable with respect to any of the topologies—weak, strong, ultraweak, ultrastrong.

**Comments**

**C.1.1.** If \( \mathcal{X} \) is a Banach space and \( \mathcal{X}^* \) is its dual, then for any \( \lambda > 0 \) we write \( \mathcal{X}^*_\lambda = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{X}^*; \| \phi \| \leq \lambda \} \).

**Theorem.** (Krein-Šmulian). Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a Banach space and let \( \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{X}^* \) be a convex subset. Then \( \mathcal{K} \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{X}^*; \mathcal{X}) \)-closed iff for any \( \lambda > 0 \), the set \( \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{X}^*_\lambda \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{X}^*; \mathcal{X}) \)-closed.

In \( \mathcal{X}^* \) one defines the \( \mathcal{ba}(\mathcal{X}^*; \mathcal{X}) \)-topology as being the finest topology in \( \mathcal{X}^* \) which coincides with the \( \sigma(\mathcal{X}^*; \mathcal{X}) \)-topology in \( \mathcal{X}^*_\lambda \), \( \lambda > 0 \). One shows that the \( \mathcal{ba}(\mathcal{X}^*; \mathcal{X}) \)-topology is a locally convex topology (namely, the topology of uniform convergence on the sequences from \( \mathcal{X} \) which converge to 0). This is the main fact needed in the proof of the Krein-Šmulian theorem. Indeed, this fact once established, the theorem easily follows by taking into account Lemma 1.2 (iv) (one takes \( \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X}^* \)) and Mackey's theorem.

For the full proof of the Krein-Šmulian theorem we refer the reader to N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], V.5.7.

By taking into account Theorem 1.10, from the Krein-Šmulian theorem the following results can be obtained:

**Corollary.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) \) be a w-closed vector subspace. A convex subset \( \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M} \) is w-closed iff, for any \( \lambda > 0 \), the set \( \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{M}_\lambda \) is w-closed.

**Corollary.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) \) be a vector subspace. Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is w-closed iff \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) is w-compact.

If \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) \) is a \( * \)-algebra, the result stated in this corollary will be proved by another method in 3.11.

**C.1.2.** With the help of the Tikhonov theorem one can easily prove the following general result of "weak" compactness, proved for the first time by R. V. Kadison:

Let \( \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}' \) be vector spaces, \( \mathcal{F} \) a set of linear forms on \( \mathcal{X} \), which separate the elements of \( \mathcal{X}' \) and \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}') \) the vector space of all linear mappings from \( \mathcal{X} \) into \( \mathcal{X}' \), endowed with the topology \( \sigma \), generated by the sets

\[ \Omega(x, \mathcal{V}') = \{ A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}'); A x \in \mathcal{V}' \}, \]

where \( x \) runs over \( \mathcal{X} \), whereas \( \mathcal{V}' \) runs over the set of all \( \sigma(\mathcal{X}'; \mathcal{F}) \)-open subsets of \( \mathcal{X}' \).

**Theorem.** If the subset \( \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{X} \) linearly generates \( \mathcal{X} \), whereas \( \mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{X}' \) is a \( \sigma(\mathcal{X}'; \mathcal{F}) \)-compact subset, then the set

\[ \mathcal{G} = \{ A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}'); A \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}' \} \]

is \( \sigma \)-compact.
Corollary. If \((\mathcal{S}_i)_{i \in I}\) is a family of subsets of \(\mathcal{S}\), whereas \((\mathcal{S}'_i)_{i \in I}\) is a family of \(\sigma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{F})\)-compact subsets of \(\mathcal{S}'\), then the set
\[
\mathcal{E}_0 = \{A \in \mathcal{E}; A \mathcal{S}_i \subset \mathcal{S}'_i, i \in I\}
\]
is \(\sigma\)-compact.

Alaoglu's theorem as well as Theorem 1.8 are particular cases of this result.

For the proof of the theorem and for other applications we refer the reader to R. V. Kadison [17] (see also Gr. Arsene [2]).

C.1.3. Bibliographical comments. The reader can easily find the few general facts from functional analysis needed in the treatise by N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], Ch. II, V. The preceding exposition follows that of J. R. Ringrose [5].
Bounded linear operators in Hilbert spaces

This chapter contains the basic facts about bounded linear operators in Hilbert spaces, necessary in order to develop the elementary part of the theory of von Neumann algebras.

2.1. In the first chapter we considered only the Banach space structure of $B(H)$. By taking into consideration the multiplication of the operators, $B(H)$ becomes a Banach algebra, i.e., for any $x, y \in B(H)$ we have

$$
\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|.
$$

For any $x \in B(H)$, the relations

$$(x\xi | \eta) = (\xi | x^*\eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in H,$$

determine an operator $x^* \in B(H)$, called the adjoint of $x$. The mapping

$$
B(H) \ni x \mapsto x^* \in B(H)
$$

is called the canonical involution on $B(H)$, or the $*$-operation on $B(H)$. Thus, $B(H)$ becomes, in a canonical manner, an involutive algebra, or a $*$-algebra, i.e., for any $x, y \in B(H), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*,$$

$$(\lambda x)^* = \lambda^* x^*,$$

$$(xy)^* = y^*x^*,$$

$$x^{**} = x.$$

We shall call a $*$-algebra of operators any $*$-subalgebra of $B(H)$. The notions of $*$-homomorphism and $*$-isomorphism between $*$-algebras of operators are now obvious.

The connection existing between the norm, the multiplication and the $*$-operation in $B(H)$ is expressed by the following

Lemma. For any $x \in B(H)$ we have the equality

$$
\|x^* x\| = \|x\|^2
$$
Proof. We have
\[ \|x^*\| = \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \sup_{\|\eta\|=1} |(x^*\xi, \eta)| = \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \sup_{\|\eta\|=1} |(\xi^*\eta)| = \|x\|, \]
and this shows that
\[ \|x\|^2 = \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \sup_{\|\eta\|=1} |(x\xi, x\eta)| = \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \sup_{\|\eta\|=1} |(x^*x\xi, \xi)| \leq \|x^*x\| \leq \|x\|^2. \]
Q.E.D.

In the preceding proof we have also obtained the equality \( \|x^*\| = \|x\|, x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

2.2. Any Banach algebra \( \mathcal{A} \), which is a \( \ast \)-algebra and in which the equality \( \|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2 \) holds for any \( x \in \mathcal{A} \), is called a \( C^* \)-algebra. Lemma 2.2. shows that \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is a \( C^* \)-algebra in a canonical manner. Moreover, any \( \ast \)-algebra of operators, which is also closed for the norm topology, is a \( C^* \)-algebra; these algebras will be called \( C^* \)-algebras of operators (or concrete \( C^* \)-algebras).

For any subset \( \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) there exists a smallest \( C^* \)-algebra of operators, which contains \( \mathcal{X} \). This one will be called the \( C^* \)-algebra generated by \( \mathcal{X} \) and it will be denoted by \( C^*(\mathcal{X}) \).

A special class of \( C^* \)-algebras of operators, which is the subject of the present chapter, is the class of von Neumann algebras. Any \( \ast \)-algebra of operators \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), which contains the identity operator \( 1_{\mathcal{H}} \) and which is \( \sigma \)-closed (or, equivalently, \( \omega \)-closed (see 1.5.)) is called a von Neumann algebra.

For any subset \( \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) there exists a smallest von Neumann algebra which contains \( \mathcal{X} \); it will be called the von Neumann algebra generated by \( \mathcal{X} \), and it will be denoted by \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \).

In what follows, we shall be concerned only with \( C^* \)-algebras of operators, which will be called, simply, \( C^* \)-algebras.

2.3. For any \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) its resolvent set is defined by
\[ \rho(x) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} ; \lambda - x \text{ is invertible} \}; \]
its complement \( \sigma(x) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(x) \) is the spectrum of \( x \).

It is easy to check that if \( \lambda_0 \in \rho(x) \), then
\[ \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} ; |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \|(\lambda_0 - x)^{-1}||^{-1} \} \subset \rho(x) \]
and, for any \( \lambda \), such that \( |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \|(\lambda_0 - x)^{-1}||^{-1} \), we have
\[ (\lambda - x)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^n (\lambda_0 - x)^{-n-1}. \]
In particular, \( \rho(x) \) is an open subset of \( \mathbb{C} \) and the function
\[ \rho(x) \ni \lambda \mapsto (\lambda - x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \]
is analytic for the norm topology of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).
On the other hand, we have
\[ \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \ |\lambda| > \|x\| \} \subset \rho(x) \]
and, for any \( \lambda \), such that \( |\lambda| > \|x\| \), we have
\[ (\lambda - x)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n-1} x^n. \]
In particular, we have
\[ \sigma(x) \subset \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \ |\lambda| \leq \|x\| \}, \]
and this shows that \( \sigma(x) \) is a compact subset of \( \mathbb{C} \).

Lemma. For any \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), the spectrum \( \rho(x) \) is non-empty, the sequence \( (\|x^n\|^{1/n})_{n \geq 1} \) converges and
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n} = \sup \{ |\lambda|; \ \lambda \in \sigma(x) \}. \]

Proof. Let \( \alpha(x) = \inf_{n>0} \|x^n\|^{1/n} \). It is easy to check that if \( x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) and \( xy = yx \), then
\[ \alpha(xy) \leq \alpha(x) \alpha(y). \]
Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). There exists a natural number \( n_* \), such that \( \|x^{n_*}\|^{1/n_*} \leq \alpha(x) + \varepsilon \). For any \( n > 0 \) there exist natural numbers \( q, r \), which are uniquely determined by the conditions
\[ n = n_* q + r, \ 0 \leq r \leq n_* - 1. \]
We have
\[ \|x^n\| = \|x^{n_* q} x^r\| \leq \|x^{n_*}\| \|x\|^r \leq (\alpha(x) + \varepsilon)^{n_* q} \|x\|^r = (\alpha(x) + \varepsilon)^{n_*} \|x\|^r, \]
and, therefore, we have
\[ \|x^n\|^{1/n} \leq (\alpha(x) + \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{r}{n}} \|x\|^{\frac{r}{n}}; \]
consequently, we can write
\[ \alpha(x) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{n \to \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{n \to \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n} \leq \alpha(x) + \varepsilon. \]
Since \( \varepsilon > 0 \) was arbitrary, it follows that the limit \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n} \) exists and it is equal to \( \alpha(x) \).
If $|\lambda| > \alpha(x)$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|x^n\| / \lambda^n < +\infty$ and this implies that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n / \lambda^n$ converges with respect to the norm. It follows that $1 - (x/\lambda)$ is invertible and this implies that $\lambda - x$ is invertible. Consequently, we have

$$\alpha(x) \geq \sup \{|\lambda|; \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}.$$  

In order to prove the reversed inequality, as well as the fact that the spectrum $\sigma(x)$ is non-empty, we distinguish two cases.

If $\alpha(x) = 0$, then $0 \in \sigma(x)$, i.e., $x$ is not invertible. Indeed, if $x$ is invertible, then

$$1 = \alpha(1) = \alpha(xx^{-1}) \leq \alpha(x)\alpha(x^{-1}) = 0,$$

and this is a contradiction.

If $\alpha(x) > 0$, let us assume that $\alpha(x) > \sup \{|\lambda|; \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}$, in order to get a contradiction. Since $\sigma(x)$ is a compact set, there exists an $r \in (0, \alpha(x))$, such that

$$\sigma(x) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda| \leq r\}.$$  

Consequently, we have

$$D = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda| > r\} \subset \rho(x).$$

It is easily checked that for any bounded linear form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the function $\lambda \mapsto \varphi((\lambda - x)^{-1})$ is analytic in $D$. Moreover, for $|\lambda| > \alpha(x)$ we have

$$\varphi((\lambda - x)^{-1}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n-1} \varphi(x^n).$$

It follows that by the formula

$$f(\mu) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } \mu = 0 \\
\varphi \left( \left( \frac{1}{\mu} - x \right)^{-1} \right) & \text{for } 0 < |\mu| < r^{-1}
\end{cases}$$

one defines an analytic function in the set

$$D^{-1} = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C}; |\mu| < r^{-1}\}.$$  

Since the Taylor expansion of $f$ at 0 is

$$f(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu^{n+1} \varphi(x^n),$$

the same formula holds for any $\mu \in D^{-1}$. 
Now let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $r < |\lambda_0| < \alpha(x)$. Then $\lambda_0^{-1} \in D^{-1}$ and, therefore, for any bounded linear form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_0^{-n-1} \varphi(x^n) = 0.$$ 

From the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, we infer that

$$\sup_{n > 0} |\lambda_0|^{-n-1} \|x^n\| = c < +\infty.$$ 

Consequently, for any $n > 0$, we have

$$\|x^n\| \leq c |\lambda_0|^{n+1},$$

whence

$$\alpha(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} c^{1/n} |\lambda_0|^{1 + \frac{1}{n}} = |\lambda_0| < \alpha(x)$$

and this is a contradiction.

Q.E.D.

One usually denotes

$$|\sigma(x)| = \sup \{|\lambda|; \lambda \in \sigma(x)\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

and the number $|\sigma(x)|$ is called the spectral radius of $x$. Obviously, we have

$$|\sigma(x)| \leq \|x\|$$

and, for any $x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that $xy = yx$, we have

$$|\sigma(xy)| \leq |\sigma(x)| \cdot |\sigma(y)|.$$ 

It is easily seen that $\lambda \in \sigma(x^*) \iff \overline{\lambda} \in \sigma(x)$; consequently, we have

$$|\sigma(x^*)| = |\sigma(x)|.$$ 

2.4. Now let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p(\lambda) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \lambda + \ldots + \alpha_n \lambda^n$ be a polynomial. One defines

$$p(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \ldots + \alpha_n x^n.$$ 

Lemma. With the above notations, we have

$$\sigma(p(x)) = \{p(\lambda); \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}.$$
**Proof.** If \( p \) is a constant, the assertion of the lemma is obvious. Therefore, let \( n \geq 1 \), \( \alpha_n \neq 0 \). If \( \lambda_0 \in \sigma(x) \), then \( \lambda_0 - x \) is not invertible, whereas from

\[
p(\lambda_0) - p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k (\lambda_0^k - x^k) = (\lambda_0 - x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \lambda_0^{k-1} x^j,
\]

we infer that \( p(\lambda_0) - p(x) \) is not invertible, too. It follows that \( p(\lambda_0) \in \sigma(p(x)) \). Conversely, if \( \mu \notin \{ p(\lambda) ; \lambda \in \sigma(x) \} \), and if \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \) are the zeros of the polynomial \( p(\lambda) - \mu \), then \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \notin \sigma(x) \). Since

\[
p(x) - \mu = \alpha_n (x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_n),
\]

it follows that \( p(x) - \mu \) is invertible, and this shows that \( \mu \notin \sigma(p(x)) \).

Q.E.D.

**2.5.** An operator \( x \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{H} \) is said to be **normal** if

\[
x x^* = x^* x.
\]

It is easy to see that if \( x \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{H} \) is normal, then, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \) we have

\[
\| x^* \xi \| = \| x \xi \|,
\]

and conversely.

The operator \( x \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{H} \) is said to be **self-adjoint** or **hermitian** if

\[
x^* = x
\]

Obviously, any hermitian operator is normal.

**Lemma.** Let \( x \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{H} \). Then

(i) if \( x \) is normal, then \( | \sigma(x) | = \| x \| \).  
(ii) if \( x \) is self-adjoint, then \( \sigma(x) \subset \mathbb{R} \).

**Proof.** If \( x \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{H} \) is self-adjoint, then

\[
| \sigma(x) | = \lim_{n \to -\infty} \| x^{2^n} \|^{\frac{1}{2^n}} = \| x \|,
\]

from Lemma 2.1.

If \( x \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{H} \) is normal, then \( x x^* \) is self-adjoint, \( x \) and \( x^* \) commute and, therefore, by taking into account what we have proved in Sections 2.1, 2.3, we have

\[
\| x \|^2 = \| x x^* \| = | \sigma(x^* x) | \leq | \sigma(x^*) | | \sigma(x) | \leq \| x^* \| \| x \| = \| x \|^2,
\]

whence

\[
| \sigma(x) | = \| x \|.
\]
Now, if $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is self-adjoint and $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta \in \sigma(x)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then for any $n \geq 1$ the operator

$$x_n = x - \alpha + in\beta$$

is normal and $i(n + 1)\beta \in \sigma(x_n)$. By taking into account what we have proved in Section 2.3, we have

$$(n + 1)^2 \beta^2 \leq |\sigma(x_n)|^2 \leq \|x_n\|^2 = \|x_n^*x_n\|$$

$$= \|(x - \alpha - in\beta)(x - \alpha + in\beta)\| = \|(x - \alpha)^2 + n^2\beta^2\|$$

$$= \sup_{\|\eta\| = 1} \sup_{\|\xi\| = 1} \left( (|\langle x - \alpha, \xi \rangle\rangle)^2 + (n^2\beta^2 |\eta\rangle\rangle) \right)$$

$$\leq \|x - \alpha\|^2 + n^2\beta^2.$$  

Since $n \geq 1$ is arbitrary, we have $\beta = 0$, and this shows that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Q.E.D.

2.6. The following theorem will enable us to construct "convenient" elements from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For any compact space $\Omega$ we shall denote by $\mathcal{C}((\Omega)$ the set of all continuous complex functions, which are defined on $\Omega$. With the pointwise defined algebraic operations and with the $*$-operation defined by complex conjugation, the set $\mathcal{C}((\Omega)$ becomes canonically a commutative $C^*$-algebra, if we endow it with the uniform norm. Any element from $\mathcal{C}((\Omega)$ is "normal", whereas the "self-adjoint" elements are the real functions. The "spectrum" of an element $f \in \mathcal{C}((\Omega)$ coincides with the range $f((\Omega)$ of the function $f$.

Theorem (of operational calculus with continuous functions). Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a self-adjoint operator. Then there exists a unique mapping

$$\mathcal{C}((\sigma(x)) \ni f \mapsto f(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

such that

(i) if $f$ is a polynomial, $f(\lambda) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1x + \ldots + \alpha_n\lambda^n$, then

$$f(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1x + \ldots + \alpha_nx^n,$$

(ii) $\|f(x)\| = \|f\|$, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}((\sigma(x))$.

Moreover, this mapping is a $*$-isomorphism of the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{C}((\sigma(x))$ onto the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{C}^*(\{x, 1\})$.

Proof. The set of all polynomials can be mapped by restriction, which is a $*$-homomorphism, onto a $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{P}(\sigma(x)$ in $\mathcal{C}((\sigma(x))$. By taking into account the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, we infer that $\mathcal{P}(\sigma(x)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}((\sigma(x))$ for the norm
topology. For any "polynomial" \( p \in \mathcal{P}(\sigma(x)) \) we define \( p(x) \) as we have done in Section 2.4\(^*\). The set \{\( p(x); p \in \mathcal{P}(\sigma(x)) \)\} is a \( * \)-subalgebra and it is dense in \( \mathcal{C}^*([x, 1]) \) with respect to the norm topology. For any \( p \in \mathcal{P}(\sigma(x)) \) we have

\[
\|p(x)\| = |\sigma(p(x))| = \sup \{|\mu|; \mu \in \sigma(p(x))\}
\]

\[
= \sup \{|p(\lambda)|; \lambda \in \sigma(x)\} = \|p\|.
\]

Consequently, the mapping

\[
\mathcal{P}(\sigma(x)) \ni p \mapsto p(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})
\]

is correctly defined and isometric. Thus, there exists a unique isometric extension of this mapping to \( \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)) \), and this proves the existence and the uniqueness of the mapping having properties (i) and (ii).

The relations

\[
(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)),
\]

\[
(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)),
\]

\[
(\lambda f)(x) = \lambda f(x), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)),
\]

\[
\overline{f}(x) = (f(x))^*, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)),
\]

are easy to prove, first for polynomials, and then by tending to the limit, for arbitrary continuous functions. This shows that the mapping just defined is indeed a \( * \)-isomorphism of the \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)) \) onto the \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{C}^*([x, 1]) \).

Q.E.D.

If \( x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) are commuting self-adjoint operators, \( xy = yx \), and if \( f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)), g \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(y)) \), then \( f(x) \) and \( g(y) \) are commuting normal operators:

\( f(x)g(y) = g(y)f(x) \); indeed, this fact is obvious if \( f \) and \( g \) are polynomials; for the general case one tends to the limit.

If \( f(0) = 0 \), then \( f(x) \in \mathcal{C}^*([x]) \), because in this case \( f \) can be approximated by polynomials without constant terms.

2.7. By taking into account the isomorphism we have just obtained, as well as its uniqueness, one can immediately get the following:

Corollary. Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a self-adjoint operator. For any \( f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)) \) we have

\[
\sigma(f(x)) = \{f(\lambda); \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}.
\]

whereas if \( f \) is real and \( g \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(f(x))) \), then

\[
g(f(x)) = (g \circ f)(x).
\]

\(^*\) By using the lemmas from 2.4 and 2.5, it is easy to show that if \( p \) is a polynomial and \( p|\sigma(x) = 0 \), then \( p(x) = 0 \); this shows that \( p(x) \) is correctly defined for any \( p \in \mathcal{P}(\sigma(x)) \).

(Translator's Note).
2.8. **Corollary.** If \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is an invertible operator, then \( x^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}^*(\{x, 1\}) \).

**Proof.** If \( x \) is self-adjoint, the statement immediately follows from Theorem 2.6.

Now let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be any invertible operator, and \( y = x^{-1} \). Then \( yy^* = (x^*)^{-1} \) and, therefore, \( yyy^* \in \mathcal{C}^*(\{x^*x, 1\}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^*(\{x, 1\}) \). Since \( x^* \in \mathcal{C}^*(\{x, 1\}) \), we have

\[
x^{-1} = y = y(y^*x^*) = (yy^*)x^* \in \mathcal{C}^*(\{x, 1\}).
\]

Q.E.D.

2.9. An operator \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is said to be **positive** if it is self-adjoint and

\[
\sigma(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+ = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}; \lambda \geq 0 \}.
\]

**Corollary.** If \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is a positive operator, then there exists a unique positive operator \( a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), such that

\[
a^2 = x.
\]

**Proof.** Since we have the inclusion \( \sigma(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+ \), the function defined by

\[
f(\lambda) = \lambda^{1/2}, \ \lambda \in \sigma(x),
\]

belongs to \( \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)) \). From Corollary 2.7, by denoting \( a = f(x) \), we have \( a^2 = x \) and \( a \) is a positive operator.

Let \( b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be an arbitrary positive operator, such that \( b^2 = x \). Let us consider a sequence \( \{p_n\} \) of polynomials which converges uniformly on \( \sigma(x) \) to the function \( f \) we have just defined. Since \( \sigma(b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+ \), from \( \sigma(x) = \{\lambda^2; \lambda \in \sigma(b)\} \), we infer that the polynomials \( p_n(\lambda^2) \) converge uniformly on \( \sigma(b) \) to the identical function. By taking into account Theorem 2.6, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|b - p_n(x)\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|b - p_n(b^2)\| = 0,
\]

and this shows that \( b = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_n(x) = f(x) = a \).

Q.E.D.

The unique positive operator \( a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), such that \( a^2 = x \) is denoted by \( x^{1/2} \). We observe that \( x^{1/2} \in \mathcal{C}^*(\{x\}) \).

2.10. **Corollary.** Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a self-adjoint operator. Then there exist positive operators \( a, b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), such that

\[
x = a - b,
\]

\[
ab = 0,
\]

and they are uniquely determined by these conditions.
Proof. Let us consider on \( \sigma(x) \) the continuous functions defined by the equalities

\[
f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\lambda & \text{for } \lambda \geq 0 \\
0 & \text{for } \lambda < 0 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
g(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } \lambda \geq 0 \\
-\lambda & \text{for } \lambda < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Then the operators \( a = f(x) \), \( b = g(x) \) satisfy the two conditions required in the corollary, and this proves the existence part of the corollary.

In order to prove the uniqueness, we observe that

\[
(a + b)^2 = x^2.
\]

By taking into account a remark we made in Section 2.6, we get:

\[
a + b = (a^{1/2} + b^{1/2})^2.
\]

This shows that \( a + b \) and \( x^2 \) are positive operators (see 2.5, 2.7) and from Corollary 2.9, we infer that

\[
a + b = (x^2)^{1/2}.
\]

This implies that

\[
a = \frac{1}{2}((x^2)^{1/2} + x), \quad b = \frac{1}{2}(x^2)^{1/2} - x).
\]

Q.E.D.

The operators \( a \) and \( b \), given by this corollary, are denoted: \( a = x^+ \), \( b = x^- \). We observe that \( x^+, \ x^- \in \mathcal{C}^{*}(\{x\}) \). Thus, we have

\[
x = x^+ - x^- \quad x^+x^- = 0.
\]

2.11. Lemma. Let \( x \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) \). Then:

(i) \( x = 0 \Leftrightarrow (x\xi | \xi) = 0 \) for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \),

(ii) \( x \) is self-adjoint \( \Leftrightarrow (x\xi | \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \) for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \).

Proof. It is easy to prove the following "polarization formula"

\[
4(x\xi | \eta) = (x(\xi + \eta) | \xi + \eta) - (x(\xi - \eta) | \xi - \eta) + i(x(\xi + i\eta) | \xi + i\eta) - i(x(\xi - i\eta) | \xi - i\eta),
\]

which holds for any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \).
If \((x\xi | \xi) = 0\) for any \(\xi \in \mathcal{H}\), by taking into account the polarization formula we infer that \((x\xi | \eta) = 0\), for any \(\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}\); in particular, we have \(\|x\xi\|^2 = (x\xi | x\xi) = 0\), for any \(\xi \in \mathcal{H}\), and this shows that \(x = 0\).

If \(x\) is self-adjoint, then for any \(\xi \in \mathcal{H}\),
\[
(x\xi | \xi) = (\xi | x\xi) = (\overline{x\xi} | \xi),
\]
i.e., \((x\xi | \xi)\) is real. Conversely, if \((x\xi | \xi)\) is real, we have
\[
((x - x^*)\xi | \xi) = (x\xi | \xi) - (x^*\xi | \xi) = (x\xi | \xi) - (\xi | x\xi)
= (x\xi | \xi) - (x\xi | \xi) = 0.
\]
With the first part of the lemma, we infer that \(x^* = x\).

Q.E.D.

2.12. The following proposition characterizes the positive operators:

**Proposition.** For any operator \(x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\), the following statements are equivalent:

(i) \(x\) is positive;
(ii) there exists a positive operator \(a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\), such that \(x = a^2\);
(iii) there exists an operator \(y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\), such that \(x = y^*y\);
(iv) \((x\xi | \xi) \geq 0\) for any \(\xi \in \mathcal{H}\).

**Proof.** The implication (i) \(\Rightarrow\) (ii) follows from Corollary 2.9, whereas the implications (ii) \(\Rightarrow\) (iii) \(\Rightarrow\) (iv) are trivial.

Let us now assume that \((x\xi | \xi) \geq 0\) for any \(\xi \in \mathcal{H}\). From Lemma 2.11, \(x\) is self-adjoint. From Corollary 2.10, we infer that there exist positive operators \(x^+, x^- \in \mathcal{C}^*\{(x)\}\), such that \(x = x^+ - x^-\), \(x^+x^- = 0\). Then, for any \(\xi \in \mathcal{H}\), we have
\[
0 \leq (x(x^-\xi) | x^-\xi) = (x^-xx^-\xi | \xi) = -(x^-)^3 \xi | \xi)
= -(x^-(x^-\xi) | x^-\xi) \leq 0,
\]
and this shows that
\[
((x^-)^3 \xi | \xi) = 0, \xi \in \mathcal{H}.
\]

From Lemma 2.11, it follows that \((x^-)^3 = 0\). By taking into account Theorem 2.6, we hence infer that \(x^- = 0\). Consequently, \(x = x^+\) is a positive operator.

Q.E.D.

2.13. An operator \(e \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) is said to be a projection if \(e^* = e\) and \(e^2 = e\). Any projection is a positive operator. If \(e\) is a projection, then \(1 - e\) is a projection too; it is sometimes denoted by \(e(= 1 - e)\).

If \(e \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) is a projection, then \(e\mathcal{H}\) is a closed subspace of \(\mathcal{H}\), called the projection subspace of \(e\), whereas \((1 - e)\mathcal{H}\) is the orthogonal complement \((e\mathcal{H})^\perp\) of \(e\mathcal{H}\). Conversely, to any closed subspace \(\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{H}\) there corresponds a unique
projection \( e \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), such that \( e \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{I} \); this projection will be called the projection on the subspace \( \mathcal{I} \) and it will also sometimes be denoted by \( \mathcal{I} \); for example, we have \( \mathcal{I}^A = 1 - \mathcal{I} \).

The set of all projection in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) will be denoted by \( \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \). It is easily checked that if \( e \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}, \ e \neq 0 \), then

\[
\|e\| = 1.
\]

Two projections \( e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \) are said to be orthogonal if \( e_1 e_2 = 0 \). The following statements are easily checked:

\[
e_1 e_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \iff e_1 e_2 = e_2 e_1,
\]

\[
e_1 + e_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \iff e_1 e_2 = 0.
\]

Let \( x \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) \). We introduce the following notations:

\[
n(x) = \text{the projection on the kernel of } x: \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} \mid x \xi = 0 \},
\]

\[
l(x) = \text{the projection on the closure of the range of } x: x \mathcal{H},
\]

\[
r(x) = 1 - n(x).
\]

It is easily checked that

\[
r(x) = l(x^*)
\]

and \( l(x) \) (resp., \( r(x) \)) is the smallest projection \( e \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) such that \( ex = x \) (resp., \( xe = x \)). One says that \( l(x) \) (resp., \( r(x) \)) is the left support (resp., the right support) of \( x \). If \( x \) is self-adjoint, then the following notation is used

\[
s(x) = l(x) = r(x)
\]

and one says that \( s(x) \) is the support of \( x \).

For any \( x \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) \) the following relations hold

\[
l(x) = s(x x^*),
\]

\[
r(x) = s(x^* x).
\]

An operator \( v \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) \) is said to be a partial isometry if there exists a closed subspace \( \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[
\|v \xi\| = \|\xi\|, \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathcal{I},
\]

\[
v \xi = 0, \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathcal{I}^A.
\]

The closed subspace \( \mathcal{I} \) (resp., \( vS \)) is called the initial subspace (resp., the final subspace) of the partial isometry \( v \). It is easy to check that

\[
r(v) = v^* v, \quad l(v) = vv^*.
\]

The projection \( v^* v \) (resp., \( vv^* \)) is called the initial projection (resp., the final projection) of the partial isometry \( v \). The projection subspace of the initial (resp., of the final) projection of \( v \) is just the initial (resp., the final) subspace of \( v \).
Conversely, if \( v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) and if \( v^*v \) is a projection, then \( vv^* \) is also a projection, whereas \( v \) is a partial isometry whose initial subspace is \( \mathcal{S} = (v^*v)\mathcal{H} \). Indeed, by taking into account the relation \((v^*v)^2 = v^*v\), it follows that
\[
\|v - vv^*v\|^2 = \|(v^* - v^*vv^*)(v - vv^*v)\| = \ldots = 0,
\]
whence \( v = vv^*v \) and \((vv^*)^2 = vv^*\). We then have
\[
\xi \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow \xi = v^*v\xi \Rightarrow \|\xi\|^2 = (\xi | \xi) = (v^*v \xi | \xi) = \|v\xi\|^2,
\]
\[
\xi \in \mathcal{S}^\perp \Rightarrow 0 = v^*v\xi \Rightarrow 0 = vv^*v\xi = v\xi.
\]

2.14. Theorem. (The polar decomposition). For any operator \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) there exist a unique positive operator \( a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) and a unique partial isometry \( v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) such that
\[
x = va
\]
\[
v^*v = s(a).
\]

Proof. We define \( a = (x^*x)^{1/2} \) and the operator \( v_0 \) on \( a\mathcal{H} \) by the relation
\[
v_0(a\xi) = x\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H}.
\]
Since, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), we have
\[
\|v_0(a\xi)\|^2 = \|x\xi\|^2 = (x^*x\xi | \xi) = (a^2\xi | \xi) = \|a\xi\|^2,
\]
it follows that the operator \( v_0 \) can be extended, in a unique manner, to an isometric operator (i.e., one which conserves the norm), for which we shall keep the same notation, defined on the space \( \overline{a\mathcal{H}} = s(a)\mathcal{H} \). We then define a partial isometry \( v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) by the relations
\[
v\xi = \begin{cases} v_0\xi & \text{for} \quad \xi \in s(a)\mathcal{H}, \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad \xi \in (s(a)\mathcal{H})^\perp. \end{cases}
\]
It is now easy to check that
\[
x = va,
\]
\[
v^*v = s(a),
\]
and this establishes the existence part of the statement.

In order to prove the uniqueness, let us remark that from the conditions of the statement it follows that
\[
x^*x = av^*va = as(a)a = a^2
\]
and this implies that \( a = (x^*x)^{1/2} \). Then one can easily see that the partial isometry \( v \) necessarily maps according to the above definition.

Q.E.D.
The operator \( a = (x^*x)^{1/2} \) is called the \textit{absolute value} (or the \textit{modulus}) of \( x \) and is denoted by \(|x|\). We remark that \(|x| \in C^*\{\{x\}\} \). The relations

\[
x = v|v|, \quad v^*v = s(|x|)
\]

are called the \textit{polar decomposition} of \( x \).

\textbf{2.15.} Let \( x \in A(H) \) and let

\[
x = v|x|, \quad v^*v = s(|x|)
\]

be the polar decomposition of \( x \). It is easy to check the relations

\[
x^* = v^*(v|x|v^*), \quad (v^*)^*v^* = s(v|x|v^*)
\]

and, therefore, according to Theorem 2.14, they yield the polar decomposition of the operator \( x^* \). In particular, we have

\[
|x^*| = v|x|v^*
\]

and

\[
x = |x^*|v, \quad vv^* = s(|x^*|).
\]

For this reason one sometimes says that (2.14) is the left polar decomposition, whereas the preceding formulas yield the right polar decomposition of the operator \( x \).

It is easy to check the following relations

\[
r(x) = s(|x|) = v^*v,
\]

\[
l(x) = s(|x^*|) = vv^*.
\]

If \( x \in A(H) \) is a self-adjoint operator, and if

\[
x = v|x|, \quad v^*v = s(|x|),
\]

is its polar decomposition, then the following relations are immediately obtained

\[
|x| = x^+ + x^-,
\]

\[
v = s(x^+) - s(x^-);
\]

in particular, we have \( v = v^* \).

\textbf{2.16.} We shall sometimes denote by \( A(H)^h \) the set of all self-adjoint operators in \( A(H) \). The notion of positive operator allows the introduction of an \textit{order relation} in \( A(H)^h \). Namely, for \( x, y \in A(H) \) we shall write \( x \leq y \) if the operator \( y - x \) is positive; by taking into account Proposition 2.12, it is easy to check that the relation \( \leq \) is indeed an order relation in \( A(H)^h \). From now on we shall use the notation \( x \geq 0 \) in order to express the fact that the operator \( x \) is positive and we shall sometimes denote \( A(H)^+ = \{x \in A(H); \ x \geq 0\} \).
The set \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^k \) is a real wo-closed vector subspace of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), whereas \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+ \) is a wo-closed convex cone.

If \( a, b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+ \) and \( ab = ba \), then \( ab \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+ \).
If \( a, b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^k \) and \( a \preceq b \), then \( x^*ax \preceq x^*bx \), for any \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

**Proposition.** Let \( \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^k \) be an increasing net, such that there exists a \( y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) for which \( x_i \preceq y \), \( i \in I \). Then there exists an \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^k \), such that

\[
x = \sup_{i \in I} x_i.
\]

Moreover, \( x \) is the limit of the net \( \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \) for the so-topology in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

**Proof.** We can assume, without any loss of generality, that \( 0 \preceq x_i \preceq 1 \), \( i \in I \).

For any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \) we define

\[
F(\xi, \eta) = \sup_{i \in I} (x_i \xi | \eta) = \lim_{i \in I} (x_i \xi | \eta)
\]
and, for any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \),

\[
F(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{4} (F(\xi + \eta, \xi + \eta) - F(\xi - \eta, \xi - \eta) + i F(\xi + i\eta, \xi + i\eta) - iF(\xi - i\eta, \xi - i\eta)).
\]

Then \( F(\ldots) \) is a bounded positive sesquilinear form, whose norm is \( \leq 1 \).

According to the Riesz theorem, there exists a unique operator \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), \( \|x\| \leq 1 \), such that

\[
F(\xi, \eta) = (x \xi | \eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H},
\]
and, according to Proposition 2.12, we have \( x \succeq 0 \).

Since, for any \( i \in I \) and any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), we have

\[
(x \xi | \xi) = F(\xi, \xi) \succeq (x_i \xi | \xi),
\]
it follows that \( x \) is an upper bound of the net \( \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \). On the other hand, if \( x_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^k \) is an upper bound of the family \( \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \), then for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), we have

\[
(x \xi | \xi) = F(\xi, \xi) = \lim_{i \in I} (x_i \xi | \xi) \preceq (x_0 \xi | \xi)
\]
and this implies that \( x \preceq x_0 \). Hence

\[
x = \sup_{i \in I} x_i \text{ in } \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^k.
\]

Finally, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), we have

\[
\|(x-x_i)\xi\|^2 \leq \|(x-x_i)^{1/2}\|^2\|(x-x_i)^{1/2}\xi\|^2 \\
\leq (x-x_i \xi | \xi) = F(\xi, \xi) - (x_i \xi | \xi) \to 0
\]
and this implies that \( x \) is the limit of the net \( \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \) for the so-topology in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

Q.E.D.
If \((x_i)_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^k\) is an increasing net and if \(x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^k\) belongs to the \(\omega_0\)-closure of the range of this family, then \(x = \sup_{i \in I} x_i\) and, therefore, \(x\) belongs to the \(\omega\)-closure of the range of the same family. In this case we shall write

\[ x_i \uparrow x. \]

This notation means that the net \((x_i)_{i \in I}\) is increasing, \(x = \sup_{i \in I} x_i\) in \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^k\) and that \(x\) belongs to the \(\omega\)-closure of the range of the family \((x_i)_{i \in I}\). The same notation will be used for the "increasing convergence" of real numbers.

2.17. Let \(x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\), \(0 \leq x \leq 1\), and \(e \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})}\). Then

\[ x \leq e \iff x = xe. \]

Indeed, from the relation \(x \leq 1\) we infer that \(exe \leq e\), whence if \(x = xe\), we deduce that \(x \leq e\). Conversely, if \(0 \leq x \leq e\), then we get successively:

\[
0 = (1 - e)0(1 - e) \leq (1 - e)x(1 - e) \leq (1 - e)e(1 - e) = 0,
\]

\[
(1 - e)x(1 - e) = 0,
\]

\[
((1 - e)x^{1/2})(1 - e)x^{1/2} = 0,
\]

\[
(1 - e)x^{1/2} = 0,
\]

\[
(1 - e)x = 0,
\]

and this implies that \(x = ex = xe\).

In particular, if \(e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})}\), then \(e_1 \leq e_2\) iff \(e_1 = e_1e_2\). It is easy to check that we have \(e_1 \leq e_2\) iff \(e_1\mathcal{H} \subseteq e_2\mathcal{H}\).

Let \((e_i)_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})}\) be any family of projections. One can define the following projections

\[ \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i = \text{the projection on the subspace } \sum_{i \in I} e_i\mathcal{H}. \]

\[ \bigwedge_{i \in I} e_i = \text{the projection on the subspace } \bigcap_{i \in I} e_i\mathcal{H}. \]

One can then immediately check that \(\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i\) (resp., \(\bigwedge_{i \in I} e_i\)) is the least upper bound (resp., the greatest lower bound) of the family \((e_i)_{i \in I}\) with respect to the order relation induced on \(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})}\) by the order relation just defined in \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^k\). If the set of indices is finite, \(I = \{1, \ldots, n\}\), then one also uses the following notations

\[ e_1 \vee \ldots \vee e_n = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i, \text{ for } \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i, \]

\[ e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_n = \bigwedge_{i \in I} e_i, \text{ for } \bigwedge_{i \in I} e_i. \]
From the preceding results and from Proposition 2.16, we get the following

**Corollary.** (i) $\mathcal{P}_B(\mathcal{X})$ is a complete lattice.

(ii) If $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is an increasing net, then

$$e_i \uparrow \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i.$$  

(iii) If $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of mutually orthogonal projections, then the family $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is summable for the so-topology, and

$$\sum_{i \in I} e_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i.$$  

We observe that for any $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \mathcal{P}_B(\mathcal{X})$, we have

$$s(e_1 + \cdots + e_n) = e_1 \vee \cdots \vee e_n.$$  

**2.18.** We shall now extend the operational calculus given by Theorem 2.6 to a larger class than that of the continuous functions. In order to do this we shall need the following.

**Lemma.** Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a self-adjoint operator and let $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$ be two bounded increasing sequences of positive functions from $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(x))$, such that

$$\sup_n f_n(\lambda) \leq \sup_n g_n(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \sigma(x).$$

Then

$$\sup_n f_n(x) \leq \sup_n g_n(x).$$

**Proof.** By taking into account Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 2.16, we infer the existence of the elements $\sup_n f_n(x)$, $\sup_n g_n(x)$, and the relations

$$f_n(x) \uparrow \sup_n f_n(x), \quad g_n(x) \uparrow \sup_n g_n(x).$$

Let $n$ be a natural number and $\varepsilon > 0$. For any $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$ we have

$$f_n(\lambda) - \varepsilon < f_n(\lambda) \leq \sup_m f_m(\lambda) \leq \sup_m g_m(\lambda);$$

consequently, there exists a neighbourhood $V_\lambda$ of $\lambda$ and a natural number $m_\lambda$, such that

$$f_n(\lambda) - \varepsilon < g_{m_\lambda}(\mu), \quad \mu \in V_\lambda.$$  

Since $\sigma(x)$ is compact, it follows that there exists a natural number $m_n$, such that

$$f_n - \varepsilon \leq g_{m_n}(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)).$$

Consequently, by taking into account Theorem 2.6, we have

$$f_n(x) - \varepsilon \leq g_{m_n}(x) \leq \sup_m g_m(x),$$
whence, by taking into account the fact that $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get
\[ f_n(x) \leq \sup_m g_m(x); \]

since $n$ is arbitrary, we have
\[ \sup_n f_n(x) \leq \sup_m g_m(x) \]

Q.E.D.

2.19. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ be a self-adjoint operator. We shall write
\[ m(x) = \inf \{ \lambda; \lambda \in \sigma(x) \}, \quad M(x) = \sup \{ \lambda; \lambda \in \sigma(x) \}. \]

Since $\sigma(x)$ is compact, we have
\[ m(x), M(x) \in \sigma(x). \]

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we shall consider the continuous functions
\[
f_n^\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{for } t \in \left(-\infty, \lambda - \frac{1}{n}\right], \\
n(\lambda - t), & \text{for } t \in \left[\lambda - \frac{1}{n}, \lambda\right], \\
0, & \text{for } t \in [\lambda, +\infty).
\end{cases}
\]

Then we have
\[ f_n^\lambda(t) \uparrow \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda]}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \]
\[ (f_n^\lambda)^*(t) \uparrow \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda]}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \]

where by $\chi_D$ we denote the characteristic function of the set $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

According to Proposition 2.16 and Lemma 2.18, there exists a projection $e_1 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$, such that
\[ f_n^\lambda(x) \uparrow e_1. \]

In what follows we shall prove some properties of the projections $e_1$.

(i) $e_1 \in \mathcal{A}([x])$; in particular, $e_1$ commutes with any operator commuting with $x$.

This fact follows from the definition of the projections $e_1$, from Theorem 2.6 and from the obvious equality $\mathcal{A}([x]) = \sigma$-closure of $\mathcal{C}^*([x, 1])$.

(ii) $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \Rightarrow e_1 \leq e_2$.

Indeed, for any $n$ we have
\[ f_n^\lambda \leq f_n^{\lambda_1} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)), \]

and this implies that
\[ f_n^\lambda(x) \leq f_n^{\lambda_1}(x); \]
the required property now follows by tending to the limit
(iii) \( \lambda_n \uparrow \lambda \Rightarrow e_{\lambda_n} \uparrow e_{\lambda} \).

Indeed, we then have
\[
\int_n^{\lambda_n} \uparrow \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda)}, \text{ pointwise};
\]
by taking into account Lemma 2.18, the definition of the projection \( e_{\lambda} \) and (ii), we get
\[
e_{\lambda} \geq e_{\lambda_n} \geq \int_n^{\lambda_n}(x) \uparrow e_{\lambda},
\]
and, therefore, \( e_{\lambda_n} \uparrow e_{\lambda} \).

(iv) \( \lambda \leq m(x) \Rightarrow e_{\lambda} = 0; \quad \lambda > M(x) \Rightarrow e_{\lambda} = 1. \)

Indeed, if \( \lambda \leq m(x) \), then \( f_n^\lambda = 0 \) in \( \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)) \), for any \( n \), whereas for \( \lambda > M(x) \) we have \( f_n^\lambda = 1 \) in \( \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)) \), if \( n \) is sufficiently great.

(v) \( xe_{\lambda} \leq \lambda e_{\lambda}, \quad x(1 - e_{\lambda}) \geq \lambda(1 - e_{\lambda}). \)

Indeed, we have the following relations
\[
t f_n^\lambda(t) \leq \lambda f_n^\lambda(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
\]
\[
t(1 - f_n^\lambda(t)) \geq \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{n} \right)(1 - f_n^\lambda(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
\]
whence
\[
x f_n^\lambda(x) \leq \lambda f_n^\lambda(x),
\]
\[
x(1 - f_n^\lambda(x)) \geq \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{n} \right)(1 - f_n^\lambda(x)),
\]
and the stated inequalities can be obtained by tending to the limit.

From property (v) we infer that if \( \mu \leq \lambda \), then
\[
\mu(e_\lambda - e_{\mu}) \leq x(e_\lambda - e_{\mu}) \leq \lambda(e_\lambda - e_{\mu}).
\]

Let now, \( \delta > 0, \varepsilon > 0 \), and let
\[
A = \{m(x) = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \ldots < \lambda_n = M(x) + \delta\}
\]
be a partition of the interval \([m(x), M(x) + \delta]\), whose norm is \( \|A\| = \sup \{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1}; \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} < \varepsilon \). We shall now consider the "Darboux sums":
\[
s(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i e_{\lambda_i} - e_{\lambda_{i-1}},
\]
\[
S(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i (e_{\lambda_i} - e_{\lambda_{i-1}}).
\]
By taking into account the preceding results, we can easily prove the following relations

\[ s(\Delta) \leq x \leq S(\Delta), \]

\[ \|S(\Delta) - s(\Delta)\| < \varepsilon, \]

and these enable us to write

\[(vi) \quad x = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda \, de_\lambda = \int_{m(x)}^{M(x)} \lambda \, de_\lambda, \]

where the integral is to be considered as a vector Stieltjes integral, which converges with respect to the norm.

Assertions (i)—(vi) make up what is usually called the spectral theorem for the self-adjoint operator \( x \), whereas the family of projections \( \langle e_\lambda \rangle \) is called the spectral scale of the self-adjoint operator \( x \).

For any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \) we shall consider the function \( e_{\xi, \eta} \) defined by the relation

\[ e_{\xi, \eta}(\lambda) = \langle e_\lambda \xi | \eta \rangle, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \]

Then the functions \( e_{\xi, \eta} \) are positive, increasing, and

\[ e_{\xi, \xi} \leq \| \xi \|^2, \]

whereas the functions \( e_{\xi, \eta} \) are of bounded variation, and their total variation can be majorized with the help of the Cauchy-Buniakovsky inequality

\[ \nu(e_{\xi, \eta}) \leq \| \xi \| \| \eta \|. \]

We recall that any function of bounded variation on \( \mathbb{R} \) determines a bounded, Borel measure, whose norm is equal to the total variation of the function; the integral corresponding to such a measure is usually called the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral. In particular, the functions \( e_{\xi, \eta} \) determine bounded Borel measures; by the same method as in the proof of statement (iv), one can show that the support of the measures defined in this manner is contained in the spectrum \( \sigma(x) \) of \( x \).

From property (vi), or by direct verification, it follows that

\[(vii) \quad (x \xi | \eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda \, de_{\xi, \eta}(\lambda), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}. \]

2.20. For any topological space \( \Omega \) we shall denote by \( \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \) the set of all bounded complex Borel functions, defined on \( \Omega \). The set \( \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \) can be endowed canonically with a structure of a \( C^* \)-algebra, with the usual algebraic operations and with the uniform norm (i.e., the sup-norm). If the space \( \Omega \) is metrizable, then, by virtue of a theorem of Baire, \( \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \) is the smallest class of functions, closed with respect to the pointwise convergence of the bounded sequences and which contains the bounded continuous functions.
Theorem (of operational calculus with Borel functions). Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a self-adjoint operator. There exists a unique mapping

\[
\mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \ni f \mapsto f(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})
\]

such that

(i) if \( f \) is a polynomial, \( f(\lambda) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \lambda + \ldots + \alpha_n \lambda^n \), then \( f(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \ldots + \alpha_n x^n \),

(ii) if \( f, f_n \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \), \( \sup_n \| f_n \| < +\infty \) and \( f_n \rightharpoonup f \) pointwise, then \( f_n(x) \to f(x) \) for the so-topology in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

Moreover, this mapping is a \( * \)-homomorphism of the \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \) into the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{B}(\{x\}) \), and it is an extension of the \( * \)-isomorphism given by Theorem 2.6.

Proof. Any mapping which satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) obviously coincides with the mapping given by Theorem 2.6, when restricted to \( \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)) \). In this way the uniqueness is an immediate consequence of the theorem of Baire, already mentioned above.

In order to prove the existence, as well as the other properties of the mapping, described in the statement, we shall define, for any \( f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \):

\[
F_f(\xi, \eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \, d\xi_\ast \eta(\lambda), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H},
\]

where we used the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral; more precisely, the function \( f \) can be extended to a Borel function on \( \mathbb{R} \), whereas the integral does not depend on this extension, since the support of the measure is included in \( \sigma(x) \) (see Section 2.19). Then \( F_f(\cdot, \cdot) \) is a bounded sesquilinear form, defined on \( \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \):

\[
| F_f(\xi, \eta) | \leq \| f \| \| V(\xi_\ast \eta) \| \leq \| f \| \| \xi \| \| \eta \|, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}.
\]

From the theorem of Riesz it follows that there exists a unique operator \( f(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), such that

\[
(f(x) \xi \mid \eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \, d\xi_\ast \eta(\lambda), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}.
\]

In this manner we have defined the mapping:

\[
\mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \ni f \mapsto f(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).
\]

It is easy to show that this mapping is linear. By taking into account the relation \( \xi_\ast \eta = e_\ast \xi_\ast \eta, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), it is easy to show that \( \overline{f(x)} = (f(x))^\ast \).

Let \( f, g \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \). For any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \) we have

\[
(f(x) g(x) \xi \mid \eta) = (g(x) \xi \mid (f(x))^\ast \eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda) \, d\xi_\ast (f(x))^\ast_\eta(\lambda).
\]
But we have

\[ e_x, (\mathcal{M})^*_x(\lambda) = (e_x, (f(x))^* \eta) = (f(x)e_x, \xi|\eta) \]

\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mu) \, de_x, \xi, \eta(\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mu) \, de_x, \eta(\mu), \]

where the last equality follows from 2.19 (ii). Consequently, we have

\[ (f(x)g(x)\xi|\eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\lambda) \, d\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} f(\mu) \, de_x, \eta(\mu)\right) \]

\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\lambda) f(\lambda) \, de_x, \eta(\lambda) \]

\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (fg)(\lambda) \, de_x, \eta(\lambda) = ((fg)(x)\xi|\eta); \]

the second equality above is obvious if \( g \) is the characteristic function of an interval; this fact already implies that the measures \( d\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} f(\mu) \, de_x, \eta(\mu)\right) \) and \( f(\lambda) \, de_x, \eta(\lambda) \) are equal, and, therefore, the same equality is true for any \( g \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \). We have thus shown that \((fg)(x) = f(x)g(x)\). Consequently, the mapping \( f \mapsto f(x) \) is a \( * \)-homomorphism of the \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \) into \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

If \( f_0(\lambda) = 1, \lambda \in \sigma(x) \), then, obviously, \( f_0(x) = 1 \). If \( f_1(\lambda) = \lambda, \lambda \in \sigma(x) \), then, by taking into account 2.19, (vii), we get \( f_1(x) = x \). Since the mapping \( f \mapsto f(x) \) is multiplicative, we now immediately get property (i).

For any \( f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \) and any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), we have the relation

(*)

\[ \|f(x)\xi\|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\lambda)|^2 \, de_x, \xi(\lambda). \]

Indeed, we have

\[ \|f(x)\xi\|^2 = (f(x)\xi, f(x)\xi) = (f(x)^* f(x)\xi|\xi) \]

\[ = (f(x)^* f(x)\xi|\xi) = (|f|^2(x)\xi|\xi) \]

\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\lambda)|^2 \, de_x, \xi(\lambda). \]

Property (ii) now easily follows since

\[ \|(f_0(x) - f(x))\xi\|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_0(\lambda) - f(\lambda)|^2 \, de_x, \xi(\lambda), \]

whereas the integral converges to 0, by virtue of the dominated convergence theorem of Lebesgue.
Finally, the set \( \{ f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)); f(x) \in \mathcal{B}\{x\}\} \) contains the polynomials and it is closed with respect to the pointwise convergence of bounded sequences; therefore, from the above mentioned theorem of Baire, it equals \( \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \).

Q.E.D.

If \( x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) are commuting self-adjoint operators (i.e., \( xy = yx \)), and if \( f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)), g \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(y)) \), then \( f(x) \) and \( g(y) \) are commuting normal operators.

If \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is a self-adjoint operator, and if \( e \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is a projection which commutes with \( x \), then for any function \( f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)), f(0) = 0 \), we have

\[
 f(ex) = ef(x).
\]

Indeed, this equality is easily checked for \( f \), a polynomial without the constant term, and then, by tending to the limit, it obtains for any \( f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)), f(0) = 0 \).

Relation \( * \) from the proof of the theorem is useful in other situations, too. For example, with its help one can easily prove that if \( f_n, f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \), and \( f_n \to f \) uniformly, then \( f_n(x) \to f(x) \) for the norm topology.

We also observe that, since it is a \( * \)-homomorphism, the mapping \( f \mapsto f(x) \) is positive.

2.21. The following fact has already been established in Section 2.19, but we mention it again due to its special usefulness:

**Corollary.** Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a positive operator and \( \alpha > 0 \) a positive number. Then there exists a projection \( e \in \mathcal{B}(\{x\}) \), such that

\[
 xe \geq \alpha e,
\]

\[
 x(1 - e) \leq \alpha (1 - e).
\]

We observe that one can take \( e = \chi_{(\infty, +\infty)}(x) \) or \( e = \chi_{(0, +\infty)}(x) \), and this fact shows that the projection \( e \) is not uniquely determined by the preceding conditions.

2.22. If \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is a self-adjoint operator, then:

\[
 s(x) = \chi_{\mathcal{B}\setminus\{0\}}(x).
\]

Indeed, from the obvious equality \( \lambda \cdot \chi_{\mathcal{B}\setminus\{0\}}(\lambda) = \lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), it follows that \( \chi_{\mathcal{B}\setminus\{0\}}(x) = x \), and this implies that \( s(x) \leq \chi_{\mathcal{B}\setminus\{0\}}(x) \). On the other hand, from the relation \( xs(x) = x \), it follows that \( f(x) s(x) = f(x) \), first for \( f \) a polynomial without constant term, and then, by tending to the limit, for any \( f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)), f(0) = 0 \). In particular, we have \( \chi_{\mathcal{B}\setminus\{0\}}(x)s(x) = \chi_{\mathcal{B}\setminus\{0\}}(x) \), and, therefore, \( \chi_{\mathcal{B}\setminus\{0\}}(x) \leq s(x) \).

From the proof we also inferred that for any \( f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \), such that \( f(0) = 0 \), we have

\[
 s(f(x)) \leq s(x).
\]

If \( f_n \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \), \( \sup \| f_n \| < +\infty \), and \( f_n \to \chi_{\mathcal{B}\setminus\{0\}} \), then

\[
 f_n(x) \xrightarrow{\infty} s(x).
\]
For example, if $x \geq 0$, we have

$$nx(1 + nx)^{-1} \xrightarrow{s_0} s(x),$$

$$x^{1/n} \xrightarrow{s_0} s(x).$$

Also, it is easy to show that there exists a sequence of polynomials without constant terms, in $x$, which is $s_0$-convergent to $s(x)$.

**Corollary.** Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive operator. Then there exists a sequence of projections $\{e_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}(\{x\})$, such that

$$xe_n \geq \frac{1}{n} e_n,$$

$$e_n \uparrow s(x).$$

One can take

$$e_n = \chi_{\left(\frac{1}{n}, +\infty\right)}(x).$$

2.23. Already the spectral theorem (2.19, (vi)) implied that any self-adjoint operator $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the limit, for the norm topology, of linear combinations of projections from $\mathcal{B}(\{x\})$. In particular, any von Neumann algebra coincides with the norm-closed linear span of its projections. These results can be further strengthened by the following.

**Corollary.** Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Then there exists a sequence of projections $\{e_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}(\{x\})$, such that

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} e_n;$$

the series converges in the norm topology.

**Proof.** The sequence $\{e_n\}$ can be defined inductively in the following manner: According to Corollary 2.21, there exists a projection $e_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\{x\})$, such that

$$xe_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} e_1,$$

$$x(1 - e_1) \leq \frac{1}{2} (1 - e_1).$$

From Corollary 2.21, there exists a projection $e_n \in \mathcal{B}(\{x\})$, such that

$$\left(x - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} e_k\right) e_n \geq \frac{1}{2^n} e_n,$$

$$\left(x - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} e_k\right)(1 - e_n) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} (1 - e_n).$$
One can easily prove, by induction, and by using the hypothesis that $0 \leq x \leq 1$, that we have

$$0 \leq x - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} e_k \leq \frac{1}{2^n},$$

whence the desired assertion immediately follows. Q.E.D.

The preceding corollary corresponds to the dyadic decomposition of the real numbers between 0 and 1.

2.24. If $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an arbitrary operator, then the operators

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{2} (x + x^*), \quad x_2 = \frac{i}{2} (x^* - x) \in \mathcal{C}^{*}(\{x\})$$

are self-adjoint, and

$$x = x_1 + ix_2.$$

An operator $u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be unitary if it maps isometrically $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{H}$. It is easily checked that $u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is unitary iff

$$u^* u = uu^* = 1.$$ 

Proposition. Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an arbitrary operator. Then $x$ is a linear combination of unitary operators from $\mathcal{C}^{*}(\{x, 1\})$.

Proof. Because of the preceding remark, we can assume, without any loss of generality, that

$$x^* = x, \quad \|x\| \leq 1.$$

In this case, we define

$$u = x + i(1 - x^2)^{1/2},$$

and it is easy to check that $u$ is unitary and

$$x = \frac{1}{2} (u + u^*).$$ 

Q.E.D.

In fact, we proved that any operator (resp., any self-adjoint, positive operator) is a linear combination of 4 (resp., a linear combination with positive coefficients of 2) unitary operators from the $\mathcal{C}^{*}$-algebra with identity, generated by it. We observe, therefore, that any $\mathcal{C}^{*}$-algebra of operators (resp., any $\mathcal{C}^{*}$-algebra of operators with identity) is the vector space generated by the self-adjoint (resp., the unitary) operators it contains.

2.25. For arbitrary bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ one can define an operational calculus with functions analytic on a neighbourhood of the spectrum.
Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$ the set of all analytic functions defined on a neighbourhood of the spectrum $\sigma(x)$ of $x$ (neighbourhood which can depend on the considered function). By identifying two functions from $\mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$, if they coincide on a neighbourhood of the spectrum $\sigma(x)$, we can define canonically, in $\mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$, an algebra structure. For any $f \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$ we shall consider closed rectifiable Jordan curves, with the positive orientation, $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots, \Gamma_k$, such that the interiors of these curves be mutually disjoint, the union of the interiors of these curves contain $\sigma(x)$, whereas the closure of this region be included in the domain of $f$. We denote $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_k\}$ and define

$$f_\mathcal{A}(x) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda)(\lambda - x)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

as a Cauchy integral, which converges in norm. From the well-known theorem of Cauchy, $f_\mathcal{A}(x)$ does not depend on the choice of $\Gamma$.

**Theorem (of operational calculus with analytic functions).** Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an arbitrary operator.

(i) If $f$ is a polynomial, $f(\lambda) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \lambda + \ldots + \alpha_n \lambda^n$, then $f \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$ and $f_\mathcal{A}(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \ldots + \alpha_n x^n$.

(ii) The mapping

$$\mathcal{A}(\sigma(x)) \ni f \mapsto f_\mathcal{A}(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

is an algebra homomorphism.

Moreover, $f_\mathcal{A}(x) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([x, 1])$, for any $f \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$.

**Proof.** The mapping $f \mapsto f_\mathcal{A}(x)$ is obviously linear. Consequently, in order to prove (i), it suffices to consider the case $f(\lambda) = \lambda^n$, $n \geq 0$. Let $\Gamma$ be a circle centered at 0 and of radius $> ||x||$, positively oriented. For any $\lambda \in \Gamma$ we have

$$(\lambda - x)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k-1} x^k,$$

the series being convergent in norm. Hence

$$f_\mathcal{A}(x) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^n (\lambda - x)^{-1} d\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^{n-k-1} d\lambda) x^k = x^n.$$

We still have to prove the multiplicativity of the mapping $f \mapsto f_\mathcal{A}(x)$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$ and $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_k, \Gamma'_1, \ldots, \Gamma'_j$ be closed rectifiable Jordan curves, positively oriented, such that the interiors of the curves $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_k$ be mutually disjoint and their union include $\sigma(x)$, the closure of this union be included in the union of the mutually disjoint interiors of the curves $\Gamma'_1, \ldots, \Gamma'_j$ whereas the closure of this last union be included in the intersection of the domains of $f$ and $g$. We denote $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_k\}$ and $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma'_1, \ldots, \Gamma'_j\}$. From the identity

$$(\lambda - x)^{-1} - (\mu - x)^{-1} = (\mu - \lambda)(\lambda - x)^{-1}(\mu - x)^{-1},$$
we infer that
\[
  f_{\mathcal{A}}(x)g_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = -(4\pi^2)^{-1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)(\lambda - x)^{-1} d\lambda \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\mu)(\mu - x)^{-1} d\mu \right)
  = -(4\pi^2)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)g(\mu)(\lambda - x)^{-1}(\mu - x)^{-1} d\lambda d\mu
  = -(4\pi^2)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(\lambda)g(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda} ((\lambda - x)^{-1} - (\mu - x)^{-1}) d\lambda d\mu
  = -(4\pi^2)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)(\lambda - x)^{-1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{g(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda} d\mu \right) d\lambda
  + (4\pi^2)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\mu)(\mu - x)^{-1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(\lambda)}{\mu - \lambda} d\lambda \right) d\mu
  = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)g(\lambda)(\lambda - x)^{-1} d\lambda = (fg)_{\mathcal{A}}(x).
\]

Q.E.D.

The mapping \( \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x)) = f \mapsto f_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is also called the Dunford operational calculus for the operator \( x \).

2.26. Corollary. Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) and \( f \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x)) \). Then
\[
  \sigma(f_{\mathcal{A}}(x)) = \{ f(\lambda); \ \lambda \in \sigma(x) \}.
\]

Proof. Let \( \lambda_0 \in \sigma(x) \). We shall consider, on the domain of \( f \), the analytic function \( g \) defined by the formula
\[
  g(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
    \frac{f(\lambda_0) - f(\lambda)}{\lambda_0 - \lambda} & \text{for } \lambda \neq \lambda_0 \\
    f'(\lambda_0) & \text{for } \lambda = \lambda_0.
  \end{cases}
\]

Then, from Theorem 2.25 (ii), we have
\[
  f(\lambda_0) - f_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = (\lambda_0 - x) g_{\mathcal{A}}(x);
\]
hence, the invertibility of \( f(\lambda_0) - f_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \) implies the invertibility of \( \lambda_0 - x \), a contradiction. Consequently, \( f(\lambda_0) - f_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \) is not invertible and \( f(\lambda_0) \in \sigma(f_{\mathcal{A}}(x)) \).

Conversely, let \( \mu_0 \in \sigma(f_{\mathcal{A}}(x)) \). If \( \mu_0 \notin \{ f(\lambda); \ \lambda \in \sigma(x) \} \), then the formula
\[
  h(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\mu_0 - f(\lambda)}
\]
defines a function from \( \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x)) \) and, from Theorem 2.25 (ii), we have
\[
  h_{\mathcal{A}}(x) (\mu_0 - f_{\mathcal{A}}(x)) = 1,
\]
contrary to the assumption that $\mu_0 \in \sigma(f(x))$. Therefore, we have $\mu_0 \in \{f(\lambda); \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}$.

Q.E.D.

2.27. Corollary. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}), f \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(f(x)))$. Then we have

$$g(f(x)) = (g \circ f)(x).$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots, \Gamma_k, \Gamma_1', \ldots, \Gamma_j'$ be positively oriented, closed, rectifiable, Jordan curves, such that the interiors of the curves $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots, \Gamma_k$ be mutually disjoint and their union include $\sigma(x)$, the closure of this union be included in the domain of $f$ and its image by $f$ be included in the mutually disjoint union of the interiors of the curves $\Gamma_1', \Gamma_2', \ldots, \Gamma_j'$, whereas the closure of this union be included in the domain of $g$. We denote $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_k\}$ and $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma_1', \ldots, \Gamma_j'\}$. For any $\mu \in \Gamma'$, the formula

$$h(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\mu - f(\lambda)}$$

determines a function $h \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$. From Theorem 2.25 (ii), we have

$$(\mu - f(x)) h(x) = 1,$$

and, therefore,

$$(\mu - f(x))^{-1} = h(x).$$

Therefore, we have

$$g(f(x)) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma'} g(\mu) (\mu - f(x))^{-1} d\mu$$

$$= -4\pi (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma'} g(\mu) \left( \int_{\Gamma'} \frac{1}{\mu - f(\lambda)} (\lambda - x)^{-1} d\lambda \right)$$

$$= (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma'} (g \circ f)(\lambda) (\lambda - x)^{-1} d\lambda = (g \circ f)(x).$$

Q.E.D.

2.28. In the set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda; \Re \lambda \leq 0, \Im \lambda = 0\}$ we define the function $\ln$ by the formula

$$\ln \lambda = \ln |\lambda| + \arg \lambda, \quad -\pi < \arg \lambda < \pi.$$ 

In the same set, and for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the function

$$\lambda \mapsto \lambda^\alpha = \exp(\alpha \ln \lambda).$$

The functions $\lambda \mapsto \ln \lambda$ and $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^\alpha$ are analytic in their domain of definition. Therefore, for any operator $x \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$, such that $\sigma(x) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda; \Re \lambda \leq 0, \Im \lambda = 0\}$, the operators $\ln x$ and $x^\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, are well defined.
Corollary. Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\sigma(x) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda; \text{Re } \lambda \leq 0, \text{Im } \lambda = 0\}$. Then the mapping

$$\mathbb{C} \ni \alpha \mapsto x^\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

is an entire function (with respect to the norm topology in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$).

Proof. Let $y = \ln x$. From Corollary 2.27, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$x^\alpha = \exp (\alpha y).$$

By taking into account Theorem 2.25, it is easy to verify the relation

$$\exp (\alpha y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} y^n x^n,$$

whence it immediately follows that the mapping $\alpha \mapsto \exp (\alpha y)$ is an entire function. Q.E.D.

2.29. The following proposition yields a natural connection between the operational calculus with continuous functions (2.6) and the operational calculus with analytic functions (2.25). We obviously have $\mathcal{A}(\sigma(x)) \subset \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x))$, $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Proposition. Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a self-adjoint operator and $f \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$. Then we have

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = f(x).$$

Proof. For any $g \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$, the operator $g_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ is normal. By virtue of Corollary 2.26, we have

$$\sigma(g_{\mathcal{A}}(x)) = \{g(\lambda); \lambda \in \sigma(x)\},$$

and, therefore, from Lemmas 2.5, 2.3, we have

$$\|g_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\| = |\sigma(g_{\mathcal{A}}(x))| = \sup \{|g(\lambda)|; \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}.$$ 

Therefore, the mapping

$$g |_{\sigma(x)} \mapsto g_{\mathcal{A}}(x), g \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x)),$$

is isometric. Since $\{g |_{\sigma(x)}; g \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))\}$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(x))$, the preceding mapping can be uniquely extended to an isometric mapping of $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(x))$ into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. By taking into account Theorem 2.25 (i), and also the uniqueness part of Theorem 2.6, we infer that this extension coincides with the mapping

$$\mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)) \ni f \mapsto f(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),$$

which was defined in Theorem 2.6. Q.E.D.

We observe that from the preceding proposition it follows, in particular, that for any self-adjoint operator $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and any $f \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma(x))$ the operator $f_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ depends only on $f |_{\sigma(x)}$. 


2.30. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re \alpha > 0$. We consider the mapping

$$[0, + \infty) \ni \lambda \mapsto \lambda^\alpha \in \mathbb{C},$$

defined on $(0, + \infty)$ as in 2.28, and equal to zero at $0$. This mapping is Borel measurable and bounded on compact sets. Thus, for any positive operator $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the (normal) operator $x^\alpha$ makes sense. From Proposition 2.29, this definition is compatible with that given in 2.28.

Corollary. Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive operator and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$; then the mapping

$$\alpha \mapsto x^\alpha \xi \in \mathcal{H}$$

is continuous on $\{x; \Re \alpha \geq 0\}$ and analytic in $\{x; \Re \alpha > 0\}$, with respect to the norm topology in $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. From Corollary 2.22, there exists a sequence of operators $\{e_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}((x))$, such that

$$xe_n \geq \frac{1}{n} e_n, \quad e_n \uparrow s(x).$$

We write

$$x_n = \frac{1}{n} (1 - e_n) + xe_n.$$ 

Since $\sigma(x_n) \subset \left\{ \lambda; \Re \lambda \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\}$, from Corollary 2.28 it follows that, for any $\xi \in c_0 \mathcal{H}$, the mapping

$$\alpha \mapsto x^\alpha \xi = (x_n)^\alpha \xi$$

is continuous on $\{x; \Re \alpha \geq 0\}$ and analytic in $\{x; \Re \alpha > 0\}$.

Obviously, if $\xi \in n(x) \mathcal{H}$ (with the notation from 2.13), the mapping

$$\alpha \mapsto x^\alpha \xi = 0$$

is continuous on $\{x; \Re \alpha \geq 0\}$ and analytic in $\{x; \Re \alpha > 0\}$.

Let now $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary. Since the set

$$n(x) \mathcal{H} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \mathcal{H}$$

is total in $\mathcal{H}$, there exists a sequence $\{\xi_k\}$, which converges to $\xi$, and is such that the mappings

$$\alpha \mapsto x^\alpha \xi_k; \; k = 1, 2, \ldots$$

be continuous on $\{x; \Re \alpha \geq 0\}$ and analytic in $\{x; \Re \alpha > 0\}$. Since the mappings $\alpha \mapsto x^\alpha \xi_k$ converge uniformly, on any compact subset of $\{x; \Re \alpha \geq 0\}$, to the mapping $\alpha \mapsto x^\alpha \xi$, it follows that the mapping $\alpha \mapsto x^\alpha \xi$ is continuous on $\{x; \Re \alpha \geq 0\}$ and analytic in $\{x; \Re \alpha > 0\}$.

Q.E.D.
2.31. In the preceding sections we already used the fact that, since the function exp is an entire function, for any operator \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) the operator \( \exp(x) \) makes sense and the relation
\[
\exp(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x^n
\]
holds.

We hence infer that, for any \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), we have
\[
(\exp(x))^* = \exp(x^*);
\]
also, if \( x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), \( xy = yx \), we have
\[
\exp(x) \exp(y) = \exp(x + y).
\]
In particular, if \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is self-adjoint, then the operator \( \exp(ix) \) is unitary.

**Proposition.** Let \( x_1, x_2, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). If \( x_1, x_2 \) are normal and if \( x_1 y = yx_2 \), then \( x_1^* y = yx_2^* \).

**Proof.** The function
\[
f: \mathbb{C} \ni \lambda \mapsto \exp(-\lambda x_1^*) y \exp(\lambda x_2^*) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})
\]
is an entire analytic function with respect to the norm topology of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

From the relation \( yx_2 = x_1 y \) we infer that, for any \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \), we have
\[
y = \exp(\lambda x_1) y \exp(-\lambda x_2),
\]
and, therefore, we have
\[
f'(\lambda) = \exp(-\lambda x_1^*) \exp(\lambda x_1) y \exp(-\lambda x_2) \exp(\lambda x_2^*)
\]
\[
= \exp(i(i\lambda x_1^* - i\lambda x_1)) y \exp(i(i\lambda x_2 - i\lambda x_2^*))).
\]
Since the operators \( i\lambda x_1^* - i\lambda x_1 \) and \( i\lambda x_2 - i\lambda x_2^* \) are self-adjoint their exponents are unitary operators; therefore, the function \( f \) is also bounded.

From the Liouville theorem it follows that \( f \) is constant. Consequently, its derivative is equal to zero:
\[
0 = f'(\lambda) = -x_1^* \exp(-\lambda x_1^*) y \exp(\lambda x_2^*) + \exp(-\lambda x_1^*) y \exp(\lambda x_2^*) x_1^*.
\]
In particular, we have \( f'(0) = 0 \), and this implies that
\[
x_1^* y = yx_2^*.
\]

Q.E.D.

From the preceding proposition we infer, in particular, that if an operator \( y \) commutes with a normal operator \( x \), then it commutes with its adjoint \( x^* \), too.

2.32. In this section we recall the structure of operators in Hilbert direct sums of Hilbert spaces and we introduce some notations.
Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space, $\gamma$ an arbitrary cardinal number, $I$ a set of indices, whose cardinal is $\gamma$, and $(\mathcal{H}_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of Hilbert spaces, such that $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}$ for any $i \in I$. We consider the Hilbert direct sum

$$\mathcal{H}_\gamma = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i.$$  

The elements of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$ are the families $\vec{z} = (\xi_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{H}$, such that $\sum_{i \in I} \|\xi_i\|^2 < + \infty$, whereas for any two elements $\vec{z} = (\xi_i)_{i \in I}, \eta = (\eta_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{H}_\gamma$ we have, by definition

$$(\vec{z} | \vec{\eta}) = \sum_{i \in I} (\xi_i | \eta_i).$$

For any $i_0 \in I$ we consider the operator

$$u_{i_0} : \mathcal{H} \ni \xi \mapsto u_{i_0}(\xi) \in \mathcal{H}_\gamma,$$

where, for any $u \in \mathcal{H}$, we define

$$u_{i_0}(\xi) = (\xi_i)_{i \in I}, \quad \xi_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq i_0 \\ \xi & \text{for } i = i_0. \end{cases}$$

The adjoint of this operator is

$$u_{i_0}^* : \mathcal{H}_\gamma \ni \vec{\xi} \mapsto u_{i_0}^*(\vec{\xi}) \in \mathcal{H}$$

where, for any $\vec{\xi} = (\xi_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{H}_\gamma$, we have

$$u_{i_0}^*(\vec{\xi}) = \xi_{i_0}.$$  

It is easily checked that for any $i \in I$ the operator $u_i$ is a linear isometric operator, and

- $u_i^* u_i = \text{the identity on } \mathcal{H}$,
- $u_i u_i^* = \text{the projection of } \mathcal{H}_\gamma \text{ onto } \mathcal{H}_i.$

To any operator $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\gamma)$ one can associate a "matrix" $(x_{ik})$ of operators from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, by the relation

$$x_{ik} = u_i^* x u_k, \quad i, k \in I;$$

with its help the operator $x$ can be recovered by the formula

$$(*) \quad x = \sum_{i, k \in I} u_i^* x_{ik} u_k^*,$$

where the series is so-convergent.

Conversely, if $\gamma$ is a finite cardinal number, then to any "matrix" of elements from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ there corresponds an operator from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\gamma)$ by the formula $(*)$.  

If \( \gamma \) is an infinite cardinal, then, of course, only those "matrices" which satisfy the convergence condition from \((*)\) can yield operators from \( \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\gamma}) \). For example, for any family \((x_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\), such that \( \sup_{i \in I} \|x_i\| < +\infty \), the "matrix" \((\delta_{ik}x_i)\) yields an operator from \( \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\gamma}) \) which leaves invariant all the subspaces \( \mathcal{H}_i \); obviously, any operator from \( \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\gamma}) \), having this property, is of this form.

In particular, for any \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) we can consider the operator

\[
\tilde{x} = (\delta_{ik}x) \in \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\gamma}),
\]

which commutes with all operators \( u_i u_i^* \in \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\gamma}) \). It is easy to see that, if an operator \((x_{ik}) \in \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\gamma})\) commutes with all the operators \( u_i u_i^* \in \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\gamma}) \), then \( x_{ik} = 0 \) for \( i \neq k \) and \( x_{ii} = x_{kk} \) for any \( i, k \in I \); consequently, there exists an \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), such that \((x_{ik}) = \tilde{x}\).

Let \( \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). We shall use the notations:

\[
\text{Mat}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{Y}) = \{(x_{ik}) \in \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\gamma}) \mid x_{ik} \in \mathcal{X} \text{ for any } i, k \in I\}
\]

\[
\mathcal{X}_{\gamma} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\gamma}) \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\}.
\]

Therefore, we have \( \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\gamma}) = \text{Mat}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \).

If \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) and \((x_{ik}), (y_{ik}) \in \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\gamma})\), it is easy to check that we have

\[
(x_{ik}) + (y_{ik}) = (x_{ik} + y_{ik}),
\]

\[
\lambda(x_{ik}) = (\lambda x_{ik}),
\]

\[
(x_{ik})^* = (x_{ik}^*),
\]

\[
(x_{ik}) (y_{ik}) = (\sum_{j \in I} x_{ij} y_{jk}),
\]

the series in the right-hand member of the last equality being so-convergent. In particular, for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), we have

\[
\tilde{x} + \tilde{y} = (x + y)^{\sim},
\]

\[
\lambda \tilde{x} = (\lambda x)^{\sim},
\]

\[
(x)^{*} = (x^{*})^{\sim},
\]

\[
\tilde{x} \tilde{y} = (xy)^{\sim}.
\]

In what follows, if \( \gamma \) is a finite cardinal number, i.e., a natural number \( n \), we shall write \( n \) instead of \( \gamma \), whereas if \( \gamma \) is an infinite cardinal number, known from the context, then we shall omit it.

2.33. Let \( \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} \) be two Hilbert spaces and \( \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K} \) their (algebraic) tensor product as vector spaces. In \( \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K} \) one can define a unique pre-Hilbert structure, such that

\[
(\xi_1 \otimes \eta_1 | \xi_2 \otimes \eta_2) = (\xi_1 | \xi_2) (\eta_1 | \eta_2),
\]
for any \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{X} \), \( \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{X} \). This pre-Hilbert structure is separated. The Hilbert space obtained by the completion of the pre-Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X} \) is called the **Hilbert tensor product** of the spaces \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( \mathcal{X} \) and it is denoted by \( \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X} \).

Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \), \( y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \). The tensor product, \( x \otimes y \) of the linear operators \( x, y \) is a continuous linear operator on \( \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X} \). Indeed, since \( x \otimes y = (x \otimes 1)(1 \otimes y) \), we can assume, for example, that \( y = 1 \). Let

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k \otimes \eta_k \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X} ;
\]

we can assume that the vectors \( \eta_k \) are mutually orthogonal. We then have

\[
\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} x \xi_k \otimes \eta_k \right\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \| x \xi_k \| \| \eta_k \|^2 \leq \| x \|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \| \xi_k \|^2 \| \eta_k \|^2 = \| x \|^2 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k \otimes \eta_k \right\|^2 ,
\]

and the assertion is proved. Consequently, \( x \otimes y \) can be extended in a unique manner to a continuous operator \( x \otimes \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X}) \).

It is easily verified that the mapping

\[
\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \ni (x, y) \mapsto (x \otimes y) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X})
\]

is bilinear\(^*\); also, for any \( x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \), \( y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \), we have

\[
(x_1 \otimes y_1) (x_2 \otimes y_2) = x_1 x_2 \otimes y_1 y_2 ,
\]

and, for any \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \), \( y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \), we have

\[
(x \otimes y)^* = x^* \otimes y^* .
\]

In particular, if \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \), \( y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \) are self-adjoint (resp., normal, unitary or projection) operators, then \( x \otimes y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X}) \) is a self-adjoint (resp., normal, unitary, projection) operator. Also if \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \), \( y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \) are positive operators, then \( x \otimes y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X}) \) is a positive operator. If \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \), \( y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \) and \( x = u \| x \| , y = v \| y \| \) are the polar decompositions of these operators, then \( x \otimes y = (u \otimes v)(| x | \otimes | y |) \) is the polar decomposition of \( x \otimes y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X}) \).

2.34. Let \( \mathcal{X} \), \( \mathcal{X} \) be Hilbert spaces, \( \left( \eta_i \right)_{i \in I} \) an orthonormal basis in \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \gamma = \dim \mathcal{X} = \text{card } I \). In what follows we shall show that the orthonormal basis we have chosen allows a canonical identification of the Hilbert spaces \( \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{H} \).

Indeed, for any \( i \in I \), the linear, isometric mapping

\[
\mathcal{H} \ni \xi \mapsto \xi \otimes \eta_i \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X}
\]

determines a canonical identification of the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) with a closed subspace \( \mathcal{H}_i \) of \( \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{X} \). The spaces \( \mathcal{H}_i \) are mutually orthogonal, whereas their

\(^*\) One can show that the corresponding linear mapping from the algebraic tensor product is injective.
union \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i \text{ is total in } \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}. \text{ Consequently, } \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \text{ is the Hilbert direct sum of the spaces } \mathcal{H}_i. \text{ Therefore, the mapping }

(\xi_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} \xi_i \otimes \eta_i

establishes a canonical identification

\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \gamma = \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}.

Once this identification has been done, the operators from \textit{A}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}) \text{ can be represented by "matrices" of operators from } \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}). \text{ For example, it is easily checked that, for any } x \in \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}), \text{ we have }

\tilde{x} = x \otimes 1.

\textbf{Exercises}

\textbf{E.2.1.} Let \( x, y \in \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}) \). If \( 1 - xy \) is invertible, then \( 1 - yx \) is also invertible. Infer that

\[ \sigma(xy) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(yx) \setminus \{0\}. \]

\textbf{E.2.2.} Let \( x \in \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}) \) be self-adjoint. Show that

\[ \|x\| = \sup \{ |(x\xi | \xi)| : \xi \in \mathcal{H}, \|\xi\| = 1\}. \]

\textbf{E.2.3.} Let \( e, f \in \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}) \) be projections. Show that

\[ l(ef) = e - e \wedge (1 - f), \quad r(ef) = f - (1 - e) \wedge f; \]

\[ l(e(1 - f)) = e - e \wedge f, \quad r(e(1 - f)) = e \vee f - f. \]

\textbf{E.2.4.} Let \( e, f \in \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}) \) be projections. Then the sequence \( \{(ef)^n\} \) \textit{s-o}-converges to \( e \wedge f \).

\textbf{E.2.5.} For any projection \( e \in \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}) \), the operator \( s = 1 - 2e \) is a symmetry (i.e., self-adjoint and unitary). Conversely, any symmetry is of this form.

\textbf{E.2.6.} Let \( a, b \in \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}) \), \( 0 \leq a \leq b \). Show that there exists an \( x \in \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}) \), \( \|x\| \leq 1 \), such that \( a^{1/2} = xb^{1/2} \).

\textbf{E.2.7.} Let \( a, b \in \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}) \), \( 0 \leq a \leq b \), \( ab = ba \). Show that \( 0 \leq a^2 \leq b^2 \). Infer that \( a\mathcal{H} \subseteq b\mathcal{H} \).

\textbf{E.2.8.} Let \( a, b \in \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}) \), \( 0 \leq a \leq b \), \( a \) invertible. Then \( b \) is invertible and \( 0 \leq b^{-1} \leq a^{-1} \).

\textbf{E.2.9.} Let \( \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a net of normal operators, which \textit{s-o}-converges to the normal operator \( x \in \textit{A}(\mathcal{H}) \). Then the net \( \{x_i^*\}_{i \in I} \) is \textit{s-o}-convergent to \( x^* \). In other words, the restriction of the \( * \)-operation to the set of normal operators is \textit{s-o}-continuous.
E.2.10. Show that if \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is normal, then \( \|x\|^2 = \|x^2\| \).

E.2.11. Two operators \( x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) are said to be similar (resp., unitarily equivalent) if there exists an invertible (resp., unitary) operator \( s \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), such that \( y = sx^*s^{-1} \). Show that two normal similar operators are unitarily equivalent.

E.2.12. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a commutative Banach algebra with unit \( 1 \in \mathcal{A} \). Show that any element \( x \in \mathcal{A} \), such that \( \|1 - x\| < 1 \) is invertible. Infer that any maximal ideal \( \mathcal{M} \) of \( \mathcal{A} \) is closed and any non-zero element from the Banach algebra \( \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{M} \) is invertible. Then, with the help of the Liouville theorem infer that \( \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{M} \) consists of the scalar multiples of the unit element.

E.2.13. Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be normal and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \). Then \( \lambda \in \sigma(x) \) iff \( \lambda - x \) belongs to a maximal ideal of \( \mathcal{C}^*(\{x, 1\}) \).

E.2.14. Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be normal and \( p(.,.) \) be a complex polynomial in two variables. Then:

\[ \sigma(p(x, x^*)) = \{p(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}); \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}. \]

E.2.15. Extend Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 to the case of normal operators \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

E.2.16. Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a self-adjoint operator, \( \{e_\lambda\} \) its spectral scale and \( f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)) \). Then

\[ f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \, de_\lambda, \]

where the integral is a norm-convergent vector Stieltjes integral.

E.2.17. Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a self-adjoint operator. For any Borel subset \( D \) of the spectrum \( \sigma(x) \) of \( x \) we define the spectral projection of \( x \), which corresponds to the \( D \), by the formula

\[ e(D) = \chi_D(x). \]

Then, for any \( f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \), we have

\[ \|f(x)\| = \inf_{\sigma(D)} \sup_{\lambda \in D} |f(\lambda)| \]

and

\[ \sigma(f(x)) = \bigcap_{\sigma(D) \in \mathcal{B}} \{\{f(\lambda); \lambda \in D\} \subset \{f(\lambda); \lambda \in \sigma(x)\} \}. \]

E.2.18. Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a self-adjoint operator and \( f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(x)) \), real. Then, for any \( g \in \mathcal{B}(\{f(\lambda); \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}) \), we have

\[ g(f(x)) = (g \circ f)(x). \]

*E.2.19. An operator \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is said to be compact if for any bounded subset \( \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H} \) the set \( x(\mathcal{S}) \) is relatively compact.

One usually denotes by \( \mathcal{H} \) the set of all compact operators from \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).
Show that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ is the smallest non-zero, norm-closed two-sided ideal of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $\mathcal{H}$ is infinitely dimensional and separable, then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ is the only proper, norm closed, two-sided ideal of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

*E.2.20. Let $x \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. One says that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $x$ if $\mathfrak{S}_x = \ker(x - \lambda) \neq 0$; in this case the non-zero vectors from $\mathfrak{S}_x$ are called eigenvectors, and the dimension of $\mathfrak{S}_x$ is called the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda$. If $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $x$, then $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$. The eigenvectors which correspond to different eigenvalues of a self-adjoint operator are orthogonal.

Show that if $x \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(x)$, then $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of finite multiplicity of $x$. Thus, the spectrum of a compact operator is either a finite set, or forms a sequence converging to zero.

E.2.21. Let $x \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$, $x \geq 0$, $\sigma(x) \setminus \{0\} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots\}$ and let $e_k$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_k}$. Then the projections $e_k$ are mutually orthogonal and

$$x = \sum_k \lambda_k e_k,$$

the series being norm-convergent.

*E.2.22. Let $x \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\|x\| \leq 1$. Show that there exists an isometry $v$ of $\mathcal{H}$ into a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ and a unitary operator $u \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that

(i) $x^n = v^* u^n v$; $n = 1, 2, \ldots$

(ii) the set $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u^n v(\mathcal{H})$ is total in $\mathcal{H}$.

The pair $(v: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}, u)$ is called the minimal unitary dilation of the "contraction" $x$ and it is unique, in an obvious sense.

E.2.23. Let $x \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\|x\| \leq 1$, and $p(\cdot)$ be a complex polynomial. With the help of the unitary dilation of $x$, prove the following von Neumann inequality

$$\|p(x)\| \leq \sup \{|p(\lambda)|; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| = 1\}.$$

E.2.24. Let $x \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\|x\| \leq 1$. Show that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$x^* \xi = \xi \iff x^* x^* \xi = \xi,$$

whence infer that

$$n(1 - x) = n(1 - x^*) \leq \Im(x) \wedge \Re(x).$$

By denoting $y = x - n(1 - x)$, show that

$$n(1 - y) = n(1 - y^*) = 0.$$

E.2.25. Let $x \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\|x\| \leq 1$. Show that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ we have the following convergence

$$\frac{1}{n} (\xi + x\xi + \ldots + x^{n-1}\xi) \to p\xi,$$

where $p$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\{\xi \in \mathcal{H}; x\xi = \xi\}$. 
This result is the mean ergodic theorem of von Neumann. (Hint: Let $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} ; x\xi = \xi \}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{ \eta - x\eta ; \eta \in \mathcal{H} \}$; in accordance with E.2.24, we have $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2^\perp$, and, therefore, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$; the convergence can be checked, separately, for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_2$. The proof we have sketched here is by B. Sz.-Nagy. For other information we refer the reader to N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], ch. VIII).

Comments

C.2.1. In this section we will state briefly some results concerning the theory of abstract $C^*$-algebras. In doing so we will repeat the operational calculus for normal operators.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a $C^*$-algebra, in the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ obtained by the adjunction of the unit element to $\mathcal{A}$ one can introduce canonically a structure of a $C^*$-algebra. For simplicity's sake, we shall assume in what follows that all $C^*$-algebras encountered have a unit. The ideas and results from Sections 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 also extend to the case of the abstract $C^*$-algebras, and with the same proofs.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative $C^*$-algebra. A character of $\mathcal{A}$ is any non-zero homomorphism $\omega : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$. For any $x \in \mathcal{A}$ the element $\omega(x) - x$ belongs to the kernel $\ker \omega$, which is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{A}$, and, therefore, $\omega(x) \in \sigma(x)$. It follows that $|\omega(x)| \leq |\sigma(x)| \leq \|x\|$ and, if $x$ is self-adjoint, then $\omega(x)$ is real. Consequently, $\|\omega\| = 1$ and $\omega(x^*) = \overline{\omega(x)}$, $x \in \mathcal{A}$. The set $\Omega_\omega$ of all characters of $\mathcal{A}$, endowed with the topology induced by the $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{A})$-topology, is a compact space, called the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$. Any element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ determines a function $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega_\omega)$, given by

$$\hat{x}(\omega) = \omega(x), \quad \omega \in \Omega_\omega.$$  

The mapping $\omega \mapsto \ker \omega$ establishes a bijection between the set of all characters of $\mathcal{A}$ and the maximal (two-sided) ideals of $\mathcal{A}$ (see E.2.12). If $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$, then $\lambda - x$ belongs to a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ (E.2.13); therefore, there exists an $\omega \in \Omega_\omega$, such that $\omega(x) = \lambda$. Consequently, for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\|x\| = |\sigma(x)| = \sup \{|\omega(x)| ; \omega \in \Omega_\omega\} = \|\hat{x}\|.$$  

From the preceding results and, by taking into account the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, we infer the following

**Theorem (the Gelfand representation).** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative $C^*$-algebra. The mapping

$$x \mapsto \hat{x}$$  

establishes an isometric $*$-isomorphism of the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ onto the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{C}(\Omega_\omega)$.
Let $x$ be a normal element of an arbitrary $C^*$-algebra, e.g., a normal operator from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $\mathcal{A} = C^*\{x, 1\}$, then the mapping

$$\omega \mapsto \omega(x)$$

establishes a homeomorphism of $\Omega_\mathcal{A}$ onto $\sigma(x)$. Consequently, there exists an isometric $*$-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}(\sigma(x)) \ni f \mapsto f(x) \in \mathcal{C}^*(\{x, 1\}),$$

which is called the operational calculus for the normal element $x$. In particular, Theorem 2.6 also extends to normal operators (see E.2.15).

The notion of positive operator extends, with the same definition, to the notion of a positive element, whereas the results from 2.7—2.10 extend to arbitrary $C^*$-algebras, with the same proof. Also, the equivalence of statements (i), (ii), (iii) from Proposition 2.12 remains true, but, in order to prove this, the following remarks are necessary. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^*$-algebra and $\mathcal{A}^+ = \{x \in \mathcal{A}; x \geq 0\}$; a self-adjoint element $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $\|x\| \leq 1$, is positive iff $\|1 - x\| \leq 1$; this can easily be proven by using the Gelfand representation; with the help of this result one can easily prove that $\mathcal{A}^+$ is a closed convex cone and $\mathcal{A}^+ \cap (-\mathcal{A}^+) = \{0\}$. If $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x^*x \in (-\mathcal{A}^+)$, then $x = 0$. Indeed, let $x = h + ik$, where $h, k \in \mathcal{A}$ are self-adjoint. From the hypothesis $-xx^* \in \mathcal{A}^+$ and from E.2.1 it follows that $-xx^* \in \mathcal{A}^+$. Then one can immediately check that

$$x^*x = 2h^2 + 2k^2 + (-xx^*) \in \mathcal{A}^+,$$

hence $x^*x \in \mathcal{A}^+ \cap (-\mathcal{A}^+) = \{0\}$, and this implies that $x = 0$. With the help of these hints, the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) from 2.12 follows with a slight modification of the argument just used in the implication (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) from 2.12.

By using the Gelfand representation and the first remark from Section 3.12, one can show that any injective $*$-homomorphism of $C^*$-algebras is isometric.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abstract $C^*$-algebra. For any element $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \neq 0$, we have $-xx^* \notin \mathcal{A}^+$. From the Krein-Rutman theorem, there exists a positive form (see 5.1) $\varphi_x$ on $\mathcal{A}$, such that $\varphi_x(-xx^*) < 0$. With the help of the form $\varphi_x$ one gets, as in Section 5.18, a $*$-homomorphism $\pi_{\varphi_x} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_x})$, $\pi_{\varphi_x}(x) \neq 0$. By denoting

$$\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

the direct sum of the mappings $\pi_{\varphi_x}$, $x \in \mathcal{A}$, it follows that $\pi$ is an injective $*$-homomorphism of the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ into the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We can thus obtain the following:

**Theorem.** Any $C^*$-algebra is isometrically-$*$-isomorphic with a $C^*$-algebra of operators on a Hilbert space.

I. M. Gelfand and M. A. Naimark defined the notion of a $C^*$-algebra by the following axioms:

(I) $\mathcal{A}$ is $*$-algebra;

(II) $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach space, with the vector structure of (I);
(III) \( \|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \), for any \( x, y \in \mathcal{A} \);
(IV) \( \|x^*x\| = \|x^*\| \|x\| \), for any \( x \in \mathcal{A} \);
(V) \( \|x^*\| = \|x\| \), for any \( x \in \mathcal{A} \);
(VI) \( 1 + x^*x \) is invertible in \( \mathcal{A} \), for any \( x \in \mathcal{A} \).

With this definition they proved the preceding theorem and they made the conjecture that axiom (VI) and axiom (V) follow from the other axioms.

M. Fukamiya [2]* and J. L. Kelley and R. L. Vaught [1] proved that, indeed axiom (VI) follows from the other axioms, that is, they proved the equivalence of statements (i), (ii), (iii) from 2.12 for the abstract case, by using the arguments we have briefly mentioned above.

J. Glimm and R. V. Kadison [1] and T. Ono [3] proved that axiom (V) also follows from axioms (I)–(IV), if \( \mathcal{A} \) has the unit element; J. Vowden [1] proved the same for the general case, thus solving positively and completely the Gelfand-Naimark conjecture.

The conjunction of axioms (IV) and (V) is obviously equivalent to

\[ (IV') \|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2, \]

for any \( x \in \mathcal{A} \),

this being the axiom we have adopted here (2.2). H. Araki and G. A. Elliott [1] have shown that from axioms (I), (II) and (IV'), axiom (III) follows; they have also shown that axiom (III) follows from axioms (I), (II), (IV), by assuming that the *-operation is continuous (see also Z. Sebestyén [1], [2]).

For the theory of \( C^* \)-algebras the reader is also referred to the books of J. Dixmier [42] and M. A. Naimark [6], where he can find a detailed exposition of the arguments presented in this section (see also R.S. Doran and J. Wichmann [1]).

C.2.2. Many results from the theory of \( C^* \)-algebras extend in a natural, but not trivial manner, to more general Banach algebras with involution. The older results in this direction can be found in the classical books of M. A. Naimark [6] and C. Rickart [6]. An elegant exposition of the new results can be found in V. Pták [2] and F. Bonsall and J. Duncan [3].

C.2.3. To any normal operator \( x \) in a separable Hilbert space one can associate canonically a class of absolute continuity of finite Borel measures on \( \sigma(x) \), called the spectral type of \( x \), and a function, defined on \( \sigma(x) \) and taking values in \( \mathbb{R} \cup \{ \pm \infty \} \), measurable with respect to the spectral type, and called the spectral multiplicity function of \( x \). One can prove that two normal operators in separable Hilbert spaces are unitarily equivalent iff they have the same spectral type and the same spectral multiplicity function. The spectral type and the spectral multiplicity function allow the construction of a canonical form of the normal operator, called the spectral representation. This theory is presented, in detail, in the books of P. R. Halmos [1] and N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], Ch. X.

C.2.4. Bibliographical comments. There exist many treatises and monographs containing the spectral theory of bounded linear operators in Hilbert spaces. We mention especially the books by R. Fiesz and B. Sz.-Nagy [1], N. Dunford and

*) Fukamiya considered only the commutative case, but his arguments were general, as noticed by I. Kaplansky and recorded by J. A. Schatz in his review of the paper of M. Fukamiya [2].
J. Schwartz [1], Ch. VI. VII, IX, X, P. R. Halmos [5] and C. T. Ionescu-Tulcea [2]. In our exposition we used these sources, as well as a course by J. R. Ringrose [4]. See also C. Foiaş [1].

For the analytic operational calculus we refer the reader to N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], Ch. VII. The case of the finite dimensional Hilbert spaces is masterfully treated in the book by P. R. Halmos [2]. One was able to develop an analytic operational calculus of several commuting operators; this culminates with a deep theorem due to G. Shilov, R. Arens and A. Calderón (see N. Bourbaki [3]). New investigations in this direction have been initiated by J. L. Taylor [4], [5], [9].

Proposition 2.31 is known as the Fuglede-Putnam theorem, whereas the proof given here is due to M. Rosenblum [1] (see also C. R. Putnam [1]).

A systematic approach to the theory of unitary dilation can be found in the book by B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş [1]. The unitary dilation theorems are due to M. A. Naimark and B. Sz.-Nagy, whereas extensions of such theorems to $C^*$-algebras were made by W. F. Stinespring [1] and W. Arveson [7] (See also I. Suciu [1]).
Von Neumann algebras

In this chapter we present the density theorems of J. von Neumann and I. Kaplansky and we introduce the elementary operations on von Neumann algebras.

3.1. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. For any subsets $I \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ and $J \subset \mathcal{H}$ we write

$$I J = \{ x \xi; \ x \in I, \ \xi \in J \},$$

$[I J]$ = the closed vector subspace generated by $I J$.

The projection in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ which corresponds to the closed vector subspace $[I J]$ will be denoted by $[I J]$ too. If $J = \{ \xi \}$, $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we shall simply write

$$I \xi = x \xi,$$

$$[I \xi] = [I \xi].$$

For any subset $I \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ we shall denote by $I'$ the commutant of $I$:

$$I' = \{ x \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}); \ x' x = x x', \ for \ any \ x \in I \},$$

and by $I''$ the bicommutant of $I$:

$$I'' = (I')'.$$

by induction we can define the $(n + 1)$-th commutant of $I$ to be the commutant of the $n$-th commutant of $I$. It is now easy to see that, whereas the obvious inclusion $I \subset I''$

may be strict, for any $k \geq 1$ we have:

the $(2k - 1)$-th commutant of $I = I'$,

the $(2k)$-th commutant of $I = I''$.

For any subset $I \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$, $I'$ is an algebra which contains the identity operator $1 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$; moreover, it is easy to check that $I'$ is so-closed (equivalently, it is w-closed (1.4)). If $I = I^*$, then $I'$ is a von Neumann algebra (see 2.2). In particular, if $I$ is a von Neumann algebra, then the commutant $I''$ is a von Neumann algebra. The passage to the commutant is the first elementary operation on von Neumann algebras.
If $X \in B(H)$, $X = X^*$ and $e \in B(H)$ is a projection, then $e \in X'$ iff $xe = exe$ for any $x \in X$. In particular, if $X = X^*$, then $[X \xi] \in X'$ for any $\xi \in H$.

3.2. The fundamental result of the theory of von Neumann algebras is the following

Theorem (von Neumann's density theorem). Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(H)$, $1 \in \mathcal{A}$, be a $\ast$-algebra of operators. Then the so-closure of $\mathcal{A}$ coincides with the bicommutant of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{A}$ is so-dense in $\mathcal{A}''$. To this end, let us choose an element $x'' \in \mathcal{A}''$.

Let $\xi \in H$. Then $[A^* \xi] \in \mathcal{A}'$ and this implies, in particular, that the subspace $[\mathcal{A} \xi]$ is invariant for any operator from $\mathcal{A}''$. Since $1 \in \mathcal{A}$, it follows that $\xi \in [\mathcal{A} \xi]$ and, therefore,

$$x'' \xi \in [\mathcal{A} \xi].$$

Let now $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in H$. We introduce the notations (see 2.32):

$$\tilde{\xi} = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in H_n,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n = \{ \tilde{x}; x \in \mathcal{A} \} \subseteq B(H_n).$$

Then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n \subseteq B(H_n)$ is a $\ast$-algebra, $1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ and

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n)' = \{ (x_{ij}); x_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}', i, j = 1, \ldots, n \} = Mat_n(\mathcal{A}').$$

Indeed, the relation

$$0 = \tilde{x}(x_{ij}) - (x_{ij})\tilde{x} = (xx' - x'x), \text{ in } B(H_n)$$

is satisfied for any $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$, iff the relations

$$xx' = x'x, \text{ in } B(H), i, j = 1, \ldots, n$$

are satisfied for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Consequently, for any $(x_{ij}) \in (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n)'$, we have

$$\tilde{x}''(x_{ij}) - (x_{ij})\tilde{x}'' = (x''x' - x'x'') = 0,$$

i.e., $\tilde{x}'' \in (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n)''$.

According to the first part of the proof, it follows that

$$\tilde{x}'' \tilde{\xi} \in [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n \tilde{\xi}].$$

Hence, there exists a sequence $\{x_m\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, such that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \|x'' - x_m\xi_k\| = 0, \ k = 1, \ldots, n.$$

It follows that $x''$ is so-adherent to $\mathcal{A}$.

Q.E.D.
3.3. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $1 \in \mathcal{M}$, be a $*$-algebra of operators. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra;
(ii) $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}''$.

3.4. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following properties are equivalent

(i) $x \in \mathcal{M}$
(ii) $xe' = e'x$, for any projection $e' \in \mathcal{M}'$,
(iii) $u^*xu' = x$, for any unitary operator $u' \in \mathcal{M}'$.

Proof. By taking into account 2.23 (resp., 2.24), from property (ii) (resp., (iii)) we infer that $x \in \mathcal{M}''$ and, therefore, with Corollary 3.3, we have $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

Q.E.D.

3.5. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $l(x)$, $r(x) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. We recall (see 2.13) that $l(x)$ is the smallest projection $e \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that $ex = x$.

Let $u' \in \mathcal{M}'$ be a unitary operator and $e \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ a projection. Then $u^*xu' = x$ and, hence, $ex = x$ iff $(u^*eu')x = x$. Consequently, we have $u^*l(x)u' = l(x)$.

From Corollary 3.4, it follows that $l(x) \in \mathcal{M}$. One can similarly prove that $r(x) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Q.E.D.

3.6. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $x \in \mathcal{M}$. If $x = v | x |$ is the polar decomposition of $x$, then $| x |, v \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is closed in the norm topology, we have $| x | = (x^*x)^{1/2} \in \mathcal{M}$.

Let $u' \in \mathcal{M}'$ be a unitary operator. Then $u^*xu' = x$, $u^*xu' = | x |$, hence $x = (u^*vu') | x |$, and $u^*vu'$ is a partial isometry whose initial projection equals the support of $| x |$. From the uniqueness of the polar decomposition (see Theorem 2.14) it follows that $u^*vu' = v$. With Corollary 3.4, it follows that $v \in \mathcal{M}$.

Q.E.D.

3.7. We recall (see 2.17) that the set of all projections in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a complete lattice in a canonical manner.

Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra. We shall denote by $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ the set of all projections in $\mathcal{M}$. We shall consider on $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ the order relation which is induced by the order relation already defined in the set of all projections in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra. Then $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ is a complete lattice.

Proof. Let $\{ e_i \}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ and $e = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For any unitary operator $u' \in \mathcal{M}'$ we have:

$$u^*e_iu' = \bigvee_{i \in I} (u^*e_iu') = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i = e,$$

and hence, with Corollary 3.4, we have $e \in \mathcal{M}$. It follows that $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ is the l.u.b. of the family $\{ e_i \}_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$.

Q.E.D.
3.8. Let \( \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \). We shall write
\[
 p_\xi = [\mathcal{M}' \xi], \quad p_\xi' = [\mathcal{M} \xi].
\]

By taking into account the last remark from Section 3.1, and also Corollary 3.3, we get the following:

**Corollary.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \). Then
\[
 p_\xi \in \mathcal{M}, \quad p_\xi' \in \mathcal{M}'.
\]

The projections of the form \( p_\xi \) (resp., \( p_\xi' \), \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), are called the cyclic projections in \( \mathcal{M} \) (resp., \( \mathcal{M}' \)).

If \( \{\xi_n\} \) is a sequence of vectors in \( \mathcal{H} \), and if the projections \( p_{\xi_n} \) are mutually orthogonal then \( \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{\xi_n} \) is a cyclic projection in \( \mathcal{M} \). Indeed, we can assume that \( \|\xi_n\| \leq 2^{-n} \), and we then define \( \xi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n \in \mathcal{H} \). Since the projections \( p_{\xi_n} \) are mutually orthogonal, and \( p_{\xi_n} \xi_n = \xi_n \), we have \( p_{\xi_n} \xi = \xi_n \). Then we have
\[
 p_\xi = [\mathcal{M}' \xi] \geq [\mathcal{M}' p_{\xi_n} \xi] = [\mathcal{M}' \xi_n] = p_{\xi_n},
\]
and this implies that \( p_\xi \geq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{\xi_n} \); since the reversed inequality is obvious, the proof is complete.

A set of vectors \( \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \) is said to be totalizing for \( \mathcal{M} \) if \( [\mathcal{M} \mathcal{S}] = \mathcal{H} \); it is said to be separating for \( \mathcal{M} \), if
\[
 x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad x \xi = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad \xi \in \mathcal{S} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = 0.
\]

It is easy to prove that \( \mathcal{S} \) is totalizing (resp., separating) for \( \mathcal{M} \) iff \( \mathcal{S} \) is totalizing (resp., separating) for \( \mathcal{M}' \).

A vector \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \) is said to be cyclic (or totalizing) for \( \mathcal{M} \) (resp., separating for \( \mathcal{M} \)) iff the set \( \{\xi\} \) is totalizing (resp., separating) for \( \mathcal{M} \).

The vector \( \xi \in \mathcal{M} \) is cyclic (resp., separating) for \( \mathcal{M} \) iff \( p_\xi = 1 \) (resp., \( p_\xi = 1 \)).

3.9. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) its commutant.

Then
\[
 \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}'
\]
is the common center of the algebras \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{M}' \).

It is obvious that \( \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is a (commutative) von Neumann algebra. We shall denote by \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) the von Neumann algebra generated by \( \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{M}' \).

It is easy to check that
\[
 \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') = \mathcal{L}'.
\]

A von Neumann algebra is said to be a **factor** if its center is equal to the set of all scalar multiples of the unit operator.
A projection in $\mathcal{M}$ will be called a **central projection** if it belongs to the center of $\mathcal{M}$. A factor is characterized by the fact that its only central projections are 0 and 1.

**Corollary.** Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $x \in \mathcal{M}$. The set of all central projections $p$ such that $px = x$ has a smallest element, denoted by $z(x)$, which can be calculated by the formula:

$$z(x) = [\mathcal{M}x\mathcal{H}].$$

**Proof.** By taking into account Corollary 3.7 (applied to $\mathcal{D}$), we define $z(x)$ by the formula

$$z(x) = \land \{p \in \mathcal{P}_x; px = x\} \in \mathcal{P}_x.$$

If $p \in \mathcal{P}_x$ and $px = x$, then $p\mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{H}$. It follows that $z(x) \mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{H}$ and, therefore, $z(x)x = x$.

Let $p = [(\mathcal{M}x)\mathcal{H}]$. Since $[(\mathcal{M}x)\mathcal{H}]$ is a subspace invariant with respect to the operators in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$, it follows that $p \in \mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}$. Since $[(\mathcal{M}x)\mathcal{H}] = x\mathcal{H}$, it follows that $px = x$. Hence $p \supseteq z(x)$. On the other hand, it is obvious that $z(x)\mathcal{H}$ is invariant with respect to the operators in $\mathcal{M}$ and $z(x)\mathcal{H} \supseteq x\mathcal{H}$; hence, we have $z(x)\mathcal{H} \supseteq [(\mathcal{M}x)\mathcal{H}]$, i.e., $z(x) \supseteq p$. Consequently, $z(x) = [(\mathcal{M}x)\mathcal{H}]$.

Q.E.D.

The projection $z(x)$ will be called the **central support** of $x$. We shall consider, in particular, the central support of the projections in $\mathcal{M}$. Obviously, $z(x) = z(l(x)) = = z(r(x))$. It is easy to check that for any $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, we have $z(e) = \lor \{u^*eu; u \in \mathcal{M}, \text{ unitary}\}$.

**3.10.** A very important result in the theory of von Neumann algebras is contained in the following

**Theorem (I. Kaplansky's density theorem).** Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}$ a so-dense $\ast$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Then the unit ball (resp., the self-adjoint part of the unit ball, the positive part of the unit ball) of $\mathcal{A}$ is so-dense in the unit ball (resp., in the self-adjoint part of the unit ball, in the positive part of the unit ball) of $\mathcal{M}$.

**Proof.** For any subset $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we shall denote, for this proof, by $\mathcal{X}_1$ (resp., $\mathcal{X}^h$, resp., $\mathcal{X}^+$) the unit ball (resp., the self-adjoint, resp., the positive part) of $\mathcal{X}$. In accordance with Corollary 1.5, the statement is equivalent to the following assertions:

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \ (\text{resp. } \mathcal{A}^h, \text{resp. } \mathcal{A}^+) \text{ is wo-dense in } \mathcal{M}_1 \ (\text{resp. } \mathcal{M}^h, \text{resp. } \mathcal{M}^+).$$

In order to carry out the proof, we shall assume, without any loss of generality, that $\mathcal{A}$ is closed for the norm topology. Without any further comment, we shall use the theorem (2.6) on the operational calculus.
(I) The mapping
\[ \mathcal{M} \ni x \mapsto \frac{1}{2} (x + x^*) \in \mathcal{M}^h \]
is \(w_0\)-continuous and the image of \(\mathcal{A}\) by this mapping is \(\mathcal{A}^h\). Since \(\mathcal{A}\) is \(w_0\)-dense in \(\mathcal{M}\), it follows that \(\mathcal{A}^h\) is \(w_0\)-dense in \(\mathcal{M}^h\).

(II) Let now \(x \in \mathcal{M}_1^h\). The function
\[ [-1, 1] \ni t \mapsto 2t(1 + t^2)^{-1} \in [-1, 1] \]
is continuous, strictly increasing and onto; therefore, it has a continuous inverse. It follows that there exists an element \(y \in \mathcal{M}^h\), such that
\[ x = 2y(1 + y^2)^{-1}. \]
In accordance with (I) there exists a net \(\{b_i\} \subset \mathcal{A}^h\) which is \(s_0\)-convergent to \(y\). For any \(i\) we define
\[ a_i = 2b_i(1 + b_i^2)^{-1}. \]
Then \(\{a_i\} \subset \mathcal{A}_1^h\) and for any \(i\) we have:
\[ a_i - x = (1 + b_i^2)^{-1}(2b_i(1 + y^2) - (1 + b_i^2)2y)(1 + y^2)^{-1} = 2(1 + b_i^2)^{-1}(b_i - y)(1 + y^2)^{-1} + 2(1 + b_i^2)^{-1}b_i(y - b_i)y(1 + y^2)^{-1}, \]
and this equality obviously shows that the net \(\{a_i\}\) is \(s_0\)-convergent to \(x\).
Consequently, \(\mathcal{A}_1^h\) is \(s_0\)-dense in \(\mathcal{M}_1^h\).

(III) Let \(x \in \mathcal{M}_1^+\) and \(y = x^{1/2}\). In accordance with (II), there exists a net \(\{b_i\} \subset \mathcal{A}_1^h\), which is \(s_0\)-convergent to \(y\). We denote \(a_i = b_i^*b_i \in \mathcal{M}_1^+\). Then the net \(\{a_i\}\) is \(w_0\)-convergent to \(x\), as one can see from the following formula
\[ |(x - a_i) \xi | \eta | = |(y^*y - b_i^*b_i) \xi | \eta | \]
\[ = |(y^*y - b_i^*b_i) \xi | \eta | + |(y^* - b_i^*)b_i \xi | \eta | \]
\[ = |(y^*y - b_i^*b_i) \xi | \eta | + |b_i \xi | (y - b_i) \eta | \]
\[ \leq \|y - b_i\| \| \xi \| + \|b_i\| \| \xi \|, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}. \]
Consequently, \(\mathcal{A}_1^+\) is \(w_0\)-dense in \(\mathcal{M}_1^+\).

(IV) Let us now consider the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}_2^x\) and the *-algebra \(\text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_2^x)\), as well as the von Neumann algebra \(\text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2^x)\). It is easily verified that \(\text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{A})\) is \(s_0\)-dense in \(\text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{M})\).

Let \(x \in \mathcal{M}_1\). Then the element
\[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{M}) \]
is self-adjoint and its norm is less than 1. From (II) we infer that there exists a net
\[
\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^t & x_{12}^t \\ x_{21}^t & x_{22}^t \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq (\text{Mat}_2(A))_1^t,
\]
which is so-convergent to the element given by the preceding matrix. It follows that \(\|x_{21}^t\| \leq 1\), and the net \(\{x_{21}^t\}\) is so-convergent to \(x\). Consequently, \(A_1\) is so-dense in \(M_1\).

Q.E.D.

3.11. Corollary. Let \(M \subset B(H)\), \(M \ni 1\), be a \(*\)-algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent
(i) \(M\) is a von Neumann algebra,
(ii) \(M\) is w-closed,
(iii) \(M_1\) is w-compact,
where by \(M_1\) we here denoted the closed unit ball of \(M\).

Proof. If \(M\) is a von Neumann algebra, then \(M\) is w-closed, and, therefore, w-closed. If \(M\) is w-closed, then, from Theorem 1.10, we have \(M = (M_*)^*\), and this implies that \(M_1\) is w-compact, in accordance with Alaoglu's theorem.

We still have to prove the implication (iii) \(\Rightarrow\) (i); in other words, we must prove that \(M\) is w-closed if we know that \(M_1\) is w-closed (see 1.2 (iii) and 1.10). If \(x \in B(H)\) is a w-adherent point to \(M_1\), then, in accordance with Kaplansky's density theorem, there exists a net \(\{x_i\} \subseteq M, \|x_i\| \leq \|x\|\), which is wo-convergent to \(x\). Since \(M_1\) is wo-closed, it follows that \(x \in M\).

Q.E.D.

3.12. Let \(A_j \subset B(H_j), \ A_j \ni 1, j = 1, 2\), be two \(C^*\)-algebras of operators and let \(\pi : A_1 \to A_2\) be a \(*\)-homomorphism, such that \(\pi(1) = 1\). Then \(\|\pi\| = 1\).

Indeed, it is easily verified that for any element \(x_1 \in A_1\) we have \(\sigma(\pi(x_1^* x_1)) \subseteq \sigma(x_1^* x_1)\) and, therefore, by taking into account Lemma 2.5, we get:
\[
\|\pi(x_1)\|^2 = \|\pi(x_1^* x_1)\| = \|\pi(x_1^* x_1)\| \leq \|x_1^* x_1\| = \|x_1\|^2.
\]
Let \(M_j \subset B(H_j), j = 1, 2\), be two von Neumann algebras and let \(\pi : M_1 \to M_2\) be a w-continuous \(*\)-homomorphism, such that \(\pi(1) = 1\). Then \(\pi\) is w-continuous.

Indeed, it is sufficient to show that, for any w-continuous linear form \(\varphi_2\) on \(M_2\), the restriction of the linear form \(\varphi_2 \circ \pi\) to the unit ball of \(M_1\) is w-continuous. But this fact is obvious since the w-topology coincides with the \(w\)-topology on the unit ball of a von Neumann algebra, whereas \(\pi\) is \(w\)-continuous and \(\|\pi\| = 1\).

Corollary. Let \(\pi : M_1 \to M_2 \subset B(H_2)\) be a w-continuous \(*\)-homomorphism between two von Neumann algebras, such that \(\pi(1) = 1\). Then \(\pi(M_1) \subset B(H_2)\) is a von Neumann algebra.

Proof. In accordance with Corollary 3.11, it is sufficient to show that the closed unit ball of the \(*\)-algebra \(\pi(M_1)\) is w-compact.
Let \( x_2 \in \pi(\mathcal{M}_1) \), such that \( \|x_2\| < \alpha < 1 \). Then there exists an \( x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1 \), such that \( x_2 = \pi(x_1) \). Let \( x_1 = \nu |x_1| \), \( \nu, |x_1| \in \mathcal{M}_1 \), be the polar decomposition of \( x_1 \) (see Corollary 3.6), and let \( e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \), in accordance with Corollary 2.21, be such that:

\[
|x_1|e \geq \alpha e, \quad |x_1|(1 - e) \leq \alpha(1 - e).
\]

We have

\[
\pi(|x_1|)\pi(e) \geq \alpha \pi(e),
\]

whence

\[
\alpha\|\pi(e)\| \leq \|\pi(|x_1|)\| = \|\pi(\nu^* \nu |x_1|)\| \leq \|\pi(\nu |x_1|)\| = \|x_2\|,
\]

and, therefore,

\[
\|\pi(e)\| \leq (\|x_2\|/\alpha) < 1;
\]

since \( \pi(e) \) is a projection, we have

\[
\pi(e) = 0.
\]

It follows that \( \nu |x_1|(1 - e) \in \mathcal{M}_1 \), \( \|\nu |x_1|(1 - e)\| < 1 \) and

\[
x_2 = \pi(\nu |x_1|(1 - e)).
\]

Consequently, we have

\[
\{x_2 \in \pi(\mathcal{M}_1); \|x_2\| < 1\} = \pi(\{x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1; \|x_1\| < 1\}).
\]

Since the closed unit ball of \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) is \( w \)-compact, and since \( \pi \) is \( w \)-continuous, it follows that the closed unit ball of \( \pi(\mathcal{M}_1) \), i.e.

\[
\{x_2 \in \pi(\mathcal{M}_1); \|x_2\| \leq 1\} = \pi(\{x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1; \|x_1\| \leq 1\}),
\]

is \( w \)-compact.

Q.E.D.

3.13. Let \( x \in \mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \) and \( e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}_1 \mathfrak{H} \). We shall write

\[
x_\circ = \exp_{x, e} \in \mathcal{B}(e \mathfrak{H}),
\]

\[
\mathfrak{X}_\circ = \{x_\circ; x \in \mathfrak{X}\} \subset \mathcal{B}(e \mathfrak{H}).
\]

As another consequence of Kaplansky's density theorem we shall prove a theorem which will enable us to introduce other elementary operations on von Neumann algebras.

**Theorem.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \). Then we have that

(i) \( \mathcal{M}_e \subset \mathcal{B}(e \mathfrak{H}) \) and \( (\mathcal{M}'_e)_e \subset \mathcal{B}(e \mathfrak{H}) \) are von Neumann algebras,

(ii) \( (\mathcal{M}_e)' = (\mathcal{M}'_e)_e \).

**Proof.** The mapping

\[
\mathcal{M}_e \ni x \mapsto x_\circ e \in (\mathcal{M}'_e)_e \subset \mathcal{B}(e \mathfrak{H})
\]
is a \( \omega \)-continuous \(*\)-homomorphism, which is onto; from Section 3.12, we infer that \( (\mathcal{M}')_e \) is a von Neumann algebra.

It is obvious that \( \mathcal{M}_e \subset ((\mathcal{M}')_e)' \). Conversely, any element in \( ((\mathcal{M}')_e)' \) is of the form \( x_e \), where \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), \( x = exe \). For any \( x' \in \mathcal{M}' \) we have

\[
x_e x' = x' x_e \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),
\]

whence

\[
x x' = x' x \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),
\]

and this implies that \( x \in \mathcal{M}'' = \mathcal{M} \) (see 3.3).

Thus, we proved that \( \mathcal{M}_e = ((\mathcal{M}')_e)' \). In particular, \( \mathcal{M}_e \) is a von Neumann algebra. By passing to the commutant in this equality, we get

\[ (\mathcal{M}_e)' = (\mathcal{M}')_e, \]

because \( (\mathcal{M}')_e \) is a von Neumann algebra (see Corollary 3.3).

Q.E.D.

Henceforth we shall write

\[ \mathcal{M}_e = (\mathcal{M}_e)' = (\mathcal{M}')_e. \]

3.14. We now introduce other elementary operations on von Neumann algebras: the reduction and the induction.

Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \). The von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M}_e \) is called the reduced von Neumann algebra of \( \mathcal{M} \) with respect to \( e \).

It is easy to check that the mapping

\[ e \mathcal{M} e \ni x \mapsto x_e \in \mathcal{M}_e \]

is a \(*\)-isomorphism of \(*\)-algebras.

Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( e' \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \). The von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M}_{e'} \) is called the induced von Neumann algebra of \( \mathcal{M} \) with respect to \( e' \).

In the proof of Theorem 3.13, we observed and used the fact that the mapping

\[ \mathcal{M} \ni x \mapsto x_{e'} \in \mathcal{M}_{e'} \]

is a \( \omega \)-continuous \(*\)-homomorphism of von Neumann algebras. This \(*\)-homomorphism is called the canonical induction determined by \( e' \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \).

**Proposition.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( e' \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \). Then the canonical induction \( \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_{e'} \) is a \(*\)-isomorphism iff \( z(e') = 1 \).

**Proof.** We have \((1 - z(e'))_{e'} = 0\) and, therefore, if the canonical induction is a \(*\)-isomorphism, we have \( z(e') = 1 \).

Conversely, if \( z(e') = 1 \), then, in accordance with Corollary 3.9, we have \([ (\mathcal{M} e')_{\mathcal{H}} ] = \mathcal{H} \). If \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) and \( x_{e'} = 0 \), then \( xe' \mathcal{H} = 0 \) and, therefore, we have \( x[(\mathcal{M} e')_{\mathcal{H}}] = 0 \), hence \( x = 0 \). Consequently, the canonical induction is a \(*\)-isomorphism.

Q.E.D.
3.15. If \( p \) is a central projection in the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), then the mappings
\[
\mathcal{M}p \ni x \mapsto x_p \in \mathcal{M}_p,
\]
\[
\mathcal{M}'p \ni x' \mapsto x'_p \in \mathcal{M}'_p,
\]
establish the canonical identifications
\[
\mathcal{M}p = \mathcal{M}_p \subset \mathcal{B}(p\mathcal{H}),
\]
\[
\mathcal{M}'p = \mathcal{M}'_p \subset \mathcal{B}(p\mathcal{H}).
\]

**Corollary.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra with the center \( \mathcal{Z} \), and let \( e \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} \). Then the common center of the algebras \( \mathcal{M}_e, \mathcal{M}'_e \) is equal to \( \mathcal{Z}_e \).

**Proof.** If \( e \) is a central projection, the assertion is obvious. Consequently, we can assume, without any loss of generality, that \( z(e) = 1 \). Then, in accordance with Proposition 3.14, the canonical induction \( \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M}'_e \) is a *-isomorphism and, therefore, the center of \( \mathcal{M}'_e \) is the image of the center \( \mathcal{Z} \) of \( \mathcal{M}' \) by this *-isomorphism.

Q.E.D.

In particular, if \( \mathcal{M} \) is a factor, then \( \mathcal{M}_e \) and \( \mathcal{M}'_e \) are factors.

3.16. Before introducing a new elementary operation on von Neumann algebra, namely the tensor product, we prove some commutation relations for "matrices" of operators; such relations have already been considered in the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.10.

Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a Hilbert space, \( \gamma \) any cardinal number and \( I \) a set of indices, such that \( \text{card } I = \gamma \). We use the notations already introduced in Section 2.32.

**Lemma.** Let \( \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be any subset. Then for the sets \( \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\gamma} \), \( \text{Mat}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}) \) the following relations
\[
(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\gamma})' = \text{Mat}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{X}'), \quad (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\gamma})'' = (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}'')_{\gamma}.
\]
hold; if \( \mathcal{X} \ni 0, 1 \), then we also have the following relations
\[
(\text{Mat}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{X}))' = (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}'')_{\gamma}, \quad (\text{Mat}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{X}))'' = \text{Mat}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{X}'').
\]

**Proof.** The relation \( (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\gamma})' = \text{Mat}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{X}') \) can be proved in the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

We observe that, for any \( i_0, k_0 \in I \) we have
\[
u_{i_0} u_{k_0}^* = (\delta_{i_0 i}, \delta_{k_0 k}).
\]
Consequently, if \( \mathcal{X} \ni 0, 1 \), then all the operators \( u_i u_k^* \) belong to \( \text{Mat}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{X}) \) and, therefore, in accordance with a remark from Section 2.32, it follows that
\[
(\text{Mat}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{X}))' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\gamma}.
\]
On the other hand, we have \( \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \gamma \subset \text{Mat}_\gamma(\mathcal{X}) \), and, therefore,

\[
(\text{Mat}_\gamma(\mathcal{X}))' \subset (\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \gamma)' = \text{Mat}_\gamma(\mathcal{X}'),
\]

Consequently, we have

\[
(\text{Mat}_\gamma(\mathcal{X}))' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}), \cap \text{Mat}_\gamma(\mathcal{X}') = (\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \gamma)',
\]

Since the reversed inclusion is obvious, the relation \((\text{Mat}_\gamma(\mathcal{X}))' = (\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \gamma)'\), is proved. The other relations are immediate consequences of the already proved ones. Q.E.D.

3.17. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) \) be von Neumann algebras. Then:

\[
\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \overline{y}_k; \ x_k \in \mathcal{M}, \ y_k \in \mathcal{N}, \ n = 1, 2, \ldots \right\}
\]

is a \(*\)-algebra of operators on \( \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K} \). The von Neumann algebra generated in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \) by \( \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \) is denoted by

\[
\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}
\]

and it is called the tensor product of the von Neumann algebras \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{N} \).

We recall that for any Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) one denotes by \( \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) \) the set of all the scalar multiples of the identity operator on \( \mathcal{H} \); obviously, \( \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is a von Neumann algebra. It is easy to check that

\[
\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})' = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).
\]

In the following proposition we use the identifications we have agreed upon in Section 2.34.

Proposition. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \mathcal{K} \) a Hilbert space, \( \gamma = \dim \mathcal{H} \). Then we have

(i) \( \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{M} \gamma \),

(ii) \( \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) = \text{Mat}_\gamma(\mathcal{M}) \),

(iii) \( (\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}))' = \mathcal{M}' \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}), \quad (\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}))' = \mathcal{M}' \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}) \).

Proof. The relation \( \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{M} \gamma \) is an immediate consequence of Section 2.34, whereas from Lemma 3.16 it follows that \( (\mathcal{M} \gamma)'' = (\mathcal{M}'')_\gamma = \mathcal{M} \gamma \), and assertion (i) is proved.

For any von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), it is easy to prove that

\[
\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})) \cup \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N},
\]

whence we get

\[
(\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N})' = (\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}))' \cap (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N})'.
\]
In particular, we have

\[(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))' = (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}))' \cap (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))'\]

\[= (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}))' \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}),\]

where the two last equalities are easily checked by direct verification. We then have

\[(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}))' = (\mathcal{M}'' \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}))' = (\mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))'' = \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),\]

and this proves assertion (iii).

Finally, by taking into account properties (i), (iii) and Lemma 3.16, we get

\[\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) = (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}))' = (\mathcal{M}'')'\]

\[= (\text{Mat}_\gamma(\mathcal{M}))' = \text{Mat}_\gamma(\mathcal{M}'') = \text{Mat}_\gamma(\mathcal{M}),\]

and assertion (ii) is proved.

Q.E.D.

3.18. If \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) is a von Neumann algebra and \(\mathcal{H}\) a Hilbert space, then the mapping

\[\mathcal{M} \ni x \mapsto x \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})\]

is a \(*\)-isomorphism, called amplification. With the usual identifications, this isomorphism can also be written in the following form

\[\mathcal{M} \ni x \mapsto \tilde{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \quad \gamma = \dim \mathcal{H}.\]

With the notations from Section 2.32, let \(e_i = u_i u_i^*\) be the projection of \(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\) onto \(\mathcal{H}_i\). Then \(e_i \in \text{Mat}_\gamma(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\), for any \(i \in I\); it follows that

\[e_i \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad i \in I,\]

\[e_i \in \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) = (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}))', \quad i \in I.\]

We can, therefore, consider the reduced algebra

\[(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))_{e_i} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)\]

and the induced algebra

\[(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}))_{e_i} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i).\]

It is easily seen that the mapping

\[x \mapsto u_i x u_i^* |_{\mathcal{H}_i}\]

is a \(*\)-isomorphism of \(\mathcal{M}\) onto \((\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))_{e_i} = (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}))_{e_i}\).
Thus, the passage from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ (resp., to $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})$) and the passage from a von Neumann algebra to a reduced algebra (resp., to an induced algebra) are reciprocal operations (see also Section 4.22), whereas the $*$-isomorphism reciprocal to an amplification is an induction.

3.19. Since the closed unit ball of a von Neumann algebra is $w$-compact (see 3.11), it has extreme points, by virtue of the Krein-Milman theorem. The following lemma, which describes the nature of these extreme points, will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.16.

**Lemma.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and $v$ an extreme point of the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$. Then $v$ is a partial isometry.

**Proof.** We must show that $v^*v$ is a projection and, in order to achieve this, we must show that $\sigma(v^*v) = \{0, 1\}$. If this be not true, let $\lambda \in \sigma(v^*v), 0 < \lambda < \alpha < 1$, and $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $\epsilon(1 + \epsilon\alpha) < 1$. Let us define

$$a = \epsilon\mathcal{X}_{[0, \alpha]}(v^*v).$$

It is easily verified, by taking into account the operational calculus, that the following relations hold

$$\left\| (1 + a)v^*v (1 + a) \right\| \leq 1,$$

$$\left\| (1 - a)v^*v (1 - a) \right\| \leq 1.$$

Consequently, the elements

$$v_1 = v(1 + a), \quad v_2 = v(1 - a)$$

belong to the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$ and

$$v = \frac{1}{2} v_1 + \frac{1}{2} v_2.$$

Since $v$ is an extreme point, it follows that $v_1 = v_2 = v$, whence $va = 0$. Consequently, we have $v^*va = 0$, and, therefore, $v^*v\mathcal{X}_{[0, \alpha]}(v^*v) = 0$, a contradiction. Q.E.D.

3.20. In the last sections of this chapter, we consider some general properties of the ideals of the algebras of operators.

**Proposition.** Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a $C^*$-algebra and $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ a left ideal of $\mathfrak{A}$. Then there exists a net $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset \mathfrak{N}$, such that

(i) $0 \leq u_\alpha \leq 1$, \quad $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

(ii) $\alpha \leq \beta \Rightarrow u_\alpha \leq u_\beta$;

(iii) $\|x - xu_\alpha\| \to 0$, for any $x \in \mathfrak{N}$. 

Proof. We denote by $\Gamma$ the set of all pairs $(n, F)$, where $n$ is a natural integer and $F$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{N}$. Endowed with the order relation

$$(n, F) \leq (m, G) \iff n \leq m \text{ and } F \subseteq G,$$

$\Gamma$ becomes a directed set. For any $\alpha = (n, F) \in \Gamma$, we define

$$v_\alpha = \sum_{x \in F} x^* x \in \mathcal{N}, \quad u_\alpha = (n^{-1} + v_\alpha)^{-1} v_\alpha.$$ 

We observe that $u_\alpha = f_n(v_\alpha)$, where $f_n(t) = (n^{-1} + t)^{-1} t$. Since $0 \leq f_n(t) \leq 1$, for any $t \geq 0$, from Theorem 2.6, it follows that

$$0 \leq u_\alpha \leq 1.$$ 

If $\alpha = (n, F) \leq (m, G) = \beta$, then $v_\alpha \leq v_\beta$ and, therefore, with E.2.8, we have

$$(n^{-1} + v_\alpha)^{-1} \geq (n^{-1} + v_\beta)^{-1}.$$ 

Since $n^{-1}(n^{-1} + t)^{-1} \geq m^{-1}(m^{-1} + t)^{-1}$, for any $t \geq 0$, with Theorem 2.6, we infer that

$$n^{-1}(n^{-1} + v_\beta)^{-1} \geq m^{-1}(m^{-1} + v_\beta)^{-1}.$$ 

Consequently, we have

$$1 - n^{-1}(n^{-1} + v_\alpha)^{-1} \leq 1 - n^{-1}(n^{-1} + v_\beta)^{-1} \leq 1 - m^{-1}(m^{-1} + v_\beta)^{-1},$$

i.e.,

$$u_\alpha \leq u_\beta.$$ 

Finally, for any $\alpha = (n, F) \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\sum_{x \in F} [x(1 - u_\alpha)]^* [x(1 - u_\alpha)] = (1 - u_\alpha) v_\alpha (1 - u_\alpha)$$

$$= n^{-2}(n^{-1} + v_\alpha)^{-2} v_\alpha \leq 4^{-1} n^{-1},$$

because we have $(n^{-1} + t)^{-2} t \leq 4^{-1} n$, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We, therefore, have

$$[x(1 - u_\alpha)]^* [x(1 - u_\alpha)] \leq 4^{-1} n^{-1}, \quad x \in F,$$

whence

$$\|x(1 - u_\alpha)\|^2 \leq 4^{-1} n^{-1}, \quad x \in F.$$ 

It follows that

$$\|x - xu_\alpha\| \to 0, \text{ for any } x \in \mathcal{N}.$$ 

Q.E.D.

The net $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ is called an approximate unit for the left ideal $\mathfrak{N}$. We observe that property (iii) remains in force for any $x$ belonging to the norm closure $\mathfrak{N}$ of $\mathfrak{N}$. 
In the preceding proposition we did not assume the existence of a unit element in the \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), all inverses being considered in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). In particular, it follows that any two-sided ideal of \( \mathcal{A} \), which is also dense in \( \mathcal{A} \) for the norm topology, contains an approximate unit \( \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) for \( \mathcal{A} \); in this case, by replacing, in property (iii), the element \( x \) by \( x^* \), it follows that we also have
\[
\|x - u_nx\| \to 0, \text{ for any } x \in \mathcal{A}.
\]

**Corollary.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \mathfrak{N} \subset \mathcal{M} \) a left ideal. Then there exists a unique projection \( e \in \mathcal{M} \), such that
\[
\mathfrak{N}^e = \mathcal{M}e.
\]

Any approximate unit of \( \mathfrak{N} \) is so-convergent to \( e \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{u_n\} \) be an approximate unit of \( \mathfrak{N} \), in accordance with the preceding proposition, and let \( e = \sup u_n \in \mathfrak{N}^e \) (see 2.16). For any \( x \in \mathfrak{N} \) we have \( x - xu_n \to 0 \), hence \( x = xe \). Consequently, we have
\[
x = xe,
\]
for any \( x \in \mathfrak{N}^e \). In particular, we have \( e^2 = e \), i.e., \( e \) is a projection. We have \( Me = \mathfrak{N}^e \), since \( \mathfrak{N}^e \) is a left ideal, and also \( \mathfrak{N}^e \subset Me \), as we have already proved: it follows that
\[
\mathfrak{N}^e = Me.
\]
The uniqueness of the projection \( e \) is immediate.

**Q.E.D.**

We observe that the adjoint of a left ideal of \( \mathcal{M} \) is a right ideal. Consequently, the \( w \)-closed left (resp. right) ideals of \( \mathcal{M} \) are of the form \( Me \) (resp., \( e\mathcal{M} \)), where \( e \) is a projection in \( \mathcal{M} \). If \( \mathfrak{N} = Me \) is a \( w \)-closed two-sided ideal of \( \mathcal{M} \), then, for any unitary \( u \in \mathcal{M} \), we have
\[
Me = \mathfrak{N} = uN = (ueu^*),
\]
and this implies that \( e = ueu^* \). From Corollary 3.4 we infer that \( e \in \mathcal{M}' \), and, therefore, \( e \) is central. Consequently, the \( w \)-closed two-sided ideals of \( \mathcal{M} \) are of the form \( M_p \), where \( p \) is a central projection, and conversely.

**3.21.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( C^* \)-algebra. A subset \( \mathfrak{F} \subset \mathcal{A}^+ \) is said to be a face if it has the property
\[
a, b \in \mathfrak{F}, \quad c \in \mathcal{A}^+, \quad c \leq a + b \Rightarrow c \in \mathfrak{F}.
\]
It is easy to prove that if \( \mathfrak{F} \subset \mathcal{A}^+ \) is a face, then \( \mathfrak{F} + \mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R} + \mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{F} \).

For any face \( \mathfrak{F} \subset \mathcal{A}^+ \) one defines
\[
\mathfrak{R} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}; x^*x \in \mathfrak{F}\},
\]
\[
\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{N}^e = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^*x_j; \ x_j, y_j \in \mathfrak{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.
\]
Proposition. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a face. Then

(i) $\mathcal{N}$ is a left ideal and $\mathcal{M}$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$;
(ii) $\mathcal{M}^+ = \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is the linear hull of $\mathcal{M}^+$;
(iii) there exists an approximate unit of $\mathcal{M}$, which is contained in $\mathcal{M}^+$.

Proof. (i) Let $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We have

$$(x + y)^*(x + y) \leq 2(x^*x + y^*y) \in \mathcal{F}$$

and, therefore, we have $x + y \in \mathcal{N}$. We then have

$$(ax)^*(ax) = x^*a^*ax \leq \|a\|^2x^*x \in \mathcal{F},$$

and this implies that $ax \in \mathcal{N}$. Consequently, $\mathcal{N}$ is a left ideal of $\mathcal{A}$.

(ii) Let $a \in \mathcal{F}$. From Proposition 2.12, we infer that there exists an $x \in \mathcal{A}$, such that $a = x^*x$. Then we have $x \in \mathcal{N}$ and, therefore, $x^*x \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{M}^+$.

It is easy to prove the following polarization relation

$$y^*x = 4^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{3} i^k(x + i^k y)^*(x + i^k y), \quad x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$ 

If $a = \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j^* x_j \in \mathcal{M}^+$, $y_j, x_j \in \mathcal{N}$, then, by taking into account the polarization relation, we get

$$a = 4^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j + y_j)^* (x_j + y_j) - (x_j - y_j)^* (x_j - y_j)$$

$$\leq 4^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j + y_j)^* (x_j + y_j) \in \mathcal{F},$$

and, therefore, we have $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

We have thus shown that $\mathcal{M}^+ = \mathcal{F}$.

It is obvious that $\mathcal{M}$ contains the linear hull of $\mathcal{M}^+$, whereas the reversed inclusion easily follows from the polarization relation.

(iii) We shall use the notations introduced in the proof of Proposition 3.20. For any $\alpha = (a, F) \in \Gamma$, we have $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{M}^+$. Since $(n^{-1} + t)^{-1} t \leq nt$, for any $t \geq 0$, by taking into account Theorem 2.6, we get

$$u_\alpha = (n^{-1} + v_\alpha)^{-1} v_\alpha \leq nv_\alpha \in \mathcal{F},$$

and, since $\mathcal{M}^+$ is a face, we get

$$u_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^+.$$ 

Q.E.D.

A subalgebra $\mathcal{M}$ of the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, such that $\mathcal{M}^+$ is a face and $\mathcal{M}$ itself, is the linear hull of $\mathcal{M}^+$, is called a *facial subalgebra*. Any facial subalgebra is self-adjoint.
The following corollary characterizes the reduced algebras of a von Neumann algebra.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \) a w-closed subset. The following statements are equivalent:

1. \( \mathcal{M} \) is a facial subalgebra of \( \mathcal{M} \);
2. there exists a projection \( e \in \mathcal{M} \), such that \( \mathcal{M} = e\mathcal{M}e \).

**Proof.** We assume that \( \mathcal{M} \) is a facial subalgebra. Since \( \mathcal{M} \) is w-closed, the face \( \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{M}^+ \) is w-closed, and, therefore, the left ideal \( \mathcal{I} = \{ x \in \mathcal{M}; x^*x \in \mathcal{F} \} \) is w-closed. In accordance with Corollary 3.20, there exists a projection \( e \in \mathcal{M} \), such that \( \mathcal{I} = e\mathcal{M}e \). In accordance with the preceding proposition, we have

\[
\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^+ \mathcal{M} = (e\mathcal{M})^*(e\mathcal{M}) = e\mathcal{M}e.
\]

We have thus proved that (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii). The implication (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i) offers no difficulties.

Q.E.D.

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a two-sided ideal of the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \). If \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) and \( x = v|x| \) is the polar decomposition of \( x \) (see 3.6) then \( |x| = v^*x \in \mathcal{M} \), and \( x^* = |x|v^* \in \mathcal{M} \) (see 2.15). Consequently, any two-sided ideal of a von Neumann algebra is self-adjoint.

A face \( \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}^+ \) is said to be invariant if

\[
a \in \mathcal{F}, \quad u \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \text{unitary} \Rightarrow uau^* \in \mathcal{F}.
\]

By using the polar decomposition it is easy to show that a face \( \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}^+ \) is invariant iff

\[
x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad x^*x \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow xx^* \in \mathcal{F}.
\]

The following corollary characterizes the positive parts of the two-sided ideals of von Neumann algebras.

**Corollary 2.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra. Then mappings

\[
\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{M}^+,
\]

\( \mathcal{F} \mapsto \text{the linear hull of } \mathcal{F} \),

are reciprocal bijections between the set of all two-sided ideals \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \) and the set of all invariant faces \( \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}^+ \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \) be a two-sided ideal and \( b \in \mathcal{M}^+ \). If \( a \in \mathcal{M}^+ \) and \( a \leq b \), then, in accordance with exercise E.2.6, there exists an \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) such that \( a^{1/2} = xb^{1/2} \).

It follows that \( a = xb^* \in \mathcal{M}^+ \). We infer that \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) is a face, obviously invariant, since \( \mathcal{M} \) is a two-sided ideal.

The remaining assertions in the corollary are easily verified, by taking into account the preceding proposition.

Q.E.D.
Exercises

E.3.1. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra, \(\{x_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}\) and \(x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\). If we have

\[x_n^* \xi \to x^* \xi, \text{ weakly, and } x_n^* \xi \to x^* \xi, \text{ weakly,}\]

for any \(\xi\) belonging to a total subset of \(\mathcal{H}\), then \(x \in \mathcal{M}\).

Let \(\mathcal{H}\) be a separable Hilbert space and \(\{\xi_k\}\) an orthonormal basis of \(\mathcal{H}\). We define the operators \(x_n, x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) by the formulas

\[x_n^* \xi_k = \begin{cases} 2\xi_2 - 3\xi_3 & \text{for } k = 2, \\ -n\xi_2 + \frac{3}{2}n\xi_3 & \text{for } k = n, \\ 0 & \text{for } k \neq 2, n. \end{cases}\]

\[x\xi_k = \begin{cases} 2\xi_2 - 3\xi_3 & \text{for } k = 2, \\ 0 & \text{for } k \neq 2, \end{cases}\]

\[x^* \xi_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \xi_j & \text{for } k = 1, \\ \frac{1}{k} \xi_1 & \text{for } k \neq 1. \end{cases}\]

Show that \(x_n^* \xi_k \to x^* \xi_k\) for any \(k\), but, although we have \(x_n y = y x_n\) for any \(n\), we have \((x y^* \xi_1 | \xi_2) \neq (y^* x \xi_1 | \xi_2)\); it follows that \(x \notin \mathcal{M}(\{x_n\})\).

E.3.2. A von Neumann algebra \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) is a factor iff for any \(x, y \in \mathcal{M}\) there exists an \(a \in \mathcal{M}\), such that \(x a y \neq 0\).

E.3.3. Let \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) be a von Neumann algebra and \(\{e_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}\). Then we have

\[z(\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} z(e_i).\]

E.3.4. Let \(\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) be a \(*\)-algebra and \(x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) an invertible operator, such that the mapping \(a \mapsto x^{-1} ax\) be a \(*\)-automorphism of \(\mathcal{A}\). Then there exists a unitary \(u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\), such that \(x^{-1} ax = u^* au\), for any \(a \in \mathcal{A}\). If, moreover, \(\mathcal{A}\) is a von Neumann algebra and \(x \in \mathcal{A}\), then one can find such a \(u\), having the above properties and, moreover, belonging to \(\mathcal{A}\).

E.3.5. One says that a von Neumann algebra \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) is of countable type if any family of mutually orthogonal non-zero projections in \(\mathcal{M}\) is at most countable. Any von Neumann algebra in a separable Hilbert space is of countable type.
Show that the closed unit ball of a von Neumann algebra of countable type is so-metrizable, whereas the closed unit ball of a von Neumann algebra in a separable Hilbert space is wo-metrizable.

E.3.6. Let $A \subseteq M \subseteq N \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be von Neumann algebras, such that $A$ be included in the center of $N$.

For any $x \in N, \ x \notin M$, there exists a $p \in P_A, \ p \neq 0$, such that

$$q \in P_M, \ 0 \neq q \leq p \Rightarrow xq \notin M.$$

If $Mq \neq Nq$, for any $q \in P_N, \ q \neq 0$, then there exists a projection $e \in N$, such that $eq \notin M$, for any $q \in P_M, \ q \neq 0$.

E.3.7. A von Neumann algebra $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is of countable type iff there exists an $M$-separating orthonormal sequence in $\mathcal{H}$.

E.3.8. A commutative von Neumann algebra is of countable type iff it has a separating vector.

E.3.9. A vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is called a trace vector for the von Neumann algebra $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ if

$$(xy\xi | \xi) = (yx\xi | \xi), \ x, y \in M.$$

Obviously, if $M$ is commutative, then any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is a trace vector for $M$.

Let $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra which has a cyclic trace vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\xi$ is separating for $M$ and the mapping

$$M\xi \ni x\xi \mapsto x^*\xi \in M\xi$$

is isometric; hence, it extends in a unique manner to a conjugation $J$ on $\mathcal{H}$; i.e., an antilinear, involutive ($J^2 = 1$) and isometric mapping $J : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$.

Show that

$$J(x'\xi) = (x')^*\xi, \ x' \in M'.$$

(Hint: $x'\xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n^*\xi, \ x_n \in M$).

Infer from the preceding properties that the mapping

$$x \mapsto J x^* J$$

is a $\ast$-antiisomorphism of $M$ onto $M'$, which acts identically on the center of $M$.

E.3.10. A von Neumann algebra $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be maximal Abelian if it is commutative and maximal (with respect to the inclusion) with this property, in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The von Neumann algebra $M$ is maximal Abelian iff $M = M'$. Any commutative von Neumann algebra in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is included in a maximal Abelian von Neumann algebra in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Show that a commutative von Neumann algebra, of countable type, is maximal Abelian iff it has a cyclic vector.
E.3.11. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra with the center $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}'$. Show that for subsets $\{x_{ij}; 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and $\{x'_{ij}; 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$, the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) $\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik}x'_{kj} = 0$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

(ii) there exists a subset $\{z_{ij}; 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik}z_{kj} = 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{ik}x'_{kj} = x'_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$ 

(Hint: $(x_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$, $(x'_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$; (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $(x_{ij})(x'_{ij}) = 0$).

E.3.12. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a factor, $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ a linearly independent subset of $\mathcal{M}$, and $\{x'_1, \ldots, x'_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_kx'_k = 0 \Leftrightarrow x'_k = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$ 

Infer that the mapping

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \otimes x'_k \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_kx'_k$$

is a $\ast$-isomorphism of the $\ast$-algebra $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ onto the $\ast$-algebra generated in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$.

E.3.13. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra, $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ be such that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k\xi_k = 0$. Then there exists a subset $\{x_{ij}; 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_kx_{kj} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik}\xi_k = \xi_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$ 

In other words, $\mathcal{H}$ is a flat $\mathcal{M}$-module.

E.3.14. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$. Show that:

(i) if $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a $\ast$-subalgebra and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L})$, then $\mathcal{M}_e = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_e)$

(ii) if $\mathcal{L}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}')$, then $\mathcal{M}'_e = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}'_e)$.

E.3.15. Let $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $\mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ be von Neumann algebras and $e_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}_1}$, $e_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}_2}$. Then $e_1 \overline{\otimes} e_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2}$, and the following relations hold:

$$\otimes (\mathcal{M}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2)_{e_1 \overline{\otimes} e_2} = (\mathcal{M}_1)_{e_1} \otimes (\mathcal{M}_2)_{e_2},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2 = (\mathcal{M}_1)_{e_1} \otimes (\mathcal{M}_2)_{e_2}.$$
E.3.16. Let \( \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \), \( \mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2) \) be von Neumann algebras. Show that if \( \mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \), \( \mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2) \), then \( \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \), where we have denoted \( \mathcal{X} = \{ x_1 \otimes 1; \, x_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1 \} \cup \{ 1 \otimes x_2; \, x_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2 \} \).

E.3.17. State and prove an associativity property for the tensor product of von Neumann algebras.

E.3.18. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a C*-algebra, \( \mathcal{N} \) a left ideal of \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \{ u_a \}_{a \in I} \) an approximate unit for \( \mathcal{N} \).

Show that

\[
\mathcal{N} = \{ x \in \mathcal{A}; \, \| x - xu_a \| \to 0 \}.
\]

E.3.19. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a C*-algebra and \( \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \) a closed left ideal of \( \mathcal{A} \). With the help of an approximate unit of \( \mathcal{N} \), show that

\[
a \in \mathcal{N}^+, \, \lambda > 0 \Rightarrow a^\lambda \in \mathcal{N}^+.
\]

(Hint: it is sufficient to prove that \( a^{1/\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}^+ \)).

E.3.20. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a C*-algebra, \( a \in \mathcal{A}^+ \) and \( \mathcal{N}_a \) the closed left ideal of \( \mathcal{A} \) generated by \( a \) in \( \mathcal{A} \). Show that

(i) \( u_n = (n^{-1} + a)^{-1} \) is an approximate unit of \( \mathcal{N}_a \);

(ii) if \( x \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( x^*x \leq a \), then \( x \in \mathcal{N}_a \).

Comments

C.3.1. A \( \omega \)-closed subalgebra \( \mathcal{A} \) of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), which contains the identity operator (but which is not assumed to be self-adjoint) is said to be \textit{transitive} if \( 0 \) and \( \mathcal{H} \) are the only closed linear subspaces \( \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \), which are \( \mathcal{A} \)-invariant, i.e., such that

\[ x \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow x(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}. \]

Obviously, \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is transitive.

From the von Neumann density theorem (3.2) it easily follows that any self-adjoint transitive subalgebra \( \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) coincides with \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

If \( \mathcal{H} \) is finitely dimensional, then a classical theorem of Burnside (see H. Weyl [2]) states that any transitive subalgebra \( \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) coincides with \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

The problem of establishing whether this statement is, or is not, true in the general case, is still unresolved; nor is the weaker problem of the existence of an invariant closed non-trivial vector subspace, for any operator in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

The first problem is known as the “problem of the transitive algebras”, whereas the second is known as the “problem of the invariant subspaces”.

An important contribution towards the solution of this problem, which has a surprisingly simple proof, was obtained by V. I. Lomonosov [1]. We state it in the form given by H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal [1]:
Theorem. Any transitive subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which contains a non-zero compact operator, coincides with $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Corollary. Any operator $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which commutes with a non-zero compact operator, has a non-trivial closed invariant vector subspace.

The result contained in the preceding corollary was obtained independently by D. Voiculescu [3], who used methods completely different from those of V. I. Lomonosov.

A vector subspace $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is said to be para-closed if it is the range of an operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. C. Foiaş [2] has shown that any subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, whose only invariant para-closed vector subspaces are 0 and $\mathcal{H}$, coincides with $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$; this is another extension of the Burnside theorem.

Extensions to von Neumann algebras have been obtained by D. Voiculescu [1] and C. Peligrad [2].

For other information we refer the reader to H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal [1].

C.3.2. The essential fact in the proof of the density theorem of I. Kaplansky (3.10) is the so-continuity on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^k$ of the mapping $x \mapsto f(x)$, where $f(t) = 2t(1 + t^2)^{-1}$. The continuity in the so-topology of the functions of normal operators has been studied by I. Kaplansky [11] and, more recently, by R. V. Kadison [26]. We mention the following result of R. V. Kadison:

Theorem. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a subset such that:

$$\left(\overline{\Omega \setminus \Omega}\right) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$$

and let $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ be a complex function. Then the following properties are equivalent:

(i) the mapping $x \mapsto f(x)$ is so-continuous on the set of all normal operators, whose spectrum is contained in $\Omega$;

(ii) the function $f$ is continuous, bounded on bounded sets and such that $f(z)/z$ is bounded at infinity.

Therefore, the theorem is valid for open or closed sets $\Omega$. In particular, by taking $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ in the preceding theorem, it follows that any continuous and bounded function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ is so-continuous on the set of all self-adjoint operators, a result which extends the fact that was used in the proof of the density theorem of I. Kaplansky.

C.3.3. By using the density theorem of I. Kaplansky, R. V. Kadison [15] proved the following:

Theorem. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a wo-dense $C^*$-algebra. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and any finite dimensional vector subspace $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ there exists an $a \in \mathcal{A}$, such that

$$x \xi = a \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{K}.$$ 

Moreover, if $x$ is self-adjoint, then $a$ can be chosen so as to be self-adjoint too, whereas if $x$ is unitary and $\mathcal{A}$ contains the identity operator, then $a$ can be chosen to be unitary.

A $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be irreducible if the only closed vector subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$, which are invariant for all operators in $\mathcal{A}$, are 0 and $\mathcal{H}$; the
$C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be strictly-irreducible if the only invariant (not necessarily closed) vector subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ are 0 and $\mathcal{H}$. From the preceding theorem one can infer the following

**Corollary.** A $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is irreducible iff it is strictly irreducible.

For the proof of these results, with more precise formulations, we refer to the books of J. Dixmier [42] and S. Sakai [32].

M. Tomita [8] (see also K. Saitô [2]) has obtained extensions of these results. For an exposition of these extensions we refer to L. Zsidó [4].

A study of the strict irreducibility for the representations of the Banach algebras with involution has been carried out by B. A. Barnes [8].

**C.3.4.** Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra. For any set $X \subseteq \mathcal{M}^+$ we denote by $X^\circ$ (resp., $X_\delta$) the set of all elements of $\mathcal{M}^+$ which are suprema (resp., infima) of increasing sequences (resp., decreasing sequences) of elements in $X$; we also denote by $X^m$ (resp., $X_m$) the set of all elements of $\mathcal{M}^+$ which are suprema (resp., infima) of bounded increasingly (resp., decreasingly) directed subsets of $X$. Recently, G. K. Pedersen [5], [7], proved the following remarkable result:

**Theorem 1.** If $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra of countable type, and if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a $C^*$-algebra, which is wo-dense in $\mathcal{M}$, then

$$((\mathcal{A}_1^+)^\circ)^\circ = \mathcal{M}_1^+.$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is not assumed to be of countable type, then this theorem fails to be true even in the commutative case. Nevertheless, we have the following result, due to G. K. Pedersen (loc. cit.):

**Theorem 2.** If $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra and if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a wo-dense $C^*$-subalgebra, then

$$(((\mathcal{A}_1^+)^m)^m) = \mathcal{M}_1^+.$$

From these theorems one can get the older results of R. V. Kadison [13], [14], which we shall now state:

**Corollary.** Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a $C^*$-algebra. If $(\mathcal{M}_1^+)^m \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, or if $\mathcal{H}$ is separable and $(\mathcal{M}_1^+)^\circ \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra.

A proof of the results of G. K. Pedersen can be found in L. Zsidó [4]. The results of R. V. Kadison are also presented in Ş. Strătilă [1].

**C.3.5.** J. Dixmier and O. Maréchal [1] proved the following

**Theorem.** The set of all cyclic vectors of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $G_\delta$-set, which is either empty, or dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

We observe that for the proof of this result they also showed that any element $x$ in a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is the so-limit of a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of invertible elements in $\mathcal{M}$, such that $\|x_n\| \leq \|x\|$. We also mention the following result of M. Broise [5]:

**Theorem.** Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be von Neumann algebras, such that $\mathcal{N}$ is abelian and of countable type. If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ have the same cyclic vectors, then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$. 
C.3.6. Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)\) be a finite measure space. We shall now consider the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}_\mu = L^2(\mu)\) and for any \(f \in L^\infty(\mu)\) we shall denote by \(x_f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mu)\) the operator given by the multiplication by \(f\). Then

\[\mathcal{M}_\mu = \{x_f; f \in L^\infty(\mu)\} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\]

is a maximal abelian von Neumann algebra of countable type.

On the other hand, if \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) is a maximal abelian von Neumann algebra of countable type, then \(\mathcal{M}\) has a cyclic and separating vector (see E.3.10), which determines in a natural manner a measure \(\mu\) on the spectrum of \(\mathcal{M}\) (see C.2.1). It is easily shown that \(\mathcal{M}\) is \(*\)-isomorphic with \(\mathcal{M}_\mu\) and, therefore, \(\mathcal{M}\) and \(\mathcal{M}_\mu\) are spatially isomorphic (E.5.22).

Consequently, the maximal abelian von Neumann algebras of countable type can be described in a simple manner, modulo the spatial isomorphism. This description can be easily extended to arbitrary maximal abelian von Neumann algebras.

C.3.7. In this chapter we introduced the following elementary operations on von Neumann algebras: the passing to the commutant, the reduction, the induction and the tensor product; the amplification, which is a particular case of the latter.

Another important operation, which did not explicitly appear in our presentation is, of course, the direct sum: if \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) and \(\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})\) are von Neumann algebras, then

\[\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N} = \{x \oplus y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}); x \in \mathcal{M}, \ y \in \mathcal{N}\}\]

is a von Neumann algebra.

Another operation is the cross-product, which we shall describe in what follows.

Let \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})\) be a von Neumann algebra, \(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})\) the group of all \(*\)-automorphisms of \(\mathcal{M}\), \(G\) a locally compact group, \(dg\) its left Haar measure, and

\[G \ni g \mapsto \pi_g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})\]

a homomorphism of groups, such that for any \(x \in \mathcal{M}\), the mapping

\[G \ni g \mapsto \pi_g(x) \in \mathcal{M}\]

is continuous for the \(w_0\)-topology in \(\mathcal{M}\).

One considers the space \(\mathcal{K}(G; \mathcal{H})\) of all functions defined on \(G\) and taking values in \(\mathcal{H}\), which have compact supports and are continuous for the norm topology; we endow it with the scalar product

\[(f_1, f_2) = \int_G (f_1(g), f_2(g)) \, dg\]

and we denote by \(L^2(G; \mathcal{H})\) the Hilbert space obtained by completion.

For any \(x \in \mathcal{M}\) the operator \(t_x \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G; \mathcal{H}))\) is defined by the relations

\[(t_x(f))(g') = \pi^{-1}_g(x) (f(g')), \ f \in \mathcal{K}(G; \mathcal{H}), \ g' \in G,\]
whereas for any $g \in G$ one defines the (unitary) operator $u_g \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G; \mathcal{H}))$ by the relations:

$$(u_g(f))(g') = f(g^{-1}g'), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(G; \mathcal{H}), \quad g' \in G.$$ 

The von Neumann algebra generated in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G; \mathcal{H}))$ by the operators $t_x, x \in \mathcal{M}$, and $u_g, g \in G$, is called the cross-product of $\mathcal{M}$ by the action $\pi$ of $G$ and it is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$ or, simply, by $\mathcal{M} \times G$.

If $G$ is discrete, the preceding construction appears in the work of F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [1] in connection with the construction of different types of factors (see C.4.3), whereas systematic expositions of this construction appear in J. Dixmier [26] (Ch. I, §9.2), T. Turumaru [3], N. Suzuki [4], V. I. Golodets [22], etc.

In the general case, this construction first appears in the paper of S. Doplicher, D. Kastler and D. W. Robinson [1]; it is systematically studied by M. Takesaki [33]. If $G$ is a separable abelian locally compact group, which acts by $*$-automorphisms of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is assumed to be separable, then the group $\hat{G}$ of the characters of $G$ acts in a natural manner by $*$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{M} \times G$; M. Takesaki [33] has shown that the von Neumann algebra

$$(\mathcal{M} \times G) \times \hat{G}$$

is $*$-isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra

$$\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{M}$ is properly infinite, then the following isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{M} \times G) \times \hat{G} \cong \mathcal{M}$$

holds, thus yielding a duality theorem. For extensions to the non-abelian case we refer to Ş. Strătilă, D. Voiculescu, L. Zsidó [1], [2], [3], M. Landstad [1], [3] and Y. Nakagami [5], [6].

Another definition of a cross-product, which is better adapted to the construction of factors, has been given by W. Krieger [3].

Finally, let us mention the fact that one can define a notion of infinite tensor product for von Neumann algebras, for which we refer to J. von Neumann [12], D. Bures [1] and A. Guichardet [15].

C.3.8. The extreme points of the closed unit ball of a $C^*$-algebra have been thoroughly studied, the fundamental results being the following two theorems of R. V. Kadison [2], which we state in the improved version given by S. Sakai [32]:

**Theorem 1.** The closed unit ball of a $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ has extreme points iff $\mathcal{A}$ has the unit element. In this case the unit element is an extreme point.

**Theorem 2.** An element $x$ of the closed unit ball of a $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is extreme iff

$$(1 - xx^*) \mathcal{A}(1 - x^*x) = \{0\}.$$ 

Lemma 3.19 is an easy consequence of the last theorem.
R. V. Kadison used these results in order to study the isometries between algebras of operators, in generalizing the classical theorem of S. Banach and M. H. Stone (see N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], V.8.8).

We also mention the following strong result due to B. Russo and H. A. Dye [1]:

**Theorem 3.** In any $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with a unit element, the uniformly closed convex hull of the set \{u ∈ $\mathcal{A}$; u unitary\} coincides with the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{A}$.

For other related results we refer to: P. E. Miles [2], B. Yood [3], J. B. Conway and J. Szücs [1], F. Bonsall and J. Duan [3].

C.3.9. Bibliographical comments. Theorem 3.2 is due to J. von Neumann [2], whereas Theorem 3.10 to I. Kaplansky [10], [11]. Elementary operations on von Neumann algebras have been considered by many authors, among whom we mention: F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [1], J. von Neumann [12], J. Dixmier [15], I. E. Segal [9], Y. Misonou [4], M. Tomita [2], [4]. For a detailed exposition of the properties of ideals of algebra of operators, as well as for the corresponding references, we refer to Ş. Strâtilă [1].

The term “von Neumann algebra” was introduced by J. Dixmier [26]; F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann called these algebras “rings of operators”.

I. M. Gelfand and M. A. Naimark called the Banach algebras “normed rings”; the term “Banach algebra” was introduced by E. Hille. The terms “$C^*$-algebra” and “$W^*$-algebra” (see C.5.3) have been introduced by I. E. Segal. Sometimes, for $C^*$-algebras one uses the equivalent term “$B^*$-algebras”; this double terminology is related to the problems discussed at the end of Section C.2.1.

In writing this chapter, we used the books by J. Dixmier [26], [42], and also the course by D. M. Topping [8].
The geometry of projections and the classification of von Neumann algebras

In this chapter we study the relations existing between the lattice operations and the equivalence of projections and we also introduce the classification of von Neumann algebras according to types.

4.1. Let \( M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra. We shall denote by \( \mathcal{P}_M \) the set of the projections in \( M \). Then \( \mathcal{P}_M \) is a complete lattice (see Corollary 3.7).

Two projections \( e, f \in \mathcal{P}_M \) are said to be equivalent, and this relation is denoted by \( e \sim f \), if there exists a partial isometry \( u \in M \), such that \( e = u^*u \) and \( f = uu^* \); then \( ue = u = fu \). We say that \( e \) is dominated by \( f \), and we denote by \( e \prec f \) this relation, if \( e \) is equivalent to a subprojection of \( f \). The relation \( \sim \) is an equivalence relation in \( \mathcal{P}_M \), whereas the relation \( \prec \) is a preorder relation in \( \mathcal{P}_M \).

- If \( e \prec p \), \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), then there exists an \( \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), such that \( e = p \eta \).
- If \( e \sim f \), then \( z(e) = z(f) \); in particular, if \( e \sim 0 \), then \( e = 0 \).
- If \( e \sim f \) and \( p \in \mathcal{P}_M \), then \( ep \sim fp \).
- If \( e \sim f \) via the partial isometry \( u \), then the mapping
  \[ e.Me \ni x \mapsto uxu^* \in f.Mf \]
is an isomorphism of \(*\)-algebras. The image \( ugu^* \) of the projection \( g \in e.Me \) is a projection equivalent to \( g \).

If \( e = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i \), where \( e_i \) are mutually orthogonal projections, and if \( f \sim e \),
then there exists a family \( \{f_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_M \), where the \( f_i \) are mutually orthogonal projections, such that \( f = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i \) and \( f_i \sim e_i \), for any \( i \in I \).

4.2. Proposition. Let \( \{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{f_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_M \), where the \( e_i \) are mutually orthogonal projections, and the \( f_i \) are also mutually orthogonal projections. If \( e_i \sim f_i \), for any \( i \in I \), then \( \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i \sim \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i \).

Proof. Let \( u_i \in M \) be such that
\[ e_i = u_i^*u_i, \quad f_i = u_iu_i^* \]
i \( i \in I \),
and, for any finite subset \( J \subseteq I \), let
\[ u_J = \sum_{i \in J} u_i. \]
We then have a net \( \{u_j\}_J \) of operators, about which we shall prove that it is so-convergent. In order to do this, we have only to show that for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), the net \( \{u_j \xi\}_J \) is convergent (in accordance with the Banach-Steinhaus theorem). Since the vectors \( u_j \xi \) are mutually orthogonal, this is equivalent to the following condition

\[
\sum_{l \in J} \|u_l \xi\|^2 = \lim_{J} \sum_{l \in J} \|u_l \xi\|^2 < + \infty;
\]

indeed, we have

\[
\sum_{l \in J} \|u_l \xi\|^2 = \sum_{l \in J} (u_l^* u_l \xi \xi) = \sum_{l \in J} (e_l \xi \xi) = (\bigvee_{l \in J} e_l \xi \xi) < + \infty.
\]

Consequently, the operators

\[
u = \sum_{l \in I} u_l, \quad v = \sum_{l \in I} u_l^* \]

exist by so-convergence and they belong to \( \mathcal{M} \). From \( u = \sum_{l \in I} u_l \), it follows that \( u^* = \sum_{l \in I} u_l^* \) and, therefore, \( v = u^* \). From the equalities \( u_l^* u_k = \delta_{lk} e_l \), it follows that \( u^* u = \bigvee_{l \in I} e_l \), \( uu^* = \bigvee_{l \in I} f_l \).

**4.3. Theorem.** For any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) one has \( l(x) \sim r(x) \).

**Proof.** Let \( x = u |x| \) be the polar decomposition of \( x \) in \( \mathcal{M} \). Then the partial isometry \( u \) implements the equivalence \( l(x) \sim s(|x|) \). From \( n(|x|) = n(x) \) we also infer that \( s(|x|) = l(|x|) = l(x^*) = r(x) \).

**Q.E.D.**

**4.4. Corollary.** (The parallelogram rule). For any \( e, f \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{M} \) one has the relations

(i) \( e \vee f - f \sim e - e \wedge f \),

(ii) \( e - e \wedge (1 - f) \sim f - (1 - e) \wedge f \).

**Proof.** It follows from Theorem 4.3 and exercise E.2.3.

**Q.E.D.**

**4.5. Corollary.** Let \( e, f \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{M} \). The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( e \not\sim f \),

(ii) there exist \( e_1, f_1 \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{M} \), \( 0 \not\leq e_1 \leq e, 0 \not\leq f_1 \leq f \), such that \( e_1 \sim f_1 \),

(iii) \( z(e) z(f) \not= 0 \).

**Proof.** (i) \(\Rightarrow\) (ii): if \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) and \( exf \not= 0 \), then \( e_1 = l(exf) \) and \( f_1 = r(exf) \) satisfy condition (ii);

(ii) \(\Rightarrow\) (i): if \( e \not\geq e_1 = u^* u, f \geq f_1 = uu^* \), then we have \( eu^* f = u^* \not= 0 \);

(i) \(\Rightarrow\) (iii): if \( z(e) z(f) = 0 \), then \( exf = z(e) exf z(f) = 0 \), for any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \);

(iii) \(\Rightarrow\) (i): by taking into account Corollary 3.9, from \( e \mathcal{M} f = \{0\} \), it follows that \( cz(f) = 0 \), and therefore \( z(e) z(f) = 0 \).

**Q.E.D.**
4.6. Theorem (the comparison theorem). For any \( e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \) there exists a \( p \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{F} \), such that

\[
eg e p < f p, \quad c(1 - p) > f(1 - p).
\]

Proof. Let \((\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})\) be a maximal pair of families of mutually orthogonal projections, such that

\[ e_i \leq e, \ f_i \leq f, \ e_i \sim f_i, \ i \in I. \]

In accordance with Proposition 4.2, it follows that

\[ e_1 = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i \sim \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i = f_i. \]

If \( e_2 = e - e_1 \) and \( f_2 = f - f_1 \), then, due to the maximality of the chosen pair and to Corollary 4.5, it follows that

\[ z(e_2)z(f_2) = 0. \]

Let us define \( p = z(f_2) \). Then we have

\[
eg e p = e_1 p + e_2 p = e_1 p + e_2 z(e_2)z(f_2) = e_1 p \sim f_1 p \leq f p, \]

\[
eg f(1 - p) = f_1(1 - p) + f_2(1 - p) = f_1(1 - p) \sim e_1(1 - p) \leq e(1 - p). \]

Q.E.D.

4.7. Theorem (von Neumann's Schröder-Bernstein type theorem). Let \( e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \).

If \( e < f \) and \( f < e \), then \( e \sim f \).

Proof. Let \( w, v \in \mathcal{M} \) be such that

\[ w w^* = e, \quad w^* w \leq f, \quad v v^* = f, \quad v^* v \leq e. \]

For \( g \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, \ g \leq f \), we define

\[ \varphi(g) = f - w^*(e - v^* g v) w. \]

It is easy to see that \( \varphi \) is an increasing function on the complete lattice \( \{ g \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}; \ g \leq f \} \). Let \( \mathcal{X} = \{ g; \ g \leq \varphi(g) \} \) and \( h = \bigvee_{x \in \mathcal{X}} g \). If \( g \in \mathcal{X} \), then \( g \leq h \) and, therefore, \( g \leq \varphi(g) \leq \varphi(h) \); hence \( h \leq \varphi(h) \). Consequently, we have \( \varphi(h) \leq \varphi(\varphi(h)) \) and, therefore, \( \varphi(h) \in \mathcal{X} \); it follows that \( \varphi(h) \leq h \). Consequently, we have

\[ h = f - w^*(e - v^* h v) w. \]

The partial isometries \( h v \) and \( (e - v^* h v) w \) yield the equivalences

\[ h \sim v^* h v \text{ and } f - h \sim e - v^* h v. \]

Consequently, we have \( e \sim f \).

Q.E.D.
4.8. A projection $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ is said to be abelian if the reduced algebra $e\mathcal{M}e$ is commutative.

A projection $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ is said to be finite if

$$f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, \ f \leq e, \ f \sim e \Rightarrow f = e.$$  

One says that a projection $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ is properly infinite if

$$p \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, \ pe \text{ finite } \Rightarrow pe = 0.$$  

Any abelian projection is finite.
If $e$ is finite and $f \prec e$, then $f$ is finite.
If $e$ is abelian and $f \prec e$, then $f$ is abelian.

4.9. Proposition. Let $e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$. If $e$ is abelian and $f \leq e$, then $f = ez(f)$.

Proof. Since $e\mathcal{M}e$ is commutative, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$fx(e - f) = f(xef)(e - f) = f(x - f)(exe) = 0,$$

hence $f\mathcal{M}(e - f) = \{0\}$. In accordance with Corollary 4.5, it follows that

$$z(f)z(e - f) = 0.$$  

In particular, we have $f = ez(f)$.

Q.E.D.

4.10. Proposition. Let $e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ be abelian projections. If $z(e) \leq z(f)$, then $e \prec f$.

Proof. In accordance with Theorem 4.7, it is sufficient to prove only the first assertion. For this, in accordance with the comparison theorem (4.6), we can suppose that $f \leq e$. But then $f = ez(f)$, in accordance with Proposition 4.9. Therefore, we have

$$e = ez(e) = ez(f) = f.$$  

Q.E.D.

4.11. Proposition. Let $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ be such that it does not contain any non-zero abelian subprojection. Then there exist $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, such that

$$e = e_1 + e_2, \quad e_1e_2 = 0, \quad e_1 \sim e_2.$$  

Proof. Let $\{(e_{1,i})_{i \in I}, \ (e_{2,i})_{i \in I}\}$ be a maximal pair of families of mutually orthogonal projections, such that

$$e_{1,i} \leq e, \quad e_{2,i} \leq e, \quad e_{1,i}e_{2,i} = 0, \quad e_{1,i} \sim e_{2,i}, \quad i \in I.$$  

In accordance with Proposition 4.2, it follows that

$$e_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_{1,i} \sim \bigvee_{i \in I} e_{2,i} = e_2, \quad e_i e_2 = 0.$$  

If

$$h = e - e_1 - e_2 \neq 0,$$  

then...
then $h$ is not abelian; consequently, $h$ contains a non-zero subprojection $g$, which is not central in $h\mathcal{M}h$. It follows that
\[ g\mathcal{M}(h - g) \neq \{0\}. \]
In accordance with Corollary 4.5, this result contradicts the maximality of the chosen pair; consequently, $h = 0$, i.e.,
\[ e = e_1 + e_2. \]
Q.E.D.

4.12. Proposition. Let $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$. Then $e$ is properly infinite iff there exists a countable family $\{e_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ of mutually orthogonal projections, which are bounded from above by $e$, and such that
\[ e = \bigvee_n e_n, \]
\[ e_n \sim e, \quad \text{for any } n. \]

Corollary. A projection $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ is properly infinite, iff there exist $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, such that
\[ e = e_1 + e_2, \quad e_1e_2 = 0, \quad e_1 \sim e_2 \sim e. \]

Proof. We proceed by steps:
(I) If $e$ is not finite, then $e$ contains an infinite set of mutually orthogonal subprojections, which are equivalent and different from zero.

Indeed, let $e_1 \leq e$, $e_1 \neq e$, $e_1 \sim e$ and $f_1 = e - e_1$. There exist $e_2, f_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, such that
\[ e_1 = e_2 + f_2, \quad e_2f_2 = 0, \quad e_1 \sim e_2, \quad f_1 \sim f_2. \]
Then there exist $e_3, f_3 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, such that
\[ e_2 = e_3 + f_3, \quad e_3f_3 = 0, \quad e_2 \sim e_3, \quad f_2 \sim f_3. \]
Now we proceed by induction. The required set is
\[ \{f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots \}. \]

(II) If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an infinite family of mutually orthogonal, equivalent projections, $e = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i$ and if $f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, $fe = 0$, $f < e_i$, then there exist $\{h_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, such that the $h_i$ be mutually orthogonal and $e + f = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i$, $e_i \sim h_i$ for any $i \in I$.

Indeed, let $l_0 \in I$ and let $f_{l_0}, g_{l_0} \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ be such that
\[ e_{l_0} = f_{l_0} + g_{l_0}, \quad f_{l_0}g_{l_0} = 0, \quad f_{l_0} \sim f. \]
For any $i \in I$, there exist $f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, such that
\[ e_i = f_i + g_i, \quad f_ig_i = 0, \quad f_i \sim f_{l_0}, \quad g_i \sim g_{l_0}. \]
Let $\varphi$ be a bijection between the sets $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_i, f_i^*\}_{i \in I}$, and let

$$h_i = g_i + \varphi(g_i), \quad i \in I.$$ 

Now the assertion is obvious.

(III) If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a maximal infinite family of mutually orthogonal, equivalent projections, then there exist $p \in \mathcal{P}_x$, $p \neq 0$, and $\{g_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{P}_x$, where the $g_i$'s are mutually orthogonal, such that $p = \bigvee_{i \in I} g_i$ and $g_i \sim p e_i$, for any $i \in I$.

Indeed, let $e = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i$ and $l_0 \in I$. Since the relation $e_{l_0} < 1 - e$ is not possible (due to the maximality of the family), by applying the comparison theorem to $e_{l_0}$ and $1 - e$, it follows that there exists a $p \in \mathcal{P}_x$, $p \neq 0$, such that $p(1 - e) < p e_{l_0}$. But $p = p(1 - e) \vee (\bigvee_{i \in I} p e_i)$, and the assertion follows from (II).

(IV) If $1 \in \mathcal{M}$ is properly infinite, then 1 is the least upper bound of a countable family of equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections.

Indeed, with (I), there exists a maximal infinite family of equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections, whereas from (III) we infer that there exists a $p \in \mathcal{P}_x$, $p \neq 0$, which is the l.u.b. of a countable family of equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections.

Since $1 - p$ is also properly infinite, the proof proceeds by transfinite induction.

(V) If $e \in \mathcal{P}_x$ is properly infinite, then, in accordance with (IV), there exists a countable family $\{f_n\}$ of equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections, such that $\bigvee f_n = e$. Let us define

$$e_n = \bigvee \{ f_n; \text{ n divides m and } f_n e_k = 0 \text{ for } k < n \}.$$ 

Then $e = \bigvee e_n$ and $e_n \sim e$, for any $n$; the first part of the proposition is proved.

The other assertions follow immediately.

Q.E.D.

4.13. One says that a projection $e \in \mathcal{P}_x$ is of countable type if any family of mutually orthogonal non-zero projections, majorized by $e$, is at most countable.

Proposition. Let $e, f \in \mathcal{P}_x$, with $e$ of countable type and $f$ properly infinite. If $x(e) < x(f)$, then $e < f$.

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a maximal family of mutually orthogonal non-zero projections, such that

$$e_i \leq e, \quad e_i < f, \quad i \in I.$$ 

By virtue of Corollary 4.5 and of the maximality of the chosen family, we infer that

$$e = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i.$$
Since $e$ is of countable type, the set $I$ is at most countable. With Proposition 4.12, it follows that there exists a family $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ of mutually orthogonal projections, such that

$$f = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i, \quad f_i \sim f, \quad i \in I.$$  

It follows that $e_i < f_i$, $i \in I$, hence, $e < f$. \hspace{1cm} \text{Q.E.D.}

4.14. Lemma. Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of finite (resp., abelian) projections, whose central supports are mutually orthogonal. Then $e = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i$ is a finite (resp., abelian) projection.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_x$, $f \leq e$, $f \sim e$. Since $e - e_i \leq \bigvee_{n \neq i} z(e_i)$, it follows that $e_i = ez(e_i)$. Since $e_i$ is finite, it follows that $e_i = fz(e_i) \leq f$, $i \in I$.

Consequently, $e = f$.

For the case of abelian projections, the proof is similar. \hspace{1cm} \text{Q.E.D.}

4.15. Proposition. If $e, f \in \mathcal{P}_x$ are finite projections, then $e \vee f$ is a finite projection.

Proof. We can assume that $e \vee f = 1$. We shall also assume that $1$ is not finite and we shall get a contradiction. From Lemma 4.14, there exists a finite central projection $p \in \mathcal{P}_x$, such that $1 - p$ be properly infinite. Therefore, we can assume that $1$ is properly infinite. From Corollary 4.12, there exists a $g \in \mathcal{P}_x$, such that $g \sim 1 \sim 1 - g$.

From the parallelogram rule (4.4), we get

$$1 - e = e \vee f - e \sim f - e \wedge f \leq f,$$

hence $1 - e$ is a finite projection.

We now apply the comparison theorem (4.6) to the projections $g \wedge (1 - e)$ and $(1 - g) \wedge e$. It follows that we can consider that one of the following relations holds, without any loss of generality

\begin{alignat}{2}
(1) & \quad g \wedge (1 - e) & < (1 - g) \wedge e, \\
(2) & \quad (1 - g) \wedge e & < g \wedge (1 - e).
\end{alignat}

In the first case, by taking into account the parallelogram rule, we get

$$g = g \wedge (1 - e) + (g - g \wedge (1 - e)) < (1 - g) \wedge e + (g \vee (1 - e) - (1 - e)) \leq e,$$
and this contradicts the finiteness of $e$. In the second case, a similar argument leads to $1 - g < 1 - e$, thus contradicting the finiteness of $1 - e$. The proposition is proved.

4.16. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be finite if 1 is a finite projection.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be semifinite if any non-zero central projection contains a non-zero finite projection.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be of type $I$ if any non-zero central projection contains a non-zero abelian projection.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be of type $II$ if it is semifinite and it does not contain any non-zero abelian projection.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be of type $III$ if it does not contain any non-zero finite projection.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be of type $I_{\text{fin}}$ if it is finite and of type $I$.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be of type $I_{\infty}$ if it is not finite and it is of type $I$.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be of type $II_{\text{i}}$ if it is finite and of type $II$.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be of type $II_{\infty}$ if it is not finite, but it is of type $II$.

Other terms used in this connection are introduced by the following definitions:

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be discrete if it is of type $I$.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be continuous if it is of type $II$ or $III$.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be properly infinite if 1 is a properly infinite projection.

$\mathcal{M}$ is said to be purely infinite if it is of type $III$.

4.17. Theorem (of classification). Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra.

Then there exist unique projections $p_i \in \mathcal{P}_F$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^{5} p_i = 1$ and

- $\mathcal{M}p_1$ is of type $I_{\text{fin}}$,
- $\mathcal{M}p_2$ is of type $I_{\infty}$,
- $\mathcal{M}p_3$ is of type $II_{\text{i}}$,
- $\mathcal{M}p_4$ is of type $II_{\infty}$,
- $\mathcal{M}p_5$ is of type $III$.

Proof. The theorem follows from the superposition of the following three decompositions:

(i) There exist unique projections $p_0, q_0 \in \mathcal{P}_F$, such that $p_0q_0 = 0$, $p_0 + q_0 = 1$, $\mathcal{M}p_0$ is semifinite and $\mathcal{M}q_0$ is purely infinite.

Indeed, let us define $p_0 = \vee \{p \in \mathcal{P}_F; \mathcal{M}p$ is semifinite}$ and $q_0 = 1 - p_0$.

(ii) There exist unique $p_0, q_0 \in \mathcal{P}_F$, such that $p_0q_0 = 0$, $p_0 + q_0 = 1$, $\mathcal{M}p_0$ is finite and $\mathcal{M}q_0$ is properly infinite.

Indeed, let us define $p_0 = \vee \{p \in \mathcal{P}_F; p$ is finite}$ and $q_0 = 1 - p_0$. The fact that $p_0$ is finite follows from Lemma 4.14.

(iii) There exist unique $p_0, q_0 \in \mathcal{P}_F$, such that $p_0q_0 = 0$, $p_0 + q_0 = 1$, $\mathcal{M}p_0$ is discrete and $\mathcal{M}q_0$ is continuous.
Indeed, let us define \( p_0 = \vee \{ p \in P_\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{M}p \text{ is discrete} \} \) and \( q_0 = 1 - p_0 \).

Q.E.D.

4.18. Corollary. A factor \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is of one and only one of the types \( I_{\text{fin}}, I_{\infty}, II_1, II_{\infty}, III \).

4.19. Proposition. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra. Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is discrete (resp., semifinite) iff \( \mathcal{M} \) contains an abelian (resp., finite) projection, whose central support is equal to 1.

Proof. Let \( \{ e_i \}_{i \in I} \) be a maximal family of abelian (resp., finite) non-zero projections, whose central supports be mutually orthogonal. With Lemma 4.14, we infer that the projection \( e = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i \) is abelian (resp., finite), whereas from the maximality of the chosen family we infer that \( z(e) = 1 \).

Q.E.D.

4.20. Corollary. \( \mathcal{M} \) is discrete (resp., semifinite) iff any non-zero projection in \( \mathcal{M} \) contains an abelian (resp., finite) non-zero projection.

Proof. Assuming that \( \mathcal{M} \) is discrete (resp., semifinite), let \( e \in P_\mathcal{M} \) be an abelian (resp., finite) projection, such that \( z(e) = 1 \) and let \( f \in P_\mathcal{M}, f \neq 0 \). With the comparison theorem we infer that there exists a \( p \in P_\mathcal{H} \), such that \( ep \leq fp \) and \( e(1 - p) \geq f(1 - p) \). It follows that \( f(1 - p) \) is abelian (resp., finite), whereas \( fp \) contains an abelian (resp., finite) projection, which is equivalent to \( ep \). If \( p \neq 0 \), then \( ep \neq 0 \), since \( z(e) = 1 \).

Q.E.D.

4.21. Table about the classification of von Neumann algebras

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type I</th>
<th>Type II</th>
<th>Type III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I_{\text{fin}}</td>
<td>Type I_{\infty}</td>
<td>Type II_{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discrete</td>
<td>discrete</td>
<td>continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semifinite</td>
<td>semifinite</td>
<td>semifinite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>finite</td>
<td>properly infinite</td>
<td>finite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>properly infinite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.22. Two von Neumann algebras \( \mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1), \mathcal{M}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2) \) are said to be spatially isomorphic if there exists a unitary operator \( u : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \) (i.e. \( u \) is isometric and onto), such that \( u\mathcal{M}_1u^{-1} = \mathcal{M}_2 \). In this case \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) are \( * \)-isomorphic.

The following theorem provides a link between the geometry of projections and the tensor product (see also Section 3.18).

Theorem. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \{ e_i \}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{M} \) a family of equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections, such that \( \sum_{i \in I} e_i = 1 \). We denote by \( \mathcal{H}_1 \) a Hilbert space whose dimension is equal to \( \text{card} (I) \). Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is spatially isomorphic to \( \mathcal{M}_i \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \), for any \( i \in I \).
Proof. Let us fix an index \( i_0 \in I \). For any \( i \in I \), let \( v_i \in \mathcal{M} \) be a partial isometry, such that
\[
v_i^* v_i = e_{i_0}, \quad v_i v_i^* = e_i.
\]
Let then \( \{ \eta_i \}_{i \in I} \) be an orthonormal basis in \( \mathcal{H}_I \). We define the linear operator
\[
u : \mathcal{H} \ni \xi \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} v_i^* (\xi) \otimes \eta_i \in e_i(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_I.
\]
It is easy to see that it is a unitary operator.

Let \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), \( \xi \in e_i(\mathcal{H}) \) and \( i \in I \). We then have
\[
uxu^{-1}(\xi \otimes \eta_i) = u xv_i(\xi) = \sum_{k \in I} v_k^* xv_i(\xi) \otimes \eta_k.
\]
If we denote by \( w_{k,i} \) the partial isometry in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_I) \), such that \( w_{k,i}^* w_{k,i} = [\mathcal{C} \eta_i] \), whereas \( w_{k,i} w_{k,i}^* = [\mathcal{C} \eta_i] \), we have
\[
uxu^{-1} = \sum_{i, k \in I} (v_k^* xv_i) \otimes w_{k,i}.
\]
Hence we immediately infer that
\[
u \mathcal{M} u^{-1} = \mathcal{M} e_{i_0} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_I)
\]
and it is easy to see that
\[
u \mathcal{M}' u^{-1} = (\mathcal{M} e_{i_0})' \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_I) \subset \mathcal{M} e_{i_0} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_I)' \subset (\mathcal{M} e_{i_0} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_I))'.
\]
By taking into account Corollary 3.3, we deduce that
\[
u \mathcal{M} u^{-1} = \mathcal{M} e_{i_0} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_I).
\]
Q.E.D.

4.23. In this final section we exhibit the relations existing between the two-sided ideals of a von Neumann algebra and the ideals of its center.

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra, whose center is \( \mathcal{Z} \).

Lemma 1. For any ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) of \( \mathcal{Z} \) we have \( (\mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{I} \).

Proof. Let \( a \in (\mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{Z} \), \( a \geq 0 \). With the help of the polar decomposition (see 3.6), we can write
\[
a = \sum_{j=1}^a x_j y_j, \quad x_j = x_j^* \in \mathcal{M}, \quad 0 \leq y_j \in \mathcal{I}.
\]
Then \( 0 \leq a \leq \sum_{j=1}^a \| x_j \| y_j \). With the help of exercise E.2.6, we find an element \( z \in \mathcal{Z} \), such that \( a = z \sum_{j=1}^a \| x_j \| y_j \). Hence \( a \in \mathcal{I} \).
From the foregoing result and with the help of the polar decomposition we get the inclusion $(\mathcal{M} \mathcal{J}) \cap \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. Since the reversed inclusion is obvious, the lemma is proved.

Lemma 2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be two-sided ideals in $\mathcal{M}$. Then we have

$$(\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}) \cap \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{L}.$$ 

Proof. Let $a \in (\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}) \cap \mathcal{L}$, $a \geq 0$, and let $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $y = x^*$, $y \in \mathcal{N}$, $y = y^*$ be such that

$$a = x + y.$$ 

Since $a \in \mathcal{L}$, it follows that $x$ and $y$ commute. Let $e = s((2x - a)^+)$ (see 2.10, 2.15); it follows that $e \in \mathcal{M}$ and

$$0 \leq ae \leq 2xe, \quad 0 \leq a(1 - e) \leq 2y(1 - e).$$ 

From exercise E.2.6, we infer that there exist $s, t \in \mathcal{M}$, such that

$$ae = sxe, \quad a(1 - e) = t(y(1 - e),$$ 

hence $ae \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a(1 - e) \in \mathcal{N}$.

With the comparison theorem (4.6) we infer that there exists a projection $p \in \mathcal{L}$, such that

$$ep < (1 - e)p, \quad (1 - e)(1 - p) < e(1 - p).$$ 

Consequently, there exist two partial isometries $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$, such that

$$u^*u = ep, \quad vu^* \leq (1 - e)p \quad \text{and} \quad v^*v = (1 - e)(1 - p), \quad vvu^* \leq e(1 - p).$$

Hence we infer that

$$u^*(1 - e)pu = ep, \quad v*e(1 - p)v = (1 - e)(1 - p).$$

Thus, by taking into account the fact that $a \in \mathcal{L}$, from what we have already proved we infer that

$$u^*a(1 - e)pu = aep \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N},$$

$$v^*ae(1 - p)v = a(1 - e)(1 - p) \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}.$$ 

Consequently, we have

$$ap = a(1 - e)p + aep \in \mathcal{N}$$

$$a(1 - p) = a(1 - e)(1 - p) + ae(1 - p) \in \mathcal{M}$$

and

$$a = a(1 - p) + ap \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{L}.$$ 

We have thus proved the inclusion $(\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}) \cap \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{L}$. The reversed inclusion is obvious.

Q.E.D.
Theorem. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \mathcal{Z} \) its center. For any ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) of \( \mathcal{Z} \), the set of all two-sided ideals \( \mathcal{M} \) of \( \mathcal{M} \), such that \( \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{I} \) has a smallest element, denoted by \( \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{I}) \), and a greatest element, denoted by \( \mathcal{M}_{\infty}(\mathcal{I}) \).

Proof. With Lemma 1, the ideal \( \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{I})=\mathcal{M}\mathcal{I} \) obviously is the smallest element of the considered set. This set is inductively ordered by inclusion and, therefore, it has a maximal element \( \mathcal{M}_{\infty}(\mathcal{I}) \). From Lemma 2 it follows that the considered set is increasingly directed and, therefore, \( \mathcal{M}_{\infty}(\mathcal{I}) \) is its greatest element.

Q.E.D.

From the preceding theorem one can easily infer the following

Corollary. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra with the center \( \mathcal{Z} \). Then the mapping

\[
\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{I}
\]

is a bijection between the set of all maximal two-sided ideals \( \mathcal{M} \) of \( \mathcal{M} \) and the set of all maximal ideals \( \mathcal{I} \) of \( \mathcal{Z} \).

Exercises

In the exercises in which the symbols \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{Z} \) are not otherwise explained, they will denote a von Neumann algebra and its center.

E.4.1. Let \( e,f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \). Then

\[
e < f \iff \text{there exists a } g \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, \text{ such that } e \leq g \sim f.
\]

E.4.2. Let \( e,f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \). Then there exists a \( p \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{Z} \), such that

\[
op \leq fp,
\]

\[
\]

E.4.3. Let \( e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, e_1e_2 = f_1f_2 = 0 \). If

\[
e_1 + e_2 = f_1 + f_2,
\]

\[
e_1 \sim e_2, f_1 \sim f_2,
\]

then \( e_1 \sim f_1 \).

E.4.4. Let \( e,f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, a = e + f - 1 \) and \( s = 1 - 2s(a^-) \). Then \( s \) is a symmetry and \( ses = fs \).

E.4.5. Let \( e,f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, e \land (1 - f) = (1 - e) \land f = 0 \). Then there exists a symmetry \( s \in \mathcal{M}, \) such that \( ses = f \).

E.4.6. For any \( e,f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \) there exists a symmetry \( s \in \mathcal{M}, \) such that \( s(e \lor f - e)s = f - e \land f \). In particular, the parallelogram rule now easily follows (see 4.4).

E.4.7. For any pair of equivalent projections \( e,f \in \mathcal{M}, \) there exist projections \( e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, e_1e_2 = f_1f_2 = 0 \) and unitary elements \( u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{M}, \) such that

\[
e = e_1 + e_2, \quad f = f_1 + f_2,
\]

\[
u_1^*e_1u_1 = f_1, \quad u_2^*e_2u_2 = f_2.
\]
E.4.8. Let $e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, with $e$ finite, $f$ properly infinite. Then
\[ e < f \iff z(e) < z(f). \]

E.4.9. Let $e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ be equivalent finite projections. Then
\[ 1 - e \sim 1 - f. \]

Consequently, there exists a unitary element $u \in \mathcal{M}$, such that $u^* eu = f$.

E.4.10. Let $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ be a continuous projection (i.e., the reduced algebra $\mathcal{M}_e$ is continuous). For any natural number $n$ there exists a finite set $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ of equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections, such that $e = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$.

E.4.11. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a (properly) infinite factor and $e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$. Then
\[ e \vee f \sim 1 \iff e \sim 1 \text{ or } f \sim 1. \]

E.4.12. A projection $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ is said to be minimal relatively to the central support if $e \neq 0$ and
\[ f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, \ f \leq e, \ z(f) = z(e) \Rightarrow f = e. \]

Show that a non-zero projection is minimal relatively to the central support iff it is abelian.

A factor is of type I iff it contains a minimal projection and, in this case, it is *-isomorphic to a $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the dimension of $\mathcal{H}$ being uniquely determined.

E.4.13. Any finitely dimensional von Neumann algebra is of type I$_{\text{fin}}$. Infer from this result that if $\mathcal{M}$ is continuous and $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, \ e \neq 0$, then $\dim (e\mathcal{H}) = +\infty$.

E.4.14. One says that $\mathcal{M}$ is homogeneous of type I$_\gamma$ (resp., uniform of type S$_\gamma$), where $\gamma$ is any cardinal, if there exists a family $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ of abelian (resp., finite) equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{M}$, such that $\sum_{i \in I} e_i = 1$, and $\text{card } I = \gamma$.

In this case, $\mathcal{M}$ is of type I (resp., semifinite).

Show that for any von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ of type I (resp., semifinite and properly infinite) there exists a family $\Gamma$ of distinct cardinals (resp., distinct infinite cardinals) and a family $\{p_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of mutually orthogonal central projections, such that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} p_\gamma = 1$, and, moreover, $\mathcal{M}p_\gamma$ be homogeneous of type I$_\gamma$ (resp., uniform of type S$_\gamma$).

E.4.15. If $n$ is a natural number, and $\mathcal{M}$ is homogeneous of type I$_n$, then any family of non-zero, equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{M}$ has at most $n$ elements. In particular, if $m, n$ are natural numbers, whereas $\mathcal{M}$ is homogeneous of type I$_m$ and of type I$_n$, then $m = n$ (see also Section 8.4).

E.4.16. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, which is homogeneous, of type I$_\gamma$. Show that there exists a commutative von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{G}$, which is *-isomorphic
to the center of $\mathcal{M}$, and a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, such that $\mathcal{M}$ be spatially isomorphic

to $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

In particular, any factor of type I is $*$-isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is
a suitable Hilbert space.

**E.4.17.** Let $e, f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, with abelian $f_1, \ldots, f_n$. If $e \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k$, then there exist
mutually orthogonal, abelian $e_1, \ldots, e_m \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, such that $e = \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_j$.

**E.4.18.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra of type I (resp., of type II; resp.,
of type III), and $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_e$ is of type I (resp., of type II; resp., of type III).

**E.4.19.** Show that any factor of type II is spatially isomorphic to the tensor product of a factor of type II, by a $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

**E.4.20.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space. Show
that the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is finite if $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < +\infty$, and properly
infinite, if $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = +\infty$.

**E.4.21.** Let $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ be such that

$$p \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{K}, \ ep \text{ abelian } \Rightarrow ep = 0.$$ 

Show that there exist $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, $e_1 e_2 = 0$, such that

$$e = e_1 + e_2$$

$$z(e_1) = z(e_2) = z(e).$$

**E.4.22.** Show that any abelian von Neumann algebra is $*$-isomorphic to a maximal
abelian von Neumann algebra.

**E.4.23.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and "$\sim"$ an equivalence relation
in $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, such that

(i) If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ are families of mutually orthogonal projections in
$\mathcal{M}$, such that

$$e_i \approx f_i, \quad i \in I,$$

then

$$\sum_{i \in I} e_i \approx \sum_{i \in I} f_i;$$

(ii) If $e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ and if there exists a unitary element $u \in \mathcal{M}$, such that

$$e = u^* fu,$$

then

$$e \approx f.$$
With the help of Proposition 4.12 and of E.4.9, show that if \( e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \), and

\[
e \sim f
\]

then

\[
e \approx f.
\]

Consequently, the relation \(" \sim \)" is the minimal completely additive extension of the relation of \(" \text{unitary equivalence} \)."

**E.4.24.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a factor. Show that:

1. any non-zero two-sided ideal of \( \mathcal{M} \) is \( w \)-dense in \( \mathcal{M} \).
2. if \( \mathcal{M} \) is finite, or of type III and of countable type, then \( \mathcal{M} \) has no non-trivial two-sided ideals.
3. if \( \mathcal{M} \) is semifinite and properly infinite, then the linear hull of the set of all finite projections in \( \mathcal{M} \) is equal to the smallest non-trivial two-sided ideal of \( \mathcal{M} \).
4. if \( \mathcal{M} \) is of type III, but it is not of countable type, then the linear hull of the set of all projections in \( \mathcal{M} \), which are of countable type, is the smallest non-trivial two-sided ideal of \( \mathcal{M} \).

**Comments**

**C.4.1.** The geometry of projections developed in an algebraic frame, started by C. E. Rickart [1] and by I. Kaplansky [13], [17], [22], for the so-called \(*\)-Baer rings. A complete exposition of the results obtained in this direction can be found in the book by S. K. Berberian [11].

A \( C^* \)-algebra, which is, at the same time, a \(*\)-Baer ring, is called an \( AW^* \)-algebra (algebraic \( W^* \)-algebra; for the notion of a \( W^* \)-algebra, which is, essentially, the same as that of von Neumann algebra, see C.5.3). For \( AW^* \)-algebras almost all results in this chapter are true, with essentially the same proofs. Any commutative \( AW^* \)-algebra is \(*\)-isomorphic to \( \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \), where \( \Omega \) is a stonean space (a Hausdorff compact space, in which the closure of any open set is open), whereas any commutative von Neumann algebra (commutative \( W^* \)-algebra) is \(*\)-isomorphic to \( \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \), where \( \Omega \) is a hyperstonean space (cf. J. Dixmier [17]). For expositions of these results see W. G. Bade [2] and L. Zsidó [4].

**C.4.2.** R. V. Kadison and G. K. Pedersen [1] defined an equivalence relation for the positive elements of a von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \); namely, two elements \( a, b \in \mathcal{M}, a, b \geq 0 \), are said to be equivalent if there exists a family \( \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{M} \), such that

\[
a = \sum_{i \in I} x_i^* x_i, \quad b = \sum_{i \in I} x_i x_i^*.
\]

They developed a theory for this equivalence relation, which is similar to the geometry of projections, and they showed that, for projections, the equivalence they introduced coincides with the usual equivalence of projections (4.1).

**C.4.3.** From exercises E.4.14 and E.4.16 there follows a complete description of the structure of von Neumann algebras of type I.
The structure of the continuous von Neumann algebras is still far from being well understood, even for the case of separable Hilbert spaces. Since, in this case, a “reduction theory” exists, which reduces the study of general von Neumann algebras to that of the factors — theory which was developed by J. von Neumann [15] (see also J. Dixmier [26], L. Zsidó [3]), the difficulty remains the classification of the continuous factors.

F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [3] constructed two non-isomorphic factors of type $II_1$. As late as 1963, J. T. Schwartz [1] constructed a third factor of type $II_1$. S. Sakai [25] and Wai-Mee Ching [1] added two more examples of non-isomorphic factors of type $II_1$. J. Dixmier and E. C. Lance [1] constructed two other factors of type $II_1$, whereas G. Zeller-Meier [5] succeeded in constructing another two new factors. Thus, in 1969, only nine non-isomorphic factors of type $II_1$ were known. In the same year, D. McDuff [1] constructed a countable family of mutually non-isomorphic factors of type $II_1$; afterwards, D. McDuff [2] and S. Sakai [28] have shown that there is a family of mutually non-isomorphic factors of type $II_1$, having the power of the continuum. In the same article, S. Sakai has shown the existence of a family, having the power of the continuum, of mutually non-isomorphic factors of type $II_1$. For an exposition of the present state of the theory of factors of type $II$, we refer to D. McDuff [3], S. Sakai [32], and to the recent papers of A. Connes [14 — 19], [21], [22].


Recently, the theory of factors of type $III$ greatly expanded, overcoming the stage of the “fight with cardinals”. These investigations started with the paper of A. Connes [6] and were developed by A. Connes [8 — 11], [22 — 24] and M. Takesaki [29], [33]. The main technical instruments used in these investigations are the cross-products, infinite tensor products and, especially, the theory of Tomita, which we shall present in Chapter 10.

The structure and the classification of factors is, at present, one of the main fields of research in the theory of operator algebras.

**C.4.4.** Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{Z}$ be the center of $\mathcal{M}$. For any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ we denote by $\mathcal{U}(x)$ the convex hull of the set $\{u^* xu; u \in \mathcal{M}, \text{unitary}\}$ and by $\mathcal{U}(x)^*$ (resp., $\mathcal{U}(x)^{\text{w}^*}$) the closure of $\mathcal{U}(x)$ in the uniform topology (resp., the $w^*$-topology). Following an idea of J. von Neumann, J. Dixmier [12] introduced
the sets

\[ \mathcal{H}(x) = \mathcal{I} \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}(x)}^*, \]
\[ \mathcal{G}(x) = \mathcal{I} \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}(x)}^*. \]

The fundamental result obtained by J. Dixmier is the following

**Theorem 1.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra. For any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) one has that

\[ \mathcal{H}(x) \neq \emptyset. \]

With the help of the spectral theorem, the proof reduces to the case in which \( x \) is a projection, whereas, in this case, the proof uses the geometry of projections (see J. Dixmier [26], Ch. III, § 5). The significance of this theorem is discussed in C.7.1.

As far as the inclusion \( \mathcal{H}(x) \subset \mathcal{G}(x) \) is concerned, H. Halpern [13] and Ş. Strâtilă and L. Zsidó [2] have proved the following

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a properly infinite von Neumann algebra. Then the following properties are equivalent

(i) for any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) the equality \( \mathcal{H}(x) = \mathcal{G}(x) \) holds.
(ii) \( \mathcal{M} \) is of countable type.

In the case of finite von Neumann algebras the equality \( \mathcal{H}(x) = \mathcal{G}(x) \) always holds; more precisely, these sets reduce to a single element (see C.7.1).

By studying the derivations of von Neumann algebras, S. Sakai [17] proved the following

**Theorem 3.** If \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is a von Neumann algebra of type III and of countable type, then \( \mathcal{H}(x) \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset \), for any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \), \( x \neq 0 \).

On the other hand, L. Zsidó [5] obtained the following result

**Theorem 4.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a properly infinite von Neumann algebra. Then, for any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \), the set \( \mathcal{G}(x) \) is the w-closed convex hull of the set \( \mathcal{I} \setminus \{u^* xu; \ u \in \mathcal{M}, \ u \text{ unitary}\}^* \).

C.4.5. Bibliographical comments. The results in this chapter were obtained by F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann for the case of factors. The reduction theory of J. von Neumann [15] provided the possibility of extending these results to von Neumann algebras with a separable predual. In general these results have been obtained by global methods by J. Dixmier [18] and I. Kaplansky [13], [17], [22]. In the book by J. Dixmier [26] the classification of von Neumann algebras is performed on the basis of apparently different criteria, from those we have given here (loc. cit., Ch. I, §§ 6, 8), but one can prove that it is equivalent to what we have given here (loc. cit., Ch. III, §§ 1, 2, 8). The proof of Theorem 4.7 is due to A. Lebow [1].

The result in Theorem 4.23, for closed ideals, as well as Corollary 4.23, are due to Y. Misonou [1]. In the general form given here, the result belongs to D. Voiculescu [2]. We note that if the ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) of \( \mathcal{D} \) is closed, then \( \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathcal{I}) \) is closed, too (see J. Dixmier [26], Ch. III, § 5).

In our exposition we used J. Dixmier [26] and I. Kaplansky [22]. The results E.4.4, E.4.5, E.4.6 appeared in the course by D. Topping [8].
Linear forms on algebras of operators

This chapter is dedicated to the study of the predual of a von Neumann algebra, i.e., to the study of the $w$-topology in the algebra. In this manner, the algebra appears to be, and it is studied as, the dual Banach space of its predual.

5.1. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a $*$-algebra of operators. By a form on $\mathcal{A}$ we shall mean any linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$. To any form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{A}$ one can associate its adjoint form $\varphi^*$, defined by

$$\varphi^*(a) = \overline{\varphi(a^*)}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$  

A form $\varphi$ is said to be self-adjoint (or hermitean) if $\varphi = \varphi^*$. The form $\varphi$ is self-adjoint iff it takes real values at the self-adjoint elements of the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Any form $\varphi$ has a unique decomposition

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 + i\varphi_2,$$

where $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$ are self-adjoint forms. The form $\varphi$ is bounded iff $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$ are bounded.

A form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be positive if it takes positive values at positive elements of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a $C^*$-algebra of operators, then any positive form on $\mathcal{A}$ is self-adjoint.

5.2. Proposition. Any positive form $\varphi$ on a $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is bounded.

Proof. Let

$$\alpha = \sup \{\varphi(a); \ a \in \mathcal{A}, \ a \geq 0, \ \|a\| \leq 1\}.$$  

If $\alpha = +\infty$, then there exists a sequence $\{a_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$, $a_n \geq 0$, $\|a_n\| \leq 1$, such that $\varphi(a_n) > n$. On the other hand, for any sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$ of positive numbers, such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < +\infty$, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n$ converges to an element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and, since $\varphi$ is positive, it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \lambda_n \varphi(a_n) = \varphi \left( \sum_{n=1}^{m} \lambda_n a_n \right) \leq \varphi(a), \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots.$$
It follows that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \varphi(a_n)$ converges. Since the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$, $\lambda_n \geq 0$, such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < +\infty$ was arbitrary, this fact contradicts the relation $\varphi(a_n) \geq n$, $n \geq 1$. Consequently, we have $\alpha < +\infty$.

Now, for any $x = x^* \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\|x\| \leq 1$, we have

$$|\varphi(x)| \leq \varphi(x^+) + \varphi(x^-) \leq 2\alpha,$$

whence $\|\varphi\| \leq 4\alpha$.

**Q.E.D.**

**5.3. Proposition. (the Schwarz inequality).** Let $\varphi$ be a positive form on the $\ast$-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. Then, for any $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$|\varphi(ab)|^2 \leq \varphi(aa^*) \varphi(bb^*).$$

**Proof.** If $\varphi(ab) = 0$, the inequality is obvious and so we can assume that $\varphi(ab) \neq 0$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\varphi((\lambda a + b^*)(\lambda a + b^*)^*) \geq 0,$$

i.e.,

$$|\lambda|^2 \varphi(aa^*) + \lambda \varphi(ab) + \overline{\lambda} \varphi(ab) + \varphi(b^*b) \geq 0.$$

If we take in this inequality $\lambda = t(|\varphi(ab)|/|\varphi(ab)|)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$t^2 \varphi(aa^*) + 2t |\varphi(ab)| + \varphi(b^*b) \geq 0.$$

If we now write that the discriminant of this real polynomial is negative, we get

$$|\varphi(ab)|^2 - \varphi(aa^*) \varphi(b^*b) \leq 0.$$  

**Q.E.D.**

**5.4. Proposition.** Let $\varphi$ be a bounded form on the $C^*$-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, assumed to have the unit element. Then $\varphi$ is positive iff $\varphi(1) = \|\varphi\|$.

**Proof.** If $\varphi$ is positive, with the help of the Schwarz inequality we easily get $\varphi(1) = \|\varphi\|$.

Conversely, assume that $\varphi(1) = \|\varphi\| = 1$ and that there exists an $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $a \geq 0$, such that $\varphi(a)$ is not positive. Then there exists a disk $\{\lambda; |\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq r\}$ in the complex plane, which contains the spectrum of $a$, but does not contain $\varphi(a)$.

Since the spectrum of the normal operator $a - \lambda_0$ is included in the disk $\{\lambda; |\lambda| \leq r\}$, we have $\|a - \lambda_0\| \leq r$. It follows that

$$|\varphi(a) - \lambda_0| = |\varphi(a) - \lambda_0 \varphi(1)| = |\varphi(a - \lambda_0)| \leq \|a - \lambda_0\| \leq r,$$

a contradiction.

**Q.E.D.**

**5.5. Proposition.** Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\varphi$ a bounded form on $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\varphi$ is positive iff it takes positive values at all the projections in $\mathcal{M}$. 
**Proof.** The proposition is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.23. Q.E.D.

5.6. Let \( \varphi \) be a bounded form on a von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). One says that \( \varphi \) is **completely additive** if, for any family \( \{e_i\}_{i \in I} \) of mutually orthogonal projections in \( \mathcal{M} \), one has

\[
\varphi \left( \sum_{i \in I} e_i \right) = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi(e_i).
\]

Obviously, any \( w \)-continuous form on \( \mathcal{M} \) is completely additive. As we shall see later (Theorem 5.11), the converse is also true.

In order to prove this, we need some preparation.

Let \( \varphi \) be a bounded self-adjoint form on \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \alpha \) a real number. By taking into account Corollary 2.23, it easily follows that, if there exists an \( a \in \mathcal{M}, \ a > 0, \|a\| \leq 1 \), such that \( \varphi(a) > \alpha \), then there exists an \( e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \), such that \( \varphi(e) > \alpha \). Consequently, if \( \varphi(e) \leq \alpha \) for any \( e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \), then \( \|\varphi\| \leq 4\alpha \).

5.7. **Lemma.** Let \( \varphi \) be a bounded, self-adjoint, completely additive form on \( \mathcal{M} \). For any \( e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \) there exists an \( f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, \ f \leq e \), such that \( \varphi(f) \geq \varphi(e) \), whereas the restriction of \( \varphi \) to \( f\mathcal{M}f \) be positive.

**Proof.** Let \( \{e_i\}_{i \in I} \) be a maximal family of mutually orthogonal projections, majorized by \( e \) and such that \( \varphi(e_i) < 0, \ i \in I \); let \( f = e - \sum_{i \in I} e_i \). Since the considered family is maximal, \( \varphi \) is positive at any projection which is majorized by \( f \) and therefore, according to Proposition 5.5, it is positive on \( f\mathcal{M}f \). On the other hand, since \( \varphi(e_i) < 0, \ i \in I \), and since \( \varphi \) is completely additive, we have \( \varphi(f) \geq \varphi(e) \) Q.E.D.

5.8. **Lemma.** Let \( \varphi \) be a completely additive positive form on \( \mathcal{M} \) and let \( e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, \ e \neq 0 \). Then there exists an \( f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, \ f \neq 0, \ f \leq e \), and a \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[
|\varphi(xf)| \leq \|xf\xi\|, \ x \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

**Proof.** There exists an \( \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[
(\omega - \varphi)(e) = \|\eta\|^2 - \varphi(e) > 0.
\]

From Lemma 5.7, we infer that there exists an \( f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, \ f \leq e \), such that the restriction of \( \omega - \varphi \) to \( f\mathcal{M}f \) be positive and

\[
(\omega - \varphi)(f) \geq (\omega - \varphi)(e).
\]

It follows that \( f \neq 0 \) and, for any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \),

\[
\|xf\eta\|^2 - \varphi(f^*xf) = (\omega - \varphi)(f^*xf) > 0.
\]

By using the Schwarz inequality (5.3), we get

\[
|\varphi(xf)|^2 \leq \varphi(1) \varphi(f^*xf) \leq \varphi(1) \|xf\eta\|^2,
\]

and, therefore, we can choose \( \xi \) to be equal to a suitable scalar multiple of \( \eta \). Q.E.D.
5.9. Lemma. Any positive form \( \varphi \), which is completely additive on \( \mathcal{M} \), is \( w \)-continuous.

Proof. Let \( \{ e_i \}_{i \in I} \) be a maximal family of mutually orthogonal non-zero projections in \( \mathcal{M} \), such that for any \( i \in I \) there exists a \( \xi_i \in \mathcal{H} \) with the property that

\[
|\varphi(xe_i)| \leq \|xe_i \xi_i\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

From Lemma 5.8, we infer that

\[
\sum_{i \in I} e_i = 1.
\]

Since \( \varphi \) is completely additive, we get

\[
\sum_{i \in I} \varphi(e_i) = \varphi(1).
\]

Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then there exists a finite subset \( J \subset I \) such that, if we denote

\[
e = \sum_{i \in J} e_i, \quad f = \sum_{i \notin J} e_i,
\]

we have

\[
\varphi(f) \leq \varepsilon^2 \| \varphi \|^{-1}.
\]

We now define the bounded forms \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) by

\[
\varphi_1(x) = \varphi(xe), \quad x \in \mathcal{M},
\]

\[
\varphi_2(x) = \varphi(xf), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

Then \( \varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \), \( \varphi_1 \) is \( w \)-continuous, because

\[
|\varphi_1(x)| \leq \sum_{i \in J} \|xe_i \xi_i\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{M},
\]

whereas \( \|\varphi_2\| \leq \varepsilon \), as a consequence of the following computations

\[
|\varphi_2(x)|^2 = |\varphi(xf)|^2 \leq \varphi(1)\varphi(fxf) \leq \|\varphi\| \|x\|^2 \varphi(f) \leq \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

Hence we obtained a \( \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{M}_w \), such that \( \|\varphi - \varphi_1\| \leq \varepsilon \). Since \( \mathcal{M}_w \) is uniformly closed in \( \mathcal{M}^* \) (cf. Theorem 1.10), we infer that \( \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_w \), and this shows that \( \varphi \) is \( w \)-continuous.

Q.E.D.

5.10. Lemma. Let \( e \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a projection, \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), \( \|x\| \leq 1 \) and \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) be real numbers, such that \( \alpha, \beta, \alpha \beta - \gamma^2 \geq 0 \). Then

\[
\alpha e + \beta(1 - e) + \gamma(ex(1 - e) + (1 - e)x^*e) \geq 0.
\]

Proof. For any real numbers \( s, t \) we have

\[
\alpha s^2 + \beta t^2 - 2|\gamma|st \geq 0.
\]
Hence, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ we have
\[
\alpha(e\xi | \xi) + \beta((1 - e)\xi | \xi) + \gamma(e_F(1 - e)\xi | \xi) + \gamma((1 - e)x^* e\xi | \xi) \\
= \alpha \|e\xi\|^2 + \beta \|\(1 - e\)\xi\|^2 + 2\gamma \text{Re}(\(1 - e\)\xi | e\xi) \\
\geq \alpha \|e\xi\|^2 + \beta \|\(1 - e\)\xi\|^2 - 2\gamma \|\(1 - e\)\xi\| \|e\xi\| \geq 0.
\]
Q.E.D.

5.11. Theorem. A bounded form on a von Neumann algebra is $w$-continuous iff it is completely additive.

Proof. Let $\varphi$ be a completely additive bounded form on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{H}$. We can assume that $\varphi$ is self-adjoint and $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$. We write
\[
\mu = \sup \{\varphi(a); \quad a \in \mathcal{H}, \quad 0 \leq a \leq 1\}.
\]
Then $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon \leq 3/4$. There exists an $a \in \mathcal{H}$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, such that $\varphi(a) > \mu - \varepsilon$. From Corollary 5.6 and Lemma 5.7, we infer that there exists a projection $e_3 \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $\varphi(e_3) > \mu - \varepsilon$, whereas the restriction of $\varphi$ to $e_3 \mathcal{H} e_3$ is positive. From Lemma 5.9, we infer that the restriction of $\varphi$ to $e_3 \mathcal{H} e_3$ is $w$-continuous.

Let $e_2 = 1 - e_3$. We define the forms $\varphi_{ij} \in \mathcal{H}^*$ by
\[
\varphi_{ij}(x) = \varphi(e_i xe_j), \quad x \in \mathcal{H}; \quad i, j = 1, 2.
\]
Then $\varphi_{11}$ is $w$-continuous and $\varphi = \varphi_{11} + \varphi_{12} + \varphi_{21} + \varphi_{22}$. If $f \in \mathcal{P}, f \leq e_2$, then
\[
\mu \geq \varphi(e_3 + f) = \varphi(e_3) + \varphi(f) > \mu - \varepsilon + \varphi(f),
\]
and, therefore, $\varphi(f) < \varepsilon$.

We now investigate the norms $\|\varphi_{11}\|$ and $\|\varphi_{21}\|$. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| \leq 1$. We denote
\[
y = (1 - \varepsilon)e_3 + e e_2 + e^{1/2}(1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2}(e_1 xe_2 + e_2 x^* e_1).
\]
Then
\[
1 - y = ee_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)e_3 - e^{1/2}(1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2}(e_1 xe_2 + e_2 x^* e_1).
\]
With Lemma 5.10 we infer that $0 \leq y \leq 1$; hence:
\[
\mu \geq \varphi(y) = (1 - \varepsilon)(e_3 + e e_2) + e^{1/2}(1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2} \varphi(e_1 xe_2 + e_2 x^* e_1) \\
> (1 - \varepsilon)(\mu - \varepsilon) - \varepsilon + 2e^{1/2}(1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2} \text{Re}\varphi(e_1 xe_2).
\]
We hence obtain
\[
\text{Re} \varphi_{11}(x) = \text{Re} \varphi(e_1 xe_2) \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{1/2} \frac{2 + \mu - \varepsilon}{(1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{1/2} \frac{3}{(1/4)^{1/2}} = 3e^{1/2}.
\]
Therefore, we have $\|\varphi_{11}\| \leq 3e^{1/2}$ and, analogously, $\|\varphi_{21}\| \leq 3e^{1/2}$.
We denote by \( \psi \) the restriction of \((-\varphi)\) to \(e_2\mathcal{M}e_2\). Then \( \|\psi\| \leq 1 \) and, for any projection \( f \leq e_2 \), we have \( \psi(f) > -\varepsilon \). By repeating for \( \psi \) the preceding argument, we get the projections \( f_1, f_2 \), such that \( f_1 + f_2 = e_2 \) and, by denoting
\[
\psi_i(x) = \psi(f_ixf_i), \quad x \in e_2\mathcal{M}e_2; \quad i, j = 1, 2,
\]
the following properties should hold: \( \psi_{11} \) is \( w \)-continuous, \( \|\psi_{12}\| \leq 3\varepsilon^{1/2}, \|\psi_{21}\| \leq 3\varepsilon^{1/2} \), and, for any projection \( f \leq f_2, \psi_{22}(f) < \varepsilon \). It follows that \( |\psi_{22}(f)| < \varepsilon \), for any projection \( f \leq f_2 \); hence \( \|\psi_{22}\| \leq 4\varepsilon \) (see 5.6). Consequently, we have
\[
\|\psi - \psi_{11}\| \leq 4\varepsilon + 6\varepsilon^{1/2}.
\]

We define the form \( \psi_0 \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) by
\[
\psi_0(x) = \psi_{11}(e_2xe_2), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

Then \( \psi_0 \) is \( w \)-continuous and
\[
\|\varphi - \varphi_{11} - \psi_0\| \leq 4\varepsilon + 12\varepsilon^{1/2}.
\]

Since \( \mathcal{M} \) is closed in \( \mathcal{M}^* \) and \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq 3/4 \) is arbitrary, it follows that \( \varphi \in \mathcal{M}^* \), i.e., \( \varphi \) is \( w \)-continuous.

Q.E.D.

5.12. Corollary. A bounded form on a von Neumann algebra is \( w \)-continuous iff its restrictions to maximal commutative von Neumann subalgebras of \( \mathcal{M} \) are \( w \)-continuous.

5.13. Corollary. Any \( * \)-isomorphism between two von Neumann algebras is \( w \)-continuous.

Proof. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be two von Neumann algebras and let \( \pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \) be a \( * \)-isomorphism between them. Then for any element \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) we have \( \sigma(x^*x) = \sigma(\pi(x^*x)) \) (see Corollary 2.8) and, hence, by taking into account Lemma 2.5, we get
\[
\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\| = \|\pi(x^*x)\| = \|\pi(x)^*\pi(x)\| = \|\pi(x)\|^2,
\]
i.e., \( \pi \) is an isometry.

Let \( \psi \) be a \( w \)-continuous form on \( \mathcal{N} \). Then \( \varphi = \psi \circ \pi \) is a bounded form on \( \mathcal{M} \). If \( \{e_i\}_{i \in I} \) is a family of mutually orthogonal projections in \( \mathcal{M} \), then \( \{\pi(e_i)\}_{i \in I} \) is a family of mutually orthogonal projections in \( \mathcal{N} \) and
\[
\pi\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i\right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \pi(e_i) = \sum_{i \in I} \pi(e_i).
\]

Consequently, we have
\[
\varphi\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i\right) = \psi\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} \pi(e_i)\right) = \psi\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} \pi(e_i)\right) = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi(e_i).
\]

Thus, \( \varphi \) is completely additive and, by virtue of Theorem 5.11, \( \varphi \) is \( w \)-continuous.

It follows that \( \pi \) is \( w \)-continuous.

Q.E.D.
5.14. Another consequence of Theorem 5.11 is the characterization of the weakly relatively compact subsets of the predual of a von Neumann algebra.

Theorem. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra, \( \mathcal{M}_* \) the predual of \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}_* \) a norm-bounded subset. The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( \mathcal{F} \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{M}_*; \mathcal{M}) \)-relatively compact;

(ii) for any countable family \( \{e_n\} \) of mutually orthogonal projections in \( \mathcal{M} \) one has \( \varphi(e_n) \to 0 \) uniformly for \( \varphi \in \mathcal{F} \).

Proof. (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i). Since \( \mathcal{F} \) is a bounded subset of \( \mathcal{M}_* \subset \mathcal{M}^* \), it follows that its \( \sigma(\mathcal{M}^*; \mathcal{M}) \)-closure \( \overline{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{M}^* \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{M}^*; \mathcal{M}) \)-compact. It is, therefore, sufficient to show that \( \overline{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{M}_* \); indeed, we then have \( \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}_* \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{M}_*; \mathcal{M}) \)-compact, since the \( \sigma(\mathcal{M}_*; \mathcal{M}) \)-topology is just the restriction of the \( \sigma(\mathcal{M}^*; \mathcal{M}) \)-topology to \( \mathcal{M}_* \).

Let \( \varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{F}} \). There then exists a net \( \{\varphi_k\}_{k \in K} \subset \mathcal{F} \), which is \( \sigma(\mathcal{M}^*; \mathcal{M}) \)-convergent to \( \varphi \).

Let \( \{e_i\}_{i \in I} \) be a family of mutually orthogonal projections in \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( e = \sum_{i \in I} e_i \).

We then have

\[
\varphi(e) = \lim_{k \in K} \varphi_k(e),
\]

\[
\varphi(e_i) = \lim_{k \in K} \varphi_k(e_i), \text{ for any } i \in I,
\]

\[
\varphi_k(e) = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_k(e_i), \text{ uniformly for } k \in K.
\]

In fact, we have \( \psi(e) = \sum_{i \in I} \psi(e_i) \), uniformly for \( \psi \in \mathcal{F} \). Indeed, if this be not true, then there exists a sequence \( \{\psi_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{F} \), a sequence \( \{J_n\}_n \) of finite mutually disjoint subsets \( J_n \subset I \), and a \( \delta > 0 \), such that for any \( n \) we have \( |\sum_{i \in J_n} \psi_n(e_i)| \geq \delta \).

We define \( f_n = \sum_{i \in J_n} e_i \). Then \( \{f_n\}_n \) is a countable family of mutually orthogonal projections in \( \mathcal{M} \) and, for any \( n \), we have \( |\psi_n(f_n)| \geq \delta \), a contradiction if (ii) is taken into account.

It follows that

\[
\varphi(e) = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi(e_i),
\]

hence \( \varphi \) is completely additive and, therefore, by virtue of Theorem 5.11, \( \varphi \) is \( \omega \)-continuous, i.e., \( \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_* \).

(i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii). We shall proceed by contradiction.

Hence, there exists a sequence \( \{e_n\}_n \) of mutually orthogonal projections in \( \mathcal{M} \), a sequence \( \{\varphi_n\} \subset \mathcal{F} \) and a \( \delta > 0 \), such that, for any \( n \), we have

\[
|\varphi_n(e_n)| \geq 4\delta.
\]

Since \( \mathcal{F} \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{M}_*; \mathcal{M}) \)-relatively compact, we can assume that the sequence \( \{\varphi_n\} \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{M}_*; \mathcal{M}) \)-convergent to a form \( \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_* \). Since the sequence \( \{e_n\} \) is
$w$-convergent to 0, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(e_n) = 0$ and, therefore, we can assume that, for any $n$ we have

$$|\varphi(e_n)| \leq \delta.$$  

The sequence of forms $\psi_n = \varphi_n - \varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{M})$-convergent to 0 and, for any $n$, we have

$$|\psi_n(e_n)| \geq 3\delta.$$  

We shall now construct an increasing sequence $\{n(1), n(2), \ldots\}$ of natural numbers, with the following properties

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\psi_{n(j)}(e_{n(j)})| < \delta, \quad \text{for any } k = 2, 3, \ldots$$

(3)  

$$\sum_{j=n(k+1)}^{\infty} |\psi_{n(j)}(e_j)| < \delta, \quad \text{for any } k = 1, 2, \ldots$$

In order to do this, let us first observe that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\psi(e_n)| < +\infty,$$

because for any bounded sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ of scalars, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e_n$ is $w$-convergent, hence the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \psi(e_n)$ is convergent.

We begin the construction by taking $n(1) = 1$ and we assume that $n(1), \ldots, n(p - 1)$ have already been constructed, such that condition (2) be satisfied for $k = 2, \ldots, p - 1$, whereas condition (3) be satisfied for $k = 1, \ldots, p - 2$.

Since $\{\psi_n\}$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{M})$-convergent to 0 and since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\psi_{n(j-1)}(e_j)| < +\infty$, for a sufficiently great $n$ the following inequalities are satisfied

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\psi_{n(j)}(e_{n(j)})| < \delta,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\psi_{n(j-1)}(e_j)| < \delta.$$  

Consequently, relation (2) is satisfied for $k = p$, whereas relation (3) is satisfied for $k = p - 1$, if we choose $n(p) > n(p - 1)$ to be sufficiently great. The required construction is thus possible by induction.
From relation (3) it follows that

\[(4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\psi_{n(k)}(e_{n(j)})| < \delta, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \]

We now consider the projection \( f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{n(j)} \in \mathcal{M} \). We then have

\[\psi_{n(k)}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_{n(k)}(e_{n(j)}) \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \]

From relations (1), (2) and (4) we infer that

\[|\psi_{n(k)}(f)| > \delta, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \]

thus contradicting the fact that the sequence \( \{\psi_n\} \) is \( \sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \)-convergent to 0.

Q.E.D.

5.15. The \( \omega \)-continuous positive forms on a von Neumann algebra are also called **normal forms**. Let \( \varphi \) be a normal form on \( \mathcal{M} \) and let \( a \in \mathcal{M}, a \geq 0 \), be such that \( \varphi(a) = 0 \). Then \( \varphi(s(a)) = 0 \). Indeed, in accordance with Corollary 2.22, there exists an increasing sequence \( \{e_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \), such that \( \bigvee_n e_n = s(a) \), and \( ae_n \geq (1/n)e_n \), for any \( n \); it follows that \( \varphi(e_n) = 0 \), for any \( n \), and, therefore, \( \varphi(s(a)) = 0 \).

If \( e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, \varphi(e) = 0 \) and \( \varphi(f) = 0 \), then \( \varphi(e \vee f) = 0 \), because \( e \vee f = s(e + f) \).

Consequently, if \( \varphi \) is a normal form on \( \mathcal{M} \), then the family \( \{e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}; \varphi(e) = 0\} \) is increasingly directed and, therefore, by denoting by \( 1 - s(\varphi) \) the I.u.b. of this family, we infer that \( \varphi(1 - s(\varphi)) = 0 \). The projection \( s(\varphi) \) is called the **support** of \( \varphi \). One says that \( \varphi \) is **faithful** if \( s(\varphi) = 1 \).

With the help of the Schwarz inequality, one can easily prove that

\[\varphi(x) = \varphi(xs(\varphi)) = \varphi(s(\varphi)x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.\]

From the definition of the support it follows that

\[x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad x \geq 0, \quad \varphi(x) = 0 \Rightarrow s(\varphi)xs(\varphi) = 0;\]

in particular, the form \( \varphi \) is faithful iff the implication

\[x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad x \geq 0, \quad \varphi(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0.\]

holds.

Let \( \varphi \) be a form on \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( a \in \mathcal{M} \). We then define the forms

\[(L_\varphi)(x) = \varphi(ax), \quad x \in \mathcal{M},\]

\[(R_\varphi)(x) = \varphi(xa), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}\]

\[(T_\varphi)(x) = \varphi(a^*xa), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.\]
If $\varphi$ is bounded (resp., $w$-continuous), then $L_\alpha \varphi$, $R_\alpha \varphi$, $T_\alpha \varphi$ are bounded (resp., $w$-continuous).

5.16. The following result often allows the reduction of problems on $w$-continuous forms to problems on normal forms.

**Theorem (of polar decomposition for forms).** Let $\varphi$ be a $w$-continuous form on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Then there exists a normal form $|\varphi|$ and a partial isometry $v \in \mathcal{M}$, uniquely determined by the conditions

$$\varphi = R_0 |\varphi|,$$

$$v^* v = s(|\varphi|).$$

**Proof.** The set $\{x \in \mathcal{M}; \|x\| \leq 1, \varphi(x) = \|\varphi\|\}$ is a non-empty, $w$-compact, convex part of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $u$ be an extreme point of this set. Then $u$ is an extreme point of the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$; consequently, by virtue of Proposition 3.19, it is a partial isometry.

We define $\psi = R_x \varphi$. Since

$$\psi(1) = \varphi(u) = \|\varphi\| \geq \|\psi\| \geq \psi(1),$$

it follows that $\psi(1) = \|\psi\|$. From Proposition 5.4, we infer that $\psi$ is positive and, therefore, it is normal.

We define $v = u^* s(\psi)$. Since $u$ is a partial isometry, we have $u = uu^* u$, whence $\psi(1 - uu^*) = \varphi(u - uu^* u) = 0$ and, therefore, $uu^* \geq s(\psi)$. It follows that

$$v^* v = s(\psi),$$

and, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\psi(x) = \psi(x s(\psi)) = \varphi(x s(\psi) u) = \varphi(xv^*).$$

We shall now prove that $\varphi = R_x \psi$, i.e., $\varphi(x) = \psi(xv)$, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Indeed, if this is not true, then there exists an $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $\|x\| \leq 1$, such that

$$\varphi(x(1 - vv^*)) = \alpha > 0.$$

For any natural number $n$ we have

$$\|nv^* + x(1 - vv^*)\|^2 = \|nv^* + x(1 - vv^*)\| (nv^* + (1 - vv^*)x^*)\|

= \|n^2 v^* v + x(1 - vv^*)x^*\| \leq n^2 + 1,$$

hence

$$|\varphi(nv^* + x(1 - vv^*))| \leq \|\varphi\| (n^2 + 1)^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\varphi(nv^* + x(1 - vv^*)) = \psi(n) + \varphi(x(1 - vv^*)) = \|\varphi\| n + \alpha.$$
Thus, we have
\[ \|\varphi\| n + \alpha \leq \|\varphi\|(n^2 + 1)^{1/2}; \]
an impossible inequality if \( n \) is sufficiently great.

It follows that, if we denote \( |\varphi| = \psi \), we have \( \varphi = R_v|\varphi| \) and \( v^*v = s(|\varphi|) \),
the existence part of the theorem being thus established.

Let \( \psi \) and \( \psi' \) be two normal forms on \( \mathcal{M} \), and \( v, v' \) partial isometries
in \( \mathcal{M} \), such that \( \varphi = R_v\psi = R_{v'}\psi' \) and \( v^*v = s(\psi), \ v'^*v' = s(\psi'). \)

We have
\[
\psi(1) = \psi(v^*v) = \varphi(v^*) = \psi' (v^*v') = \psi'(v'^*v'v^*v') = \varphi(v'^*v'v^*) \\
= \psi(v'^*v'v^*) = \psi(v'^*v'),
\]
hence \( v'^*v' \geq s(\psi) = v^*v \). Analogously, we have \( v^*v \geq v'^*v'', \) hence \( v^*v = v'^*v' = e \).

Since \( v'^*v = v'^*v'v''v = ev're \in e.\mathcal{M}e \), we can write
\[ v'^*v = a + ib, \]
where \( a, b \in e.\mathcal{M}e \) are self-adjoint. We have
\[
\psi(a) + i\psi(b) = \psi(v'^*v) = \varphi(v'^*) = \psi'(v'^*v') = ||\psi'|| = ||\psi||,
\]
hence \( \psi(a) = ||\psi||. \) Hence, \( \psi(e - a) = 0. \) Since \( e - a \geq 0, \) we find that \( a = e. \)
It follows that \( ||e + ib|| \leq 1, \) whence \( b = 0. \) Consequently, we have
\[ v'^*v = e. \]

Since \( v'^*v = e, \) we also have \( v^*v' = e, \) and we can write
\[
v'^*v' = v'^*v'v'^* = v'e v'^* = v'^*v'v'^*v'^*,
\]
\[ v'^*v'(1 - vv^*)v'^* = 0, \]
\[ (1 - vv^*)v'^*v'^* = 0, \]
\[ v'^*v'^* = vv^*v'^*v'^* \leq vv^*. \]

Analogously, we find that \( vv^* \leq v'^*v'^*, \) and, therefore,
\[ vv^* = v'^*v'^* = f. \]

We finally have
\[ v = vv^*v = fv = v'^*v = v'e = v'^*v' = v', \]
and, for any \( x \in \mathcal{M}, \)
\[ \psi(x) = \psi(xv^*v) = \varphi(xv^*) = \psi'(xv^*v') = \psi'(xe) = \psi'(e). \]

The uniqueness part of the theorem is thus proved.

Q.E.D.
5.17. Theorem 5.16 then gives us:

Theorem (the Jordan decomposition). Let $\varphi$ be a w-continuous self-adjoint form on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Then there exist normal forms $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$, uniquely determined by the conditions

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2,$$

$$s(\varphi_1) s(\varphi_2) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\psi$ be the normal form on $\mathcal{M}$ and $v \in \mathcal{M}$ the partial isometry, which, in accordance with Theorem 5.16, satisfy the properties

$$\varphi = R_v \psi,$$

$$v^* v = s(\psi).$$

We now define the normal form $\psi_0 = T_v \psi$. Then we have $s(\psi_0) = vv^*$. Since $\varphi$ is self-adjoint, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\varphi(x) = \overline{\varphi(x^*)} = \overline{\psi(x^* v)} = \psi(v^* x) = \psi(v^* x v^* v) = \psi_0(x v^*).$$

From the uniqueness part of Theorem 5.16, we get

$$v = v^*$$

and $\psi = \psi_0$. It follows that $v = e_1 - e_2$, where $e_1$ and $e_2$ are orthogonal projections. We define $\varphi_1 = R_v \varphi$ and $\varphi_2 = - R_v \varphi$. Since $\psi = \psi_0$ and since $s(\psi) = e_1 + e_2$, we infer that, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\psi(x) = \psi(e_1 x e_1 + e_2 x e_2).$$

We hence infer that $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$ are positive. The other conditions in the statement of the theorem are now easily verified and, thus, the existence part of the theorem is proved.

Let now $\varphi_1'$ and $\varphi_2'$ be normal forms whose supports $e_1'$, $e_2'$ be orthogonal, and such that $\varphi = \varphi_1' + \varphi_2'$. We denote $\psi' = \varphi_1' + \varphi_2'$ and $v' = e_1' - e_2'$. It is easily seen that $\varphi = R_v \psi'$ and $v'^* v' = s(\psi')$; hence, from the uniqueness part of Theorem 5.16, we have $v' = v$ and $\psi' = \psi$. It follows that

$$e_1' - e_2' = e_1 - e_2,$$

$$e_1' + e_2' = s(\psi') = s(\psi) = e_1 + e_2,$$

hence $e_1' = e_1$, $e_2' = e_2$. We now immediately get the equalities

$$\varphi_1' = \varphi_1, \quad \varphi_2' = \varphi_2.$$

Q.E.D.

5.18. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^*$-algebra with the unit element, $\mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space and $\pi: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\pi(1) = 1$, a $*$-homomorphism. Then any vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ determines a positive form $\varphi = \omega_\xi \circ \pi$ on $\mathcal{A}$.
Conversely, let \( \varphi \) be a positive form on a \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A} \), assumed to have the unit element. With the help of the Schwarz inequality, it is easy to show that the set

\[ \mathcal{N}_\varphi = \{ a \in \mathcal{A} ; \varphi(a^*a) = 0 \} \]

is a left ideal of \( \mathcal{A} \). For any \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) we shall denote by \( a_\varphi \) the canonical image of \( a \) in \( \mathcal{A}_\varphi = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}_\varphi \). We define on \( \mathcal{A}_\varphi \) a scalar product by the relation

\[ (a_\varphi | b_\varphi) = \varphi(b^*a), \quad a_\varphi, b_\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_\varphi. \]

Then \( \mathcal{A}_\varphi \) becomes a separated pre-Hilbert space. We denote by \( \mathcal{H}_\varphi \) the Hilbert space obtained by the completion of \( \mathcal{A}_\varphi \). For any \( x \in \mathcal{A} \) we define

\[ \pi_\varphi^0(x)a_\varphi = (xa)_\varphi, \quad a_\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_\varphi. \]

Since

\[ \| \pi_\varphi^0(x)a_\varphi \|_\varphi \leq \| x \| \| a_\varphi \|_\varphi, \]

it follows that \( \pi_\varphi^0(x) \) can be uniquely extended by continuity to an operator \( \pi_\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi) \).

It is easily seen that the mapping

\[ \pi_\varphi : \mathcal{A} \ni x \mapsto \pi_\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi), \]

is a \( *\)-homomorphism, \( \pi_\varphi(1) = 1 \) and:

\[ \varphi = \omega_{1_\varphi} \circ \pi_\varphi. \]

We observe that the vector \( 1_\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi \) is cyclic for \( \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}) \), i.e.,

\[ [\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})1_\varphi] = \mathcal{H}_\varphi. \]

**Proposition.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \varphi \) a normal form on \( \mathcal{M} \). Then \( \pi_\varphi \) is a w-continuous \( *\)-homomorphism, \( \pi_\varphi(1) = 1 \), \( \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi) \) is a von Neumann algebra and

\[ \varphi = \omega_{1_\varphi} \circ \pi_\varphi. \]

\( 1_\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi \) is a cyclic vector for \( \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \).

Moreover, if \( s(\varphi) = 1 \), then \( \pi_\varphi \) is a \( *\)-isomorphism of \( \mathcal{M} \) onto \( \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \) and \( 1_\varphi \) is a separating vector for \( \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{M} \), \( x \in \mathcal{M} \), \( x_i \uparrow x \). Then \( \pi_\varphi(x) \) is an upper bound for the increasing net \( \{\pi(x_i)\}_{i \in I} \) and, since \( \varphi \) is normal, for any \( a \in \mathcal{M} \) we have

\[ \lim_{i \in I} (\pi_\varphi(x_i)a_\varphi | a_\varphi) = \lim_{i \in I} \varphi(a^*x_ia) = \varphi(a^*xa) = (\pi_\varphi(x)a_\varphi | a_\varphi). \]

Consequently, we have \( \pi_\varphi(x_i) \uparrow \pi_\varphi(x) \).
In particular, $\pi_\varphi$ is a completely additive mapping. Since $\|\pi_\varphi\| \leq 1$, by virtue of Theorem 5.11 (see also E.5.17), it follows that $\pi_\varphi$ is a $*$-continuous $*$-homomorphism. The fact that $\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi)$ is a von Neumann algebra is a consequence of Corollary 3.12.

Let us now assume that $s(\varphi) = 1$. If $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\pi_\varphi(x)1_\varphi = 0$, then $x_\varphi = 0$, i.e., $\varphi(x^*x) = 0$, whence $x = 0$. Q.E.D.

By taking into account E.5.6, from the preceding proposition it follows that any von Neumann algebra of countable type is $*$-isomorphic to a von Neumann algebra which has a separating cyclic vector.

5.19. If $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are linear forms on a $*$-algebra of operators, we shall write $\varphi \leq \psi$ if $\psi - \varphi$ is a positive form.

**Lemma.** Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{A} \ni 1$, be a $*$-algebra, $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\varphi$ a positive form on $\mathcal{A}$, such that $\varphi \leq \omega_\xi$. Then there exists an $a' \in \mathcal{A}'$, $0 \leq a' \leq 1$, such that

$$\varphi = \omega_{a'\xi}.$$ 

**Proof.** For any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$|\varphi(y^*x)| \leq \varphi(y^*y)^{1/2}\varphi(x^*x)^{1/2} \leq \|y\xi\| \|x\xi\|.$$ 

If we write

$$(x\xi|y\xi)_0 = \varphi(y^*x),$$

we define a positive sesquilinear form of norm $\leq 1$ on $[\mathcal{A}\xi]$. Hence there exists a linear operator $a_0$ on $[\mathcal{A}\xi]$, $0 \leq a_0 \leq 1$, such that

$$\varphi(y^*x) = (x\xi|y\xi)_0 = (a_0x\xi|y\xi).$$

For any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$(a_0xy\xi|z\xi) = \varphi(z^*xy) = (a_0y\xi|x^*z\xi) = (xa_0y\xi|z\xi),$$

hence

$$a_0(x|[\mathcal{A}\xi]) = (x|[\mathcal{A}\xi])a_0.$$ 

Thus, if we denote $c_0 = [\mathcal{A}\xi] \in \mathcal{A}'$, it follows that

$$c_0 \circ a_0 \circ c_0 \in \mathcal{A}'.$$ 

Let $a' = (c_0 \circ a_0 \circ c_0)^{1/2}$. Then $a' \in \mathcal{A}'$, $0 \leq a' \leq 1$, and, for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\varphi(x) = (a_0x\xi|\xi) = (a'^2x\xi|\xi) = \omega_{a'\xi}(x).$$

Q.E.D.

5.20. **Lemma.** Let $\varphi$ be a positive form on the C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If $L_a \varphi > 0$, then

$$L_a \varphi \leq \|a\| \varphi.$$
Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}, x \geq 0$. Then

$$(L_a \varphi)(x) = \varphi(ax) = \varphi(ax^{1/2}x^{1/2}) \leq \varphi(axa^*)^{1/2} \varphi(x)^{1/2}.$$ 

Since $L_a \varphi \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\varphi(axa^*) = (L_a \varphi)(xa^*) = (L_{a^*} \varphi)(ax^*) = (L_{a^*} \varphi)(a^2 x),$$

hence

$$L_{a^*} \varphi \geq 0,$$

$$(L_a \varphi)(x) \leq (L_{a^*} \varphi)(x)^{1/2} \varphi(x)^{1/2}.$$ 

We proceed analogously with the forms

$$L_a \varphi, \ldots, L_a \varphi,$$

and, by induction, we get

$$(L_a \varphi)(x) \leq (L_{a^n} \varphi)(x)^{1/2} \varphi(x)^{1/2} + \cdots + 1/2^n$$

$$\leq \| \varphi \|^{1/2} \| a \| x \|^{1/2} \varphi(x)^{1/2} + \cdots + 1/2^n.$$ 

By tending to the limit for $n \to \infty$, it follows that

$$(L_a \varphi)(x) \leq \| a \| \varphi(x).$$

Q.E.D.

5.21. The following result, due to S. Sakai, is an extension of the Radon-Nikodym theorem from measure theory; it is very important in itself and also because of its applications.

Theorem (of Radon-Nikodym type). Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be two normal forms on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that $\varphi \leq \psi$. Then there exists an $a \in \mathcal{M}$ uniquely determined by the properties

$$0 \leq a \leq 1,$$

$$s(a) \leq s(\psi),$$

$$\varphi = L_a R_a \psi.$$ 

Proof. Without any loss of generality, we can assume that $s(\psi) = 1$. Then, because of Proposition 5.18, we can assume that $\psi = \omega_\xi$, with a suitable vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $s(\psi) = 1$, we have

$$x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad x\xi = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0,$$

$$[\mathcal{M}\xi] = \mathcal{H}.$$ 

From Lemma 5.19, there exists an $a' \in \mathcal{M}', 0 \leq a' \leq 1$, such that

$$\varphi = \omega_{a'\xi}.$$
We now consider on $\mathcal{M}'$ the forms $\varphi'$ and $f'$, determined by the relations
\[
\varphi'(x') = (x'\xi | \xi),
\]
\[
f'(x') = (x'\xi | a'\xi).
\]
From the polar decomposition theorem (5.16; see also exercise E.5.10), it follows that there exists a normal form $g'$ on $\mathcal{M}'$, and a partial isometry $v' \in \mathcal{M}'$, such that
\[
f' = L_{v'}g',
\]
\[
g' = L_{v'}f'.
\]
Thus, we have $g' = L_{v'}L_{a'^*}\varphi' = L_{a'^*v'}\varphi'$, and, with Lemma 5.20, we infer that
\[
g' \leq \varphi' = \omega_\xi.
\]
If we apply again Lemma 5.19, we get a $b \in \mathcal{M}$, $0 \leq b \leq 1$, such that
\[
g'(x') = \omega_{b'\xi}(x') = (x'\xi | b''\xi), \quad x' \in \mathcal{M}'.
\]
Let us denote $a = b^a$. For any $x' \in \mathcal{M}'$ we have
\[
(x'\xi | a'\xi) = (L_{a'^*}\varphi')(x') = (a'v'*x'\xi | \xi) = (x'\xi | v'a'\xi),
\]
\[
(x'\xi | a'\xi) = f'(x') = g'(v'x') = \varphi'(a'v'*v'x') = (x'\xi | v'^*v'a'\xi).
\]
Since $[\mathcal{M'}\xi] = \mathcal{M}$, it follows that
\[
a'\xi = v'a'\xi,
\]
\[
a'\xi = v'^*v'a'\xi.
\]
Hence, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have
\[
\varphi(x) = (xa'\xi | a'\xi) = (xv'^*v'a'\xi | a'\xi) = (xv'a'\xi | v'a'\xi) = (xa\xi | a\xi)
\]
\[
= \omega_\xi(axa) = \psi(axa),
\]
and the existence part of the theorem is proved.

In order to prove the uniqueness, let $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$, $0 \leq a, b \leq 1$, be such that for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ we have
\[
\omega_\xi(axa) = \omega_\xi(bxb).
\]
Since
\[
\|xa\xi\|^2 = \omega_\xi(ax^*xa) = \omega_\xi(bx^*xb) = \|xb\xi\|^2,
\]
we can define a partial isometry $u' \in \mathcal{M}'$ by the relation
\[
u'(xa\xi) = xb\xi.
\]
We now consider the following normal forms on $\mathcal{M}'$
\[
g'_a(x') = (x' a^* \xi), \quad x' \in \mathcal{M}',
\]
\[
g'_b(x') = (x' b^* \xi), \quad x' \in \mathcal{M}'.
\]

Then we have
\[
g'_b(x') = (x' u' a^* \xi) = (R_w g'_a)(x'),
\]
\[
u^* u' = s(g'_a).
\]

From the uniqueness of the polar decomposition of $g'_a$ it follows that the partial isometry $u'$ maps identically $[\mathcal{M} a \xi]$ onto $[\mathcal{M} a \xi]$. In particular, we have
\[
a \xi = u' a \xi = b \xi,
\]
whence
\[
a = b.
\]

Q.E.D.

5.22. As applications of the Radon-Nikodym type theorem, in the following sections we present some fundamental results which are essentially due to J. von Neumann. These results are at the basis of the subsequent theory and are themselves Radon-Nikodym type theorems.

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a fixed von Neumann algebra and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. The restriction of the form $\omega_\xi$ (1.3) to $\mathcal{M}$ will be denoted also by $\omega_\xi$, whereas the restriction of the form $\omega_\xi$ to $\mathcal{M}'$ will be denoted by $\omega'_\xi$. With the notations already introduced in Section 3.8, we have the following relations, which can be easily verified
\[
z(p_\xi) = z(p'_\xi) = [\mathcal{M} \mathcal{M}' \xi],
\]
\[
s(\omega_\xi) = s(\omega_\xi | \mathcal{M}) = p_\xi,
\]
\[
s(\omega'_\xi) = s(\omega_\xi | \mathcal{M}') = p'_\xi.
\]

Lemma. Let $\varphi$ be a normal form on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. If
\[
\varphi \geq \omega_\xi \text{ and } s(\varphi) = p_\xi,
\]
then there exists an $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, such that
\[
\varphi = \omega_\eta \text{ and } p'_\eta = p'_\xi.
\]

Proof. Since $\varphi \geq \omega_\xi$, and from the Radon-Nikodym type Theorem 5.21, there exists an $a \in \mathcal{M}$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, such that
\[
\omega_\xi = L_a R_a \varphi,
\]
\[
s(a) = s(\varphi).
\]

Since $s(\omega_\xi) = s(\varphi)$, it follows that $s(a) = s(\varphi) = p_\xi$. 

From Corollary 2.22, there exists a sequence \( \{e_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}_n \), such that \( e_n \) and \( a \) commute and

\[
ae_n \geq \frac{1}{n} e_n,
\]

\( e_n \uparrow s(a) \).

Then \( ae_n \) is invertible in \( e_n\mathcal{M}e_n \), hence there exists an \( x_n \in e_n\mathcal{M}e_n \), \( x_n \geq 0 \), such that \( ax_n = e_n \).

We define \( \eta_n = x_n \xi \). Then, for any \( n \geq m \), we have

\[
\| \eta_n - \eta_m \|^2 = ((x_n - x_m)\xi \mid (x_n - x_m)\xi)
\]

\[
= \omega_\xi((x_n - x_m)^2) = \varphi(a(x_n - x_m)^2a) = \varphi(e_n - e_m),
\]

and, since \( \varphi(e_n) \) converges to \( \varphi(s(a)) \), it follows that \( \{\eta_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence. Let \( \eta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_n \). For any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) we have

\[
\varphi(x) = \varphi(s(a)x(s(a))) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(e_n x e_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(ax_n x_n a)
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} (xx_n \xi \mid xx_n \xi) = (x\eta \mid \eta) = \omega_\eta(x),
\]

hence \( \varphi = \omega_\eta \).

On the other hand, we have \( \eta_n \in \mathcal{M}\xi \) for any \( n \), hence \( \eta \in [\mathcal{M}\xi] \) and, therefore, \( p_\eta' \leq p_\xi' \). Conversely, we have

\[
an \eta = \lim_{n \to \infty} ax_n \xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} e_n \xi = s(a)\xi = p_\xi(\xi) = \xi;
\]

i.e., \( \xi \in \mathcal{M}\eta \), whence \( p_\xi' \leq p_\eta' \).

Q.E.D.

5.23. Theorem. Let \( \psi \) be a normal form on the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) and \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \). If

\[
s(\psi) \leq p_\xi,
\]

then there exists an \( \eta \in [\mathcal{M}\xi] \cap [\mathcal{M}'\xi] \), such that

\[
\psi = \omega_\eta.
\]

Moreover, if \( s(\psi) = p_\xi \), then there exists an \( \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[
\psi = \omega_\eta \text{ and } p_\eta' = p_\xi'.
\]

Proof. Let \( \varphi = \psi + \omega_\xi \). By virtue of Lemma 5.22, there exists an \( \eta_0 \in \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[
\varphi = \omega_{\eta_0} \text{ and } p_{\eta_0}' = p_\xi'.
\]
Since $\psi \leq \varphi$, from the Radon-Nikodym type theorem, there exists an $a \in \mathcal{M}$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, such that

$$\psi = L_a R_a \varphi,$$

$$s(a) \leq s(\varphi) = p_\varphi.$$

Let us define $\eta = a \eta_0$. Then, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\psi(x) = \omega_{\eta}(axa) = \omega_a(x),$$

i.e., $\psi = \omega_a$. Since $\eta = a \eta_0 \in \mathcal{M} \eta_0$, it follows that $\eta \in [\mathcal{M} \xi]$. On the other hand, we have

$$\eta = a \eta_0 \in s(a) \mathcal{M} \subset p_\varphi \mathcal{M} = [\mathcal{M} \xi].$$

Let us now assume that $s(\psi) = p_\varphi$. Then, with the preceding notations, it follows that $s(a) = p_{\eta_0}$. By taking into account Corollary 2.22, we find two sequences $\{e_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\{x_n\} \subset e_n \mathcal{M} e_n$, $x_n \geq 0$, such that

$$ax_n = x_n a = e_n, \quad e_n \uparrow s(a).$$

We denote $\eta_n = x_n \eta = x_n a \eta_0 = e_n \eta_0$. Since $\eta_n = x_n \eta \in \mathcal{M} \eta$, we infer that

$$\eta_0 = p_{\eta_0}(\eta_0) = s(a)(\eta_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} e_n \eta_0 \in [\mathcal{M} \eta].$$

Thus, we have $p_{\eta_0} \leq p_\varphi$. But $p_{\eta_0} = p_\varphi$, hence $p_\varphi \leq p_{\eta_0}$. On the other hand, it is obvious that $p_\varphi \leq p_{\eta_0}$. Consequently, we have $p_\varphi = p_{\eta_0}$.

Q.E.D.

5.24. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra with the property that there exists a vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, which is separating for $\mathcal{M}$. Then, for any normal (resp., normal and faithful) form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$, there exists a vector $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $\varphi = \omega_\eta$ (resp., $\varphi = \omega_\eta$ and $p_\varphi = p_{\eta_0}$).

5.25. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $\mathcal{M}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ be von Neumann algebras, such that there exist vectors $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $\xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$ which are cyclic and separating for $\mathcal{M}_1$ (resp., $\mathcal{M}_2$).

For any $\ast$-isomorphism $\pi: \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ there exists a unitary operator $u: \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$ such that, for any $x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1$ we have

$$\pi(x_1) = u \ast x_1 \ast u^\ast.$$

In particular, $\pi$ is wo-continuous.

Proof. We define a normal form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}_1$ by

$$\varphi(x_1) = \omega_{\xi_2}(\pi(x_1)), \quad x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1.$$
Then \( \varphi \) is faithful, because \( \xi_2 \) is separating for \( \mathcal{M}_2 \), whereas \( \pi \) is a \( * \)-isomorphism. From Corollary 5.24 it follows that there exists a vector \( \eta_1 \), which is cyclic for \( \mathcal{M}_1 \), such that

\[
\varphi = \omega_{\eta_1}.
\]

But in this case \( \eta_1 \) is also separating for \( \mathcal{M}_1 \), because \( \varphi \) is faithful.

We now define a linear mapping \( u_0 : \mathcal{M}_1 \eta_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2 \eta_2 \) by

\[
u_0(x_1 \eta_1) = \pi(x_1) \eta_2.
\]

This mapping is isometric:

\[
\|x_1 \eta_1 \|^2 = \omega_{\eta_1}(x_1^* x_1) = \varphi(x_1^* x_1) = \omega_{\xi_2}(\pi(x_1^* x_1)) = \|\pi(x_1) \xi_2\|^2.
\]

Since the vectors \( \eta_1, \xi_2 \) are cyclic respectively for \( \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \), it follows that the mapping \( u_0 \) can be uniquely extended, by continuity, to a unitary operator \( u : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \). For any \( x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1 \) we have

\[
\pi(x_1) \pi(y_1) \xi_2 = \pi(x_1 y_1) \xi_2 = u_0 x_1 y_1 \eta_1 = (u_0 x_1) (y_1 \eta_1) = u_0 x_1 u_0^{-1} \pi(y_1) \xi_2,
\]

hence \( \pi(x_1) = u \circ x_1 \circ u^{-1} \). Q.E.D.

Exercises

In the exercises in which the symbols \( \mathcal{M} \subset B(\mathcal{H}) \) are not explained, they will denote a von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) which acts on the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \).

E.5.1. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( \mathcal{C}^* \)-algebra and \( \varphi \) a bounded form on \( \mathcal{A} \). If there exists an \( a \in \mathcal{A}, 0 \neq a \geq 0 \), such that \( \varphi(a) = \|\varphi\|\|a\| \), then \( \varphi \) is positive.

E.5.2. Let \( \mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H}) \), \( \mathcal{A} \ni 1 \), be a \( \mathcal{A} \)-algebra. If \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \) and if \( \omega_{\xi, \eta} : \mathcal{A} \) is positive, then there exists a \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \), such that \( \omega_{\xi, \eta} : \mathcal{A} = \omega_{\zeta} : \mathcal{A} \). Infer that if \( \varphi \) is a positive \( \omega \)-continuous form, then there exist \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H} \), such that \( \varphi = \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_{\xi_k} : \mathcal{A} \).

E.5.3. Let \( \varphi \) be a normal form on \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \{x_i\} \) a net in the closed unit ball of \( \mathcal{M} \). Then we have

\[
\varphi(x_i^* x_i) \to 0 \iff x_i s(\varphi)^{1/2} \to 0.
\]

E.5.4. Let \( \varphi, \psi \) be normal on \( \mathcal{M} \). Then \( s(\psi) \leq s(\varphi) \) iff on the closed unit ball of \( \mathcal{M} \) the topology determined by the seminorm \( x \mapsto \varphi(x^* x)^{1/2} \) is stronger than the topology determined by the seminorm \( x \mapsto \psi(x^* x)^{1/2} \).

E.5.5. On a von Neumann algebra one considers the \( s \)-topology given by the semi-norms

\[
s_\varphi(x) = \varphi(x^* x)^{1/2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M},
\]

where \( \varphi \) runs over all normal forms on \( \mathcal{M} \).
Show that if $\varphi_0$ is a normal form on $\mathcal{M}$, such that $s(\varphi_0) = 1$, then, on the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$, the $s$-topology is determined by the norm $s_{\varphi_0}$.

E.5.6. A projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ is of countable type if and only if it is the support of a normal form on $\mathcal{M}$. In particular, $\mathcal{M}$ is of countable type if there exists a normal form $\varphi_0$ on $\mathcal{M}$, such that $s(\varphi_0) = 1$.

E.5.7. Prove the following implications:
- $\mathcal{H}$ is separable $\Rightarrow$ the predual $\mathcal{M}_*$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is separable $\Rightarrow$ $\mathcal{M}$ is of countable type $\Rightarrow$ the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$ is $s$-metrizable.

E.5.8. Show that, for any net $\{x_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, one has

$$x_i \xrightarrow{i} 0 \Rightarrow x_i^* x_i \xrightarrow{i} 0.$$

Infer that on the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$ the $s$-topology coincides with the $s$-topology.
Show that for any form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$, $\varphi$ is $s$-continuous $\iff$ $\varphi$ is $w$-continuous,

E.5.9. Let $\varphi$ be a normal form on $\mathcal{M}$. For any $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, e \neq 0$, there exist $f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}, 0 \neq f \leq e$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, such that

$$\varphi(fxf) = \omega_\xi(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

E.5.10. Let $\varphi$ be a $w$-continuous form on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\varphi = R_e |\varphi|$ its polar decomposition. Then the polar decomposition of the form $\varphi^*$ is

$$\varphi^* = R_{e^*} |\varphi^*|, \quad |\varphi^*| = L_{e^*} R_{e^*} |\varphi|.$$

In particular,

$$\varphi = L_e |\varphi^*|, \quad \psi^* = s(|\varphi^*|).$$

E.5.11. Let $\varphi$ be a $w$-continuous form on $\mathcal{M}$. Then $|\varphi|$ is the unique normal form $\psi$ on $\mathcal{M}$ with the properties

$$\|\psi\| = \|\varphi\|, \quad |\varphi(x)|^2 \leq \|\varphi\| \psi(x^* x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

E.5.12. Let $\varphi, \psi$ be $w$-continuous forms on $\mathcal{M}$. Then, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$|\varphi(x) + \psi(x)|^2 \leq (\|\varphi\| + \|\psi\|)(|\varphi(x^* x)| + |\psi(x^* x)|).$$

E.5.13. Let $\varphi$ be a normal form on $\mathcal{M}$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}$. Then

$$|L_a \varphi| \leq \|a\| \varphi.$$

E.5.14. Let $\varphi$ be a $w$-continuous form on $\mathcal{M}$ and $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$. Show that

$$L_e \varphi = \varphi \iff \|L_e \varphi\| = \|\varphi\|.$$
E.5.15. Let $\varphi, \psi$ be normal forms on $\mathcal{M}$. Show that

$$s(\varphi)s(\psi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \|\varphi - \psi\| = \|\varphi\| + \|\psi\|.$$  

E.5.16. Any normal form on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset B(H)$ extends to a normal form on $B(H)$. Infer that any $w$-continuous form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$ extends to a $w$-continuous form $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $B(H)$, such that $\|\tilde{\varphi}\| = \|\varphi\|$.

E.5.17. Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ be von Neumann algebras and $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ a bounded linear mapping. Then $\Phi$ is $w$-continuous iff $\Phi$ is completely additive.

*E.5.18. Show that the assertions (i), (ii) from Theorem 5.14 are equivalent to the following assertion

(iii) there exists a normal form $\varphi_0$ on $\mathcal{M}$, such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$, with the property:

$$x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \|x\| \leq 1, \quad \varphi_0(x^*x + xx^*) \leq \delta \Rightarrow |\varphi(x)| \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for any } \varphi \in \mathcal{F}.$$  

E.5.19. Let $\mathcal{M}_*$ be the predual of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_*, \mathcal{M})$-relatively compact part of $\mathcal{M}_* = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_*; \varphi > 0\}$, then the set $\{L_x\varphi; \varphi \in \mathcal{F}, x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ is also $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_*, \mathcal{M})$-relatively compact.

E.5.20. Produce an example in order to show that there exist $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_*, \mathcal{M})$-relatively compact parts $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}_*$, such that the set $\{\|\varphi\| ; \varphi \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is not $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_*, \mathcal{M})$-relatively compact.

E.5.21. Show that the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) any normal form on $\mathcal{M}$ is of the type $\omega_\xi, \xi \in H$,

(ii) for any $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ of countable type, $\mathcal{M}_e \subset B(eH)$ has a separating vector.

E.5.22. Show that two $*$-isomorphic maximal abelian von Neumann algebras are spatially isomorphic.

Comments

C.5.1. Besides the uniform topology (i.e., the norm topology) and the topologies $w_0, w, s_0$, on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ one also considers the following topologies:

the $s$-topology, given by the seminorms

$$s_\varphi(x) = \varphi(x^*x)^{1/2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$

where $\varphi$ runs over the set of all normal forms on $\mathcal{M}$ (see E.5.5);

the $s^*$-topology, given by the seminorms

$$s^*_\varphi(x) = \varphi(x^*x)^{1/2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$

$$s^*_{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(xx^*)^{1/2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$

where $\varphi$ runs over the set of all normal forms on $\mathcal{M}$;
the $\tau$-topology $= \tau(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{M}_*)$, i.e., the Mackey topology associated with the topology $w = \sigma(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{M}_*)$; this topology is given by the seminorms

$$p_\pi(x) = \sup_{\varphi \in \pi} |\varphi(x)|, \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$

where $\pi$ runs over the set of all $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_*; \mathcal{M})$-compact, convex, equilibrated subsets of $\mathcal{M}_*$, and it is the finest locally convex topology on $\mathcal{M}$ which determines the same set of linear, continuous forms on $\mathcal{M}$, as the $w$-topology (see Bourbaki [1]).

The general relations existing between these topologies are represented in the following diagram

$$w_o \leq s_o$$

(2) $\wedge$ (3) $\wedge$

$w \leq s \leq s^* \leq \tau.$

Relations (1) and (2) are obvious from the definitions of the corresponding topologies (see 1.3, 1.10); relations (3) and (4) easily follow from Proposition 5.3 and E.5.8; relation (5) is trivial, whereas relation (6) follows from E.5.8, if we observe that the $*$-operation is $\tau$-continuous.

As far as the restrictions of these topologies to the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$ are concerned (denoted below by the subscript 1 to the corresponding symbol of the topology), we have the following relations:

$$w_{o1} \leq s_{o1}$$

(a) $\parallel$ (b) $\parallel$

$w_1 \leq s_1 \leq s_1^* = \tau_1.$

(c)

Equality (a) has already been established (1.3, 1.10), equality (b) follows from (a) with the help of E.5.8, whereas equality (c) is proved by C. A. Akemann in [1].

The cases in which the equalities $w_o = w$, $s_o = s$ hold, are discussed in Chapter 8.

For other results concerning topologies on von Neumann algebras, we refer to: J. F. Aarnes [2], C. A. Akemann [1], S. Sakai [6], [14], P. C. Shields [1].

C.5.2. One calls a derivation of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ any linear mapping $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$, such that

$$\mathcal{E}(xy) = x\mathcal{E}(y) + \mathcal{E}(x)y, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$  

Any element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ determines an inner derivation

$$\mathcal{E}_a : \mathcal{A} \ni x \mapsto ax - xa \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The study of the derivations of algebras of operators has been started by I. Kaplansky [18], [23], who proved that any derivation of a von Neumann algebra
of type I is inner (aided by the fact that any derivation of a commutative $C^*$-algebra is identically zero, a result due to I. M. Singer) and made the conjecture that any derivation of a $C^*$-algebra is uniformly continuous. This conjecture has been positively solved by S. Sakai [9], and afterwards B. E. Johnson and A. M. Sinclair [1] showed that any derivation of a semi-simple Banach algebra is continuous. With the help of the results of I. Kaplansky and S. Sakai, already mentioned, R. V. Kadison [23] showed that any derivation of a $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is $\omega$-continuous and extends to an inner derivation of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$; S. Sakai [17], with the help of Kadison's result, proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** Any derivation of a von Neumann algebra is inner.

Other proofs of this theorem have been given by B. E. Johnson and J. R. Ringrose [1], and by W. B. Arveson [10]; D. Olesen [1] has shown that any derivation of an $AW^*$-algebra (see C.3.1) is inner, by extending the arguments of W. B. Arveson.

On the other hand, S. Sakai [22] has shown that any derivation of a simple $C^*$-algebra with the unit element is inner, whereas other results in this direction have been obtained by: S. Sakai [22], [31], [34], D. Olesen and G. K. Pedersen [1], G. A. Elliott [9], C. A. Akemann, G. A. Elliott, G. K. Pedersen and J. Tomiyama [1], and others.

As an extension of the study of the derivations, the theory of the cohomology of algebras of operators and of general Banach algebras was also developed: R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose [4], B. E. Johnson, R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose [1], B. E. Johnson [11], I. C. Craw [1], [2].

Along the study of the derivations, significant results were obtained in the theory of automorphisms of algebras of operators, for which we refer the reader to the works of R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose [1], [3], [5], R. V. Kadison, E. C. Lance and J. R. Ringrose [1] and H. J. Borchers [4].

We recall, that any $\ast$-isomorphism between two von Neumann algebras is $\omega$-continuous, hence $s$-continuous (5.13). In connection with the continuity of the algebraic isomorphisms, we mention the following result of T. Okayasu [2], which we state in the form given by S. Sakai [32]:

**Theorem 2.** Let $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be an algebraic isomorphism of $C^*$-algebras. Then there exists a derivation $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and a $\ast$-isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, such that

$$\Phi = \Psi \exp (\mathcal{G}).$$

In particular, one infers from this result that any pair of algebraically isomorphic $C^*$-algebras are $\ast$-isomorphic (L. T. Gardner [2]) and that any algebraic isomorphism between two $C^*$-algebras is uniformly (i.e., norm) continuous (C. E. Rickart [3]).

On the other hand, from Theorems 1 and 2 one obtains the following result

**Corollary.** Let $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ be an algebraic isomorphism between von Neumann algebras. Then there exists an invertible positive element $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ and a $\ast$-isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$, such that

$$\Phi(x) = \Psi(ax\alpha^{-1}), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$
Thus, any algebraic isomorphism between von Neumann algebras is continuous for the topologies w and s.

Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a derivation of a von Neumann algebra \( M \). By virtue of Theorem 1, there exists an \( a \in M \), such that \( \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_a \). In connection with the selection of the element \( a \), one knows that there exists a unique \( a_\theta \in M \), \( \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{a_\theta} \), such that, for any central projection \( p \in M \) one has

\[
\|p\mathcal{G}\| = 2 \|pa_\theta\|.
\]

In particular, we have

\[
\|\mathcal{G}\| = 2 \inf \{\|a\|; \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_a\}.
\]

This result has been obtained by J. G. Stampfli [1] for the case in which \( M = B(H) \), by P. Gajendragadkar [1], for the case \( M = \) von Neumann algebra with a separable predual, and by L. Zsidó [2], for the general case. For other information concerning the norm of the derivations, we refer the reader to C. Apostol and L. Zsidó [1].

The study of isometries between von Neumann algebras has been carried out by R. V. Kadison [2], [5], who obtained the following result:

**Theorem 3.** Let \( \Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \) be a linear isomorphism between two \( C^* \)-algebras with the unit element, such that \( \Phi(1) = 1 \). The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( \Phi \) is an isometry;

(ii) for any \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), one has \( \Phi(a) \in \mathcal{B} \) and \( \Phi(a^n) = \Phi(a)^n \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \);

(iii) for any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) one has: \( x > 0 \Leftrightarrow \Phi(x) > 0 \).

If \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) are von Neumann algebras, then the preceding assertions are equivalent to the following one:

(iv) There exist central projections \( p \in \mathcal{A} \), \( q \in \mathcal{B} \), such that \( \Phi \) induces a \(*\)-isomorphism of \( \mathcal{A}p \) onto \( \mathcal{B}q \), and a \(*\)-antiisomorphism of \( \mathcal{A}(1 - p) \) onto \( \mathcal{B}(1 - q) \).

Condition (ii) is equivalent to the fact that \( \Phi \) is a Jordan \(*\)-isomorphism, i.e., \( \Phi \) commutes with the \(*\)-operation and conserves the "Jordan product", \( a \circ b = \frac{1}{2} (ab + ba) \). Condition (iii) is equivalent to the fact that \( \Phi \) is a bipositive linear isomorphism, such that \( \Phi(1) = 1 \). Thus, the linear isometries which map 1 to 1, the bipositive linear isomorphisms which map 1 to 1 and the Jordan \(*\)-isomorphisms are equivalent notions, whereas in the case of von Neumann algebras, they are characterized by condition (iv) in terms of \(*\)-isomorphisms and \(*\)-antiisomorphisms. Consequently, Theorem 3 is a general, non-commutative, extension of the Banach-Stone theorem (see N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], Ch.V, 8.8).

R. V. Kadison's proof is based on the study of the extreme points of the closed unit ball and on some older results of N. Jacobson and C. E. Rickart [1]. Another proof of the equivalence (i) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (ii), based on the notion of numerical range, was obtained by A. L. T. Paterson [1], whereas extensions of the theorem to \( C^* \)-algebras without the unit element, were given by L. A. Harris [1] and A. L. T. Paterson and A. M. Sinclair [1].

Other results concerning the Jordan structure of \( C^* \)-algebras are contained in some papers by E. Störmer, D. M. Topping et al.
With the help of the above theorem of Kadison and of the Tomita theory, A. Connes [7] obtained the characterization of von Neumann algebras as ordered linear spaces.

A linear mapping \( \Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \), between the \( C^* \)-algebras \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \), is said to be \( n \)-positive, \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), if the natural extension

\[
\Phi_n : \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{B})
\]

is a positive mapping. If \( \Phi \) is \( n \)-positive, for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( \Phi \) is called completely positive.

The fundamental result concerning the completely positive mappings is the following theorem of W. F. Stinespring [1]:

**Theorem 4.** For any linear mapping \( \Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) the following assertions are equivalent

(i) \( \Phi \) is completely positive;

(ii) there exists a \( * \)-representation \( \pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) and a bounded operator \( v : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[
\Phi(a) = v^* \pi(a) v, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.
\]

**Corollary.** If \( \Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is completely positive, then

\[
|\Phi(a)|^2 \leq \Phi(|a|^2), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.
\]

If either \( \mathcal{A} \) or \( \mathcal{B} \) is commutative, then any positive linear mapping \( \Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \) is completely positive. Thus, from the preceding corollary trivially follows the "Schwarz type inequality" of R. V. Kadison [5].

For the study of positive and completely positive mappings we refer to W. B. Arveson [7], R. V. Kadison [1], [5], E. Störmer [1], [25].*) A detailed analysis of the \( n \)-positive mappings can be found in Man-Duen Choi [1]. Some applications of the completely positive mappings to the theory of operators and to the theory of algebras of operators can be found in W. B. Arveson [7], I. Suciu [1] and L. Zsidó [4].

Linear mappings between \( C^* \)-algebras, which map unitary elements to unitary elements, have been studied by B. Russo and H. A. Dye [1] and B. Russo [1].

C.5.3. We recall (C.2.1) that the \( C^* \)-algebras of operators possess an axiomatic description. The following theorem of S. Sakai [3] (see also [10], [32]) allows an axiomatic description of von Neumann algebras:

**Theorem.** A \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) is \( * \)-isomorphic to a von Neumann algebra iff it is the dual of a Banach space.

A proof of this theorem has also been obtained by J. Tomiyama [1].

One calls a \( W^* \)-algebra any \( C^* \)-algebra which is the dual of a Banach space. On account of the preceding theorem, von Neumann algebras are also called concrete \( W^* \)-algebras.

The proof of the preceding theorem required that some results, already known for von Neumann algebras, be obtained by non-spatial arguments. These essentially

*) See also the recent papers by D. E. Evans.
developed methods, used in the theory of abstract \( C^* \)-algebras, the compactness of the closed unit balls in \( W^* \)-algebras and the Krein–Smulian theorem (C.1.1). Among the abstract \( C^* \)-algebra techniques, we mention the “Arens trick”, presented in the proof of Lemma 2.5. A characteristic sample is the proof of Theorem 5.16. The advantage of this technique lies in the invariance with respect to \( * \)-isomorphisms of the results obtained with its help. Not incidentally, all the results, which are invariant with respect to \( * \)-isomorphisms, have proofs of this nature. The book of S. Sakai [32] is an excellent exposition of the theory based on these ideas.

If \( \mathcal{A} \) is a \( C^* \)-algebra and \( \varphi \in \mathcal{A}^* \), \( \varphi \geq 0 \), we have already defined the representation \( \pi_{\varphi} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi) \), of \( \mathcal{A} \) into \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi) \) (5.18). If we denote by \( \pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) the direct sum of all representations \( \pi_{\varphi} \), one defines the enveloping von Neumann algebra of the \( C^* \)-algebras \( \mathcal{A} \) as being the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{B}(\pi(\mathcal{A})) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) (see J. Dixmier [42], § 12).

The bidual \( \mathcal{A}^{**} \) of the \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) can be organized, in a natural manner, as a \( W^* \)-algebra and it is \( * \)-isomorphic to the enveloping von Neumann algebra of \( \mathcal{A} \) (see, for example, M. Tomita [9]). The multiplication that one introduces in \( \mathcal{A}^{**} \) is a natural one (first defined by R. Arens [3]) and called the Arens multiplication.

Any continuous linear form on the \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) can be extended in a unique manner, by continuity, as a \( w \)-continuous linear form on the bidual \( W^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A}^{**} \); hence, \( (\mathcal{A}^{**})_w = \mathcal{A}^* \). Any \( * \)-representation \( \pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) can be extended in a unique manner to a normal \( * \)-representation \( \tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), and \( \tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{A}^{**}) = \mathcal{B}(\pi(\mathcal{A})) \). These facts allow the extension of some results, known for \( w \)-continuous linear forms on von Neumann algebras, to the bounded linear forms on \( C^* \)-algebras.

In § Strătilă, L. Zsidó [6] there is a unified exposition of the topics recorded in this section and in Section C.2.1.

C.5.4. The theory of operator algebras allowed the extension of the integration theory to a non-commutative framework. The first results in this direction were obtained by J. Dixmier [23] and I. E. Segal [11]. For further developments we recommend the works of S. K. Berberian, T. Ogasawara and K. Yoshinaga, H. Umegaki, L. Pukánszky, E. Nelson, A. R. Padmanabhan, K. Saitô, E. Christensen, F. J. Yeadon, E. C. Lance, U. Haagerup, A. Connes and I. Cuculescu. A presentation of the results and of the directions of research in the field of “abstract integration” can be found in the expository paper by I. E. Segal [26].

On the other hand, extensions of a different kind of the measure theory to the \( C^* \)-algebras have been obtained by G. K. Pedersen and F. Combes. An almost complete exposition of the results obtained in this direction can be found in § Strătilă [1].

C.5.5. Bibliographical comments. Theorem 5.11 and Corollary 5.12 are due to M. Takesaki [2], but in our exposition we followed the proof of B. E. Johnson, R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose [1]. Theorem 5.14 is due to C. A. Akemann [1]. Theorems 5.16 and 5.21 were obtained by S. Sakai [7], [15], whereas Theorem 5.17 by A. Grothendieck [2]. The construction from Section 5.18, with the help of which, to any positive form \( \varphi \) on a \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A} \), one associates a “cyclic repre-
sentation" $\pi_\omega$ of $\mathcal{A}$, is sometimes called the *Gelfand-Naimark-Segal construction* or, briefly, the *GNS construction*. Theorem 5.23 is essentially due to F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [1], whereas our proof follows that in the paper of J. Vowden [2] (see, also, the talk by Kadison [16]). Corollary 5.25 is due to F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [2], and sometimes appears in the literature under the name *the spatial theorem of J. von Neumann*.

Crucial results in the theory of operator algebras are Radon-Nikodym type theorems. *Under the same hypotheses as those in Theorem 5.21*, S. Sakai ([10], Remark, p. 1.46; [32], Proposition 1.24.4) has also shown that *there exists an $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $0 \leq h \leq 1$ such that*

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{2} (L_h \psi + R_h \psi).$$

We shall come to the Radon-Nikodym type theorems again in C.6.1, C.6.2, and in Chapter 10.

In our exposition we also followed J. Dixmier [26], J. R. Ringrose [5] and S. Sakai [32].
Relationships between a von Neumann algebra and its commutant

In this chapter we shall show that the passage to the commutant of a von Neumann algebra is an operation which conserves the type and, afterwards, we shall give two applications of this result.

The following lemmas can be looked upon as being corollaries to Theorem 5.23. We shall use the notations already introduced in Sections 3.8 and 5.22.

6.1. Lemma. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B} (\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \). If \( p_\xi < p_\eta \) (resp., \( p_\xi \sim p_\eta \)) in \( \mathcal{M} \), then \( p_\xi' < p_\eta' \) (resp., \( p_\xi' \sim p_\eta' \)) in \( \mathcal{M}' \).

Proof. By virtue of the Schröder-Bernstein type theorem (4.7), it is sufficient to prove the first assertion of the theorem.

Let \( v \in \mathcal{M} \) be a partial isometry, such that:

\[
v^* v = p_\xi, \quad vv^* \leq p_\eta.
\]

We denote \( \eta_0 = v \xi \). Then \( \xi = v^* \eta_0 \in \mathcal{M} \eta_0 \). On the other hand, we have \( \eta_0 \in [\mathcal{M}' \eta] \), hence \( s(\omega_{\eta_0}) \leq p_\eta \). From Theorem 5.23, there exists a \( \xi_0 \in [\mathcal{M} \eta] \), such that

\[
\omega_{\eta_0} = \omega_{\xi_0}.
\]

If we define

\[
v'(x \xi_0) = x \eta_0, \quad x \in \mathcal{M},
\]

and if we observe that

\[
\|x \xi_0\|^2 = \omega_{\xi_0}(x^* x) = \omega_{\eta_0}(x^* x) = \|x \eta_0\|^2,
\]

it follows that we thus define a partial isometry \( v' \in \mathcal{M}' \), such that

\[
v'^* v' = p_{\xi_0}', \quad v' v'^* = p_{\eta_0}'.
\]

Consequently, we have

\[
p_\xi' \leq p_{\eta_0}' \sim p_{\xi_0}' \leq p_\eta'.
\]

Q.E.D.

6.2. Lemma. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B} (\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and let \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \). Then \( p_\xi \)
is an abelian projection in \( \mathcal{M} \) iff \( p_\xi' \) is an abelian projection in \( \mathcal{M}' \).

Proof. Let us assume, for example, that \( p_\xi \) is an abelian projection in \( \mathcal{M} \). We now consider the von Neumann algebra

\[
\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{B} (p_\xi \mathcal{H}),
\]

and let \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \) be such that \( \xi \perp \mathcal{N} \). Then, for all \( \eta \in \mathcal{N} \), we have

\[
p_\xi \eta = 0,
\]

since \( p_\xi \) is a projection in \( \mathcal{M} \). Therefore, \( p_\xi \eta \in \mathcal{N} \). This shows that \( p_\xi \) is a projection in \( \mathcal{N} \). Similarly, we show that \( p_\xi' \) is a projection in \( \mathcal{M}' \).
whose commutant is
\[ \mathcal{N}' = \mathcal{M}'_{p_\xi} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(p_\xi \mathcal{H}). \]

We must thus show that \( \mathcal{N}' \) is abelian.

For any \( \eta \in p_\xi \mathcal{H} \) we denote
\[ q_\eta = [\mathcal{N}' \eta] \in \mathcal{N}', \quad q'_\eta = [\mathcal{N} \eta] \in \mathcal{N}' . \]

Since \( p_\xi \) is abelian in \( \mathcal{M} \), the projection \( q_\xi = (p_\xi)_{p_\xi} \) is abelian in \( \mathcal{N} \). Moreover, the vector \( \xi \) is cyclic for the abelian von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N}_{q_\xi} \). With exercise E.3.10, we infer that
\[ \mathcal{N}'_{q_\xi} = \mathcal{N}_{q_\xi}, \]
hence \( \mathcal{N}'_{q_\xi} \) is abelian.

Since \( z(p_\xi) = z(p'_\xi) \), it is easy to see that, in the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N} \), the central support of the projection \( q_\xi \) is equal to the unit element. With Proposition 3.14, we infer that \( \mathcal{N}' \) is \(*\)-isomorphic to \( \mathcal{N}'_{q_\xi} \), hence \( \mathcal{N}' \) is abelian.

Q.E.D.

6.3. Lemma. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and let \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \). Then \( p_\xi \) is a finite projection in \( \mathcal{M} \) iff \( p_\xi' \) is a finite projection in \( \mathcal{M}' \).

Proof. We shall get at a contradiction, if we suppose that \( p_\xi \) is finite, whereas \( p_\xi' \) is not. Without any loss of generality, we can assume that \( p_\xi' \) is properly infinite.

Let \( q \) be a central projection, such that \( qp_\xi \) be abelian. Then \( p_\xi q = qp_\xi \) is abelian. With Lemma 6.2, we infer that \( p_\xi' = qp_\xi \) is abelian, hence it is finite. Since \( p_\xi' \) is properly infinite, it follows that \( qp_\xi = 0 \), and, since \( z(p_\xi) = z(p'_\xi) \), we have \( qp_\xi = 0 \).

By taking into account exercise E.4.21, it follows that there exist \( e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \), such that \( p_\xi = e + f \), \( ef = 0 \), \( z(e) = z(f) = z(p_\xi) \). If we denote \( \alpha = e\xi, \beta = f\xi \) we have \( e = p_\alpha, f = p_\beta \).

We now consider the projections \( e' = p_\alpha', f' = p_\beta' \). We shall show that \( e' \) and \( f' \) are finite projections in \( \mathcal{M}' \). Indeed, if \( e' \) is not finite, then there exists a central projection \( q \neq 0 \), such that the projection \( qe' \) be properly infinite and \( z(qe') = q \leq z(p'_\xi) \). Hence the projections \( qe' \) and \( qp_\xi \) are properly infinite, of countable type (see exercise E.5.6) and their central supports are equal. From Proposition 4.13, it follows that
\[ qe' \sim qp_\xi, \]
hence, with Lemma 6.1, we have
\[ qe \sim qp_\xi. \]

But \( qp_\xi = qe + qf \) and \( qf \neq 0 \), because \( 0 \neq q \leq z(p'_\xi) = z(p_\xi) = z(f) \). Hence \( qp_\xi \) is not finite, but this contradicts the fact that \( p_\xi \) is finite. Analogously, one shows that \( f' \) is finite.

Since \( e' \) is finite, whereas \( p_\xi' \) is properly infinite, with the help of the comparison theorem (4.6), we find an \( e'' \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \), such that \( e'' \leq p_\xi', e'' \sim e' \). By taking
into account Proposition 4.15, it follows that \( p'_e - e'' \) is also a properly infinite projection, hence \( f' < p'_e - e'' \). Consequently, there exist \( e'', f'' \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \) such that

\[
e' \sim e'' \leq p'_e, \quad f' \sim f'' \leq p'_e, \quad e''f'' = 0.
\]

We shall show that there exist \( \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[
e = p_\gamma, \quad e'' = p'_\gamma,
\]

\[
f = p_\delta, \quad f'' = p'_\delta.
\]

Indeed, let \( v' \in \mathcal{M}' \) be a partial isometry, such that

\[
v'v' = e', \quad v'v'^* = e''.
\]

Then we have

\[
e = p_\alpha = [\mathcal{M}'\alpha] \triangleright [\mathcal{M}'v'\alpha] \triangleright [\mathcal{M}'v'^*v'\alpha] = [\mathcal{M}'\alpha] = e,
\]

hence \( e = p_\nu \alpha \). On the other hand, we have

\[
[\mathcal{M}'v'\alpha] = v' [\mathcal{M} \alpha] = e'',
\]

hence \( e'' = p_\nu' \alpha \). We have thus found \( \gamma \) and we can analogously find \( \delta \).

The following computations show that \( p'_e = p_{\gamma + \delta} \) and \( e'' + f'' = p'_e + \delta \):

\[
p'_e = e + f = p_\gamma + p_\delta = [\mathcal{M}'\gamma] + [\mathcal{M}'\delta] \triangleright [\mathcal{M}'(\gamma + \delta)]
\]

\[
\triangleright [\mathcal{M}'e''(\gamma + \delta)] + [\mathcal{M}'f''(\gamma + \delta)] = [\mathcal{M}'\gamma] + [\mathcal{M}'\delta] = p_\gamma + p_\delta,
\]

\[
e'' + f'' = p'_\gamma + p'_\delta = [\mathcal{M}\gamma] + [\mathcal{M}\delta] \triangleright [\mathcal{M}(\gamma + \delta)]
\]

\[
\triangleright [\mathcal{M}(\gamma + \delta)] = [\mathcal{M}(\gamma + \delta)] = p'_\gamma + p'_\delta.
\]

The contradiction we should arrive at is the following: since \( p'_e = p_{\gamma + \delta} \), from Lemma 6.1 we infer that \( p'_e \sim p'_{\gamma + \delta} \), hence \( p'_{\gamma + \delta} \) is properly infinite in \( \mathcal{M}' \); on the other hand, since \( p'_{\gamma + \delta} = e'' + f'' \), from the above results and from Proposition 4.15, we infer that \( p'_{\gamma + \delta} \) is finite in \( \mathcal{M}' \).

Q.E.D.

6.4. Theorem. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra. Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is of type I (resp., of type II; resp., of type III) iff \( \mathcal{M}' \) is of type I (resp., of type II; resp., of type III).

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that if \( \mathcal{M}' \) is discrete (resp., semifinite), then \( \mathcal{M} \) is discrete (resp., semifinite) (see table 4.21). Let \( q \) be a non-zero central projection. Since \( \mathcal{M} \) is discrete (resp., semifinite), there exists an abelian (resp., finite) non-zero projection \( e' \in \mathcal{M} \), such that \( e' \leq q \). Let \( \xi \in e'(\mathcal{H}) \). Then \( p'_e \leq e' \) is an abelian (resp., finite) projection in \( \mathcal{M}' \), such that \( z(p'_e) \leq q \). From Lemma 6.2 (resp., 6.3), it follows that \( p_\zeta \) is an abelian (resp., finite) projection in \( \mathcal{M} \), such that \( z(p_\zeta) \leq q \). Thus, any non-zero central projection contains an abelian (resp., finite) non-zero projection in \( \mathcal{M} \), hence \( \mathcal{M} \) is, indeed, discrete (resp., semifinite).

Q.E.D.
6.5. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is discrete (resp., semifinite) iff $\mathcal{M}$ is *-isomorphic to a von Neumann algebra whose commutant is abelian (resp., finite).

Proof. If $\mathcal{M}$ is discrete (resp., semifinite), then, according to Theorem 6.4, $\mathcal{M}'$ is discrete (resp., semifinite). With Proposition 4.19, we infer that there exists an abelian (resp., finite) projection $e' \in \mathcal{M}'$, such that $z(e') = 1$. Then $(\mathcal{M}_e)' = (\mathcal{M}')_e$ is an abelian (resp., finite) von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ is *-isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_e$ (see Proposition 3.14 and Theorem 3.13).

The converse is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.4 and of the evident fact that types of von Neumann algebras are conserved by *-isomorphic.

Q.E.D.

6.6. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{M}'$ its commutant and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}'$ its center. With the help of Corollary 3.3, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}'$ is the smallest von Neumann algebra included in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which contains $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$, i.e., it is the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$, generated by $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$.

From Theorem 6.4 the following corollary obviously follows

Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra. Then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ is a von Neumann algebra of type I.

Exercises

E.6.1. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra, $e' \in P_{\mathcal{M}'}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $e' \sim p_{\xi}$. Then there exists an $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, such that

$$e' = p_{\eta'}, \quad p_{\xi} = p_{\eta}.$$

E.6.2. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $e, f \in P_{\mathcal{M}}$. If $\mathcal{M}_e \subseteq \mathcal{B}(e\mathcal{H})$ has a separating vector, $\mathcal{M}_f \subseteq \mathcal{B}(f\mathcal{H})$ has a cyclic vector and $z(e) < z(f)$, then $e < f$.

E.6.3. If a von Neumann algebra has a cyclic vector and a separating vector, then it has a vector which is both cyclic and separating (compare with C.3.5).

E.6.4. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra and if it has a finite totalizing family, then $\mathcal{M}'$ is also finite.

E.6.5. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ a finite von Neumann algebra with a properly infinite commutant. Then there exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, such that the projections $p_{\xi_n}$ be mutually orthogonal, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{\xi_n} = 1$ and, for any $n$, $p_{\xi_n} = 1$ (Hint: see 7.18).

E.6.6. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ a properly infinite von Neumann algebra with a properly infinite commutant. Then $\mathcal{M}$ has a separating cyclic vector.
E.6.7. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, with the center $\mathcal{L}$. A projection $p \in \mathcal{L}$ is the central support of a cyclic projection iff it is of countable type in $\mathcal{L}$. Infer from this result the statement in E.3.8.

E.6.8. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra. For any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $p_x \xi < p_\xi$.

If, moreover, $\xi \in [x^* \mathcal{H}]$, then $p_x \xi \sim p_\xi$.

E.6.9. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra, and $J : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ a conjugation, such that $x \mapsto Jx^*J$ is a $\ast$-antiisomorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{M}'$. Then, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$p'_\xi = Jp_\xi J.$$ 

E.6.10. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra of type I (resp., II; resp., III) and $e' \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{e'}$ is of type I (resp., II; resp., III).

E.6.11. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and $e \in \mathcal{M}$ a minimal projection in $\mathcal{M}$. Then $z(e)$ is a minimal projection in $\mathcal{L}$, whereas $\mathcal{M}z(e)$ is a factor of type I. Infer from this result that the l.u.b. of the set of all minimal projections in $\mathcal{M}$ is a central projection.

Comments

C.6.1. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. By taking into account Theorem 5.23, which is the basis of all results in this chapter, it is only natural to inquire about the structure of the vectors in the space $[\mathcal{M}\xi]$. Since any such vector is the limit of a sequence of vectors of the form $x_n\xi$, where $x_n \in \mathcal{M}$, it is natural to search for the conditions which make true the following assertion

\begin{equation}
(\mathcal{T}) \begin{cases}
\text{for any } \eta \in [\mathcal{M}\xi] \text{ there exists a closed } \ast \text{)} \text{ operator } T \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, \text{ affiliated } \ast \ast \text{)} \text{ to } \mathcal{M}, \text{ such that } \\
\eta = T\xi.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

This deep problem was first considered by F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [1] in connection with the results presented in this chapter. They gave a partially positive answer, by showing that the following statement is always true

\begin{equation}
(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{T}) \begin{cases}
\text{for any } \eta \in [\mathcal{M}\xi] \text{ there exists a closed operator } T \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, \text{ affiliated to } \mathcal{M}, \text{ and an operator } B \in \mathcal{M}, \text{ such that } \\
\eta = BT\xi.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

The (\mathcal{B}\mathcal{T})-theorem enabled F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann to obtain Lemma 6.1 from this chapter, for factors. For the proof of the (\mathcal{B}\mathcal{T})-theorem we

\*

\*) See Section 9.1.
\*

\**) See Section 9.7.
refer to S. Sakai [32], 2.7.14, or C.F. Skau [2], whereas for the proof of the results in this chapter, with the help of the \((BT)\)-theorem, we refer to J. Dixmier [26], Ch. III, §1.3, 1.4.

As far as statement \((T)\) is concerned, H. A. Dye [1] has shown that the projection \(p_\zeta, \zeta \in \mathcal{H}\), is finite iff the following implication holds:

\[ \xi \in [\mathcal{M}, \zeta] \Rightarrow \text{for } \xi \text{ the statement } (T) \text{ is true.} \]

H. A. Dye called a von Neumann algebra \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) essentially finite if any cyclic projection \(p_\xi \in \mathcal{M}, \xi \in \mathcal{H}\), is finite. Thus, the statement \((T)\) is true for any vector in \(\mathcal{H}\) iff \(\mathcal{M}\) is essentially finite.

In particular, if \(\mathcal{M}\) is finite, then statement \((T)\) is true for any vector in \(\mathcal{H}\), a result already known to F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann. The proof of this fact immediately follows from the \((BT)\)-theorem, with the help of exercise E.9.26.

On the other hand, if \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) is a von Neumann algebra, \(\xi \in \mathcal{H}\), and \(\psi\) is a normal form on \(\mathcal{M}\), such that \(s(\psi) \leq s(\omega_\eta)\), then Theorem 5.23 shows that there exists an \(\eta \in [\mathcal{M}, \xi]\), such that \(\psi = \omega_\eta\). Having in mind the problem \((T)\), there naturally arises the question whether the vector \(\eta \in [\mathcal{M}, \xi]\), such that \(\psi = \omega_\eta\), can be chosen so that \(\eta = T\xi\), where \(T\) is a closed operator in \(\mathcal{H}\), affiliated to \(\mathcal{M}\). Therefore, a new problem arises, namely to establish the conditions under which the following statement is true:

\[ \text{for any normal form } \psi \text{ on } \mathcal{M}, \text{ such that } s(\psi) \leq s(\omega_\xi), \text{ there exists a closed operator } T \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, \text{ affiliated to } \mathcal{M}, \text{ such that } \psi = \omega_T \xi. \]

Since the condition \(s(\psi) \leq s(\omega_\xi)\) is a condition of "absolute continuity", and since the operator \(T\) plays the role of a "density", the statement \((RT)\) is obviously analogous to the classical Radon-Nikodym theorem.

By taking into account Theorem 5.23, it is obvious that \((T) \Rightarrow (RN)\).

In particular, if \(\mathcal{M}\) is essentially finite, then the statement \((RN)\) is true for any vector in \(\mathcal{H}\). In fact, the statement \((RN)\) is true for any vector in \(\mathcal{H}\), without any restriction on \(\mathcal{M}\), as we shall see in Chapter 10, where we shall make more precise considerations concerning the density \(T\).

C.6.2. Let us consider again a von Neumann algebra \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) and a vector \(\xi \in \mathcal{H}\). Concerning the commutant \(\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\), the analogous statement to statement \((T)\) is the following:

\[ \text{for any } \eta \in [\mathcal{M}', \xi] \text{ there exists a closed operator } T' \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, \text{ affiliated to } \mathcal{M}', \text{ such that } \eta = T' \xi. \]

If \(\psi\) is a normal form on \(\mathcal{M}\), such that \(s(\psi) \leq s(\omega_\xi)\), Theorem 5.23 shows that there exists an \(\eta \in [\mathcal{M}', \xi]\), such that \(\psi = \omega_\eta\). By taking into account statement \((T')\), there naturally arises the question whether the vector \(\eta \in [\mathcal{M}', \xi]\), such that
\[\psi = \omega_{\eta},\] can be chosen so that \[\eta = T'\xi,\] where \(T' \) is a closed operator in \(\mathcal{H}\), affiliated to \(\mathcal{M}'\). Consequently, a new problem arises, namely to establish the conditions under which the following statement is true:

\[(D) \begin{cases} 
\text{for any normal form } \psi \text{ on } \mathcal{M}, \text{ such that } s(\psi) \leq s(\omega_{\eta}), \text{ there exists a positive self-adjoint operator } A' \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, \text{ affiliated to } \mathcal{M}', \text{ such that } \\
\quad \psi = \omega_{A'\xi}. 
\end{cases}\]

Together with this problem, one poses the analogous problem, concerning the statement:

\[(D') \begin{cases} 
\text{for any normal form } \psi' \text{ on } \mathcal{M}', \text{ such that } s(\psi') \leq s(\omega_{\eta}), \text{ there exists a positive self-adjoint operator } A \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, \text{ affiliated to } \mathcal{M}, \text{ such that } \\
\quad \psi' = \omega_{A\xi}. 
\end{cases}\]

The solution to problems (\(D\)) and (\(D'\)) was given by H. A. Dye [1], along with the solution to problem (\(T\)) (C.6.1). We remark that statements (\(D\)) and (\(D'\)) are also of the Radon-Nikodym type, but the density now belongs to the commutant. A similar, but trivial, situation was considered in Lemma 5.19 (see, also, exercise E.9.33).

We now state the theorem of H. A. Dye and we also give a sketch of the proof, by using some of the results in Chapter 9.

**Theorem.** Let \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})\) be a von Neumann algebra and \(\xi \in \mathcal{H}\). The following statements are equivalent.

1. \(p_{\xi}\) is a finite projection in \(\mathcal{M}\);
2. \(\xi \in [\mathcal{M}\xi] \Rightarrow \text{for } \xi \text{ the statement (\(T\)) is true};
3. \(\xi \in [\mathcal{M}'\xi] \Rightarrow \text{for } \xi \text{ the statement (\(D\)) is true};

1'. \(p_{\xi}\) is a finite projection in \(\mathcal{M}'\);
2'. \(\xi \in [\mathcal{M}'\xi] \Rightarrow \text{for } \xi \text{ the statement (\(T'\)) is true};
3'. \(\xi \in [\mathcal{M}\xi] \Rightarrow \text{for } \xi \text{ the statement (\(D'\)) is true}.

The proof proceeds according to the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(1) & \rightarrow & (2) \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
(1') & \rightarrow & (2') \\
\nearrow & \nearrow & \nearrow \\
(3) & (3')
\end{array}
\]

The equivalence (1) \(\Leftrightarrow\) (1') coincides with Lemma 6.3.

The implication (1) \(\Rightarrow\) (2) immediately follows from the (\(BT\))-theorem, with the help of exercise E.9.26.

The equivalence (2') \(\Leftrightarrow\) (3) easily obtains from Theorem 5.23 and exercise E.9.32.

It is obvious that the implication (1') \(\Rightarrow\) (2') and the equivalence (2) \(\Leftrightarrow\) (3') obtain in a similar manner.

We have still to prove the implication (2') \(\Rightarrow\) (1), since the implication (2) \(\Rightarrow\) (1') is obviously similar to this one.
Let us assume that the projection $p_\xi$ is not finite in $\mathcal{M}$. Then we can assume that $p_\xi$ is properly infinite. It follows that there exist a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$, $e \leq p_\xi$, $e \neq p_\xi$, and a partial isometry $v \in \mathcal{M}$, such that

$$v^*v = p_\xi, \quad vv^* = e, \quad vp_\xi = v = ev = p_\xi v;$$

$$n(1 - v) = n(1 - v^*) = 0.$$

Therefore, the operator $1 - v$ is injective, and $(1 - v)\mathcal{H}$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. From exercise E.9.8 we infer that

$$A = i(1 + v)(1 - v)^{-1}$$

is a closed (symmetric) linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $\mathcal{D}_A = (1 - v)\mathcal{H}$. Of course, $A$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$.

We have $\xi = (1 - v)\xi \in \mathcal{D}_A$. It is easily verified that $\xi \in [\mathcal{M}'\xi]$ and, since $n(1 - v^*) = 0$, it follows that we even have the equality $p_\xi = p_\xi$. Hence, if we again use the relations $\nu(1 - v) = \nu(1 - v^*) = 0$, we get

$$(*) \quad [\mathcal{M}'(p_\xi - e)\xi] = \{0\}.$$

Let us now assume that hypothesis (2') holds.

We shall first show that for any projection $q \in \mathcal{M}$, $0 \neq q \leq p_\xi$, there exists a projection $r' \in \mathcal{M}'$, such that

$$r'\xi \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r'\xi \in [\mathcal{M}'q\xi].$$

Indeed, we have $q\xi \in [\mathcal{M}'\xi]$ and, therefore, from hypothesis (2'), there exists a closed operator $T'$ in $\mathcal{H}$, affiliated to $\mathcal{M}'$, such that

$$q\xi = T'\xi.$$

Then, for any $x' \in \mathcal{M}'$ we have

$$x'T'\xi \in [\mathcal{M}'q\xi].$$

By taking into account the polar decomposition Theorem (9.28) and the operational calculus with positive self-adjoint operators (9.11, 9.13), it is easily seen that there exist an $x' \in \mathcal{M}'$ and a projection $r' \in \mathcal{M}'$, such that

$$r'\xi = x'T'\xi \neq 0.$$

A familiar argument, based on the Zorn Lemma, shows that for any projection $q \in \mathcal{M}$, $0 \neq q \leq p_\xi$, there exists a projection $q' \in \mathcal{M}'$, such that

$$[\mathcal{M}'q\xi] = [\mathcal{M}'q'\xi].$$

In particular, let $q = p_\xi - e$. Since $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_A$ and since $A$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$, it follows that (see E.9.25):

$$\mathcal{M}'q'\xi \subseteq \mathcal{D}_A \cap [\mathcal{M}'q\xi];$$

hence

$$(**) \quad \mathcal{D}_A \cap [\mathcal{M}'(p_\xi - e)\xi] \text{ is dense in } [\mathcal{M}'(p_\xi - e)\xi].$$
The contradiction between relations (\( \ast \)) and (\( \ast \ast \)) proves the implication (2') \( \Rightarrow \) (1) and, thus, the theorem is also proved.

**Corollary.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra. The following statements are equivalent:

1. \( \mathcal{M} \) is essentially finite;
2. the statement \((T)\) is true for any vector \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \);
3. the statement \((D)\) is true for any vector \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \).

We stress the fact that, while the statement \((RN)\) is always true, the statement \((D)\), of Radon-Nikodym type, of H. A. Dye, depends on finiteness conditions.

**C.6.3.** From Lemma 6.3 it follows that the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) \) is essentially finite iff \( \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}') \) is essentially finite.

It is easily seen that any essentially finite von Neumann algebra is semifinite.

Conversely, according to Corollary 6.5, any semifinite von Neumann algebra is \( \ast \)-isomorphic to an essentially finite von Neumann algebra.

On the other hand, \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) \) is essentially finite, but it is properly infinite if \( \mathcal{H} \) is infinitely dimensional.

**C.6.4. Bibliographical comments.** Lemma 6.1 was proved, for the case of the factors, by F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [1]. Lemmas 6.2, 6.3 and Theorem 6.4 are stated by I. Kaplansky [10], whereas proofs have been given by H. A. Dye [1], E. L. Griffin [2], J. Dixmier [24], R. Pallu de la Barrière [5] and R. V. Kadison [14].

In the proofs of Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3, we followed D. M. Topping [8] and, respectively, R. V. Kadison [14]. For another proof of Lemma 6.2, see L. Zsidó [3], I.7.7, whereas another proof of Lemma 6.3 is proposed in exercise E.7.20. A proof of Lemma 6.1 based directly on the polar decomposition theorem was given by R. Herman and M Takesaki [2].
Finite von Neumann algebras

In this chapter we study the lattice of the finite projections in a von Neumann algebra and we characterize the finite von Neumann algebras with the help of the traces.

7.1. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and $e, f, g \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{M}$. If $e \leq g$ and if the projection $(e \lor f) \land g$ is finite, then

$$(e \lor f) \land g = e \lor (f \land g).$$

Proof. Let $h = (e \lor f) \land g$, $k = e \lor (f \land g)$. The relation $k \leq h$ is obvious in view of the hypothesis that $e \leq g$. On the other hand, we have

$$e \lor f = (e \lor (f \land g)) \lor f \leq ((e \lor f) \land g) \lor f \leq e \lor f,$$

hence

$$h \lor f = k \lor f = e \lor f,$$

and

$$g \land f \leq (e \lor (f \land g)) \land f \leq ((e \lor f) \land g) \land f \leq g \land f;$$

therefore, we have

$$h \land f = k \land f = g \land f.$$

By taking into account the parallelogram rule (4.4), we get

$$h - f \land g = h - h \land f \sim h \lor f - f = e \lor f - f$$

$$= k \lor f - f \sim k - k \land f = k - f \land g.$$

It follows that $h \sim k$. But $k \leq h$ and, by hypothesis, $h$ is finite. Consequently, we have $h = k$.

Q.E.D.

7.2. One says that a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ is piecewise of countable type if there exists a family $\{q_k\}_{k \in K}$ of mutually orthogonal central projections, such that $\sum_{k \in K} q_k = 1$, and $e q_k$ is of countable type, for any $k \in K$. 
Lemma. Any finite projection $e$ in a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is piecewise of countable type.

Proof. Let $\varphi$ be a normal form on $\mathcal{M}$, with $0 \neq e_0 = s(\varphi) \leq e$, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a maximal family of mutually orthogonal subprojections of $e$, which are all equivalent to $e_0$. Since $e$ is finite, this family is finite. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$. By applying the comparison theorem (4.6) to the projections $e_0, e - \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i$, and by taking into account the maximality of the family $\mathcal{E}$, it follows that there exists a central projection $q \neq 0$, such that

$$q \left( e - \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \right) \ll qe_0.$$

In accordance with exercise E.5.6, $e_0$ is of countable type. From the preceding results, it follows that $qe$ is of countable type, $q \neq 0$.

Let now $\{q_k\}_{k \in K}$ be a maximal family of mutually orthogonal central non-zero projections, such that $q_k e$ is of countable type, for any $k \in K$. From the maximality of the family and from the first part of the proof it follows that $\sum_{k \in K} q_k = 1$.

Consequently, $e$ is piecewise of countable type. Q.E.D.

7.3. Lemma. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, $f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, and $\{e_n\}$ an increasing sequence of finite projections in $\mathcal{M}$. If $e_n \ll f$ for any $n$, then $\bigvee e_n \ll f$.

Proof. We shall construct an increasing sequence $\{f_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, such that

$$f_n \ll f, f_n \sim e_n; \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

Then, by taking into account Proposition 4.2., and exercise E.4.9, we shall obtain:

$$\bigvee e_n \sim \bigvee f_n \ll f.$$

For the construction we shall proceed by induction. Let us assume that $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ have been already constructed. By hypothesis, there exists an equivalence between $e_n$ and a subprojection of $f$. We deduce that there exists a $g \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, $g \ll f$, such that

$$e_{n-1} \sim g \text{ and } e_n - e_{n-1} \ll f - g.$$

But $e_{n-1} \sim f_{n-1}$, whence, in view of exercise E.4.9,

$$f - f_{n-1} \sim f - g.$$

Consequently, there exists an $h \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, such that

$$e_n - e_{n-1} \sim h \ll f - f_{n-1}.$$

We then define

$$f_n = f_{n-1} + h.$$

Q.E.D.
7.4. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, $f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an increasingly directed family of finite projections in $\mathcal{M}$. If $\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i$ is piecewise of countable type, and $e_i < f$, for any $i \in I$, then $\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i < f$.

Proof. We shall first assume that $e = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i$ is of countable type. In accordance with exercise E.5.6, there exists a normal form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$, such that $e = s(\varphi)$. It follows that

$$\varphi(e) = \sup_{i \in I} \varphi(e_i),$$

hence there exists a sequence $\{i_n\} \subset I$, such that

$$\varphi(e) = \sup_{n} \varphi(e_{i_n}).$$

We now define by induction the sequence $\{e_n\}$, in the following manner

$$e_1 = e_{i_1},$$

$$e_n = e_i, \text{ where } i \in I, e_i \geq e_n \text{ and } e_i \geq e_k \text{ for } k < n.$$  

The definition is possible, because the family $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is increasingly directed. Then $\{e_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of finite projections in $\mathcal{M}$, $e_n < f$, and $e = \bigvee_{n} e_n$,

because $\varphi(e) = \sup_{n} \varphi(e_n)$ and $e = s(\varphi)$.

With Lemma 7.3, we infer that $e < f$.

Let us now assume that $e$ is piecewise of countable type and let $\{q_k\}_{k \in K}$ be a family of mutually orthogonal central projections, such that $\sum_{k \in K} q_k = 1$, such that $eq_k$ is of countable type, for any $k \in K$. By virtue of the first part of the proof, it follows that $eq_k < f q_k$, for any $k \in K$. By taking into account Proposition 4.2, we infer that $e < f$.

Q.E.D.

7.5. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, $f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an increasingly directed family of projections in $\mathcal{M}$. If $\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i$ is finite and if $e_i < f$, for any $i \in I$, then $\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i < f$.

7.6. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, $f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an increasingly directed family of projections in $\mathcal{M}$. If $\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i$ is finite, then

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} (e_i \land f) = (\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i) \land f.$$

Proof. Let

$$e = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i, \quad g = \bigvee_{i \in I} (e_i \land f).$$

We must show that $e \land f = g$. The relation $q \leq e \land f$ is obvious. We now consider the projection

$$h = e \land f - g.$$
The following computation, based on the parallelogram rule (4.4), shows that $h < e - e_i$:

$$h \leq e \wedge f - e_i \wedge f = e \wedge f - (e \wedge f) \wedge e_i \sim$$

$$\sim (e \wedge f) \vee e_i - e_i \leq e - e_i.$$

We now show that $e_i < e - h$, for any $i$. If this is not true, by taking into account the comparison theorem (4.6), we would find a central projection $q \neq 0$ and a projection $g_i \leq qe_i, g_i \neq qe_i$, such that

$$q(e - h) \sim g_i.$$ 

On the other hand, from what we already proved, it follows that there exists a projection $h_i \leq q(e - e_i)$, such that

$$qh \sim h_i.$$ 

Consequently, we have

$$qe \sim g_i + h_i \leq qe, \quad g_i + h_i \neq qe,$$

and this result contradicts the finiteness of $qe$.

According to Corollary 7.5, it follows that

$$e = \bigvee_{i \in I} e_i < e - h.$$ 

Since $e$ is finite, we infer that $h = 0$.

Q.E.D.

7.7. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lattice. One says that $\mathcal{L}$ is a modular lattice if

$$e, f, g \in \mathcal{L}, \quad e \leq g \Rightarrow (e \vee f) \wedge g = e \vee (f \wedge g).$$

One says that $\mathcal{L}$ is a complemented lattice if it has a smallest element 0, a greatest element 1 and if, for any $e \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists an $e' \in \mathcal{L}$, such that $e \wedge e' = 0, e \vee e' = 1$.

One says that $\mathcal{L}$ is upper (resp., lower) continuous if: $f \in \mathcal{L}, \{e_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{L}$ increasingly (resp., decreasingly) directed and $\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i \in \mathcal{L}$ (resp., $\bigwedge_{i \in I} e_i \in \mathcal{L}$) $\Rightarrow$ $\bigvee_{i \in I} (e_i \wedge f)$

$= (\bigvee_{i \in I} e_i) \wedge f$ (resp., $\bigwedge_{i \in I} (e_i \vee f) = (\bigwedge_{i \in I} e_i) \vee f$).

One says that $\mathcal{L}$ is a continuous geometry if $\mathcal{L}$ is an upper and lower continuous, complemented, modular lattice.

From Corollary 3.7, Theorem 7.1 and Corollary 7.6, the following theorem obtains

Theorem. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra. Then the lattice $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{H}$ is a continuous geometry.
7.8. We shall now construct the central trace on a finite von Neumann algebra.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\varphi$ a $\omega$-continuous form on $\mathcal{M}$. We shall consider the sets

$$\mathcal{B}_\varphi = \{ T_u \varphi; u \in \mathcal{M}, \text{unitary} \} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_*,$$

$$\mathcal{K}_\varphi = \text{the norm closed convex hull of } \mathcal{B}_\varphi \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_*.$$

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is the dual of the Banach space $\mathcal{M}_*$, from the Mackey theorem we now infer that the convex set $\mathcal{K}_\varphi$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_*; \mathcal{M})$-closed.

**Lemma.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra and $\varphi$ a $\omega$-continuous form. Then the set $\mathcal{K}_\varphi$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_*; \mathcal{M})$-relatively compact.

**Proof.** We must show that the set $\mathcal{K}_\varphi$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_*; \mathcal{M})$-relatively compact. From the Akemann theorem (5.14), it is sufficient to show that, for any sequence $\{e_n\}$ of orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi(e_n) = 0, \text{ uniformly for } \psi \in \mathcal{K}_\varphi.$$ 

It is sufficient to prove the uniformity of this convergence with respect to $\psi \in \mathcal{K}_\varphi$.

In order to prove this property, we shall assume that it is not true. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$, a subsequence $\{f_n\}$ of the sequence $\{e_n\}$ and a sequence $\{u_n\}$ of unitary operators in $\mathcal{M}$, such that, by denoting $\psi_n = T_{u_n} \varphi$, we should have

$$|\psi_n(f_n)| \geq \delta, \text{ for any } n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

We denote $g_n = u_n^* f_n u_n \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$. Then we have

$$(1) \quad g_n \sim f_n \text{ and } |\varphi(g_n)| \geq \delta, \text{ for any } n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

We shall define

$$h_{m,n} = \bigvee_{k=n}^{m} g_k; \quad 1 \leq n \leq m,$$

$$h_n = \bigvee_{k=n}^{\infty} g_k = \bigvee_{m=n}^{\infty} h_{m,n}; \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

$$h = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n.$$

We shall show that

$$(2) \quad h_{m,n} < \sum_{k=n}^{k=m} f_k, \text{ for any } m \geq n \geq 1.$$ 

We proceed by induction on $m \geq n$. For $m = n$, we have $h_{n,n} = g_n \sim f_n$. If relation $(2)$ is true for $m = r$, then, since

$$g_{r+1} \vee h_{r,n} - h_{r,n} \sim g_{r+1} - g_{r+1} \wedge h_{r,n} \leq g_{r+1} \sim f_{r+1},$$
it follows that

\[ h_{r+1,n} = g_{r+1} \vee h_{r,n} \leq \sum_{k=n}^{k=r} f_k + f_{r+1} = \sum_{k=n}^{k=r+1} f_k. \]

For any \( n \), the sequence \( \{h_{m,n}\}_{m} \) is increasing and, from relation (2), we infer that

\[ h_{m,n} \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} f_k. \]

According to Lemma 7.3, we now infer that

\[ h_n \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} f_k. \]

By taking into account exercise E.4.9, we get

\[ 1 - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} f_k < 1 - h_n \leq 1 - h \]

and, by applying again Lemma 7.3, it follows that

\[ 1 = \bigvee_{n-1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} f_k \right) < 1 - h. \]

Consequently, \( h = 0 \), since \( \mathcal{M} \) is finite.

Since the sequence \( \{h_n\} \) is decreasing, \( \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n = h = 0 \) and \( g_n \leq h_n \), it follows that the sequence \( \{g_n\} \) is wo-convergent to 0. On the other hand, on the closed unit ball of \( \mathcal{M} \) the wo-topology coincides with the w-topology, and, therefore, the sequence \( \{g_n\} \) is w-convergent to 0.

Consequently, we have that \( \lim_{n} \varphi(g_n) = 0 \), which contradicts relation (1).

Q.E.D.

7.9. One calls a central form on an algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) any form \( \varphi \), such that \( \varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx) \), for any \( x, y \in \mathcal{A} \).

A form \( \varphi \) on a \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A} \ni 1 \) is central iff it is unitarily invariant, i.e., \( T_u \varphi = \varphi \), for any unitary \( u \in \mathcal{A} \).

Lemma. Let \( \varphi \) be a w-continuous central form on the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \), whose center is \( \mathcal{Z} \). Then \( \|\varphi\| = \|\varphi|\mathcal{Z}\| \). In particular, \( \varphi \geq 0 \) if \( \varphi|\mathcal{Z}| \geq 0 \).

Proof. Let \( \varphi = R_v |\varphi| \) be the polar decomposition (5.16) of \( \varphi \). From the equality

\[ \varphi = R_{\text{vec}} T_v |\varphi|, \]

which is true for any unitary \( u \in \mathcal{M} \), and from the uniqueness of the polar decomposition, it follows that \( v \) and \( |\varphi| \) are unitarily invariant. It follows that \( v \in \mathcal{Z} \) and \( |\varphi| \) is central.
Consequently, we have
\[ ||\varphi|| = ||\varphi||(1) = \varphi(v^*) \leq ||\varphi|| \cdot ||v^*|| \leq ||\varphi||, \]
hence \( ||\varphi|| = ||\varphi|| \).

The second assertion follows from the first, by taking into account Proposition 5.4.

Q.E.D.

7.10. Lemma. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a finite von Neumann algebra, \( \mathcal{Z} \) its center. Then any \( w \)-continuous form \( \omega \) on \( \mathcal{Z} \) uniquely extends to a bounded central form \( \varphi_\omega \) on \( \mathcal{M} \). Moreover, \( \varphi_\omega \) is \( w \)-continuous, \( ||\varphi_\omega|| = ||\omega|| \) and \( \omega \geq 0 \) implies \( \varphi_\omega \geq 0 \).

Proof. The uniqueness part of the lemma, as well as the two last assertions of the Lemma, follow from Lemma 7.9.

In order to prove the existence and the \( w \)-continuity of the form \( \varphi_\omega \), we first consider a \( w \)-continuous form \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{M} \), such that \( \varphi|\mathcal{Z} = \omega \) (see Theorem 1.10). We now apply the Ryll-Nardzewski fixed point theorem (see Theorem A.3 in the Appendix) for the following particular case

\( \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{N}_\varphi \), in the uniform (norm) topology is a separated locally convex vector space, whose dual is \( \mathcal{M} \).

\( \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_\varphi \) is a weakly compact, convex, non-empty subset of \( \mathcal{X} \) (in accordance with Lemma 7.8).

\( S = \{ T_u|\mathcal{X}; u \in \mathcal{M}, \text{unitary} \} \) is a non-contracting semi-group of weakly continuous affine mappings of \( \mathcal{X} \) into \( \mathcal{X} \), since any \( T_u \) is a linear isometry of \( \mathcal{M}_* \) onto \( \mathcal{M}_* \).

It follows that there exists a \( \varphi_\omega \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \subset \mathcal{M}_* \), such that \( T_u\varphi_\omega = \varphi_\omega \), for any unitary \( u \in \mathcal{M} \). Consequently, \( \varphi_\omega \) is a \( w \)-continuous central form on \( \mathcal{M} \).

On the other hand, since \( \varphi|\mathcal{Z} = \omega \), it follows that \( \psi|\mathcal{Z} = \omega \), for any \( \psi \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \); in particular, we have \( \varphi_\omega|\mathcal{Z} = \omega \).

Q.E.D.

7.11. Theorem. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra, \( \mathcal{Z} \) its center. Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is finite iff there exists a mapping

\[ \eta: \mathcal{M} \ni x \mapsto x^h \in \mathcal{Z}, \]

having the following properties:

(i) \( \eta \) is linear and bounded;
(ii) \( (xy)^h = (yx)^h \), for any \( x, y \in \mathcal{M} \);
(iii) \( z^h = z \), for any \( z \in \mathcal{Z} \);
   The mapping \( \eta \) having properties (i)–(iii) is unique.

Moreover, the mapping \( \eta \) also has the following properties:

(iv) \( ||\eta|| = 1 \);
(v) \( \eta \) is \( w \)-continuous;
(vi) \( (zx)^h = z^h x \), for any \( x \in \mathcal{M}, z \in \mathcal{Z} \);
(vii) \( x \in \mathcal{M}, x \geq 0 \Rightarrow x^h \geq 0 \);
(viii) \( x \in \mathcal{M}, x \geq 0, x^h = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 \).
(ix) \( x^h \in CO^*\{uxu^*; u \in \mathcal{M}, \text{unitary}\} \) for every \( x \in \mathcal{M} \).
Proof. It is easy to see that the existence of the mapping \( h \) implies the finiteness of the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \).

Let us now assume that the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) is finite.

We shall first prove the uniqueness of the mapping \( h \). In order to do this, it is sufficient to prove that for any \( w \)-continuous form \( \omega \) on \( \mathcal{Z} \), we have

\[
\omega(x^h) = \varphi_\omega(x),
\]

where \( \varphi_\omega \) is the unique bounded central form on \( \mathcal{M} \), such that \( \varphi_\omega|\mathcal{Z} = \omega \) (7.10). But this fact is obvious, since from conditions (i), (ii), (iii) we infer that the mapping

\[
x \mapsto \omega(x^h), \quad x \in \mathcal{M},
\]

is a bounded central form on \( \mathcal{M} \), which extends \( \omega \).

We now prove the existence of a mapping \( h \), having properties (i) — (ix). In accordance with Lemma 7.10, the mapping \( E: \mathcal{Z}_* \to \mathcal{M}_* \), defined by

\[
E \omega = \varphi_\omega, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{Z}_*,
\]

is linear and isometric. By taking into account the canonical identifications \( \mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_*)^*, \mathcal{Z} = (\mathcal{Z}_*)^* \), we now define the mapping \( h \) as being the transpose of the mapping \( E \), \( h = \text{tr} E: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{Z} \). In other words, the mapping \( h \) is determined by the relations:

\[
\omega(x^h) = \varphi_\omega(x), \quad \omega \in \mathcal{Z}_*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

Properties (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (vii) are easily verified. Since \( \text{tr} E \) is weakly continuous, \( h \) is \( w \)-continuous, hence property (v) is established.

It is now sufficient to prove property (vi) only for the unitary elements \( z \in \mathcal{Z} \). Let \( w \in \mathcal{Z} \) be unitary and let us define the mapping \( W: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{Z} \) by \( W(x) = w^*(x^h)w \), \( x \in \mathcal{M} \). Then \( W \) satisfies conditions (i) — (iii), hence, in view of the uniqueness, we have \( W = h \). Hence \( w^*(wx)^h = x^h \), i.e., \( (wx)^h = wx^h \), for any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \).

Let us now prove property (viii). Let \( x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad x \geq 0, \quad x \neq 0 \). There then exists a non-zero positive normal form \( \omega \) on \( \mathcal{Z} \), such that \( p = s(\omega) \leq z(x) \). Since \( \varphi_\omega \) is a normal positive central form (7.10), it is easy to see that \( s(\varphi_\omega) \) is unitarily invariant, hence it is a central projection, whence \( s(\varphi_\omega) = p \). The relation \( \varphi_\omega(x) = 0 \) implies \( xp = 0 \), and this is not possible, because \( 0 \neq p \leq z(x) \). Consequently, we have \( \varphi_\omega(x) \neq 0 \), whence \( x^h \neq 0 \).

The last assertion (ix) follows using the fact that \( \varphi(x) = \varphi(x^h) \) for every bounded central form \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{M} \), the proof of Lemma 7.10 and the Hahn-Banach theorem.

Q.E.D.

7.12. If \( \mathcal{M} \) is a finite von Neumann algebra, the mapping \( h \), introduced by Theorem 7.11, is also called the canonical central trace on \( \mathcal{M} \).

Let \( e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \). Then \( e \ll f \) (resp., \( e \sim f \)) iff \( e^h \ll f^h \) (resp., \( e^h = f^h \)). Indeed, let us assume that \( e^h \ll f^h \). From the comparison theorem (4.6), there exists a projection \( p \in \mathcal{Z} \), such that \( ep < fp, e(1 - p) > f(1 - p) \). By taking into account the properties of the mapping \( h \) and, especially, property (viii), it follows that \( e(1 - p) \sim f(1 - p) \), hence \( e \ll f \).

In particular, if \( \mathcal{M} \) is a finite factor, the mapping \( h \) has scalar values. The restriction of the mapping \( h \) to \( \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \) is also denoted by \( d \) and it is called the norma-
lized dimension function on \( \mathcal{M} \). Two projections \( e, f \in \mathcal{M} \) are equivalent iff they have the same dimension: \( d(e) = d(f) \).

7.13. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \mathcal{M}^+ = \{ x \in \mathcal{M} ; x \geq 0 \} \). One calls a trace on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) any function \( \mu : \mathcal{M}^+ \to [0, +\infty] \), having the properties

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu(x + y) &= \mu(x) + \mu(y), \quad x, y \in \mathcal{M}^+, \\
\mu(\lambda x) &= \lambda \mu(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}^+, \lambda \geq 0, \\
\mu(x^* x) &= \mu(xx^*), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then \( \mu \) obviously is unitarily invariant.

One says that a trace \( \mu \) on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) is faithful if

\[
x \in \mathcal{M}^+, \quad \mu(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0.
\]

One says that a trace \( \mu \) on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) is normal if for any family \( \{ x_i \}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^+ \), which is increasingly directed and bounded, one has that

\[
\mu(\sup_{i \in I} x_i) = \sup_{i \in I} \mu(x_i).
\]

One says that a trace \( \mu \) on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) is finite if \( \mu(x) < +\infty \), for any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \). The restriction to \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) of any positive central form on \( \mathcal{M} \) is a finite trace on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \). Conversely, any finite trace on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) uniquely extends to a positive central form on \( \mathcal{M} \).

One says that a trace \( \mu \) on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) is semifinite if for any \( 0 \neq x \in \mathcal{M}^+ \) there exists a \( y \in \mathcal{M}^+, y \neq 0, y \leq x \), such that \( \mu(y) < +\infty \). If \( \mu \) is a normal semifinite trace on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \), then, for any \( x \in \mathcal{M}^+ \), we have

\[
\mu(x) = \sup \{ \mu(y) ; y \leq x, \mu(y) < +\infty \}.
\]

Indeed, if \( \mu(x) < +\infty \), the assertion if obvious. If \( \mu(x) = +\infty \), one considers a maximal totally ordered family \( \{ y_i \}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^+ \), such that \( 0 \neq y_i \leq x \) and \( \mu(y_i) < +\infty \), and then one easily proves that \( \mu(\sup_{i \in I} y_i) = +\infty \).

One says that a family of traces \( \{ \mu_k \}_{k \in K} \) on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) is sufficient if for any \( x \in \mathcal{M}^+ \), \( x \neq 0 \), there exists a \( k \in K \), such that \( \mu_k(x) \neq 0 \).

One defines the support \( s(\mu) \) of a normal trace \( \mu \) on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) as being the projection complementary to the greatest projection in \( \mathcal{M} \), which is annihilated by \( \mu \). Since \( \mu \) is unitarily invariant, \( s(\mu) \) is a central projection. The normal trace \( \mu \) is faithful iff \( s(\mu) = 1 \). A family \( \{ \mu_k \}_{k \in K} \) of normal traces is sufficient iff \( \bigvee_{k \in K} s(\mu_k) = 1 \).

If a von Neumann algebra possesses a sufficient family of semifinite normal traces, then it possesses a faithful semifinite normal trace.


7.15. Corollary. A von Neumann algebra is semifinite iff it possesses a faithful semifinite normal trace.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and let $\mu$ be a faithful semi-finite normal trace on $\mathcal{M}^+$. For any central projection $0 \neq p \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists an element $x \in \mathcal{M}^+$, $x \neq 0$, $x \leq p$, such that $\mu(x) < +\infty$. There then exists a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$, $e \neq 0$, and an $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $e$ commutes with $x$ and $ex \geq \varepsilon e$ (see Corollary 2.22). Then $\mu(e) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mu(xe) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mu(x) < +\infty$. Thus $\mu(e) < +\infty$, and this implies that the projection $e$ is finite. Hence, $\mathcal{M}$ is semifinite.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra. In order to show that $\mathcal{M}$ possesses a faithful semifinite normal trace it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{M}$ possesses a sufficient family of semifinite normal traces. We can assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is a uniform von Neumann algebra, i.e., there exists a family $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ of equivalent finite mutually orthogonal projections, such that $\sum_{i \in I} e_i = 1$ (see exercise E.4.14).

Let $e_0$ be one of these projections and, for any $i \in I$, let $v_i \in \mathcal{M}$ be a partial isometry such that

$$v_i^*v_i = e_0, \quad v_iv_i^* = e_i.$$  

We now define, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$x_{ik} = v_i^* xv_k \in e_0 \mathcal{M} e_0, \quad i, k \in I.$$  

Then we have

$$x = \sum_{i, k \in I} e_i xe_k = \sum_{i, k \in I} v_i x_{ik} v_k^* = (x_{ik}),$$  

where the last equality is a notation. One says that $x_{ik}$ is the $(i, k)$-component in the matrix representation with respect to the basis $\{v_i v_k^*\}_{i, k \in I}$. It is easy to see that

$$(x^*)_{ik} = x_{ki}^*$$  

and

$$(xy)_{ik} = \sum_{l \in I} x_{il} y_{lk}.$$  

The von Neumann algebra $e_0 \mathcal{M} e_0$ is finite. For any finite normal trace $\mu_0$ on $(e_0 \mathcal{M} e_0)^+$ we define a function on $\mathcal{M}^+$ by

$$\mu(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_0(x_{ii}), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}^+.$$  

It is easily verified that $\mu$ is a semifinite normal trace on $\mathcal{M}^+$ and that the set of all semifinite normal traces on $\mathcal{M}^+$, obtained in this manner, is sufficient.

Q.E.D.

7.16. A von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be homogeneous of type $I_n$ if there exists a family $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ of equivalent abelian mutually orthogonal projections, such that $\sum_{i \in I} e_i = 1$, and $\text{card } I = n$. In this case $\mathcal{M}$ is of type $I$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is finite iff $n$ is finite. Conversely, for any finite von Neumann algebra, of type $I$, there exists a family $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of mutually orthogonal central projections, such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty p_n = 1$, uniquely determined by the condition that $Mp_n$ be of type $I_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ (see exercise E.4.14).
Proposition. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra of type \( I_n \), \( n \) finite. Then \( (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{M})^h \) coincides with the set of all elements of the form
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k}{n} q_k,
\]
where \( q_1, \ldots, q_n \) are mutually orthogonal central projections.

Proof. Let \( e_0 \in \mathcal{M} \) be an abelian projection, such that \( z(e_0) = 1 \) (see Proposition 4.19). Since \( \mathcal{M} \) is homogeneous, of type \( I_n \), there exists a family of \( n \) abelian, mutually orthogonal projections, equivalent to \( e_0 \), whose sum equals 1. Consequently, we have
\[
(e_0q)^h = \frac{q}{n},
\]
for any central projection \( q \).

Therefore, it is obvious that any operator of the form given in the statement of the theorem belongs to \( (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{M})^h \).

Let now \( e \in \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{M} \) and let \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_k\} \) be a maximal family of subprojections of \( e \), which are mutually orthogonal and equivalent to \( e_0z(e) \). There then exists a non-zero central projection \( q \leq z(e) \), such that
\[
qe = \sum_{i=1}^{k} qe_i
\]
(see Proposition 4.10). It follows that
\[
(qe)^h = \frac{k}{n} q
\]

Consequently, there exists a family \( \{q_i\}_{i \in I} \) of mutually orthogonal central projections, such that \( \sum_{i \in I} q_i = z(e) \), and, for any \( i \in I \), there exists a natural \( 1 \leq k_i \leq n \), such that:
\[
(q_i e)^h = \frac{k_i}{n} q_i, \quad i \in I.
\]

We define
\[
q_k = \sum_{i \in I, k_i = k} q_i, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]
Then we have
\[
e^h = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k}{n} q_k.
\]
Q.E.D.
7.17. In the case of a von Neumann algebra of type II₁, we have the following "Darboux property" for the restriction of the mapping \( \mathcal{H} \) to \( \mathcal{P}_M \).

**Proposition.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra of type II₁. For any \( e, f \in \mathcal{P}_M \), and any \( z \in \mathcal{L} \), such that \( e^h \leq z \leq f^h \), there exists a \( g \in \mathcal{P}_M \), such that \( e \leq g \leq f \) and \( g^h = z \).

**Corollary.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra of type II₁. Then \( (\mathcal{P}_M)^h \) coincides with the set of all elements:

\[ z \in \mathcal{L}, \ 0 \leq z \leq 1. \]

**Proof.** We shall first show that for any \( e \in \mathcal{P}_M, e \neq 0 \), and any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists an \( e_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}_M, 0 \neq e_\varepsilon \leq e \), such that \( e_\varepsilon^h \leq \varepsilon z(e_\varepsilon) \). Indeed, by taking into account Proposition 4.11, for any \( n=1,2,\ldots \) we can find a family \( \{e_1^h, \ldots, e_n^h\} \) of equivalent, mutually orthogonal, non-zero subprojections of \( e \), whose sum is \( e \). Then \( (e_1^h)^h = \frac{1}{2^n} e^h \) and so, it is sufficient to choose \( n \), such that \( 1/2^n \leq \varepsilon \).

Let now \( \mathcal{E} \) be a maximal totally ordered family of projections \( h \) in \( \mathcal{M} \), such that

\[ e \leq h \leq f, \ h^h \leq z. \]

We denote by \( g \) the l.u.b. of the family \( \mathcal{E} \). It is obvious that \( e \leq g \leq f \) and \( g^h \leq z \).

If \( z - g^h \neq 0 \), then there exists an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and a central projection \( p \neq 0 \), such that

\[ (z - g^h)p \geq \varepsilon p. \]

It follows that \( (f - g)p \neq 0 \), since, if this is not true, then \( g^hp = f^hp \geq \varepsilon p \), and this relation contradicts the preceding one. In accordance with the first part of the proof, there exists an \( e_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}_M, 0 \neq e_\varepsilon \leq (f - g)p \), such that \( e_\varepsilon^h \leq \varepsilon p \). On the other hand, the existence of the element \( g + e_\varepsilon \) contradicts the maximality of the family \( \mathcal{E} \).

Consequently, we have \( z = g^h \).

Q.E.D.

7.18. If \( \mathcal{M} \) is a finite von Neumann algebra whose commutant \( \mathcal{M}' \) is finite, then there exists a remarkable connection between the canonical central traces on \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{M}' \). In order to establish the connection, the following lemma is necessary.

**Lemma.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra of countable type. Then there exist \( p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{H}, pq = 0, p + q = 1 \), and \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[ p = p_\xi, \ q = p_\eta. \]

**Proof.** Let \( \{\xi_n\}_{n \in I} \subset \mathcal{H}, \ \|\xi_n\| = 1 \), be a maximal family such that the projections \( p_{\xi_n}, n \in I \), are mutually orthogonal and the projections \( p_{\xi_n}^*, n \in I \), are also mutually orthogonal. Since \( \mathcal{M} \) is of countable type, it follows that \( I \) is at most countable. We denote \( e = \sum_n p_{\xi_n}, e' = \sum_n p_{\xi_n}^* \). If we define \( \xi_0 = \sum_n \frac{1}{2^n} \xi_n \), it follows that \( e = p_{\xi_0} \) and \( e' = p_{\xi_0}^* \).
On the other hand, from the maximality of the family \( \{ \xi_n \} \), we infer that
\[
(1 - e)(1 - e') = 0.
\]
By taking into account Corollary 3.9, we obtain
\[
z(1 - e)z(1 - e') = 0.
\]
Let us denote \( p = 1 - z(1 - e) \), \( q = z(1 - e) \). Then, from the relations
\[
p = 1 - z(1 - e) \leq e
\]
\[
q = z(1 - e) \leq 1 - z(1 - e') \leq e'
\]
it follows that there exist \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), such that
\[
p = p_\xi, \quad q = p_\eta.
\]
Q.E.D.

7.19. Theorem (of coupling). Let \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a finite von Neumann algebra, whose commutant \( \mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is also finite. Let \( \xi, \eta \) be the canonical central traces on \( \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}' \), respectively. Then, for any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), we have
\[
(p_\xi h(p_\eta h') = (p_\eta h)(p_\xi h').
\]
Proof. If \( \{ q_i \}_{i \in I} \) is a family of mutually orthogonal central projections, such that \( \sum_i q_i = 1 \), then it is sufficient to prove the result in the statement of the theorem for each of the von Neumann algebras \( \mathcal{M} q_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(q_i \mathcal{H}) \).
In accordance with Theorem 4.17, and with exercise E.4.14, we can assume that \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{M}' \) are either of type II\_1, or of homogeneous type I\_\infty.
By Lemma 7.2, we may assume that \( \mathcal{M} \) is of countable type.
Since \( \mathcal{M} \) is of countable type, Lemma 7.18 can be applied. Consequently, without any loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a vector \( \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H} \), such that
\[
p_{\xi_0} = 1.
\]
In this case, we shall show that, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), we have
\[
(p_\xi h) = (p_{\xi_0} h)(p_\xi h)
\]
and the theorem will be proved.
Since \( s((p_{\xi_0} h)) = z(p_{\xi_0}) = z(p_\xi) = 1 \), from Corollary 2.22 we infer that there exists a sequence \( \{ q_n \} \) of central projections, \( q_n \uparrow 1 \), such that \( (p_{\xi_0} h) q_n \geq \frac{1}{n} q_n \), for any \( n \). Without any loss of generality, we can assume that there exists an \( \varepsilon > 0 \), such that
\[
(p_{\xi_0} h) \geq \varepsilon.
\]
We denote by \( \mathcal{C} \) (resp. by \( \mathcal{C}' \)) the set of all elements \( z \in \mathcal{Z} \), such that there exists a \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), for which \( z = (p_\xi)h \) (resp., \( z = (p_\xi)h' \)). Since any projection which is dominated by a cyclic projection is cyclic and since any cyclic projection in \( \mathcal{M}' \)
is dominated by $p'_i$, (since $p' = 1$) and as a result of Lemma 6.1), from 7.12 we infer that

$$C = (P, \mathcal{A})^{h'}$$ and $$C' = \{ z \in (P, \mathcal{B})^{h'} ; z \leq (p'_i)^{h'} \}.$$  

By taking into account Propositions 7.16 and 7.17, the structure of the sets $C$ and $C'$ becomes evident in the case of the type II, as well as in the case of the homogeneous type $I_{fin}$.

We now define a mapping $\Psi_0 : C \to C'$ by the relations

$$\Psi_0((p_i)^{h'}) = (p'_i)^{h'}, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{A}.$$  

By virtue of Lemma 6.1 and of Section 7.12, this mapping is correctly defined, injective, surjective and both it, as well as its inverse, preserve the order relation, since $z(p'_i) = z(p_i)$, it follows that $s(\Psi_0(z)) = s(z), z \in C$.

In what follows we shall need to note: if $e \in P, e' \in P, \mathcal{A},$ and $\Psi_0(e^{h'}) = (e')^{h'}$, then there exists a $\xi \in \mathcal{A}$, such that $e = p_i, e' = p'_i$. Indeed, there exists an $\eta \in \mathcal{A}$, such that $e = p_i$, hence, $(p'_i)^{h'} = \Psi_0((p_i)^{h'}) = \Psi_0((e)^{h'}) = (e')^{h'}$. According to 7.12, we infer that $e' \sim p'_i$, and now the desired result can be obtained by applying exercise E.6.1.

If $z_1, z_2, z_1 + z_2 \in C$, and $\Psi_0(z_1) + \Psi_0(z_2) \in C'$, then

$$\Psi_0(z_1 + z_2) = \Psi_0(z_1) + \Psi_0(z_2).$$  

Indeed, there exist $e_1, e_2 \in P, e'_1, e'_2 \in P, \mathcal{A}$, such that

$$e_1, e'_2 = 0, \quad e'_1, e'_2 = 0,$$

$$z_1 = (e_1)^{h'}, \quad \Psi_0(z_1) = (e'_1)^{h'},$$

$$z_2 = (e_2)^{h'}, \quad \Psi_0(z_2) = (e'_2)^{h'}.$$  

In view of the preceding remark, there exist $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, such that

$$e_1 = p_{\xi_1}, \quad e'_1 = p'_{\xi_1},$$

$$e_2 = p_{\xi_1}, \quad e'_2 = p'_{\xi_1}.$$  

It is now easy to see that, if we denote $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$, we have

$$e_1 + e_2 = p_{\xi}, \quad e'_1 + e'_2 = p'_{\xi}.$$  

Consequently, we have

$$\Psi_0(z_1 + z_2) = \Psi_0((e_1)^{h'} + (e_2)^{h'}) = \Psi_0((e_1 + e_2)^{h'})$$

$$= \Psi_0((p_{\xi})^{h'}) = (p'_{\xi})^{h'} = (e'_1 + e'_2)^{h'}$$

$$= (e'_1)^{h'} + (e'_2)^{h'} = \Psi_0(z_1) + \Psi_0(z_2).$$

If $\lambda \geq 0, z \in C$, $\lambda z \in C$ and $\lambda \Psi_0(z) \in C'$, then

$$\Psi_0(\lambda z) = \lambda \Psi_0(z).$$
Indeed, for rational \( \lambda \), the result can be obtained from the additivity property we have just proved. Then, for an arbitrary \( \lambda > 0 \), the desired result can be obtained by taking into account the monotony property of the mapping \( \Psi_0 \).

Let \( \mathcal{L} \) (resp., \( \mathcal{L}' \)) be the vector space generated in \( \mathcal{I} \) by \( \mathcal{C} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{C}' \)). In view of the additivity and homogeneity properties of the mapping \( \Psi_0 \), it follows that there exists a unique linear mapping

\[
\Psi: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}'
\]

which extends \( \Psi_0 \). We note that \( s(\Psi(z)) \leq s(z), \ z \in \mathcal{L} \).

Since \( \Psi(1) = (p'_{x})^{h'} \geq \varepsilon \), it follows that \( \Psi(1) \) is invertible. We now define the mapping

\[
\Phi: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{I}
\]

by the relations

\[
\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{\Psi(1)} \Psi(z), \ z \in \mathcal{L}.
\]

In the case of the homogeneous type \( I_{\text{fin}} \), the set \( \mathcal{L} \) consists of all linear combinations with rational coefficients, which have the same denominator, of central projections, and \( \Phi \) is a linear mapping such that \( \Phi(1) = 1 \). In the case of the type \( \Pi_i \), we have \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{I} \), and \( \Phi \) is positive linear mapping of \( \mathcal{I} \) into \( \mathcal{I} \), such that \( \Phi(1) = 1 \); it is easily verified that \( \Phi \) is bounded (\( 0 \leq z \leq 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq \Phi(z) \leq 1 \), whence \( ||\Phi|| \leq 4 \)). In each case, we have \( s(\Phi(z)) \leq s(z) \).

Let \( q \) be a central projection. Since \( 1 = q + (1 - q) \), it follows that

\[
1 = \Phi(q) + \Phi(1 - q).
\]

If we multiply this relation by \( q \) we get

\[
\Phi(q) = q.
\]

Consequently, \( \Phi \) is the identity mapping. Then, for any vector \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), we have

\[
(p'_{\xi})^{h'} = \Psi((p_{\xi})^{h}) = \Psi(1)\Phi((p_{\xi})^{h}) = (p'_{\xi})^{h}(p_{\xi})^{h}.
\]

Q.E.D.

7.20. Let \( \mathfrak{S} \) be the set of all families \( \{ (z_i, q_i) \}_{i \in I} \), where \( q_i \) are mutually orthogonal central projections, such that \( \sum q_i = 1, z_i \in \mathcal{I} \), and \( s(|z_i|) \leq q_i \). We shall say that the elements \( \{ (z_i, q_i) \}_{i \in I} \) and \( \{ (z'_k, q'_k) \}_{k \in K} \) are equivalent if for any \( i \in I \) and \( k \in K \) we have \( z_i q_k = z'_k q_i \) (they "coincide on intersections"). We shall denote by \( \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}} \) the quotient set of \( \mathfrak{S} \) by the preceding equivalence relation, and by \( \{ (z_i, q_i) \}_{i \in I} \) the equivalence class of \( \{ (z_i, q_i) \}_{i \in I} \).

We shall define the following operations on \( \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}} \):

\[
\{(z_i, q_i)\}_{i \in I} + \{(z'_k, q'_k)\}_{k \in K} = \{ (z_i q_k + z'_k q_i, q_i q_k) \}_{i, k \in I \times K}
\]

\[
\lambda \{(z_i, q_i)\}_{i \in I} = \{(\lambda z_i, q_i)\}_{i \in I}
\]

\[
\{(z_i, q_i)\}_{i \in I} \cdot \{(z'_k, q'_k)\}_{k \in K} = \{ (z_i z'_k, q_i q'_k) \}_{i, k \in I \times K}
\]

\[
\{(z_i, q_i)\}_{i \in I}^* = \{(z_i^*, q_i)\}_{i \in I}
\]
It is easily verified that $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$, endowed with the operations already defined, is an involutive algebra with the unit element. Thus, the notions of a positive element and of an invertible element make sense.

The mapping $z \mapsto \{(z, 1)\}$ is an injective $*$-homomorphism of $\mathcal{X}$ into $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$, hence $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{X}$.

From the coupling theorem (7.19), the following corollary easily follows.

**Corollary.** Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a finite von Neumann algebra, whose commutant $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is also finite. Then there exists an element $c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$, which is positive and invertible, such that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$(p_z^h)^{h'} = c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'}(p_z^h).$$

The element $c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'}$ is uniquely determined by this condition.

If $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a finite factor, whose commutant $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is also finite, then $\mathcal{X}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ coincide with the field $\mathbb{C}$ of the scalars, hence $c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'}$ is a non-zero positive number.

The element $c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'}$ is called the coupling element or the coupling function; in the case of factors, it is called the coupling constant.

Obviously, we have

$$c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} = (c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'})^{-1}.$$

If $p$ is a central projection, then the center of $\mathcal{M}p$ obviously identifies with $\mathcal{X}p$. If we assume that this identification is already performed, we have

$$c_{\mathcal{M}p, \mathcal{M}'p} = (c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'})p.$$

**7.21.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra, whose commutant $\mathcal{M}'$ is also finite, and let $\mathcal{X}$ be their center. Let $e' \in \mathcal{M}'$ be a projection whose central support $z(e') = 1$.

In accordance with Proposition 3.14, the canonical induction $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_{e'}$, is a $*$-isomorphism, and this fact allows for the canonical identification of the common center of the algebras $\mathcal{M}_{e'}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{e'}'$, with $\mathcal{X}$. This identification induces a canonical identification of the extension of the common center of the algebras $\mathcal{M}_{e'}$, $\mathcal{M}_{e'}'$, with $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ (see 7.20). We shall assume that these identifications have been performed.

Let now $\beta$, $\beta'$, $\beta_{e'}$, $\beta_{e'}'$ be the canonical central traces on $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}'$, $\mathcal{M}_{e'}$, $\mathcal{M}_{e'}'$. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$(x_{e'}^h)^{\beta_{e'}} = x^h$$

and, for any $x' \in \mathcal{M}'$,

$$(x_{e'}^h)^{\beta_{e'}}' = ((e')^h)^{-1}(e'x'e')^h.$$

The first relation is obvious. For the second, we first remark that, since $z(e') = 1$, $(e')^h$ is an invertible element in $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ (see 2.22). Then, one sees that, for any $x' \in \mathcal{M}'$, we have

$$((e')^h)^{-1}(e'x'e')^h \in \mathcal{X}.$$
Finally, the mapping
\[ \mathcal{M}_e' \ni x_e' \mapsto ((e')h')^{-1}(e'e')h' \in \mathcal{L} \]
satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) from Theorem 7.11, and therefore, it coincides with the canonical central trace on \( \mathcal{M}_e' \).

With these preparations, we can now state the following

**Proposition.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a finite von Neumann algebra, whose commutant \( \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is finite. If \( e' \in \mathcal{M}' \) is a projection whose central support \( z(e') = 1 \), then
\[ c_{\mathcal{M}_e', \mathcal{M}_e'} = ((e')h')^{-1}c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}}. \]

**Proof.** Let \( \xi \in e'\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H} \) and let us consider the projections
\[ p_\xi = [\mathcal{M}_e' \xi] \in \mathcal{M}, \quad p'_\xi = [\mathcal{M} \xi] \in \mathcal{M}', \]
\[ q_\xi = [\mathcal{M}_e' \xi] \in \mathcal{M}_e', \quad q'_\xi = [\mathcal{M}_e \xi] \in \mathcal{M}_e'. \]

Then
\[ q_\xi = (p_\xi)_e' \quad \text{and} \quad q'_\xi = (p'_\xi)_e'. \]

Consequently, we have
\[ (q'_\xi)^{he'} = ((p'_\xi)_e')^{he'} = ((e')h')^{-1}(p'_\xi)^{he'} = ((e')h')^{-1}c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}}(p_\xi)^{he} \]
\[ = ((e')h')^{-1}c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}}((p_\xi)_e')^{he'} = ((e')h')^{-1}c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}}(q_\xi)^{he'}. \]

The uniqueness of the coupling element now implies the formula in the statement of the proposition.

Q.E.D.

7.22. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a finite von Neumann algebra whose commutant \( \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is also finite, and let \( n \) be a natural number. Let \( \mathcal{H}_n \) be a Hilbert space of dimension \( n \). We now consider the von Neumann algebras
\[ \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_n = \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{H}_n \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_n), \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_n = \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_n). \]

Then \( \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_n \) and \( \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_n \) are finite and there exists a projection \( e'_n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_n \), \( z(e'_n) = 1 \), such that the canonical induction \( \mathcal{M} \to (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_n)^{e'_n} \) be the inverse of the canonical amplification \( \mathcal{M} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_n \) (see 3.18 and E.4.20).

From Proposition 7.12 we deduce the following

**Corollary.** \( c_{\mathcal{M}_n, \mathcal{M}_n} = nc_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}} \).

7.23. Finally, we present a topological criterion of finiteness:

**Proposition.** A von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) is finite iff the \( * \)-operation is \( s \)-continuous on the closed unit ball of \( \mathcal{M} \).
Proof. Let us first assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is finite. We shall show that the set
\[ \{ L_a \varphi ; \varphi \text{ a finite normal trace on } \mathcal{M}, a \in \mathcal{M} \} \]
is total in $\mathcal{M}$. Indeed, if $x \in \mathcal{M}$, and $\varphi(ax) = 0$ for any finite normal trace $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$, and any $a \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\varphi(x^*x) = 0$, for any finite normal trace $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$; hence, in view of Corollary 7.14, we have $x = 0$. Since $(\mathcal{M}_*)^* = \mathcal{M}$, the assertion is now obvious.

Let $\{x_i\}$ be a net in the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$, which is $s$-convergent to 0. Then, for any normal trace $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$, and any $a \in \mathcal{M}$, we have
\[ |\varphi(ax_i x_i^*)| = |\varphi(x_i^* a x_i)| \leq \varphi(x_i^* a a^* x_i) \leq \|a\| \varphi(x_i^* x_i) \to 0. \]

By taking into account the first part of the proof, it follows that the net $\{x_i\}$ is $s$-convergent to 0.

Consequently, the $\ast$-operation is $s$-continuous on the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$.

Conversely, let us assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is not finite. According to Proposition 4.12, there exists a sequence $\{e_n\}$ of equivalent mutually orthogonal, non-zero projections in $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence of partial isometries in $\mathcal{M}$, such that
\[ v_n^* v_n = e_n, \quad v_n v_n^* = e_1, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \]

Then it is easy to see that
\[ v_n \xrightarrow{s} 0, \text{ but } v_n^* \not\xrightarrow{s} 0 \]
and this shows that the $\ast$-operation is not $s$-continuous on the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$.

Q.E.D.

Exercises

E.7.1. A von Neumann algebra is finite iff
\[ x, y \in \mathcal{M}, \quad xy = 1 \Rightarrow yx = 1. \]

E.7.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\mathfrak{n}(xy)$ is a finite projection, then
\[ \mathfrak{n}(xy) - \mathfrak{n}(y) \sim \mathfrak{n}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{l}(y). \]

E.7.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{Z}$ its center, and let $d : \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{Z}^+$ be a mapping having the properties
(i) $d(e + f) = d(e) + d(f)$, for any $e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, $ef = 0$;
(ii) $d(e) = d(f)$, for any $e, f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, $e \sim f$;
(iii) $d(1) = 1$;
(iv) $d(pe) = p d(e)$, for any $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{Z}$;
(v) $d(e) = 0 \Rightarrow e = 0$, for any $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$. 

Show that $\mathcal{M}$ is finite and, for any $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ we have

$$d(e) = e^4.$$ 

E.7.4. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{Z}$ its center. The following assertions are equivalent

(i) $\mathcal{M}$ is of countable type,

(ii) $\mathcal{Z}$ is of countable type,

(iii) there exists a faithful finite normal trace on $\mathcal{M}^+$.

E.7.5. Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}$. For any $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $x \geq 0$, the number

$$\text{tr}(x) = \sum_{i \in I} (x\xi_i|\xi_i) = \sum_{i \in I} \|x^{1/2}\xi_i\|^2$$

does not depend on the chosen basis in $\mathcal{H}$, and the mapping $x \mapsto \text{tr}(x)$ is a faithful semifinite normal trace on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$; it is called the canonical trace on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$.

E.7.6. Let us denote

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}); \text{tr}(|a|) < +\infty\},$$

$$\|a\|_{tr} = \text{tr}(|a|), \quad a \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Then $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is a Banach space for the norm $\| \cdot \|_{tr}$, and $\text{tr}$ extends by linearity to a bounded linear form on the Banach space $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$, which is also denoted by $\text{tr}$.

*E.7.7. The set $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is a two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, contained in the closed two-sided ideal $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ of all the compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, any element $a \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ determines a form $\varphi_a$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, given by the formula

$$\varphi_a(x) = \text{tr}(ax) = \text{tr}(xa), \quad x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Show that the mapping

$$a \mapsto \varphi_a |_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})}$$

is an isometric isomorphism of the Banach space $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ onto the Banach space $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^*$, whereas the mapping

$$a \mapsto \varphi_a$$

is an isometric isomorphism of the Banach space $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ onto the Banach space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_e$.

In particular, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ identifies canonically with $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^{**}$.  

E.7.8. With the help of E.7.7, show that for any normal form \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), there exists an orthogonal sequence \( \{ \xi_n \} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \), \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \| \xi_n \|^2 < +\infty \), such that

\[
\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x|\xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n |), \quad x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).
\]

With the help of a polar decomposition theorem, infer then that, for any \( w \)-continuous form \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), there exist orthogonal sequences \( \{ \xi_n \}, \{ \eta_n \} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \), \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \| \xi_n \|^2 < +\infty \), \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \| \eta_n \|^2 < +\infty \), such that

\[
\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x|\xi_n \rangle \langle \eta_n |), \quad x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),
\]

\[
\| \varphi \| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \| \xi_n \| \| \eta_n \|.
\]

E.7.9. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a semifinite von Neumann algebra. Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is continuous iff there exists a decreasing sequence \( \{ e_n \} \) of finite projections in \( \mathcal{M} \), such that, for any \( n \),

\[
z(e_n) = 1,
\]

\[
e_n - e_{n+1} \sim e_{n+1}.
\]

E.7.10. Show that a von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) is properly infinite (resp., of type III) iff any finite (resp., semifinite) normal trace on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) is identically zero.

Infer from this result that if \( \mathcal{M} \) is a von Neumann algebra of type III, and if \( \mu \) is a non-zero normal trace on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \), then \( \mu(x) = +\infty \), for any \( x \in \mathcal{M}^+, \ x \neq 0 \).

E.7.11. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \mu \) a normal trace on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \). For any family \( \{ e_i \}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \) of mutually orthogonal projections, such that \( \sum_{i \in I} e_i = 1 \), we have

\[
\mu(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu(e_i xe_i), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}^+.
\]

Infer from this result that for any semifinite normal trace \( \mu \) on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \), there exists a family \( \{ \varphi_i \}_{i \in I} \) of normal forms on \( \mathcal{M} \), whose supports \( s(\varphi_i) \) are mutually orthogonal, and such that

\[
\mu(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}^+.
\]

E.7.12. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a finite von Neumann algebra with center \( \mathcal{Z} \) and \( \mu \) a normal semifinite trace on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \). Then

\[
\mu(x^*) \leq \mu(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}^+.
\]
Infer that $\mu|_{\mathcal{M}^+}$ is a normal semifinite trace on $\mathcal{M}^+$, there exists a family $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I}$ of finite normal traces on $\mathcal{M}$ with mutually orthogonal supports, such that

$$\mu(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}^+,$$

and hence

$$\mu(x^*x) = \mu(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}^+.$$

E.7.13. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra of a countable type with center $\mathcal{Z}$, $\mu$ a normal semifinite faithful trace on $\mathcal{M}^+$ and $e, f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Show that:

1. $e < f \iff \mu(ep) \leq \mu(fp)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$;

2. $e$ is finite $\iff$ for every $0 \neq p \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$ there exists $0 \neq q \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$, $q \leq p$, such that $\mu(qe) < +\infty \iff$ there exists a sufficient family $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I}$ of normal semifinite traces on $\mathcal{M}^+$ such that $\mu_i(e) < +\infty$, $i \in I$.

E.7.14. Show that any two normal traces $\mu$, $\nu$ on a factor $\mathcal{M}$ are proportional. Extend this result for two normal semifinite faithful traces on a von Neumann algebra. (Hint: if $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite factor, then $\mu(x) = \alpha x^*x$, $x \in \mathcal{M}$, for some $\alpha \in [0, +\infty]$. The required statement for the general case is given as a consequence of Corollary 1 in C.10.4; for the proof here use 5.21 and 7.11.)

E.7.15. One says that a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is standard if there exists a conjugation $J: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}^*$, such that the mapping $x \mapsto Jx^*J$ be a $*$-anti-isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{M}^*$, which acts identically on the center.

Show that any standard von Neumann algebra of countable type has a separating cyclic vector.


E.7.17. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a finite von Neumann algebra of countable type. The following assertions are equivalent:

1. $\mathcal{M}$ is standard,

2. $\mathcal{M}$ has a separating cyclic trace vector,

3. $\mathcal{M}'$ is finite and $c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} = 1$.

E.7.18. Any finite von Neumann algebra is $*$-isomorphic to a standard von Neumann algebra. (Hint: If $\mathcal{M}$ is of countable type, apply the construction given in Section 5.18, to a faithful $w$-continuous central positive form).


E.7.20. With the help of E.7.17, give a simpler proof to Lemma 6.3.

E.7.21. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a homogeneous von Neumann algebra of type $I_m$, whose commutant is homogeneous, and of type $I_n$, where $m$ and $n$ are natural numbers. Show that

$$c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} = m/n.$$
E.7.22. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and $e \in \mathcal{P}_e$. The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) $e$ is the l.u.b. of a finite family of minimal projections in $\mathcal{M}$,
(ii) the mapping

$$\mathcal{M} \ni x \mapsto exe \in e\mathcal{M}e$$

is continuous for the $w$-topology in $\mathcal{M}$ and the uniform (norm) topology in $e\mathcal{M}e$.

*E.7.23. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{M}$ a multiplicative group of invertible elements such that $\sup\{\|g\|; g \in \mathcal{G}\} < +\infty$. With the help of the Ryll-Nardzewski fixed point theorem, show that there exists an invertible element $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$, such that for any $g \in \mathcal{G}$ the element $aga^{-1}$ be unitary.

Comments

C.7.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra and $x \in \mathcal{M}$. With the notations from C.4.4, it is easy to see that

$$z \in \mathcal{C}(x) \Rightarrow z = x^4.$$  

According to Theorem 1 from C.4.4 (or by Theorem 7.11. (ix)), it follows that

(*)

$$\mathcal{N}(x) = \mathcal{C}(x) = \{x^4\}.$$  

The first proof of Theorem 7.11 was given by J. Dixmier [12] who extended the arguments of F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, used by them for the case of factors. The culminating point of J. Dixmier’s proof consists in showing that the set $\mathcal{N}(x)$ reduces to a single element. Although this proof is much longer than that given above, we consider it to be very illuminating (see J. Dixmier [26], Ch. III, § 8).

The shortening of the classical proof of Theorem 7.11 has been an open problem for a long time (see, for example, R. V. Kadison [7], [20]). The proof given above has recently been obtained by F. J. Yeadon [1].

A description of the sets $\mathcal{N}(x)$ and $\mathcal{C}(x)$, analogous to that given by the relation (*), for properly infinite von Neumann algebras, has been obtained by H. Halpern [13] and Ş. Strătilă and L. Zsidó (see also Ş. Strătilă [2]).

C.7.2. Some phenomena which take place in the lattice of all the projections in a von Neumann algebra also appear in the abstract frame of lattice theory (see, for example, J. von Neumann [8], S. Maeda [3], F. Maeda and S. Maeda [1], L. H. Loomis [2], L. A. Skorniakov [1]). We also mention the remarkable result contained in the title of I. Kaplansky’s paper [21].

C.7.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\mu$ a trace on $\mathcal{M}^+$. Then the set

$$\{x \in \mathcal{M}^+; \mu(x) < +\infty\}$$

is the positive part of a two-sided ideal $\mathcal{M}_\mu$ of $\mathcal{M}$ and there exists a unique linear form on $\mathcal{M}_\mu$, which coincides with $\mu$ on $\mathcal{M}_\mu^+$. This linear form will be denoted also by $\mu$. 
One can show that for any $a \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$, one has
\[ \mu(ax) = \mu(xa), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \]
and, if $\mu$ is normal, then the linear form
\[ \mathcal{M} \ni x \mapsto \mu(ax) \]
is $w$-continuous.

Obviously, $\mu$ is finite iff $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{M}$. If $\mu$ is normal, then $\mu$ is semifinite iff $\mathcal{M}_\mu$ is $w$-dense in $\mathcal{M}$.

The proofs of these results can easily be obtained by using the results in Section 3.21 (see also 10.14 and J. Dixmier [26], Ch. I, § 6).

C.7.4. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be von Neumann algebras. According to the types of the algebras $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, the type of the tensor product $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ is completely described by the following table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mathcal{M}$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{N}$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>type I&lt;sub&gt;m&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>type I&lt;sub&gt;n&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>type I&lt;sub&gt;mn&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type I</td>
<td>type I</td>
<td>type I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>finite</td>
<td>finite</td>
<td>finite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semifinite</td>
<td>semifinite</td>
<td>semifinite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>continuous</td>
<td>arbitrary</td>
<td>continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>properly infinite</td>
<td>arbitrary</td>
<td>properly infinite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type III</td>
<td>arbitrary</td>
<td>type III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the proofs we refer the reader to J. Dixmier [26], Ch. III, § 8.7, and S. Sakai [32], 2.6. We mention the fact that only the last implication in this table offers some difficulties. This implication has been proved by S. Sakai [6], who, to this end, also obtained the topological criterion of finiteness (7.23).

C.7.5. Bibliographical comments. The results in this section are essentially due to F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, who proved them in the case of factors. The globalization of these results to arbitrary von Neumann algebras was begun by J. Dixmier [12] and I. Kaplansky [10], and continued by H. A. Dye, E. L. Griffin, R. V. Kadison, R. Pallu de la Barrière, and others. We mention the fact that Lemma 7.15 is due to E. L. Griffin [2].

In writing this chapter we referred to I. Kaplansky [22], J. R. Ringrose [5], R. V. Kadison [14] and J. Dixmier [26].
Spatial isomorphisms and relations between topologies

In this chapter we consider the cases in which a $\ast$-isomorphism between von Neumann algebras is implemented by a unitary operator, as well as the cases in which the $w$-topology coincides with the $wo$-topology.

8.1. Let $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $\mathcal{M}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ be von Neumann algebras. One says that a $\ast$-isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ is a spatial isomorphism (or that it is unitarily implemented) if there exists a unitary operator $u : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$, such that

$$\pi(x_1) = u \ast x_1 \ast u^*, \; x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1.$$ 

While any $\ast$-isomorphism is $w$-continuous (5.13), but it is not necessarily $wo$-continuous, it is obvious that any spatial isomorphism is $wo$-continuous. This is one of the main properties which distinguish the two kinds of isomorphisms.

The problem which we consider in this chapter is to find some sufficiently simple and general conditions under which a given $\ast$-isomorphism is spatial.

For example, according to Corollary 5.25, any $\ast$-isomorphism between two von Neumann algebras with vectors which are both cyclic and separating, is spatial.

8.2. In what follows we shall essentially use the following simple proposition, which establishes a "canonical form" for the $\ast$-homomorphisms of a von Neumann algebra onto another one, and makes more precise the problem of the unitary implementation of a $\ast$-isomorphism.

Proposition. Let $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $\mathcal{M}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ be von Neumann algebras and $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ a $w$-continuous $\ast$-homomorphism, such that $\pi(\mathcal{M}_1) = \mathcal{M}_2$. Then there exist

-a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$
-two projections $e_1', e_2' \in \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and
-two spatial isomorphisms

$$\pi_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'_{e_1} \subset \mathcal{B}(e_1'\mathcal{H}),$$

$$\pi_2 : \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'_{e_2} \subset \mathcal{B}(e_2'\mathcal{H})$$

such that

$$(\pi_2 \circ \pi \circ \pi_1^{-1})(x_{e_1'}) = x_{e_2'}, \; x \in \mathcal{M}.$$
Moreover
\[ \pi \text{ is a } \ast\text{-isomorphism } \iff z(e'_2) = 1, \]
\[ \pi \text{ is a spatial isomorphism } \iff e_1' \sim e_2' \text{ in } \mathcal{M}'. \]

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2. \) The mapping
\[ \hat{\pi}_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \ni x_1 \rightarrow x_1 \oplus \pi(x_1) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \]
is an injective w-continuous \( \ast \)-homomorphism; hence, in accordance with Corollary 3.12, we have
\[ \mathcal{M} = \hat{\pi}_1(\mathcal{M}_1) = \{ x_1 \oplus \pi(x_1); \; x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1 \} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \]
is a von Neumann algebra, whereas \( \hat{\pi}_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \) is a \( \ast \)-isomorphism.

We now consider the canonical isometries
\[ u_1 : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \; u_1(\xi_1) = \xi_1 \oplus 0, \; \xi_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1, \]
\[ u_2 : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \; u_2(\xi_2) = 0 \oplus \xi_2, \; \xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2, \]
the projections
\[ e_1' = u_1 \circ u_1^* , \; e_2' = u_2 \circ u_2^* \in \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \]
and the spatial isomorphisms
\[ \pi_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \ni x_1 \rightarrow u_1 \circ x_1 \circ u_1^* \in \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(e'_1 \mathcal{H}), \]
\[ \pi_2 : \mathcal{M}_2 \ni x_2 \rightarrow u_2 \circ x_2 \circ u_2^* \in \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(e'_2 \mathcal{H}). \]

Since the canonical induction \( \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'_1 \) coincides with the \( \ast \)-isomorphism \( \pi_1 \circ \hat{\pi}_1^{-1} \), from Proposition 3.14 we infer that \( z(e'_1) = 1. \) Consequently, the mapping
\[ \hat{\pi} : \mathcal{M}_1 \ni x'_1 \rightarrow x'_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2 \]
is correctly defined. It is immediately verified that \( \hat{\pi} = \pi_2 \circ \pi \circ \pi_1^{-1} \).

The other assertions in the statement of the proposition easily follow. The proof can be sketched by the following commutative diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}_1} & \mathcal{M}_1 \\
\downarrow{\pi} & & \downarrow{\pi_1} \\
\mathcal{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathcal{M}_2
\end{array} \]

Q.E.D.
8.3. Let now $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $\mathcal{M}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ be finite von Neumann algebras, whose commutants $\mathcal{M}_1' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $\mathcal{M}_2' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ are also finite.

According to Section 7.20, let $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_1$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_2$ be the extensions of the centers $\mathcal{Z}_1$, $\mathcal{Z}_2$ of the algebras $\mathcal{M}_1$, $\mathcal{M}_2$, and let $c_{\mathcal{M}_1', \mathcal{M}_1'} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_1$, $c_{\mathcal{M}_2', \mathcal{M}_2'} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_2$ be the corresponding coupling elements.

Any $\ast$-isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ induces a $\ast$-isomorphism $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_2$, uniquely determined by the condition that the restriction of $\tilde{\pi}$ to $\mathcal{Z}_1$ coincides with the restriction of $\pi$ to $\mathcal{Z}_1$.

**Theorem.** Let $\mathcal{M}_1$, $\mathcal{M}_2$ be finite von Neumann algebras, whose commutants are also finite. A $\ast$-isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ is spatial iff $\tilde{\pi}(c_{\mathcal{M}_1', \mathcal{M}_1'}) = c_{\mathcal{M}_2', \mathcal{M}_2'}$.

**Proof.** If $\pi$ is spatial, then obviously, $\tilde{\pi}(c_{\mathcal{M}_1', \mathcal{M}_1'}) = c_{\mathcal{M}_2', \mathcal{M}_2'}$. Conversely, let us assume that this condition is satisfied.

According to Proposition 8.2, we can assume that there exists a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and two projections $e'_1$, $e'_2 \in \mathcal{M}'$, such that:

$$z(e'_1) = z(e'_2) = 1,$$

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2 \ni x_{e'_1} \mapsto x_{e'_2} \in \mathcal{M}_1' = \mathcal{M}_2'.$$

On one hand, $\mathcal{M}$ is finite since it is $\ast$-isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_1$ and to $\mathcal{M}_2$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{M}_1' = \mathcal{M}_1$, $\mathcal{M}_2' = \mathcal{M}_2$ are finite, it follows that $e'_1$, $e'_2 \in \mathcal{M}'$ are finite projections. In accordance with Proposition 4.15, $e'_1 \vee e'_2 \in \mathcal{M}'$ is a finite projection. Therefore, we can assume that $\mathcal{M}'$ is finite.

The centers of the algebras $\mathcal{M}_1'$, $\mathcal{M}_2'$ canonically identify with the center of the algebra $\mathcal{M}$, and these identifications induce canonical identifications of the corresponding extensions. Assuming that these identifications have been performed, the condition $\tilde{\pi}(c_{\mathcal{M}_1', \mathcal{M}_1'}) = c_{\mathcal{M}_2', \mathcal{M}_2'}$ becomes

$$c_{\mathcal{M}_1', \mathcal{M}_1'} = c_{\mathcal{M}_2', \mathcal{M}_2'}.$$

Let now $\mathcal{B}'$ be the canonical central trace on $\mathcal{M}'$. According to Proposition 7.21, we have

$$c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} = (e'_1)^{h'} c_{\mathcal{M}_1', \mathcal{M}_1'},$$

$$c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} = (e'_2)^{h'} c_{\mathcal{M}_2', \mathcal{M}_2'}.$$

It follows that

$$(e'_1)^{h'} = (e'_2)^{h'};$$

hence, according to 7.12,

$$e'_1 \sim e'_2,$$

and this implies that the $\ast$-isomorphism $\pi$ is spatial.

Q.E.D.
8.4. In order to define the spatial invariants which will be used in the other theorems of unitary implementation, we need the following

**Lemma.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a properly infinite von Neumann algebra, and let, for any $j = 1, 2, \{e_{j,i,j}\}_{i,j} \in I_j$ be a family of equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{M}$, which are piecewise of countable type, such that $\sum_{i,j} e_{j,i,j} = 1$. Then $\text{card } I_1 = \text{card } I_2$.

**Proof.** Without any loss of generality, we can assume that $e_{j,i,j}$ are of countable type, $i_j \in I_j$, $j = 1, 2$.

For each $i_j \in I_j$ we denote

$$I_{2,i,j} = \{ i_j \in I_2; e_{1,i,j} e_{2,i,j} e_{1,i,j} \neq 0 \}.$$

Obviously, we have

(*)

$$I_2 = \bigcup_{i_j \in I_1} I_{2,i,j}.$$

Since $e_{1,i,j}$ is of countable type, according to exercise E.5.6, we infer that there exists a normal form $\varphi_{i,j}$ on $\mathcal{M}$, such that $s(\varphi_{i,j}) = e_{1,i,j}$. Then, we have

$$+\infty > \varphi_{i,j}(e_{1,i,j}) = \varphi_{i,j}(e_{1,i,j} (\sum_{i_j \in I_2} e_{2,i,j}) e_{1,i,j})$$

$$= \sum_{i_j \in I_2} \varphi_{i,j}(e_{1,i,j} e_{2,i,j} e_{1,i,j})$$

$$= \sum_{i_j \in I_2, i_j} \varphi_{i,j}(e_{1,i,j} e_{2,i,j} e_{1,i,j}),$$

hence $I_{2,i,j}$ is at most countable. Since $I_2$ is an infinite set, from relation (*) it follows that

$$\text{card } I_2 \leq \text{card } I_1.$$

The reversed inequality can be obtained analogously.

Q.E.D.

8.5. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a properly infinite von Neumann algebra and let $\gamma$ be an infinite cardinal. One says that $\mathcal{M}$ is uniform of type $\gamma$ if there exists a family $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ of equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{M}$, piecewise of countable type, such that $\sum e_i = 1$ and $\text{card } I = \gamma$.

According to Lemma 7.2, any finite projection is piecewise of countable type. Consequently, a semifinite properly infinite von Neumann algebra, which is uniform of type $S_{\gamma}$ (see exercise E.4.14) is also uniform of type $\gamma$.

By taking into account exercise E.4.14, Lemma 8.4 shows that for any semifinite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ there exist a family $\Gamma$ of distinct infinite cardinals, and a family $\{p_1, p_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of mutually orthogonal central projections, uniquely determined by the conditions

$$p_1 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} p_\gamma = 1,$$

$\mathcal{M} p_1$ is finite,

$\mathcal{M} p_\gamma$ is uniform, of type $S_{\gamma}$. 
On the other hand, since any abelian projection is finite (4.8), any properly infinite von Neumann algebra, which is homogeneous of type $I_\gamma$ (see exercise E.4.14) is also uniform of type $\gamma$.

By taking into account exercise E.4.14, Lemma 8.4 and exercise E.4.15 show that for any discrete von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ there exists a family $\Gamma$ of distinct cardinals, and a family $\{p_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of mutually orthogonal, central, non-zero projections, uniquely determined by the conditions

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} p_\gamma = 1,$$

$\mathcal{M}p_\gamma$ is homogeneous, of type $I_\gamma$.

In the general case of a properly infinite von Neumann algebra we have the following result:

**Proposition.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a properly infinite von Neumann algebra. Then there exist a family $\Gamma$ of distinct cardinals and a family $\{p_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of non-zero, mutually orthogonal, central projections, uniquely determined by the conditions

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} p_\gamma = 1,$$

$\mathcal{M}p_\gamma$ is uniform, of type $\gamma$.

**Proof.** The existence part of the proposition easily follows with the help of a usual argument based on the Zorn lemma and on the comparison theorem (4.6), whereas the uniqueness part of the proposition follows from Lemma 8.4.

Q. E. D.

8.6. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra whose commutant $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is properly infinite. Let $\Gamma'$ and $\{p'_{\gamma'}\}_{\gamma' \in \Gamma'} \subset \mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{M}$ be the families canonically associated to $\mathcal{M}'$ in accordance with Proposition 8.5. One can then define the symbol

$$u_{\mathcal{M}'} = (\Gamma', \{p'_{\gamma'}\}_{\gamma' \in \Gamma'})$$

which can be called the *uniformity* of $\mathcal{M}$.

If $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ is a $*$-isomorphism between two von Neumann algebras, whose commutants are properly infinite, and if

$$u_{\mathcal{M}_j'} = (\Gamma_j', \{p_{\gamma_j'}\}_{\gamma_j' \in \Gamma_j'}), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

then we shall write that

$$\tilde{\pi}(u_{\mathcal{M}_1'}) = u_{\mathcal{M}_2'}.$$

if $\Gamma_1' = \Gamma_2'$ and $\pi(p_{1}_{\gamma'}) = p_{2}_{\gamma'}$, for any $\gamma' \in \Gamma_1' = \Gamma_2'$.

The following theorem of spatial isomorphism contains the case of the *semi-finite algebras whose commutants are properly infinite*, as well as the case of the algebras of type III (see Theorem 6.4).
Theorem. Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ be von Neumann algebras whose commutants are properly infinite. A $\ast$-isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ is spatial iff $\pi(u_{\mathcal{M}_1}) = u_{\mathcal{M}_2}$.

Proof. If $\pi$ is spatial, then, obviously $\pi(u_{\mathcal{M}_1}) = u_{\mathcal{M}_2}$. Conversely, let us assume that this condition is satisfied.

Then we can assume that $\mathcal{M}_1', \mathcal{M}_2'$, as well as $\mathcal{M}_2', \mathcal{M}_1'$, are uniform, of the same type $\gamma$.

According to Proposition 8.2, we can assume that there exist a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and two projections $e_1', e_2' \in \mathcal{M}'$, such that

$$z(e_1') = z(e_2') = 1,$$

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_1' \ni x_1' \mapsto x_2' \in \mathcal{M}_2', \mathcal{M}_2.$$

Since, by assumption, $\mathcal{M}_1'$ and $\mathcal{M}_2'$ are uniform, of the same type, it follows that, for each $j = 1, 2$, there exists an infinite family

$$\{e_j', i \in I \subset \mathcal{M}_j' = \mathcal{M}_j, j = 1, 2$$

of equivalent, mutually orthogonal projections, piecewise of countable type, and such that

$$\sum_{i \in I} e_j', i = e_j', j = 1, 2$$

(i.e., the unit element of the corresponding algebra).

By performing a partition by countable subsets of the set $I$, and by considering the corresponding sums of those projections $e_j', i$ whose indices $i$ belong to the same subset of the partition, it is easy to see that we can assume that the projections $e_j', i$ are properly infinite.

For any $i \in I$, $e_1', i$ and $e_2', i$ are then properly infinite projections, piecewise of countable type, in $\mathcal{M}'$, and $z(e_1', i) = z(e_2', i) = 1$. According to Proposition 4.13, it follows that

$$e_1', i \sim e_2', i, \quad i \in I.$$

Therefore, we have

$$e_1' \sim e_2',$$

and, hence, $\pi$ is a spatial isomorphism.

Q.E.D.

8.7. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a discrete von Neumann algebra. Its commutant $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is again a discrete von Neumann algebra (according to Theorem 6.4). By taking into account Section 8.5, we obtain a family $\Gamma'$ of distinct cardinals, and a family $\{p_{\gamma}'\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma'}$ of mutually orthogonal central projections, uniquely determined by the conditions

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} p_{\gamma}' = 1,$$

$$\mathcal{M}'p_{\gamma}'$$ is homogeneous, of type $I_{\gamma'}$. 

We can then define the symbol
\[ o_{M'} = (\Gamma', \{ p'_\gamma \}_{\gamma \in \Gamma'}), \]
which can be called the homogeneity of \( M' \).

If \( \pi : M_1 \to M_2 \) is a \(*\)-isomorphism between two discrete von Neumann algebras and if
\[ o_{M'_j} = (\Gamma'_j, \{ p'_j, \gamma \}_{\gamma \in \Gamma'_j}), \quad j = 1, 2, \]
then we shall write that
\[ \pi(o_{M'_1}) = o_{M'_2}. \]
if \( \Gamma'_1 = \Gamma'_2 \) and \( \pi(p'_{1, \gamma}) = p'_{2, \gamma} \), for any \( \gamma \in \Gamma'_1 = \Gamma'_2 \).

**Theorem.** Let \( M_1, M_2 \) be discrete von Neumann algebras. Then a \(*\)-isomorphism \( \pi : M_1 \to M_2 \) is spatial iff \( \pi(o_{M'_1}) = o_{M'_2} \).

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 8.6. Instead of Proposition 4.13, one uses Proposition 4.10.

Q.E.D.

8.8. Let \( \pi : M \to M \) be a \(*\)-automorphism of the von Neumann algebra \( M \), whose center is \( Z \). Obviously, we have \( \pi(Z) = Z \). We shall say that \( \pi \) acts identically on the center if \( \pi(z) = z \) for any \( z \in Z \). It is obvious that if \( M \) is a factor, then any \(*\)-automorphism of \( M \) acts identically on the center.

If \( \pi \) acts identically on the center, then \( \pi \) conserves the invariants \( c_M, \xi^*, u_m, o_M \) already introduced, and according to the case.

In the following sections we state some obvious consequences of Theorems 8.3, 8.6, 8.7.

8.9. **Corollary.** Any \(*\)-automorphism of a von Neumann algebra, whose commutant is properly infinite, which acts identically on the center, is spatial.

8.10. **Corollary.** Any \(*\)-automorphism of a finite von Neumann algebra, which acts identically on the center, is spatial.

8.11. **Corollary.** Any \(*\)-automorphism of a discrete von Neumann algebra, which acts identically on the center, is inner.

**Proof.** It follows from 8.11 and 6.5.

8.12. **Corollary.** Any \(*\)-isomorphism between von Neumann algebras, whose commutants are properly infinite and of countable type, is spatial.

8.13. **Corollary.** Any \(*\)-isomorphism between von Neumann algebras of type \( III \), which operate in separable Hilbert spaces, is spatial.

8.14. In what follows we shall study the relations existing between the various topologies already defined in a von Neumann algebra.

We recall that, besides the norm (uniform) topology and the topologies \( w_0, s_0 \) and \( w \), which were defined in Section 1.3, we have also considered the topology \( s \), which has been defined in exercise E.5.5. Between these topologies we have
the following relations of strength

\[ w_0 \leq s_0 \]
\[ \forall \ \forall \]
\[ w \leq s \leq n, \]

where by \( n \) we have denoted the norm (uniform) topology.

We are now concerned with the precise relations existing between the topologies \( w \) and \( w_0 \), and between the topologies \( s \) and \( s_0 \). On the closed unit ball of \( \mathcal{M} \) the restrictions of the topologies \( w \) and \( w_0 \) (resp., \( s \) and \( s_0 \)) coincide (see 1.2, 1.10 and E.5.8).

The \( s \)-continuous (resp., the \( s_0 \)-continuous) linear forms coincide with the \( w \)-continuous (resp., the \( w_0 \)-continuous) linear forms (see 1.4 and E.5.8). It follows that the \( w \)-topology (resp., the \( w_0 \)-topology) is the weakened topology associated to the \( s \)-topology (resp., the \( s_0 \)-topology). On the other hand, a net \( \{x_i\} \) in \( \mathcal{M} \) is \( s \)-convergent to 0 (resp., \( s_0 \)-convergent to 0) iff the net \( \{x_i^*x_i\} \) is \( w \)-convergent to 0 (resp., \( w_0 \)-convergent to 0) (see E.5.8).

Consequently, the \( s \)-topology coincides with the \( s_0 \)-topology iff the \( w \)-topology coincides with the \( w_0 \)-topology. Obviously, these conditions are equivalent to the condition that any \( w \)-continuous linear form be \( w_0 \)-continuous; hence (see 5.16), to the condition that any normal form be \( w \)-continuous.

The fundamental result of the problem we are concerned with is contained in Corollary 5.24: if the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) has a separating vector, then any normal form on \( \mathcal{M} \) is an \( \omega_\xi, \xi \in \mathcal{H} \); in particular, in this case, the \( w \)-topology coincides with the \( w_0 \)-topology.

It is therefore only natural to begin our investigations with the study of the conditions under which a von Neumann algebra has separating vectors.

**8.15. Lemma.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra whose commutant \( \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is properly infinite. Then \( \mathcal{M} \) has a separating vector iff \( \mathcal{M} \) is of countable type.

**Proof.** If \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) has a separating vector \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), then \( s(\omega_\xi) = p_\xi = 1 \) and, according to exercise E.5.6, \( \mathcal{M} \) is of countable type. Conversely, let \( \mathcal{M} \) be of countable type and \( \mathcal{M}' \) properly infinite. In order to show that \( \mathcal{M} \) has a separating vector, we can assume that, in accordance with Lemma 7.18, there exists an \( \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), such that \( p' = 1 \). Then the projections \( p_{\eta} \) and 1 in \( \mathcal{M} \) are of countable type, properly infinite (see Lemma 6.3) and they have the same central support. Proposition 4.13 now implies that \( p_{\eta} \sim 1 \). Let \( v \in \mathcal{M} \), such that \( v^*v = p_{\eta}, vv^* = 1 \) and \( \xi = v\eta \). Then we get \( p_\xi = 1 \); hence \( \xi \) is a separating vector for \( \mathcal{M} \).

**Q.E.D.**

**8.16. Theorem.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra whose commutant \( \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is properly infinite. For any \( w \)-continuous linear form \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) there exist \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[ \varphi = \omega_{\xi, \eta} \]

In particular, the \( w \)-topology coincides with the \( w_0 \)-topology, and the \( s \)-topology coincides with the \( s_0 \)-topology.
Proof. Let first $\psi$ be a normal form on $\mathcal{H}$ and $e = s(\psi)$. Then $\mathcal{H}_e$ is a von Neumann algebra of countable type, whose commutant is properly infinite. According to Lemma 8.15, there exists a $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $e = p_\zeta$. Since $s(\psi) = p_\zeta$, from Theorem 5.23 we infer that there exists an $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $\psi = \omega_\eta$.

Let now $\varphi$ be a $w$-continuous linear form on $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\varphi = R_\eta \psi$ be its polar decomposition (5.16). Since $\psi$ is a normal form, there exists an $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $\psi = \omega_\eta$. Then $\varphi = \omega_{\eta_\eta}$.

Q.E.D.

8.17. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an arbitrary von Neumann algebra. For any $w$-continuous linear form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$ there exist two sequences $\{\xi_n\}, \{\eta_n\} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$, such that

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_{\xi_n, \eta_n}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_n\|^2 < +\infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\eta_n\|^2 < +\infty.$$

Proof. Let us consider the separable Hilbert space $l^2$ and the von Neumann algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{M} \otimes C(l^2) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes l^2)$. Then $\mathcal{H} \otimes l^2$ identifies with the Hilbert space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \left\{ \{\xi_n\} \in \mathcal{H} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_n\|^2 < +\infty \right\}$$

and, for any $\tilde{x} = x \otimes 1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and any $\tilde{\xi} = \{\xi_n\} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, we have

$$\tilde{x} \tilde{\xi} = \{x \xi_n\}.$$

According to Section 3.18, the amplification

$$\mathcal{M} \ni x \mapsto \tilde{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$$

is a $*$-isomorphism, whereas, according to Proposition 3.17, and to exercise E.4.20, $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})' = \mathcal{M}' \otimes C(l^2) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes l^2)$ is a properly infinite von Neumann algebra.

Let $\varphi$ be a $w$-continuous linear form on $\mathcal{M}$ and let us define the $w$-continuous linear form $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ by the relation

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{x}) = \varphi(x), \quad \tilde{x} = x \otimes 1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}.$$

According to Theorem 8.16, there exist $\tilde{\zeta} = \{\xi_n\}, \tilde{\eta} = \{\eta_n\} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, such that

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \omega_{\tilde{\zeta}, \tilde{\eta}}.$$

It follows that

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_{\xi_n, \eta_n}.$$ 

Q.E.D.

8.18. Lemma. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a finite von Neumann algebra of countable type, whose commutant $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is finite. Then $\mathcal{M}$ has a separating vector iff $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}' \leq 1$. 


Proof. Let \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \) be a separating vector for \( \mathcal{M} \). Then we have \( p_\xi = 1, \ p_\xi' \leq 1 \), hence
\[
1 = (p_\xi')^* = c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} (p_\xi')^* = c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'}.
\]
Conversely, let us assume that \( c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} \leq 1 \) and then we shall prove that \( \mathcal{M} \) has a separating vector. According to Lemma 7.18, we can assume that there exists a \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), such that \( p_\xi = 1 \). Then
\[
1 = (p_\xi')^* = c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} (p_\xi')^* \leq (p_\xi')^* \leq 1,
\]
hence \( p_\xi = 1 \). Thus, \( \xi \) is a separating vector for \( \mathcal{M} \). Q.E.D.

8.19. Theorem. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a finite von Neumann algebra, whose commutant \( \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is finite. Then the w-topology (resp., the s-topology) coincides with the wo-topology (resp., the so-topology) iff \( c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} \in \mathcal{L} \).

Moreover, if \( n \) is a natural number, then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) for any normal form \( \psi \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) there exist \( n \) vectors \( \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n \), such that
\[
\psi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{\eta_k}.
\]

(ii) for any w-continuous linear form \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) there exist \( n \) pairs of vectors \( (\xi_1, \eta_1), \ldots, (\xi_n, \eta_n) \), such that
\[
\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{\xi_k, \eta_k}.
\]

(iii) \( c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} \leq n \).

Proof. It is easy to see that assertion (i) (resp., (ii); resp., (iii)) for the algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( n = n_0 \) is equivalent to assertion (i) (resp., (ii); resp., (iii)) for the algebra \( \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n_0} = \mathcal{M} \otimes C(\mathcal{H}_{n_0}) \) and \( n = 1 \), where \( \mathcal{H}_{n_0} \) is a Hilbert space of dimension \( n_0 \) (see Corollary 7.22 and the proof of Corollary 8.17).

Consequently, in order to prove the equivalence of the assertions (i), (ii), (iii), we can assume that \( n = 1 \). Then (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii), according to the polar decomposition theorem (5.16); (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i), according to exercise E.5.2, whereas the equivalence (i) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (iii) follows by taking into account Lemma 8.18 and Proposition 7.21. We remark that the equivalence (i) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (ii) holds in any von Neumann algebra.

If \( c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} \in \mathcal{L} \), then there exists a natural number \( n \) such that \( c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} \leq n \); from what we have already proved it clearly follows that the w-topology coincides with the wo-topology.

If \( \tilde{\zeta} \in \mathcal{L} \), \( \tilde{\zeta} \geq 0 \) (see 7.20) and if there exists a \( z \in \mathcal{L} \), such that \( \tilde{\zeta} \leq z \), then \( \tilde{\zeta} \in \mathcal{L} \).

Consequently, if \( c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} \notin \mathcal{L} \), then there exists a sequence \( \{q_n\} \) of non-zero central projections of countable type, which are mutually orthogonal, and such that, for any \( n \), we have \( c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} q_n \geq n q_n \). Then \( q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n \) is of countable type and \( c_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} q \notin \mathcal{L} q \).
Thus, we can assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is of countable type. Let $\varphi$ be a faithful normal form on $\mathcal{M}$. If the $w$-topology coincides with the $w_0$-topology, then there exist a natural number $n$ and vectors $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}$, such that

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{\xi_k}.$$ 

Then $\tilde{\xi} = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n$ is a separating vector for the von Neumann algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n$. According to Corollary 5.24, any normal form on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n$ is an $\omega_{\tilde{\xi}}$, $\tilde{\xi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n$. In particular (see the proof of Corollary 8.17), any normal form on $\mathcal{M}$ is equal to a sum $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{\xi_k}$. From the first part of the proof we infer that $\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} \leq n$, hence $\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} \in \mathcal{F}$. 

Q.E.D.

8.20. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a finite factor. Then the $w$-topology (resp., the $s$-topology) coincides with the $w_0$-topology (resp., the $s$-topology).

8.21. Our study of the relationship existing between the topologies $w$ and $w_0$ (resp., $s$ and $s_0$) is completed by the following:

**Theorem.** Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a properly infinite von Neumann algebra, whose commutant $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is finite. Then, for any $w_0$-continuous positive form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$, its support $s(\varphi)$ is a finite projection in $\mathcal{M}$.

In particular, the $w$-topology (resp., the $s$-topology) is strictly stronger than the $w_0$-topology (resp., the $s_0$-topology).

**Proof.** Since $\mathcal{M}'$ is finite, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, $p_{\xi}'$ is a finite projection in $\mathcal{M}'$, hence (see 6.3), $p_{\xi}$ is a finite projection in $\mathcal{M}$.

If $\varphi$ is a $w_0$-continuous positive form on $\mathcal{M}$, then there exist $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}$, such that

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{\xi_k},$$ 

whence

$$s(\varphi) = \bigvee_{k=1}^{n} p_{\xi_k}.$$ 

By taking into account Proposition 4.15, it follows that $s(\varphi)$ is finite.

The final part of the theorem follows from the obvious remark that, on a properly infinite von Neumann algebra, there exist normal forms whose supports are infinite.

Q.E.D.

8.22. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an infinite factor. Then the $w$-topology (resp., the $s$-topology) coincides with the $w_0$-topology (resp., the $s_0$-topology) iff the commutant $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is infinite.
Exercises

*E.8.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, whose commutant is $\mathcal{M}'$ and whose center is $\mathcal{Z}$. One says that $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ is a maximally cyclic projection in $\mathcal{M}$ if it is cyclic and if

$$f \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \text{ cyclic, } e < f \Rightarrow e \sim f.$$  

If $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ is a maximally cyclic projection in $\mathcal{M}$ and if $p \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{Z}$, then $ep \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}p$ is maximally cyclic in $\mathcal{M}p$. With the help of the comparison theorem, one infers that the maximally cyclic projections in $\mathcal{M}$ are mutually equivalent.

Show that if $\mathcal{Z}$ is of countable type, then any cyclic projection in $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in a maximally cyclic projection.

If, moreover, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ are properly infinite, then the set of all maximally cyclic projections in $\mathcal{M}$ coincides with the set of all properly infinite projections of countable type, whose central support is equal to 1.

E.8.2. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra with the cyclic vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $e \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$. The following assertions are equivalent

(i) $e$ is maximally cyclic,

(ii) $e \sim p_\xi$,

(iii) $e \sim p_\eta \Rightarrow p_\eta = 1$, $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$.

E.8.3. Let $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $\mathcal{M}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ be von Neumann algebras with the cyclic vectors $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $\xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, respectively. A $*$-isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ is spatial iff it conserves the maximal cyclicity of the projections (i.e., $e_1 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}_1$ maximally cyclic $\Rightarrow \pi(e_1) \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}_2$ maximally cyclic).

E.8.4. Let $\mathcal{M}_1$, $\mathcal{M}_2$ be properly infinite von Neumann algebras, whose commutants are finite and whose centers are of countable type. A $*$-isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ is spatial iff it conserves the maximal cyclicity of the projections.

E.8.5. Any $*$-isomorphism between two standard von Neumann algebras is spatial.

E.8.6. Prove the assertion from E.7.18 with the help of Theorem 8.16.

E.8.7. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra. Then the $w$-topology on $\mathcal{M}$ is determined by the family of seminorms:

$$x \mapsto \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x \xi_n | \eta_n) \right|,$$

where \{\xi_n\}, \{\eta_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\|x \xi_n\|^2 + \|\eta_n\|^2) < +\infty, whereas the $s$-topology on $\mathcal{M}$ is determined by the family of seminorms

$$x \mapsto \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x \xi_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where \{\xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_n\|^2 < +\infty.
In particular, the $s$-topology coincides with the ultrastrong topology.

E.8.8. Let $\mathcal{M}_1$, $\mathcal{M}_2$ be von Neumann algebras and $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ a $w$-continuous $\ast$-homomorphism, such that $\pi(\mathcal{M}_1) = \mathcal{M}_2$. Then there exist

an amplification

$$\pi_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1,$$

an induction

$$\pi_2 : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1 \to (\mathcal{M}_1)', \ e' \in (\mathcal{M}_1)'$$

a spatial isomorphism

$$\pi_3 : (\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1)' \to \mathcal{M}_2,$$

such that

$$\pi = \pi_3 \circ \pi_2 \circ \pi_1.$$ 

Hint: if $\mathcal{M}_2$ has a cyclic vector $\xi_2$, then, with a suitable amplification, we have $(\omega_{\xi_2} \circ \pi)' = \omega_{\xi_2}'$ and one defines $e' = [\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1 \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1].$

E.8.9. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a finite factor and $\mu$ a finite normal trace on $\mathcal{M}^+$. From Corollary 8.20, one obviously infers that there exists a finite family $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}$, such that

$$\mu = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{\xi_k} \right) |.\mathcal{M}^+.$$ 

With the help of E.5.9 show that the preceding representation can be chosen in such a manner, that the projections $p_{\xi_1}, \ldots, p_{\xi_n}$ be mutually orthogonal.

E.8.10. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and let $\mu$ be a normal trace on $\mathcal{M}^+$. Then there exists a family $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{H}$, such that

$$\mu = (\sum_{i \in I} \omega_{\xi_i}) |.\mathcal{M}^+,$$

whereas if $\mu$ is, moreover, semifinite, then this representation can be obtained in such a manner, that the projections $p_{\xi_i}, i \in I$, be mutually orthogonal.

In particular, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra of countable type, then for any semifinite normal trace $\mu$ on $\mathcal{M}^+$, there exists a sequence $\{\xi_k\} \subset \mathcal{H}$, such that $\{p_{\xi_k}\}$ be mutually orthogonal and such that

$$\mu = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_{\xi_k}) |.\mathcal{M}^+.$$ 

E.8.11. Show that, on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, the $s$-topology coincides with the so-topology iff any projection, which is the l.u.b. of a countable family of cyclic projections in $\mathcal{M}$ is equal to the l.u.b. of a finite family of cyclic projections in $\mathcal{M}$.

E.8.12. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra. Show that if any $w$-continuous form on $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite sum of forms $\omega_{\xi, \eta}, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$, then there exists a natural number $n$, such that any $w$-continuous form on $\mathcal{M}$ is the sum of $n$ forms $\omega_{\xi, \eta}$. 

Infer from this result that if any projection of countable type in \( \mathcal{M} \) is the l.u.b. of a finite family of cyclic projections in \( \mathcal{M} \), then there exists a natural number \( n \) such that any projection of countable type in \( \mathcal{M} \) is the l.u.b. of \( n \) cyclic projections in \( \mathcal{M} \).

E.8.13. Prove the assertion from E.3.8, with the help of Lemma 7.18.

E.8.14. Let \( \pi_1 \) (resp., \( \pi_2 \)) be a \( w \)-continuous \( * \)-homomorphism of the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) (resp., \( \mathcal{M}_2 \)) onto the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N}_1 \) (resp., \( \mathcal{N}_2 \)). Show that there exists a unique \( w \)-continuous \( * \)-homomorphism \( \pi \) of the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2 \) onto the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \mathcal{N}_2 \), such that

\[
\pi(x_1 \otimes x_2) = \pi_1(x_1) \otimes \pi_2(x_2), \quad x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1, \ x_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2.
\]

If \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \) are \( * \)-isomorphisms, then \( \pi \) is a \( * \)-isomorphism (Hint: use exercise E.8.8).

E.8.15. Let \( \varphi_1 \) (resp., \( \varphi_2 \)) be a \( w \)-continuous linear form on the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) (resp., \( \mathcal{M}_2 \)). Show that there exists a unique \( w \)-continuous linear form \( \varphi \) on the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2 \), such that

\[
\varphi(x_1 \otimes x_2) = \varphi_1(x_1) \varphi_2(x_2), \quad x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1, \ x_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2.
\]

If \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) are positive, then \( \varphi \) is positive. If \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) are faithful, then \( \varphi \) is faithful (Hint: use Corollary 8.17).

Comments

C.8.1. We have not yet discussed the unitary implementation of the \( * \)-isomorphisms between von Neumann algebras of type II\(_{\infty} \), whose commutants are of type II\(_1 \) (see the table 4.21).

In the cases dealt with by Theorems 8.3, 8.6, 8.7, the invariants \( c_{\mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{M}'; u_{\mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{M}'; a_{\mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{M}'} \circ a'_{\mathcal{M}' \cdot \mathcal{M}}} \), which decide on the unitary implementability of the \( * \)-isomorphisms, are expressed in terms of cardinal numbers and central elements. In particular, in these cases, any \( * \)-automorphism of a factor is spatial.

In contrast to these cases, R. V. Kadison [10] showed that there exist factors of type II\(_{\infty} \), whose commutants are of type II\(_1 \), which possess \( * \)-automorphisms, which are not spatial. It follows that the conceivable invariants which would decide on the unitary implementability of the \( * \)-isomorphisms between von Neumann algebras of type II\(_{\infty} \), whose commutants are of type II\(_1 \), are not of the same type as those for the cases already studied. R. V. Kadison [14] indicated such a system of invariants.

Independently of the type of the von Neumann algebras, we have the fundamental result given by Corollary 5.25, and recalled in Section 8.1. Extensions of this result are contained in exercises E.8.3. (R. V. Kadison) and E.8.5 (J. Dixmier and I. E. Segal) (see also E.8.4).
C.8.2. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra, $G$ a locally compact group and $g \mapsto \pi_g$ a $\sigma$-measurable representation (with respect to the Haar measure) of $G$, by $*$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$.

The problem arises whether there exists a so-continuous unitary representation $g \mapsto u_g$ of $G$, in $\mathcal{H}$ such that we have

$$\pi_g(x) = u_g x u_g^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \; g \in G.$$ 

In general, the answer to this problem is negative, even if each $*$-automorphism $\pi_g$ is spatial. The following theorem gives a positive result in this direction.

**Theorem 1.** Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra, $G$ a locally compact group, and $g \mapsto \pi_g$ a $\sigma$-measurable representation of $G$ by $*$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$. If

(i) $\mathcal{H}$ is separable and $G$ is separable,

(ii) the commutant $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is properly infinite,

then there exists a so-continuous unitary representation $g \mapsto u_g$ of $G$ in $\mathcal{H}$, such that

$$\pi_g(x) = u_g x u_g^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \; g \in G.$$ 

R. R. Kallman [13] proved this theorem by additionally assuming that $\mathcal{M}$ is semifinite and that the representation $g \mapsto \pi_g$ is $\sigma$-continuous. Under the above stated, more general, conditions the theorem has been formulated and proved by M. Henle [1]. The simple and elegant proof of M. Henle reduces the problem to the result contained in Corollary 8.12.

A $*$-automorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be *inner* if it is implemented by a unitary operator in $\mathcal{M}$. Another positive result in connection with the above stated problem has been obtained by R. R. Kallman [14] and C. C. Moore [4, III, IV]:

**Theorem 2.** Let $\{\pi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a $\sigma$-continuous one-parameter group of inner $*$-automorphisms of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $\mathcal{H}$ is separable, then there exists a so-continuous one-parameter group $\{u_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of unitary operators in $\mathcal{M}$, such that

$$\pi_t(x) = u_t x u_t^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \; t \in \mathbb{R}.$$ 

A particular case of this theorem was previously proved by R. V. Kadison [22]. A simple proof, in the case of factors, has recently been given by F. Hansen [1].

An assertion, equivalent to the fact that any derivation of a von Neumann algebra is inner, is that for any one-parameter group $\{\pi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $*$-automorphisms of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, which, moreover, is norm-continuous, there exists an invertible operator $a \in \mathcal{M}$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$ such that

$$\pi_t(x) = a^t x a^{-t}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \; t \in \mathbb{R}.$$ 

H. J. Borchers [4] gave a condition for the inner implementability of $\sigma$-continuous groups, with several real parameters, of spatial automorphisms. We state his result only in the case of a single parameter.
Theorem 3. Let \( \{\pi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) be a \( \omega \)-continuous one-parameter group of \( \ast \)-automorphisms of the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) there exists a \( b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), \( b \geq 0 \), such that

\[
\pi_t(x) = b^t x b^{-t}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R};
\]

(ii) there exists an \( a \in \mathcal{M} \), \( a \geq 0 \), \( a \leq 1 \), such that

\[
\pi_t(x) = a^t x a^{-t}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Variants of the proof of H. J. Borchers appear in G. Dell'Antonio [1], R. V. Kadison [27], and S. Sakai [32]. Another proof has been given by W. B. Arveson [10] (see also L. Zsidó [8]).

In connection with the conditions under which a \( \ast \)-automorphism of a von Neumann algebra is inner, we mention the following remarkable result due to R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose [3]:

**Theorem 4.** Any \( \ast \)-automorphism \( \pi, \) of a von Neumann algebra, such that \( \|\pi - 1\| < 2 \), is inner.

A simple proof of this theorem, in which the bound 2 is replaced by \( \sqrt{3} \), can be found in J. Dixmier's book [26]. For other criteria we refer the reader to S. Sakai ([32], p. 167—168).

C.8.3. Bibliographical comments. Theorem 8.3 is due to F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [2], [3], for the case of factors, and to H. A. Dye [2], E. L. Griffin [2], and R. Pallu de la Barrière [4], [5], for the general case.

Theorem 8.6 is due to E. L. Griffin [2], whereas Theorem 8.7 to I. Kaplansky [17]. The results on the comparison of the topologies \( \omega o \) and \( \omega \) are due to J. Dixmier [23], J. A. Dye [1], I. Kaplansky [10], R. Pallu de la Barrière [5], and others.

The decomposition given in exercise E.8.8 is due to J. Dixmier [24]. The result given in exercise E.8.14 is due to F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [3], Y. Misonou [4] and T. Turumaru [2].

In our exposition of these results we used: J. Dixmier [26], R. V. Kadison [14], J. R. Ringrose [3] and S. Sakai [32].
Unbounded linear operators in Hilbert spaces

This chapter contains the fundamental results from the theory of (unbounded) linear operators in Hilbert spaces, results which will be used in the next chapter.

9.1. Let $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces. One says that $T$ is a linear operator from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{K}$ if $T$ is a linear mapping of a vector subspace $\mathcal{D}_T$ of $\mathcal{H}$ into the vector space $\mathcal{K}$; in this case, $\mathcal{D}_T$ is called the domain of definition of $T$. If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$, one also says that $T$ is a linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$. When no danger of confusion could arise, we shall not indicate the spaces between which the linear operators act.

Let $S$, $T$ be linear operators. One says that they are equal and one denotes by $T = S$ this relation, if $\mathcal{D}_T = \mathcal{D}_S$ and $T\xi = S\xi$, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_T = \mathcal{D}_S$. One says that $T$ is an extension of $S$ (or, that $S$ is a restriction of $T$), and one denotes by $T \supset S$ (or $S \subset T$) this relation, if $\mathcal{D}_T \supset \mathcal{D}_S$ and $T\xi = S\xi$, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_S$.

For the linear operators $T$, $S$ one defines

the multiplication by scalars $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda T$:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda T} = \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(\lambda T)\xi = \lambda (T\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda T};$$

the addition $T + S$

$$\mathcal{D}_{T+S} = \mathcal{D}_T \cap \mathcal{D}_S,$$

$$(T + S)\xi = T\xi + S\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{T+S};$$

the composition $S \circ T = ST$:

$$\mathcal{D}_{ST} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{D}_T; \ T\xi \in \mathcal{D}_S\},$$

$$(ST)\xi = S(T\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{ST};$$

the inverse $T^{-1}$ (if the mapping $T: \mathcal{D}_T \to \mathcal{K}$ is injective):

$$\mathcal{D}_{T^{-1}} = T\mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$T^{-1}\eta = \xi \leftrightarrow T\xi = \eta, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{T^{-1}}.$$
It is easy to verify the associativity of the addition and of the composition, as well as the following distributivity relations

\[(S_1 + S_2)T = S_1T + S_2T,\]

\[S(T_1 + T_2) = ST_1 + ST_2!\]

We recall that by the Hilbert sum of the Hilbert spaces \(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}\) the following vector space is meant

\[\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K} = \{(\xi, \eta); \xi \in \mathcal{H}, \eta \in \mathcal{K}\},\]

in which the scalar product is given by

\[\langle (\xi_1, \eta_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2) \rangle = (\xi_1 | \xi_2) + (\eta_1 | \eta_2), \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{K}.\]

Let \(T\) be a linear operator from \(\mathcal{H}\) into \(\mathcal{K}\). The set

\[\mathcal{D}_T = \{(\xi, T\xi); \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T\} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}\]

is a vector subspace, called the graph of \(T\). A vector subspace \(\mathcal{G}\) of \(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}\) is the graph of a linear operator from \(\mathcal{H}\) into \(\mathcal{K}\) iff

\[(0, \eta) \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \eta = 0.\]

Obviously, we have \(T = S\) (resp., \(T \supset S\)) iff \(\mathcal{G}_T = \mathcal{G}_S\) (resp., \(\mathcal{G}_T \supset \mathcal{G}_S\)).

One says that \(T\) is densely defined if \(\mathcal{D}_T\) is dense in \(\mathcal{K}\).

One says that \(T\) is preclosed if it is densely defined and if the closure of \(\mathcal{D}_T\) in \(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}\) is the graph of a linear operator, denoted by \(\overline{T}\) and called the closure of \(T\). Thus, \(T\) is preclosed iff it is densely defined and

\[\{\xi_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_T, \xi_n \rightarrow 0, \{T\xi_n\}\]

converges \(\Rightarrow T\xi_n \rightarrow 0.\)

One says that \(T\) is closed if it is preclosed and \(T = \overline{T}\), i.e., if \(T\) is densely defined and \(\mathcal{G}_T\) is closed in \(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}\). Thus, \(T\) is closed iff it is densely defined and

\[\{\xi_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_T, \xi_n \rightarrow \xi_0, T\xi_n \rightarrow \eta_0 \Rightarrow \xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_T, T\xi_0 = \eta_0.\]

One says that \(T\) is bounded if

\[\sup \{\|T\xi\|; \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T, \|\xi\| \leq 1}\] 

\[< +\infty.\]

If this condition is not satisfied, one says that \(T\) is unbounded and then \(T\) is continuous at no point of its domain of definition.

If \(T\) is densely defined and bounded, then \(T\) is preclosed and \(\overline{T}\) is everywhere defined (\(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{T}} = \mathcal{K}\)). Conversely, if \(T\) is closed and everywhere defined, then \(T\) is bounded, in accordance with the closed graph theorem.

If \(T\) is closed and \(T^{-1}\) exists, then \(T^{-1}\) is closed.

For any closed operator \(T\) from \(\mathcal{H}\) into \(\mathcal{K}\), the kernel of \(T\) is a closed vector subspace of \(\mathcal{H}\). We denote the projection of \(\mathcal{H}\) onto this subspace by \(\pi(T)\) and
r(T) = 1 - u(T). We shall also denote by I(T) the projection of \( \mathcal{H} \) onto the closure of the vector subspace \( T \mathcal{D} \). One says that \( r(T) \) (resp., \( I(T) \)) is the right (resp., left) support of \( T \). If \( T \) is bounded, these notations are in accordance with those already introduced in Section 2.13.

9.2. Let \( T \) be a densely defined linear operator from \( \mathcal{H} \) into \( \mathcal{H} \). The set

\[ \mathcal{D} = \{ \eta \in \mathcal{H} ; \text{ the form } \mathcal{D}_T \ni \xi \mapsto (T\xi | \eta) \text{ is bounded} \} \]

is a vector subspace of \( \mathcal{H} \). Since \( \mathcal{D}_T \) is dense in \( \mathcal{H} \), from the Riesz theorem one infers that, for any \( \eta \in \mathcal{D} \) there exists a unique element \( \eta^* \in \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[ (T\xi | \eta) = (\xi | \eta^*), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T. \]

We now define a linear operator \( T^* \) from \( \mathcal{H} \) into \( \mathcal{H} \), called the adjoint of \( T \), by the relations

\[ \mathcal{D}_{T^*} = \mathcal{D}, \]
\[ T^* \eta = \eta^*, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*}. \]

Thus, \( T^* \) is determined by the relations

\[ (T\xi | \eta) = (\xi | T^* \eta), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*}. \]

It is easily verified that if the operators \( T, S, T + S, ST \) are densely defined and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \), then

\[ (\lambda T)^* = \lambda T^*, \]
\[ T \supset S \Rightarrow T^* \subset S^*, \]
\[ (T + S)^* = T^* + S^*, \]
\[ (ST)^* \supset T^* S^*, \]

and, if \( T^{-1} \) exists and is densely defined, then

\[ (T^{-1})^* = (T^*)^{-1}. \]

**Proposition.** If \( T \) is a densely defined linear operator and if \( x \) is a bounded, everywhere defined linear operator, then

\[ (T + x)^* = T^* + x^*, \]
\[ (xT)^* = T^* x^*. \]

**Proof.** Since \( x \) is everywhere defined, \( \mathcal{D}_{T+x} = \mathcal{D}_T \). Hence, from the fact that \( x \) is bounded and from the relation

\[ ((T + x)\xi | \eta) = (T\xi | \eta) + (x\xi | \eta) \]
it follows that $\mathcal{D}_{T^*} = \mathcal{D}_{(T+x)^*}$. Thus, the first relation from the statement of the proposition follows by observing that, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_T = \mathcal{D}_{T+x}$, $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*} = \mathcal{D}_{(T+x)^*}$ we have 
\[
(\xi \mid (T + x)^*\eta) = ((T + x)\xi \mid \eta) = (T\xi \mid \eta) + (x\xi \mid \eta) = (\xi \mid T^*\eta) + (\xi \mid x^*\eta) = (\xi \mid (T^* + x^*)\eta).
\]
Since $x$ is everywhere defined, we have $\mathcal{D}_{xT} = \mathcal{D}_T$, and as a result of a remark made just above the proposition, we have $(xT)^* \supset T^*x^*$. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{(xT)^*}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_T$. From the relation 
\[
(\xi \mid (xT)^*\eta) = (xT\xi \mid \eta) = (T\xi \mid x^*\eta)
\]
it follows that $x^*\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*}$, hence $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*x^*}$, and
\[
T^*x^*\eta = (xT)^*\eta.
\]
Thus, we have $(xT)^* \subset T^*x^*$. Consequently, $(xT)^* = T^*x^*$. Q.E.D.

9.3. In order to study more thoroughly the adjoint operator, we consider the unitary operator
\[
V_{xx} : \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \ni (\xi, \eta) \mapsto (\eta, -\xi) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}.
\]
Obviously, we have
\[
V_{xx}^{-1} = -V_{xx}.
\]
If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$, we shall denote $V_x = V_{xx}$.

It is easily verified that for any densely defined linear operator $T$, from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}$, we have
\[
\mathcal{G}_T = (V_{xx}\mathcal{G}_T)^\perp.
\]
In particular, $G_{T^*}$ is closed.

Proposition. If $T$ is a preclosed linear operator from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}$, then $T^*$ is closed and $T^{**} = \overline{T}$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, $\eta \perp \mathcal{D}_{T^*}$. Then
\[
(0, \eta) \in (\mathcal{G}_{T})^\perp = ((V_{xx}\mathcal{G}_T)^\perp)^\perp = V_{xx}((\mathcal{G}_T)^\perp) = V_{xx}\mathcal{G}_T,
\]
hence $(0, \eta) \in \mathcal{G}_T$, whence $\eta = 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{D}_{T^*}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{G}_{T^*}$ is closed, $T^*$ is closed.

Finally, we have
\[
\mathcal{G}_{T^{**}} = (V_{xx}\mathcal{G}_T)^\perp = (V_{xx}(V_{xx}\mathcal{G}_T)^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{G}_{T^*},
\]
hence, $T^{**} = \overline{T}$. Q.E.D.

It is easy to verify that
\[
\pi(T)^* = I(T).
\]
9.4. A linear operator \( T \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) is said to be symmetric if it is densely defined and \( T \subseteq T^* \). In other words, \( T \) is symmetric iff it is densely defined and

\[
(T\xi \mid \eta) = (\xi \mid T\eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}_T.
\]

Obviously, any symmetric operator is preclosed. If \( T \) is symmetric, then, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T \), the number \( (T\xi \mid \xi) \) is real.

A symmetric operator \( T \) is said to be lower (resp., upper) semibounded if it is densely defined and there exists a real number \( \alpha \), such that

\[
(T\xi \mid \xi) \geq \alpha (\xi \mid \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T,
\]

(resp., \( (T\xi \mid \xi) \leq \alpha (\xi \mid \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T \)).

In this case, the greatest (resp., the smallest) \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) with this property is called the g.l.b. (resp., l.u.b.) of \( T \).

A linear operator \( T \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) is said to be positive if it is densely defined and

\[
(T\xi \mid \xi) \geq 0, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T.
\]

It is easy to see that any positive operator is symmetric and lower semibounded, with g.l.b. \( \geq 0 \).

A linear operator \( T \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) is said to be self-adjoint if it is densely defined and \( T = T^* \). Obviously, any self-adjoint operator is closed and symmetric. It is easily verified that any symmetric operator everywhere defined is self-adjoint.

If \( T \) is a self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), then one denotes

\[
s(T) = r(T) = I(T),
\]

and the projection \( s(T) \) is called the support of \( T \).

9.5. Let \( A \) be a positive linear operator in \( \mathcal{H} \). Then, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_A \), we have

\[
\|(1 + A)\xi\|^2 = \|\xi\|^2 + 2(A\xi \mid \xi) + \|A\xi\|^2 \geq \|\xi\|^2;
\]

hence the mapping \( 1 + A \) is injective. Therefore, \( (1 + A)^{-1} \) is a linear operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), whose domain of definition is \( (1 + A)\mathcal{D}_A \). Moreover, \( (1 + A)^{-1} \) is bounded, of norm \( \leq 1 \), and, obviously, positive.

Lemma. Let \( A \) be a positive linear operator in \( \mathcal{H} \). Then \( A \) is self-adjoint iff \( (1 + A)\mathcal{D}_A = \mathcal{H} \).

Proof. Let us first assume that \( A \) is self-adjoint. Then \( A \) is closed. If \( \{\xi_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_A \) and \( (1 + A)\xi_n \rightarrow \eta_0 \), then, from the inequality

\[
\|\xi_n - \xi_m\| \leq \|(1 + A)(\xi_n - \xi_m)\| = \|(1 + A)\xi_n - (1 + A)\xi_m\|
\]

we infer that the sequence \( \{\xi_n\} \) converges to a vector \( \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H} \). Since \( \xi_n \rightarrow \xi_0 \), \( A\xi_n \rightarrow \eta_0 - \xi_0 \) and \( A \) is closed, we get that \( \xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_A \) and \( \eta_0 - \xi_0 = A\xi_0 \), i.e., \( \eta_0 = (1 + A)\xi_0 \). Consequently, \( (1 + A)\mathcal{D}_A \) is a closed vector subspace of \( \mathcal{H} \).
Let now $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, $\eta \perp (1 + A)\mathcal{D}_A$. Then

$$(0, \eta) \in (\mathcal{G}_{1+A})^\perp = (\mathcal{G}_{(1+A)^{-1}})^\perp = V_\mathcal{H} \mathcal{G}_{1+A},$$

hence $(\eta, 0) \in \mathcal{G}_{1+A}$, i.e., $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_A$ and $(1 + A)\eta = 0$. But $1 + A$ is an injective mapping, hence $\eta = 0$. Thus, $(1 + A)\mathcal{D}_A$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

Consequently, we have $(1 + A)\mathcal{D}_A = \mathcal{H}$.

Conversely, let us assume that $(1 + A)\mathcal{D}_A = \mathcal{H}$ and let us consider an element, $(\eta_0, \xi_0) \in \mathcal{G}_A^\ast$. For any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_A$ we have

$$(A\xi \mid \eta_0) = (\xi \mid \xi_0),$$

$$(1 + A)\xi \mid \eta_0 = (\xi \mid \xi_0 + \eta_0);$$

hence, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$(\eta \mid \eta_0) = ((1 + A)^{-1}\eta \mid \xi_0 + \eta_0).$$

Since $(1 + A)^{-1}$ is everywhere defined and symmetric, it is self-adjoint. Thus for any $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$(\eta \mid \eta_0) = (\eta \mid (1 + A)^{-1}(\xi_0 + \eta_0)),$$

whence we infer that

$$\eta_0 = (1 + A)^{-1}(\xi_0 + \eta_0).$$

Consequently, $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{D}_A$ and $(1 + A)\eta_0 = \xi_0 + \eta_0$, $A\eta_0 = \xi_0$, i.e.,

$$(\eta_0, \xi_0) \in \mathcal{G}_A^\ast.$$

Therefore, $A$ is self-adjoint. Q.E.D.

9.6. If a linear operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$ has a symmetric extension, then $A$ is symmetric and any symmetric extension of $A$ is a restriction of $A^\ast$. These assertions immediately follow, if we take into account the implication

$$T \supset S \Rightarrow T^\ast \subset S^\ast.$$ 

An interesting problem in the theory of symmetric operators is that of the existence and the classification of the self-adjoint extensions. The semibounded symmetric operators have a canonical self-adjoint extension, with the conservation of the bound (lower, or upper, as the case may be). In the following theorem we describe the corresponding construction for positive operators.

**Theorem.** Let $B$ be a positive operator in $\mathcal{H}$. We define a linear operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$ by the relations

$$\mathcal{D}_A = \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{D}_B^\ast; \text{ there exists a } \{\xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}_B \text{ such that:} \right. \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \xi_n \to \xi \text{ and } (B(\xi_n - \xi_m) \mid \xi_n - \xi_m) \to 0, \\ A\xi = B^\ast \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_A. \end{array} \right\}$$

Then $A$ is a positive self-adjoint extension of $B$. 
Proof. We consider, on $D_B$, the scalar product

$$(\xi | \eta)_B = ((1 + B) \xi | \eta),$$

and we define

$$D = \{ \xi \in H; \text{ there exists a } \{\xi_n\} \subset D_B, \text{ such that:} \}
= \{ \xi_n \to \xi \text{ and } (\xi_n - \xi_m | \xi_n - \xi_m)_B \to 0. \}$$

Then $D$ is a vector subspace of $H$, $D \supset D_B$ and $D_A = D \cap D_B$.

If $\xi \in D$ and $\{\xi_n\} \subset D_B$, $\xi_n \to \xi$, $(\xi_n - \xi_m | \xi_n - \xi_m)_B \to 0$, then the sequence $\{(\xi_n | \xi_n)_B\}$ converges and its limit does not depend on the choice of the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$. We denote this limit by

$$(\xi | \xi)_B,$$

and for any $\xi, \eta \in D$ we define

$$(\xi | \eta)_B = \frac{1}{4} (\xi + \eta | \xi + \eta)_B - \frac{1}{4} (\xi - \eta | \xi - \eta)_B + \frac{i}{4} (\xi + i\eta | \xi + i\eta)_B - \frac{i}{4} (\xi - i\eta | \xi - i\eta)_B.$$

We easily verify that $(\xi | \eta)_B$ is a scalar product on $D$ and that $D$, endowed with this scalar product, is a Hilbert space. By definition, $D_B$ is dense in the Hilbert space $D$. We can now easily prove the following relations

$$(\xi | \xi)_B \geq \|\xi\|^2, \quad \xi \in D,$$

$$(\xi | \eta)_B = ((1 + B) \xi | \eta), \quad \xi \in D_B, \quad \eta \in D.$$

Let $\xi \in D_A = D \cap D_B$, and let $\{\xi_n\} \subset D_B$ be a sequence, such that

$$(\xi - \xi_n | \xi - \xi_n)_B \to 0.$$

Then we have

$$(A \xi | \xi) = (B^* \xi | \xi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (B^* \xi | \xi_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\xi | B \xi_n)
= \lim_{n \to \infty} ((\xi | (1 + B) \xi_n) - (\xi | \xi_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} ((\xi | \xi)_B - (\xi | \xi_n))
= (\xi | \xi)_B - \|\xi\|^2 \geq 0.$$

Consequently, $A$ is positive.

Let $\eta_0 \in H$ be arbitrary. The mapping $\xi \mapsto (\xi | \eta_0)$ is a bounded form on the Hilbert space $D$; hence there exists a $\xi_0 \in D$, such that

$$(\xi | \eta_0) = (\xi | \xi_0)_B, \quad \xi \in D.$$

In particular, for any $\xi \in D_B$, we have

$$(\xi | \eta_0) = (\xi | \xi_0)_B = ((1 + B) \xi | \xi_0),$$

$$(\xi | \eta_0 - \xi_0) = (B \xi | \xi_0);$$
hence

\[ \xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*}, \quad \eta_0 - \xi_0 = B^* \xi_0, \]
\[ \xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_A, \quad \eta_0 = (1 + A) \xi_0. \]

Consequently, we have \((1 + A) \mathcal{D}_A = \mathcal{H}\) and, according to lemma 9.5, \(A\) is self-adjoint.

Obviously, \(A\) is an extension of \(B\). \( \text{Q.E.D.} \)

The positive self-adjoint extension of the positive operators, which has just been constructed, is called the \textit{Friedrichs extension}.

9.7. Let \(\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) be a von Neumann algebra and \(T\) a linear operator in \(\mathcal{H}\). One says that \(T\) is \textit{affiliated} to \(\mathcal{M}\) if, for any unitary operator \(u' \in \mathcal{M}'\), one has

\[ u'^*Tu' = T. \]

From Corollary 3.4 we infer that if \(T\) is everywhere defined and bounded, then \(T\) is affiliated to \(\mathcal{M}\) iff \(T \in \mathcal{M}\).

If \(T\) is densely defined and affiliated to \(\mathcal{M}\), then \(T^*\) is affiliated to \(\mathcal{M}\).

If \(T\) is preclosed and affiliated to \(\mathcal{M}\), then \(\overline{T}\) is affiliated to \(\mathcal{M}\).

In what follows we shall use the notations \(\text{Mat}_4(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}')\) and \((\mathcal{M}')_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}')\), already introduced in Section 2.32. In accordance with Lemma 3.16, the commutant of \(\text{Mat}_4(\mathcal{M})\) is \((\mathcal{M}')_2\).

Let \(T\) be a closed linear operator in \(\mathcal{H}\). Then the graph \(\mathcal{G}_T\) is a closed linear subspace of \(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}'\) and, in order not to complicate the notations, the orthogonal projection on \(\mathcal{G}_T\) will be denoted again by \(\mathcal{G}_T\). Thus, we have \(\mathcal{G}_T \subseteq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}'\) and, at the same time, \(\mathcal{G}_T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})\).

Lemma. Let \(\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) be a von Neumann algebra and \(T\) a closed linear operator in \(\mathcal{H}\). Then \(T\) is affiliated to \(\mathcal{M}\) iff \(\mathcal{G}_T \in \text{Mat}_4(\mathcal{M})\).

Proof. It is easy to verify the following equivalences: \(T\) is affiliated to \(\mathcal{M}\) \iff for any \(x' \in \mathcal{M}'\) and \((\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{G}_T\) we have \((x'^*\xi, x'\eta) \in \mathcal{G}_T\) \iff for any \(\tilde{x}' \in (\mathcal{M}')_2\) we have \(\tilde{x}'(\mathcal{G}_T) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_T \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}_T \in (\mathcal{M}')_2\)\(= \text{Mat}_4(\mathcal{M})\). \( \text{Q.E.D.} \)

9.8. We recall (E.4.20) that if \(\mathcal{M}\) is finite, then \(\text{Mat}_4(\mathcal{M})\) is also finite. In this case we shall denote by \(\lambda\) the canonical central trace (7.12) on \(\text{Mat}_4(\mathcal{M})\).

Lemma. Let \(\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) be a finite von Neumann algebra and \(T\) a closed linear operator in \(\mathcal{H}\), affiliated to \(\mathcal{M}\). Then

\[ (\mathcal{G}_T)^\lambda = 1/2. \]

Proof. We consider the projections

\[ P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]
in Mat\(_2(\mathcal{M})\). It is easy to see that \(P_1, P_2\) are equivalent orthogonal projections, whose sum is 1. Consequently,

\[ P_1^h = P_2^h = 1/2. \]

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that

\[ n(P_1\mathcal{G}_T) = (\mathcal{G}_T)^4, \quad n(\mathcal{G}_TP_1) = P_4, \]

whence

\[ r(P_1\mathcal{G}_T) = \mathcal{G}_T, \quad l(P_1\mathcal{G}_T) = P_1. \]

Consequently, in accordance with Theorem 4.3, we have

\[ \mathcal{G}_T \sim P_1. \]

As a conclusion, we have

\[ (\mathcal{G}_T)^h = P_1^h = 1/2. \]

\[ \text{Q.E.D.} \]

**Theorem.** Let \( M \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a finite von Neumann algebra. If \( T \) and \( S \) are closed linear operators, affiliated to \( M \), and if

\[ T \subset S, \]

then

\[ T = S. \]

**Proof.** The theorem follows from the preceding lemma and from the properties of the mapping \( \mathcal{H} \) (7.11).

\[ \text{Q.E.D.} \]

For a symmetric operator, affiliated to a finite von Neumann algebra, the above theorem completely solves the problem of the existence and the classification of self-adjoint extensions:

**Corollary.** Let \( T \) be a symmetric operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), affiliated to a finite von Neumann algebra. Then \( \overline{T} \) is self-adjoint, and it is the unique self-adjoint extension of \( T \).

**Proof.** Since the operator \( T \) is symmetric, we have \( \overline{T} \subset T^* \). The theorem implies that \( \overline{T} = T^* \), hence \( \overline{T} \) is self-adjoint.

On the other hand, if \( S \) is a self-adjoint extension of \( T \), then

\[ \overline{T} \subset S \subset T^* = \overline{T}, \]

whence

\[ S = \overline{T}. \]

\[ \text{Q.E.D.} \]

9.9. In this section we describe the *operational calculus* for positive self-adjoint operators, with the help of Lemma 9.5, of the operational calculus for bounded self-adjoint operators (2.20) and of a natural passage to the limit process.
Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint linear operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. From Lemma 9.5 we infer that

\[ a = (1 + A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \]
\[ 0 \leq a \leq 1, \quad s(a) = 1. \]

For any natural number $n$, let $\chi_n$ be the characteristic function of the set $((n + 1)^{-1}, +\infty)$. Let us define

\[ e_n = \chi_n(a) \in \mathcal{A}(\{a\}). \]

There exists a unique $a_n \in \mathcal{A}(\{a_n\})$, such that

\[ e_n \leq a_n \leq (n + 1) e_n \]
\[ e_n = aa_n. \]

Since

\[ a\mathcal{H} = (1 + A)^{-1}\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{D}_A, \]

it follows that, for any $n$, we have

\[ e_n \mathcal{H} = aa_n \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{D}_A. \]

Hence, the operator $A e_n$ is everywhere defined. Moreover, we have

\[ A e_n = A(1 + A)^{-1} a_n = (1 - (1 + A)^{-1}) a_n = (1 - a) a_n = a_n - e_n. \]

In particular,

\[ A e_n \in \mathcal{A}(\{a\}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \]
\[ 0 \leq A e_n \leq n e_n. \]

It is easy to verify that

\[ e_n A \subset A e_n. \]

We shall denote by $\mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$ the $\ast$-algebra of all Borel measurable complex functions, which are defined on $[0, +\infty)$ and bounded on compact sets. For any $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$ we have

\[ f[A e_n] \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(A e_n)), \]

hence we can consider the operator

\[ f(A e_n) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}). \]

For any $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, we define a linear operator $f(A)$ in $\mathcal{H}$, by the relations

\[ \mathcal{D}_{f(A)} = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} \}; \text{ the sequence } \{ f(A e_n) \xi \}_n \text{ converges} \]
\[ f(A) \xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(A e_n) \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{f(A)}. \]

It is easy to see that

\[ f(0)(1 - e_n) + f(A) e_n = f(A e_n). \]
On the other hand, if \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \), \( f(0) = 0 \), then for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{f(A)} \) and any natural number \( n \),

\[
e_n f(A) \xi = \lim_{k \to \infty} e_n f(A e_k) \xi = f(A e_n) \xi,
\]

hence

\[
e_n f(A) \subseteq f(A e_n).
\]

We now introduce the notation

\[
\mathcal{S}_A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \mathcal{H}.
\]

Then it is easy to verify that, for any \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \), we have

\[
\mathcal{S}_A \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{f(A)},
\]

\[
f(A) \mathcal{S}_A \subseteq \mathcal{S}_A.
\]

If \( A \) is an everywhere defined, positive and bounded linear operator, then \( e_n = 1 \), for \( n \) sufficiently great. Hence, for any \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \), the operator \( f(A) \), we have just defined, coincides with that introduced by Theorem 2.20.

If \( A \) is a positive self-adjoint linear operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), and if \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \), then the linear operator \( f(A) \), we have just defined, is affiliated to the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{B}\{a\} \) generated by \( a = (1 + A)^{-1} \). Thus, if \( A \) is affiliated to a von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \), then, for any \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \), \( f(A) \) is affiliated to \( \mathcal{M} \).

9.10. Let \( A, a \) and \( e_n \) be as in the preceding section. For any bounded \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \) we consider the function \( F_f \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1]) \), defined by

\[
F_f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\
\frac{f((1 - \lambda)/\lambda)}{f(1)} & \text{if } \lambda \in (0, 1].
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( n \) be a fixed natural number. We recall that \( A e_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). It is easy to verify that the mapping

\[
\mathcal{B}(\sigma(A e_n)) \ni f \mapsto F_f(a e_n) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})
\]

has the properties (i) and (ii) from Theorem 2.20, relatively to \( x = A e_n \). From Theorem 2.20 it then follows that for any bounded \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \) we have

\[
f(A e_n) = F_f(a e_n) = F_f(a) e_n.
\]

By using the definition of \( f(A) \) and the fact that \( e_n \uparrow s(a) = 1 \), we infer that for any bounded \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \) we have the relation

\[
f(A) = F_f(a).
\]

In particular, we found that if \( f \) is bounded, then \( f(A) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), and we have

\[
\|f(A)\| = \|F_f(a)\| \leq \sup \{|F_f(\lambda)|; \lambda \in (0, 1]\}
\]

\[
= \sup \{|f(\lambda)|; \lambda \in [0, +\infty)\}.
\]
9.11. The following theorem states the main rules of the operational calculus for positive self-adjoint operators.

**Theorem.** Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint linear operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then
(i) for $f_0(\lambda) = c \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in [0, +\infty)$, we have
$$f_0(A) = c;$$
for $f_1(\lambda) = \lambda$, $\lambda \in [0, +\infty)$, we have
$$f_1(A) = A;$$
(ii) for any $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, we have
$$D_{f_1(A)} = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H}; \sup_n \| f(Ae_n) \xi \| < +\infty \},$$
the linear operator $f(A)$ is closed and
$$\overline{f(A)} \mathcal{D}_A = f(A);$$
(iii) for any $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, we have
$$f(A)^* = \overline{f(A)};$$
(iv) for any $f, g \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, we have
the linear operator $f(A) + g(A)$ is preclosed and
$$\overline{f(A) + g(A)} = (f + g)(A);$$
(v) for any $f, g \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$ we have
the linear operator $f(A)g(A)$ is preclosed,
$$D_{f_1(A)g_1(A)} = D_{(fg)(A)} \cap D_{g_1(A)}$$
and
$$\overline{f(A)g(A)} = (fg)(A);$$
(vi) for any sequence $\{f_k\}_k \subset \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, which is uniformly bounded on compact sets and pointwise convergent to $f_0 \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$ we have
$$f_0(A) \xi = \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(A) \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_A.$$

**Proof.** (i) For any $n$, we have $f_0(Ae_n) = c$; hence, indeed, $f_0(A) = c$.
For any $n$, we have $f_1(Ae_n) = Ae_n$. If $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_A$, then
$$f_1(Ae_n) \xi = e_n A \xi \to A \xi;$$
hence $\xi \in D_{f_1(A)}$ and $f_1(A) \xi = A \xi$. If $\xi \in D_{f_1(A)}$, then
$$e_n \xi \to \xi,$$
$$Ae_n \xi = f_1(Ae_n) \xi \to f_1(A) \xi,$$
hence, since $A$ is closed, $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_A$ and $A \xi = f_1(A) \xi$. Thus, we have $f_1(A) = A$. 
If \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \) and \( f_0(\lambda) = f(0), \ \lambda \in [0, +\infty) \), it is easy to verify that

\[
    f(A) = (f - f_0)(A) + f(0).
\]

Since \( (f - f_0)(0) = 0 \), this remark will enable us to assume, without any essential loss of generality, that the considered functions vanish at 0.

(ii) We can assume that \( f(0) = 0 \). Let

\[
    \alpha = \sup_n \|f(Ae_n)\xi\| < +\infty.
\]

Since \( f(0) = 0 \), for any \( n \) we have

\[
    \|f(Ae_n)\xi\| = \|e_n f(Ae_{n+1})\xi\| \leq \|f(Ae_{n+1})\xi\|.
\]

Consequently, we have

\[
    \|f(Ae_n)\xi\| \leq \alpha^2.
\]

On the other hand, for any \( n, k \), we have

\[
    \|f(Ae_{n+k})\xi - f(Ae_n)\xi\|^2 = \|(e_{n+k} - e_n)f(Ae_{n+k})\xi\|^2
\]

\[
    = \|e_{n+k}f(Ae_{n+k})\xi\|^2 - \|e_n f(Ae_{n+k})\xi\|^2 = \|f(Ae_{n+k})\xi\|^2 - \|f(Ae_n)\xi\|^2.
\]

Consequently, the sequence \( \{f(Ae_n)\xi\} \) is fundamental, hence convergent.

Therefore we have

\[
    \mathcal{D}_{f(A)} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{H}; \ \sup_n \|f(Ae_n)\xi\| < +\infty\}.
\]

Since \( e_n \uparrow s(a) = 1 \), \( \mathcal{S}_A = \mathcal{D}_{f(A)} \) is a dense subset of \( \mathcal{H} \); hence \( f(A) \) is densely defined. If \( \{\xi_k\} \in \mathcal{D}_{f(A)}\), \( \xi_k \to \xi_0 \) and \( f(A)\xi_k \to \eta_0 \), then, for any \( n \) we have

\[
    f(Ae_n)\xi_0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} f(Ae_n)\xi_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} e_n f(A)\xi_k = e_n \eta_0.
\]

It follows that \( f(Ae_n)\xi_0 \to \eta_0 \); hence \( \xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{f(A)} \) and \( f(A)\xi_0 = \eta_0 \).

Consequently, \( f(A) \) is closed.

If \( (\xi, f(A)\xi) \in \mathcal{D}_{f(A)} \) and is orthogonal to the graph of the operator \( f(A)|\mathcal{S}_A \), then, for any \( n \), we have

\[
    (\xi|e_n\xi) = (f(A)\xi|f(Ae_n)\xi) = 0.
\]

By tending to the limit for \( n \to +\infty \), we find that

\[
    \|\xi\|^2 + \|f(A)\xi\|^2 = 0;
\]

hence \( \xi = f(A)\xi = 0 \).

Therefore, we have \( f(A)|\mathcal{S}_A = f(A) \).

(iii) By taking into account the remark we made in (i) and Proposition 9.2, we can assume that \( f(0) = 0 \). It is then easy to verify that

\[
    \overline{f(A)}|\mathcal{S}_A \subset f(A)^*.
\]
whence, in accordance with (ii),

$$\tilde{f}(A) \subseteq f(A)^*.$$ 

Let now \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{f(A)^*} \). For any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \) and any \( n \), we have

$$ (\xi | \tilde{f}(Ae_n) \eta) = (f(Ae_n) \xi | \eta) = (f(A) e_n \xi | \eta) = (\xi | e_n f(A)^* \eta); $$

hence

$$ \tilde{f}(Ae_n) \eta = e_n f(A)^* \eta. $$

It follows that \( \tilde{f}(Ae_n) \eta \to f(A)^* \eta \), i.e., \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{f(A)} \) and \( \tilde{f}(A) \eta = f(A)^* \eta \).

Consequently, we have \( f(A)^* = f(A) \).

(iv) It is easy to verify that

$$ f(A) + g(A) \subseteq (f + g)(A), $$

hence \( f(A) + g(A) \) is preclosed. Since on \( \mathcal{S}_A \) the operators \( f(A) + g(A) \) and \( (f + g)(A) \) coincide, from (ii) we infer that

$$ \tilde{f}(A) + g(A) = (f + g)(A) $$

(v) We can assume that \( f(0) = 0 \). It is easy to verify that

$$ f(A) g(A) \subseteq (fg)(A); $$

hence \( f(A) g(A) \) is preclosed. Since on \( \mathcal{S}_A \) the operators \( f(A) g(A) \) and \( (fg)(A) \) coincide, from (ii) we infer that

$$ \tilde{f}(A) g(A) = (fg)(A). $$

In accordance with the preceding results, we have

$$ \mathcal{D}_{f(A)g(A)} = \mathcal{D}_{(fg)(A)} \cap \mathcal{D}_{f(A)}. $$

In order to prove the reversed inclusion, we must prove the implication

$$ \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{(fg)(A)} \cap \mathcal{D}_{f(A)} \Rightarrow g(A) \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{f(A)}. $$

Indeed, since \( f(0) = 0 \), for any \( n \) we have

$$ f(Ae_n) g(A) \xi = f(Ae_n) g(Ae_n) \xi = (fg)(Ae_n) \xi; $$

hence the sequence \( \{ f(Ae_n) g(A) \xi \} \) is convergent.

(vi) We can assume that \( f_k(0) = 0 \), for any \( k \geq 0 \). Let \( \xi \in \mathcal{S}_A \); then there exists an \( n \), such that \( \xi \in e_n \mathcal{H} \). Then, for any \( k \geq 0 \), we have

$$ f_k(A) \xi = f_k(A) e_n \xi = f_k(Ae_n) \xi. $$

From Theorem 2.20 we infer that

$$ f_k(Ae_n) \xi \to f_0(Ae_n) \xi, $$

i.e.,

$$ f_k(A) \xi \to f_0(A) \xi. $$

Q.E.D.
9.12. Corollary. Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, and $|f| \leq |g|$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_{g(A)} \subset \mathcal{D}_{f(A)},$$

$$\|f(A) \xi\| \leq \|g(A) \xi\|, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{g(A)}.$$ 

In particular, if $f$ is bounded, then

$$f(A) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

$$\|f(A)\| \leq \sup \{|f(\lambda)|; \lambda \in [0, +\infty)\}.$$ 

Proof. If $|f| \leq |g|$, then, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{g(A)}$ and any natural number $n$, we have

$$\|f(\lambda) \xi\|^2 = (f(\lambda) \xi, \xi) = (g(\lambda) \xi, \xi) \leq \|g(\lambda) \xi\|^2;$$

hence

$$\sup_n \|f(\lambda) \xi\| \leq \sup \|g(\lambda) \xi\| = \|g(A) \xi\| < +\infty.$$ 

In accordance with Theorem 9.11 (ii), we infer that $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{f(A)}$; obviously, we have $\|f(A) \xi\| \leq \|g(A) \xi\|$, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{g(A)}$.

If $f$ is bounded, we have

$$|f| \leq c = \sup \{|f(\lambda)|; \lambda \in [0, +\infty)\};$$

then $\mathcal{D}_{f(A)} = \mathcal{D}_c = \mathcal{H}$ and

$$\|f(A)\| = \sup \{|f(A) \xi|; \|\xi\| \leq 1\} \leq \sup \{c \|\xi\|; \|\xi\| \leq 1\} = c.$$ 

Q.E.D.

If $f, g \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$ and at least one of the functions $f, g$ is bounded, then it is easy to show that we have

$$(f + g)(A) = f(A) + g(A),$$

$$(fg)(A) = f(A)g(A).$$

If the sequence $\{f_k\} \subset \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$ converges uniformly to $f_0 \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, then, for a sufficiently great $k$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{f_k(A)} = \mathcal{D}_{f_0(A)}$, $f_0(A) - f_k(A) \subset (f_0 - f_k)(A)$ is bounded and

$$\|f_0(A) - f_k(A)\| \to 0.$$ 

9.13. Corollary. Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Then

(i) for any real $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, $f(A)$ is self-adjoint;

(ii) for any positive $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, $f(A)$ is self-adjoint and positive;

(iii) for any characteristic function $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, $f(A) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a projection; moreover, $s(A) = \chi_{[0, +\infty]}(A);$

(iv) for any $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, such that $|f| = 1$, $f(A) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is unitary.

A linear operator $T$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be normal if $T$ is closed and $TT^* = T^*T$. It is easy to see that $T$ is normal iff $D_T = D_{T^*}$ and $\|T \xi\| = \|T^* \xi\|, \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T.$
For any positive self-adjoint linear operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and any $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, the linear operator $f(A)$ is normal.

**9.14. Corollary.** For any positive self-adjoint linear operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique positive self-adjoint linear operator $B$ in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $B^2 = A$.

**Proof.** We consider the continuous functions $f, g$, defined on $[0, +\infty)$ by the formulas

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda^{1/2},$$

$$g(\lambda) = 1 + \lambda.$$

According to Corollary 9.13, $f(A)$ is self-adjoint and positive. Since $0 \leq f \leq g$, from Corollary 9.12 we infer that

$$\mathcal{D}_A = \mathcal{D}_{g(A)} \subset \mathcal{D}_{f(A)}.$$

By taking into account Theorem 9.11 (v), we get

$$\mathcal{D}_{f(A)^2} = \mathcal{D}_A \cap \mathcal{D}_{f(A)} = \mathcal{D}_A$$

and

$$f(A)^2 = A.$$

Let now $B$ be a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $B^2 = A$. We denote

$$b = (1 + B)^{-1},$$

$$f_n = \chi_{[(1+n)^{-1}, +\infty)}(b).$$

We consider the continuous functions $h, k$, defined on $[0, 1]$ by the formulas

$$h(\lambda) = \lambda^{1/2}/(\lambda^2 + (1 - \lambda)^3),$$

$$k(\lambda) = \lambda^{1/2}/(\lambda^{1/2} + (1 - \lambda)^{1/2}).$$

It is easy to verify that, for any $n$, we have

$$h(b)f_n = h(bf_n) = (1 + B^2)^{-1}f_n = (1 + A)^{-1}f_n,$$

whence

$$h(b) = (1 + A)^{-1}.$$

Since $(k \circ h)(\lambda) = \lambda$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, by taking into account Corollary 2.7, we get

$$k((1 + A)^{-1}) = k(h(b)) = (k \circ h)(b) = b = (1 + B)^{-1}.$$

From this equality we infer that $B$ is determined by $A$ in a unique manner.

Q.E.D.

This unique positive self-adjoint linear operator $B$ in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $B^2 = A$, will be denoted

$$B = A^{1/2}.$$
9.15. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re \alpha \geq 0$. We now consider the mapping

$$f_\alpha : [0, +\infty) \ni \lambda \mapsto \lambda^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$$

as in Section 2.30. Then $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$. For any positive self-adjoint linear operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$ we define the operator

$$A^\alpha = f_\alpha(A).$$

**Corollary.** Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$, $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{A^\alpha}$;

(ii) $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{A^\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \varepsilon$, and the mapping

$$\alpha \mapsto A^\alpha \xi$$

is continuous on $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \varepsilon\}$ and analytic in $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \Re \alpha < \varepsilon\}$;

(iii) the mapping

$$\alpha \mapsto A^{i\alpha} \xi,$$

defined on the imaginary axis, has a continuous extension to the set $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \varepsilon\}$, which is analytic in $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \Re \alpha < \varepsilon\}$.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). We define the continuous functions $f_\alpha$ and $g$ on $[0, +\infty)$ by the relations

$$f_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda^\alpha,$$

$$g(\lambda) = 1 + \lambda^\alpha.$$

Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \varepsilon$, we have $|f_\alpha| \leq g$. In accordance with Corollary 9.12, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{A^\alpha} = \mathcal{D}_{f_\alpha(A)} \subset \mathcal{D}_{f_\alpha(A)} = \mathcal{D}_{A^{i\alpha}}.$$

For any natural number $n$, we consider the projection $e_n$ defined in Section 9.9. If $\xi \in e_n \mathcal{H}$, then, in accordance with Corollary 2.30, the mapping

$$\alpha \mapsto A^\alpha \xi = (Ae_n)^\alpha \xi$$

is continuous on $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \varepsilon\}$ and analytic in $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \Re \alpha < \varepsilon\}$.

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{A^\alpha}$ be arbitrarily chosen. We denote $\xi_n = e_n \xi$. By taking into account Corollary 9.12, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \varepsilon$, and any natural number $n$, we get

$$\|A^\alpha \xi - A^\alpha \xi_n\| = \|A^\alpha (\xi - \xi_n)\| \leq \|(1 + A^\alpha) (\xi - \xi_n)\| \leq \|\xi - \xi_n\| + \|A^\alpha \xi - A^\alpha \xi_n\|.$$ 

Thus, the mappings

$$\alpha \mapsto A^\alpha \xi_n$$
are uniformly convergent on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \} \) to the mapping
\[
\alpha \mapsto A^\alpha \xi.
\]
It follows that the mapping \( \alpha \mapsto A^\alpha \xi \) is continuous on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \} \)
and analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < \varepsilon \} \).

(ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (iii). Obvious.

(iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i). We shall denote by \( F \) a continuous extension on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \} \), which is analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < \varepsilon \} \), of the mapping
\[
i \mathbb{R} \ni \alpha \mapsto A^\alpha \xi.
\]
In accordance with the implication (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii), for any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{S}_A \), the mapping
\[
\alpha \mapsto (A^\alpha \xi | \eta) = (\xi | A^\bar{\alpha} \eta)
\]
is continuous on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Re} \alpha \geq 0 \} \), and analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Re} \alpha > 0 \} \).

Let \( \{ \xi_k \} \subset \mathcal{S}_A \), \( \xi_k \to \xi \). For any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), \( 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \), in accordance with Corollary 9.12, we have
\[
| (\xi_k | A^\bar{\alpha} \eta) - (\xi | A^\bar{\alpha} \eta) |^2 \leq \| \xi_k - \xi \|^2 \| A^{\text{Re} \alpha} \eta \|^2 \leq \| \xi_k - \xi \|^2 \| (1 + A^\alpha) \eta \|^2.
\]
It follows that the mappings
\[
\alpha \mapsto (\xi_k | A^\bar{\alpha} \eta)
\]
converge uniformly on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \} \) to the mapping
\[
\alpha \mapsto (\xi | A^\bar{\alpha} \eta).
\]
Thus, the mapping \( \alpha \mapsto (\xi | A^\bar{\alpha} \eta) \) is continuous on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \} \) and analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < \varepsilon \} \).

For any \( \eta \in \mathcal{S}_A \), the mappings
\[
\alpha \mapsto (F(\alpha) | \eta),
\]
\[
\alpha \mapsto (\xi | A^\bar{\alpha} \eta),
\]
which are continuous on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \} \) and analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < \varepsilon \} \), coincide on the imaginary axis \( i\mathbb{R} \). From the symmetry principle we infer that they coincide on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \} \). In particular,
\[
(F(\alpha) | \eta) = (\xi | A^\bar{\alpha} \eta), \quad \eta \in \mathcal{S}_A,
\]
whence, in accordance with Theorem 9.11 (ii), we get
\[
(F(\varepsilon) | \eta) = (\xi | A^\bar{\alpha} \eta), \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}_A^*.
\]
Consequently, we have
\[
\xi \in \mathcal{D}(A^\alpha)^* = \mathcal{D}_A^*.
\]
Q.E.D.
9.16. One calls a (one-parameter) group of unitary operators in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ a family $\{u_t; t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ of unitary operators, such that

\[ u_0 = 1, \]
\[ u_{t+s} = u_t u_s, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}. \]

One says that the group $\{u_t\}$ is so-continuous (resp. wo-continuous) if the mapping

\[ \mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto u_t \in B(\mathcal{H}) \]

is so-continuous (wo-continuous).

Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $s(A) = 1$. Then, by taking into account Theorem 9.11 and Corollary 9.13, it follows that

\[ (A^{it})^* A^{it} = A^t (A^{it})^* = \chi_{(0, +\infty)}(A) = s(A) = 1, \]

hence the operators $A^{it}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, are unitary. By taking into account Theorem 9.11 and Corollary 9.15, it follows that $\{A^{it}\}$ is a so-continuous group of unitary operators.

Conversely, we shall prove in what follows that any wo-continuous group of unitary operators is of the preceding form (the representation theorem of M. H. Stone). In particular, we shall infer that any wo-continuous group of unitary operators is so-continuous.

9.17. A mapping into $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be weakly continuous (resp., weakly analytic; resp., weakly entire) if it is continuous (resp., analytic; resp., entire) for the weak topology in $\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma. Let $\{u_t\}$ be a wo-continuous group of unitary operators in $\mathcal{H}$. Then the set

\[ \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H}; \text{the mapping } t \mapsto u_t \xi \text{ has a weakly entire extension} \} \]

is a dense vector subspace of $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $n$ be a natural number. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the mapping

\[ \mathcal{H} \ni \eta \mapsto (n/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-n(s + ix)^2) (u_\xi \eta | \eta) \, ds \]

is a bounded antilinear form on $\mathcal{H}$, hence, there exists an $F_{\xi,n}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{H}$, such that

\[ (F_{\xi,n}(\alpha) | \eta) = (n/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-n(s + ix)^2) (u_\xi \eta | \eta) \, ds. \]

It is obvious that the mapping $\alpha \mapsto F_{\xi,n}(\alpha)$ is weakly entire.
Let $\xi_n = F_{\xi_n}(0)$. For any $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$(F_{\xi_n}(it) \mid \eta) = (n/n)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp (-n(s - t)^2) (u_s \xi \mid \eta) \, ds$$

$$= (n/n)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp (-ns^2) (u_{s+t} \xi \mid \eta) \, ds$$

$$= (n/n)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp (-ns^2) (u_s \xi \mid u_t^* \eta) \, ds = (\xi_n \mid u_t^* \eta) = (u_t \xi_n \mid \eta),$$

hence

$$F_{\xi_n}(it) = u_t \xi_n.$$  

Consequently, the mapping $it \mapsto u_t \xi_n$ has a weakly entire extension.

It is easy to verify that $\xi_n \to \xi$ weakly. Q.E.D.

9.18. Let $\{u_t\}$ be a wo-continuous group of unitary operators in $\mathcal{H}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we denote

$$\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\xi \in \mathcal{H}; \text{ the mapping } it \mapsto u_t \xi \text{ has a weakly continuous extension to } \\
\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \}, \text{ which is weakly analytic in } \\
\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < \varepsilon \}.
\end{array} \right\}$$

For any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$, the weakly continuous extension to the set $\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \}$, which is weakly analytic in $\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < \varepsilon \}$, of the mapping

$$it \mapsto u_t \xi,$$

is determined in a unique manner and will be denoted by $F_t$.

It is easy to verify that, for any $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ we have

$$F_{\xi_1 + \xi_2} = F_{\xi_1} + F_{\xi_2}$$

and that, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$F_{\lambda \xi} = \lambda F_{\xi}.$$  

Lemma. Let $\{u_t\}$ be a wo-continuous group of unitary operators in $\mathcal{H}$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

(i) for any $\xi, \beta \in \mathcal{H}$, $0 \leq \text{Re} \beta \leq \varepsilon$, we have

$$F_{\xi}(\beta) \in \mathcal{D}_{-\text{Re} \beta},$$

$$F_{\xi}(\beta)(\xi) = F_{\xi}(\xi + \beta), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon - \text{Re} \beta;$$

(ii) for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon$, we have

$$(F_{\xi}(\alpha) \mid \eta) = (\xi \mid F_{\alpha}(\eta)).$$
Proof. (i) Let \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). The mappings
\[
\gamma \mapsto u_t F_{\xi}(\gamma),
\gamma \mapsto F_{\xi}(it + \gamma),
\]
are both weakly continuous extensions, to the set \( \{ \gamma \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \Re \gamma \leq \varepsilon \} \), of the mapping
\[
is \mapsto u_{t + s},
\]
and they are weakly analytic on \( \{ \gamma \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \Re \gamma < \varepsilon \} \); hence, they coincide.
Thus, for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have
\[
u_t F_{\xi}(\beta) = F_{\xi}(it + \beta).
\]
It follows that the mapping
\[
\alpha \mapsto F_{\xi}(\alpha + \beta)
\]
is a weakly continuous extension to \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \varepsilon - \Re \beta \} \) of the mapping
\[
it \mapsto u_t F_{\xi}(\beta),
\]
and it is weakly analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \Re \alpha < \varepsilon - \Re \beta \} \). Consequently, \( F_{\xi}(\beta) \in D_{\xi - \Re \beta} \) and, for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \varepsilon - \Re \beta \), we have
\[
F_{\xi}(\beta)(\alpha) = F_{\xi}(\alpha + \beta).
\]
(ii) The mappings
\[
\alpha \mapsto (F_{\xi}(\alpha) | \eta),
\alpha \mapsto (\xi | F_{\xi}(\alpha)) = (F_{\xi}(\bar{\alpha}) | \xi),
\]
are continuous extensions to \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \varepsilon \} \) of the mapping
\[
it \mapsto (u_t \xi | \eta)
\]
and they are analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \Re \alpha < \varepsilon \} \); hence they coincide.
Q.E.D.

9.19. Let \( \{ u_t \} \) be a \( \omega \)-continuous group of unitary operators in \( \mathcal{H} \). For any \( \varepsilon \geq 0 \), we define a linear operator \( A_\varepsilon \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) by the relations
\[
D_{A_\varepsilon} = D_\varepsilon,
A_\varepsilon \xi = F_{\xi}(\varepsilon), \quad \xi \in D_{A_\varepsilon}.
\]

Lemma. For any \( \varepsilon \geq 0 \), the operator \( A_\varepsilon \) is self-adjoint and positive. For any \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0 \), the relation
\[
A_{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2} = A_{\varepsilon_1} + A_{\varepsilon_2},
\]
holds.
Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. In accordance with Lemma 9.17, the operator $A_\varepsilon$ is densely defined. By taking into account Lemma 9.18 (ii) for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{A_\varepsilon}$, we get

\[(A_\varepsilon \xi | \eta) = (F_\varepsilon(e) | \eta) = (\xi | F_\varepsilon(e)) = (\xi | A_\varepsilon \eta),\]

hence the linear operator $A_\varepsilon$ is symmetric.

Let $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{(A_\varepsilon)^*}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{A_\varepsilon}$. The mapping

\[\alpha \mapsto (F_\varepsilon(\alpha) | \eta)\]

is continuous on \(\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \text{Re } \alpha \leq \varepsilon\}\) and analytic in \(\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \text{Re } \alpha < \varepsilon\}\). With the help of Lemma 9.18 (i), it is easy to see that $F_\varepsilon$ is bounded on all lines parallel to the imaginary axis; hence it is bounded. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

\[|(F_\varepsilon(it) | \eta)| = |(u_t \xi | \eta)| \leq \|\xi\| \cdot \|\eta\|\]

and, by applying Lemma 9.18 (i), we get

\[|(F_\varepsilon(e + it) | \eta)| = |(F_\varepsilon(e^{it}) | \eta)| = |(A_\varepsilon F_\varepsilon(it) | \eta)|\]

\[= |(u_t \xi | (A_\varepsilon)^* \eta)| \leq \|\xi\| \cdot \|(A_\varepsilon)^* \eta\|.
\]

In accordance with Phragmen-Lindelöf principle (see N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], III.14), for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \text{Re } \alpha \leq \varepsilon$, we have

\[|(F_\varepsilon(\alpha) | \eta)| \leq \|\xi\| \max \{\|\eta\|, \|(A_\varepsilon)^* \eta\|\}.
\]

From the preceding results we infer that, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \text{Re } \alpha \leq \varepsilon$, the mapping

\[\mathcal{D}_{A_\varepsilon} \ni \xi \mapsto (F_\varepsilon(\alpha) | \eta)\]

is a bounded form on $\mathcal{H}$, whose norm is $\leq \max \{\|\eta\|, \|(A_\varepsilon)^* \eta\|\}$. Thus, there exists a $G_\varepsilon(\alpha) \in \mathcal{H}$, such that

\[\|G_\varepsilon(\alpha)\| \leq \max \{\|\eta\|, \|(A_\varepsilon)^* \eta\|\},\]

\[\xi | G_\varepsilon(\alpha) = (F_\varepsilon(\alpha) | \eta), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{A_\varepsilon}.
\]

It is easy to verify that the mapping

\[\alpha \mapsto G_\varepsilon(\alpha)\]

is a weakly continuous extension to $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \text{Re } \alpha \leq \varepsilon\}$ of the mapping

\[\eta \mapsto u_t \eta,\]

and it is weakly analytic in $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \text{Re } \alpha < \varepsilon\}$; hence $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{A_\varepsilon}$.

Consequently, $A_\varepsilon$ is self-adjoint.

Let now $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$. By taking into account Lemma 9.18 (i), it follows that if $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{A_{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}}$, then

\[A_{\varepsilon_1} \xi = F_{\varepsilon_1}(e_2) \in \mathcal{D}_{(A_{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2} - e_2) - e_2} = \mathcal{D}_{e_2},\]

\[A_{\varepsilon_1} A_{\varepsilon_2}(\xi) = F_{\varepsilon_1}(e_2)(e_1) = F_{\varepsilon_1}(e_1 + e_2) = A_{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}(\xi).
\]
Hence

\[ A_{s_1 + s_2} \subseteq A_{s_1}A_{s_2}. \]

Let now \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{A_{s_1}A_{s_2}}. \) For any \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{A_{s_1}A_{s_2}} \) we have

\[ (A_{s_1}A_{s_2}\xi | \eta) = (\xi | A_{s_1}A_{s_2}\eta) = (\xi | A_{s_1 + s_2}\eta), \]

hence

\[ \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{(A_{s_1 + s_2})^*} = D_{A_{s_1 + s_2}}, \]

\[ A_{s_1 + s_2}\xi = (A_{s_1 + s_2})^*\xi = A_{s_1}A_{s_2}\xi. \]

Thus, we have

\[ A_{s_1 + s_2} = A_{s_1}A_{s_2}. \]

Finally, for any \( \varepsilon \geq 0 \) and any \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{A_\varepsilon} \) we have

\[ (A_\varepsilon\xi | \xi) = (A_{\varepsilon/2}A_{\varepsilon/2}\xi | \xi) = \| A_{\varepsilon/2}\xi \|_\varepsilon^2 \geq 0, \]

hence \( A_\varepsilon \) is positive.

Q.E.D.

9.20. We now prove the representation theorem of M. H. Stone:

Theorem. Let \( \{u_t; \ t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}). \) The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( \{u_t\} \) is a wo-continuous group of unitary operators;
(ii) \( \{u_t\} \) is a so-continuous group of unitary operators;
(iii) there exists a positive self-adjoint linear operator \( A \) in \( \mathcal{H}, \) such that \( \mathcal{s}(A) = I \)

and

\[ u_t = A^t, \ \ t \in \mathbb{R}; \]

\( A \) is given by the equivalence

\[ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{D}_A \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{the mapping } it \mapsto u_t\xi \text{ has a weakly continuous extension to } \\
\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C};\ 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq 1 \}, \text{ which is weakly analytic in } \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C};\ 0 < \Re \alpha < 1 \}, \\
\text{and has the value } \eta \text{ at } 1.
\end{array} \right. \]

Moreover, the relation

\[ u_t = A^t, \ \ t \in \mathbb{R} \]

establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the so-continuous groups \( \{u_t\} \) of unitary operators on \( \mathcal{H} \) and the positive self-adjoint linear operators in \( \mathcal{H}, \) such that \( \mathcal{s}(A) = 1. \)

Proof. The implication (iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) follows from Corollary 9.15, whereas the implication (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i) is obvious.

Let us now assume that \( \{u_t\} \) is a wo-continuous group of unitary operators on \( \mathcal{H}. \)
By using the notations from Section 9.19, we define

\[ A = A_1. \]

In accordance with Lemma 9.19, \( A \) is a positive self-adjoint linear operator. If \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_A = \mathcal{D}_1 \) and \( F_\xi(1) = A \xi = 0 \), then, by taking into account Lemma 9.18 (i) we get

\[ F_\xi(1 + it) = F_{F_\xi(1)}(it) = u_tF_\xi(1) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \]

Consequently, we have \( F_\xi = 0 \), whence

\[ \xi = F_\xi(0) = 0. \]

Therefore, we have \( n(A) = 0 \), i.e., \( s(A) = 1 \).

In accordance with Lemma 9.19, and from the uniqueness part of Corollary 9.14, we obtain successively

\[ A_{1/2} = A^{1/2}, \]
\[ A_{1/4} = A^{1/4}, \]
\[ A_{3/4} = A_{1/4}A_{1/2} = A^{1/4}A^{1/2} = A^{3/4}, \text{ etc.} \]

Thus for any natural number \( n \) and any integer \( k, 0 \leq k \leq 2^n \), we have

\[ A_{k/2^n} = A^{k/2^n}, \]

hence

\[ F_\xi(k/2^n) = A_{k/2^n}\xi = A^{k/2^n}\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_A. \]

By taking into account Corollary 9.15, we infer that for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_A \), the mappings

\[ \alpha \mapsto F_\xi(\alpha), \]
\[ \alpha \mapsto A^\alpha\xi, \]

coincide on \( \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq 1\} \). In particular, we have

\[ u_t\xi = F_\xi(it) = A^tu\xi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \]

The implication (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (iii) is thus established.

The second part of the theorem immediately follows from the first part and from Corollary 9.15.

Q.E.D.

9.21. Let \( A \) be a positive self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), such that \( s(A) = 1 \). As we have seen in the preceding section, \( A^u \) is a unitary operator, and

\[ (A^u)^{-1} = A^{-u}. \]

On the other hand, \( A^{-1} \) is also a positive self-adjoint operator, such that \( s(A) = 1 \). Stone's theorem leads to the following
Proposition. For any positive self-adjoint operator $A$, such that $s(A) = 1$, we have

$$(A^{-1})^u = A^{-u}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.\)$$

Proof. Since $\{A^\alpha\}$ is a $\omega$-continuous group of unitary operators, from Theorem 9.20 we infer that there exists a positive self-adjoint operator $B$, such that $s(B) = 1$ and

$$B^u = A^{-u}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.\)$$

In order to prove the proposition, it is sufficient to show that

$$B = A^{-1}.$$

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_B$ and $\eta = B\xi$. In accordance with Corollary 9.15, the mapping

$$F: \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1\} \ni \alpha \mapsto B^{1-\alpha}\xi \in \mathcal{H}$$

is continuous on $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1\}$ and analytic in $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < 1\}$. Since

$$B^uF(it) = B^uB^{1-it}\xi = B\xi = \eta, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

it follows that

$$F(it) = B^{-it}\eta = A^{-it}\eta, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Obviously, we have

$$F(1) = \xi.$$

If we use again Corollary 9.15, we infer that

$$\eta \in \mathcal{D}_A \text{ and } A\eta = \xi,$$

i. e.,

$$\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{(A^{-1})} \text{ and } A^{-1}\xi = \eta.$$

Consequently, we have

$$B \subseteq A^{-1}.$$

Conversely, let $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{(A^{-1})}$ and $\eta = A^{-1}\xi$. Then $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_A$ and $\xi = A\eta$. In accordance with Corollary 9.15, the mapping

$$G: \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1\} \ni \alpha \mapsto A^{1-\alpha}\eta \in \mathcal{H}$$

is continuous on $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1\}$ and analytic in $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < 1\}$. Since we have

$$A^uG(it) = A^uA^{-1-it}\eta = A\eta = \xi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$\mathcal{H}$ is a complex Hilbert space, we conclude that

$$A^uA^{-1-it}\eta = A\eta = \xi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
it follows that
\[ G(it) = A^{-it} \xi = B^{it} \xi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \]
Since
\[ G(1) = \eta, \]
by using again Corollary 9.15, we infer that
\[ \xi \in \mathcal{D}_B \text{ and } B\xi = \eta. \]
Consequently, we have
\[ A^{-1} \subset B \]
and we infer that
\[ B = A^{-1}. \]
Q.E.D.

Thus far we have defined (9.15) the operator \( A^\alpha \) for any positive self-adjoint \( A \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ Re } \alpha \geq 0 \). If \( s(A) = 1 \), then it is natural to define \( A^\alpha \), for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \). The preceding proposition allows the formulation of the following definition
\[ A^\alpha = \begin{cases} A^\alpha & \text{if } \text{ Re } \alpha \geq 0, \\ (A^{-1})^{-\alpha} & \text{if } \text{ Re } \alpha \leq 0. \end{cases} \]
Indeed, if \( \text{ Re } \alpha = 0 \), then from this proposition we infer that
\[ A^\alpha = (A^{-1})^{-\alpha}. \]
It is clear that this relation holds for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \).
With this definition, Corollary 9.15 can be extended in the following manner

**Corollary.** Let \( A \) be a positive self-adjoint linear operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), such that \( s(A) = 1 \), \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \) and \( \varepsilon_1 \leq 0, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0 \). The following assertions are equivalent
(i) \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{(A^\varepsilon_1)} \cap \mathcal{D}_{(A^\varepsilon_2)} \); 
(ii) \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{A^\alpha} \) for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \varepsilon_1 \leq \text{ Re } \alpha \leq \varepsilon_2 \), and the mapping
\[ \alpha \mapsto A^\alpha \xi \]
is continuous on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \varepsilon_1 \leq \text{ Re } \alpha \leq \varepsilon_2 \} \) and analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \varepsilon_1 < \text{ Re } \alpha < \varepsilon_2 \} \); 
(iii) the mapping
\[ \xi \mapsto A^{it} \xi, \]
defined on the imaginary axis, has a continuous extension to the set \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \varepsilon_1 \leq \text{ Re } \alpha \leq \varepsilon_2 \} \), analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \varepsilon_1 < \text{ Re } \alpha < \varepsilon_2 \} \).

**Proof.** The implication (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (iii) is trivial, whereas the implication (iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i) directly follows from Corollary 9.15.

Let us now assume that \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{(A^\varepsilon_1)} \cap \mathcal{D}_{(A^\varepsilon_2)} \). From Corollary 9.15, we infer that \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{A^\alpha} \), for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), such that \( \varepsilon_1 \leq \text{ Re } \alpha \leq \varepsilon_2 \), whereas the mapping
\[ \alpha \mapsto A^\alpha \xi \]
is continuous on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; \varepsilon_1 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon_2 \} \) and analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; \varepsilon_1 < \text{Re} \alpha < \varepsilon_2 ; \text{Re} \alpha \neq 0 \} \). With the help of a classical argument, based on the Cauchy integral, we can infer that the preceding mapping is analytic in the set \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; \varepsilon_1 < \text{Re} \alpha < \varepsilon_2 \} \).

Q.E.D.

9.22. Proposition 9.21 indicated a connection between the operational calculus for \( A \) and that for \( A^{-1} \), a connection which we shall now explain:

**Proposition.** Let \( A \) be a positive self-adjoint operator, such that \( s(A) = 1 \), and let \( f, g \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \) be bounded functions. If

\[
f(\lambda) = g(\lambda^{-1}), \quad \lambda \in (0, +\infty),
\]

then

\[
f(A) = g(A^{-1}).
\]

**Proof.** We denote

\[
a = (1 + A)^{-1}, \quad b = (1 + A^{-1})^{-1}.
\]

It is easy to see that

\[
a + b = 1.
\]

Since \( s(a) = 1 = s(b) \), we infer that

\[
\chi_{[a, 1]}(a) = 1 = \chi_{[a, 1]}(b).
\]

With the notations from Section 9.10, we denote

\[
F = F_f, \quad G = F_g.
\]

Then, for any \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \) we have

\[
F(\lambda) = f((1 - \lambda)/\lambda) = g(\lambda/(1 - \lambda)) = G(1 - \lambda).
\]

By taking into account Section 9.10, we infer that

\[
f(A) = F(a) = (FX_{[a, 1]})(a) = (GX_{[a, 1]})(1 - a) = (GX_{[a, 1]})(b) = G(b) = g(A^{-1}).
\]

Q.E.D.

In accordance with the preceding proposition, if \( A \) is a positive self-adjoint operator, such that \( s(A) = 1 \) and if \( 0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq +\infty \), then

\[
\chi_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}(A) = \chi_{(\lambda_2^{-1}, \lambda_1^{-1})}(A^{-1}).
\]

In particular, for any \( \lambda, 1 < \lambda \leq +\infty \), we have

\[
\chi_{(\lambda^{-1}, \lambda)}(A) = \chi_{(\lambda^{-1}, \lambda)}(A^{-1}).
\]

9.23. In this section we present an integral formula which will be one of the main instruments for the development of Tomita's theory, in Chapter 10.
If $F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a \textit{wo}-continuous mapping, and if the function $t \mapsto \|F(t)\|$ is dominated by a Lebesgue integrable function, then

$$(\xi, \eta) \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (F(t)\xi | \eta) \, dt$$

is a bounded sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. With the Riesz theorem we infer that there exists a (unique) operator $x_F \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that

$$(x_F\xi | \eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (F(t)\xi | \eta) \, dt, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}.$$ 

In what follows we shall denote

$$x_F = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (F(t) \, dt.$$ 

Let now $A$ and $B$ be positive self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $s(A) = s(B) = 1$, and $\lambda > 0$. For any $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we shall denote

$$\Phi_\lambda(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{it\lambda} - 1}{e^{it\lambda} + e^{-it\lambda}} A^{it} x B^{-it} \, dt.$$ 

**Proposition.** Let $A$ and $B$ be positive self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $s(A) = s(B) = 1$ and $\lambda > 0$. For any $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ there exists a unique $y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$(x\eta | \xi) = \lambda(yB^{-1/2}\eta | A^{1/2}\xi) + (yB^{1/2}\eta | A^{-1/2}\xi),$$

$$\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{(A^{1/2})} \cap \mathcal{D}_{(A^{-1/2})}, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{(B^{1/2})} \cap \mathcal{D}_{(B^{-1/2})},$$

and it is given by

$$y = \Phi_\lambda(x).$$ 

**Proof.** Let us define, for any natural number $n$,

$$e_n = \chi_{(1/n, n)}(A) = \chi_{(1/n, n)}(A^{-1}),$$

$$f_n = \chi_{(1/n, n)}(B) = \chi_{(1/n, n)}(B^{-1}),$$

where by $\chi_{(1/n, n)}$ we denoted the characteristic function of the interval $(1/n, n)$.

We now consider the mapping

$$\alpha \mapsto F_{n, m}(\alpha) = \frac{i \alpha L_n}{e^{it\lambda} - e^{-it\lambda}} A^{it} e_n x B^{-it} f_m,$$

which is analytic in the set $\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \alpha \neq ik, k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ for the norm topology. At the point $\alpha = 0$, the function $F_{n, m}$ has a first order pole, with the residue

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \alpha F_{n, m}(\alpha) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} e_n x f_m.$$
From the Cauchy residue theorem we infer that
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F_{n,m} \left( t - \frac{i}{2} \right) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F_{n,m} \left( t + \frac{i}{2} \right) dt = e_n x f_m. \]
Thus
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{1/2} e_n (A t^2 x B^{-1/2}) B^{-1/2} f_m dt}{\lambda^{1/2} e_n (A t^2 x B^{-1/2}) B^{-1/2} f_m dt} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{1/2} e_n (A t^2 x B^{-1/2}) B^{1/2} f_m dt}{\lambda^{1/2} e_n (A t^2 x B^{-1/2}) B^{1/2} f_m dt} = e_n x f_m, \]
whence
\[ \lambda A^{1/2} e_n \Phi_\lambda(x) B^{-1/2} f_m + A^{-1/2} e_n \Phi_\lambda(x) B^{1/2} f_m = e_n x f_m. \]
Let \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2}) \cap \mathcal{D}(A^{-1/2}) \) and \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}(B^{1/2}) \cap \mathcal{D}(B^{-1/2}) \). From the above equality we infer that, for any \( n \) and \( m \),
\[ \lambda(\Phi_\lambda(x) B^{-1/2} f_m | A^{1/2} e_n \xi) + (\Phi_\lambda(x) B^{1/2} f_m | A^{-1/2} e_n \xi) = (x f_m | e_n \xi). \]
Tending to the limit for \( n \) and \( m \to \infty \), we get
\[ \lambda(\Phi_\lambda(x) B^{-1/2} | A^{1/2} \xi) + (\Phi_\lambda(x) B^{1/2} | A^{-1/2} \xi) = (x | \xi). \]
Let now \( y \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \) be an arbitrary operator which satisfies the relations in the statement of the proposition. By denoting
\[ z = \Phi_\lambda(x) - y, \]
for any \( n \) and \( m \) we have
\[ \lambda A^{1/2} e_n z B^{-1/2} f_m + A^{-1/2} e_n z B^{1/2} f_m = 0. \]
If we multiply this equality by \( f_m^* e_n A^{1/2} \) to the left and by \( B^{1/2} f_m \) to the right, we get
\[ \lambda f_m^* A e_n z f_m + f_m^* e_n z B f_m = 0, \]
i.e.,
\[ (f_m^* e_n z f_m)(B f_m) = - \lambda f_m^* A e_n z f_m \leq 0. \]
Thus, the operators \( f_m^* e_n z f_m \geq 0 \) and \( B f_m \geq 0 \) commute, hence
\[ (f_m^* e_n z f_m)(B f_m) \geq 0. \]
Consequently,
\[ f_m^* e_n z B f_m = 0, \]
whence we successively infer that
\[
    f_m z^* e_n z f_m = (f_m z^* e_n z B f_m)(B^{-1} f_m) = 0,
    
    e_n z f_m = 0.
\]

By tending to the limit for \( n \) and \( m \to \infty \), we infer that \( z = 0 \), i.e.,
\[
    y = \Phi_z(x).
\]

Q.E.D.

With the help of Lemma 9.5, it is easy to see that if \( A \) is a positive self-adjoint operator and \( \lambda > 0 \), then \( (\lambda + A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \).

Corollary. Let \( A \) be a positive self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), such that \( s(A) = 1 \) and \( \lambda > 0 \). Then
\[
    A^{-1/2}(\lambda + A^{-1})^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{1/2} - 1}{e^{nt} + e^{-nt}} A^{1/2} dt.
\]

Proof. Let
\[
    y = A^{-1/2}(\lambda + A^{-1})^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).
\]

It is easy to verify that \( y \) satisfies the relation from the statement of the preceding proposition, with \( B = 1 \) and \( x = 1 \), i.e.,
\[
    (\eta | \xi) = \lambda (\eta | A^{1/2} \xi) + (\eta | A^{-1/2} \xi),
    
    \xi \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2}) \cap \mathcal{D}(A^{-1/2}), \quad \eta \in \mathcal{H}.
\]

Thus the assertion in the corollary obviously follows from the preceding proposition.

Q.E.D.

9.24. In this section we shall prove an analogue of Corollary 9.21, which we shall use in Chapter 10. We shall first state the following

Lemma. Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \) an open set and \( F: \Omega \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( F \) is analytic for the norm topology;

(ii) for any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), the function \( \Omega \ni \alpha \mapsto (F(\alpha)\xi | \eta) \) is analytic.

Proof. Obviously, (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii).

Let us now assume that assertion (ii) is true. Let \( \alpha \in \Omega \) and \( V \subset \overline{V} \subset \Omega \) be a relatively compact neighbourhood of \( \alpha \). For any \( \beta, \gamma \in V, \beta \neq \alpha, \gamma \neq \alpha, \beta \neq \gamma \), we define
\[
    G(\alpha; \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{\beta - \gamma} \left[ \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} (F(\beta) - F(\alpha)) - \frac{1}{\gamma - \alpha} (F(\gamma) - F(\alpha)) \right].
\]

According to the hypothesis, for any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \) we have
\[
    \sup \{|(G(\alpha; \beta, \gamma) \xi | \eta)| : \beta, \gamma \in V, \beta \neq \alpha, \gamma \neq \alpha, \beta \neq \gamma\} < +\infty.
\]
From the Banach-Steinhauss theorem we infer that
\[ c = \sup \{ \| G(\alpha; \beta, \gamma) \| : \beta, \gamma \in V, \beta \neq \alpha, \gamma \neq \alpha, \beta \neq \gamma \} < +\infty. \]

Consequently, for any \( \beta, \gamma \in V, \beta \neq \alpha, \gamma \neq \alpha, \beta \neq \gamma \), we have
\[ \left\| \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} (F(\beta) - F(\alpha)) - \frac{1}{\gamma - \alpha} (F(\gamma) - F(\alpha)) \right\| \leq c |\beta - \gamma|. \]

With the help of the Cauchy criterion, it follows that \( F \) is differentiable with respect to the norm topology.

Consequently, assertion (i) follows from assertion (ii).

Q.E.D.

A mapping \( F \), which satisfies the equivalent assertions of this lemma will be called, briefly, an analytic mapping.

Proposition. Let \( A, B \) be positive self-adjoint operators in \( \mathcal{H} \), such that \( s(A) = s(B) = 1 \), \( x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) and \( \epsilon_1 \leq 0 \leq \epsilon_2 \). Then the following assertions are equivalent

(i) there exist vector subspaces \( \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_{(\alpha \times \beta - \epsilon_1)}, \mathcal{D}_2 \subset \mathcal{D}_{(\alpha \times \beta - \epsilon_2)} \), such that
\[ B^{-\epsilon_1} |\mathcal{D}_1 = B^{-\epsilon_1}, \quad B^{-\epsilon_2} |\mathcal{D}_2 = B^{-\epsilon_2} \]

and the operators
\[ A^{\epsilon_1} x B^{-\epsilon_1} |\mathcal{D}_1, \quad A^{\epsilon_2} x B^{-\epsilon_2} |\mathcal{D}_2 \]
are bounded;

(ii) for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \epsilon_1 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \epsilon_2 \), we have \( \mathcal{D}_{(\alpha \times \beta - \epsilon)} = \mathcal{D}_{\beta - \epsilon} \), the operator \( \alpha \to A^\epsilon x B^{-\epsilon} \) is bounded and the mapping
\[ \alpha \to A^\epsilon x B^{-\epsilon} \]
is so-continuous on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; \epsilon_1 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \epsilon_2 \} \) and analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; \epsilon_1 < \Re \alpha < \epsilon_2 \} \);

(iii) the mapping
\[ \epsilon \to A^{\epsilon} x B^{-\epsilon}, \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \]
has a w-continuous extension to the set \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; \epsilon_1 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \epsilon_2 \} \), which is analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; \epsilon_1 < \Re \alpha < \epsilon_2 \} \).

Proof. It is obvious that we can consider only the case in which \( \epsilon_1 = 0, \epsilon_2 = \epsilon \) (see the proof of Corollary 9.21).

Let us assume that assertion (i) is true. We denote \( \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_2 \) and \( c = \| A^\epsilon x B^{-\epsilon} |\mathcal{D} \| \).

For any \( \xi \in \mathcal{D} \) and any \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}^{*} \), we have
\[ |(x B^{-\epsilon} \xi | A^\epsilon \eta) | = |(A^\epsilon x B^{-\epsilon} \xi | \eta) | \leq c \| \xi \| \| \eta \|. \]

Since \( B^{-\epsilon} |\mathcal{D} = B^{-\epsilon} \), we infer that, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{B^{-\epsilon}}, \eta \in \mathcal{D}^{*} \), we have
\[ |(x B^{-\epsilon} \xi | A^\epsilon \eta) | \leq c \| \xi \| \| \eta \|. \]
If we replace \( \zeta \) by \( B^{-\alpha} \zeta \) and \( \eta \) by \( A^{-\alpha} \eta \), we obtain that for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{B^{-\alpha}} \) and any \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{A^{-\alpha}} \), we have
\[
| (xB^{-\alpha} \zeta | A^{-\alpha} \eta) | \leq c \| \zeta \| \| \eta \| , \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

On the other hand, for any \( \zeta \) and \( \eta \) we trivially have
\[
| (xB^{-\alpha} \zeta | A^{-\alpha} \eta) | \leq \| x \| \| \zeta \| \| \eta \| , \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Let \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{B^{-\alpha}} \) and \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{A^{-\alpha}} \). Then
\[
\alpha \mapsto (xB^{-\alpha} \zeta | A^{-\alpha} \eta)
\]
is a bounded continuous function on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \epsilon \} \), which is analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha < \epsilon \} \). By taking into account the results we have already obtained, with the help of the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle (see N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], Ch. III, 14), we get that, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), such that \( 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \epsilon \), we have
\[
| (xB^{-\alpha} \zeta | A^{-\alpha} \eta) | \leq \max \{ c , \| x \| , \| \zeta \| , \| \eta \| \}.
\]

Obviously, this inequality extends for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{B^{-\alpha}} \) and any \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{A^{-\alpha}} \).

Let now \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), \( 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \epsilon \). From the preceding relation it follows that for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{B^{-\alpha}} \) we have
\[
xB^{-\alpha} \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{(A^{-\alpha} \eta)} = \mathcal{D}_{A^{-\alpha}}
\]
and
\[
| (A^{-\alpha} xB^{-\alpha} \zeta | \eta) | \leq \max \{ c , \| x \| , \| \zeta \| , \| \eta \| \}, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{A^{-\alpha}}.
\]

In other words, we have
\[
\mathcal{D}_{(A^{-\alpha} xB^{-\alpha})} = \mathcal{D}_{B^{-\alpha}},
\]
the operator \( A^{-\alpha} xB^{-\alpha} \) is bounded, and its norm is uniformly bounded with respect to \( \alpha \):
\[
\| A^{-\alpha} xB^{-\alpha} \| \leq \max \{ c , \| x \| \}.
\]

With the help of the equality
\[
((A^{-\alpha} xB^{-\alpha}) \zeta | \eta) = (xB^{-\alpha} \zeta | A^{-\alpha} \eta), \quad 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \epsilon, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{B^{-\alpha}}, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{A^{-\alpha}},
\]
it is easy to infer that the mapping
\[
\alpha \mapsto A^{-\alpha} xB^{-\alpha}
\]
is \( w_0 \)-continuous on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \epsilon \} \) and analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < \epsilon \} \).

It is easy to verify that for any natural number \( n \), any vector \( \zeta \in \chi_{(l/n, \alpha)} (B) \mathcal{X} \) and any \( \alpha \), such that \( 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \epsilon \), we have
\[
xB^{-\alpha} \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{A^{-\alpha}}.
\]
whence, for any $\beta$, such that $0 \leq \text{Re} \beta \leq \varepsilon$, we have

$$
\| (A^{\beta}x B^{-\beta}) \xi - (A^{\alpha}x B^{-\alpha}) \xi \| \leq \| A^{\beta}x B^{-\beta} \xi - A^{\alpha}x B^{-\alpha} \xi \| + \| A^{\beta}x B^{-\alpha} \xi - A^{\alpha}x B^{-\alpha} \xi \|
= \| A^{\beta}x B^{-\beta} (\xi - B^{-\alpha} \xi) \| + \| A^{\beta}x B^{-\alpha} \xi - A^{\alpha}x B^{-\alpha} \xi \|
\leq \max \{ c, \| x \| \} \| \xi - B^{-\alpha} \xi \| + \| A^{\beta}(x B^{-\alpha} \xi) - A^{\alpha}(x B^{-\alpha} \xi) \|.
$$

With the help of Corollary 9.21, we infer from here that

$$
\lim_{\beta \to \alpha} \| (A^{\beta}x B^{-\beta}) \xi - (A^{\alpha}x B^{-\alpha}) \xi \| = 0.
$$

Since $\bigcup_n \chi_{[1/n, \infty)}(B) \mathcal{H}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ and since

$$
\sup \{ \| A^{\gamma}x B^{-\gamma} \|; \ 0 \leq \text{Re} \gamma \leq \varepsilon \} < +\infty,
$$

the preceding equality extends for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Consequently, the mapping

$$
\alpha \mapsto A^{\alpha}x B^{-\alpha}
$$

is so-continuous on $\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \ 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \}$.

We have thus proved that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is trivial.

Finally, let us assume that assertion (iii) is true. We denote by $F$ the woco-continuous extension to $\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \ 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \}$ of the mapping

$$
it \mapsto A^{it}x B^{-t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

which is analytic in $\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \ 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < \varepsilon \}$.

For any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{B^{-\gamma}}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{A^{\gamma}}$, the functions

$$
\alpha \mapsto (F(\alpha) \xi \mid \eta),
\alpha \mapsto (x B^{-\gamma} \xi \mid A^{\alpha} \eta),
$$

are continuous on $\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \ 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \}$ and analytic in $\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \ 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < \varepsilon \}$; moreover, they coincide on the imaginary axis. Hence they coincide on $\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \ 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \varepsilon \}$. In particular, we have

$$(x B^{-\gamma} \xi \mid A^{\alpha} \eta) = (F(\eta) \xi \mid \eta), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{B^{-\gamma}}, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{A^{\alpha}}$$

whence, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{B^{-\gamma}}$ we have

$$x B^{-\gamma} \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{A^{\alpha}} = \mathcal{D}_{A^{\alpha}} \text{ and } A^{\alpha}x B^{-t} \xi = F(\eta) \xi.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{D}_{(A^{\alpha}x B^{-t})} = \mathcal{D}_{B^{-\gamma}}.$$
and the operator $A^*xB^{-1}$ is bounded.

Consequently, (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

Q.E.D.

9.25. The following proposition shows that the operational calculus is invariant with respect to $\ast$-isomorphisms and provides a natural method of transfer by $\ast$-isomorphism of the positive self-adjoint operators, which are affiliated to a von Neumann algebra.

**Proposition.** Let $\pi$ be a $\ast$-isomorphism of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ onto the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Obviously, $\pi$ establishes a one-to-one correspondence

\[ \mathcal{M}^+ \ni a \mapsto b = \pi(a) \in \mathcal{N}^+ . \]

This correspondence extends to a one-to-one correspondence

\[ A \mapsto B = \pi(A) \]

between the positive self-adjoint operators $A$ in $\mathcal{M}$, affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$, and the positive self-adjoint operators $B$ in $\mathcal{N}$, affiliated to $\mathcal{N}$, which is unique, subject to the condition

\[ \pi((1 + A)^{-1}) = (1 + B)^{-1} . \]

Moreover, for any positive $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, + \infty))$, we have

\[ \pi(f(A)) = f(\pi(A)) . \]

**Proof.** Let $a \in \mathcal{M}$, $a \geq 0$. By taking into account Corollary 5.13 it is easy to verify that the mapping

\[ \mathcal{B}(\sigma(a)) \ni f \mapsto \pi(f(a)) \]

satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) from Theorem 2.20, for $x = \pi(a)$. According to Theorem 2.20, it follows that for any $f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(a))$ we have

\[ \pi(f(a)) = f(\pi(a)) . \]

Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$. We write $a = (1 + A)^{-1}$. Then

\[ a \in \mathcal{M}, \ 0 \leq a \leq 1, \ s(a) = 1 . \]

Consequently, if we define $b = \pi(a)$, we have

\[ b \in \mathcal{N}, \ 0 \leq b \leq 1, \ s(b) = 1 . \]

The operator $B = b^{-1} - 1$ is a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{N}$, affiliated to $\mathcal{N}$, and $b = (1 + B)^{-1}$.

From the preceding results, it follows that the correspondence

\[ A \mapsto B = \pi(A) , \]
we have thus defined, is a one-to-one correspondence between the positive self-
adjoint operators $A$ in $H$, which are affiliated to $M$, and the positive self-adjoint
operators $B$ in $K$, which are affiliated to $N$, and, moreover,

$$\pi((1 + A)^{-1}) = (1 + B)^{-1}.$$  

Let now $f \in B([0, +\infty))$ be positive and $g = (1 + f)^{-1} \in B([0, +\infty))$, which
obviously is positive and bounded. By taking into account Section 9.10 and by
using the notations we have introduced there, we have

$$\pi((1 + f(A))^{-1}) = \pi(g(A)) = \pi(F_\phi(a)) = F_\phi(\pi(a)) = F_\phi(b)$$

$$= g(B) = (1 + f(B))^{-1} = (1 + f(\pi(A)))^{-1},$$

hence

$$\pi(f(A)) = f(\pi(A)).$$  Q.E.D.

With the preceding notations, if we also write

$$e_n = \chi_{(0, n)}(A), \ f_n = \chi_{(0, n)}(B),$$

from the preceding proposition we also obtain, in particular, that

$$\pi(Ae_n) = Bf_n.$$  

9.26. Let $T$ be a linear operator in $H$. Its resolvent set is defined by

$$\rho(T) = \{\lambda \in C; (\lambda - T)^{-1} \in B(H) \text{ exists}\}$$

and its spectrum, by

$$\sigma(T) = C \setminus \rho(T).$$

As in the case in which $T \in B(H)$, it is easy to prove that $\rho(T)$ is an open set, whereas
the function

$$\rho(T) \ni \lambda \mapsto (\lambda - T)^{-1} \in B(H)$$

is analytic for the norm topology in $B(H)$. In contrast to the case in which $T \in B(H)$,
in general $\sigma(T)$ can be either the empty set, or it can coincide with $C$.

Proposition. Let $A$ be a self-adjoint linear operator in $H$. Then $\sigma(A) \subseteq R$ and,
for any $\lambda \in C \setminus R$, we have

$$\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\| \leq 1/|\text{Im } \lambda|.$$  

Proof. Let $\lambda \in C \setminus R$. For any $\xi \in D_A$ we have

$$\|(\lambda - A)\xi\|^2 = ((\lambda - A)\xi | (\lambda - A)\xi)$$

$$= |\text{Im } \lambda|^2 \|\xi\|^2 + ((\text{Re } \lambda - A)\xi | (\text{Re } \lambda - A)\xi)$$

$$\geq |\text{Im } \lambda|^2 \|\xi\|^2,$$

hence $\lambda - A$ is injective, its range is closed and $(\lambda - A)^{-1}$ is bounded, of norm

$$\leq 1/|\text{Im } \lambda|.$$
Let \( \eta \in \mathcal{H} \) be orthogonal to \((\lambda - A) D_A\). Then
\[
(0, \eta) \in (\mathcal{G}(\lambda - A))^\perp = (\mathcal{G}(\lambda - A)^*)^\perp = V_x \mathcal{G}(\lambda - A),
\]
hence
\[
(\eta, 0) \in \mathcal{G}(\lambda - A),
\]
i.e.,
\[
\eta \in D_A, \quad (\lambda - A)\eta = 0.
\]
Since \( \text{Im} \lambda = -\text{Im} \lambda \neq 0 \), from the first part of the proof we infer that the operator \( \lambda - A \) is injective, hence
\[
\eta = 0.
\]
Consequently, \((\lambda - A)^{-1}\) is everywhere defined and bounded, i.e., \( \lambda \in \rho(A) \) and
\[
\| (\lambda - A)^{-1} \| \leq 1 / |\text{Im} \lambda|.
\]
Q.E.D.

For any \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \), we define
\[
d(\lambda, [\mathbb{R}^+]) = \inf \{ |\lambda - \mu|; \mu \in [\mathbb{R}^+] \}.
\]

Corollary. Let \( A \) be a positive self-adjoint linear operator in \( \mathcal{H} \). Then \( \sigma(A) \subset [\mathbb{R}^+] \), and, for any \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [\mathbb{R}^+] \), we have
\[
\| (\lambda - A)^{-1} \| \leq 1 / d(\lambda, [\mathbb{R}^+]).
\]
Proof. From the preceding proposition and from Lemma 9.5 we infer that \( \sigma(A) \subset [\mathbb{R}^+] \).

Let \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [\mathbb{R}^+] \). For any \( \xi \in D_A \) we have
\[
\| (\lambda - A)\xi \|^2 = \langle (\lambda - A)\xi, (\lambda - A)\xi \rangle
= |\text{Im} \lambda|^2 \| \xi \|^2 + \langle (\text{Re} \lambda - A)\xi, (\text{Re} \lambda - A)\xi \rangle
\geq |\text{Im} \lambda|^2 \| \xi \|^2, \quad \text{if} \ \text{Re} \lambda > 0
\geq |\text{Im} \lambda|^2 \| \xi \|^2 + |\text{Re} \lambda|^2 \| \xi \|^2, \quad \text{if} \ \text{Re} \lambda \leq 0
= d(\lambda, [\mathbb{R}^+])^2 \| \xi \|^2;
\]
hence
\[
\| (\lambda - A)^{-1} \| \leq 1 / d(\lambda, [\mathbb{R}^+]).
\]
Q.E.D.

9.27. Proposition. Let \( A \) be a positive self-adjoint linear operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), \( f \) a bounded analytic function, defined on an open convex neighbourhood of the interval \([0, +\infty)\) and \( \Gamma: [\mathbb{R}] \to \mathbb{C} \) a locally rectifiable Jordan curve, contained in the domain of definition of \( f \), which contains in its "interior" the interval \([0, +\infty)\) with respect to which it is positively oriented. We assume that
\[
\int _\Gamma |f(\lambda)| d(\lambda, [\mathbb{R}^+])^{-1} d\lambda < +\infty.
\]
Then, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$f(A)\xi = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) (\lambda - A)^{-1} \xi \, d\lambda.$$ \(^*\)

**Proof.** Let $e_n = \chi_{[0,n)}(A)$ (see 9.9). It is easy to verify that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$ and any $n$ we have

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1} e_n = (\lambda - Ae_n)^{-1} e_n.$$  

Since $\Gamma$ contains the interval $[0, +\infty)$ in its "interior" with respect to which it is positively oriented, there exist real numbers

$$-\infty \leq \cdots < t_{-n} < \cdots < t_{-1} < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < \cdots \to +\infty$$

such that the curve $\Gamma_n$, obtained by composing the restriction of $\Gamma$ to $[t_{-n}, t_n]$ with the segment $[\Gamma(t_n), \Gamma(t_{-n})] \subset \mathbb{C}$, should "contain" the interval $[0, n]$ in its interior.

By taking into account Theorem 2.29 and Cauchy's integral theorem, we infer that, for any $\xi \in e_n\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$f(A)\xi = f(Ae_n)\xi = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_n} f(\lambda) (\lambda - Ae_n)^{-1} \xi \, d\lambda$$

$$= (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) (\lambda - Ae_n)^{-1} \xi \, d\lambda. \quad (*)$$

$$= (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) (\lambda - A)^{-1} \xi \, d\lambda.$$

Let now $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary. We denote $\xi_n = e_n\xi$. Then

$$\|\xi - \xi_n\| \to 0;$$

hence

$$\|f(A)\xi - f(A)\xi_n\| \to 0,$$

$$\| (\lambda - A)^{-1} \xi - (\lambda - A)^{-1} \xi_n \| \to 0.$$  

Since, for any $n$, we have

$$f(A)\xi_n = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) (\lambda - A)^{-1} \xi_n \, d\lambda,$$

with the help of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$f(A)\xi = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) (\lambda - A)^{-1} \xi \, d\lambda.$$  

Q.E.D.

9.28. **Proposition.** If $T$ is a closed linear operator from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}$, then $T^*T$ is self-adjoint and positive. Moreover,

$$\overline{T|D_{T^*T}} = T.$$  

---

\(^*\) Some extra-conditions are necessary in order to insure that the integral over the segment $[\Gamma(t_n), \Gamma(t_{-n})]$ converges to zero for $n \to \infty$. This is automatically satisfied for the specific application of Proposition 9.27 in the proof of Lemma 1 from Section 10.19 [Translator's Note].

---
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Proof. Let \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \). Since \( \mathcal{D}_T \) is closed in \( \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \), we can write
\[
(\zeta, 0) = (\zeta, \eta) + (\zeta_0, \eta_0), \quad (\zeta, \eta) \in \mathcal{D}_T, \quad (\zeta_0, \eta_0) \in (\mathcal{D}_T)^{\perp}.
\]
Then
\[
\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_T, \quad T\zeta = \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_0 \in \mathcal{D}_T^{\ast}, \quad T^{\ast}\eta_0 = -\zeta_0,
\]
hence
\[
\zeta = \zeta - T^{\ast}\eta_0, \quad 0 = T\zeta + \eta_0,
\]
whence
\[
T\zeta = -\eta_0 \in \mathcal{D}_T^{\ast}, \quad \zeta = \zeta + T^{\ast}T\zeta = (1 + T^{\ast}T)\zeta.
\]
Thus
\[
(1 + T^{\ast}T)\mathcal{D}_{T^{\ast}T} = \mathcal{H}.
\]

Let now \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \), \( \zeta \perp \mathcal{D}_{T^{\ast}T} \). In accordance with what we have already proved, there exists a \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{T^{\ast}T} \), such that \( \zeta = (1 + T^{\ast}T)\xi \).

Then
\[
0 = (\zeta | \xi) = (\xi + T^{\ast}T\xi | \xi) = \| \xi \|^2 + \| T\xi \|^2,
\]
whence \( \xi = 0 \) and \( \zeta = 0 \). It follows that \( \mathcal{D}_{T^{\ast}T} \) is dense in \( \mathcal{H} \). For any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{T^{\ast}T} \) we have
\[
(T^{\ast}T\xi | \xi) = \| T\xi \|^2 \geq 0.
\]
Thus, \( T^{\ast}T \) is positive.

Since \( (1 + T^{\ast}T)\mathcal{D}_{T^{\ast}T} = \mathcal{H} \), from Lemma 9.5 we infer that \( T^{\ast}T \) is self-adjoint.

Finally, let \( (\zeta, T\zeta) \in \mathcal{D}_T \) be orthogonal to the graph of the operator \( T|_{\mathcal{D}_{T^{\ast}T}} \).

Then, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{T^{\ast}T}, \)
\[
(\zeta | (1 + T^{\ast}T)\zeta) = (\zeta | \xi) + (T\zeta | T\zeta) = 0
\]
and, since \( (1 + T^{\ast}T)\mathcal{D}_{T^{\ast}T} = \mathcal{H} \), it follows that \( \zeta = 0 \).

Consequently, we have \( T|_{\mathcal{D}_{T^{\ast}T}} = T \).

Q.E.D.

For any closed linear operator \( T \) its absolute value (or modulus) \( |T| \) is defined by
\[
|T| = (T^{\ast}T)^{1/2}.
\]

9.29. The notion of partial isometry extends to the case of two different Hilbert spaces: it is a bounded linear operator
\[
u : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}
\]
such that
\[
\| \nu \xi \| = \| \xi \|, \quad \xi \in \text{r}(\nu) \mathcal{H}.
\]
If \( v \) is a partial isometry, then \( v^* \) is also a partial isometry, \( v^* v = \mathbb{I}(v) \), \( vv^* = \mathbb{I}(v) \). A bounded linear operator \( v : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \) is a partial isometry iff \( v^* v \) is a projection.

The following theorem extends Theorem 2.14.

**Theorem (of polar decomposition).** Let \( T \) be a closed linear operator from \( \mathcal{H} \) into \( \mathcal{K} \). Then there exists a positive self-adjoint linear operator \( A \) in \( \mathcal{K} \), and a partial isometry \( v : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \), such that

\[
T = vA, \\
v^* v = s(A).
\]

These conditions determine in a unique manner the operators \( A \) and \( v \).

Moreover,

\[
A = |T|, \\
v^* v = \mathbb{I}(T), \quad vv^* = \mathbb{I}(T).
\]

**Proof.** In accordance with Corollary 9.14, we have \( |T|^2 = T^* T \). Hence, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{T^* T} \),

\[
||T|\xi||^2 = (|T|^2 \xi, |T|^2 \xi) = (T^* T \xi, \xi) = ||T^\xi||^2.
\]

Consequently, the relations

\[
v(|T| \xi) = T \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{T^* T}, \\
v(\eta) = 0, \quad \eta \in (|T| \mathcal{D}_{T^* T})^\perp,
\]
determine a partial isometry \( v : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \).

Let \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{T^* T} \). By taking into account Proposition 9.28, we infer that there exists a sequence \( \{\xi_n\} \in \mathcal{D}_{T^* T} \), such that

\[
\xi_n \rightarrow \xi, \quad |T|\xi_n \rightarrow |T|\xi.
\]

Since \( T \) is closed and

\[
T \xi_n = v(|T| \xi_n) \rightarrow v(|T| \xi),
\]

it follows that

\[
\xi \in \mathcal{D}_T, \quad T \xi = v(|T| \xi).
\]

Moreover, since \( ||v(|T| \xi)|| = ||T|\xi|| \), it follows that

\[
|T|\xi \in v^* v \mathcal{H}.
\]

Thus,

\[
v^* v \mathcal{H} = \overline{|T| \mathcal{D}_{T^* T} \cap \overline{|T| \mathcal{D}_{T^* T}}} \subset v^* v \mathcal{H}.
\]

Thus,

\[
v^* v \mathcal{H} = \overline{|T| \mathcal{D}_{T^* T}}.
\]
i.e.,

\[ v^*v = s(|T|). \]

Let now \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T \). In accordance with Proposition 9.28, there exists a sequence \( \{\xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}_{T^*T} \), such that

\[ \xi_n \to \xi, \quad T\xi_n \to T\xi. \]

Since

\[ ||T|\xi_n - |T|\xi_m|| = ||T\xi_n - T\xi_m||, \]

the sequence \( \{|T|\xi_n\} \) is convergent. Since \(|T|\) is closed, \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{|T|} \).

We have thus already proved that

\[ \mathcal{D}_{|T|} = \mathcal{D}_T, \quad T\xi = v(|T|\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T. \]

Consequently, we have

\[ T = v|T|. \]

Let \( A' \) be a positive self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( v' : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \) a partial isometry, such that

\[ T = v'A', \quad v'^*v' = s(A'). \]

Then \( v'^*v'A' = A' \), and therefore,

\[ |T|^2 = T^*T = A'v'^*v'A' = (A')^2. \]

From Corollary 9.14 we infer that

\[ A' = |T|. \]

On the other hand, the relations

\[ v'(|T|\xi) = v'A'\xi = T\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_T, \]

\[ v'(\eta) = 0, \quad \eta \in \{|T|\mathcal{D}_{|T|})^\perp = \{A'\mathcal{D}_{A'})^\perp, \]

show that \( v' \) is determined by \( T \) in a unique manner.

Q.E.D.

Let \( T \) be a closed linear operator in \( \mathcal{H} \) and let

\[ T = v|T|, \quad v^*v = s(|T|), \]

be its polar decomposition. If \( T \) is affiliated to a von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), then \( v \in \mathcal{M} \) and \(|T|\) is affiliated to \( \mathcal{M} \). In particular, \( r(T), l(T) \in \mathcal{M} \).

9.30. Let \( T \) be a closed linear operator from \( \mathcal{H} \) into \( \mathcal{K} \) and let

\[ T = v|T|, \quad v^*v = s(|T|) \]
be its polar decomposition. Since the operator \( v|T|v^* \) is self-adjoint and positive, from

\[
T^* = v^*(v|T|v^*),
\]

\[
v v^* = s(v|T|v^*),
\]

we infer that these two relations yield the polar decomposition of \( T^* \).

In particular,

\[
|T^*| = v|T|v^*,
\]

and

\[
T = |T^*| v, \quad vv^* = s(|T^*|).
\]

9.31. Corollary. Let \( A \) be a self-adjoint linear operator in \( \mathcal{H} \). Then there exist two positive, self-adjoint linear operators \( A^+, A^- \) in \( \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[
A = A^+ - A^-,
\]

\[
s(A^+) s(A^-) = 0.
\]

Moreover, these conditions determine the operators \( A^+, A^- \) in a unique manner.

Proof. Let \( A = v|A| \) the polar decomposition of \( A \). In accordance with Section 9.30, the polar decomposition of \( A^* \) is \( A^* = v^*(v|A|v^*) \). Since \( A = A^* \), from the uniqueness of the polar decomposition we infer that

\[
v = v^*,
\]

\[
|A| = v|A|v^*.
\]

Consequently, \( v = e - f \), where \( e, f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) are projections, \( ef = 0 \) and

\[
A = (e - f)|A| = |A|(e - f).
\]

Since \( e + f = v^*v = s(A) \), it follows that

\[
|A| = (e + f)|A| = |A|(e + f).
\]

Consequently,

\[
\frac{1}{2} (|A| + A) = e|A| = |A|e|D_A|,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} (|A| - A) = f|A| = |A|f|D_A|.
\]

In particular

\[
eD_A \subset D_A, \quad fD_A \subset D_A.
\]

We define

\[
A^+ = |A|e, \quad A^- = |A|f.
\]

It is easy to see that \( A^+, A^- \) are positive operators.

For any \( \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), there exists a \( \xi \in D_A \), such that

\[
\eta = \xi + |A|\xi.
\]
Then $\zeta = e\xi + (1 - e)\eta \in \mathcal{D}_A$ and

$$\zeta + A^+\zeta = e\xi + (1 - e)\eta + |A| e\xi = e(\xi + |A|\zeta) + (1 - e)\eta = \eta.$$  

Then, in accordance with Lemma 9.5, $A^+$ is self-adjoint. In an analogous manner one shows that $A^-$ is self-adjoint.

Obviously,

$$A \subset A^+ - A^-.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{D}_{(A^+ - A^-)} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{H}; \ e\xi \in \mathcal{D}_A, f\xi \in \mathcal{D}_A\}$$

$$\subset \{\xi \in \mathcal{H}; \ (e - f)\xi \in \mathcal{D}_A\}$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_{(|A|(e - f))} = \mathcal{D}_A.$$

Thus

$$A = A^+ - A^-.$$

Finally, since $s(A^+) \leq e$ and $s(A^-) \leq f$, we have

$$s(A^+) s(A^-) = 0.$$

The uniqueness part of the corollary can be easily obtained from the uniqueness of the polar decomposition of $A$.

Q.E.D.

If $A$ is a self-adjoint linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $A^+$ and $A^-$ are affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$.

9.32. In this section we indicate a method for extending the operational calculus, defined for positive self-adjoint operators (9.9), to arbitrary self-adjoint operators.

We shall denote by $\mathfrak{B}([-\infty, +\infty))$ the $*$-algebra of all Borel measurable complex functions, defined on $(-\infty, +\infty)$, which are bounded on compact subsets.

For any $f \in \mathfrak{B}([-\infty, +\infty))$, we define the function $\hat{f} \in \mathfrak{B}([-\infty, +\infty))$ by the relation

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = f(-\lambda), \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$  

Let $A$ be a self-adjoint linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$. For any $f \in \mathfrak{B}([-\infty, +\infty))$ we define

$$f(A) = (f\chi_{[0, +\infty)})(A^+) + (\hat{f}\chi_{[0, +\infty)})(A^-) + f(0) (1 - s(A)).$$

For the operational calculus with self-adjoint operators, defined in this manner, it is easy to verify that properties, analogous to those already established for the case of the positive self-adjoint operators, hold, too (see 9.11—9.13).

9.33. In the final sections of this chapter we shall study the tensor product of linear operators.
Let $T_j$ be a linear operator from $\mathcal{H}_j$ into $\mathcal{H}_j$, $j = 1, 2$. We define a linear operator $T_1 \otimes T_2$ from $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ into $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ by the following relations

$D_{T_1 \otimes T_2}$ = the vector subspace of $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ generated by

$\{\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2; \xi_1 \in D_{T_1}, \xi_2 \in D_{T_2}\},$

$(T_1 \otimes T_2)(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) = (T_1\xi_1) \otimes (T_2\xi_2), \quad \xi_1 \in D_{T_1}, \quad \xi_2 \in D_{T_2}.$

If the operator $T_1 \otimes T_2$ is preclosed, then one denotes

$T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2 = \overline{T_1 \otimes T_2},$

and the operator $T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2$ is called the tensor product of the operators $T_1$ and $T_2$.

For example, let us assume that the operators $T_1$ and $T_2$ are closed. Then the operators $T_1^*, T_2^*$, $T_1^{**}$, $T_2^{**}$ are densely defined and, for any $\xi_1 \in D_{T_1}$, $\xi_2 \in D_{T_2}$, $\eta_1 \in D_{T_1}^*$, $\eta_2 \in D_{T_2}^*$, we have

$((T_1 \otimes T_2)(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) | \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) = (\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 | (T_1^{**} \otimes T_2^{**})(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)),

$whence we immediately infer that the operator $T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2$ is preclosed and, therefore, it makes sense to consider the closed operator $T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2$.

Obviously, if $T_1$ and $T_2$ are bounded operators, then $T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2$ is bounded, whereas if $T_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $T_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$, then $T_1 \otimes T_2$ coincides with the tensor product already defined in Section 2.33.

Proposition. Let $T_j$ be a closed linear operator from $\mathcal{H}_j$ into $\mathcal{H}_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Then

$(T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2)^* = T_1^{**} \overline{\otimes} T_2^{**}.$

Proof. From the preceding argument, which allowed the definition of $T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2$, we also infer the relation

$T_1^* \overline{\otimes} T_2^* = (T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2)^*.$

Let

$(\sigma, \tau) \in \mathcal{G}(T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2)^*, \quad (\sigma, \tau) \perp \mathcal{G}(T_1^{**} \overline{\otimes} T_2^{**})$

Then, for any $\xi_1 \in D_{T_1}$, $\xi_2 \in D_{T_2}$, we have

$(T_1\xi_1 \otimes T_2\xi_2 | \sigma) = (\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 | \tau),$

and, for any $\eta_1 \in D_{T_1}^*$, $\eta_2 \in D_{T_2}^*$,

$(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 | \sigma) + (T_1^{**}\eta_1 \otimes T_2^{**}\eta_2 | \tau) = 0.$

Consequently, for any $\xi_1 \in D_{T_1}^*$, $\xi_2 \in D_{T_2}^*$, we have

$((1 + (T_1^{**}T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^{**}T_2))(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) | \tau)$

$= (\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 | \tau) + (T_1^{**}T_1\xi_1 \otimes T_2^{**}T_2\xi_2 | \tau)$

$= (T_1\xi_1 \otimes T_2\xi_2 | \sigma) + (T_1^{**}(T_1\xi_1) \otimes T_2^{**}(T_2\xi_2) | \tau) = 0.$
Consequently, we have
\[ \tau \perp (1 + (T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2)) \mathcal{D}_{T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2}. \]

In accordance with Proposition 9.28, the operators $T_1^* T_1$ and $T_2^* T_2$ are positive and self-adjoint. If we write, for any natural number $n$,
\[ e_n^1 = \chi_{(1/(1+n), +\infty)}((1 + T_1^* T_1)^{-1}), \]
\[ e_n^2 = \chi_{(1/(1+n), +\infty)}((1 + T_2^* T_2)^{-1}), \]
then the operators
\[ (T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2)(e_n^1 \overline{\otimes} e_n^2) = (e_n^1 \overline{\otimes} e_n^2)(T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2)(e_n^1 \overline{\otimes} e_n^2) \]
\[ = (T_1^* T_1 e_n^1) \overline{\otimes} (T_2^* T_2 e_n^2) \]
are defined everywhere, bounded and positive. Hence
\[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (e_n^1 \overline{\otimes} e_n^2)(\mathcal{H}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_2) \]
\[ = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (e_n^1 \overline{\otimes} e_n^2)(1 + (T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2)(e_n^1 \overline{\otimes} e_n^2)) \mathcal{D}_{T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2} \]
\[ = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + (T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2))(e_n^1 \overline{\otimes} e_n^2) \mathcal{D}_{T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2} \]
\[ \subset (1 + (T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2)) \mathcal{D}_{T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2}. \]

Consequently,
\[ (1 + (T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2)) \mathcal{D}_{T_1^* T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* T_2} \]
is a dense vector subspace of $\mathcal{H}$.

From the preceding argument we infer that $\tau = 0$.

Since, for any $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{T_1^*}$, $\eta_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{T_2^*}$,
\[ (\eta_1 \overline{\otimes} \eta_2 | \sigma) = -(T_1^* \eta_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2^* \eta_2 | \tau) = 0, \]
it follows that $\sigma = 0$.

Consequently, we have $\mathcal{D}_{(T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2)^*} = \mathcal{D}_{(T_1^* \overline{\otimes} T_2^*)}$, hence
\[ (T_1 \overline{\otimes} T_2)^* = T_1^* \overline{\otimes} T_2^*. \]

Q.E.D.

9.34. By taking into account Proposition 9.33 and Corollary 9.14, one obtains the following
Corollary. If $T_1$, $T_2$ are self-adjoint (resp. positive self-adjoint) linear operators, then $T_1 \otimes T_2$ is a self-adjoint (resp., positive self-adjoint) linear operator.

9.35. Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces. One says that $S$ is an antilinear operator from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{K}$ if $S$ is a mapping from a vector subspace $\mathcal{D}_S \subset \mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{K}$, such that

$$S(\xi + \eta) = S\xi + S\eta, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}_S,$$

$$S(\lambda \xi) = \lambda S\xi, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_S.$$ 

The notions and the results from Sections 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.28, 9.30, and 9.33 obviously extend to the antilinear operators.

The antilinear operators will be used often in Chapter 10. For this reason we formulate below some statements about antilinear operators, which we have proved for linear operators.

The product of two antilinear operators is linear. The product of an antilinear operator by a linear operator is antilinear.

The adjoint $S^*$ of a densely defined antilinear operator $S$ is an antilinear operator and it is defined by the relations

$$(S\xi | \eta) = (S^*\eta | \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_S, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{S^*}.$$ 

If $S$ is preclosed, then $S^*$ is closed and $S^{**} = \overline{S}$. If $S$ is closed, then $S^*S$ is a positive self-adjoint linear operator.

If $S$ is a closed antilinear operator from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{K}$, then there exist a positive self-adjoint linear operator $A$, in $\mathcal{H}$, and an antilinear partial isometry $v : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, such that

$$S = vA,$$

$$v^*v = s(A).$$

These conditions determine in a unique manner the operators $A$ and $v$, and the above relations give the polar decomposition of $S$.

An antilinear operator $J : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is called a conjugation if $J = J^* = J^{-1}$. Conjugations are the antilinear analogues of the self-adjoint unitary operators.

Exercises

In the exercises in which the symbols $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{K}$ are not explained they will denote Hilbert spaces.

E.9.1. Let $T$ be a closed linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ a vector subspace of $\mathcal{D}_T$. The following assertions are equivalent

(i) $T|\mathcal{D} = T$;

(ii) $(1 + |T|)\mathcal{D}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. 


E.9.2. Let $T_1, T_2$ be linear operators from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$, respectively. Show that if $T_1$ is closed, $T_2$ is preclosed and $\mathcal{D}_{T_1} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{T_2}$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$, such that

$$\| T_2 \xi \|^2 \leq c(\| T_1 \xi \|^2 + \| \xi \|^2), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{T_1}.$$  

E.9.3. Let $T$ be a closed linear operator from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}$. With the help of the Hahn-Banach and Banach-Steinhauss theorems, show that the following assertions are equivalent

(i) $T(\mathcal{D}_T) = \mathcal{H}$;

(ii) there exists a constant $c > 0$, such that

$$\| \eta \| \leq c \| T^* \eta \|, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*}.$$  

By assuming that condition (ii) is satisfied, show that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, $\xi \perp \mathcal{K}(T)$, there exists an $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*}$, such that

$$T^* \eta = \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \| \eta \| \leq c \| \xi \|.$$  

E.9.4. Let $T$ be a linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Show that if $\mathcal{D}_T = \mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{D}_{T^*} = \mathcal{H}$, then $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

E.9.5. Let $T, S$ be normal linear operators in $\mathcal{H}$. Show that if $T \subset S$, then $T = S$. Infer from this result that any normal symmetric operator is self-adjoint.

E.9.6. Let $A$ be a symmetric linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Then $A$ is self-adjoint iff $(i + A) \mathcal{D}_A = \mathcal{H}$.

E.9.7. Let $A$ be a linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Show that the following assertions are equivalent

1. $A$ is self-adjoint;

2. for any non-zero $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $it \in \rho(A)$ and

$$\|(it + A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{|t|}.$$  

(Hint: by assuming that (2) is satisfied, show that

$$\| A \xi \|^2 \geq 2t \text{Im} (A \xi | \xi), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

whence $(A \xi | \xi) \in \mathbb{R}$; if $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_A$ and $\xi = (i + A)^{-1} \zeta$, then $\| \zeta \|^2 = \| A \xi \|^2 + i(A \xi | \xi)$, whence $\zeta = 0$).

E.9.8. Let $A$ be a symmetric linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Then the linear operator $(A + i)$ is injective, hence one can define a linear operator $V(A)$ in $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$V(A) \xi = (A - i)(A + i)^{-1} \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{V(A)} = (A + i) \mathcal{D}_A.$$  

Show that $V(A)$ is an isometric linear operator, i.e.,

$$\| V(A) \xi \| = \| \xi \|, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{V(A)},$$

and that $(1 - V(A)) \mathcal{D}_{V(A)}$ is a dense vector subspace of $\mathcal{H}$.
Let $V$ be an isometric linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$, such that the vector subspace $(1 - V)D_V$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Then the linear operator $(1 - V)$ is injective, hence one can define a linear operator $A(V)$ in $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$A(V)\xi = i(1 + V)(1 - V)^{-1}\xi, \quad \xi \in D_{A(V)} = (1 - V)D_V.$$ 

Show that $A(V)$ is a symmetric linear operator.

With the foregoing notations, we have

1. $A(V(A)) = A$,
   $V(A(V)) = V$,

2. $A$ is closed iff $V(A)$ is closed,
   $V$ is closed iff $A(V)$ is closed,

3. $A$ is self-adjoint iff $V(A)$ is unitary,
   $V$ is unitary iff $A(V)$ is self-adjoint,

4. $A_1 \subset A_2$ iff $V(A_1) \subset V(A_2),
   V_1 \subset V_2$ iff $A(V_1) \subset A(V_2)$.

The operator $V(A)$ is called the Cayley transform of the symmetric linear operator $A$.

**E.9.9.** Let $S$ be a closed symmetric operator in $\mathcal{H}$.

Show that there exist an isometry $v$ of $\mathcal{H}$ into a Hilbert space $\mathcal{X}$, and a self-adjoint operator $A$ in $\mathcal{X}$, such that

$$D_S = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H}; \; v\xi \in D_A \},
S = v^*Av\xi, \quad \xi \in D_S.$$ 

**E.9.10.** Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$.

For any $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ we define the spectral projection

$$e_\lambda = \chi_{(0, \lambda)}(A).$$

Show that

1. $e_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$;
2. $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \Rightarrow e_{\lambda_1} \leq e_{\lambda_2};$
3. $\lambda_\uparrow \lambda \Rightarrow e_{\lambda_\uparrow} \uparrow e_\lambda;$
4. $e_\lambda \downarrow 1 - s(A), \quad e_\lambda \uparrow 1; \quad \lambda \downarrow \lambda_0$,
5. $Ae_\lambda \leq \lambda e_\lambda$, \quad $A(1 - e_\lambda) \geq \lambda(1 - e_\lambda);$ 
6. $D_A = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H}; \; \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \text{d}(e_\lambda \xi | \xi) < +\infty \}$, and, for any $\xi \in D_A$, we have

$$A\xi = \int_0^\infty \lambda \text{d}e_\lambda \xi,$$

with norm convergent vector Stieltjes integral.
These assertions make up the contents of the so-called spectral theorem for the positive self-adjoint operator \( A \), and the family of projections \( \{ e_\lambda \}_{\lambda \in [0, +\infty)} \) is called the spectral scale of \( A \).

E.9.11. Let \( A \) be a positive self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), and \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \). Show that

\[
\mathcal{D}_{f(A)} = \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} ; \int_0^\infty |f(\lambda)|^2 \, d(e_\lambda \xi \mid \xi) < +\infty \right\}
\]

and that, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{f(A)} \),

\[
f(A) \xi = \int_0^\infty f(\lambda) \, de_\lambda \xi,
\]

with a norm convergent vector Stieltjes integral.

E.9.12. Let \( A \) be a self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), and \( A = A^+ - A^- \) the decomposition given by Corollary 9.31. We define the spectral scale of \( A \) by

\[
e_\lambda = \begin{cases} 
\chi_{(0, \lambda)}(A^+), & \text{if } \lambda > 0, \\
\chi_{(-\lambda, +\infty)}(A^-), & \text{if } \lambda < 0, \\
s(A^-), & \text{if } \lambda = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Extend to this case the assertions from exercises E.9.10, E.9.11.

In this manner one obtains the spectral theorem and the integral formula of the operational calculus for self-adjoint operators.

E.9.13. Let \( A \) be a positive self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \). For any Borel measurable subset \( D \) of the spectrum of \( A \) we define the spectral projection of \( A \), which corresponds to \( D \), by the formula

\[
e(D) = \chi_D(A).
\]

Then \( e(\sigma(A)) = 1 \). Show that for any \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \) we have

\[
\|f(A)\| = \inf_{D \subseteq \sigma(A)} \sup_{\lambda \in D} |f(\lambda)|
\]

and

\[
\sigma(f(A)) = \bigcap_{D \subseteq \sigma(A)} \{ f(\lambda) ; \lambda \in D \} \subseteq \{ f(\lambda) ; \lambda \in \sigma(A) \}.
\]

E.9.14. Let \( A \) be a positive self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \), real and positive. Then, for any \( g \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \), we have

\[
g(f(A)) = (g \circ f)(A).
\]

Infer from this result a new proof for the uniqueness of the positive square root of \( A \) (cf. Corollary 9.14).
E.9.15. Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ the range of the projection $\chi_{[0, \lambda]}(A)$, $\lambda \in [0, +\infty)$. Show that, for any $\lambda \in [0, +\infty)$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \left\{ \xi \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{D}_A^n ; \lim_{n \to \infty} \|A^n\xi\|^{1/n} \leq \lambda \right\}.$$ 

Infer from this result a new proof for the uniqueness of the positive square root of $A$.

E.9.16. Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $s(A) = 1$, and let $0 \neq \xi \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{D}_A^n$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|A^n\xi\|^{1/n} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \|A^{-m}\xi\|^{1/m} > 1,$$

and the equality holds iff

$$A\xi = \left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|A^n\xi\|^{1/n}\right)\xi.$$

(Hint: one can use Corollary 9.15 and the "theorem of the three lines", from N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, [1], VI, 10.3.)

E.9.17. For any function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ we denote by $\hat{f}$ the "inverse Fourier transform" of $f$:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) e^{its} \, dt, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$ 

With the same hypotheses and notations as in E.9.15, show that, for any $\lambda \in [0, +\infty)$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} ; \text{ for } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \text{ such that support } \hat{f} \subset (\ln \lambda, +\infty), \right.$$ 

we have $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)A^t \, dt = 0$.

E.9.18. For any linear operator $T$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_T$ we denote

$$E_\xi(T) = (T\xi | \xi), \quad \sigma_\xi(T) = \|(T - E_\xi(T))\xi\|.$$ 

Let $A$ and $B$ be self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$, such that the intersection

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{AB} \cap \mathcal{D}_{BA}$$

be dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Show that

$$\sigma_\xi(A) \sigma_\xi(B) \geq \frac{1}{2} |E_\xi(AB - BA)|, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}.$$
This inequality is a variant of Heisenberg's "uncertainty principle".

E.9.19. Let $A$ be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Show that the following assertions are equivalent

(i) $A$ is positive;
(ii) $\sigma(A) \subset [0, +\infty)$.

E.9.20. One says that a linear operator $T$ in $\mathcal{H}$ commutes with an operator $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ if

$$xT = Tx.$$

Show that if $T$ is closed, then the set $\{T\}'$ of all operators $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which commute with $T$ is a wo-closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$\overline{\{T\}'} = \{T^*\}'.$$

In particular, if $T$ is self-adjoint, then $\{T\}'$ is a von Neumann algebra (we mention the fact that $\{T\}'$ is a von Neumann algebra even if $T$ is normal, this being an extension of Fuglede's theorem 2.31).

E.9.21. Let $T$ be a closed linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ an operator which commutes with $T$. Show that

$$\overline{\overline{xT}} = \overline{Tx}.$$

E.9.22. Let $T$ be a closed linear operator and $T = v|T|$ its polar decomposition. Show that if $T$ is normal, then $I(T) = r(T)$ and

$$v |T| = |T| v.$$

Conversely, if $I(T) = r(T)$ and if $|T|$ commutes with $v$, then $T$ is normal.

E.9.23. Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following assertions are equivalent

(i) $A$ commutes with $x$;
(ii) $(1 + A)^{-1}$ commutes with $x$;
(iii) $e_\lambda$ commutes with $x$, $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$;
(iv) $A^t$ commutes with $x$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Show that if $A$ commutes with $x$, then, for any $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, the operator $xf(A)$ is preclosed and

$$\overline{xf(A)} = f(A)x.$$

Show that if $e$ is a projection which commutes with $A$ and if $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, $f(0) = 0$, then

$$f(Ae) = f(A)e.$$
E.9.24. Let $A$ and $B$ be positive self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$, and $\{e_\lambda\}$ (resp., $\{f_\mu\}$) the spectral scale of $A$ (resp., $B$). Show that the following assertions are equivalent

(i) $(1 + A)^{-1}$ commutes with $(1 + B)^{-1}$;
(ii) $e_\lambda$ commutes with $f_\mu$, $\lambda, \mu \in (0, +\infty)$;
(iii) $A^{\mu t}$ commutes with $B_t$, $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

In this case one says that $A$ and $B$ commute.

E.9.25. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $A$ a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Show that the following assertions are equivalent

(i) $A$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$;
(ii) $(1 + A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}$;
(iii) $e_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$, $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$;
(iv) $A^{\mu t} \in \mathcal{M}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
(v) $A$ commutes with any $x' \in \mathcal{M}'$;
(vi) for any $x' \in \mathcal{M}'$ we have $x'(\mathcal{D}_A) \subset \mathcal{D}_A$ and

$$(Ax'\xi, A\eta) = (A\eta, Ax'^*\xi), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}_A.$$

E.9.26. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a finite von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M})$ the set of all closed linear operators in $\mathcal{H}$, which are affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $T, S \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M})$. With the help of the polar decomposition (9.28, 9.29) and of Corollary 7.6, show that $T + S$ and $TS$ are densely defined. Then, with the help of the inclusions

$$T + S \subset (T^* + S^*)^*, \quad TS \subset (S^*T^*)^*,$$

show that $T + S$ and $TS$ are preclosed.

Infer from these results and from Theorem 9.8, that $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M})$ is a $*$-algebra for the operations

$$(T, S) \mapsto (T + S), \quad (\lambda, T) \mapsto \lambda T,$$

$$(T, S) \mapsto TS,$$

$$T \mapsto T^*.$$

E.9.27. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $B$ a positive operator in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$. Show that the Friedrichs extension of $B$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$.

E.9.28. Let $A$ be a positive operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent

(i) $A$ is self-adjoint;
(ii) $B$ is a positive linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$, $B \supset A \Rightarrow B = A$.

E.9.29. Let $T$ be a closed linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Then, with the scalar product

$$(\xi|\eta)_T = (\xi|\eta) + (T\xi|T\eta),$$

$\mathcal{D}_T$ becomes a Hilbert space. Show that $\mathcal{D}_T = D_{\mathcal{d} + |T\xi|^{1/2}}$ and that

$$(\xi|\eta)_T = ((1 + |T\xi|^{1/2})^2(1 + |T\eta|^{1/2})^{-1}, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}_T.$$
E.9.30. Let $B$ be a positive operator in $\mathcal{H}$, $A$ its Friedrichs extension and

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} ; \text{ there exists } \{\xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}_B \text{ such that } \xi_n \to \xi \text{ and } (B(\xi_n - \xi_m) \mid \xi_n - \xi_m) \to 0 \right\}.$$ 

Show that

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{(A^\dagger \eta)}.$$  

(Hint: $\mathcal{D}_{(A^\dagger \eta) \eta} = \mathcal{D}_{(1 + A)^{1/2} \eta}$ is a Hilbert space for the scalar product $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto ((1 + A)^{1/2} \xi \mid (1 + A)^{1/2} \eta)$, and $\mathcal{D}$ is a closed vector subspace of the latter).

E.9.31. Let $B$ be a positive operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and $A$ its Friedrichs extension. Show that any self-adjoint extension of $B$, whose domain of definition is included in $\mathcal{D}_{(A^\dagger \eta)}$, coincides with $A$.

E.9.32. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$. The following assertions are equivalent

(i) there exists a closed linear operator $T'$ in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{A}'$, such that

$$\eta = T' \xi.$$ 

(ii) there exists a positive self-adjoint operator $A'$ in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{A}'$, such that

$$\omega_\eta = \omega_{A' \xi}.$$ 

Moreover, the operator $A'$ from condition (ii) can be chosen in such a manner that

$$s(A') \leq p'_\xi.$$

E.9.33. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\varphi, \psi$ normal forms on $\mathcal{M}$. We denote by $\pi_\varphi : \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi)$ (resp., $\pi_\psi : \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_\psi)$) the $*$-representation associated to the form $\varphi$ (resp., to the form $\psi$), whose corresponding cyclic vector is $1_\varphi$ (resp., $1_\psi$) (see 5.18).

(1) The correspondence

$$\mathcal{H}_{\psi} \ni \pi_\psi(\mathcal{M})1_\psi \ni \pi_\psi(x)1_\psi \to \pi_\psi(x)1_\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\psi}$$

is a correctly defined linear operator iff

$$s(\varphi) \leq s(\psi).$$

(2) The correspondence

$$\mathcal{H}_{\psi} \ni \pi_\psi(\mathcal{M})1_\psi \ni \pi_\psi(x)1_\psi \to \pi_\psi(x)1_\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\psi}$$

is a preclosed linear operator iff the implication

$$x_n \in \mathcal{M}, \ \psi(|x_n|^2) \to 0, \ \varphi(|x_n - x_m|^2) \to 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(|x_n|^2) \to 0$$
holds. In this case one says that $\varphi$ is \textit{almost dominated} by $\psi$.

(3) The correspondence

\[ \mathcal{H}_\varphi \ni \pi_\varphi(M)1_\varphi \ni \pi_\varphi(x)1_\varphi \mapsto \pi_\varphi(x)1_\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \]

is a bounded linear operator iff there exists a $\lambda > 0$, such that

$\varphi \leq \lambda \psi$.

In this case one says that $\varphi$ is \textit{dominated} by $\psi$.

E.9.34. Let $\{\varphi_k\}_k$ be a sequence of normal forms on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, which are almost dominated by the normal form $\psi$ on $\mathcal{M}$, and such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k(1) < +\infty$. Show that $\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k$ is a normal form on $\mathcal{M}$, which is almost dominated by $\psi$.

E.9.35. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra, $\varphi$ a normal form on $\mathcal{M}$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) there exists a positive self-adjoint operator $A'$ in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}'$, such that

$\varphi = \omega_{A'\xi}$,

(ii) $\varphi$ is almost dominated by $\omega_\alpha$.

(Hint for the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): one can assume $\xi$ to be cyclic and separating and, in this case, $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_\alpha}$ identifies with $[\mathcal{M}\xi] = \mathcal{H}$; one denotes by $T'$ the closure of the operator which one obtains from E.9.33 (2) for $\psi = \omega_\alpha$; if $T' = v'A'$ is the polar decomposition of $T'$, show that $A'$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}'$, with the help of exercise E.9.25 (vi)).

E.9.36. Let $A, B$ be positive self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $s(A) = s(B) = 1$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we consider the set $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ of all operators $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that the mapping $t \mapsto A^t x B^{-t}$ has a $w$-continuous extension to $\{x \in \mathcal{C}; 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq \varepsilon\}$, which is analytic in $\{x \in \mathcal{C}; 0 \leq \Re \alpha < \varepsilon\}$. If $x \in \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$, the preceding extension is unique, and we denote it by $F_x$.

We define the operator $T$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by

\[ T(x) = F_x(1), \quad x \in \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{D}_1. \]

Show that, for any $\lambda > 0$, the operator $\lambda + T$ is injective. Show that, for any $x \in \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ and any $\lambda > 0$, $Tx \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda + \eta}$ and

\[(\lambda + T)^{-1} Tx = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{-\infty}^{+i\infty} \frac{\lambda - \alpha}{\sin \pi x} F_x(\alpha) \, dx, \quad 0 < c < 1.\]

By making in this formula $c = 1/2$, infer Proposition 9.23.
Prove that, for $x \in \mathcal{D}_T$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 < \text{Re} \alpha < 1$, the following inversion formula holds

$$F_x(\alpha) = \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \lambda^{\alpha-1}(\lambda + T)^{-1}T^x \, d\lambda.$$ 

E.9.37. Let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $s(A) = 1$. Show that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_A$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 < \text{Re} \alpha < 1$, we have

$$A^\alpha \xi = \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \lambda^{\alpha-1}(\lambda + A)^{-1}A^x \xi \, d\lambda.$$ 

Comments

C.9.1. In our presentation of the theory we have developed the operational calculus only for positive self-adjoint operators, since this is the essential tool in Chapter 10, and in most of operator algebras theory.

In the case of arbitrary self-adjoint operators, one can make an analogous construction, by replacing the transform $a = (1 + A)^{-1}$ (9.9) by the Cayley transform (E.9.8) and by using the operational calculus for unitary operators. Another method is that which was indicated in Section 9.32 (see, also, exercise E.9.12).

As in the case of bounded operators, one can develop an operational calculus for normal operators, for which we refer the reader to: C. Ionescu Tulcea [2], F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy [1], N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], R. P. Halmos [1], B. Sz.-Nagy [1], M. A. Naimark [6].

An analytic operational calculus for closed operators-in-Banach spaces was also developed (see N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], Ch. VII, § 9).

From the theory of self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators we presented only the theorem of Friedrichs. This theory has important applications in the theory of differential operators, and its basic results are exposed in F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy [1], N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], M. G. Krein [2], as well as in M. A. Naimark's book on linear differential operators.

C.9.2. The aim of the theory of generators of one-parameter groups of operators is to characterize these groups with the help of a single mathematical object, which is usually an unbounded operator. The theory of generators uses differential and integral calculus techniques, Fourier analysis and complex analysis and is usually developed for operators in Banach spaces.

Let $\{u_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of operators in a Banach space $\mathcal{H}$. The classical infinitesimal generator $G$ of $\{u_t\}$ is defined in the following manner

$$\mathcal{D}_G = \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{H}; \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon \xi - \xi) \text{ exists} \right\},$$

$$G \xi = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon \xi - \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_G.$$
One proves that $G$ is a closed linear operator and, in a certain sense, $u_t$ is the exponential of $tG$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space and if $u_t$ are unitary operators, then $B = -iG$ is a self-adjoint operator and

$$u_t = \exp(itB), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the exponential is meant in the sense of the operational calculus. This is the form in which Stone's representation theorem is usually stated.

For details regarding the theory of the infinitesimal generator, which also applies to the one-parameter semigroups, see E. Hille and R. Phillips [1] and N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1].

Another type of generator, which is more suitable for the applications we have in mind in Chapter 10, can be defined with the help of the analytic continuation. More precisely, we define the analytic generator $A$ of $u_t$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_A = \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \text{the mapping } it \mapsto u_t \xi \text{ has an extension } F_\xi, \text{ which is continuous} \right\}$$

on $\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq 1 \}$ and analytic in $\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 < \Re \alpha < 1 \}$.

$$A\xi = F_\xi(1), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_A.$$ 

One shows that $A$ is a closed linear operator and that $u_t$ is the $(it)$th power of $A$, in the sense of V. Balakrishnan's "fractional powers".

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space and if $u_t$ are unitary operators, then Theorem 9.20 shows that $A$ is self-adjoint and positive and

$$u_t = A^t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For details regarding the theory of the analytic generator see I. Ciorănescu and L. Zsidó [1], and L. Zsidó [8].

We note that Propositions 9.23, 9.24 and exercises E.9.36, E.9.37 are particular cases of some results of the theory of the analytic generator.

C.9.3. Bibliographical comments. Corollary 9.15 was first mentioned by G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki ([2], Lemma 3.2), and it lies at the basis of the methods of analytic continuation which we use in Chapters 9 and 10. Proposition 9.23 is due to A. van Daele [4] and it is the principal argument in the proof given by him to the fundamental theorem of M. Tomita (10.12). The other material, concerning the theory of operators, is classic.

Theorem 9.8 and exercise E.9.26 are due to F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [1].
The theory of standard von Neuman algebras

In the preceding chapters we have presented that part of the theory of operator algebras which is based on the original ideas of F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann. A turning point in the development of the theory of von Neumann algebras was produced in 1967 by M. Tomita.

In this chapter we present Tomita's theory, which enables us to obtain canonical forms for the von Neumann algebras, forms which are called standard von Neumann algebras.

The logical dependence of the sections of Chapter 10

- 10.7 ← 10.6
- 10.10 ← 10.9 ← 10.8 ← 10.5
- 10.23 ← 10.21 ← 10.22
- 10.24 ← 10.27 ← 10.29
- 10.25 ← 10.28
- 10.26
10.1. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a complex algebra with involution, which is also endowed with a scalar product \((\cdot | \cdot)\). We denote by \( \xi \mapsto \xi^\# \) the involution in \( \mathcal{A} \) and by \( \mathcal{H} \) the Hilbert space obtained by the completion of \( \mathcal{A} \). We denote by \( \mathcal{A}^* \) the vector space generated by the elements of the form \( \xi \eta, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{A} \). One says that \( \mathcal{A} \) is a left Hilbert algebra if

(i) \( \mathcal{A} \ni \eta \mapsto \xi \eta \in \mathcal{A} \) is continuous, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{A} \);

(ii) \( (\xi_1 \eta_1 | \eta_2) = (\eta_1 | \xi^\# \eta_2) \), for any \( \xi, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{A} \);

(iii) \( \mathcal{A}^* \) is dense in \( \mathcal{A} \);

(iv) \( \mathcal{H} \supset \mathcal{A} \ni \xi \mapsto \xi^\# \in \mathcal{H} \) is a preclosed antilinear operator.

In accordance with (i), for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{A} \) one defines a \( L_\xi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) by the formula

\[
L_\xi(\eta) = \xi \eta, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{A}.
\]

With the help of (ii) it is easy to see that the mapping

\[
L : \mathcal{A} \ni \xi \mapsto L_\xi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})
\]

is a **-representation of \( \mathcal{A} \), i.e.,

\[
L_\xi L_\eta = L_{\xi \eta}, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{A},
\]

\[
(L_\xi)^* = L_{\xi^\#}, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{A}.
\]

We define

\[
\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}\{(L_\xi; \ \xi \in \mathcal{A}) = \{L_\xi; \ \xi \in \mathcal{A}\}''.
\]

With the help of (iii) it is easy to see that

\[
\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \{L_\xi; \ \xi \in \mathcal{A}\}''.
\]

Conditions (iii) and (iv) allow the definition of the closed antilinear operator \( S \), as being the closure of the operator

\[
\mathcal{H} \supset \mathcal{A}^* \ni \sum_i \xi_i \eta_i \mapsto (\sum_i \xi_i \eta_i)^\# \in \mathcal{H}.
\]

We recall that the adjoint antilinear operator \( S^* \) is defined by

\[
(S^* \eta | \xi) = (S \xi | \eta), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}_S, \ \eta \in \mathcal{D}_S^*.
\]

Since \( \# \) is an involution, we have

\[
\xi \in \mathcal{D}_S \Rightarrow S \xi \in \mathcal{D}_S, \quad SS \xi = \xi, \quad \text{hence} \ S^2 = 1,
\]

\[
\eta \in \mathcal{D}_S^* \Rightarrow S^* \eta \in \mathcal{D}_S^*, \quad S^* S^* \eta = \eta, \quad \text{hence} \ (S^*)^2 = 1.
\]

In other words,

\[
S = S^{-1}, \quad S^* = (S^*)^{-1}.
\]

We consider the positive self-adjoint linear operator

\[
A = S S^*,
\]

which is called the modular operator associated to the left Hilbert algebra \( \mathcal{A} \). Then

\[
s(A) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A^{-1} = SS^*.
\]
We also consider the polar decomposition of $S$

$$S = JA^{1/2}.$$  

Since $S = S^{-1}$, we get successively

$$JA^{1/2} = A^{-1/2}J^{-1},$$

$$A^{-1/2} = JA^{1/2}J = J^*(J^*A^{1/2}J),$$

whence, with the help of the polar decomposition, we obtain

$$J^2 = 1, \quad J = J^* = J^{-1}.$$  

Consequently, $J$ is a conjugation in $\mathcal{H}$, which is called the canonical conjugation associated to the left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A}$.  

We have thus obtained the following relations

$$S = JA^{1/2} = A^{-1/2}J, \quad D_S = D_{(A^{1/2})},$$

$$S^* = JA^{-1/2} = A^{1/2}J, \quad D_{S^*} = D_{(A^{-1/2})}.$$  

The data concerning $J$ are synthetized in the following relations

$$J^2 = 1,$$

$$(J\eta|\xi) = (J\xi|\eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}.$$  

Finally, we mention the fact that for any $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$ we have

$$Jf(\Delta)J = \overline{f}(\Delta^{-1}),$$

this equality being a consequence of the relations

$$JAJ = A^{-1},$$

$$J(\lambda A)J = \lambda A^{1/2} = \lambda A^{-1/2}.$$  

We also mention the following equivalent forms of the preceding formula

$$f(\Delta)J = J\overline{f}(\Delta^{-1}),$$

$$Jf(\Delta) = \overline{f}(\Delta^{-1})J.$$  

In particular,

$$JA^t = A^tJ, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$  

The so-continuous group $\{A^t\}$ of unitary operators is called the modular group associated to the left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A}$.  

10.2. In this section we consider some operators which are naturally associated to a left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$.  

Let \( \eta \in \mathcal{H} \). We define the linear operator \( R_\eta^0 \) by the relations
\[
R_\eta^0(\xi) = L_\xi(\eta), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}(R_\eta^0) = \mathcal{U}.
\]

If \( R_\eta^0 \) is preclosed, we denote its closure by \( R_\eta \).

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \eta \in \mathcal{H} \). If \( R_\eta^0 \) is preclosed, then \( R_\eta \) is affiliated to the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})' \).

**Proof.** For any \( \xi \in \mathcal{U} \) we have
\[
L_\xi R_\eta^0 \subseteq R_\eta^0 L_\xi, \quad L_\xi R_\eta \subseteq R_\eta L_\xi,
\]
whence, for any \( x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \),
\[
x R_\eta \subseteq R_\eta x.
\]

**Q.E.D.**

**Lemma 2.** If \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{S^*} \), then \( R_\eta^0 \) is preclosed and
\[
\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(R_\eta^0)^*,
\]
\[
(R_\eta^0)\xi^* = L_\xi S^* \eta, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{U}.
\]

**Proof.** Since
\[
R_\eta^0 \subseteq (R_{S^* \eta})^*,
\]
the operator \( R_\eta^0 \) is preclosed. A trivial argument now completes the proof.

**Q.E.D.**

10.3. In this section we consider the "multiplications to the right", which are associated to a left Hilbert algebra \( \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{H} \).

We define
\[
\mathcal{U}' = \{\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{S^*}; R_\eta \text{ is bounded}\}.
\]

Lemma 1 from 10.2 now implies that
\[
\eta \in \mathcal{U}' \Rightarrow R_\eta \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})'.
\]

**Proposition.** Let \( \eta \in \mathcal{H}, \xi \in \mathcal{H} \) and \( x' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). Then the following assertions are equivalent

(i) \( \eta \in \mathcal{U}' \) and \( S^* (\eta) = \xi, \ R_\eta = x' \);

(ii) for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{U} \) we have \( L_\xi (\eta) = x' \xi, \ L_\xi (\xi) = x'^* \xi \).

**Proof.** Assuming (i) to be true, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{U} \) we have
\[
L_\xi (\eta) = R_\eta (\xi) = x' \xi
\]
and, from Lemma 2, Section 10.2, we get
\[
L_\xi (\xi) = L_\xi S^* \eta = (R_\eta)^* \xi = x'^* \xi.
\]
Let us now assume that (ii) is true. For any \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{A} \) we have
\[
(\eta | S(\xi_1 \xi_2)) = (\eta | \xi_2^* \xi_1^*) = (\eta | L_\xi \xi_2^*(\xi_1^*)) = (\eta | (L_\xi)^* \xi_1^*)
\]
\[
= (L_\xi (\eta) | \xi_1^*) = (x^* \xi_2 | \xi_1^*) = (x^* \xi_1 \xi_2)
\]
\[
= (\xi_1 L_\xi (\xi_2) | \xi_1) = (\xi_1^* \xi_2^* | \xi_1^*)
\]
whence \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{S^*} \) and \( S^*(\eta) = \zeta \). Obviously, \( R_\eta = x^* \) is bounded; hence \( \eta \in \mathcal{A}' \).

Q.E.D.

Let \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{S^*} \) and let
\[
R_\eta = u_\eta A_\eta = B_\eta u_\eta
\]
be the polar decompositions of \( R_\eta \). For any \( f \in \mathcal{A}(0, +\infty) \) we have the relation
\[
u_\eta f(A_\eta) = f(B_\eta) u_\eta.
\]
We observe that
\[
\eta \in \overline{R_\eta \mathcal{D}_{R_\eta} \mathcal{D}_{R_\eta}} = s(B_\eta) \mathcal{A}',
\]
\[
S^*_\eta \in (R_\eta)^* \mathcal{D}_{(R_\eta)^*} = s(A_\eta) \mathcal{A}'.
\]
Indeed, in accordance with Section 10.1, there exists a net \( \{\xi_i\} \subset \mathcal{A} \), such that \( L_{\xi_i} \xrightarrow{\text{w}} 1 \), hence, from Lemma 2, Section 10.2, we get
\[
R_\eta \mathcal{D}_{R_\eta} \ni R_\eta (\xi_i) = L_{\xi_i}(\eta) \to \eta,
\]
\[
(R_\eta)^* \mathcal{D}_{(R_\eta)^*} \ni (R_\eta)^* (\xi_i) = L_{\xi_i}(S^* \eta) \to S^* \eta.
\]
With the help of the preceding proposition it is easy to prove the following assertions:

**Corollary 1.** If \( \eta \in \mathcal{A}' \), then
\[
S^* \eta \in \mathcal{A}', \text{ and }
S^* (S^* \eta) = \eta, \quad R_{S^* \eta} = (R_\eta)^*.
\]

**Corollary 2.** If \( \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{A}' \), then
\[
R_{\eta_1}(\eta_2) \in \mathcal{A}' \text{ and }
S^* R_{\eta_1}(\eta_2) = R_{S^* \eta_1}(S^* \eta_2), \quad R_{R_{\eta_1}(\eta_2)} = R_{\eta_1} R_{\eta_2}.
\]

More generally, we have the

**Corollary 3.** If \( \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{A}' \) and \( x^* \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{A}' \), then
\[
R_{\eta_1} x^*(\eta_2) \in \mathcal{A}' \text{ and }
S^* R_{\eta_1} x^*(\eta_2) = R_{S^* \eta_1}(x^*) S^* \eta_1, \quad R_{R_{\eta_1} x^*(\eta_2)} = R_{\eta_1} x^* R_{\eta_1}.
The following two corollaries enable us to obtain elements in \( \mathcal{U}' \).

**Corollary 4.** If \( \eta \in \mathcal{D} \) and \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \), sup \( \{ 2^\lambda |f(\lambda)|; \lambda \in [0, +\infty) \} < +\infty \), then

\[
R_n f(A_n) S^* \eta \in \mathcal{U}' \quad \text{and} \quad S^*(R_n f(A_n) S^* \eta) = R_n \overline{f(A_n)} S^* \eta, \quad R_n f(A_n) S^* \eta = B_n^2 f(B_n).
\]

**Corollary 5.** If \( \eta \in \mathcal{D} \) and \( f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \), sup \( \{ 2^\lambda |f(\lambda)|; \lambda \in [0, +\infty) \} < +\infty \), then

\[
f(B_n) \eta \in \mathcal{U}' \quad \text{and} \quad S^*(f(B_n) \eta) = \overline{f(A_n)} S^* \eta, \quad R_n f(B_n) \eta = B_n f(B_n) u_n.
\]

Finally, the last corollary in this series shows that \( \mathcal{U}' \) contains enough elements.

**Corollary 6.** If \( \eta \in \mathcal{D} \), then there exists sequences \( \{ \eta_n \}, \{ \zeta_n \} \subset \mathcal{U}' \), such that

\[
R_n(\zeta_n) \to \eta, \quad S^* R_n(\zeta_n) \to S^* \eta.
\]

**Proof.** Let us consider the functions \( f_n \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), defined by

\[
f_n(\lambda) = \lambda^{1-\lambda} \chi_{(n-1,n)}(\lambda).
\]

We define

\[
\eta_n = R_n f_n(A_n) S^* \eta, \quad \zeta_n = f_n(B_n) \eta.
\]

With the help of Corollaries 2, 4 and 5 it is easy to see that, for any natural number \( n \), we have

\[
\eta_n, \zeta_n, R_n(\zeta_n) \in \mathcal{U}' \quad \text{and} \quad R_n(\zeta_n) = B_n^2 f_n^2(B_n) \eta, \quad S^* R_n(\zeta_n) = A_n^2 f_n^2(A_n) S^* \eta.
\]

Since \( \eta \in s(B_n) \mathcal{H} \) and \( S^* \eta \in s(A_n) \mathcal{H} \), by taking into account Theorem 9.11 (vi) and Corollary 9.13 (iii), it follows that

\[
R_n(\zeta_n) \to \eta, \quad S^* R_n(\zeta_n) \to S^* \eta.
\]

Q.E.D.

10.4. Let \( \mathcal{B} \) be a complex algebra with involution, endowed also with a scalar product \( \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \). We denote by \( \eta \mapsto \eta^b \) the involution in \( \mathcal{B} \) and by \( \mathcal{H} \) the Hilbert space obtained by the completion of \( \mathcal{B} \). One says that \( \mathcal{B} \) is a right Hilbert algebra if

(i) \( \mathcal{B} \ni \xi \mapsto \xi \eta \in \mathcal{B} \) is continuous for any \( \eta \in \mathcal{B} \);

(ii) \( \langle \xi_1 \eta | \xi_2 \rangle = \langle \xi_1 | \xi_2 \eta^b \rangle \), for any \( \eta, \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{B} \);

(iii) \( \mathcal{B}^* \) is dense in \( \mathcal{B} \);

(jw) \( \mathcal{H} \ni \eta \mapsto \eta^b \in \mathcal{H} \) is a preclosed antilinear operator.
Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}'$, endowed with the operations

$$\eta_1 \eta_2 = R_\eta(\eta_1), \quad \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{A},$$

$$\eta^* = S^* \eta, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{A},$$

and with the scalar product of $\mathcal{H}$, is a right Hilbert algebra (see Corollaries 1, 2, 6 from Section 10.3).

If $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a right Hilbert algebra, then the closed antilinear operator $F$ is defined to be the closure of the operator

$$\mathcal{H} \ni \mathcal{B} \ni \sum_i \eta_i \xi_i \mapsto (\sum_i \eta_i \xi_i)^* \in \mathcal{H}.$$  

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}'$. Then, in accordance with Corollary 6 from Section 10.3, we have

$$S^* = \overline{S^* |_{\mathcal{A}^*}},$$

i.e.,

$$F = S^*.$$  

For a right Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$ the operators $R_\eta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\eta \in \mathcal{B}$, are defined by the formula

$$R_\eta(\xi) = \xi \eta, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{B}.$$  

If $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{H}'$, then, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{B}$, $R_\eta$ is just the operator defined in Sections 10.2 and 10.3.

If $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a right Hilbert algebra, then the mapping

$$R: \mathcal{B} \ni \eta \mapsto R_\eta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

is a $*$-antirepresentation of $\mathcal{B}$, i.e.,

$$R_{\eta_1 \eta_2} = R_{\eta_1} R_{\eta_2}, \quad \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{B},$$

$$(R_\eta)^* = R_{\eta^*}, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{B}.$$  

One defines

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}([R_\eta; \eta \in \mathcal{B})]'' = \overline{\{R_\eta; \eta \in \mathcal{B}\}}^{\sigma}.$$  

If $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}'$, then

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}') = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Indeed, the inclusion $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}') \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})'$ is obvious. Conversely, let $x' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})'$ and $\{\eta_i\} \subset \mathcal{A}'$ be a net, such that $\|R_{\eta_i}\| < 1$ and $R_{\eta_i} \rightharpoonup 1$. Then, in accordance with Corollary 3 from Section 10.3, we have

$$R_{\eta_i} x'(\eta_i) \in \mathcal{H}' \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\eta_i} x'(\eta_i) = R_{\eta_i} x' R_{\eta_i}.$$  

Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}') \ni R_{\eta_i} x' R_{\eta_i} \rightharpoonup x',$$

and relation (2) is proved.
Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a right Hilbert algebra. For any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_F^*$ one defines the closed linear operator $L_\xi$ in $\mathcal{H}$ by analogy with Section 10.2, as being the closure of the operator

$$\mathcal{H} \ni \mathcal{B} \ni \eta \mapsto R_\eta(\xi) \in \mathcal{H}.$$ 

Then $L_\xi$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B})'$, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{D}(L_\xi)^*$ and

$$(L_\xi)^* \eta = R_\eta F^* \xi, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{B}.$$ 

One also defines

$$\mathcal{B}' = \{\xi \in \mathcal{D}_F^*; \text{ $L_\xi$ is bounded}\}$$

and a proposition analogous to Proposition 10.3 holds. By a dualization of the preceding discussion $\mathcal{B}'$ canonically becomes a left Hilbert algebra and the following relation holds

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}') = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B})'.$$

If $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{H}$, we have $F^* = S$. Thus, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_S$, we defined a closed linear operator $L_\xi$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})' = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, as being the closure of the operator

$$\mathcal{H} \ni \mathcal{H} \ni \eta \mapsto R_\eta(\xi) \in \mathcal{H}.$$ 

We mention that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{D}(L_\xi)^*$ and we have the relation

$$(3) \quad (L_\xi)^* \eta = R_\eta S \xi.$$ 

We denote

$$\mathcal{H}'' = \mathcal{B}' = \{\xi \in \mathcal{D}_S; \text{ $L_\xi$ is bounded}\}.$$ 

Then $\mathcal{H}''$ becomes a left Hilbert algebra, with the operations

$$\xi_1 \xi_2 = L_{\xi_1}(\xi_2), \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}'',$$

$$\xi^\# = S \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H}''.$$ 

(We shall see later that these notations are compatible with those already introduced for the operations in $\mathcal{H}$).

The right Hilbert algebras were only introduced in order to systematize the discussions about $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}', \mathcal{H}''$. Thus, if $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a left Hilbert algebra, then the proposition analogous to Proposition 10.3 is the following:

**Proposition.** Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following assertions are equivalent

(i) $\xi \in \mathcal{H}''$ and $S(\xi) = \zeta$, $L_\xi = x$;

(ii) for any $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ we have $R_\eta(\xi) = x \eta$, $R_\eta(\zeta) = x^* \eta$.

With the help of the preceding proposition it is easy to obtain the following
Corollary 1. If \( \xi \in \mathcal{A} \), then

\[
\xi \in \mathcal{A}'' \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
S\xi = \xi^\# , \quad L\xi = \text{the operator defined in Section 10.1}.
\]

In particular, \( \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}_S \) and \( S\xi = \xi^\# \), for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{A} \). Thus, \( S \) is the closure of the operator

\[
\mathcal{H} \ni \mathcal{A} \ni \xi \mapsto \xi^\# \in \mathcal{H}.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{H} \) be a left Hilbert algebra. One calls a left Hilbert subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A}_1 \)
any involutive subalgebra \( \mathcal{A}_2 \) of \( \mathcal{A}_1 \), which, endowed with the scalar product of \( \mathcal{H} \),
becomes a left Hilbert algebra, which is dense in \( \mathcal{H} \).

It is easy to verify the following

Corollary 2. \( \mathcal{A} \) is a left Hilbert subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A}'' \) and the following relations hold

\[
(\mathcal{A}'')' = \mathcal{A},
\]

\[
(\mathcal{A}'')'' = \mathcal{A}'',
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}'') = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}).
\]

We conclude this section with a "dominated convergence" result:

Corollary 3. Let \( x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \) and \( \{\xi_i\} \subset \mathcal{A}'' \) be a net having the following properties

\[
L_{\xi_i} \xrightarrow{\omega} x, \sup_i \|\xi_i\| < +\infty, \sup_i \|S\xi_i\| < +\infty.
\]

Then there exists a \( \xi \in \mathcal{A}'' \), such that

\[
x = L_{\xi}, \quad \xi_i \rightharpoonup \xi \text{ weakly}, \quad S\xi_i \rightharpoonup S\xi \text{ weakly}.
\]

**Proof.** We consider the linear form \( \varphi \) defined on \( (\mathcal{A}')^\# \) by the formula

\[
\varphi(R_{\xi^*}(\eta)) = (\xi | x\eta) = \lim_i (\xi | L_{\xi_i}(\eta)) = \lim_i (R_{\xi^*}(\xi) | \xi_i), \quad \eta, \xi \in \mathcal{A}'.
\]

Since \( \sup_i \|\xi_i\| < +\infty \), \( \varphi \) is bounded; hence, there exists a \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[
(\xi | x\eta) = (R_{\xi^*}(\xi) | \xi) = (\xi | R_{\xi}(\xi)), \quad \eta, \xi \in \mathcal{A}',
\]

whence

\[
R_{\xi}(\xi) = x(\eta), \quad \eta \in \mathcal{A}'.
\]

Since

\[
(R_{\xi^*}(\xi) | \xi_i) \to (R_{\xi^*}(\xi) | \xi), \quad \eta, \xi \in \mathcal{A}',
\]

from the facts that \( (\mathcal{A}')^\# \) is dense in \( \mathcal{H} \) and that \( \sup_i \|\xi_i\| < +\infty \), it follows that

\[
\xi_i \rightharpoonup \xi, \text{ weakly}.
\]
By using the fact that \( L_{\xi^*} = (L_{\xi})^* \xrightarrow{\omega} x^* \), one can analogously show that there exists a \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[
R_\eta(\zeta) = x^*(\eta), \quad \eta \in \mathcal{H}',
\]

\( S\xi_i \to \zeta \), weakly.

By applying the preceding proposition, it follows that

\[
\zeta \in \mathcal{H}', \quad S\xi = \zeta, \quad L_\xi = x.
\]

Q.E.D.

10.5. Let \( \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \) be a left Hilbert algebra. By taking into account the definition of the Hilbert algebras, \( \mathcal{H}' \) is dense in \( \mathcal{H} \). More precisely, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \) we have \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H}' \). Indeed, if \( \{ \xi_i \} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \) is a net, such that \( L_{\xi_i} \xrightarrow{\omega} 1 \), then

\[ \mathcal{H} \ni L_{\xi_i}(\zeta) \to \zeta. \]

Actually, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \) we have \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H}' \), as a consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** If \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \), \( \zeta \neq 0 \), then \( L_{\xi^*} \neq 0 \), and

\[ p_\lambda(\|L_{\xi^*}\|^{-1} \xi\xi^*) \zeta \to \zeta, \]

where \( p_\lambda \) is the polynomial defined by

\[ p_\lambda(\lambda) = 1 - (1 - \lambda)^n. \]

**Proof.** Let \( \{ \eta_i \} \subseteq \mathcal{H}' \) be a net, such that \( R_\eta \xrightarrow{\omega} 1 \). Then

\[ L_\xi(\eta_i) = R_\eta(\xi) \to \zeta; \]

hence

\[ \zeta \in \overline{L_\xi(\mathcal{H})} = I(L_\xi) = s(L_{\xi^*}) \mathcal{H}. \]

In particular, \( L_{\xi^*} \neq 0 \).

On the other hand, according to a remark we made in Section 2.22, we have

\[ p_\lambda(\|L_{\xi^*}\|^{-1} \xi\xi^*) \xrightarrow{\omega} s(\|L_{\xi^*}\|^{-1} L_{\xi^*}) = s(L_{\xi^*}); \]

hence

\[ p_\lambda(\|L_{\xi^*}\|^{-1} \xi\xi^*) \zeta = p_\lambda(\|L_{\xi^*}\|^{-1} L_{\xi^*}) \xi \to s(L_{\xi^*}) \xi = \zeta. \]

Q.E.D.

In particular, from what we have just proved, it follows that the \(*\)-representation \( L : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is injective.
In order to avoid any confusion, in what follows we shall mark the symbols \( L, S, \ldots \), which correspond to \( \mathcal{A} \), in the following manner: \( L^\mathcal{A}, S^\mathcal{A}, \ldots \)

**Lemma 2.** Let \( \mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{H} \) be a left Hilbert algebra and \( \mathcal{A}_2 \) an involutive subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A}_1 \), which is dense in \( \mathcal{H} \). Then \( \mathcal{A}_2 \) is a left Hilbert subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A}_1 \).

**Proof.** If it is sufficient to prove that \( (\mathcal{A}_2)^2 \) is dense in \( \mathcal{A}_2 \). In accordance with Lemma 1, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{A}_2, \xi \neq 0 \), we have

\[
(\mathcal{A}_2)^2 \ni p_n(\|L_{\xi \xi}^\mathcal{A}\|^{-1} \xi \xi \#) \xi \to \xi,
\]

where \( p_n \) is the polynomial from the statement of Lemma 1.

Q.E.D.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( \mathcal{A}_2 \) be a left Hilbert subalgebra of a left Hilbert algebra \( \mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{H} \). Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( S^\mathcal{A}_1 = S^\mathcal{A}_2 \).

(ii) \( (\mathcal{A}_1)' = (\mathcal{A}_2)' \).

(iii) \( (\mathcal{A}_1)' = (\mathcal{A}_2)' \).

**Proof.** The proofs of the implications (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (iii) are immediate, on the basis of the corresponding definitions; the implication (iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i) follows from the considerations we have just made in Section 10.4.

Q.E.D.

In particular, if \( \mathcal{A} \) is a left Hilbert algebra, then \( \mathcal{A}^2 \) is a left Hilbert subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A} \), and \( (\mathcal{A}^2)' = \mathcal{A}' \).

10.6. In this section we consider an important example of a left Hilbert algebra.

Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H} \) a separating cyclic vector for \( \mathcal{M} \) (see 3.8). Then

\[
\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_\xi_0 = \{x\xi_0; x \in \mathcal{M}\},
\]

endowed with the operations

\[
(x\xi_0)(y\xi_0) = xy\xi_0,
\]

\[
(x\xi_0)' = x^\ast \xi_0,
\]

and with the scalar product of \( \mathcal{H} \), becomes a left Hilbert algebra. Indeed, the first two conditions are immediate, the third one is trivially satisfied because \( 1 \in \mathcal{M} \), whereas the fourth one follows from the relation

\[
(x\xi_0|x'\xi_0) = (x^\ast \xi_0 | (x\xi_0)'\#), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad x' \in \mathcal{M}',
\]

and by taking into account the fact that \( \mathcal{M}'\xi_0 = \mathcal{H} \).

For \( \xi = x\xi_0 \in \mathcal{A} \) we obviously have \( L_\xi = x \), hence

\[
\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \{L_\xi; \xi \in \mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{M}.
\]
If \( \eta \in \mathcal{U} \), then \( R_{\eta} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})' = \mathcal{M}' \), and
\[
R_{\eta}(\xi_0) = L_{\eta}(\eta) = \eta.
\]
Thus,
\[
\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}' \xi_0 = \{x' \xi_0; \ x' \in \mathcal{M}'\}.
\]
With the help of relation (1) it is easy to see that, for any \( x' \in \mathcal{M}' \), we have
\[
x' \xi_0 \in \mathcal{U}', \quad \text{and} \quad S^*(x' \xi_0) = x'^* \xi_0, \quad R_{x' \xi_0} = x'.
\]
Consequently, we have
\[
\mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{M}' \xi_0,
\]
and the operations of a right Hilbert algebra in \( \mathcal{U}' \) are the following ones
\[
(x' \xi_0)(y' \xi_0) = R_{y' \xi_0}(x' \xi_0) = y'x' \xi_0,
\]
\[
(x' \xi_0)^* = S^*(x' \xi_0) = x'^* \xi_0.
\]
By analogy with the preceding argument, it follows that
\[
\mathcal{U}'' = \mathcal{M}'' \xi_0 = \mathcal{M} \xi_0 = \mathcal{U}.
\]
Because of their relevance to the following sections, we restate the following facts, which have already been proved:

The closure \( S \) of the antilinear operator
\[
\mathcal{H} \ni \mathcal{M} \xi_0 \ni x \xi_0 \mapsto x^* \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}
\]
has as its adjoint \( S^* \) the closure of the antilinear operator
\[
\mathcal{H} \ni \mathcal{M}' \xi_0 \ni x' \xi_0 \mapsto x'^* \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}.
\]
Also, if \( \eta \in \mathcal{L}_S \), then the operator
\[
\mathcal{H} \ni \mathcal{M} \xi_0 \ni x \xi_0 \mapsto x \eta \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H},
\]
is preclosed and its closure is affiliated to \( \mathcal{M}' \).

10.7. This section contains an important application of the theory already developed, to the commutation theorem for tensor products.

Lemma 1. Let \( \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2) \) be von Neumann algebras with separating cyclic vectors \( \xi_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2 \), respectively. Then
\[
(\mathcal{M}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2)' = \mathcal{M}_1' \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2' .
\]

Proof. Let
\[
\xi = \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}.
\]
Then \( \xi \) is a cyclic and separating vector for \( \mathcal{M}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2 \).
We now consider the operators
\[ S_1 = \text{the closure of } [\mathcal{M}_1 \xi_1 \ni x_1 \xi_1 \mapsto x_1^* \xi_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1], \]
\[ S_2 = \text{the closure of } [\mathcal{M}_2 \xi_2 \ni x_2 \xi_2 \mapsto x_2^* \xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2], \]
\[ S = \text{the closure of } [(\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2) \xi \ni x \xi \mapsto x^* \xi \in \mathcal{H}]. \]
By using the fact that \( \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2 \) is \( w \)-dense in \( \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2 \) it is easy to verify that
\[ S = S_1 \otimes S_2. \]
In accordance with Proposition 9.33, we now infer that
\[ S^* = S_1^* \otimes S_2^*. \]
According to the last statement made in the preceding section, we get
\[ S^* = \text{the closure of } [(\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2)^* \xi \ni x^* \xi \mapsto x^* \xi \in \mathcal{H}], \]
\[ S_1^* = \text{the closure of } [\mathcal{M}_1^1 \xi_1 \ni x_1^* \xi_1 \mapsto x_1^* \xi_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1], \]
\[ S_2^* = \text{the closure of } [\mathcal{M}_2^1 \xi_2 \ni x_2^* \xi_2 \mapsto x_2^* \xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2], \]
\[ S_1^* \otimes S_2^* = \text{the closure of } [(\mathcal{M}_1^1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2^1) \xi \ni y^* \xi \mapsto y^* \xi \in \mathcal{H}]. \]
Therefore, we have
\[ S^* = S_1^* \otimes S_2^*. \]
From the inclusion
\[ (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2)^* \xi = (\mathcal{M}_1^1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2^1) \xi, \]
with the help of Lemma 3 from Section 10.5, we obtain
\[ (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2)^* \xi = (\mathcal{M}_1^1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2^1) \xi, \]
and this equality implies that
\[ (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2)^* = \mathcal{M}_1^1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2^1. \]
Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.** Let \( \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2 \) be von Neumann algebras, \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) being \( * \)-isomorphic to \( \mathcal{N}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) being \( * \)-isomorphic to \( \mathcal{N}_2 \). If
\[ (\mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \mathcal{N}_2)^* = \mathcal{N}_1^1 \otimes \mathcal{N}_2^1, \]
then
\[ (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2)^* = \mathcal{M}_1^1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2^1. \]

**Proof.** According to exercise E.8.8, we can separately consider the cases of the spatial isomorphism, of the induction and of the amplification.

(I) The case of the spatial isomorphism is trivial.

(II) The case of the induction: if
\[ \mathcal{M}_1 = (\mathcal{N}_1)_e^1, \ e_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}_1}, \mathcal{M}_2 = (\mathcal{N}_2)_e^2, \ e_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}_2}. \]
then, by taking into account exercise E.3.15 and Theorem 3.13, we have
\[ (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2)' = ((\mathcal{N}_1)_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes (\mathcal{N}_2)_{\varepsilon_2})' = ((\mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \mathcal{N}_2)_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \varepsilon_2)' = \]
\[ = (\mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \mathcal{N}_2)_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \varepsilon_2' = (\mathcal{N}_1'_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes (\mathcal{N}_2')_{\varepsilon_2'} = (\mathcal{N}_1'_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes (\mathcal{N}_2')_{\varepsilon_2'}) = \mathcal{M}_1' \otimes \mathcal{M}_2'. \]

(III) The case of the amplification: let
\[ \mathcal{N}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1), \mathcal{N}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2) \]
\[ \mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}_1), \mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{N}_2 \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}_2), \]
where \( \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3, \mathcal{H}_4 \) are Hilbert spaces. We define a unitary operator
\[ u: \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \]
by the formula
\[ u(\xi_1 \otimes \eta_1 \otimes \xi_2 \otimes \eta_2) = \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \otimes \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2. \]
Then, with the help of Proposition 3.17, we infer that
\[ (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2)' = (\mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathcal{N}_2 \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}_2))' = \]
\[ = u^*(\mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \mathcal{N}_2 \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}_2))u \]
\[ = u^*((\mathcal{N}_1' \otimes (\mathcal{N}_2')' \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2))u \]
\[ = u^*(\mathcal{N}_1' \otimes (\mathcal{N}_2')' \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2))u \]
\[ = (\mathcal{N}_1' \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}_1))' \otimes (\mathcal{N}_2' \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}_2))' = \mathcal{M}_1' \otimes \mathcal{M}_2'. \]

Q.E.D.

We now prove the commutation theorem for tensor products:

**Theorem.** For any pair of von Neumann algebras \( \mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1), \mathcal{M}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2) \) the following relation holds:

\[ (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2)' = \mathcal{M}_1' \otimes \mathcal{M}_2'. \]

**Proof.** In accordance with Lemma 1, the assertion is true if \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) have separating cyclic vectors.

With the help of exercise E.5.6, Proposition 5.18, and Lemma 2, the assertion of the theorem extends to the case in which \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) are of countable type.
Then the assertion of the theorem trivially extends to the case in which the unit projections in $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ are piecewise of countable type (7.2).

By taking into account Lemma 7.2, Proposition 3.17 and Theorem 4.22, the assertion of the theorem easily obtains for the case in which $\mathcal{M}_1$ is finite, or uniform (8.4), and $\mathcal{M}_2$ is finite, or uniform, too.

Finally, with the help of Theorem 4.17 and of Proposition 8.4, the assertion of the theorem obtains in the general case.

Q.E.D.

Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ be von Neumann algebras, $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2$ their centers, respectively. Then the center of $\mathcal{M}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2$ is $\mathcal{Z}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}_2$.

Proof. We denote by $\mathcal{Z}$ the center of $\mathcal{M}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2$. Obviously,

$$\mathcal{Z}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}_2 \subset \mathcal{Z}.$$ 

On the other hand, the inclusions

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2 \subset \mathcal{Z}'$$

$$\mathcal{M}_1' \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2' \subset (\mathcal{M}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2)' \subset \mathcal{Z}'$$

imply that

$$\mathcal{Z}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}_2 = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_1') \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_2') \subset \mathcal{Z}.'$$

With the help of the preceding theorem, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Z} \subset (\mathcal{Z}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}_2)' = \mathcal{Z}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}_2.$$

Q.E.D.

10.8. In this section we exhibit a class of "positive" elements in $\mathcal{D}_5$ (respectively, in $\mathcal{D}_5$).

Let $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra. For any vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ we define the linear operator $L_\xi$ by

$$L_\xi(\eta) = R_\xi(\eta), \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}(L_\xi) = \mathcal{H}.'$$

We observe that

$$\xi \in L_\xi(\mathcal{H}').$$

Indeed, if $\{\eta_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}'$ and $R_{\eta_i} \xrightarrow{\text{st}} 1$, then

$$L_\xi(\mathcal{H}') \ni L_\xi \eta_i = R_{\eta_i} \xi \rightarrow \xi.$$

If $L_\xi$ is preclosed, we denote its closure by $L_\xi$. By analogy with Lemma 1 from Section 10.2, one can show that if $L_\xi$ is preclosed, then $L_\xi$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}') = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. In Section 10.4 we saw that if $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_5$, then $L_\xi$ is preclosed. Also, if $L_\xi$ is symmetric, then $L_\xi$ is preclosed (9.4).
We write

$$\mathcal{P}_S = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H}; L_\xi^0 \text{ is positive} \}.$$ 

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{P}_S$ and let $A$ be the Friedrichs extension of $L_\xi^0$ (9.6). In accordance with exercise E.9.27, $A$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. With the help of Proposition 10.4, it immediately follows that, for any function $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, such that $\sup \{ \lambda^2 | f(\lambda) |; \lambda \in [0, +\infty) \} < +\infty$, we have

$$Af(A)\xi \in \mathcal{H}'' \quad \text{and}$$

$$S(Af(A)\xi) = A\overline{f}(A)\xi, \quad L_{Af(A)\xi} = A^2f(A).$$

Analogously, we denote

$$\mathcal{P}_{S^*} = \{ \eta \in \mathcal{H}; R_\eta^0 \text{ is positive} \}.$$ 

**Proposition.** Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra.

The following assertions regarding a vector $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ are equivalent

(i) $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{P}_S$;

(ii) $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_S$, $S\xi_0 = \xi_0$ and $L_{\xi_0}$ is positive;

(iii) $\xi_0$ belongs to the norm closure of the set $\{ L_\xi S\xi; \xi \in \mathcal{H}'' \}$;

(iv) $(\xi_0 | \eta) \geq 0$, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{P}_{S^*}$.

The following assertions regarding a vector $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ are equivalent

(i) $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{S^*}$;

(ii) $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{S^*}$, $S^*\eta_0 = \eta_0$ and $R_{\eta_0}$ is positive;

(iii) $\eta_0$ belongs to the norm closure of the set $\{ R_\eta S^*\eta; \eta \in \mathcal{H} \}$;

(iv) $(\xi | \eta_0) \geq 0$, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{P}_S$.

**Proof.** We first observe that, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{A}'$ we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{3} i^k (\xi_0 | R_{S^*n_0} \eta) = (R_{\eta} \xi_0 | \eta) = (L_{\xi_0}^0 \eta | \eta).$$

We prove that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). From condition (i) and relation (1) we get, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{A}'$,

$$(\xi_0 | R_{S^*n_0} \eta) \geq 0.$$

Hence, for any $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{A}'$, we have

$$(\xi_0 | R_{S^*n_0} \eta_2) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{3} i^k (\xi_0 | R_{S^*(n_1 + i^k n_0)} (\eta_1 + i^k \eta_2))
\quad = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{3} i^k (R_{S^*(n_1 + i^k n_0)} (\eta_1 + i^k \eta_2) | \xi_0)
\quad = (R_{S^*n_0} \eta_1 | \xi_0) = (S^* R_{S^*n_0} (\eta_1) | \xi_0).$$
Since $\overline{S^*|U'}^2 = S^*$ (see 10.4), it follows that
$$\xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_S \text{ and } S_\xi_0 = \xi_0,$$
thereby proving assertion (ii).

We now show that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let $A$ be the Friedrichs extension of $L_\xi$. For any natural number $n$ we consider the function $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}([0, +\infty))$, defined by
$$f_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda^{-3/2}\chi_{[1/n, n]}(\lambda).$$

Since
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda^{3/2} f_\alpha(\lambda) = \chi_{(0, \infty)}(\lambda),$$
from Theorem 9.11 (vi) and Corollary 9.13 (iii) it follows that
$$A^{3} f_\alpha(A) \to s(A).$$

On the other hand, we have
$$\xi_0 = A f_\alpha(A) \xi_0 \in U' \text{ and } S_\xi = A f_\alpha(A) \xi_0, \quad L_\xi = A^{3} f_\alpha(A) \geq 0.$$

Since
$$\xi_0 \in \overline{L_\xi|U'} \subset s(A)\mathcal{H},$$
we have
$$L_\xi S_\xi = A^{3} f_\alpha(A) \xi_0 \to \xi_0,$$
and assertion (iii) is thus proved.

The implications (j) $\Rightarrow$ (jj) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) can be proved similarly.

Let $\xi \in U''$, $\eta \in U'$. Then
$$L_\xi S_\xi | R_{S^*\eta} = (R_{S^*\eta} L_\xi S_\xi | S^*\eta) = (L_\xi R_{S^*\eta} S_\xi | S^*\eta)$$
$$= (L_\xi L_{S^*\eta} | S^*\eta) = \|L_{S^*\eta}\|^2 \geq 0.$$

The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) now follows by tending to the limit, and by taking into account the implication (j) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

Since $\{R_{S^*\eta}; \eta \in U'\} \subset \mathcal{P}_S$, the implication (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) obviously follows from relation (1).

The implications (jjj) $\Rightarrow$ (jw) $\Rightarrow$ (j) can be proved similarly.

Q.E.D.

Corollary. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a left Hilbert algebra. Then

(1) $\mathcal{P}_S$ is a closed convex cone, included in $\mathcal{D}_S$; $\mathcal{D}_S$ is the linear hull of $\mathcal{P}_S$.

(2) $\mathcal{P}_{S^*}$ is a closed convex cone, included in $\mathcal{D}_{S^*}$; $\mathcal{D}_{S^*}$ is the linear hull of $\mathcal{P}_{S^*}$.

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{P}_S$ is a closed convex cone, included in $\mathcal{D}_S$, obviously follows from the preceding proposition.
Lectures on Von Neumann Algebras

Let \( \xi \in \mathcal{B}_S \). We must prove that \( \xi \) is a linear combination of elements in \( \mathcal{P}_S \). We can assume that \( S\xi = \xi \). Then, by taking into account the fact that \( S|\mathcal{W}'' = S \), it follows that for any \( n \) there exists

\[
\xi_n \in \mathcal{W}'' \quad S\xi_n = \xi, \quad \|\xi_n\| \leq 1/2^n,
\]

such that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n = \xi.
\]

The operators

\[
a_n = L_{\xi_n} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W})
\]

are self-adjoint. In accordance with Corollary 2.10, we consider the decompositions

\[
a_n = a_n^+ - a_n^-;
\]

then

\[
a_n^+, a_n^- \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}), \quad a_n^+, a_n^- \geq 0,
\]

\[
|a_n| = a_n^+ + a_n^-; \quad s(a_n) = s(a_n^+) + s(a_n^-), \quad s(a_n^+)s(a_n^-) = 0.
\]

We define

\[
\xi_n^+ = s(a_n^+)\xi_n, \quad \xi_n^- = s(a_n^-)\xi_n.
\]

We obviously have

\[
\|\xi_n^+\| \leq 1/2^n, \quad \|\xi_n^-\| \leq 1/2^n,
\]

\[
\xi_n = s(a_n)\xi_n = s(a_n^+)\xi_n + s(a_n^-)\xi_n = \xi_n^+ - \xi_n^-.
\]

It is easy to verify that

\[
L_{\xi_n^+} = s(a_n^+)a_n = s(a_n^+)|a_n| \geq 0,
\]

\[
L_{\xi_n^-} = -s(a_n^-)a_n = s(a_n^-)|a_n| \geq 0;
\]

hence

\[
\xi_n^+ \in \mathcal{P}_S, \quad \xi_n^- \in \mathcal{P}_S.
\]

We denote

\[
\xi^+ = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n^+, \quad \xi^- = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n^-.
\]

Since \( \mathcal{P}_S \) is closed, we have

\[
\xi^+ \in \mathcal{P}_S, \quad \xi^- \in \mathcal{P}_S.
\]
and, obviously,

$$\xi = \xi^+ - \xi^-.$$  

We have thus proved assertion (1). Assertion (2) can be proved analogously.

Q.E.D.

The assertions (iv) and (jw) from the statement of the proposition show that $\mathfrak{P}_S$ and $\mathfrak{P}_{S^*}$ are cones "polar" to one another.

10.9. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\xi_0$ a separating cyclic vector for $\mathcal{M}$.

In accordance with Section 10.6,

$$\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{M} \xi_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$$

is canonically endowed with a structure of a left Hilbert algebra and

$$\mathfrak{A}' = \mathcal{M}' \xi_0, \quad \mathfrak{A}'' = \mathfrak{A}.$$  

For $\zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ we consider the forms $\omega_{\zeta, \eta} \in \mathcal{M}$, $\omega'_{\zeta, \eta} \in (\mathcal{M}')_*$ given by

$$\omega_{\zeta, \eta}(x) = (x \zeta | \eta), \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$  

$$\omega'_{\zeta, \eta}(x') = (x' \zeta | \eta), \quad x' \in \mathcal{M}'.$$

In particular, we have (see 5.22)

$$\omega_{\zeta, \xi} = \omega_{\xi, \xi}, \quad \omega'_{\zeta, \xi} = \omega'_{\xi, \xi}, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H}.$$  

It is obvious that, for any $\zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$R_a \omega_{\zeta, \eta} = \omega_{a \zeta, \eta}, \quad a \in \mathcal{M},$$  

$$\check{R}_a \omega'_{\zeta, \eta} = \omega'_{a \zeta, \eta}, \quad a' \in \mathcal{M}'.$$

For any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, the operator $L^0_\xi$ is given by

$$L^0_\xi(x' \xi_0) = x' \xi, \quad x' \xi_0 \in \mathfrak{D}(L^0_\xi) = \mathcal{M}' \xi_0.$$  

This operator is positive iff

$$\omega'_{\xi, \xi} \geq 0.$$  

Consequently, with the notations from Section 10.8, we have

$$\mathfrak{P}_S = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H}; \omega'_{\xi, \xi} \geq 0 \}.$$  

If $\xi \in \mathfrak{P}_S$ and if we denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the Friedrichs extension of $L^0_\xi$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$, affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$, and

$$\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{D}_A, \quad \mathcal{A} \xi_0 = \xi.$$  

Conversely, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$, affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$, and if $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{D}_A$, then

$$\mathcal{A} \xi_0 \in \mathfrak{P}_S, \quad L_A \xi_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}.$$
Thus,
\[ \mathcal{P}_s = \{A\xi_0; A \text{ positive self-adjoint, affiliated to } \mathcal{M}, \xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_A\}. \]

**Lemma.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B(H)} \) be a von Neumann algebra, with the separating cyclic vector \( \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H} \). For any normal form \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) there exists a unique vector \( \xi \in \mathcal{P}_s \), such that
\[ \varphi = \omega_\xi. \]

In particular, there exists a positive self-adjoint operator \( A \) in \( \mathcal{H} \), affiliated to \( \mathcal{M} \), such that
\[ \xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_A, \quad \varphi = \omega_{A\xi_0}. \]

**Proof.** In order to prove the uniqueness of the vector \( \xi \), let \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{P}_s \), be such that \( \omega_{\xi_1} = \omega_{\xi_2} \). Then
\[ \|x\xi_1\| = \|x\xi_2\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}; \]
hence, there exists a partial isometry \( v' \in \mathcal{M}' \), such that
\[ v'x\xi_1 = x\xi_2, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \]
\[ v'(\mathcal{M}\xi_1^1) = \{0\}. \]

In particular,
\[ v'\xi_1 = \xi_2, \quad v'\xi_2^* = \xi_1, \]
and therefore
\[ \omega_{\xi_1, \xi_2} = \omega_{v'\xi_1, \xi_2} = R_v \omega_{\xi_1, \xi_2}, \]
\[ \omega_{\xi_1, \xi_2} = \omega_{v'\xi_2, \xi_2} = R_v \omega_{\xi_1, \xi_2}. \]

Since \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{P}_s \), we have \( \omega_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \geq 0, \omega_{\xi_2, \xi_2} \geq 0 \), and, by taking into account the uniqueness of the polar decomposition (5.16), it follows that
\[ \omega_{\xi_1, \xi_2} = \omega_{\xi_1, \xi_2}. \]

Since \( \mathcal{M}^{\perp} \xi_0 = \mathcal{H} \), we infer that
\[ \xi_1 = \xi_2. \]

We now prove the existence of the vector \( \xi \). In accordance with Theorem 5.23, there exists a vector \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \), such that
\[ \varphi = \omega_\xi. \]

Let now, in accordance with Theorem 5.16,
\[ \omega_{\xi, \xi} = R_\psi \psi \]
be the polar decomposition of the form \( \omega'_\cdot, \zeta'_\cdot \in (\mathcal{M}')_e \). We define
\[
\zeta = v'^* \zeta.
\]
Then
\[
\omega'_{\cdot, \zeta} = \omega'_{\cdot, \zeta} = R_v \omega'_{\cdot, \zeta} = \psi' \geq 0
\]
and, consequently, we have
\[
\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_S.
\]
On the other hand,
\[
\omega'_{\cdot, \zeta} = R_v \psi' = R_v \omega'_{\cdot, \zeta} = \omega'_{\cdot, \zeta},
\]
whence
\[
\zeta = v' \zeta.
\]
Thus, for any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \), we have
\[
\varphi(x) = \omega_{\cdot}(x) = (x \zeta | \zeta) = (x \zeta | v' \zeta) = (v'^* x \zeta | \zeta)
\]
\[
= (x v'^* \zeta | \zeta) = (x \zeta | \zeta) = \omega_{\cdot}(x).
\]
Consequently, we have
\[
\varphi = \omega_{\cdot}.
\]
Q.E.D.

10.10. Another important application of the theory developed so far is a general Radon-Nikodym type theorem, which we shall present in this section.

Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \varphi \) a normal form on \( \mathcal{M} \). Let \( A \) be a positive self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), affiliated to \( \mathcal{M} \). We write (cf. 9.9):
\[
e_a = \chi_{\mathcal{M} \cap A}(A) \in \mathcal{M}.
\]
One says that \( A \) is of summable square with respect to \( \varphi \) if
\[
c = \sup_n \varphi(A^2 e_n) < +\infty;
\]
then
\[
\varphi(A^2 e_n) \uparrow c.
\]
In this case, for any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) and any \( m > n \), we have
\[
|\varphi(Ae_m x A e_n) - \varphi(A e_n x A e_m)| \leq |\varphi(A e_m x A (e_m - e_n))| + |\varphi(A (e_m - e_n) x A e_m)|
\]
\[
\leq \varphi(A e_m x x^* A e_m)^{1/2} \varphi(A^2 (e_m - e_n))^{1/2} + \varphi(A^2 (e_m - e_n))^{1/2} \varphi(A e_m x x^* A e_m)^{1/2}
\]
\[
\leq 2 \|x\| c^{1/2} [\varphi(A^2 e_m) - \varphi(A^2 e_n)]^{1/2}.
\]
Consequently, the sequence \( \{ \varphi(Ae_n x Ae_n) \} \) is fundamental. We write
\[
L_A R_A \varphi(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(Ae_n x Ae_n), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

Therefore, we get a linear form \( L_A R_A \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{M} \). Tending to the limit for \( m \to \infty \), in the preceding inequality, we get
\[
| L_A R_A \varphi(x) - (L_{Ae_n} R_{Ae_n} \varphi)(x) | \leq 2c^{1/2} [c - \varphi(A^2 e_n)]^{1/2} ||x||.
\]

Since \( L_{Ae_n} R_{Ae_n} \varphi \in (\mathcal{M}_*)^+ \), it follows that
\[
L_A R_A \varphi \in (\mathcal{M}_*)^+.
\]

By taking into account Theorem 9.11 (ii), it is obvious that if
\[
\varphi = \omega_\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H},
\]
then the operator \( A \) is of summable square with respect to \( \varphi \) iff \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_A \). In this case
\[
L_A R_A \varphi = \omega_{A^2}.
\]

Theorem. Let \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) be normal forms on the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) such that
\[
s(\varphi) \leq s(\psi).
\]

Then there exists a positive self-adjoint operator \( A \) in \( \mathcal{H} \), affiliated to \( \mathcal{M} \), such that
\[
s(A) \leq s(\psi),
\]
\[
\varphi = L_A R_A \psi.
\]

Moreover, if the projection \( s(\psi) \) is finite in \( \mathcal{M} \), then the operator \( A \) is uniquely determined by the required properties.

Proof. Without any loss of generality, we can assume that \( s(\psi) = 1 \). From Proposition 5.18, we infer that there exists a \( * \)-isomorphism \( \pi \) of \( \mathcal{M} \) onto a von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) \), \( \pi(1) = 1 \), and a separating cyclic vector \( \zeta_0 \in \mathcal{H} \) for \( \mathcal{N} \), such that
\[
\psi = \omega_{\zeta_0} \circ \pi.
\]

Then
\[
\varphi = \theta \circ \pi,
\]
where \( \theta \) is a normal form on the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) \), uniquely determined by this relation.

From Lemma 10.9 we infer that there exists a positive self-adjoint operator \( B \) in \( \mathcal{H} \), affiliated to \( \mathcal{N} \), such that
\[
\theta = \omega_{B^2}.
\]
Let \( A = \pi^{-1}(B) \) be the positive self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), affiliated to \( \mathcal{M} \), which is obtained by transporting the operator \( B \) by the \( \ast \)-isomorphism \( \pi^{-1} \) (see Section 9.25). If we denote 
\[ e_n = \chi_{[0,n]}(A), \quad f_n = \chi_{[0,n]}(B), \]
then we have 
\[ \psi(A^* e_n) = \psi(\pi^{-1}(B^* f_n)) = \omega_{\xi_0}(B^* f_n) = \|f_n B_{\xi_0}\| \leq \|B_{\xi_0}\|, \]

hence \( A \) is of summable square with respect to \( \psi \). Then

\[
(L_A R_A \psi)(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (A e_n x A e_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi(\pi^{-1}(B e_n) x \pi^{-1}(B e_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \omega_{\xi_0}(B e_n \pi(x) B e_n) = \omega_{\xi_0}(\pi(x)) = \theta(\pi(x)) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M},
\]

hence

\[ L_A R_A \psi = \varphi. \]

We have proved the first part of the theorem.

Let us now assume that \( \theta(\psi) \) is finite. We can assume again that \( \theta(\psi) = 1 \), hence \( \mathcal{M} \) is finite. Moreover, by considering the \( \ast \)-isomorphism \( \pi \) and the possibility of transporting by \( \pi \) the positive self-adjoint operators which are affiliated to \( \mathcal{M} \) (see 9.25), we can assume that \( \mathcal{M} \) has a separating cyclic vector \( \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H} \) and \( \psi = \omega_{\xi_0} \).

Therefore let \( A \) be a positive self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), which is affiliated to \( \mathcal{M} \) and such that

\[ \varphi = L_A R_A \psi = \omega_{\xi_0}. \]

Then the vector \( A \xi_0 \) is uniquely determined by \( \varphi \) (see 10.9). On the other hand, \( A \) is an extension of the positive operator \( L_A \xi_0 \) (see 10.9). Since \( \mathcal{M} \) is finite, Corollary 9.8 implies that \( A \) is, indeed, determined in a unique manner by \( \varphi \).

Q.E.D.

Another case in which the uniqueness of the operator \( A \) holds is that in which the normal form \( \varphi \) is dominated (see E.9.33) by the normal form \( \psi \). Indeed, in this case it is easy to verify that the operator \( A \) is bounded and one applies Theorem 5.21.

10.11. This section contains the main technical result for the subsequent development of the theory; with its help a bridge between \( \mathcal{U}'' \) and \( \mathcal{U} \) is established.

**Proposition.** Let \( \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{H} \) be a left Hilbert algebra and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+ \). Then, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{U}'' \), we have \( (\lambda - \lambda^{-1} - 1) \xi \in \mathcal{U} \) and

\[ \|R_{(1-\lambda^{-1})^{-1}}\xi\| \leq 2^{-1/2}(|\lambda| - \text{Re} \lambda)^{-1/2}\|L_{\xi}\|. \]

Similarly, for any \( \eta \in \mathcal{U}' \), we have \( (\lambda - \lambda^{-1})\eta \in \mathcal{U}'' \) and

\[ \|L_{(1-\lambda^{-1})^{-1}}\eta\| \leq 2^{-1/2}(|\lambda| - \text{Re} \lambda)^{-1/2}\|R_{\eta}\|. \]
**Proof.** We shall prove only the first assertion, the proof of the second one being similar.

We denote
\[
\eta = (\lambda - \Delta^{-1})^{-1}\xi.
\]

Then
\[
\eta \in \mathcal{D}_\Delta \subset \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^{-1},)} = \mathcal{D}_{s*}.
\]

We consider the polar decompositions
\[
R_{\eta} = uA = Bu.
\]

With Lemma 1 from 10.2, we infer that \(A\) and \(B\) are affiliated to \(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})\).

By taking into account Corollary 5 from 10.3, we infer that, for any function \(f \in \mathcal{B}((0, +\infty))\), assumed only to be positive and with compact support, we have the following sequence of relations
\[
\|L_\xi\|_2\|f(A)S^{*}\eta\|_2 \geq \|L_\xi f(A)S^{*}\eta\|_2 = \|f(A)L_\xi S^{*}\eta\|_2 = \|f(A)(R_{\eta})^{*}\xi\|_2
\]
\[
= \|f(A)Au^{*}\xi\|_2 = \|Af(A)u^{*}\eta\|_2
\]
\[
\geq |\lambda|\|Af(A)u^{*}\eta\|_2 + \|Af(A)u^{*}\Delta^{-1}\eta\|_2 - 2 \text{Re}[\lambda(Af(A)u^{*}\eta|Af(A)u^{*}\Delta^{-1}\eta)]
\]
\[
\geq 2|\lambda|\|Af(A)u^{*}\eta\|_2 \|Af(A)u^{*}\Delta^{-1}\eta\|_2 - 2 \text{Re}[\lambda(Af(A)u^{*}\eta|Af(A)u^{*}\Delta^{-1}\eta)]
\]
\[
= 2|\lambda|\|Af(A)u^{*}\eta\|_2 \|Af(A)u^{*}\Delta^{-1}\eta\|_2 - 2 \text{Re}[\lambda(Af(A)u^{*}\eta|Af(A)u^{*}\Delta^{-1}\eta)]
\]
\[
= 2|\lambda|\|Af(A)u^{*}\eta\|_2 \|Af(A)u^{*}\Delta^{-1}\eta\|_2 - 2 \text{Re}[\lambda(Af(A)u^{*}\eta|Af(A)u^{*}\Delta^{-1}\eta)]
\]
\[
= 2|\lambda|\|Af(A)S^{*}\eta\|_2 - 2 \text{Re}[\lambda(Af(A)S^{*}\eta)]
\]
\[
= 2(|\lambda| - \text{Re}\lambda)\|Af(A)S^{*}\eta\|_2.
\]

Consequently,
\[
2^{-1/2}(|\lambda| - \text{Re}\lambda)^{-1/2}\|L_\xi\|_2\|f(A)S^{*}\eta\| \geq \|Af(A)S^{*}\eta\|.
\]

We denote \(c = 2^{-1/2}(|\lambda| - \text{Re}\lambda)^{-1/2}\|L_\xi\|\) and \(f_n = \chi_{(c+n^{-1})} \). From the above inequality we obtain successively
\[
c\|f_n(A)S^{*}\eta\| \geq \|Af_n(A)S^{*}\eta\| \geq (c + n^{-1})\|f_n(A)S^{*}\eta\|,
\]
\[
f_n(A)S^{*}\eta = 0,
\]
\[
Af_n(A)u^{*}\xi = f_n(A)(R_{\eta})^{*}\xi = L_\xi f_n(A)S^{*}\eta = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H},
\]
\[
Af_n(A)u^{*} = 0,
\]
\[
Af_n(A) = 0,
\]
\[
f_n(A) = 0.
\]
Thus
\[ \chi_{(c_+ \infty)}(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(A) = 0; \]
hence \( A \) is bounded and \( \|A\| \leq c \).

It follows that \( R_\eta \) is bounded; hence \( \eta \in \mathcal{W}' \), and \( \|R_\eta\| \leq c \).

Q.E.D.

10.12. In this section we present the fundamental theorem of Tomita.

Lemma 1. Let \( \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{H} \) be a left Hilbert algebra, \( \xi \in \mathcal{W}' \), \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( \eta = (\lambda + \Delta^{-1})^{-1} \xi \). Then \( \eta \in \mathcal{W}' \) and the relation
\[ (L_\xi(\zeta_1)|\zeta_2) = \lambda(J(R_\eta)^*J\Delta^{-1/2}\zeta_1|J\Delta^{-1/2}\zeta_2) + (J(R_\eta)^*J\Delta^{1/2}\zeta_1|J\Delta^{-1/2}\zeta_2) \]
holds for any \( \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^{-1})} \cap \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^{-1})} \).

Proof. Proposition 10.11 obviously implies that \( \eta \in \mathcal{W}' \).
We shall first assume that
\[ \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in (1 + \Delta^{-1})^{-1} \mathcal{W}' \).

Since \( \mathcal{W}' \subset \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta)} \), we have
\[ \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \Delta^{-1/2}(\Delta + 1)^{-1}(\Delta^{1/2}\mathcal{W}') \subset \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta)} \).

On the other hand, from Proposition 10.11 we infer that
\[ \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathcal{W}' \subset \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta)} \).

With the help of Corollary 2 from 10.3 we infer that the following sequence of equalities holds:
\[ (L_\xi(\zeta_1)|\zeta_2) = (R_{\xi_1}(\xi)|\zeta_2) = (\xi|(R_{\xi_1})^*\zeta_2) = ((\lambda + \Delta^{-1})\eta|(R_{\xi_1})^*\zeta_2) \]
\[ = \lambda(\eta|(R_{\xi_1})^*\zeta_2) + (SS^*\eta|R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2) = \lambda(\eta|(R_{\xi_1})^*\zeta_2) + (S^*R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2|S^*\eta) \]
\[ = \lambda(\eta|(R_{\xi_1})^*\zeta_2) + (S^*S_{\xi_1}|R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2) = \lambda(\eta|(R_{\xi_1})^*\zeta_2) + (S^*S_{\xi_1}|R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2) \]
\[ = \lambda(\xi_2|R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2) + (S^*S_{\xi_1}|R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2) = \lambda(\xi_2|R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2) + (S^*S_{\xi_1}|R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2) \]
\[ = \lambda(\xi_2|R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2) + (S^*S_{\xi_1}|R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2) = \lambda(\xi_2|R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2) + (S^*S_{\xi_1}|R_{\xi_1}^*\zeta_2) \]
\[ = \lambda(J\Delta^{1/2}\xi_2|R_{\xi_1}^*J\Delta^{-1/2}\zeta_2) + (J\Delta^{1/2}\xi_2|R_{\xi_1}^*J\Delta^{-1/2}\zeta_2) \]
\[ = \lambda(J\Delta^{1/2}\xi_2|R_{\xi_1}^*J\Delta^{-1/2}\zeta_2) + (J\Delta^{1/2}\xi_2|R_{\xi_1}^*J\Delta^{-1/2}\zeta_2) \]

If we can prove that, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta)} \cap \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^{-1})} \) there exists a sequence \( \{\zeta_n\} \subset (1 + \Delta^{-1})^{-1} \mathcal{W}' \), such that
\[ \zeta_n \to \zeta, \Delta^{1/2}\zeta_n \to \Delta^{1/2}, \Delta^{-1/2}\zeta_n \to \Delta^{-1/2}, \]
then the assertion immediately follows from the preceding equalities.

Let then \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta)} \cap \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^{-1})} \). Since the set \( \Delta^{1/2}\mathcal{W}' = JS\mathcal{W}' = J\mathcal{W}' \) is dense in \( \mathcal{H} \), there exists a sequence \( \{\zeta_n\} \subset \mathcal{W}' \), such that
\[ \Delta^{1/2}\zeta_n \to \Delta^{1/2} + \Delta^{-1/2} \zeta. \]
If we write
\[ \zeta_n = (1 + A^{-1})^{-1} \xi_n \in (1 + A^{-1})^{-1} \mathcal{H}, \]
we have
\[ \zeta_n = A^{-1/2} (1 + A^{-1})^{-1} (A^{1/2} \xi_n) \rightarrow A^{-1/2} (1 + A^{-1})^{-1} (A^{1/2} \xi + A^{-1/2}) = \zeta, \]
\[ A^{1/2} \xi_n = (1 + A^{-1})^{-1} (A^{1/2} \xi_n) \rightarrow (1 + A^{-1})^{-1} (A^{1/2} \xi + A^{-1/2}) = A^{1/2} \xi, \]
\[ A^{-1/2} \xi_n = A^{-1} (1 + A^{-1})^{-1} (A^{1/2} \xi_n) \rightarrow A^{-1} (1 + A^{-1})^{-1} (A^{1/2} \xi + A^{-1/2}) = A^{-1/2} \xi. \]
Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H} \) be a left Hilbert algebra and \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \). Then, for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have
\[ \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} \xi \in \mathcal{H} \]
and
\[ S^{*} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} \xi = \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} S^{*} \xi, \quad R_{\mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} \xi} = \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} L_{\xi} \mathcal{A}^{-it} J. \]

**Proof.** By taking into account Lemma 1 and Proposition 9.23, in which we make \( A = B = \mathcal{A} \), we infer that, for any \( \lambda > 0 \), we have
\[ (\lambda + A^{-1})^{-1} \xi \in \mathcal{H} \]
and
\[ \mathcal{J} (R_{(\lambda + A^{-1})^{-1} \xi})^{*} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{it} - \frac{1}{2}}{e^{it} + e^{-it}} \mathcal{A}^{it} L_{\xi} \mathcal{A}^{-it} d\lambda, \]
i.e.,
\[ (R_{(\lambda + A^{-1})^{-1} \xi})^{*} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{it} - \frac{1}{2}}{e^{it} + e^{-it}} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} L_{\xi} \mathcal{A}^{-it} J d\lambda. \]

On the other hand, from Corollary 9.23, we infer that for any \( \lambda > 0 \) and any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{A} \), we have the equalities
\[ (R_{(\lambda + A^{-1})^{-1} \xi})^{*} \zeta = L_{\xi} S^{*} (\lambda + A^{-1})^{-1} \zeta \]
\[ = L_{\xi} A^{-1/2} (\lambda + A^{-1})^{-1} \zeta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{it} - \frac{1}{2}}{e^{it} + e^{-it}} L_{\xi} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} \zeta d\lambda. \]

Let \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \). From the preceding formulas we infer that the equality
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{e^{it} + e^{-it}} (\mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} L_{\xi} \mathcal{A}^{-it} J \zeta - L_{\xi} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} \zeta) d\lambda = 0 \]
holds for any \( \lambda > 0 \), i.e., the Fourier transform of the mapping
\[ t \mapsto \frac{1}{e^{it} + e^{-it}} (\mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} L_{\xi} \mathcal{A}^{-it} J \zeta - L_{\xi} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} \zeta) \]
vanishes identically. Since the Fourier transform is injective, it follows that
\[ L_{\xi} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} \xi = \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A}^{it} L_{\xi} \mathcal{A}^{-it} J \zeta, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \]
Thus, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$, we have
\[ L_\zeta(JA^u\zeta) = (JA^uL_\zeta A^{-u}J)\zeta; \]
substituting $S_\zeta$ for $\zeta$, we find that
\[ L_\zeta(JA^uS_\zeta) = (JA^uL_\zeta A^{-u}J)^*\zeta. \]
If we now apply Proposition 10.3, we infer that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the following relations hold:
\[ JA^u \xi \in \mathcal{A}' \quad \text{and} \quad S^*JA^u \xi = JA^uS_\xi, \quad R_{J_{A^u}} \xi = JA^uL_\zeta A^{-u}J. \]
Q.E.D.

We now prove the fundamental theorem of Tomita:

**Theorem:** Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra. Then
1. $J(\mathcal{A}'') = \mathcal{A}'$ and, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{A}'', \ we have
\[ S^*J_\xi = JS_\xi, \quad R_{J_\xi} = JL_\zeta J; \]
2. $A^u(\mathcal{A}'') = \mathcal{A}'', \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \ and, \ for \ any \ \xi \in \mathcal{A}'', \ we \ have\]
\[ S^*A^u\xi = A^uS_\xi, \quad L_{A^u\xi} = A^uL_\zeta A^{-u}. \]

Similarly,
1. $J(\mathcal{A}') = \mathcal{A}''$ and, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{A}'$, we have
\[ SJ_\eta = JS^*\eta, \quad L_\eta = JR_{\eta}J; \]
2. $A^u(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}'$, $t \in \mathbb{R},$ and, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{A}'$, we have
\[ S^*A^u\eta = A^uS^*\eta, \quad R_{A^u\eta} = A^uR_{\eta}A^{-u}. \]

**Proof.** If we apply Lemma 2 for $t = 0$, it follows that $J(\mathcal{A}'') \subset \mathcal{A}'$ and, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{A}'', \ we have
\[ S^*J_\xi = JS_\xi, \quad R_{J_\xi} = JL_\zeta J. \]

Let $\eta \in \mathcal{A}'$. Then, for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}'$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{A}''$, we have
\[ (R_{\zeta}J_\eta | \xi) = (J_\eta | R_{S^*\zeta} \xi) = (J_\eta | L_\xi S^*\zeta) = (L_{S^*\zeta}J_\eta | S^*\zeta) \]
\[ = (JR_{S^*\zeta}(\eta) | S^*\zeta) = (JR_{S^*\zeta}(\eta) | S^*\zeta) = (JS^*R_{S^*\zeta}J_\eta | A^{-1/2}\zeta) \]
\[ = (A^{-1/2}\zeta | A^{1/2}J(R_{\eta}^*J_\xi) = (| J(R_{\eta}^*J_\xi) = (JR_{\eta}^*J_\xi). \]

Thus, for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}'$, we have
\[ R_{\zeta}(J_\eta) = (JR_{\eta}^*J_\xi) \zeta, \]
and, substituting $S^\eta$ for $\eta$, we get
\[ R_\zeta (JS^\eta) = (JR_\eta^\zeta) J. \]

If we now apply Proposition 10.4, we infer that $J\eta \in \mathcal{U}''$ and
\[ SJ\eta = JS^\eta, \quad L_J = JR_\eta J. \]

Consequently,
\[ J\mathcal{U}'' \subset \mathcal{U}' = J(J\mathcal{U}) \subset J\mathcal{U}'', \]
i.e.,
\[ J\mathcal{U}'' = \mathcal{U}'. \]

Now let $\xi \in \mathcal{U}''$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. From Lemma 2 we infer that $\eta = JA^t \xi \in \mathcal{U}'$ and
\[ S^*JA^t \xi = JA^t S^\xi, \quad R_{J A^t \xi} = JA^t L_\xi A^{-t} J. \]

From the first part of the proof we now infer that $A^t \xi = J\eta \in \mathcal{U}''$ and
\[ SA^t \xi = SJ\eta = JS^\eta = A^t S^\xi, \quad L_{A^t \xi} = L_J = JR_\eta J = A^t L_\xi A^{-t}. \]

Obviously,
\[ A^t \mathcal{U}'' \subset \mathcal{U}'' = A^t (A^{-t} \mathcal{U}''') \subset A^t \mathcal{U}'', \]
i.e.,
\[ A^t \mathcal{U}'' = \mathcal{U}''. \]

We have thus proved assertions (1) and (2). Assertions (1') and (2') readily follow from these. On the other hand, it is clear that assertions (1') and (2') have direct proofs, analogous to those given for assertions (1) and (2).

Q.E.D.

According to the theorem, $J$ is the natural bridge linking $\mathcal{U}''$ to $\mathcal{U}'$. Another such bridge is $A^{1/2}$:

\[ A^{1/2} \mathcal{U}'' = JJ A^{1/2} \mathcal{U}'' = JS \mathcal{U}'' = J \mathcal{U}'' = \mathcal{U}'. \]

The following corollary allows the construction of useful elements in $\mathcal{U}'$ and $\mathcal{U}''$.

**Corollary.** Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{U}''$, we have
\[ \xi_f = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) A^t \xi \ dt \in \mathcal{U}'' \]
and
\[ S^\xi_f = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{f}(t) A^t S^\xi \ dt, \quad L_\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) A^t L_\xi A^{-t} \ dt. \]

Similarly, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{U}'$ we have
\[ \eta_f = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) A^t \eta \ dt \in \mathcal{U}' \]
and
\[ S^* \eta_f = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{f}(t) A^t S^* \eta \ dt, \quad R_\eta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) A^t R_\eta A^{-t} \ dt. \]
Proof. Let
\[ \zeta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) A_t \xi \, dt. \]
From the preceding theorem we infer that, for any \( \theta \in \mathfrak{U}' \), we have
\[ R_{\theta}(\zeta f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) R_{\theta} A_t \xi \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) L_{A_t} \xi (\theta) \, dt = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) A_t L_{A_t} \xi (\theta) \, dt \right] (\theta) \]
and
\[ R_{\theta}(\zeta) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) R_{\theta} S A_t \xi \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) (L_{S A_t})^* \xi (\theta) \, dt = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) A_t L_{A_t} \xi (\theta) \, dt \right]^* (\theta). \]
If we now apply Proposition 10.4, the first assertion follows.
The second assertion can be proved similarly.

Q.E.D.

10.13. We now consider a left Hilbert algebra \( \mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{H} \).
Let \( z \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{U}) \cap \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{U})' \) and \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_S \). With the help of Corollary 3 from 10.3, we infer that, for any \( \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathfrak{U}' \), we have
\[
(z \xi | R_{\eta_1}(\eta_2)) = (\xi | R_{\eta_1} z^* (\eta_2)) = (S S \xi | R_{\eta_1} z^* (\eta_2)) = (S R_{\eta_1} z S^* \eta_1 S \xi) = (z R_{S^* \eta_1} S^* \eta_1 S \xi) = (S^* R_{\eta_1}(\eta_2) z S^* \eta_1 S \xi).
\]
If we now take into account relation 10.4 (1), we infer that
\[
(z \xi | \eta) = (S^* \eta | z^* S \xi), \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}_S^*;
\]
consequently, we have
\[ z \xi \in \mathcal{D}_S \text{ and } S z \xi = z^* S \xi. \]
Let \( u \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{U}) \cap \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{U})' \) be a unitary element and \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_A \subset \mathcal{D}_{(A_1 \eta)} = \mathcal{D}_S \). From the preceding results we infer that \( u \xi \in \mathcal{D}_S = \mathcal{D}_{(A' \eta)} \) and
\[ A^{1/2} u \xi = J S u \xi = J u^* S \xi = J u^* A^{1/2} \xi. \]
Thus, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{(A_1 \eta)} = \mathcal{D}_{(A' \eta)} \), we have
\[ (A \xi | \zeta) = (A^{1/2} \xi | A^{1/2} \zeta) = (J u^* J A^{1/2} \xi | J u^* J A^{1/2} \zeta) = (A^{1/2} u \xi | A^{1/2} u \zeta); \]
hence
\[ A^{1/2} u \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{(A' \eta)} = \mathcal{D}_{(A' \eta)} \text{ and } u^* A u \xi = A \xi. \]
Consequently, the modular operator \( A \) is affiliated to the von Neumann algebra \( \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{U}) \cap \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{U})' = \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{U}), \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{U})') \).
Corollary 1. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra. Then
\[ \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \ni x \mapsto Jx^*J \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \]
is a *-antiisomorphism of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ onto $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})'$, which acts identically on the center.

Proof. From Theorem 10.12 we infer that, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $JS\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and
\[ J(L_{\xi})^*J = JL_{S\xi}J = R_{JS\xi} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}). \]
On the other hand, from the same theorem we infer that for any $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $SJ\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ and
\[ J(L_{S\eta})^*J = JL_{J_{\eta}}J = R_{\eta}. \]
Thus, the mapping in the statement of the corollary is a *-antiisomorphism of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})'$ onto $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})'$.

From the discussion at the beginning of this section we infer that, for any $z \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})'$ and any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have
\[ Jz^*Jz = Jz^*A^{1/2}S\xi = JA^{1/2}z^*S\xi = Szz^*S\xi = Sz, \]
and this shows that the considered mapping acts identically on the center. Q.E.D.

Corollary 2. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra. Then the formula
\[ \sigma_t(x) = A^{1/2}x A^{-1/2} \]
yields a so-continuous group of *-automorphisms $\{\sigma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, which acts identically on the center.

Proof. According to Theorem 10.12, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $A^{1/2}\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and
\[ \sigma_t(L_{\xi}) = A^{1/2}L_{\xi}A^{-1/2} = L_{A^{1/2}\xi} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}). \]
Thus, $\{\sigma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a so-continuous group of *-automorphisms of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$.

At the beginning of this section we saw that $A$ is affiliated to the commutant of the center of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, whence it obviously follows that any *-automorphism $\sigma_t$ acts identically on the center. Q.E.D.

The so-continuous group $\{\sigma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of *-automorphisms of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ is called the group of the modular automorphisms of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, associated to the left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

10.14. In Section 10.6 to any von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, with a separating cyclic vector, we associated a left Hilbert algebra, such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$. By taking into account Section 5.18, this association can be described in the following equivalent manner: to any von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, of countable type, and to any faithful normal form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$, we associated a left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A}_\varphi \subset \mathcal{H}_\varphi$, such that $\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$.

In this section we extend the above association to the case of arbitrary von Neumann algebras, and to some "unbounded forms", called weights.
Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra. A mapping
\[
\varphi : \mathcal{M}^+ \to [0, +\infty] = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}
\]
is called a weight if
\[
(1) \quad \varphi(a + b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{M}^+;
\]
\[
(2) \quad \varphi(\lambda a) = \lambda \varphi(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{M}^+, \quad \lambda \geq 0. *
\]
From condition (1) it follows that
\[
a, b \in \mathcal{M}^+, \quad a \leq b \Rightarrow \varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b).
\]

For any weight $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}^+$ one defines
\[
\mathcal{F}_\varphi = \{a \in \mathcal{M}^+ ; \varphi(a) < +\infty\}.
\]

It is obvious that $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ is a face (see 3.21) and, therefore, by taking into account Proposition 3.21, it follows that
\[
\mathcal{N}_\varphi = \{x \in \mathcal{M} ; \varphi(x^*x) < +\infty\}
\]
is a left ideal of $\mathcal{M}$, and
\[
\mathcal{M}_\varphi = \mathcal{N}_\varphi^* \mathcal{N}_\varphi \subset \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{N}_\varphi^*
\]
is a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$, such that
\[
\mathcal{M}_\varphi^+ = \mathcal{F}_\varphi,
\]
\[
\mathcal{M}_\varphi = \text{the linear hull of } \mathcal{F}_\varphi.
\]

From the latter property it easily follows that $\varphi$ uniquely extends to a positive linear form on $\mathcal{M}_\varphi$, which is also denoted by $\varphi$.

The weight $\varphi$ is said to be semifinite if
\[
(3) \quad \mathcal{M}_\varphi \text{ is } w\text{-dense in } \mathcal{M}.
\]
The weight $\varphi$ is said to be faithful if
\[
(4) \quad a \in \mathcal{M}^+, \varphi(a) = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0.
\]

We shall say that the weight $\varphi$ is normal if
\[
(5) \quad \text{there exists a family } \{\varphi_i\} \text{ of normal forms on } \mathcal{M}, \text{ such that}
\]
\[
\varphi(a) = \sum_i \varphi_i(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{M}^+.
\]

It is easy to see that if $\varphi$ is normal, then $\varphi$ is lower $w$-semicontinuous. In particular, for any family $\{a_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{M}^+$, which is increasingly directed and bounded, we have
\[
\varphi \left( \sup_\alpha a_\alpha \right) = \sup_\alpha \varphi(a_\alpha).
\]

*) With the convention that $0 \cdot (+\infty) = 0$. 
The construction by which to any positive linear form one can associate a 
\( * \)-representation (see 5.18) can be extended to weights.

Let \( \varphi \) be a faithful semifinite normal weight on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \). Since \( \varphi \) is faithful, the positive sesquilinear form
\[
(x|y)_\varphi = \varphi(y^*x), \quad x, y \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi,
\]
is a scalar product on \( \mathcal{N}_\varphi \). We shall denote by \( \mathcal{H}_\varphi \) the Hilbert space obtained by the completion of \( \mathcal{N}_\varphi \) and, for any \( x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \), we shall denote by \( x_\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi \) the image of \( x \) through the canonical embedding of \( \mathcal{N}_\varphi \) in \( \mathcal{H}_\varphi \). Any element \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) determines an operator \( \pi_\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi) \), given by the relations
\[
\pi_\varphi(x_\varphi y_\varphi) = (xy)_\varphi, \quad y \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi.
\]
It is easy to verify that \( \pi_\varphi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi) \) is a \( * \)-representation.

Since \( \varphi \) is normal, the same argument as that used in the proof of Proposition 5.18 shows that the \( * \)-representation \( \pi_\varphi \) is \( w \)-continuous. It is clear that \( \pi_\varphi(1) = 1 \); hence \( \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \) is a von Neumann algebra.

From the faithfulness and the semifiniteness of the weight \( \varphi \) it follows that \( \pi_\varphi \) is injective, hence \( \pi_\varphi \) is a \( * \)-isomorphism of the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) onto the von Neumann algebra \( \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \).

Since \( \varphi \) is semifinite, Proposition 3.21 shows that there exists a family \( \{ u_\alpha \} \subset \mathcal{N}_\varphi \), such that \( u_\alpha \uparrow 1 \). Then, for any \( x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \), we have
\[
\| x_\varphi - \pi_\varphi(u_\alpha) x_\varphi \|_\varphi^2 = \| x_\varphi - (u_\alpha x)_\varphi \|_\varphi^2 = \varphi((x - u_\alpha x)^* (x - u_\alpha x))
\]
\[(\ast)\]
\[
\leq 2[\varphi(x^*x) - \varphi(x^*u_\alpha x)] \to 0.
\]

We hence infer that \( \pi_\varphi(u_\alpha) \uparrow 1 \).

On the other hand, since \( x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \) and \( u_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi^+ \) imply that \( u_\alpha x \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi^+ \mathcal{N}_\varphi = \mathcal{N}_\varphi \), from relation \( \ast \) we infer that \( \mathcal{M}_\varphi \) is densely embedded in \( \mathcal{H}_\varphi \). Similarly, since \( x \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \) and \( u_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \) imply that \( u_\alpha x \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi^2 \), from the same relation we infer that \( \mathcal{M}_\varphi^2 \) is dense in \( \mathcal{M}_\varphi \), with respect to the topology corresponding to the scalar product we have just defined. Consequently
\[
\mathcal{M}_\varphi^2 \text{ is densely embedded in } \mathcal{H}_\varphi.
\]

**Theorem.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \varphi \) a faithful, semifinite, normal weight on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \). Then \( \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{N}_\varphi^* \), endowed with the structure of \( * \)-algebra induced by \( \mathcal{M} \), and with the scalar product induced by that of \( \mathcal{H}_\varphi \), is a left Hilbert algebra \( \mathcal{M}_\varphi \subset \mathcal{H}_\varphi \), and the following relations hold
\[
\mathcal{N}_\varphi = \mathcal{N}_\varphi^*,
\]
\[
\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}_\varphi),
\]
\[
\varphi(a) = \begin{cases} 
\| \xi \|^2, & \text{if there exists } \xi \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \text{, such that } \\
\pi_\varphi(a)^{1/2} = L_\xi. & \text{if } a \in \mathcal{M}^+ \\
\infty, & \text{in the contrary case.}
\end{cases}
\]
Proof. Conditions (i) and (ii) from 10.1 are easy to verify.

Since $\mathcal{M}_\varphi \subset \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{N}_\varphi^*$, and since $\mathcal{M}_\varphi^2$ is densely embedded in $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$, it follows that condition (iii) from 10.1 is also satisfied.

In order to verify condition (iv) from 10.1, we must prove that for any net $\{x_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{N}_\varphi^*$ the following implication holds:

$$
\phi(x_\alpha^* x_\alpha) \underset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \phi(x_\alpha^* x_\alpha^*) \underset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} 0.
$$

From Sections 5.18 and 10.6 we infer that such an implication is true for faithful normal forms. Since $\varphi$ is normal, there exists an increasingly directed family $\{\varphi_*\}$ of normal forms on $\mathcal{M}$, such that

$$
\varphi(a) = \sup \varphi_*(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{M}^+.
$$

If we denote by $e_\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ the support of $\varphi_*$, the restriction of $\varphi_*$ to $e_\varphi \mathcal{M} e_\varphi$ is faithful. From the hypotheses of the implication we must prove, it follows that

$$
\varphi_*(e_\varphi x_\alpha e_\varphi) \varphi_*(e_\varphi x_\alpha^* e_\varphi) \underset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} 0,
$$

$$
\varphi_*(e_\varphi x_\alpha e_\varphi - e_\varphi x_\beta e_\varphi)(e_\varphi x_\alpha e_\varphi - e_\varphi x_\beta e_\varphi)^* \underset{\alpha, \beta}{\longrightarrow} 0,
$$

and, therefore,

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha e_\varphi x_\alpha^*) = \varphi_*(x_\alpha e_\varphi x_\alpha^*) \underset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} 0.
$$

Since $\varphi$ is faithful, we have

$$
e_\varphi \uparrow 1.
$$

For any $\alpha, \beta$ and $\nu \leq \mu$ we have

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha e_\mu x_\alpha^*)^{1/2} \leq \varphi_*(x_\mu e_\mu x_\mu^*)^{1/2}
$$

$$
\leq \varphi_*(x_\alpha - x_\beta) e_\mu (x_\alpha - x_\beta)^* + \varphi_*(x_\beta e_\mu x_\beta^*)^{1/2}
$$

$$
\leq \varphi((x_\alpha - x_\beta) e_\mu (x_\alpha - x_\beta)^*)^{1/2} + \varphi_*(x_\beta e_\mu x_\beta^*)^{1/2}.
$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists an $\alpha_\varepsilon$, such that for any $\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha_\varepsilon$ we have

$$
\phi((x_\alpha - x_\beta) e_\mu (x_\alpha - x_\beta)^*)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon.
$$

Then

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha e_\mu x_\alpha^*)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon + \varphi_*(x_\beta e_\mu x_\beta^*)^{1/2}, \quad \alpha, \beta \geq \alpha_\varepsilon, \quad \nu \leq \mu.
$$

By tending to the limit with respect to $\beta$, from this relation we get

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha e_\mu x_\alpha^*)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \alpha \geq \alpha_\varepsilon, \quad \nu \leq \mu,
$$

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha^* x_\alpha) \underset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} 0,
$$

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha^* x_\alpha^*) \underset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} 0,
$$

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha^* x_\alpha) \varphi_*(x_\alpha^* x_\alpha^*) \underset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} 0,
$$

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha e_\varphi x_\alpha^*) = \varphi_*(x_\alpha e_\varphi x_\alpha^*) \underset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} 0.
$$

Since $\varphi$ is faithful, we have

$$
e_\varphi \uparrow 1.
$$

For any $\alpha, \beta$ and $\nu \leq \mu$ we have

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha e_\mu x_\alpha^*)^{1/2} \leq \varphi_*(x_\mu e_\mu x_\mu^*)^{1/2},
$$

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha - x_\beta) e_\mu (x_\alpha - x_\beta)^* + \varphi_*(x_\beta e_\mu x_\beta^*)^{1/2}
$$

$$
\leq \varphi((x_\alpha - x_\beta) e_\mu (x_\alpha - x_\beta)^*)^{1/2} + \varphi_*(x_\beta e_\mu x_\beta^*)^{1/2}.
$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists an $\alpha_\varepsilon$, such that for any $\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha_\varepsilon$ we have

$$
\phi((x_\alpha - x_\beta) e_\mu (x_\alpha - x_\beta)^*)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon.
$$

Then

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha e_\mu x_\alpha^*)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon + \varphi_*(x_\beta e_\mu x_\beta^*)^{1/2}, \quad \alpha, \beta \geq \alpha_\varepsilon, \quad \nu \leq \mu.
$$

By tending to the limit with respect to $\beta$, from this relation we get

$$
\varphi_*(x_\alpha e_\mu x_\alpha^*)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \alpha \geq \alpha_\varepsilon, \quad \nu \leq \mu,
and, by tending to the limit with respect to $\mu$, we obtain

$$\varphi_*(x_\alpha x_\alpha^*)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \alpha \geq \alpha_\varepsilon, \text{ any } \nu.$$  

Finally, if we now compute the l.u.b., from this inequality we get

$$\varphi(x_\alpha x_\alpha^*)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \alpha \geq \alpha_\varepsilon.$$  

Consequently, condition (iv) from 10.1 is verified.

Since $\mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{N}_\varphi^* = \mathcal{M}_\varphi$ is $w$-dense in $\mathcal{M}, \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{N}_\varphi^*) = \{L_{x_\varphi}; x_\varphi \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi\}$ is $w$-dense in $\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M})$. Therefore,

$$\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}_\varphi).$$  

By considering on $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ the positive sesquilinear form

$$(x_\varphi, y_\varphi) \mapsto \varphi_*(y^*x)$$

and by observing that it is bounded from above by the scalar product in $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$, it follows that there exists an $a'_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi), 0 \leq a'_\varphi \leq 1$, such that

$$(a'_\varphi x_\varphi | y_\varphi)_\varphi = \varphi_*(y^*x), \quad x, y \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi.$$  

It is easy to verify that $a'_\varphi \in (\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}))'$.

Let $\{u_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\varphi$ be such that $u_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{st}} 1$ and $\|u_\alpha\| \leq 1$. Then

$$\|(a'_\varphi)^{1/2}(u_\alpha)_\varphi - (a'_\varphi)^{1/2}(u_\beta)_\varphi\|^2_\varphi = \varphi_*((u_\alpha - u_\beta)^*(u_\alpha - u_\beta)) \underset{\alpha, \beta}{\xrightarrow{\text{st}}} 0;$$

hence, there exists a vector $\eta_\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi^*$, such that

$$(a'_\varphi)^{1/2}(u_\alpha)_\varphi \to \eta_\varphi.$$  

For any $x, y \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ we have

$$(\pi_\varphi(x)\eta_\varphi, (a'_\varphi)^{1/2}y_\varphi)_\varphi = \lim_{\alpha} (\pi_\varphi(x)(a'_\varphi)^{1/2}(u_\alpha)_\varphi)(a'_\varphi)^{1/2}y_\varphi)_\varphi$$

$$= \lim_{\alpha} (a'_\varphi((xu_\alpha)_\varphi | y_\varphi)_\varphi = \lim_{\alpha} \varphi_*(y^*xu_\alpha)$$

$$= \varphi_*(y^*x) = ((a'_\varphi)^{1/2}x_\varphi | (a'_\varphi)^{1/2}y_\varphi)_\varphi.$$  

Since $\pi_\varphi(x)\eta_\varphi = \lim_{\alpha} (a'_\varphi)^{1/2}((xu_\alpha)_\varphi) \in (a'_\varphi)^{1/2}\mathcal{H}_\varphi$, we infer that

$$\pi_\varphi(x)\eta_\varphi = (a'_\varphi)^{1/2}(x_\varphi), \quad x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi.$$  

With the help of Proposition 10.3, one shows that $\eta_\varphi \in (\mathcal{N}_\varphi)', \ S^*\eta_\varphi = \eta_\varphi$ and $R_{\eta_\varphi} = (a'_\varphi)^{1/2}$.
Let \( \xi \in (\mathcal{V}_\varphi)^\prime \). Since \( L_\xi \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{V}_\varphi) = \pi_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \), there exists an \( x \in \mathcal{M} \), such that \( L_\xi = \pi_\varphi(x) \). For any \( \nu \) we have
\[
\varphi_\nu(x^*x) = \|\pi_\varphi(x)\eta_\nu\|^2 = \|L_\xi(\eta_\nu)\|^2 = \|R_{\pi_\varphi}(\xi)\|^2 \leq \|\xi\|^2;
\]
hence,
\[
\varphi(x^*x) \leq \|\xi\|^2 < +\infty.
\]
Similarly, one can prove that
\[
\varphi(xx^*) \leq \|S\xi\|^2 < +\infty.
\]
Consequently, \( x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{N}_\varphi^* \), and, therefore, \( x_\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_\varphi \). Since \( L_\zeta = \pi_\varphi(x) = L_{x_\varphi} \), we infer that \( \zeta = x_\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_\varphi \).

The proof of the formula, given in the statement of the theorem, for \( \varphi \), offers no difficulties.

Q.E.D.

We remark that any von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) has a faithful, semifinite normal weight. Indeed, if \( \{\varphi_i\} \) is a maximal family of normal forms on \( \mathcal{M} \), whose supports are mutually orthogonal, then the formula
\[
\varphi(a) = \sum_i \varphi_i(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{M}^+,
\]
yields a faithful, semifinite normal weight on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \).

Thus, any von Neumann algebra is \( * \)-isomorphic to a von Neumann algebra of the form \( \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H}) \), where \( \mathcal{H} \) is a left Hilbert algebra.

10.15. A von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is said to be standard if there exists a conjugation \( J : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \), such that the mapping \( x \mapsto Jx^*J \) be a \( * \)-antiisomorphism of \( \mathcal{M} \) onto \( \mathcal{M}^* \), which acts identically on the center.

For particular cases of standard von Neumann algebras, the reader is referred to exercises E.7.15, E.7.16, E.7.17, E.7.18, E.7.19 and E.8.5. To the same end, exercises E.3.9, E.3.10, E.6.9 are also useful.

In accordance with Section 10.6 and Corollary 1 from Section 10.13, any von Neumann algebra with a separating cyclic vector is standard. Conversely, let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a standard von Neumann algebra of countable type and \( J \) the corresponding conjugation. In accordance with Lemma 7.18, there exist \( \zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), such that the projections \( p_\zeta \) and \( p_\eta \) be central, and \( p_\zeta + p_\eta = 1 \). From exercise E. 6.9 we infer that
\[
p_\zeta J = Jp_\zeta = p_\zeta, \quad p_\eta J = Jp_\eta = p_\eta;
\]
hence \( J \zeta + \eta \) is a cyclic vector, whereas \( \zeta + J \eta \) is a separating vector for \( \mathcal{M} \). In accordance with exercise E.6.3, it follows that \( \mathcal{M} \) has a separating cyclic vector.

Thus, the standard von Neumann algebras of countable type are precisely the von Neumann algebras with a separating cyclic vector. In accordance with Proposition 5.18, any von Neumann algebra of countable type is \( * \)-isomorphic to a
standard von Neumann algebra, whereas, in accordance with Corollary 5.25, any $\ast$-isomorphism between two standard von Neumann algebras of countable type is spatial.

The following result extends these statements to the general case:

**Corollary.** Any von Neumann algebra is $\ast$-isomorphic to a standard von Neumann algebra, and any $\ast$-isomorphism between two standard von Neumann algebras is spatial.

**Proof.** In accordance with the remark at the end of section 10.14, and with Corollary 1 from Section 10.13, any von Neumann algebra is $\ast$-isomorphic to a standard von Neumann algebra.

By taking into account Theorem 4.17 and Proposition 8.5, the second assertion of the statement can be considered separately, for the finite, respectively the uniform, von Neumann algebras. In the first case, by using Lemma 7.2, the assertion is reduced to the case of the von Neumann algebras of countable type, whereas in the second case one applies Theorem 8.6, and one uses the fact that the uniformity (8.6) of a standard von Neumann algebra is equal to the uniformity of its commutant.

Q.E.D.

10.16. In this section we begin a construction inverse to that developed in Section 10.14. More precisely, to any left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{U}$ we associate a function $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})^+ \to [0, +\infty]$, which measures the "weight" of $\mathcal{U}''$ in the operators belonging to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$:

$$
\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) = \begin{cases} 
\|\xi\|^2 & \text{if there exists a } \zeta \in \mathcal{U}'' \text{, such that } a^{1/2} = L_\zeta \\
+\infty & \text{in the contrary case}
\end{cases} ; a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})^+
$$

We first prove that $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}$ is increasing:

(1) \[ a, b \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})^+, \ a \leq b \Rightarrow \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) \leq \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(b). \]

If $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(b) = +\infty$, then the implication is trivially true. We now assume that $b^{1/2} = L_\zeta$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}''$. It is easy to see that the relations

$$
x(b^{1/2}\eta) = a^{1/2}\eta, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$
x(\theta) = 0, \quad \theta \in [b^{1/2}\mathcal{H}]^\perp,$$

determine an operator $x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$, $\|x\| \leq 1$, such that $a^{1/2} = xb^{1/2}$. We denote $\xi = x\zeta$.

Since, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{U}''$, we have

$$
R_\eta(\xi) = R_\eta x(\zeta) = xR_\eta(\zeta) = xL_\zeta(\eta) = xb^{1/2}(\eta) = a^{1/2}(\eta),
$$

Proposition 10.4 implies that $\zeta = \mathcal{U}''$ and $L_\zeta = a^{1/2}$. Thus

$$
\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) = \|\xi\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \|\zeta\|^2 = \|\xi\|^2 = \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(b).
$$

We now prove that $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}$ is additive

(2) \[ \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(a + b) = \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) + \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})^+. \]
Since \( \varphi_{\lambda} \) is increasing, if \( \varphi_{\lambda}(a) = +\infty \) or \( \varphi_{\lambda}(b) = +\infty \), then relation (2) is obviously satisfied. Thus we can assume that
\[
a^{1/2} = L_\gamma, \quad b^{1/2} = L_\delta, \quad \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{H}''.
\]
The equalities
\[
x((a + b)^{1/2} \eta) = a^{1/2} \eta, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{H},
\]
\[
x(\theta) = 0, \quad \theta \in [[(a + b)^{1/2} \mathcal{H}]]^*,
\]
\[
y((a + b)^{1/2} \eta) = b^{1/2} \eta, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{H},
\]
\[
y(\theta) = 0, \quad \theta \in [[(a + b)^{1/2} \mathcal{H}]]^*.
\]
determine the operators \( x, y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1 \), such that \( a^{1/2} = x(a + b)^{1/2} \) and \( b^{1/2} = y(a + b)^{1/2} \). Since
\[
(a + b)^{1/2} (x^*x + y^*y) (a + b)^{1/2} = a + b,
\]
the positive operator \( (x^*x + y^*y)^{1/2} \) is isometric on \( [(a + b)^{1/2} \mathcal{H}] \) and, obviously, vanishes on \( [(a + b)^{1/2} \mathcal{H}]^* \). Thus
\[
x^*x + y^*y = s(a + b).
\]
We denote
\[
\zeta = x^*\gamma + y^*\delta.
\]
For any \( \eta \in \mathcal{H}' \) we have
\[
R_\eta(\zeta) = x^*R_\eta(\gamma) + y^*R_\eta(\delta) = (x^*L_\gamma + y^*L_\delta)(\eta)
\]
\[
= (x^*a^{1/2} + y^*b^{1/2})(\eta) = (x^*x + y^*y)(a + b)^{1/2}(\eta)
\]
\[
= (a + b)^{1/2}(\eta).
\]
With the help of Proposition 10.4 we infer that
\[
\zeta \in \mathcal{H}'', \quad S\zeta = \zeta, \quad L_\zeta = (a + b)^{1/2}.
\]
For any \( \eta \in \mathcal{H}' \) we have
\[
R_\eta(x\zeta) = xR_\eta(\zeta) = xL_\zeta(\eta) = x(a + b)^{1/2}(\eta) = a^{1/2}(\eta) = L_\gamma(\eta) = R_\gamma(\gamma);
\]
hence, making \( R_\eta \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}^*} 1 \), it follows that
\[
x\zeta = \gamma.
\]
Similarly,
\[
y\zeta = \delta.
\]
Since \( \zeta \in \overline{L_{c}A} = s(a + b)A \) (see 10.5), we have
\[
\varphi_{\pi}(a + b) = \|\xi\|^2 = ((x^*x + y^*y)\xi | \xi) = \|x\xi\|^2 + \|y\xi\|^2
\]
\[
= \|y\|^2 + \|\delta\|^2 = \varphi_{\pi}(a) + \varphi_{\pi}(b).
\]

It is obvious that
\[
(3) \quad [\varphi_{\pi}(\lambda a) = \lambda \varphi_{\pi}(a), \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A})^+, \quad \lambda > 0].
\]

From relations (2) and (3) we infer that \( \varphi_{\pi} \) is a weight on \( \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A})^+ \), which is called the weight associated to the left Hilbert algebra \( \mathfrak{A} \).

With the help of Theorem 10.14 it is easy to verify that if \( \mathfrak{M} \) is a von Neumann algebra and \( \varphi \) is a faithful, semifinite, normal weight on \( \mathfrak{M}^+ \), and if we consider the weight \( \varphi_{\pi_\varphi} \), associated to the left Hilbert algebra \( \mathfrak{A}_{\varphi} \), then
\[
\varphi = \varphi_{\pi_\varphi} \circ \pi_\varphi.
\]

For the von Neumann algebra \( \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A}) \) and the weight \( \varphi_{\pi} \) we shall denote briefly (see Section 10.14):
\[
\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathfrak{F}_{\varphi_{\pi}}, \quad \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi_{\pi}}, \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathfrak{M}_{\varphi_{\pi}}.
\]

We now show that \( \varphi_{\pi} \) measures indeed the "weight" of \( \mathfrak{A}'' \) in the operators belonging to \( \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A}) \). More precisely:
\[
(4) \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{for any operator } x \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A}) \text{ we have the equivalence: there exists a } \xi \in \mathfrak{A}'' \text{ such that } x = L_{\xi} \iff x \in \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}} \cap \mathfrak{M}^*; \text{ moreover, if } \xi, \zeta \in \mathfrak{A}'', \text{ then } (L_{\zeta})^*L_{\xi} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ and } \\
\varphi_{\pi}((L_{\zeta})^*L_{\xi}) = (\xi | \zeta).
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Indeed, let \( x = v|x|, \quad x^* = v^*|x^*| \) be the polar decompositions. Assuming that \( x = L_{\xi}, \xi \in \mathfrak{A}'' \), for any \( \eta \in \mathfrak{A}^+ \) we have
\[
R_\eta(v^*\xi) = v^*R_\eta(\xi) = v^*L_\eta(\eta) = |x| (\eta),
\]
\[
R_\eta(vS\xi) = vR_\eta(S\xi) = v(L_\xi)^*(\eta) = |x^*| (\eta),
\]
and, with the help of Proposition 10.4, we infer that
\[
v^*\xi \in \mathfrak{A}'', \quad S(v^*\xi) = v^*\xi, \quad L_{v^*\xi} = |x|,
\]
\[
vS\xi \in \mathfrak{A}'', \quad S(vS\xi) = vS\xi, \quad L_{vS\xi} = |x^*|.
\]

Thus
\[
\varphi_{\pi}(x^*x) = \varphi_{\pi}(|x|^2) = \|v^*\xi\|^2 = \|\xi\|^2 < +\infty,
\]
\[
\varphi_{\pi}(xx^*) = \varphi_{\pi}(|x^*|^2) = \|vS\xi\|^2 = \|S\xi\|^2 < +\infty,
\]
i.e.,
\[
x \in \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}} \cap \mathfrak{M}^*.
\]
Conversely, if $x \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{N}^*$, then there exist $\xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{M}''$, such that $|x| = L_\xi$, $|x^*| = L_\zeta$.

For any $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$R_\eta(v_\xi) = v_\eta R_\eta(\xi) = v|x| (\eta) = x(\eta),$$

$$R_\eta(v_\xi^*) = v^* R_\eta(\zeta) = v^*|x^*| (\eta) = x^*(\eta),$$

and, with the help of Proposition 10.4, we infer that

$$v_\xi \in \mathcal{M}'',$$

$$S(v_\xi) = v_\zeta, \quad L_{v_\xi} = x.$$

Let $\xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{M}''$. From the first part of the proof it follows that $L_\xi$ and $L_\zeta$ belong to $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{N}^*$, hence $(L_\xi^*)L_\zeta \in \mathcal{M}$. With the help of the polarization identity

$$(L_\xi^*)L_\zeta = 4^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} i^k(L_{\zeta + \xi}^*)L_{\zeta + \xi},$$

one easily obtains the equality

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}((L_\xi^*)L_\zeta) = (\xi | \zeta).$$

From assertion (4) one easily infers that $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is semifinite, i.e.,

$$[\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathcal{M}} = \{a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})^+; \varphi_{\mathcal{M}}(a) < +\infty\} \text{ is w-dense in } \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})^+].$$

It is immediately verified that $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is faithful, i.e.,

$$[a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})^+, \varphi_{\mathcal{M}}(a) = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0].$$

The normality of $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a more difficult problem and it will be proved later (see 10.18). Here we shall prove only that $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is lower w-continuous. To this end it is sufficient to prove that the set

$$\{a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})^+; \|a\| \leq c, \varphi_{\mathcal{M}}(a) \leq \lambda\}$$

is so-closed, where $c$ and $\lambda$ are arbitrary positive constants (one uses the Krein-Šmulian theorem, see C.1.1, and Corollary 1.5). Let $\{a_i\}$ be a net in the above set, which is so-convergent to $a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})^+$. For any $i$ there exists a $\xi_i \in \mathcal{M}''$, such that $a_i^{1/2} = L_{\xi_i}$ and $\|\xi_i\|^2 \leq \lambda$. Since $L_{S\xi_i} = (L_{\xi_i})^* = L_{\xi_i}$ and, since the representation $L$ is injective (10.5), it follows that $S\xi_i = \xi_i$. The set

$$\{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, c]); f(a_i) \xrightarrow{so} f(a)\}$$

is closed in the norm topology and contains all polynomials; hence, it coincides with $\mathcal{C}([0, c])$. Consequently,

$$L_{\xi_i} = a_i^{1/2} \xrightarrow{so} a^{1/2}.$$
With the help of Corollary 3 from Section 10.4, it follows that there exists a \( \zeta \in \mathcal{F}'\), such that

\[
a^{1/2} = L_\zeta \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_i \to \zeta, \quad \text{weakly.}
\]

Thus,

\[
\varphi_\mathcal{F}(a) = \|\zeta\|^2 \leq \lim_i \|\zeta_i\|^2 \leq \lambda.
\]

In particular, we have proved that

\[
\varphi_\mathcal{F}(\sup_i a_i) = \sup_i \varphi_\mathcal{F}(a_i).
\]

(7)

For any bounded, increasingly directed family \( \{a_i\} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})^+ \)

one has

\[
\varphi_\mathcal{F}(\sup_i a_i) = \sup_i \varphi_\mathcal{F}(a_i).
\]

With the help of Theorem 10.12 one easily infers that \( \varphi_\mathcal{F} \) is invariant with respect to the modular automorphisms \( \sigma_i(\cdot) = A_i^{it} \cdot A_i^{-it}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \):

\[
[\varphi_\mathcal{F}(\sigma_i(a)) = \varphi_\mathcal{F}(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})^+, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}].
\]

(8)

At the end of this section we shall prove two other useful properties of the pair \((\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}), \varphi_\mathcal{F})\), which are important for their own sake. In accordance with a remark we have made above, these will be properties shared by any pair \((\mathcal{M}, \varphi)\), consisting of a von Neumann algebra \(\mathcal{M}\), and a faithful, semifinite, normal weight \(\varphi\) on \(\mathcal{M}^+\).

We denote by \(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})_\infty\) the set of all elements \(x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})\), such that the mapping \(it \mapsto \sigma_i(x) = A_i^{it}x A_i^{-it}\) has an entire analytic continuation. It is easy to see that this continuation takes values in \(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})\). Obviously, any fixed element for the group of modular automorphisms belongs to \(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})_\infty\). In particular, \(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})_\infty\) contains the center of \(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})\). It is easy to verify that \(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})_\infty\) is a subalgebra of \(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})\). If \(F\) is the entire analytic continuation of \(it \mapsto \sigma_i(x)\), then \(\alpha \mapsto F(-\alpha)^*\) is an entire analytic continuation of \(it \mapsto \sigma_i(x^*\alpha)\). It follows that \(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})_\infty\) is self-adjoint. On the other hand, if \(x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})\) and if we define

\[
x_n = \sqrt{n/\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-nm^2} \sigma_i(x) \, dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

then the mapping

\[
\alpha \mapsto \sqrt{n/\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-n(t+ia)^2} \sigma_i(x) \, dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

is an entire analytic continuation of the mapping \(it \mapsto \sigma_i(x)\); hence

\[
x_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})_\infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

By taking into account the so-continuity of the mapping \(t \mapsto \sigma_i(x)\) and by applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, one easily infers that

\[
x_n \overset{\text{so}}{\longrightarrow} x.
\]
Consequently,

\[ \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})_{\infty} \text{ is a so-dense } \ast\text{-subalgebra of } \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}). \]

We observe that the structure of the entire analytic continuation of the mapping \( it \mapsto \sigma_t(x), x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})_{\infty} \), is given by Proposition 9.24. We now prove that

\[ \xi \in \mathcal{M}', \quad x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})_{\infty} \Rightarrow x\xi \in \mathcal{M}', \quad L_{x\xi} = xL_\xi. \]

Indeed, since the mapping \( it \mapsto \Delta^it x A^{-i}t \) has an entire analytic continuation, whereas the mapping \( it \mapsto \Delta^it \xi \) has a continuous extension to the set \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1/2 \} \), which is analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < 1/2 \} \) (in accordance with Corollary 9.21, because \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \eta) \)), it follows that the mapping

\[ it \mapsto \Delta^it x \xi = (\Delta^it x A^{-i}t) \Delta^it \xi \]

has a continuous extension to \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1/2 \} \), which is analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < 1/2 \} \). With the help of Corollary 9.21, we infer that \( x\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \eta) = \mathcal{D}_S \). On the other hand, for any \( \eta \in \mathcal{M}' \) we have

\[ \|L_{x\xi}(\eta)\| = \|R_\eta x \xi\| = \|x R_\eta(\xi)\| = \|xL_\xi(\eta)\| \leq \|xL_\xi\| \|\eta\|, \]

and, therefore, \( x\xi \in \mathcal{M}' \). Obviously, \( L_{x\xi} = xL_\xi \). We are now able to prove that

\[ \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})_{\infty} \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})_{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}. \tag{9} \]

To this end, it is sufficient to verify the inclusion \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})_{\infty} \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A} \). Let then \( x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})_{\infty} \) and \( a \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A} \). There exists a \( \xi \in \mathcal{M}' \), such that \( a^{1/2} = L_\xi \). From the implication we have proved above, we infer that \( x\xi \in \mathcal{M}' \) and

\[ xa^{1/2} = xL_\xi = L_{x\xi} \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}. \]

Since

\[ a^{1/2} = L_\xi \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}, \]

it follows that

\[ xa = (xa^{1/2}) a^{1/2} \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}. \]

The invariance property expressed by relation (9) allows the construction of an increasingly directed family of elements in \( \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}^+ \), whose supremum is 1, of a very particular nature:

To prove this, we need to verify that

\[ \left\{ e_i \right\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ of mutually orthogonal projections of countable type, such that } \sigma_i(e_i) = e_i, i \in I, \text{ and such that, for any } i \in I, \right. \]

\[ \left( \sigma, \ast \right) \left\{ a_{i,n} \right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}^+, \text{ such that } a_{i,n} \uparrow e_i \right. \]

\[ \left( \text{and, for any } n \geq 1 \text{ and any rational } r, \text{ there exists an integer } m(n, r) \geq 1, \text{ such that } \sigma_r(a_{i,n}) \leq a_i, m(n, r) \right. \]
Indeed, let \( \{e_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a maximal family with the above property. We assume that \( e = 1 - \sum_i e_i \neq 0 \). From assertion (5) we infer that there exists \( a, b \in \mathcal{M}_d^+ \), such that \( a = ebe \neq 0 \). Since \( \sigma_1(e) = e \), \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have \( e \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_\infty \). From assertion (9), we infer that \( a \in \mathcal{M}_d^+ \). Let \( \{r_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) be an enumeration of the set of rational numbers. We define

\[
a_{0,n} = \left( n^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{r_j}(a) \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{r_j}(a), \quad n \geq 1.
\]

It is easy to verify that the sequence \( \{a_{0,n}\} \) is increasing and so-convergent to the projection \( e_0 = \bigvee_{j=1}^{\infty} s(\sigma_{r_j}(a)) \). If \( n \geq 1 \) and \( r \) is rational, then we choose \( m(n, r) \geq 1 \), such that

\[
\{r_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq m(n, r)} \supseteq \{r + r_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}.
\]

Then, for any rational \( r \) and any \( n \geq 1 \) we have

\[
\sigma_r(a_{0,n}) \leq a_{0,m(n, r)}.
\]

It follows that for any rational \( r \) we have

\[
\sigma_r(e_0) \leq e_0.
\]

Consequently, for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\sigma_t(e_0) = e_0.
\]

Let \( \{f_a\}_{a \in \Gamma} \) be a family of mutually orthogonal projections in \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \), such that \( \sum_a f_a \leq e_0 \). For any \( n \geq 1 \) we have, in accordance with assertion (7),

\[
\sum_a \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}((a_{0,n})^{1/2} f_a(a_{0,n})^{1/2}) = \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\sum_a (a_{0,n})^{1/2} f_a(a_{0,n})^{1/2}) = \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(a_{0,n}) < +\infty.
\]

With the help of assertion (6) we infer that there exists an at most countable subset \( \Gamma_n \subset \Gamma \), such that

\[
\alpha \notin \bigcup_n \Gamma_n \Rightarrow (a_{0,n})^{1/2} f_a(a_{0,n})^{1/2} = 0.
\]

If \( \alpha \notin \bigcup_n \Gamma_n \), then

\[
f_a = \operatorname{w-o-lim}_{n} (a_{0,n})^{1/2} f_a(a_{0,n})^{1/2} = 0.
\]

We have thus proved that

\( e_0 \) is a projection of countable type.

Consequently, \( 0 \neq e_0 \leq 1 - \sum_i e_i \) has property (\( \ast \)), thus contradicting the maximality of the family \( \{e_i\}_{i \in I} \). It follows that

\[
\sum_{i \in I} e_i = 1.
\]
In the last part of this section we shall prove the following assertion

$$\text{(11)} \begin{align*}
\text{there exists a faithful, semifinite normal weight } \varphi \text{ on } \mathcal{L}^+(\mathfrak{H}), \text{ such that} \\
\text{(i) } \varphi \leq \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}}; \\
\text{(ii) } \varphi(a) = \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}}(a), \text{ for any } a \in \mathfrak{M}_+^\times; \\
\text{(iii) } \varphi(\sigma_i(a)) = \varphi(a), \text{ for any } a \in \mathcal{L}^+(\mathfrak{H}), \, i \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{align*}$$

The proof of the fact that \( \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}} \) is normal will consist in showing that conditions (i)—(iii) from (11) imply that \( \varphi = \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}} \).

In order to prove assertion (11) we shall first observe that to \( \mathfrak{H} \) one can also associate a weight \( \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}'} \) on \( \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{H}')^+ \), namely

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{H}'}(a') = \begin{cases} 
\| \eta \|^2, & \text{if there exists an } \eta \in \mathfrak{H}, \text{ such that} \\
(\eta')^{1/2} = R_\eta, & a' \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{H})^+, \\
+\infty, & \text{in the contrary case},
\end{cases} \qquad (a')^{1/2} = R_\eta, \quad a' \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{H})^+.$$

It is easy to verify that \( \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}'} \) has properties analogous to properties (1)—(10), already proved for \( \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}} \). In what follows we shall actually prove that the pair \( (\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{H}), \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}}) \) has the property analogous to property (11).

We shall denote by \( \{ \sigma_i \} \) the group of the modular automorphisms of \( \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{H})' \):

$$\sigma_i(x') = A^{-it}x'A^{it}, \quad x' \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{H})', \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let \( \{ a_{i,n} \} \in \mathfrak{M}_+^\times \) be the elements from (10). For any \( i \) and \( n \) there exists a \( \xi_{i,n} \in \mathfrak{H}' \), such that

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{n} (L_{\xi_{i,n}})^n = 1.$$ 

We define a weight \( \varphi' \) on \( \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{H})^+ \) by the formula

$$\varphi'(a') = \sum_{i} \sum_{n} \omega_{\xi_{i,n}}(a'), \quad a' \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{H})^+.$$ 

If \( a' \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{H})^+ \) and \( \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}}(a') < +\infty \), then there exists an \( \eta \in \mathfrak{H} \), such that \( (\eta')^{1/2} = R_\eta \); hence

$$\omega_{\xi_{i,n}}(a') = \|R_\eta(\xi_{i,n})\|^2 = \|L_{\xi_{i,n}}(\eta)\|^2 = \omega_\eta((L_{\xi_{i,n}})^n),$$

and therefore

$$\varphi'(a') = \sum_{i} \sum_{n} \omega_{\xi_{i,n}}(a') = \omega_\eta(1) = \| \eta \|^2 = \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}}(a').$$

Consequently, \( \varphi' \) is a semifinite, normal weight on \( \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{H})^+ \), which coincides with \( \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}} \) on \( \{ a' \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{H})^+; \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}}(a') < +\infty \} \).
Let \( n \geq 1 \), \( r \) be rational and \( m(n, r) \geq 1 \), as in (10). For any \( \eta \in \mathfrak{W} \), such that \( R_\eta \geq 0 \), we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{z_{i,j}}(\sigma^a((R_\eta)^2)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{z_{i,j}}((R_d - tr_\eta)^2) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{z_{i,j}}((L_{z_{i,j}})^2)
\]
\[
= \omega_{d - tr_\eta}(a_{i,n}) = \omega_\eta(\sigma^r(a_{i,n})) \leq \omega_\eta(a_{i,m(n, r)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m(n, r)} \omega_{z_{i,j}}((R_\eta)^2).
\]
Consequently, for any \( a' \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{W})^+ \) we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{z_{i,j}}(\sigma^a(a')) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m(n, r)} \omega_{z_{i,j}}(a') \leq \varphi'(a').
\]
Hence we infer that \( \varphi'(\sigma^a(a')) \leq \varphi'(a') \), for any \( a' \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{W})^+ \) and any rational \( r \). With the help of the lower \( w \)-semitoninuity of \( \varphi' \), it is easy to infer that
\[
\varphi'(\sigma^a(a')) = \varphi'(a'), \quad a' \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{W})^+, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

We have still to prove that \( \varphi' \) is faithful. As for normal forms (see 5.15), by a similar argument one easily shows that the set
\[
\{a' \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{W}); \ 0 \leq a' \leq 1, \ \varphi'(a') = 0\}
\]
has a greatest element \( e' \), which is a projection. Since \( \varphi' \) is invariant with respect to the group of modular automorphisms \( \{\sigma^a_t\} \), it follows that
\[
\sigma^a_t(e') = e', \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
Let \( a' \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{W})^+ \) be such that \( \varphi_\mathfrak{W}(a') < +\infty \). With the help of the assertion similar to assertion (9), it follows that \( \varphi_\mathfrak{W}(e'a'e') < +\infty \). Thus
\[
\varphi_\mathfrak{W}(e'a'e') = \varphi'(e'a'e') \leq \|a'\|\varphi'(e') = 0;
\]
hence \( e'a'e' = 0 \). Since the set
\[
\{a' \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{W})^+; \ \varphi_\mathfrak{W}(a') < +\infty\}
\]
is \( w \)-dense in \( \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{W})^+ \), we infer that \( e' = 0 \).

10.17. In this section we show that the weight \( \varphi_\mathfrak{W} \) determines the group of the modular automorphisms \( \{\sigma^a_t\} \) only in terms of the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{W}) \).

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra, \( \varphi \) a weight on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) and \( \{\pi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) a group of \( * \)-automorphisms of \( \mathcal{M} \). We assume that the group \( \{\pi_t\} \) leaves invariant the weight \( \varphi \), i.e.,
\[
\varphi(\pi_t(a)) = \varphi(a), \ a \in \mathcal{M}^+, \ t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
One says that $\varphi$ satisfies the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger condition (briefly, the KMS-condition) for the elements $x, y \in \mathfrak{N}_\varphi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\varphi^*$, with respect to $\{\pi_t\}$, if there exists a bounded continuous function

$$\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C};\ 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq 1\} \ni \alpha \mapsto f_{x,y}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{C},$$

which is analytic in $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C};\ 0 < \Re \alpha < 1\}$, and such that

$$f_{x,y}(it) = \varphi(x\pi_t(y)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$f_{x,y}(1 + it) = \varphi(\pi_t(y)x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Theorem.** Let $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$, $\{\sigma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the group of modular automorphisms and $\varphi = \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}}$.

Then $\varphi$ satisfies the KMS-condition with respect to $\{\sigma_t\}$ for any pair of elements in $\mathfrak{N}_\varphi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\varphi^*$.

Conversely, if $\{\pi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a group of *-automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$, which leaves invariant the weight $\varphi$ and with respect to which $\varphi$ satisfies the KMS-condition, for any pair of elements in $(\mathfrak{M}_\varphi)^2$, then

$$\pi_t = \sigma_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$ 

**Proof.** Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{N}_\varphi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\varphi^*$. In accordance with assertion (4) from Section 10.16, there exist $\xi, \zeta \in \mathfrak{H}''$, such that

$$x = L_\xi, \quad y = L_\zeta.$$

Then the function

$$\alpha \mapsto \langle \Delta^\alpha \xi \mid \mathcal{S} \zeta \rangle$$

is bounded and continuous on $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C};\ 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq 1/2\}$ and it is analytic in $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C};\ 0 < \Re \alpha < 1/2\}$; also, the function

$$\alpha \mapsto \langle \xi \mid J\Delta^\alpha J^{-1/2} \zeta \rangle$$

is bounded and continuous on $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C};\ 1/2 \leq \Re \alpha \leq 1\}$, and analytic in $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C};\ 1/2 < \Re \alpha < 1\}$. Since, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\langle \frac{1}{2} + it \mid \mathcal{S} \xi \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{2} + it \mid \Delta^{-1/2} \mathcal{J} \xi \rangle = \langle \Delta^\alpha \xi \mid J\xi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \xi \mid J\Delta^{\alpha - 1/2} \xi \rangle = \langle \xi \mid J\Delta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)^{-1/2} \xi \rangle,$$

the two functions coincide on the line $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + it$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus, we can define

$$f_{x,y}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \langle \Delta^\alpha \xi \mid \mathcal{S} \xi \rangle, & \text{if } 0 \leq \Re \alpha \leq 1/2, \\ \langle \xi \mid J\Delta^{\alpha - 1/2} \xi \rangle, & \text{if } 1/2 \leq \Re \alpha \leq 1. \end{cases}$$
The function \( f_{x,y} \) thus defined is bounded and continuous on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1 \} \) and analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < 1 \} \). With the help of assertion (4) from Section 10.16, we infer that for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) we have

\[
f_{x,y}(it) = (A^t \zeta | S^\zeta) = \phi(L_2 L_{A^t \zeta}) = \phi(x \zeta, (y)),
\]

\[
f_{x,y}(1 + it) = (\zeta | JD^{\frac{1}{2} + it} \zeta) = (\zeta | S A^t \zeta) = \phi(L_{A^t \zeta} L_2) = \phi(\sigma, (y)) x).
\]

We have thus proved the first part of the theorem.

Let now \( \{ \pi_t \} \) be a group of \(*\)-automorphisms of \( \mathcal{M} \), which leaves invariant the weight \( \phi \) and with respect to which \( \phi \) satisfies the KMS-condition, for any pair of elements in \( (\mathcal{M}_\phi)^2 \). We denote

\[
\mathcal{A}_0 = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{A}''; L_\xi \in (\mathcal{M}_\phi)^2 \}.
\]

Then \( \mathcal{A}_0 \) is a \(*\)-subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A}'' \). With the help of assertion (4) from Section 10.16 it is easy to see that \( \mathcal{A}_0 \supset (\mathcal{A}'')^4 \) and, therefore, in accordance with the last remark in Section 10.5, \( \mathcal{A}_0 \) is a left Hilbert subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A}'' \) and \( (\mathcal{A}_0)'' = \mathcal{A}'' \). In particular, \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_0) = \mathcal{M} \). From the invariance of \( \phi \) with respect to \( \{ \pi_t \} \) it is easy to infer that for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{A}_0 \) and any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) there exists a unique element in \( \mathcal{A}_0 \), denoted by \( u_t \zeta \) such that

\[
L_{u_t \zeta} = \pi_t(L_\zeta);
\]

also, for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), the mapping \( \mathcal{A}_0 \ni \xi \mapsto u_t \zeta \) extends to a unitary operator \( u_t \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). Obviously, \( \{ u_t \} \) is a one-parameter group. From the KMS-condition we infer that, for any \( \zeta, \zeta' \in \mathcal{A}_0 \), the mapping

\[
t \mapsto \phi(L_{S^\zeta} \pi_t(L_{\zeta'})) = (u_t \zeta | \zeta')
\]

is continuous. From this result it is easy to infer that the group \( \{ u_t \} \) is \( \omega \)-continuous.

For any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{A}_0 \) we have

\[
L_{S u_t \zeta} = (\pi_t(L_\zeta))^* = \pi_t(L_\zeta^*) = L_{u_t S^\zeta}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} ;
\]

hence

\[
S u_t \zeta = u_t S^\zeta, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} .
\]

Since \( S \mathcal{A}_0 = S \), the preceding equality is true for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D} \). Thus, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{1/2}) = \mathcal{D}_S \), we have

\[
\| A^{1/2} u_t \zeta \| = \| S u_t \zeta \| = \| u_t S^\zeta \| = \| S^\zeta \| = \| A^{1/2} \zeta \|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} ;
\]

hence, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_A \), \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{1/2}) \), we have:

\[
(A^{1/2} u_t \zeta | A^{1/2} u_t \zeta) = (A^{1/2} \zeta | A^{1/2} \zeta) = (\Delta \zeta | \zeta), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} .
\]

From these equalities it is easy to see that, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_A \), \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
A^{1/2} u_t \zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{1/2}) = \mathcal{D}_A^{1/2} ,
\]
i.e.,

\[ u, \xi \in \mathcal{D}, \]

and

\[ \Delta u, \xi = u, \Delta \xi. \]

From the KMS-condition we infer that, for any \( \xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{U}_0 \), there exists a bounded and continuous function \( f_{\xi, \zeta}(\mathfrak{h}) \) on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1 \} \), which is analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < 1 \} \), such that, for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
    f_{\xi, \zeta}(it) = \varphi(L_{S\xi} \pi_i(L_{S\xi})) = (u, \xi \mid \zeta),
\]

\[
    f_{\xi, \zeta}(1 + it) = \varphi(\pi_1(L_{S\xi}) L_{S\xi}) = (S\xi \mid Su, \zeta) = (S\xi \mid u, S\zeta).
\]

From the equality \( S \mid \mathcal{U}_0 = S \), and with the help of the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle, it is easy to see that the preceding assertion extends for any \( \xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_S \).

If \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d \), then, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{D} \) and any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
    f_{\xi, \zeta}(1 + it) = (J^{1/2} u, \xi \mid J^{1/2} u, \zeta) = (A^{1/2} u, \xi \mid A^{1/2} \zeta) = (u, \Delta \zeta \mid \zeta).
\]

From the fact that \( \mathcal{D}_d = \mathcal{H} \), and with the help of the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle, it is easy to see that, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d \) and any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \), there exists a bounded continuous function \( f_{\xi, \zeta} \) on \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1 \} \), which is analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < 1 \} \), and such that, for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
    f_{\xi, \zeta}(it) = (u, \xi \mid \zeta),
\]

\[
    f_{\xi, \zeta}(1 + it) = (u, \Delta \zeta \mid \zeta).
\]

Moreover, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1 \), we have

\[
    |f_{\xi, \zeta}(\alpha)| \leq \max\{\|\xi\|, \|\Delta \zeta\|\} \|\zeta\|.
\]

From this inequality it is easy to infer that, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d \), the mapping

\[
    t \mapsto u, \zeta
\]

has a weakly continuous extension to \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1 \} \) which is weakly analytic in \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < 1 \} \). Moreover, the value of this extension at 1 is \( \Delta \zeta \). As a result of the Stone representation theorem (9.20), there exists a positive self-adjoint operator \( A \) in \( \mathcal{H} \), such that

\[
    u, t = A^t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

From the preceding results we infer that, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d \), we have

\[
    \Delta \zeta = A \zeta.
\]

Consequently, we have the following relations

\[
    \Delta \subset A
\]

\[
    A = A^* \subset A^* = \Delta,
\]
which imply that:

$A = \Delta.$

Therefore, for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\pi_i(L_t) = L_{at \xi} = L_{\Delta t \xi} = \Delta^t L_t \Delta^{-t} = \sigma_i(L_t),$$

whence we infer that, for any $x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_0) = \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\pi_i(x) = \sigma_i(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Q.E.D.

Let $\varphi$ be a faithful, semifinite, normal weight on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, and let $\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$ be the left Hilbert algebra we have constructed in Section 10.15. If $\{\sigma_t\}$ is the group of the modular automorphism of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_\varphi)$, which is associated to $\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$, we shall denote

$$\sigma_t^\varphi = \pi_t^{-1} \circ \sigma_t \circ \varphi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and we shall say that $\{\sigma_t^\varphi\}$ is the group of the modular automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$, which is associated to $\varphi$.

Obviously, the assertions made in the preceding theorem are true for $\mathcal{M}, \varphi$ and $\{\sigma_t^\varphi\}$.

10.18. Let $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra, and $\varphi_\mathfrak{H}$ the associated weight on $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})^+$. In this section we shall prove that $\varphi_\mathfrak{H}$ is normal.

In accordance with assertion (11) from Section 10.16, there exists a faithful, semifinite, normal weight $\varphi \leq \varphi_\mathfrak{H}$ on $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})^+$, which is invariant with respect to the group $\{\sigma_t\}$ and which coincides with $\varphi_\mathfrak{H}$ on $\mathfrak{M}_+^\mathfrak{H}$.

Let $a \in \mathfrak{M}_+^\mathfrak{H}$. We define

$$a_n = \sqrt{n/\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-nt^2} \sigma_t(a) \, dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From Proposition 3.21 and Corollary 3.20, we infer that there exists a net $\{u_n\} \subset \mathfrak{M}_+^\mathfrak{H}$, such that $u_n \uparrow 1$. From assertion (9) from Section 10.16, and the discussion preceding it, we have $a_n u_n a_n \in \mathfrak{M}_+^\mathfrak{H}$; hence

$$\varphi_\mathfrak{H}(a_n u_n a_n) = \varphi(a_n u_n a_n) \leq \varphi((a_n)^2) \leq \|a_n\| \varphi(a_n).$$

By taking into account the normality of $\varphi$ and the fact that $\{\sigma_t\}$ leaves invariant the weight $\varphi$, we have

$$\varphi(a_n) = \sqrt{n/\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-nt^2} \varphi(\sigma_t(a)) \, dt = \varphi(a), \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$
hence, for any \( n \), we have \( \varphi_{\mathfrak{m}}(a_n u a_n) \leq \varphi(a) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \); hence
\[
\varphi_{\mathfrak{m}}((a_n)^2) \leq \varphi(a), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Since \( (a_n)^2 \to a^2 \), with the help of the lower \( w \)-semicontinuity of the weight \( \varphi_{\mathfrak{m}} \), we infer that
\[
\varphi_{\mathfrak{m}}(a^2) \leq \varphi(a) + \infty,
\]
i.e., \( a^2 \in \mathfrak{M}^+_{\mathfrak{m}} \).

From what we have just proved, it follows that
\[
(\mathfrak{M}_\varphi)^2 \subset \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}.
\]

In accordance with Theorem 10.17, \( \varphi_{\mathfrak{m}} \) satisfies the KMS-condition with respect to \( \{\sigma_t\} \), for any pair of elements in \( (\mathfrak{M}_\varphi)^2 \subset \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{m}} \). Since on \( (\mathfrak{M}_\varphi)^2 \subset \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{m}} \) the weights \( \varphi \) and \( \varphi_{\mathfrak{m}} \) coincide, it follows that \( \varphi \) satisfies the KMS-condition, for any pair of elements in \( (\mathfrak{M}_\varphi)^2 \). If we now apply the uniqueness part of Theorem 10.17, we infer that
\[
\sigma_\varphi = \sigma_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

We also consider the left Hilbert algebra \( \mathfrak{A}_\varphi \) and we denote by \( S_\varphi, A_\varphi, J_\varphi \), the corresponding operators. Let
\[
v_\varphi = 1/\sqrt{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+ \infty} e^{-t} \sigma_t(u_t) dt.
\]
Since the mapping is \( u_t \to \sigma_t(u_t) \) has an entire analytic continuation, from Proposition 9.24 we infer that, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), we have
\[
\mathcal{D}(\varphi_\varphi)^{-\alpha} \pi_\varphi(v_\varphi) \varphi_\varphi^{-\alpha} \mathcal{D}(\varphi_\varphi)^{-\alpha} = \mathcal{D}(\varphi_\varphi)^{-\alpha}
\]
and the operator \( (A_\varphi)^{-\alpha} \pi_\varphi(v_\varphi) (A_\varphi)^{-\alpha} \) is bounded. We denote
\[
F_{\varphi_\varphi}(\alpha) = (A_\varphi)^{-\alpha} \pi_\varphi(v_\varphi) (A_\varphi)^{-\alpha} = 1/\sqrt{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+ \infty} e^{-(t+i\alpha)\sigma_t(u_t)} dt.
\]
It is easy to verify that, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \),
\[
F_{\varphi_\varphi}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\text{loc}} 1,
\]
\[
\|F_{\varphi_\varphi}(\alpha)\| \leq e^{(\Re \alpha)n}.
\]
For any \( a \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi^+ \) and any \( v \) we have
\[
\varphi_{\mathfrak{m}}(v a v) \leq \varphi_{\mathfrak{m}}((v)^2) < +\infty;
\]
hence
\[
\varphi_{\mathfrak{m}}(v a v) = \varphi(v a v).
\]
With the help of the lower $w$-seminormality of $\varphi$, we get

$$\varphi(a) \leq \sup_v \varphi(a_v) = \sup_v \varphi(a_v) = \sup_v \|a^{1/2}v\|^2 \varphi$$

$$= \sup_v \|S_{\varphi}v\|_2 S_{\varphi}(a^{1/2}) \|_2^2$$

$$= \sup_v \|J_{\varphi}(A\varphi)^{1/2}v\|_2 (A\varphi)^{-1/2}J_{\varphi}(a^{1/2}) \|_2^2$$

$$= \sup_v \|\pi_{\varphi}(F_{\varphi}(\varphi)) J_{\varphi}(a^{1/2}) \|_2^2$$

$$\leq e^{1/4} \|a^{1/2}\|_2^2 \leq e^{1/4} \varphi(a) < +\infty;$$

hence, $a \in \mathcal{M}^\oplus$.

Consequently, we have

$$\mathcal{M}^\oplus = \mathcal{M},$$

whence

$$\varphi = \varphi,$$

From the results in Section 10.16 and from those we have just obtained we infer the following

**Theorem.** Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra. We define the mapping

$$\varphi : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})^+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$$

by the formula

$$\varphi(a) = \begin{cases} \|\xi\|^2, & \text{if there exists a } \xi \in \mathcal{A}'' \text{, such that} \\ a^{1/2} = L_\xi, & a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})^+ \end{cases},$$

$$+\infty, \text{in the contrary case},$$

Then $\varphi$ is a faithful, semifinite, normal weight on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})^+$ and the mapping $\xi \mapsto L_\xi$ is a $*$-isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}''$ onto $\mathcal{M}^\oplus \cap \mathcal{M}^\om$, such that

$$(\xi \mid \zeta) = \varphi((L_\xi^* L_\zeta), \xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{A}''.

Two pairs $(\mathcal{M}_j, \varphi_j), j = 1, 2$, where $\varphi_j$ is a faithful, semifinite, normal weight on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_j$, are said to be equivalent if there exists a $*$-isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ such that $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \circ \pi$. Two left Hilbert algebras $\mathcal{A}_j \subset \mathcal{H}_j$, $j = 1, 2$, are said to be equivalent if there exists a unitary operator $u : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$, such that the restriction of $u$ to $\mathcal{A}_1$ be a $*$-isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_1$ onto $\mathcal{A}_2$.

From the above theorem and from Theorem 10.14 we infer that the associations

$$(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \mapsto \mathcal{A}_\varphi,$$

$$\mathcal{A} \mapsto (\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}), \varphi),$$

establish bijections, inverse to one another, between the classes of equivalence of pairs $(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, where $\varphi$ is a faithful, semifinite normal weight on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, and the classes of equivalence of left Hilbert algebras $\mathcal{A}$, such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}''$. 
10.19. The aim of the following sections is to exhibit some “suitable” elements in \( \mathfrak{M}’ \cap \mathfrak{M}’’ \) and to prove their “abundance”.

Let \( \mathfrak{M} \subset \mathcal{H} \) be a left Hilbert algebra. We consider the vector space \( \mathcal{I}_0 \), generated by the set

\[
\{ D^n \exp(-r \Delta) \exp(-s \Delta^{-1}) \xi; \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{M}’’, \quad r, s > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \}.
\]

**Lemma 1.** \( \mathcal{I}_0 \) is contained in \( \mathfrak{M}’’ \cap \mathfrak{M}’’’.

**Proof.** Let \( \xi \in \mathfrak{M}’’ \), \( r, s > 0 \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). We consider the curve \( \Gamma: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \), given by the formula

\[
\Gamma(t) = \begin{cases} 
- t - 1 + i, & \text{if } t \leq -1, \\
- e^{\frac{i \pi}{2} t}, & \text{if } -1 \leq t \leq 1, \\
t - 1 - i, & \text{if } t \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

We shall assume that \( n \geq 0 \). From Proposition 9.27 we infer that

\[
\exp(-s \Delta^{-1}) \xi = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \exp(-s \lambda) (\lambda - \Delta^{-1})^{-1} \xi d\lambda.
\]

With the help of Proposition 10.11, it is easy to verify that

\[
\exp(-s \Delta^{-1}) \xi \in \mathfrak{M}’.
\]

A similar argument now shows that

\[
D^n \exp(-r \Delta) \exp(-s \Delta^{-1}) \xi \in \mathfrak{M}’’.
\]

Assuming that \( n \leq 0 \) and by repeating the preceding argument, one obtains successively

\[
D^n \exp(-s \Delta^{-1}) \xi = (\Delta^{-1})^{-n} \exp(-s \Delta^{-1}) \xi \in \mathfrak{M}’,
\]

\[
D^n \exp(-r \Delta) \exp(-s \Delta^{-1}) \xi = \exp(-r \Delta) (D^n \exp(-s \Delta^{-1}) \xi) \in \mathfrak{M}’’.
\]

Hence, \( \mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathfrak{M}’’ \).

Let \( \xi \in \mathfrak{M}’’ \), \( r, s \geq 0 \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) again. From the preceding argument we infer that

\[
D^n \exp(-r \Delta) \exp\left(-\frac{s}{2} \Delta^{-1}\right) \xi \in \mathfrak{M}’’.
\]

The argument used in the first part of the proof shows that

\[
D^n \exp(-r \Delta) \exp(-s \Delta^{-1}) \xi = \exp\left(-\frac{s}{2} \Delta^{-1}\right) (D^n \exp(-r \Delta) \exp\left(-\frac{s}{2} \Delta^{-1}\right) \xi) \in \mathfrak{M}’.
\]

Consequently, \( \mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathfrak{M}’ \).

Q.E.D.

It is obvious that \( D \mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{I}_0 \) and \( \Delta^{-1} \mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{I}_0 \). With the help of Lemma 1 we get

\[
\xi \in \mathcal{I}_0 \Rightarrow S \xi = S (S S^*) \Delta \xi = S^* \Delta \xi \in S^* \mathfrak{M}’ \subset \mathfrak{M}’,
\]

\[
\xi \in \mathcal{I}_0 \Rightarrow S^* \xi = S^* (S^* S) \Delta^{-1} \xi = \Delta^{-1} \xi \in S \mathfrak{M}’’ \subset \mathfrak{M}’’.
\]
Lemma 2. $\mathcal{I}_0$ is contained in $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} D_{\alpha^a}$ and, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\overline{A^\alpha|\mathcal{I}_0} = A^\alpha.
$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{\alpha^n}$, with Corollary 9.21 we infer that

$$
\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} D_{\alpha^a}.
$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and assume that $(\zeta, A^\alpha \zeta) \in G_{\alpha^a}$ is orthogonal to the graph of the operator $A^\alpha|\mathcal{I}_0$. Then, for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}''$ we have

$$
(\zeta | \exp(-A)\exp(-A^{-1})\zeta) + (A^\alpha \zeta | A^\alpha \exp(-A)\exp(-A^{-1})\zeta) = 0,
$$

$$
(\zeta | (1 + A^{2\text{Res}})\exp(-A)\exp(-A^{-1})\zeta) = 0.
$$

Since the operator $(1 + A^{2\text{Res}})\exp(-A)\exp(-A^{-1}) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is positive and injective, its range is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{U}''$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$, it follows that

$$
(1 + A^{2\text{Res}})\exp(-A)\exp(-A^{-1})\mathcal{U}''
$$

is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Consequently, $\zeta = 0$.

We have thus proved that

$$
\overline{A^\alpha|\mathcal{I}_0} = A^\alpha.
$$

Q.E.D.

Lemma 3. For any $\zeta \in \mathcal{I}_0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
D_{(\alpha^n L_\zeta A^{-n})} = D_{(A^{-n})} \text{ and } A^n L_\zeta A^{-n} \subset L_{A^n \zeta},
$$

$$
D_{(\alpha^n R_\zeta A^{-n})} = D_{(A^{-n})} \text{ and } A^n R_\zeta A^{-n} \subset R_{A^n \zeta}.
$$

Proof. It is obvious that it is sufficient to prove the assertions in the lemma only for $n = 1$ and $n = -1$.

We consider the case $n = 1$. From Lemma 2 and Proposition 9.24, it is sufficient to prove that, for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{I}_0$, we have

$$
\zeta \in D_{(A_{L_\zeta A^{-1}})} \text{ and } A_{L_\zeta A^{-1}}(\zeta) = L_{A_\zeta}(\zeta),
$$

$$
\zeta \in D_{(A_{R_\zeta A^{-1}})} \text{ and } A_{R_\zeta A^{-1}}(\zeta) = R_{A_\zeta}(\zeta).
$$

Indeed, by taking into account the remark made just after Lemma 1, we have

$$
L_\zeta(A^{-1}(\zeta)) = S L_{A^{-1} S^* S_\zeta} = S L_{S^* S_\zeta} = A^{-1} L_{A_\zeta}(\zeta);
$$

hence

$$
L_\zeta A^{-1}(\zeta) \in D_A \text{ and } A L_\zeta A^{-1}(\zeta) = L_{A_\zeta}(\zeta).
$$

Similarly

$$
R_\zeta A^{-1}(\zeta) = L_{A^{-1} R_\zeta(\zeta)} = S L_{S^* S_\zeta} = S L_{S^* S_\zeta} = S R_{S^* S_\zeta} = A^{-1} R_{A_\zeta}(\zeta);
$$

$$
S S^* R_{S^* S_\zeta}(\zeta) = A^{-1} R_{A_\zeta}(\zeta);
$$
hence
\[ R_\xi A^{-1}(\zeta) \in \mathcal{D}_A \text{ and } \Delta R_\xi A^{-1}(\zeta) = R_\mathcal{A}_\xi(\zeta). \]

The case \( n = -1 \) can be treated similarly. \textbf{Q.E.D.}

We have not as yet used the fundamental theorem of Tomita (10.12). In what follows we shall use it, in order to extend Lemma 3, by replacing, in its statement, \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), by \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \).

**Lemma 4.** For any \( \xi \in \mathcal{I}_0 \) and any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) we have
\[ \Delta^\alpha \xi \in \mathcal{U}'' \cap \mathcal{U}', \]
\[ \mathcal{D}(\Delta^\alpha L_\xi A^{-\alpha}) = \mathcal{D}(\alpha^{\alpha} \xi) \text{ and } \Delta^\alpha L_\xi A^{-\alpha} \subset L_{\Delta^\alpha \xi}, \]
\[ \mathcal{D}(\Delta^\alpha R_\xi A^{-\alpha}) = \mathcal{D}(\alpha^{\alpha} \xi) \text{ and } \Delta^\alpha R_\xi A^{-\alpha} \subset R_{\Delta^\alpha \xi}. \]

**Proof.** Let \( \xi \in \mathcal{I}_0 \). In accordance with Lemma 2 and Corollary 9.21, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) we have \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Delta^\alpha} \), whereas the mapping
\[ \alpha \mapsto \Delta^\alpha \xi \]
is entire analytic.

On the other hand, in accordance with Lemma 2 and Proposition 9.24, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) we have \( \mathcal{D}(\Delta^\alpha L_\xi A^{-\alpha}) = \mathcal{D}(\alpha^{\alpha} \xi) \), the operator \( \Delta^\alpha L_\xi A^{-\alpha} \) is bounded and the mapping
\[ \alpha \mapsto F(\alpha) = \Delta^\alpha L_\xi A^{-\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) \]
is entire analytic.

For any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), we have \( \Delta^\alpha \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha^{\alpha} \eta) = \mathcal{D}_S \); hence the closed operator \( L_{\Delta^\alpha \xi} \) is defined. Theorem 10.12 shows that, for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have
\[ \Delta^U \xi \in \mathcal{U}'' \text{ and } L_{\Delta^\alpha \xi} = \Delta^U L_\xi A^{-U} = F(it). \]

Thus, for any \( \eta \in \mathcal{U}' \) the entire analytic functions
\[ \alpha \mapsto R_\eta(\Delta^\alpha \xi) = L_{\Delta^\alpha \xi}(\eta), \]
\[ \alpha \mapsto F(\alpha)(\eta) \]
coincide on the imaginary axis and, therefore, they coincide on the entire complex plane \( \mathbb{C} \).

Therefore, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), we have
\[ \| L_{\Delta^\alpha \xi}(\eta) \| = \| F(\alpha)(\eta) \| \leq \| F(\alpha) \| \| \eta \|, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{U}'; \]
hence
\[ \Delta^\alpha \xi \in \mathcal{U}'' \text{ and } L_{\Delta^\alpha \xi} = F(\alpha) = \Delta^\alpha L_\xi A^{-\alpha}. \]

Similarly one can show that for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), we have \( \Delta^\alpha \xi \in \mathcal{U}' \), and
\[ \mathcal{D}(\Delta^\alpha R_\xi A^{-\alpha}) = \mathcal{D}(\alpha^{\alpha} \xi) \text{ and } \Delta^\alpha R_\xi A^{-\alpha} \subset R_{\Delta^\alpha \xi}. \]

\textbf{Q.E.D.}
10.20. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra. We observe that, for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{A}'' \cap \mathcal{A}'$, we have

$$L_{\xi}(\eta) = R_{\eta}(\xi).$$

We can, therefore, use the notation $\xi \eta$ both in the sense of the product in $\mathcal{A}''$ and in the sense of the product in $\mathcal{A}'$. Obviously, $\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{A}''$ is an algebra.

We now consider the vector space

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ \xi \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}_{a^2} \mid \begin{array}{c}
\text{for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ we have }
\Delta^a \xi \in \mathcal{A}'' \cap \mathcal{A}', \\
\mathcal{D}(a^2 L_{\xi} A^{-\alpha} = \mathcal{D}(a^{-\alpha}) \text{ and } \Delta^a L_{\xi} A^{-\alpha} \subset L_{a^2 \xi}, \\
\mathcal{D}(a^2 R_{\xi} A^{-\alpha} = \mathcal{D}(a^{-\alpha}) \text{ and } \Delta^a R_{\xi} A^{-\alpha} \subset R_{a^2 \xi}.
\end{array} \right\}$$

The following theorem of "calculus in $\mathcal{I}$" is the culminating point of Tomita's theory.

**Theorem.** Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a left Hilbert algebra. Then $\mathcal{I}$ is a left Hilbert subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}''$ and

$$\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{A}', \quad \mathcal{I}'' = \mathcal{A}''.$$

Moreover,

1. $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}_{a^2}$, $\Delta^a \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}$ and $\overline{\Delta^a \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I} = \Delta^a$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$;

2. $J \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}$;

3. $\Delta^a J_{\xi} = JA^{-\alpha} \xi$, $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$;

4. $\Delta^a(\xi \eta) = (\Delta^a \xi)(\Delta^a \eta)$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{I}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$;

5. $J(\xi \eta) = J(\eta)J(\xi)$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{I}$.

**Proof.** We first prove assertion (1). Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{I}$ we have $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}_{a^2}$.

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. It is easy to see that if $\eta \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}_{a^2}$, then $\eta$ belongs to the domains of definition of the operators $\Delta^a + \beta L_{\xi} A^{-\alpha - \beta}$ and $\Delta^a L_{a^2 \xi} A^{-\beta}$ and the following equality holds

$$\Delta^a L_{a^2 \xi} A^{-\beta}(\eta) = \Delta^a + \beta L_{\xi} A^{-\alpha - \beta}(\eta) = L_{a^2 + \beta \xi}(\eta).$$

Since

$$\overline{\Delta^a \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I} = \Delta^a,$$

from the preceding equality and from Proposition 9.24, we infer that

$$\mathcal{D}(a^2 L_{a^2 \xi} A^{-\beta}) = \mathcal{D}(a^{-\beta}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^a L_{a^2 \xi} A^{-\beta} \subset L_{a^2}(a^2 \xi).$$

Similarly

$$\mathcal{D}(a^2 R_{a^2 \xi} A^{-\beta}) = \mathcal{D}(a^{-\beta}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^a R_{a^2 \xi} A^{-\beta} \subset R_{a^2}(a^2 \xi).$$
Consequently,

\[ \Delta^a \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}. \]

In accordance with Lemma 4 from Section 10.19, the vector space \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) is contained in \( \mathcal{X} \). Thus, Lemma 2 from Section 10.19 shows that

\[ \overline{\Delta^a} | \mathcal{X} = \Delta^a. \]

We now prove assertion (3). With the help of the formula \( f(A)J = J\overline{f(A^{-1})} \) from Section 10.1 we infer that, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{X} \) and any \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{C} \), we have \( J\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_{\Delta^a} \)

and

\[ \Delta^a J\zeta = J\Delta^{-\tilde{a}}\zeta. \]

We now prove assertion (2). Let \( \zeta \in \mathcal{X} \). Then, as we have seen, \( J\zeta \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{D}_{\Delta^a} \),

and, from Theorem 10.12, we infer that

\[ \Delta^a J\zeta = J(\Delta^{-\tilde{a}}\zeta) \in \mathcal{H}'' \cap \mathcal{H}', \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{C}. \]

By using again Theorem 10.12, we infer that for any \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{C} \), we have the relations

\[ \Delta^a L_{J\zeta} \Delta^{-a} = \Delta^a J R_{\tilde{\zeta}} J \Delta^{-a} = J \Delta^{-\tilde{a}} R_{\tilde{\zeta}} \Delta^a J \subset J R_{\Delta^{-\tilde{a}} \tilde{\zeta}} J = L_{J\Delta^{-\tilde{a}} \tilde{\zeta}} = L_{\Delta^a J\zeta} \]

and

\[ \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^a L_{J\zeta} \Delta^{-a})} = \mathcal{D}_{(J R_{\tilde{\zeta}} \Delta^a J)} = \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^{-\tilde{a}} \tilde{\zeta})} = \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^{-a})}. \]

Similarly, one can show that, for any \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{C} \), we have

\[ \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^a R_{\tilde{\zeta}} \Delta^{-a})} = \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^{-a})} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^a R_{\tilde{\zeta}} \Delta^{-a} \subset R_{\Delta^a \tilde{\zeta}}. \]

We now prove assertion (4). Let \( \zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{X} \) and \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{C} \). Then

\[ \Delta^a \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^{-a})} = \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^a L_{\zeta} \Delta^{-a})}; \]

hence

\[ \xi \eta = L_\xi(\eta) = L_\xi \Delta^{-a}(\Delta^a \eta) \in \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^a)}. \]

and

\[ \Delta^a(\xi \eta) = \Delta^a L_\xi \Delta^{-a}(\Delta^a \eta) = L_{\Delta^a \xi}(\Delta^a \eta) = (\Delta^a \xi)(\Delta^a \eta). \]

We also have

\[ \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^a L_{\zeta} \Delta^{-a})} = \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^a L_{\xi} \Delta^{-a})} = \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^{-a})} \]

and

\[ \Delta^a L_{\xi \eta} \Delta^{-a} = (\Delta^a L_\xi \Delta^{-a})(\Delta^a L_\eta \Delta^{-a}) \subset L_{\Delta^a \xi \Delta^a \eta} = L_{\Delta^a(\xi \eta)}. \]
Similarly, one proves the following relations
\[ \mathcal{D}_{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \Delta^{-\alpha} - a} = \mathcal{D}_{\langle \alpha - a \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^{\alpha} R_{\xi, \eta} \Delta^{-\alpha} \subset R_{\Delta^{\alpha} (\xi, \eta)}. \]

We have thus proved that, if \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{I} \), then
\[ \xi \eta \in \mathcal{I}, \]
whereas from assertions (1) and (2) we infer that, if \( \xi \in \mathcal{I} \), then
\[ S\xi = J\Delta^{1/2} \xi \in \mathcal{I}. \]

Since \( \mathcal{I} \supset \mathcal{I}_0 \), we infer that \( \mathcal{I} \) is dense in \( \mathcal{H} \). From what we have already proved and from Lemma 2, Section 10.5, it follows that \( \mathcal{I} \) is a left Hilbert subalgebra of \( \mathcal{U}'' \).

From assertion (1) we infer that \( \Delta^{1/2} |\mathcal{I} = \Delta^{1/2} \) and, therefore,
\[ S |\mathcal{I} = S. \]

With the help of Lemma 3, from Section 10.5, we deduce the equalities
\[ \mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{U}', \quad \mathcal{I}'' = \mathcal{U}''. \]

Q.E.D.

We shall call \( \mathcal{I} \) the Tomita algebra associated to the left Hilbert algebra \( \mathcal{U} \).

10.21. We shall now prove a criterion with the help of which we can establish that an element belongs to \( \mathcal{I} \).

**Corollary 1.** Let \( \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{H} \) be a left Hilbert algebra, \( \mathcal{I} \) the associated Tomita algebra, \( \xi \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \) and \( \{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R} \) a family of real numbers, such that
\[ \lim_{n \to -\infty} \varepsilon_n = -\infty, \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} \varepsilon_n = +\infty. \]

Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( \xi \in \mathcal{I} \);

(ii) for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have \( \Delta^{\varepsilon_n} \xi \in \mathcal{U}'' \);

(iii) for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have \( \Delta^{\varepsilon_n} \xi \in \mathcal{U}' \);

(iv) for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have \( \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\langle d_{\alpha} L_{\xi, a} \Delta^{-\varepsilon_n} \rangle} \) and the operator \( \Delta^{\varepsilon_n} L_{\xi} \Delta^{-\varepsilon_n} |\mathcal{I} \) is bounded;

(v) for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have \( \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\langle d_{\alpha} R_{\xi, a} \Delta^{-\varepsilon_n} \rangle} \) and the operator \( \Delta^{\varepsilon_n} R_{\xi} \Delta^{-\varepsilon_n} |\mathcal{I} \) is bounded.

**Proof.** We shall prove the implications
\[ (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i); \]

the proofs of the implications
\[ (i) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (i) \]

are completely similar.

The implication \( (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \) is obvious.
We now assume that $A^{\kappa} \xi \in \mathcal{U}'$, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{I}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa}(\eta) = R_{A^{-\kappa}(\eta)}(\xi) = A^{-\kappa} R_{\eta} A^{\kappa} \xi = A^{-\kappa} L_{\eta} A^{\kappa}(\eta);$$

hence

$$L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa}(\eta) \in \mathcal{D}_{(A^{\kappa})} \text{ and } A^{\kappa} L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa}(\eta) = L_{\eta} A^{\kappa}(\eta).$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}_{(A^{\kappa} L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa})} \text{ and } A^{\kappa} L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa} |\mathcal{I} \subset L_{\eta} A^{\kappa} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Finally, let us assume that $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}_{(A^{\kappa} L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa})}$ and also that the operator $A^{\kappa} L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa} |\mathcal{I}$ is bounded, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote

$$x_{0} = \overline{A^{\kappa} L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa}} |\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}_{(A^{\kappa} x_{0} \kappa^{-\kappa} \eta)}$ and the operator $A^{\kappa} x_{0} \kappa^{-\kappa} \eta |\mathcal{I} = A^{\kappa} L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa} |\mathcal{I}$ is bounded. With the help of Theorem 10.20(1), from Proposition 9.24 we infer that, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{(A^{\kappa} x_{0} \kappa^{-\kappa})} = \mathcal{D}_{(\kappa^{-\kappa})}$ and the operator $A^{\kappa} \kappa^{-\kappa} x_{0} \kappa^{-\kappa} \eta$ is bounded. In particular, the operator $A^{-\kappa} x_{0} \kappa^{-\kappa} \eta$ is bounded.

On the other hand, if $\eta \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A^{\kappa} \eta \in \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}_{(A^{\kappa} L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa})}$; hence

$$L_{\xi}(\eta) = A^{-\kappa}(A^{\kappa} x_{0} A^{-\kappa}) A^{\kappa}(\eta) = A^{\kappa} x_{0} A^{-\kappa}(\eta).$$

Thus, $L_{\xi} |\mathcal{I} \subset A^{-\kappa} x_{0} A^{-\kappa}$ is bounded. It follows that the closed operator $L_{\xi}$ is bounded, hence $\xi \in \mathcal{U}''$.

If we now again apply Proposition 9.24, we infer that, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{(A^{\kappa} L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa})} = \mathcal{D}_{(A^{-\kappa})}$ and the operator $A^{\kappa} L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa}$ is bounded, whereas the mapping

$$\alpha \mapsto F(\alpha) = \overline{A^{\kappa} L_{\xi} A^{-\kappa}} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

is entire analytic.

Theorem 10.12 now shows that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$A^{\mu} \xi \in \mathcal{U}'' \text{ and } L_{A^{\mu} \xi} = A^{\mu} L_{\xi} A^{-\mu} = F(it).$$

Thus, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{U}'$, the entire analytic functions

$$\alpha \mapsto R_{\eta}(A^{\alpha} \xi) = L_{A^{\alpha} \xi}(\eta),$$

$$\alpha \mapsto F(\alpha)(\eta),$$

coincide on the imaginary axis; hence, everywhere.

Consequently, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\|L_{A^{\alpha} \xi}(\eta)\| = \|F(\alpha)(\eta)\| \leq \|F(\alpha)\| \|\eta\|, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{U}';$$

therefore

$$A^{\alpha} \xi \in \mathcal{U}'' \text{ and } L_{A^{\alpha} \xi} = F(\alpha) \Rightarrow A^{\alpha} L_{\xi} A^{-\alpha}.$$
For any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) we have \( \Delta^\alpha - (1/2) \xi \in \mathcal{U}'' \); hence
\[
\Delta^\alpha \xi = \Delta^{1/2}(\Delta^\alpha - (1/2) \xi) \in \Delta^{1/2} \mathcal{U}'' \subset \mathcal{U}'.
\]

Finally, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) and any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{I} \) we have
\[
R_{\xi} \Delta^{-\alpha} (\zeta) = L_{-\alpha} \xi \Delta^\alpha \xi = \Delta^{-\alpha} R_{\alpha} \xi \Delta^\alpha \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Delta^\alpha}
\]
and
\[
\Delta^\alpha R_{\xi} \Delta^{-\alpha} (\zeta) = R_{\alpha} \xi \Delta^\alpha \xi.
\]

If we now apply Proposition 9.24, it follows that, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), we have
\[
\mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^\alpha R_{\xi} \Delta^{-\alpha})} = \mathcal{D}_{(\Delta^{-\alpha})} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^\alpha R_{\xi} \Delta^{-\alpha} \subset R_{\Delta^\alpha} \xi.
\]

Q.E.D.

Let us now consider a vector \( \xi \in \mathcal{K} \). For any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) we shall define
\[
\xi_\varepsilon = \sqrt{\varepsilon / \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\varepsilon \Delta^\mu} \xi \, dt \in \mathcal{K}.
\]

Then the mapping
\[
\alpha \mapsto \sqrt{\varepsilon / \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\varepsilon (t + ia)^\mu} \Delta^\alpha \xi \, dt
\]
is an entire analytic continuation of the mapping
\[
is \mapsto \Delta^\mu \xi_\varepsilon;
\]
hence, in accordance with Corollary 9.21, we have
\[
\xi_\varepsilon \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}_{\Delta^\alpha},
\]
\[
\Delta^\alpha \xi_\varepsilon = \sqrt{\varepsilon / \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\varepsilon (t + ia)^\mu} \Delta^\alpha \xi \, dt, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

Therefore, by taking into account Corollary 10.12 and the above corollary, it follows that if \( \xi \) belongs to \( \mathcal{U}'' \), or to \( \mathcal{U}' \), then, for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the element \( \xi_\varepsilon \) belongs to \( \mathcal{I} \).

We observe that
\[
\xi_\varepsilon \underset{\varepsilon \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{K},
\]
a fact which is easy to establish, by taking into account the continuity of the mapping \( t \mapsto \Delta^\mu \xi \) at 0 and by using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.
One can similarly prove that

\[
L_\xi = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-itA_0} L_\xi A^{-1t} dt \xrightarrow{\text{so}} L_\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{U}'',
\]

\[
R_\xi = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-itA_0} R_\xi A^{-1t} dt \xrightarrow{\text{so}} R_\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{U}'.
\]

From the preceding arguments we retain the following

**Corollary 2.** Let \( \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{H} \) be a left Hilbert algebra and \( \mathcal{I} \) the associated Tomita algebra. Then, for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{U}'' \), there exists a sequence \( \{ \xi_n \} \subset \mathcal{I} \), such that

1. \( \xi_n \to \xi \), \( S\xi_n \to S\xi \);
2. \( L_{\xi_n} \xrightarrow{\text{so}} L_\xi \), \( (L_{\xi_n})^* \xrightarrow{\text{so}} (L_\xi)^* \);
3. \( ||L_{\xi_n}|| \leq ||L_\xi|| \), for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Similarly, for any \( \eta \in \mathcal{U}' \) there exists a sequence \( \{ \eta_n \} \subset \mathcal{I} \), such that

1'. \( \eta_n \to \eta \), \( S^*\eta_n \to S^*\eta \);
2'. \( R_{\eta_n} \xrightarrow{\text{so}} R_\eta \), \( (R_{\eta_n})^* \xrightarrow{\text{so}} (R_\eta)^* \);
3'. \( ||R_{\eta_n}|| \leq ||R_\eta|| \), for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

10.22. In this section we show that \( \mathcal{I} \) contains sufficiently many elements \( \xi \), such that \( ||A_0^x\xi|| \), \( ||L_{A_0^x}\xi|| \) and \( ||R_{A_0^x}\xi|| \) have exponential upper bounds depending on \( \text{Re} \alpha \).

Let \( \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{H} \) be a left Hilbert algebra and \( \mathcal{I} \) the associated Tomita algebra. We define the set \( \mathcal{S} \), consisting of all elements \( \xi \in \mathcal{I} \), such that there exist \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, +\infty) \), \( \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \), such that

\[
||A_0^x\xi|| \leq \lambda_2^{\text{Re} \alpha}, \quad ||L_{A_0^x}\xi|| \leq \lambda_2^{\text{Re} \alpha} ||L_\xi||, \quad ||R_{A_0^x}\xi|| \leq \lambda_2^{\text{Re} \alpha} ||R_\xi||, \text{ if } \text{Re} \alpha \geq 0,
\]

\[
||A_0^x\xi|| \leq \lambda_1^{\text{Re} \alpha}, \quad ||L_{A_0^x}\xi|| \leq \lambda_1^{\text{Re} \alpha} ||L_\xi||, \quad ||R_{A_0^x}\xi|| \leq \lambda_1^{\text{Re} \alpha} ||R_\xi||, \text{ if } \text{Re} \alpha \leq 0.
\]

We recall that if \( f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \) and if its inverse Fourier transform \( \hat{f} \), defined by

\[
\hat{f}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) e^{ist} dt, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},
\]

belongs to \( L^1(\mathbb{R}) \), then the following inversion formula holds:

\[
f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}(s) e^{-ist} ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

If \( f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \), \( \hat{f} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \) and supp \( \hat{f} \subset [c_1, c_2] \), then \( f \) has an entire analytic continuation

\[
\alpha \mapsto (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \hat{f}(s) e^{-is\alpha} ds,
\]
which is also denoted by $f$, and the following equality holds

$$\alpha^2 f(\alpha) = -(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \hat{f}''(s) e^{-i\alpha s} ds.$$ 

Consequently, if $\text{Im} \alpha \geq 0$, we have

$$|f(\alpha)| \leq \frac{c_2 - c_1}{2\pi} \left( \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(s)| + \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}''(s)| \right) \frac{1}{1 + |\alpha|^2} e^{c_1 \text{Im} \alpha},$$

whereas if $\text{Im} \alpha \leq 0$, we have

$$|f(\alpha)| \leq \frac{c_2 - c_1}{2\pi} \left( \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(s)| + \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}''(s)| \right) \frac{1}{1 + |\alpha|^2} e^{c_1 \text{Im} \alpha}.$$

**Corollary.** Let $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{L}$ be a left Hilbert algebra and $\mathcal{I}$ the associated Tomita algebra. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a left Hilbert subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}''$ and

$$\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{G}'' = \mathcal{H}''.$$

Moreover,

1. $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}^{-1}}$

   $$= \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) A^n \xi \, dt : \xi \in \mathcal{I}, \, f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}), \, \text{supp} \, f \text{ is compact} \right\};$$

2. $A^\alpha \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}$ and $\overline{A^\alpha |\mathcal{G}} = A^\alpha$, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$;

3. $\mathcal{J} \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}$.

**Proof.** Let $\xi \in \mathcal{G}$. Then there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, +\infty)$, $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, such that

$$\|A^\alpha \xi\| \leq \lambda_2^{\text{Re} \alpha} \|\xi\|, \quad \text{Re} \alpha \geq 0; \quad \|A^\alpha \xi\| \leq \lambda_1^{\text{Re} \alpha} \|\xi\|, \quad \text{Re} \alpha \leq 0.$$

Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$(\lambda_2 + \epsilon)^n \|\chi_{[\lambda_2, +\infty)}(A) \xi\| \leq \|A^n \xi\| \leq \lambda_2^n \|\xi\|, \quad n \geq 0,$$

whence

$$\chi_{[\lambda_2 + \epsilon, +\infty)}(A) \xi = 0.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\xi \in \chi_{[\lambda_1, +\infty)}(A) \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

One can similarly prove that

$$\xi \in \chi_{[\lambda_2, +\infty)}(A) \mathcal{H} = \chi_{[0, \lambda_1^{-1}]}(A^{-1}) \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}^{-1}}.$$

Consequently, we have proved that

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}^{-1}}.$$
Let $\xi \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{S}_d \cap \mathcal{S}_{d-1}$. There exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, +\infty)$, $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, such that

$$\xi \in \chi_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]}(d) \mathcal{H}.$$  

We now consider a function $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\hat{f}(s) = 1$, for any $s \in [\ln \lambda_1, \ln \lambda_2]$, and such that $\text{supp} \hat{f}$ be compact. Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \Delta^\mu \chi_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]}(\lambda) \, dt = \chi_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]}(d), \quad \lambda \in (0, +\infty).$$

With the help of Theorem 9.11 (vi), it is easy to prove that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \Delta^\mu \chi_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]}(\lambda) \, dt = \chi_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]}(d);$$

hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \Delta^\mu \xi \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \Delta^\mu \chi_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]}(\lambda) \xi \, dt = \chi_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]}(d) \xi = \xi.$$ 

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{S}_d \cap \mathcal{S}_{d-1} = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \Delta^\mu \xi \, dt; \ \xi \in \mathcal{I}, \ f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}), \ \text{supp} \hat{f} \ \text{is compact} \right\}.$$  

Finally, let us consider an element

$$\zeta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \Delta^\mu \xi \, dt,$$

where $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{supp} \hat{f} \in [c_1, c_2]$, $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_1 \leq c_2$. Then

$$\alpha \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \Delta^\mu (\Delta^\alpha \xi) \, dt$$

is an entire analytic continuation of the mapping

$$is \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \Delta^\mu (\Delta^is \xi) \, dt = \Delta^is \xi.$$  

If we now apply Corollary 9.21, we get

$$\zeta \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^\alpha \zeta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \Delta^\mu (\Delta^\alpha \xi) \, dt, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$  

In accordance with Corollary 10.12 and Corollary 1 from Section 10.21, we infer that

$$\zeta \in \mathcal{I}.$$  

Let $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$, be such that $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\hat{g}(s) = 1$ for any $s \in [c_1, c_2]$, and $\text{supp} \hat{g} \in [c_1 - \varepsilon, c_2 + \varepsilon]$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, if we denote by "*" the convolution product.
in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}) \), we have
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(t) \, A^t \zeta \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (g \ast f)(t) \, A^t \zeta \, dt.
\]
Since \( (g \ast f)^\wedge = \hat{g} \hat{f} = \hat{f} \), we infer that \( g \ast f = f \) and, therefore,
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(t) \, A^t \zeta \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \, A^t \zeta \, dt = \zeta.
\]
With the help of Corollary 9.21, as above we can prove that
\[
A^a \zeta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(t) \, A^t (A^a \zeta) \, dt, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}.
\]
We denote
\[
c = \frac{c_2 - c_1 + 2\epsilon}{2\pi} (\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{g}''(s)| + \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{g}'''(s)|).
\]
With the help of the Cauchy integral formula and the remarks at the beginning of the present section, we infer that, for any \( n \geq 0 \),
\[
\|A^n \zeta\| = \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(t) \, A^{t+in} \zeta \, dt \right\| = \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(t + in) \, A^{t} \zeta \, dt \right\|
\leq c\|\zeta\| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1 + t^2} dt \cdot e^{\epsilon n} = \pi c\|\zeta\| \, e^{\epsilon n}.
\]
If we now apply the "three lines" theorem (see N. Dunford and J. Schwartz [1], VI.10.3), we obtain, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \, \text{Re} \alpha \geq 0 \), and any \( n \geq \text{Re} \alpha \),
\[
\|A^a \zeta\| \leq \|\zeta\| \, e^{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{\text{Re} \alpha}{n} \cdot \|A^n \zeta\|} \leq \|\zeta\| \, \left(\pi c\|\zeta\|\right)^{\frac{1}{n} - \frac{\text{Re} \alpha}{n}} \cdot e^{\epsilon n \cdot \text{Re} \alpha}.
\]
Tending to the limit for \( n \to +\infty \), we get
\[
\|A^a \zeta\| \leq \|\zeta\| \, e^{1 \cdot \text{Re} \alpha}, \quad \text{Re} \alpha \geq 0.
\]
Similarly, one can prove that
\[
\|A^a \zeta\| \leq \|\zeta\| \, e^{1 \cdot \text{Re} \alpha}, \quad \text{Re} \alpha \leq 0.
\]
On the other hand, in accordance with Corollary 10.12,
\[
L_\zeta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(t) \, L_{A^t} \zeta \, dt.
\]
With the help of Proposition 9.24, it is easy to verify that
\[
L_{A^a \zeta} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(t) \, L_{A^t (A^a \zeta)} \, dt, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}.
\]
If we argue as above, we first obtain
\[ \|L_{\alpha} \xi\| \leq \pi c \|L \xi\| e^{\alpha n}, \quad n \geq 0, \]
and then,
\[ \|L_{\alpha} \xi\| \leq \|L \xi\| e^{\alpha \text{Re} \alpha}, \quad \text{Re} \alpha \geq 0; \]
similarly, one obtains
\[ \|L_{\alpha} \xi\| \leq \|L \xi\| e^{\alpha \text{Re} \alpha}, \quad \text{Re} \alpha \leq 0. \]

If we now repeat the above arguments for \( R \), instead of \( L \), we obtain
\[ \|R_{\alpha} \xi\| \leq \|R \xi\| e^{\alpha \text{Re} \alpha}, \quad \text{Re} \alpha \geq 0, \]
\[ \|R_{\alpha} \xi\| \leq \|R \xi\| e^{\alpha \text{Re} \alpha}, \quad \text{Re} \alpha \leq 0. \]

Thus, \( \xi \in \mathcal{S} \).

Consequently, we have just proved the inclusion
\[
\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \, d\xi(t) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{S}, f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}), \text{ supp } \hat{f} \text{ is compact} \right\} \subset \mathcal{S}.
\]

From relations (\(*\)), (\(**\)), (\(***)\) we infer that assertion (1) is true.

If \( \xi \in \mathcal{S} \) and \( f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}) \) is such that \( \text{ supp } \hat{f} \) is compact, then, by taking into account Theorem 10.20, we get
\[ \Delta_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \, d\xi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Delta_{\alpha} f(t) \, d\xi(t), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \]
\[ J \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \, d\xi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{f}(t) \, d\xi(t). \]

Thus, we have proved that
\[ \Delta_{\alpha} \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \quad J \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}. \]

We consider a function \( f_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}) \), such that \( \hat{f}_0(s) = 1 \) for any \( s \in [-1, 1] \), and such that \( \text{ supp } \hat{f}_0 \) be compact. We write
\[ f_n(t) = n f_0(nt), \quad n \geq 1. \]

Then \( \hat{f}_n(s) = \hat{f}_0(s/n) \), \( s \in \mathbb{R} \); hence
\[ \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_n(t) \, d\xi(t) \right) \chi_{[e^{-n}, e^n]}(\lambda) = \chi_{[e^{-n}, e^n]}(\lambda). \]

With the help of Theorem 9.11 (vi), it is easy to prove that
\[ \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_n(t) \, d\xi(t) \right) \chi_{[e^{-n}, e^n]}(D) = \chi_{[e^{-n}, e^n]}(D). \]
Since, for any $n$, we have
\[
\left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(t) \, d\mu \right\| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_n(t)| \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_0(t)| \, dt,
\]
from the preceding equality we infer that
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(t) \, d\mu \rightarrow 1.
\]
Thus, for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{H}$ and any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{C}$, we get
\[
A^\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(t) \, d\mu \xi \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(t) \, d\mu (A^\alpha \xi) \, dt \rightarrow A^\alpha \xi.
\]
With the help of assertion (1) and of Theorem 10.20(1), it follows that
\[
\overline{A^\alpha \mathbb{S}} = \overline{A^\alpha \mathfrak{H}} = A^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{C}.
\]
Thus, assertions (2) and (3) are also true.

Finally, if we now use the definition of $\mathbb{S}$, it is easy to see that
\[
\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{S} \Rightarrow L_{\alpha}(\xi_2) \in \mathbb{S}.
\]
With the help of assertions (2) and (3) we get
\[
\xi \in \mathbb{S} \Rightarrow S^\xi = J A^{1/2} \xi \in \mathbb{S}.
\]
From assertion (2) we infer that $\overline{A^{1/2} \mathbb{S}} = A^{1/2}$; hence
\[
\overline{S \mathbb{S}} = S.
\]
If we apply Lemmas 2 and 3 from Section 10.5, we infer that $\mathbb{S}$ is a left Hilbert subalgebra of $\mathfrak{H}$, and
\[
\mathbb{S}' = \mathbb{H}', \quad \mathbb{S}'' = \mathbb{H}''.
\]
Q.E.D.

10.23. Let $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{K}$ be a left Hilbert algebra and $\mathfrak{H}$ the Tomita algebra associated to $\mathfrak{A}$ (see Section 10.20). In Section 10.8 we introduced two cones, polar to one another:
\[
\mathbb{P}_S \subset \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{(\lambda n)}, \quad \mathbb{P}_S^* \subset \mathcal{D}_* = \mathcal{D}_{(\lambda - 1)n}.
\]
With the help of Proposition 10.8 and of Theorem 10.12, it is easy to verify that
\[
J \mathbb{P}_S = \mathbb{P}_S^*.
\]
Since $S^\xi = \xi$ for any $\xi \in \mathbb{P}_S$, we infer that
\[
A^{1/2} \mathbb{P}_S = \mathbb{P}_S^*.
\]
Consequently,
\[
A^{1/4} \mathbb{P}_S = A^{-1/4} \mathbb{P}_S^*.
\]
In the present section we shall study the set

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A}^{1/4}\mathcal{P}_S = \mathcal{A}^{-1/4}\mathcal{P}_S^*.$$  

Since $\mathcal{P}_S$ and $\mathcal{P}_S^*$ are convex cones, it follows that $\mathcal{P}$ is a closed convex cone.

In order to make the notations as simple and as expressive as possible, we shall use the following abbreviations, already introduced above (see Section 10.4):

$$\xi\eta = L_{\xi}(\eta), \text{ for } \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{W}''; \xi\eta = R_{\eta}(\xi), \text{ for } \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{W}'.$$

We recall that, if $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{W}' \cap \mathcal{W}''$, then $L_{\xi}(\eta) = R_{\eta}(\xi)$. With these notations, we have the equalities

$$\mathcal{P}_S = \{\xi(S\xi); \xi \in \mathcal{W}''\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_S^* = \{\eta(S^*\eta); \eta \in \mathcal{W}'\}.$$  

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{W}''$ and let $\{\xi_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ be a sequence having the properties from Corollary 2, Section 10.21. Then it is easy to prove that

$$\xi_n(S\xi_n) = L_{\xi_n}(S\xi_n) \to L_{\xi}(S\xi) = \xi(S\xi).$$

Consequently,

(1) $$\mathcal{P}_S = \overline{\mathcal{P}^0_S}, \text{ where } \mathcal{P}^0_S = \{\xi(S\xi); \xi \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$  

Similarly,

(1') $$\mathcal{P}_S^* = \overline{\mathcal{P}^0_{S^*}}, \text{ where } \mathcal{P}^0_{S^*} = \{\eta(S^*\eta); \eta \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$  

By taking into account Theorem 10.20, it follows that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ we have

$$\mathcal{A}^{1/4}(\xi(S\xi)) = \mathcal{A}^{1/4}(\xi) \mathcal{A}^{1/4}(S\xi) = (\mathcal{A}^{1/4}(\xi)) (\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}^{1/4}(\xi))).$$

Since $\mathcal{A}^{1/4}\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}$, we hence infer that, if we denote

$$\mathcal{P}^0 = \{\xi(J\xi); \xi \in \mathcal{I}\},$$

then we have

$$\mathcal{A}^{1/4}\mathcal{P}^0_S = \mathcal{P}^0.$$  

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1/4}\mathcal{P}^0_{S^*} = \mathcal{P}^0.$$  

If $\xi \in \mathcal{P}_S$, then there exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}^0_S$, such that $\xi_n \to \xi$. Since $S\xi_n = \xi_n$, $S\xi = \xi$ and $\mathcal{A}^{1/2} = J\mathcal{S}$, it follows that $\mathcal{A}^{1/2}\xi_n \to \mathcal{A}^{1/2}\xi$. Therefore,

$$\|A^{1/4}\xi - A^{1/4}\xi_n\| = (\mathcal{A}^{1/2}(\xi - \xi_n)) \to 0,$$

whence $A^{1/4}\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{A}^{1/4}\mathcal{P}_S} = \mathcal{P}^0$. Consequently, $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}^0$. On the other hand, it is obvious that $\mathcal{P}^0 = \mathcal{A}^{1/4}\mathcal{P}^0_S \subset \mathcal{A}^{1/4}\mathcal{P}_S \subset \mathcal{P}$. Therefore,

(2) $$\mathcal{P} = \overline{\mathcal{P}^0} = \{\xi(J\xi); \xi \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$
With the help of relation (2) and of Corollary 2 from Section 10.21, it is easy to verify that

\( \mathcal{P} = \{ \xi(J \xi); \xi \in \mathcal{U}'' \} = \{ (J \eta) \eta; \eta \in \mathcal{U} \} \).

From relation (2) it obviously follows that

\( \zeta \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow J \zeta = \zeta. \)

For any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) and any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{X} \), in accordance with Theorem 10.20, we have

\[ A^\mu(J \zeta) = A^\mu(\zeta) \ A^\mu(J \zeta) = (A^\mu(\zeta)) (J(A^\mu(\zeta))). \]

Thus, \( A^\mu \mathcal{P}^0 = \mathcal{P}^0 \). By taking into account relation (2), we get

\[ A^\mu \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \]

Since \( \mathcal{P} \) is a closed convex cone, from (5) we infer that

\[ \zeta \in \mathcal{P}, \ f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}), \ f \geq 0 \Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \ A^\mu \zeta \ dt \in \mathcal{P}. \]

If \( \zeta \in \mathcal{U}'' \) and \( \zeta \in \mathcal{X} \), then, by taking into account Corollary 1 from Section 10.13, we get

\[ [L_\zeta(JL_\zeta J)](\zeta(J \zeta)) = L_\zeta JL_\zeta(JL_\zeta J)(\zeta) = L_\zeta(JL_\zeta J) L_\zeta(\zeta) = L_\zeta L_\zeta JL_\zeta(\zeta) = (\zeta \zeta) (J(\zeta \zeta)) ; \]

hence \( [L_\zeta(JL_\zeta J)] \mathcal{P}^0 \subset \mathcal{P} \), and, therefore, \( [L_\zeta(JL_\zeta J)] \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P} \). With the help of Kaplansky's density theorem (3.10), we now infer that

\[ x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \Rightarrow [x(JxJ)] \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}. \]

For any \( \xi \in \mathcal{P}_S \) and any \( \eta \in \mathcal{P}_S^* \), we have

\[ (A^{1/4} \xi | A^{-1/4} \eta) = (\xi | \eta) \geq 0, \]

because the cones \( \mathcal{P}_S \) and \( \mathcal{P}_S^* \) are polar to one another (10.8). From this result and from the definition of \( \mathcal{P} \), it follows that

\[ \zeta, \theta \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow (\zeta | \theta) \geq 0. \]

On the other hand, let \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \) be such that \( (\zeta | \theta) \geq 0 \), for any \( \theta \in \mathcal{P} \). For any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we denote

\[ \zeta_n = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-nt^2} A^\mu \zeta \ dt, \quad \theta_n = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-nt^2} A^\mu \theta \ dt. \]

If \( \theta \in \mathcal{P} \), then, in accordance with relation (6), we have \( \theta_n \in \mathcal{P} \) and, therefore,

\[ (\zeta_n | \theta) = (\zeta | \theta_n) \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \]
In particular, if $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_S$, then $A^{1/4}\zeta \in \mathcal{P}$ and

$$(A^{1/4}\zeta_n|\zeta) = (\zeta_n\zeta_n) \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$

hence, in accordance with Proposition 10.8, we infer that $A^{1/4}\zeta_n \in \mathcal{P}_S$. Thus,

$$\zeta_n \in A^{-1/4}\mathcal{P}_S \subset \mathcal{P}.$$ 

Since $\zeta_n \to \zeta$ and since $\mathcal{P}$ is closed, it follows that $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}$.

Consequently, the cone $\mathcal{P}$ is selfpolar, i.e., for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ we have the equivalence

$$\zeta \in \mathcal{P} \iff (\zeta|\theta) \geq 0, \quad \text{for any } \theta \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (8)$$

If $\zeta \in \mathcal{P} \cap (-\mathcal{P})$, then, from relation (8), we infer that $(\zeta|\zeta) \geq 0$, whence $\zeta = 0$. Consequently,

$$\mathcal{P} \cap (-\mathcal{P}) = \{0\}. \quad (\ast)$$

Since $\mathcal{P}$ is a convex cone, having property $(\ast)$, we infer that $\mathcal{P}$ determines an order relation "$\leq$" in the set $\{\zeta \in \mathcal{H}; J\zeta = \zeta\}$:

$$\zeta \leq \theta \iff \theta - \zeta \in \mathcal{P}. \quad \zeta \leq \theta$$

We shall now prove that for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $J\zeta = \zeta$, there exist $\zeta^+, \zeta^- \in \mathcal{P}$, such that

$$\zeta = \zeta^+ - \zeta^-, \quad \zeta^+ \perp \zeta^- \quad \ast\ast$$

and these elements are uniquely determined by these conditions.

Indeed, let $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ be such that $J\zeta = \zeta$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is a closed convex subset of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique element $\zeta^+ \in \mathcal{P}$, such that

$$\|\zeta^+ - \zeta\| = \inf \{\|\theta - \zeta\|; \theta \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$ 

We denote $\zeta^- = \zeta^+ - \zeta$. For any $\theta \in \mathcal{P}$ and any $t \geq 0$ we have

$$0 \leq \|(\zeta^+ + t\theta) - \zeta\|^2 - \|\zeta^+ - \zeta\|^2 = t^2\|\theta\|^2 + 2t \text{ Re } (\zeta^-|\theta),$$

whence we infer that $\text{Re } (\zeta^-|\theta) \geq 0$. Since $J\zeta^- = \zeta^-$ and $J0 = \theta$, we have $(\zeta^-|\theta) = = \text{Re } (\zeta^+|\theta) \geq 0$. From relation (8) we infer that $\zeta^- \in \mathcal{P}$. On the other hand, for any $t \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$0 \leq \|(1-t)\zeta^+ - \zeta\|^2 - \|\zeta^+ - \zeta\|^2 = t^2\|\zeta^-\|^2 - 2t \text{ Re } (\zeta^+|\zeta^-),$$

whence we infer that $\text{Re } (\zeta^+|\zeta^-) \leq 0$. Since $\zeta^+, \zeta^- \in \mathcal{P}$, from relation (8) we infer that $(\zeta^+|\zeta^-) \geq 0$. Consequently, we have $\zeta^+ \perp \zeta^-$. Let us now assume that $\zeta = \zeta - \eta$, $\zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{P}$, $\xi \perp \eta$. Then $\zeta^+ = \zeta = \zeta^- - \eta$ and, therefore,

$$\|\zeta^+ - \zeta\|^2 = (\zeta^+ - \zeta|\zeta^- - \eta) = (\zeta^+|\eta) - (\zeta|\zeta^-) \leq 0,$$

whence $\zeta = \zeta^+, \eta = \zeta^-.$
In particular, from the proved assertion, we infer that $H$ coincides with the linear hull of $\mathcal{P}$:

$$H = (\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}) + i(\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}).$$

We observe that any selfpolar convex cone $\mathcal{P}$ in a Hilbert space $H$ determines a unique conjugation $J$ in $H$, such that $J\xi = \xi$, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{P}$. Indeed, if $\xi \in H$ and $\xi \perp \mathcal{P}$, then $\xi \in \mathcal{P}$, because $\mathcal{P}$ is selfpolar and, therefore, $(\xi|\xi) = 0$, whence $\xi = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{P}$ is a total subset of $H$; hence $(\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}) + i(\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P})$ is a dense subset of $H$. Consequently, the conjugation $J$ is uniquely determined by the formula

$$J(\xi + i\eta) = \xi - i\eta, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}.$$  

The decomposition (***) is valid in this more general situation, with the same proof. In particular, in this general situation $H$ coincides with the linear hull of $\mathcal{P}$, too.

We shall say that a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ is hyperstandard if there exists a conjugation $J: H \to H$ and a selfpolar convex cone $\mathcal{P} \subset H$, such that

1) the mapping $x \mapsto Jx^*J$ is a $*$-antiisomorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{M}'$, which acts identically on the center;

2) $\xi \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow J\xi = \xi$;

3) $x \in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow [x(JxJ)] \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}$.

From the above results we infer that, for any left Hilbert algebra $A \subset H$, the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{L}(A) \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a hyperstandard von Neumann algebra.

10.24. We now consider a hyperstandard von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$, with the conjugation $J$ and the selfpolar cone $\mathcal{P}$. We denote by $\mathcal{Z}$ the center of $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $e$ be a projection in $\mathcal{M}$. Then $JeJ$ is a projection in $\mathcal{M}'$, and $z(JeJ) = z(e)$. From Corollary 3.9, we easily infer that

$$e \neq 0 \Rightarrow e(JeJ) \neq 0.$$

We denote by $q$ the projection $e(JeJ) = (JeJ)e \in \mathcal{B}(H')$. By taking into account Sections 3.13, 3.14 and 3.15, we infer that $\mathcal{M}_q \subset \mathcal{B}(qH)$ is a von Neumann algebra, whose commutant is $(\mathcal{M}')_q$ and whose center is $\mathcal{Z}_q$; also, the mapping

$$x \mapsto x_q$$

is a $*$-isomorphism of the reduced von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_q$ onto the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_q$. Since $Jq = qJ$, and $q\mathcal{P} = [e(JeJ)]\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}$, it is easy to prove that $\mathcal{M}_q \subset \mathcal{B}(qH)$ is a hyperstandard von Neumann algebra, whose conjugation is $qJq$ and whose selfpolar cone is $q\mathcal{P}$.

This remark will enable us to reduce some of the problems to the case of the hyperstandard von Neumann algebras of countable type.

Lemma 1. For any projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists a family $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in I} \subset \mathcal{P}$, such that the projections $p_{\xi_n}$ be non-zero, mutually orthogonal and such that

$$e = \sum_{n \in I} p_{\xi_n}.$$  

If $e$ is of countable type, then there exists a $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{P}$, such that $e = p_{\xi_0}$. 
In particular, if $\mathcal{M}$ is of countable type, then $\mathcal{M}$ has a separating cyclic vector $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. If $e \neq 0$, then $e(\mathcal{Oe}) \neq 0$ and, since $\mathcal{H}$ is the linear hull of $\mathcal{B}$, there exists a non-zero vector $\xi \in e(\mathcal{Oe}) \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B} \mathcal{B}$. Obviously, $p_\xi \leq e$. Thus, the first assertion follows with a familiar argument based on the Zorn lemma.

If $e$ is of countable type, then the set $I$ is at most countable and we can assume that $\|\xi_n\| = 1$. If we define $\xi_0 = \sum \frac{1}{2^n} \xi_n$, it follows that $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $e = p_{\xi_0}$.

The last assertion of the lemma follows from the remark that $J\xi_0 = \xi_0$, for any $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, and from the fact that $Jp_{\xi_0}J = p_{J\xi_0}$ (see E.6.9).

Q.E.D.

If the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is of countable type and if $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ is a separating cyclic vector, then we can consider the left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} \xi_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ and we have $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ (see Section 10.6). On the one hand, by hypothesis, $\mathcal{M}$ is a hyperstandard von Neumann algebra. On the other hand, as we have seen in Section 10.23, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ is endowed with a natural structure of a hyperstandard von Neumann algebra. We shall denote by $S^\mathcal{M}$, $J^\mathcal{M}$ the operators which are associated to the left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} \xi_0$, and by $\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{M}$ the selfpolar convex cone, which is associated to the left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} \xi_0$; it is easy to verify that

$$\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{M} = \{ [x(J^\mathcal{A} x^*J^\mathcal{A})] \xi_0 ; \ x \in \mathcal{M} \}.$$

Under these assumptions, we have the following

Lemma 2. $J^\mathcal{M} = J$ and $\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. For any $x \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $JxJ \in \mathcal{A}'$. Consequently,

$$[J(S^\mathcal{M})^* J] x \xi_0 = J(S^\mathcal{M})^* (JxJ) \xi_0 = J(JxJ)^* \xi_0 = x^* \xi_0.$$

From these equalities it is easy to infer that $S^\mathcal{M} = J(S^\mathcal{M})^* J$, whereas a similar argument shows that $(S^\mathcal{M})^* = JS^\mathcal{M}$. Thus, $JS^\mathcal{M} = (S^\mathcal{M})^* J$. By taking account Proposition 9.2, we infer that

$$(JS^\mathcal{M})^* = (S^\mathcal{M})^* J = JS^\mathcal{M},$$

hence the linear operator $JS^\mathcal{M}$ is self-adjoint. For any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$((JS^\mathcal{M})(x \xi_0) | x \xi_0) = (Jx^* \xi_0 | x \xi_0) = (xJx \xi_0 | \xi_0) = ([x(JxJ)] \xi_0 | \xi_0) \geq 0,$$

because $\xi_0$ and $[x(JxJ)] \xi_0$ belong to $\mathcal{B}$. Since $JS^\mathcal{M} = J\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{M} |_{\mathcal{M} \xi_0}$, it follows that the operator $JS^\mathcal{M}$ is positive. Since $S^\mathcal{M} = J(JS^\mathcal{M})$, by taking into account Section 10.1 and the uniqueness of the polar decomposition, we get

$$J = J^\mathcal{M}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{M} = \{ [x(J^\mathcal{M} x^*J^\mathcal{M})] \xi_0 ; \ x \in \mathcal{M} \} = \{ [x(JxJ)] \xi_0 ; \ x \in \mathcal{M} \} \subset \mathcal{P},$$

and, since $\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ are, both, selfpolar, we infer that

$$\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}.$$

Q.E.D.
Thus, if the hyperstandard von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is of countable type, then $J$ and $\mathfrak{P}$ are naturally derived from a left Hilbert algebra $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{M} \xi_0$, where $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{P}$ is a separating cyclic vector for $\mathcal{M}$. Consequently, in this case, we can avail ourselves of the powerful instrument of the left Hilbert algebras.

We shall continue to assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is of countable type and we choose a separating cyclic vector $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{P}$. We shall denote by $\Delta$ the modular operator corresponding to $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} \xi_0$.

We obviously have $\Delta \xi_0 = S^* S \xi_0 = \xi_0$, whence $(1 + \Delta)^{-1} \xi_0 = \frac{1}{2} \xi_0$. It is easy to verify, first for polynomials and then, by tending to the limit, that for any function $F \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ we have

$$F((1 + \Delta)^{-1}) \xi_0 = F(1/2) \xi_0.$$

By taking into account Section 9.10, we infer that, for any bounded $f \in \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$, we have

$$f(\Delta) \xi_0 = F((1 + \Delta)^{-1}) \xi_0 = F_f(1/2) \xi_0 = f(1) \xi_0.$$

In particular,

$$\Delta^t \xi_0 = \xi_0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and, by analytic continuation, we obtain

$$\Delta^\alpha \xi_0 = \xi_0, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

**Lemma 3.** The mapping $\Phi: a \mapsto \Delta^{1/4} a \xi_0$ is an order isomorphism of the set of all self-adjoint operators $a \in \mathcal{M}$ onto the set of all vectors $\xi \in \mathfrak{H}$, such that $J \xi = \xi$ and having the property that there exists a $\lambda > 0$, for which $-\lambda \xi \leq \xi \leq \lambda \xi_0$.

**Proof.** Since $\Delta^{1/4}$ is injective, and since $\xi_0$ is separating for $\mathcal{M}$, the mapping $\Phi$ is injective. In accordance with Section 10.9, and with the definition of $\mathfrak{P}$ (Section 10.23) we infer that for $a \in \mathcal{M}$, $a = a^*$, we have

$$a \geq 0 \iff a \xi_0 \in \mathfrak{P}_S \iff \Delta^{1/4} a \xi_0 \in \mathfrak{P}.$$

Thus, the mapping $\Phi$ is an order isomorphism and we have still to show that $\Phi$ is surjective.

For $a \in \mathcal{M}$, $a = a^*$, we have $(1 + \Delta^{1/2})(a \xi_0) = a \xi_0 + J a \xi_0$, whence

$$\Delta^{1/4}(a \xi_0) = (\Delta^{1/4} + \Delta^{-1/4})^{-1}(a \xi_0 + J a \xi_0).$$

Since the operator $(\Delta^{1/4} + \Delta^{-1/4})^{-1}$ is bounded, it follows that

$$a \mapsto a \Rightarrow \Phi(a) \mapsto \Phi(a)$$

weakly.

Since the set $\{a \in \mathcal{M}; 0 \leq a \leq 1\}$ is w-compact, it follows that the set $\Phi(\{a \in \mathcal{M}; 0 \leq a \leq 1\})$ is weakly compact in $\mathcal{H}$.
Let now $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, $J\xi = \xi$, $0 \leq \xi \leq \xi_0$. With the help of relation (6) from Section 10.23, we obtain

$$0 \leq \xi_n = \sqrt{n/\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-nt^{2}} A^{1/2} \xi_0 \, dt \leq \sqrt{n/\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-nt^{2}} A^{1/2} \xi_0 \, dt = \xi_0.$$

For any $\eta \in \mathcal{B}_{s}$, we have $A^{-1/4}\eta \in \mathcal{B}$; hence

$$(A^{-1/4}\xi_n | \eta) = (\xi_n | A^{-1/4}\eta) \geq 0.$$  

Thus, $A^{-1/4}\xi_n \in \mathcal{B}_{s}$ (see Section 10.8). Similarly, $\xi_0 - A^{-1/4}\xi_n = A^{-1/4}(\xi_0 - \xi_n) \in \mathcal{B}_{s}$. From Proposition 10.8, we infer that the operators $L_{0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ and $1 - L_{0}^{\infty} a_{n} = \mathcal{L}_{0}^{\infty} - L_{0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ are positive. Consequently, the operator $a_{n} = L_{0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is bounded and $0 \leq a_{n} \leq 1$. Obviously, $\xi_n = \Phi(a_n)$. Since $\Phi(a_n) = \xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ and since the set $\Phi\{a \in \mathcal{M}; 0 \leq a \leq 1\}$ is closed, it follows that there exists an $a \in \mathcal{M}$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, such that $\xi = \Phi(a)$.

Hence we easily infer that $\Phi$ is surjective. Q.E.D.

We now return to the general situation in which $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a hyperstandard von Neumann algebra, with the conjugation $J$ and the selfpolar convex cone $\mathcal{B}$. The remarks we made so far enable us to prove the following important result.

**Proposition.** For any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\|\xi - \eta\|^2 \leq \|\omega_\xi - \omega_\eta\| \leq \|\xi - \eta\| \|\xi + \eta\|.$$

**Proof.** The second inequality follows from the relation

$$(\omega_\xi - \omega_\eta)(x) = \frac{1}{2} [(x(\xi + \eta) \xi - \eta) + (x(\xi - \eta) \xi + \eta)], \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$

and holds for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let $e = s(\omega_\xi) \lor s(\omega_\eta)$ and $g = e(\omega_e J)$. Then $e$ is of countable type and $\xi, \eta \in g\mathcal{B}$. In accordance with the remark we made at the beginning of the present section, $\mathcal{M}_g$ is hyperstandard and $*$-isomorphic with $\mathcal{M}_e$. Consequently, in order to prove the first inequality, we can assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is of countable type.

We shall first consider the case in which the vector $\xi + \eta$ is separating. Since $J(\xi + \eta) = \xi + \eta$, it follows that $\xi + \eta$ is also cyclic (see E.6.9). We shall denote by $A$ the modular operator associated to the left Hilbert algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{M}(\xi + \eta)$. In accordance with Lemma 2, $\mathcal{B}$ is the selfpolar convex cone associated to $\mathfrak{A}$. Since $\xi + \eta$, $\xi - \eta \leq \xi + \eta$ from Lemma 3 we infer that there exists an $a \in \mathcal{M}$, $-1 \leq a \leq 1$, such that

$$\xi - \eta = A^{1/4} a(\xi + \eta).$$

Then

$$\|\omega_\xi - \omega_\eta\| \geq (\omega_\xi - \omega_\eta)(a) = \text{Re}(a(\xi + \eta) \xi - \eta) = (A^{-1/4}(\xi - \eta) | \xi - \eta).$$
Since $J\Delta^{1/4} = \Delta^{-1/4}J$, it follows that

$$(\Delta^{-1/4}(\xi - \eta) | \xi - \eta) = (\Delta^{1/4}(\xi - \eta) | \xi - \eta);$$

hence

$$\|\omega_\xi - \omega_\eta\| \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} (\Delta^{1/4} + \Delta^{-1/4})(\xi - \eta) | \xi - \eta\right) \geq \|\xi - \eta\|^2.$$

If the vector $\xi + \eta$ is not separating, then $1 - p_{(\xi + \eta)} 
eq 0$. In accordance with Lemma 1, there exists a $\zeta \in \mathcal{B}$, such that

$$p_\zeta = 1 - p_{(\xi + \eta)}.$$

We now consider the vectors

$$\xi_n = \xi + \frac{1}{n} \zeta \in \mathcal{B}, \quad \eta_n = \eta + \frac{1}{n} \zeta \in \mathcal{B}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In accordance with the remark just made after the Corollary 3.8, it follows that

$$p'_{(\xi_n + \eta_n)} = p'_{(\xi + \eta + \frac{1}{n} \zeta)} = 1,$$

because $p_{(\xi + \eta)}$ and $p_{\frac{1}{n}\zeta}$ are orthogonal. Consequently, we have $p_{(\xi_n + \eta_n)} = 1$.

In accordance with the first case, just considered, we have

$$\|\omega_{\xi_n} - \omega_{\eta_n}\| \geq \|\xi_n - \eta_n\|^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If we tend to the limit, in this inequality, for $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\|\omega_\xi - \omega_\eta\| \geq \|\xi - \eta\|^2.$$

Corollary 1. For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

(i) $\xi \perp \eta \Leftrightarrow p_\xi \perp p_\eta$;

(ii) if $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\xi \perp \eta$ imply that $\xi \perp \xi$, then $p_\xi \leq p_\eta$;

(iii) $\xi \leq \eta \Rightarrow p_\xi \leq p_\eta$.

Proof. (i) If $\xi \perp \eta$, then

$$\|\omega_\xi - \omega_\eta\| \geq \|\xi - \eta\|^2 = \|\xi\|^2 + \|\eta\|^2 - \|\omega_\xi\| + \|\omega_\eta\|;$$

hence, in accordance with exercise E.5.15, $p_\xi = s(\omega_\xi) \perp s(\omega_\eta) = p_\eta$. The converse is obvious.

(ii) In accordance with Lemma 1, there exists a family $\{\zeta_n\}_{n \in I} \subset \mathcal{B}$, such that

$$1 - p_\eta = \sum_{n \in I} p_{\zeta_n}.$$

Now the assertion (ii) is easy to prove, by using assertion (i).
(iii) if $0 \leq \xi \leq \eta$, then, for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}$ we have

$$0 \leq (\xi|\xi) \leq (\eta|\xi),$$

and assertion (iii) follows from assertion (ii).

Q.E.D.

**Corollary 2.** The mapping $\xi \mapsto \omega_{\xi}$ is a homeomorphism of $\mathfrak{B}$ onto a closed subset of $(\mathfrak{M}_{*})^{+} = \{\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}_{*}; \varphi \geq 0\}$, with respect to the norm topologies.

**Proof.** From the preceding proposition it obviously follows that the mapping in the statement of the proposition is a homeomorphism of $\mathfrak{B}$ onto $\{\omega_{\xi}; \xi \in \mathfrak{B}\} \subset (\mathfrak{M}_{*})^{+}$. If $\{\omega_{\xi_{n}}\}, \xi_{n} \in \mathfrak{B}$, is a Cauchy sequence, then the same proposition shows that $\{\xi_{n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathfrak{B}$; hence, there exists a $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $\omega_{\xi_{n}} \rightarrow \omega_{\xi}$.

Q.E.D.

10.25. In this section we shall present a Radon-Nikodym type theorem, which is similar to Theorem 5.23, for normal forms on hyperstandard von Neumann algebras.

We consider a hyperstandard von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, whose conjugation is $J$ and whose selfpolar cone is $\mathfrak{B}$.

**Lemma 1.** Let $\xi_{0} \in \mathfrak{B}$. For any normal form $\varphi$ on $\mathfrak{M}$, such that $\varphi \leq \omega_{\xi_{0}}$, there exists an $\eta \in \mathfrak{B}$, such that

$$\varphi = \omega_{\xi_{0},\eta} + \omega_{\eta,\xi_{0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta \leq \frac{1}{2} \xi_{0},$$

**Proof.** Let $e = s(\omega_{\xi_{0}})$ and $q = e(JeJ)$. Then $\xi_{0} \in q\mathfrak{B}$ is a separating cyclic vector for the hyperstandard von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}_{q} \subset \mathfrak{B}(q\mathfrak{H})$, which is $\ast$-isomorphic to $\mathfrak{M}_{e}$ (see Section 10.24). Consequently, we can assume that $\xi_{0}$ is a separating cyclic vector for $\mathfrak{M}$. In this case we shall denote by $S$ and $\Delta$ the operators which are associated to the left Hilbert algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{M}\xi_{0}$. In accordance with Lemma 2 from Section 10.24, $J$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ are also associated to $\mathfrak{A}$.

By taking into account Lemma 5.19, it follows that there exists an operator $a' \in \mathfrak{M}'$, $0 \leq a' \leq 1$, such that

$$\varphi(x) = (x\xi_{0}, a'\xi_{0}), \quad x \in \mathfrak{M}.$$ 

From Section 10.9, we infer that $a'\xi_{0}, (1 - a')\xi_{0} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\ast\ast}$. Thus,

$$\zeta = \Delta^{-1/4}(a'\xi_{0}) \in \mathfrak{B}, \quad \xi_{0} - \zeta = \Delta^{-1/4}(1 - a')\xi_{0} \in \mathfrak{B}.$$ 

We define

$$\eta = (1 + \Delta^{1/2})^{-1} a'\xi_{0} = (1 + \Delta^{1/2})^{-1} \Delta^{1/4}\zeta.$$ 

If we denote by $f$ the function $t \mapsto 2(e^{2\pi i} + e^{-2\pi i})$, then, by applying Corollary 9.23, for $A = \Delta^{-1/2}$, we infer that

$$\eta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) A^{it} \zeta \, dt.$$
10.26. From Sections 10.14 and 10.23 we infer that any von Neumann algebra is \(\ast\)-isomorphic to a hyperstandard von Neumann algebra.

It is obvious that any hyperstandard von Neumann algebra is standard. Consequently, in accordance with Corollary 10.15, any \(\ast\)-isomorphism between two hyperstandard von Neumann algebras is spatial. Theorem 10.25 implies the following much more precise result.

**Corollary.** Let \(\mathcal{M}_k \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_k)\) be a hyperstandard von Neumann algebra, \(J_k\) its conjugation and \(\mathcal{P}_k\) its selfpolar cone, \(k = 1, 2\). If

\[
\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2
\]

is a \(\ast\)-isomorphism, then there exists a unitary operator

\[
u : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2
\]

uniquely determined by the conditions

1. \(\pi(x_1) = u \circ x_1 \circ u^*\), for any \(x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1\);
2. \(J_2 = u \circ J_1 \circ u^*\);
3. \(\mathcal{P}_2 = u(\mathcal{P}_1)\).

**Proof.** If the unitary operator \(u\) has the required properties, then, for any vector \(\xi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1\), we have \(\omega_{u\xi_1} = \omega_{\xi_1} \pi^{-1}\) and \(u\xi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2\). From Theorem 10.25 we infer that \(u\xi_1\) is uniquely determined. Since \(\mathcal{H}_1\) is the linear hull of \(\mathcal{P}_1\), we infer that the unitary operator \(u\) is uniquely determined by the stated properties.

In order to prove the existence of \(u\), we first consider the case in which \(\mathcal{M}_1\) is of countable type. Then \(\mathcal{M}_1\) has a separating cyclic vector \(\xi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1\) (see Lemma 1 from Section 10.24). In accordance with Theorem 10.25, there exists a vector \(\xi_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2\), such that \(\omega_{\xi_1} = \omega_{\xi_2} \pi^{-1}\). It follows that \(\xi_2\) is separating for \(\mathcal{M}_2\); since \(J_2\xi_2 = \xi_2\), we infer that \(\xi_2\) is also cyclic.

As in the proof of Corollary 5.25, it is possible to prove that the relations

\[
u(x_1\xi_1) = \pi(x_1)\xi_2, \quad x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1,
\]

one determines a unitary operator \(u : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2\), which satisfies condition (1) from the statement of the theorem.

If \(S_k\) is the operator associated to the left Hilbert algebra \(\mathfrak{H}_k = \mathcal{M}_k\xi_k, k = 1, 2\), then it is easy to prove that

\[
S_2 = u \circ S_1 \circ u^*.
\]

By taking into account Lemma 2 from Section 10.24, we infer that \(u\) satisfies condition (2) from the statement of the theorem.

Finally, if we now use again Lemma 2 from Section 10.24, we obtain

\[
\mathcal{P}_2 = \{[x_2(J_2x_2J_2)]\xi_2; \quad x_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2\}
= \{(ux_1^*)(uJ_1u^*)(ux_1u^*)(uJ_1u^*)\xi_2; \quad x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1\}
= u([x_1(J_1x_1J_1)]\xi_1; \quad x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1) = u(\mathcal{P}_1).
\]
In the general case, there exists an increasingly directed family \( \{e_i\}_{i \in I} \) consisting of projections of countable type in \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) whose l.u.b. is equal to 1. For any \( i \in I \) we denote
\[
e_{2,i} = \pi(e_{1,i}), \quad q_{1,i} = e_{1,i}(J_1 e_{1,i} J_1), \quad q_{2,i} = e_{2,i}(J_2 e_{2,i} J_2).
\]
By taking into account the remark made at the beginning of Section 10.24, it follows that, for any \( i \in I \), there exists a uniquely determined *-isomorphism
\[
\pi_i : (\mathcal{M}_1)_{q_{1,i}} \to (\mathcal{M}_2)_{q_{2,i}},
\]
such that
\[
\pi_i((x_1)_{q_{1,i}}) = (\pi(x_1))_{q_{2,i}}, \quad x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1.
\]
In accordance with the first part of the proof, for any \( i \in I \), there exists a unitary operator
\[
u_i : q_{1,i}(\mathcal{H}_1) \to q_{2,i}(\mathcal{H}_2),
\]
which is uniquely determined by the properties similar to (1), (2), (3), from the statement of the theorem, but applied to the hyperstandard von Neumann algebras \( (\mathcal{M}_1)_{q_{1,i}}, (\mathcal{M}_2)_{q_{1,i}} \) and to the *-isomorphism \( \pi_i \).

It follows that if \( i \leq k \), then \( \nu_i \subseteq \nu_k \). Consequently, there exists a uniquely determined unitary operator \( \nu : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \), which is an extension of all the operators \( \nu_i, i \in I \), and it is easy to verify that it satisfies conditions (1), (2), (3) in the statement of the theorem.

Q.E.D.

Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a hyperstandard von Neumann algebra, \( J \) its conjugation and \( \mathcal{P} \) its self-polar cone. By taking into account Sections 10.14 and 10.23, from the above corollary we infer that there exists a left Hilbert algebra \( \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H} \), such that \( J = J^\mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^\mathcal{A} \). Consequently, in any hyperstandard von Neumann algebra we have at our disposal the tool of the left Hilbert algebras.

We remark that there exist standard von Neumann algebras, which are not hyperstandard (see, e.g., U. Haagerup, preprint of [2], Proposition 5.3).

10.27. We now return to the study of the faithful semifinite, normal weights on von Neumann algebras.

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra, \( \mathcal{X} \) its center and \( \varphi \) a faithful, semifinite, normal weight on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \). We write
\[
\mathcal{M}_\varphi^\infty = \{ x \in \mathcal{M} ; \text{it} \leftrightarrow \sigma^\varphi(x) \text{ has an entire analytic continuation} \}.
\]
In Section 10.16, we showed that \( \mathcal{M}_\varphi^\infty \) is a \( \sigma \)-dense *-subalgebra and
\[
\mathcal{M}_\varphi^\infty \cdot \mathcal{M}_\varphi \cdot \mathcal{M}_\varphi^\infty = \mathcal{M}_\varphi.
\]
We now write
\[
\mathcal{M}_\varphi^0 = \{ x \in \mathcal{M} ; \sigma^\varphi(x) = x, \quad t \in [0,1] \}.
\]
Obviously,
\[
\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{M}_\varphi^0 \subset \mathcal{M}_\varphi^\infty.
\]
The set \( \mathcal{M}_\varphi^0 \) is a von Neumann algebra and it is called the centralizer of \( \varphi \).
Theorem. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, $\varphi$ a faithful, semifinite normal weight on $\mathcal{M}^+$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent

(i) $x \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi^+$;
(ii) $x\mathcal{M}_\varphi \subset \mathcal{M}_\varphi$, $\mathcal{M}_\varphi x \subset \mathcal{M}_\varphi$ and $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx)$, $y \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi$.

Proof. In accordance with Theorems 10.15 and 10.18, we can assume that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U})$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is a left Hilbert algebra and $\varphi = \varphi_\mathcal{H}$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi^+$. By taking into account the remarks made at the beginning of the section, we have

$$x\mathcal{M}_\varphi \subset \mathcal{M}_\varphi, \quad \mathcal{M}_\varphi x \subset \mathcal{M}_\varphi.$$ 

Thus, if $a \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi$ and $a^{1/2} = L_\xi$, $\xi \in \mathcal{U}'$, then

$$x\xi \in \mathcal{U}'', \quad L_{x\xi} = xL_\xi \quad \text{and} \quad (x^*\xi) \in \mathcal{U}'', \quad L_{x^*\xi} = x^*L_\xi.$$ 

By taking into account Proposition 9.24, we have

$$\varphi(ax) = \varphi(xL_\xi L_{x\xi}) = (S\xi | Sx\xi) = (J\mathcal{A}^{1/2} \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \xi | J\mathcal{A}^{1/2} \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \xi)$$

$$= (\mathcal{A}^{1/2} x \mathcal{A}^{-1/2} \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \xi | \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \xi) = (\mathcal{A}^{1/2} \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \xi | \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \xi)$$

$$= (\mathcal{A}^{1/2} \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \xi | \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \xi) = (J\mathcal{A}^{1/2} x^* \mathcal{A}^{-1/2} \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \xi) = (J\mathcal{A}^{1/2} x^* \mathcal{A}^{1/2} \xi)$$

$$= (Sx^* \xi | S\xi) = \varphi(L_{x^*}(L_{x\xi}^*)^*) = \varphi(L_{x^*}(x^*L_{x})^*) = \varphi(ax).$$

Thus, we have shown that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

Conversely, let us now assume that $x$ satisfies condition (ii). Then, obviously, the elements $\sigma_t(x)$, $t \in \mathcal{H}$, satisfy condition (ii), too.

For any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ let us consider the function $f_{\xi,\eta}$, which is bounded and continuous on $\{x \in \mathcal{C}; 0 \leq \Re x \leq 1\}$, and analytic in $\{x \in \mathcal{C}; 0 < \Re x < 1\}$, and given by the formula

$$f_{\xi,\eta}(x) = (x\mathcal{A}^{-ax+1} \eta | \mathcal{A}^a \xi).$$

If we use the first part of condition (ii), then, for any $t \in \mathcal{H}$, we obtain

$$\sigma_t(x) \eta \in \mathcal{U}'', \quad L_{\sigma_t(x)} \eta = \sigma_t(x) L_{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_t(x^*) \xi \in \mathcal{U}'', \quad L_{\sigma_t(x^*) \xi} = \sigma_t(x^*) L_{\xi}.$$ 

With the help of the second part of condition (ii), we obtain

$$f_{\xi,\eta}(1 + it) = (x\mathcal{A}^{-ax+1} \eta | \mathcal{A}^{-ax+1} \xi) = (\sigma_t(x) \eta | \mathcal{A}^{-ax+1} \xi)$$

$$= (S\xi | S\sigma_t(x) \eta) = \varphi(\sigma_t(x) L_{\xi} L_{\eta}) = \varphi(L_{\eta} L_{\xi} \sigma_t(x))$$

$$= \varphi(L_{\eta}(\sigma_t(x^*) L_{\xi}^*)) = (S\sigma_t(x^*) \xi | \eta) = (S^* S \eta | \mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}^{-ax+1} \xi)$$

$$= (x\mathcal{A}^{-ax+1} \eta | \mathcal{A}^{-ax+1} \xi) = f_{\xi,\eta}(it).$$

Thus, $f_{\xi,\eta}$ can be extended, by periodicity, to a bounded entire analytic function. Liouville's theorem now implies that $f_{\xi,\eta}$ is a constant. In particular,

$$(\sigma_t(x) \eta | \mathcal{A} \xi) = f_{\xi,\eta}(it) = f_{\xi,\eta}(1) = (x\eta | \mathcal{A} \xi), \quad t \in \mathcal{H}.$$
Since the vectors $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{X}$ are arbitrary, we hence infer that
\[ \sigma_{t}(x) = x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \]

We have thus proved the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

**Q.E.D.**

**Corollary.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, $\varphi$ a faithful, semifinite, normal weight on $\mathcal{M}^{+}$ and $u \in \mathcal{M}$ a unitary element. Then the following assertions are equivalent

(i) $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}^{0}$;
(ii) $\varphi(u^{*}au) = \varphi(uau^{*}) = \varphi(a)$, $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}^{+}$.

**Proof.** According to the theorem, we have the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

Let us now assume that $u$ satisfies condition (ii). For any $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}^{+}$ we have $u^{*}au \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}^{+}$, hence $a^{1/2} u \in \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}$. Since we obviously have $a^{1/2} \in \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}$, it follows that
\[ au = a^{1/2}(a^{1/2}u) \in \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}^{*}\mathcal{R}_{\varphi} = \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}. \]

Consequently, we have $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi}u \subset \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$. Similarly, one can show that $u \mathcal{M}_{\varphi} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$. Now one can easily prove that $u$ satisfies condition (ii) in the statement of the theorem, hence $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}^{0}$.

**Q.E.D.**

In particular, it follows that $\varphi$ is a trace iff the centralizer of $\varphi$ coincides with $\mathcal{M}$, i.e., iff the group $\{\sigma_{t}\}$ acts identically on $\mathcal{M}$.

**10.28.** We now prove a remarkable Radon-Nikodym type property, due to A. Connes, which establishes a link between the groups of modular automorphisms, associated to any pair of faithful, semifinite, normal weights on a von Neumann algebra.

**Theorem.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\varphi, \psi$ two faithful, semifinite, normal weights on $\mathcal{M}^{+}$. Then, there exists a so-continuous mapping
\[ \mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto u_{t} \in \mathcal{M}, \]

such that

(1) $u_{t}$ is unitary, $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
(2) $u_{t+s} = u_{t} \sigma_{t}(u_{s})$, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$;
(3) $\sigma_{t}(x) = u_{t} \sigma_{t}(x) u_{t}^{*}$, $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Proof.** We denote $\mathcal{N} = \text{Mat}_{d}(\mathcal{M})$ (see 2.32 and 3.16). For any
\[ a = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{N}, \quad a \geq 0, \]
we define
\[ \theta(a) = \varphi(a_{11}) + \psi(a_{22}) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \cup \{+\infty\}. \]

It is easy to see that $\theta$ is a faithful, normal weight on $\mathcal{N}^{+}$. If $x_{11}, x_{12} \in \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}$ and $x_{12}, x_{22} \in \mathcal{R}_{\psi}$, then $(x_{11}) \in \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}$. We hence infer that $\mathcal{R}_{\theta}$ is so-dense in $\mathcal{N}$. Consequently, $\theta$ is also semifinite.
We denote by \( e_{ij}, i = 1, 2; j = 1, 2 \), the "matrix units" of \( \mathcal{N} \):

\[
e_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
e_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

If we denote

\[
u = e_{11} - e_{22},
\]

then \( u \) is a self-adjoint unitary operator and

\[
u \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} u = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & -a_{12} \\ -a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{N}^+ \]

It follows that, for any \( a \in \mathcal{N}^+ \), we have

\[
\theta(\nu a \nu) = \theta(a).
\]

If we now apply Corollary 10.27, we infer that \( u \in \mathcal{N}^0_0 \). Since we obviously have \( 1 \in \mathcal{N}^0_0 \), it follows that

\[
e_{11} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + u) \in \mathcal{N}^0_0,
\]

\[
e_{22} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - u) \in \mathcal{N}^0_0.
\]

Since \( e_{11} \in \mathcal{N}^0_0 \), for any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) and any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
e_{11}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} e_{11} = \sigma_t(x) e_{11} = \sigma_t(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix});
\]

hence \( \sigma_t(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \) is of the form

\[
\sigma_t(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_t(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

It is easy to verify that the above relation determines a group \( \{ \pi_t \} \) of \(*\)-automorphisms of \( \mathcal{M} \), which leaves \( \varphi \) invariant. Since, for any \( x, y \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \) and any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi,
\]

\[
\theta(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_t(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix})) = \varphi(x \pi_t(y)),
\]

\[
\theta(\sigma_t(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) = \varphi(\pi_t(y)x),
\]
from Theorem 10.17 we infer that \( \varphi \) satisfies the KMS-condition, with respect to \( \{\pi_t\} \), for any pair of elements in \( \mathcal{M}_\varphi \). From the uniqueness part of Theorem 10.17, we infer that

\[
\pi_t = \sigma_t^\varphi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Consequently, we have

\[
\sigma_t^\varphi\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_t^\varphi(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

One can similarly prove that

\[
\sigma_t^\varphi\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_t^\varphi(y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad y \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

By taking into account the fact that \( e_{11}, e_{22} \in \mathcal{N}_0^\varphi \), it is easy to see that for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
e_{11}\sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21}) = \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21})e_{22} = 0;
\]

hence, \( \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21}) \) is of the form

\[
\sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_t \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

Since the group \( \{\sigma_t^\varphi\} \) is so-continuous, the mapping

\[
\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto u_t \in \mathcal{M}
\]

is so-continuous. Then, since

\[
\sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21})^* \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21}) = \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{12}e_{21}) = \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{11}) = e_{11},
\]

\[
\sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21}) \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21})^* = \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21}e_{12}) = \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{22}) = e_{22},
\]

it follows that the operators \( u_t \) are unitary. For any \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) and any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_t^\varphi(x) \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_t^\varphi\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21})\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} e_{12} = \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21})\sigma_t^\varphi\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{12})
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_t^\varphi(x) & 0 \\ 0 & u_t^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_t \sigma_t^\varphi(x) u_t^* \end{pmatrix};
\]

hence

\[
\sigma_t^\varphi(x) = u_t \sigma_t^\varphi(x) u_t^*.
\]

Finally, for any \( t, s \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_{t+s} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_t^\varphi(s_{21}) = \sigma_t^\varphi\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_s & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_t^\varphi(e_{21})\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_s & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_t^\varphi(u_s) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_t \sigma_t^\varphi(u_s) & 0 \end{pmatrix};
\]
hence

\[ u_{t+s} = u_t \sigma^\psi_t(u_s). \]

Q.E.D.

The preceding theorem enables us to define the notion of "commutation", relatively to weights.

**Corollary.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra and \( \varphi, \psi \) faithful, semifinite, normal weights on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \). Then the following assertions are equivalent

(i) \( \{\sigma_t^\varphi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) leaves invariant the weight \( \varphi \);

(ii) \( \{\sigma_t^\psi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) leaves invariant the weight \( \psi \);

(iii) there exists a so-continuous group \( \{u_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) of unitary operators in \( \mathcal{M}_0^\varphi \cap \mathcal{M}_0^\psi \), such that

\[ \sigma_t^\psi(x) = u_t \sigma_t^\varphi(x) u_t^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \]

**Proof.** The implication (iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i) obviously follows from Corollary 10.27.

Let us now assume that \( \{\sigma_t^\varphi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) leaves invariant the weight \( \varphi \) and let us consider a so-continuous mapping \( t \mapsto u_t \), as in the statement of Theorem 10.28. For any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[ \varphi(a) = \varphi(\sigma_t^\varphi(a)) = \varphi(\sigma_t^\varphi(\sigma_t^\psi(a))) = \varphi(u_t au_t^*), \quad a \in \mathcal{M}^+. \]

hence, in accordance with Corollary 10.27,

\[ u_t \in \mathcal{M}_0^\varphi. \]

Then, for any \( t, s \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[ u_{t+s} = u_t \sigma_t^\varphi(u_s) = u_t u_s; \]

hence, \( \{u_t\} \) is a one-parameter group. Finally, for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[ \sigma_t^\psi(u_t) = u_t \sigma_t^\varphi(u_t) u_t^* = u_t u_t u_t^* = u_t, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}; \]

hence

\[ u_t \in \mathcal{M}_0^\psi. \]

Consequently, (i) \( \iff \) (iii).

Similarly, one proves that (ii) \( \iff \) (iii).

Q.E.D.

If the faithful, semifinite, normal weights \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) satisfy the equivalent conditions from the statement of the preceding corollary, we shall say that \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) commute.

**10.29.** At the end of this chapter we present a criterion of semifiniteness for the von Neumann algebras, expressed in terms of the group of modular automorphisms.

**Theorem.** For any von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) the following assertions are equivalent

(i) \( \mathcal{M} \) is semifinite;

(ii) there exists a faithful, semifinite, normal weight \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \), and a so-continuous group \( \{u_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) of unitary operators in \( \mathcal{M} \), such that

\[ \sigma_t^\varphi(x) = u_t xu_t^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}; \]
(iii) for any faithful, semifinite, normal weight \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) there exists a so-continuous group \( \{u_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) of unitary operators in \( \mathcal{M} \), such that
\[
\sigma_t^\varphi(x) = u_t x u_t^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

**Proof.** If \( \mathcal{M} \) is semifinite, then, in accordance with Corollary 7.15, there exists a faithful, semifinite, normal trace \( \mu \) on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \). With the help of Corollary 10.27, we have
\[
\sigma_t^\mu(x) = x, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
If \( \varphi \) is any faithful, semifinite, normal weight on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \), then \( \{\sigma_t^\varphi\} \) leaves the weight \( \varphi \) invariant. Thus, if we now apply Corollary 10.28, it follows that there exists a so-continuous group \( \{u_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) of unitary operators in \( \mathcal{M} \), such that
\[
\sigma_t^\varphi(x) = u_t \sigma_t^\mu(x) u_t^* = u_t x u_t^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
Since on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) there exists a faithful, semifinite, normal weight (see Section 10.14), the implication (iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) is trivial.

Finally, let us assume that assertion (ii) is true. According to the Stone representation theorem (9.20), there exists a positive self-adjoint operator \( A \) in \( \mathcal{H} \), such that \( s(A) = 1 \), which is affiliated to \( \mathcal{M} \), and such that
\[
u_t = A^t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
For any natural \( n \) we denote
\[
e_n = \chi_{\frac{1}{n}, n}(A) \in \mathcal{M}.
\]
Then
\[
e_n \in \mathcal{M}^0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\]
and
\[
e_n \uparrow s(A) = 1.
\]
In order to prove the semifiniteness of \( \mathcal{M} \), it is sufficient to show that the reduced von Neumann algebras \( \mathcal{M}_{e_n} \) are semifinite.

Let us choose a natural number \( n \). According to Theorem 10.27 we have
\[
e_n \mathcal{M}_\varphi e_n \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\varphi,
\]
hence, the weight \( \varphi_n \), defined on \( (\mathcal{M}_{e_n})^+ \) by the formula
\[
\varphi_n(e_n a | e_n \mathcal{H}) = \varphi(e_n a e_n), \quad a \in \mathcal{M}^+,
\]
is semifinite. It is easy to see that \( \varphi_n \) is normal and faithful. If we denote \( a_n = Ae_n \in \mathcal{M}_{e_n} \), we have
\[
\sigma_t^{\varphi_n}(x) = a_n^{it} x a_{-it}, \quad x \in (\mathcal{M}_{e_n})^+, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
Thus, our problem reduced to the following one: to show that, if \( \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \) is a left Hilbert algebra and if there exists an invertible \( a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})^+ \), such that
\[
\sigma_t(a) = a^{it} x a^{-it}, \quad x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
\]
then \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \) is semifinite.
Indeed, let us define on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})^+$ the weight
\[ \mu(b) = \phi_{\mathcal{U}}(a^{-1/2}ba^{-1/2}), \quad b \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})^+. \]
It is easy to see that $\mu$ is normal and faithful. Since $a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})_0^{\phi_{\mathcal{U}}}$, it follows that $\mu$ is semifinite and $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{M}_{\phi_{\mathcal{U}}}$.

For any $\xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{U}''$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with the help of Proposition 9.24, we infer that
\[
\begin{align*}
(a - \left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \Delta^{1/2} + it) \xi & \mid J a \left(-\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \Delta^{1/2} \zeta \\
& = (\Delta^{1/2} \frac{1}{a} [\Delta - \frac{1}{2} a] - \left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \Delta^{1/2} \xi \mid J a \left(-\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \Delta^{1/2} \zeta \\
& = (\Delta^{1/2} \frac{1}{a} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \Delta^{1/2} \xi \mid J a \left(-\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \zeta \\
& = (a - \left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \Delta^{1/2} \xi \mid J a \left(-\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \zeta).
\end{align*}
\]
Thus, by the formula
\[
f_{t, \xi}^\zeta(\alpha) = \begin{cases}
(a^{-\alpha} \Delta^{1/2} \xi \mid J a^{\alpha - 1} \Delta^{1/2} \zeta), & \text{if } 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\
(a^{-\alpha} \Delta^{1/2} = \frac{1}{a} \xi \mid J a^{\alpha - 1} \zeta), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1,
\end{cases}
\]
we define a bounded and continuous function $f_{t, \xi}^\zeta$ on $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \leq \text{Re} \alpha \leq 1\}$, which is analytic in $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < \text{Re} \alpha < 1\}$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have
\[
f_{t, \xi}^\zeta(it) = (a^{-it} \Delta^{1/2} \xi \mid J a^{\alpha - 1} \Delta^{1/2} \zeta) \\
= (a^{-it} \Delta^{1/2} \xi \mid S a^{\alpha - 1} \zeta) = \phi_{\mathcal{U}}(a^{-1} a^{\alpha - 1} L_{\xi}^{\alpha - 1} L_{\Delta^{1/2} \zeta}) \\
= \phi_{\mathcal{U}}(a^{-1} \sigma_i(L_{\xi}) \sigma_i(L_{\zeta})) = \mu(L_{\xi}^{\alpha - 1} L_{\zeta}),
\]
\[
f_{t, \xi}^\zeta(1 + it) = (a^{-1 - it} \Delta^{1/2} + it \xi \mid J a^{it} \zeta) \\
= (\Delta^{1/2} \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{2} a^{-1} a^{-1 - it} \Delta^{1/2} \xi \mid J a^{it} \zeta) \\
= (a^{it} \xi \mid S a^{-1 - it} \Delta^{1/2} \zeta) = \phi_{\mathcal{U}}(a^{-1} a^{-it} L_{\Delta^{1/2} \xi} a^{it} L_{\zeta}) \\
= \phi_{\mathcal{U}}(a^{-1} \sigma_i(L_{\xi}) \sigma_i(L_{\zeta})) = \mu(L_{\xi}^{\alpha - 1} L_{\zeta}).
\]
Consequently, $f_{t, \xi}^\zeta$ is constant on the imaginary axis; hence, everywhere. We hence infer that
\[
\mu(L_{\xi} L_{\zeta}) = \mu(L_{\xi} L_{\zeta}), \quad \xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{U}''.
\]
In particular, we have
\[ \mu(xy) = \mu(yx), \quad x, y \in \mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{M}_{\sigma^H}; \]
consequently, \( \mu \) satisfies the KMS-condition with respect to the identity group for any pair of elements in \( \mathcal{M}_\mu \). With the help of Theorem 10.17, we infer that
\[ \sigma^t_t(x) = x, \quad x \in \ell(\mathfrak{H}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}; \]
hence, according to Corollary 10.27, \( \mu \) is a trace. Thus, with the help of Corollary 7.15, we infer that \( \ell(\mathfrak{H}) \) is semifinite.

Q.E.D.

Exercises

E.10.1. Let \( \mathfrak{A} \) be a complex algebra endowed with an involution \( \# \) and a scalar product \( (\cdot | \cdot) \). We suppose that \( \mathfrak{A} \) satisfies conditions (i), (ii), (iii) from 10.1, and that
\[ \mathcal{H} \ni \mathfrak{A}^2 \ni \xi_1 \xi_2 \mapsto (\xi_1 \xi_2)^\# \in \mathcal{H} \]
is a preclosed antilinear operator. Show that the operator
\[ \mathcal{H} \ni \mathfrak{A} \ni \xi \mapsto \xi^\# \in \mathcal{H} \]
is preclosed, hence \( \mathfrak{A} \) is a left Hilbert algebra.

E.10.2. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a von Neumann algebra with a separating cyclic vector \( \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H} \). Give direct proofs to the following assertions (see Section 10.6):
1. The adjoint \( S^* \) of the closure \( S \) of the antilinear operator
\[ \mathcal{H} \ni \mathcal{M} \xi_0 \ni x \xi_0 \mapsto x^* \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H} \]
is the closure of the antilinear operator
\[ \mathcal{H} \ni \mathcal{M}' \xi_0 \ni x' \xi_0 \mapsto x'^* \xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}. \]

2. If \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{S^*} \), then the operator
\[ \mathcal{H} \ni \mathcal{M} \xi_0 \ni x \xi_0 \mapsto x \eta \in \mathcal{H} \]
is preclosed and its closure is affiliated to \( \mathcal{M}' \).

E.10.3. Let \( G \) be a locally compact topological group, \( dg \) a left invariant Haar measure on \( G \) and \( \mathfrak{g} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \), the modular function. Show that the set \( \mathfrak{H}_G \) of all continuous complex functions, which are defined on \( G \) and whose supports are compact, is a left Hilbert algebra with respect to the operations:
\[ (\xi \eta)(g) = \int \xi(h)\eta(h^{-1}g)dh, \]
\[ \xi^\#(g) = \mathfrak{g}(g)^{-1} \xi(g^{-1}). \]
and the scalar product

\[(\xi|\eta) = \int \xi(g)\overline{\eta(g)}dg.\]

Determine, in this case, the objects \(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}, J, \mathcal{G}\).

**E.10.4.** Let \(\mathcal{H}\) be a Hilbert space. Show that the set \(\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) of all operators in \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\), whose ranges are finitely dimensional, is a left Hilbert algebra with respect to the \(*\)-algebra operations induced by those of \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) and with the scalar product

\[(x|y) = \text{tr}(y^*x).\]

Determine, in this case, the objects \(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}, J, \mathcal{A}'\).

**E.10.5.** A left Hilbert algebra \(\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}\) is said to be unimodular if \(\mathcal{A} = 1\).

Show that for a left Hilbert algebra \(\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}\), the following assertions are equivalent

(i) \(\mathcal{A}\) is unimodular;
(ii) \(S\) is isometric;
(iii) \(\varphi_\mathcal{A}\) is a trace.

Show that if \(G\) is a locally compact topological group, then the left Hilbert algebra \(\mathcal{A}_G\) (E.10.3) is unimodular iff the group \(G\) is unimodular.

**E.10.6.** Prove that any von Neumann algebra is \(*\)-isomorphic to a standard von Neumann algebra along the lines of the proof for Theorem 10.7.

**E.10.7.** Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a standard von Neumann algebra and \(J\) its conjugation. Show that \(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')\) is the w-closed linear hull of the set

\[\{x(JxJ); \ x \in \mathcal{M}\}.\]

(Hint: use a polarization relation.)

In the following three exercises, \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) is a von Neumann algebra with the separating cyclic vector \(\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}\), whereas \(J_{\xi_0}\) is the canonical conjugation associated to \(\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_{\xi_0}\).

**E.10.8.** For \(\eta \in \mathcal{H}\) the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \(\eta \in \mathcal{H}'\);
(ii) \(R_\eta^0\) is bounded;
(iii) the form \(\omega_\eta\) is dominated (E.9.33) by the form \(\omega_{\xi_0}\).

**E.10.9.** For \(x \in \mathcal{M}\), the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \(\omega_{x_{\xi_0}} \leq \omega_{\xi_0}\);
(ii) \(\|A_{1/2}xA_{-1/2}\| \leq 1\).

**E.10.10.** Show that if \(J\) is a conjugation in \(\mathcal{H}\), with the properties

(1) the mapping \(x \mapsto Jx^*J\) is a \(*\)-antiisomorphism of \(\mathcal{M}\) onto \(\mathcal{M}'\), which acts identically on the center;
(2) \(J_{\xi_0} = \xi_0\);
(3) \(\langle \xi_0|\langle x(JxJ)\xi_0\rangle \rangle \geq 0\), for any \(x \in \mathcal{M}\);

then \(J = J_{\xi_0}\).

(Hint: prove that \(JS = JJ_{\xi_0}A_{1/2}\) is a positive self-adjoint operator.)
In the following two exercises, \( M \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) is a von Neumann algebra, \( Z \) is its center; \( \xi_0, \xi' \in \mathcal{H} \) are separating cyclic vectors, \( J_{\xi_0}, J_{\xi'} \) are the corresponding canonical conjugations and \( \mathfrak{P}_{\xi_0}, \mathfrak{P}_{\xi'} \) are the selfpolar convex cones associated respectively to the left Hilbert algebras \( M\xi_0, M\xi' \).

E.10.11. Show that the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( \xi_0 \in \mathfrak{P}_{\xi_0} \);

(ii) \( \xi_0 \in \mathfrak{P}_{\xi_0} \);

(iii) \( J_{\xi_0} = J_{\xi_0} \) and \( (z\xi_0 | z\xi_0) \geq 0, \) for any \( z \in Z, \) \( z \geq 0. \)

(Hint for the proof of the implication (iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i): in accordance with Section 10.23, \( \xi_0 \) can be written \( \xi_0 = \xi_0^+ - \xi_0^- \), \( p_{\xi_0^+} \perp p_{\xi_0^-} \), with respect to \( \mathfrak{P}_{\xi_0} \); show that

\[
(\xi_0^+ | x(J_{\xi_0}xJ_{\xi_0})\xi_0^-) = 0, \quad x \in M;
\]

from exercise E.10.7 we infer that \( \xi_0^- \perp [M,M'\xi_0^-] \), whence

\[
0 \leq (\xi_0 | [M,M'\xi_0^-] \xi_0) = -(\xi_0 | \xi_0^-) \leq 0;
\]

hence, \( (\xi_0 | \xi_0^-) = 0, \xi_0^- = 0. \)

E.10.12. Show that there exists a unitary \( u' \in M' \), such that

\( J_{\xi_0} = u' \circ J_{\xi_0} \circ u' \ast. \)

Infer that the \(*\)-automorphism

\[
M \ni x \mapsto J_{\xi_0}J_{\xi_0}xJ_{\xi_0}J_{\xi_0} \in M
\]

is inner.

In the following six exercises, \( \mathfrak{U} \subset \mathcal{H} \) is a left Hilbert algebra, the other notations corresponding to those introduced in the main text.

E.10.13. We define the set

\[
\mathfrak{X}_1 = \left\{ \xi \in \bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} D_a^n \left| \begin{array}{l}
\Delta^n \xi \in \mathfrak{U}' \cap \mathfrak{U}'
\mathfrak{D}_{(a^n\xi_a^{a+n})} = \mathfrak{D}_{(a^{-n})} \text{ and } \Delta^n L_{\xi} A^{-n} \subset L_{\Delta^n \xi}
\mathfrak{D}_{(a^n \xi_a^{a-n})} = \mathfrak{D}_{(a^{-n})} \text{ and } \Delta^n R_{\xi} A^{-n} \subset R_{\Delta^n \xi}
\end{array} \right. \right\}
\]

With the help of Lemmas 1, 2, and 3 from 10.19, show that \( \mathfrak{X}_1 \) is a left Hilbert subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{U}' \) and \( \mathfrak{X}_1' = \mathfrak{U}' \).

We now consider the operator \( T \) on \( \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), defined as in E.9.36, with \( A = B = \Delta \). Show that for any \( \xi \in \mathfrak{X}_1 \) and any \( \lambda > 0 \), we have

\[
(\lambda + \Delta)^{-1} \xi \in \mathfrak{X}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad L_{(\lambda + \Delta)^{-1} \xi} = (\lambda + T)^{-1}(L_\xi).
\]

With the help of exercises E.9.36 and E.9.37, infer from this result that

\( \mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_1. \)

Show that the preceding assertions imply Theorem 10.12.
E.10.14. For any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$;
(ii) $\xi \in \mathcal{H}' \cap \mathcal{S}_A \cap \mathcal{S}_{A^{-1}}$;
(iii) $\xi \in \mathcal{H}'$ and the mapping $it \mapsto A^i L_\xi A^{-i}$ has an entire analytic continuation $F$, such that
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|F(n)\|^{1/n} < +\infty, \quad \lim_{n \to -\infty} \|F(-n)\|^{1/n} < +\infty.$$  

E.10.15. Show that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}'$ there exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{S}$, such that
1. $\xi_n \to \xi$, $S\xi_n \to S\xi$;
2. $L_{\xi_n} \to L_\xi$, $(L_{\xi_n}^*) \to (L_\xi)^*$;
3. $\sup \|L_{\xi_n}\| < +\infty$.

E.10.16. Show that if $\xi \in \mathcal{H}' \cap \mathcal{D}_{(d^{-a})}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{D}_{(d^a)}$, then
$$R_\xi A^{-a} \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{(d^a)}$$
and $A^a R_\eta A^{-a} \xi = L_\xi A^a \eta$.

E.10.17. Show that the set
$$\{\xi \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{D}_A ; \quad A \xi \in \mathcal{H}\}$$
is a left Hilbert subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}'$ and that, for any $\xi_1, \xi_2$, belonging to this set, the following relation holds
$$A(\xi_1 \xi_2) = A(\xi_1)A(\xi_2).$$

E.10.18. For any $\lambda \in [0, 1/2]$, one defines the set
$$\mathcal{P}_\lambda = \overline{A^\lambda \mathcal{P}_S}.$$  

Show that
1. $A^\mu \mathcal{P}_\lambda = \mathcal{P}_\lambda$;
2. $J \mathcal{P}_\lambda = \mathcal{P}_\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)$;
3. $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ is a convex cone, polar to $\mathcal{P}_\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)$;
4. $\mathcal{P}_\lambda = \{\xi(JA_\left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\lambda\right)) ; \quad \xi \in \mathcal{T}\}$.

In the following four exercises, $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a hyperstandard von Neumann algebra, whose conjugation is $J$ and whose selfpolar convex cone is $\mathcal{P}$.

E.10.19. Let $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ be the group of the $*$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$ and $U(\mathcal{M})$ the group of all unitary elements in $\mathcal{M}$. Show that there exists a group homomorphism
$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \ni \pi \mapsto u_\pi \in U(\mathcal{M}),$$
which is uniquely determined by the following conditions
1. $\pi(x) = u_{x}u_\pi^*$, $\pi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$, $x \in \mathcal{M}$;
2. $u_\pi(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}$, $\pi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$.  

E.10.20. Show that the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is also hyperstandard, with the same conjugation $J$ and the same self polar convex cone $\mathcal{P}$.

With the help of Theorem 10.25, infer from this result that for any vector $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a vector $\xi \in \mathcal{P}$ and a partial isometry $v \in \mathcal{M}$, which are uniquely determined by the properties

$\zeta = v\xi$, $v^*v = p_{\xi}$.

The vector $\zeta$ is denoted by $|\zeta|$ and it is called the modulus of $\zeta$, whereas the equalities $\zeta = v|\zeta|$, $v^*v = p_{|\zeta|}$ yield the polar decomposition of $\zeta$.

E.10.21. Let $\zeta = v|\zeta|$ be the polar decomposition of a vector $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$. Show that the polar decomposition of $J^*\zeta$ is

$J^*\zeta = v^*([v^{(JvJ})]|\zeta|$).

With the help of Corollary 1 from Section 10.24, infer from this result that if $J^*\zeta = \zeta$, then

$|\zeta| = \zeta^+ + \zeta^-$, $v = p_{\zeta^+} - p_{\zeta^-}$,

where $\zeta = \zeta^+ - \zeta^-$ is the decomposition $(\ast \ast)$ from Section 10.23.

E.10.22. Let $\varphi$, $\psi$ be normal forms on $\mathcal{M}$. Show that

$\varphi \leq \psi \Rightarrow \varphi^{1/2} \leq \psi^{1/2}$.

E.10.23. Let $\varphi$ be a faithful, semifinite weight on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Show that if there exists an increasingly directed family $\{\varphi_i\}$ of normal forms on $\mathcal{M}$, such that

$\varphi(a) = \sup_i \varphi_i(a)$, $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$,

then $\varphi$ is normal.

E.10.24. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, $\varphi$ a faithful, semifinite normal weight on $\mathcal{M}^+$ and $\pi$ a $*$-automorphism of $\mathcal{M}$. Show that

$\sigma_t^{\varphi \pi} = \pi^{-1} \sigma_t^\varphi \pi$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

In particular, if $\pi$ leaves invariant the weight $\varphi$, then $\pi$ commutes with $\sigma_t^\varphi$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The case of a trace shows that the converse is not true.

E.10.25. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\varphi$ a faithful, semifinite, normal weight on $\mathcal{M}^+$, such that the restriction of $\varphi$ to $(\mathcal{M}^\text{\textstyle \ominus})^+$ be semifinite. Then the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}^\text{\textstyle \ominus}$ is semifinite.

E.10.26. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ the group of all the $*$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$ the group of all the inner $*$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$. Show that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$ is an invariant subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. One denotes by $\text{Out}(\mathcal{M})$ the quotient group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})/\text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$ and by $c$ the canonical homomorphism

$c : \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \to \text{Out}(\mathcal{M})$. 
Show that if $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are faithful, semifinite, normal weights on $\mathcal{M}^+$, then

$$c(\sigma_t^\varphi) = c(\sigma_t^\psi), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$ 

Show that the mapping

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto c(\sigma_t^\varphi)$$

is a homomorphism of the additive group $\mathbb{R}$ into the center of the group $\text{Out}(\mathcal{M})$, which does not depend on the faithful, semifinite, normal weight $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}^+$.

The kernel of the mapping $t \mapsto c(\sigma_t^\varphi)$ is denoted by $T(\mathcal{M})$. Show that if $\mathcal{M}$ is semifinite, then $T(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{R}$.

**Comments**

C.10.1. The theory of the left Hilbert algebras was devised by M. Tomita [10], [11] and it became known through M. Takesaki's lessons [18]. In M. Takesaki's book [18], the left Hilbert algebras appear as *generalized Hilbert algebras* whereas Tomita's algebra is introduced axiomatically as *modular Hilbert algebra* (see C.10.7). Although this terminology is still in use, the terminology we have introduced in our text is becoming more common in the literature. On the other hand, M. Takesaki's notations from [18], which differ from those used in our text, are currently used in the literature and, therefore, we indicate their correspondence with those introduced by us:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Our notations</th>
<th>M. Takesaki's notations (see [18])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}', \mathcal{H}''$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}', \mathcal{H}''$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}')$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}')$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{D}_S$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{D}^#$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_\xi$</td>
<td>$\xi^#$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{D}_S^*$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{D}^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S^*\eta$</td>
<td>$\eta^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_\xi$</td>
<td>$\pi(\xi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_\xi(\zeta)$</td>
<td>$\xi(\zeta)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_\eta$</td>
<td>$\pi'(\eta)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_\eta(\zeta)$</td>
<td>$\zeta(\eta)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S^*$</td>
<td>$P$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{P}_S$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{P}^# (or \mathfrak{P}^{#})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{P}_S^*$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{P}^b (or \mathfrak{P}^{b})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We give two examples of formulas which correspond to one another under these different notations

\[ L_\xi(\eta) = SL_\eta S \xi \]

\[ \xi \eta = (\eta^{**} \xi^{**})^{**} \]

\[ \mathcal{P}_{S^*} = \{ R_\eta S^* \eta; \; \eta \in \mathcal{H} \} \]

\[ \mathcal{P}_b = \{ \eta^b \eta; \; \eta \in \mathcal{H} \} \]

The left Hilbert algebras \( \mathcal{H} \), such that \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}'' \), are called maximal (or "achieved", "full"; "achevée", in French).

For a left Hilbert algebras \( \mathcal{H} \), F. Perdrizet [4] also introduced the sets

\[ \mathcal{F}^{**} = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H}; \; L_\xi \text{ is preclosed} \}, \]

\[ \mathcal{B}^{**} = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H}; \; L_\xi \text{ is bounded} \}, \]

and showed by examples that the inclusions, indicated in the following diagram by arrows

\[ \mathcal{H}'' \quad \mathcal{F}^{**} \quad \mathcal{B}^{**} \]

are, in general, strict inclusions. If, nevertheless, \( \mathcal{H} \) is of the form \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \xi_0 \) (see Section 10.6), then we obviously have \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{B}^{**} \). F. Perdrizet [4] also introduced the sets

\[ \mathcal{P}_a^{**} = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{P}^{**}; L_\xi \text{ is self-adjoint} \}, \]

\[ \mathcal{U}^+ = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H}''; \; L_\xi \geq 0 \}, \]

\[ \mathcal{I}^+ = \{ \xi \xi^{**}; \; \xi \in \mathcal{H}'' \}, \]

and has shown, by examples, that the inclusions

\[ \mathcal{I}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_a^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{**} \]

are, in general, strict. If \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \xi_0 \), then it is obvious that \( \mathcal{I}^+ = \mathcal{U}^+ \).

Similar considerations can be made for \( \mathcal{H} \), endowed with the involution \( b \).

C.10.2. The unimodular Hilbert algebras (E.10.5) have been known for a long time as Hilbert algebras, or unitary algebras and were the basis for obtaining the standard forms of the semifinite von Neumann algebras. Important contributions to this theory have been obtained by W. Ambrose [2], [3], J. Dixmier [19], H. A. Dye [1], R. Godement [6], [8], [11], F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [1], [3], H. Nakano [2], R. Pallu de la Barrière [3], L. Pukánszky [3], V. Rokhlin [1],...
I. E. Segal [1], [6], [11], O. Takenouchi [1], [2], [6], [7], M. Tomita [2], H. Umegaki [3], and others. We mention the fact that J. Dixmier [19] extended the notion of a (unimodular) Hilbert algebra to that of a \textit{quasi-Hilbert algebra} (or \textit{quasi-unitary algebra}) and showed that the set of all continuous complex functions with compact supports, defined on a locally compact topological group, can be canonically endowed with a quasi-Hilbert algebra structure (cf. E.10.3). The results concerning the (quasi-)Hilbert algebras and the standard forms for semifinite von Neumann algebras are set out in the book by J. Dixmier [26] (see also L. H. Loomis [1] and M. A. Rieffel [2]).

Let \( \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B} \) be a unimodular Hilbert algebra. We shall use some notations from C.10.1.

From exercise E.10.5, we infer that \( S = J \); hence
\[
\mathcal{D}^\# = \mathcal{D}^b = \mathcal{H}
\]
and, for any \( \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \), we have
\[
\zeta^\# = \zeta^b = J\zeta.
\]

For any \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} (= \mathcal{D}^\#) \), the operator \( L_\xi^b \) is preclosed and we have \( (L_\xi)^* \supset L_{J\xi} \). In fact, in this particular case, we have the equality
\[
(L_\xi)^* = L_{J\xi}.
\]
Indeed, let \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}(L_\xi)^* \). Since \( \eta \in \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{D}^b \), the closed operator \( R_\eta \) makes sense. With the help of Corollary 5 from Section 10.3, we infer the existence of a sequence \( \{e_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})' \) of projections, such that \( e_k \uparrow 1 \), and \( e_k \eta \in \mathcal{H} \), \( k = 1, 2, ... \). Then \( e_k \eta \in \mathcal{D}(L_{J\xi}) \) and since \( (L_\xi)^* \) is affiliated to \( \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \), we have
\[
L_{J\xi} e_k \eta = (L_\xi)^* e_k \eta = e_k (L_\xi)^* \eta; \quad k = 1, 2, ...
\]
For \( k \to \infty \) we obtain \( e_k \eta \to \eta \) and \( L_{J\xi} e_k \eta \to (L_\xi)^* \eta \); hence \( \eta \in \mathcal{D}(L_{J\xi}) \) and \( L_{J\xi} \eta = (L_\xi)^* \eta \), thereby proving the asserted equality.

It is now easy to verify that
\[
\mathcal{P}_a^\# = \mathcal{P}_a^b = \mathcal{P}^\# = \mathcal{P}^b = \mathcal{P}.
\]

On the other hand, from the equality \( J = S \), and from Theorem 10.12, we infer that
\[
\mathcal{H}' = J\mathcal{H}' = S\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}'';
\]
thus, by taking into account relation 10.4.2, we obtain the "commutation theorem":
\[
\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})' = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})
\]
(for a simpler direct proof of this equality, see J. Dixmier [26] or M. A. Rieffel [2]).
Since $\mathcal{D}^\# = \mathcal{H}$ it is easy to see that

$$\xi \in \mathcal{H}', \ x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow x\xi \in \mathcal{H}', \ L_{x\xi} = xL_{\xi}.$$  

The weight $\varphi_\mathcal{H}$, associated with the unimodular Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A}$, is a trace (E.10.5), which is called the natural trace associated to $\mathcal{A}$ and it is usually denoted by $\mu_\mathcal{A}$. Conversely, if $\mu$ is a faithful semifinite normal trace on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, then the left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A}_\mu = \mathcal{N}_\mu = \mathcal{N}_\mu^*$ (see Section 10.14) is unimodular.

If $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mu = \text{tr}$ (see E.10.4) then the operators in $\mathcal{A}_\mu = \mathcal{N}_\mu$ are called the Hilbert-Schmidt operators in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We mention that the unimodular Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ is complete with respect to the scalar product. More precisely, we have the following result, from T. Ogasawara and K. Yoshinaga [4], whose proof can be found in J. Dixmier [26], Prop. 6, Ch. I, § 8.5:

**Proposition.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a maximal unimodular Hilbert algebra such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ is a factor. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) $\mathcal{A}$ is complete;

(ii) $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ is of type I;

(iii) up to a multiplication of the norm in $\mathcal{A}$ by a suitable constant, $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to the unimodular Hilbert algebra of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators on a Hilbert space.

In accordance with Theorem 10.25, the mapping $\xi \mapsto \omega_\xi$ is a bijection of $\mathcal{B}$ onto $(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}))^+$ (this result also has a simpler direct proof; see F. Perdrix [4], Prop. 3.3). Consequently, given a normal form $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a uniquely determined element $\xi \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{A}^+$, such that $\varphi = \omega_\xi$. Then $A = L_\xi$ is a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$. If we denote $e_n = \chi_{(n-1/n)}(A)$, it is easy to infer that $e_n^\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, $L_{e_n^\xi} = e_n A = Ae_n$ and $e_n^\xi \rightarrow \xi$. We have

$$\mu_\mathcal{A}(A^2 e_n) = \mu_\mathcal{A}((L_{e_n^\xi})^* (L_{e_n^\xi})) = \|e_n^\xi\|^2 < \|\xi\|^2 < +\infty;$$

hence the operator $A$ is of summable square with respect to $\mu_\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, for any $x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})^+$, we have

$$L_A R_A \mu_\mathcal{A}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\mathcal{A}(A e_n x A e_n^\#) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\mathcal{A}((L_{(x^2 e_n^\#)})^* (L_{(x^2 e_n^\#)}))$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^{1/2} e_n^\# x^{1/2}\xi\|^2 = \|x^{1/2}\xi\|^2 = \varphi(x).$$

Thus,

$$\varphi = L_A R_A \mu_\mathcal{A}.$$  

Conversely, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, of summable square with respect to $\mu_\mathcal{A}$ and such that $\varphi = L_A R_A \mu_\mathcal{A}$. Since $\mu_\mathcal{A}(A^2 e_n) < +\infty$, there exists a $\xi_n \in \mathcal{A}_\mu^*$, such that $L_{\xi_n} = Ae_n$. For $n > m$ we have

$$\|\xi_n - \xi_m\|^2 = \mu_\mathcal{A}((A e_n - A e_m)^2) = \mu_\mathcal{A}(e_n - e_m) A = \varphi(e_n - e_m);$$
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hence \( \{\xi_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence. Let \( \xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} \xi_n \in \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_d^{+} \). For any \( \eta \in \mathcal{H} \) we have

\[
L_q \eta = R_{\eta} \xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} R_{\eta} \xi_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} L_q \eta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} A e_n \eta = A \eta.
\]

Since the operators \( L_q \) and \( A \) are self-adjoint, it follows that \( A = L_q \). Thus, for any \( x \in L(\mathcal{H})^+ \), we have

\[
\varphi(x) = L_A R_A \mu(x) = \mu((L_{x^{2 \cdot 1/2}})^*(L_{x^{1/2} \cdot 1})) = \|x^{1/2} \|_2^2 = \omega_q(x);
\]

hence, \( \varphi = \omega_q \). Consequently, \( \xi \) is uniquely determined by \( \varphi \) and \( A = L_q \) is also uniquely determined by \( \varphi \).

If we now take into account the possibility of using a \(*\)-isomorphism (see Section 9.26), from the preceding results we infer the following

**Theorem.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a semifinite von Neumann algebra and \( \mu \) a faithful, semifinite, normal trace on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \). For any normal form \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) there exists a unique positive self-adjoint operator \( A \) in \( \mathcal{H} \), which is affiliated to \( \mathcal{M} \) and of summable square with respect to \( \mu \), such that

\[
\varphi = L_A L_A \mu.
\]

This is the Radon-Nikodym type theorem, with respect to a semifinite, normal trace, obtained by I. E. Segal ([11], Th. 14) and L. Pukánszky ([2], Th. 1). The above proof belongs to F. Perdrizet ([4], Cor. 3.6). We mention the fact that if the trace \( \mu \) is finite, then the theorem is a particular case of Theorem 10.10; in this case the theorem has been obtained by H. A. Dye ([1], Cor. 5.1).

In Section C.10.4, we shall present an extension of this theorem for weights.

C.10.3. For a weight \( \varphi \) on the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) we consider the following properties

\[
(N1) \quad \{a_i\} \subset \mathcal{M}^+, \text{ a } w\text{-summable family } \Rightarrow \varphi(\sum a_i) = \sum \varphi(a_i);
\]
\[
(N2) \quad \{a_i\} \subset \mathcal{M}^+, \quad a_i \uparrow a \Rightarrow \varphi(a_i) \uparrow \varphi(a);
\]
\[
(N3) \quad \varphi \text{ is lower } w\text{-semicontinuous};
\]
\[
(4N) \text{ there exists a family } \{\varphi_i\} \text{ of normal forms on } \mathcal{M}, \text{ such that } \varphi(a) = \sup \varphi_i(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{M}^+;
\]
\[
(N5) \text{ there exists a family } \{\varphi_i\} \text{ of normal forms on } \mathcal{M}, \text{ such that } \varphi(a) = \sum \varphi_i(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{M}^+.
\]

In our text (see Section 10.14) we said that \( \varphi \) is normal if it satisfies property \( (N5) \). It is obvious that

\[
(N5) \Rightarrow (N4) \Rightarrow (N3) \Rightarrow (N2) \Rightarrow (N1),
\]

and it is natural to inquire about the equivalence of these properties (see J. Dixmier [26], p. 52—53, 2nd ed.)

Theorem 10.14 retains its validity for the faithful semifinite weights having property \( (N4) \). More precisely, F. Combes ([10], Th. 2.13) showed that if \( \varphi \) is
a faithful semifinite weight on $\mathcal{M}^+$, which has property (N3), then $\mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{N}_{\varphi'}$ endowed with the structure of a $*$-algebra induced by that of $\mathcal{M}$ and with the scalar product induced by that of $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$, is a left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{H}_\varphi \subset \mathcal{H}_{\varphi'}$, and 
$\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}_\varphi)$; if $\varphi$ has property (N4), then $\mathcal{N}_\varphi = \mathcal{N}_{\varphi'}$ and

$$\varphi = \varphi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_\varphi.$$ 

A variant of proof for this fact can be found in M. Takesaki’s course ([17], 13.5—13.12). At the basis of the proof lies a result about the “$\varepsilon$-filtration” of the normal forms, which are majorized by a weight, result which is due to F. Combes ([7], Lemma 1.9) (see, also, M. Takesaki [17], Th. 13.8, for a simpler form of this result, which is actually used).

Since the weight which is associated with a left Hilbert algebra has property (N5) (in accordance with 10.18), it follows that

(N4) $\Leftrightarrow$ (N5).

This equivalence has been established by G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki ([2, Th. 7.2]); in our exposition of the results in Section 10.16.(9)—10.16.(11) and 10.18 we used the main arguments contained in this article.

U. Haagerup [1] completely solved the problem of the equivalence of the above properties, by showing that

(N1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (N4).

We mention the fact that the elegant arguments in the article of U. Haagerup can be easily read and the equivalence (N3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (N4) is proved in a more general case. Also, U. Haagerup ([1], 1.12) shows by an example, in the commutative case, that the property

(N0) $\{e_i\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ family of orthogonal projections $\Rightarrow \varphi(\sum e_i) = \sum \varphi(e_i),$

is not equivalent to the normality (compare with Theorem 5.11) and the problem arises whether a result, analogous to Corollary 5.12, is true for weights.

We mention that the equivalence of the above properties, in the case of traces, is well known since a long time (see J. Dixmier [26], Cor. Prop. 2, Ch. I, § 6.1; see also E.8.10)

C.10.4. With the help of a technique similar to that used in Section 10.18 one can prove the following.

Proposition. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be faithful, semifinite, normal weights on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. If $\varphi$ and $\psi$ commute and are equal on a $\sigma$-invariant $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi}$ which is $\mathcal{w}$-dense in $\mathcal{M}$, then $\varphi = \psi$.

For the details of the proof we refer to the article of G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki ([2], Lemma 5.2, Prop 5.9; see also Prop. 7.8, loc. cit.).
In what follows we choose two faithful, semifinite, normal weights \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \). We shall use the notations from the proof of Theorem 10.28, and we shall also denote (in accordance with A. Connes [6]) by

\[
\frac{D\psi}{D\varphi} : t \mapsto \left( \frac{D\psi}{D\varphi} \right)(t)
\]

the mapping \( t \mapsto u_t \) that was obtained there. We shall show that

\[
\text{if } \frac{D\psi}{D\varphi}(t) = 1, \text{ for any } t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ then } \varphi = \psi.
\]

Indeed, from the hypothesis we infer that \( \sigma_\varphi(e_{21}) = e_{21} \), for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), i.e., \( e_{21} \in \mathcal{N}_0^\varphi \). In accordance with Theorem 10.27, it follows that

\[
x \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \Rightarrow xe_{21}, e_{21}x \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(xe_{21}) = \theta(e_{21}x);
\]

hence

\[
(x_{12}) \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \Rightarrow x_{12} \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{M}_\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x_{12}) = \psi(x_{12}).
\]

If \( y_{11}, y_{21} \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \) and \( y_{12}, y_{22} \in \mathcal{M}_\psi \), then \( y = (y_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \), whence

\[
a = y_{11}y_{12} + y_{21}y_{22} \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{M}_\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(a) = \psi(a).
\]

In particular, for \( y_{11} = y_{12} = 0, y_{21} = u \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi, y_{22} = v \in \mathcal{M}_\psi \), we obtain

(1) \[ u \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi, \ v \in \mathcal{M}_\psi \Rightarrow u^*v \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{M}_\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(u^*v) = \psi(u^*v).
\]

If we make \( u \) run over an approximate unit for \( \mathcal{M}_\varphi \), it follows that \( \mathcal{M}_\varphi \leq \mathcal{M}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{M}_\psi \), hence \( (\mathcal{M}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{M}_\psi)^+ \) is a \( \psi \)-dense face of \( \mathcal{M}^+ \) (see Sections 3.20,3.21). If \( a \in (\mathcal{M}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{M}_\psi)^+ \), then \( a^{1/2} \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{M}_\psi \) and, by applying the relation (1), in which we make \( u = v = a^{1/2} \), we deduce that

\[
a \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{M}_\psi \Rightarrow \varphi(a) = \psi(a).
\]

On the other hand, from the hypothesis it easily follows that the weights \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) commute. Thus, if we now apply the above proposition, we obtain \( \varphi = \psi \).

By using the fact \( (e_{12})^* = e_{21} \), it is easy to prove that

\[
\frac{D\psi}{D\varphi}(t) = \left( \frac{D\psi}{D\varphi}(t) \right)^{-1}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Also, if \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \) are faithful, semifinite, normal weights on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \), then

\[
\frac{D\varphi_2}{D\varphi_1}(t) = \frac{D\varphi_3}{D\varphi_2}(t) \cdot \frac{D\varphi_2}{D\varphi_1}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Indeed, let \( \{e_{ij}, i,j = 1,2,3\} \) be the matrix units in \( \text{Mat}_3(\mathcal{M}) \), and let \( \omega \) be the weight on \( \text{Mat}_3(\mathcal{M})^+ \), given by

\[
\omega(x) = \varphi_1(x_{11}) + \varphi_2(x_{22}) + \varphi_3(x_{33}), \quad x = (x_{ij}).
\]

The foregoing equality then follows by an argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 10.28, by taking into account the fact that \( e_{31} = e_{32}e_{21} \).
Let $\varphi$ be a faithful, semifinite, normal weight on $\mathcal{M}^+$, and let $A$ be a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}_0^\infty$; we denote $e_n = \chi_{(n-1,n)}(A)$.

One defines a semifinite, normal weight $\varphi_A$ on $\mathcal{M}^+$ by the relations

$$\varphi_A(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi((Ae_n)^{1/2} x (Ae_n)^{1/2}), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}^+.$$ 

If $s(A) = 1$, then $\varphi_A$ is faithful and

$$\sigma_t^\varphi_A = A^u \sigma_t(x) A^{-u}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

For the proofs we refer the reader to G. K. Pedersen and M. T. Takesaki ([2], § 4)

We define on $\text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{M})^+$ two weights $\tau$ and $\omega$ by the relations

$$\tau(a) = \varphi(a_{11}) + \varphi_A(a_{22}), \quad a = (a_{ij}),$$

$$\omega(a) = \varphi(a_{11}) + \varphi(a_{22}), \quad a = (a_{ij}).$$

Then $\tau = \omega_B$, where $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$; hence

$$\sigma_t^\omega(x) = B^u \sigma_t(x) B^{-u}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{M}).$$

In particular, if we make $x = e_{21}$, we obtain

$$\frac{D\varphi_A}{D\varphi}(t) = A^u, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From the preceding results we infer the following Radon-Nikodym type theorem for weights, due to G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki ([2], Th. 5.12).

**Theorem.** Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be two faithful, semifinite, normal weights on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. If $\varphi$ and $\psi$ commute, then there exists a uniquely determined positive self-adjoint operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}_0^\infty$, such that $s(A) = 1$ and $\psi = \varphi_A$.

Indeed, since $\varphi$ and $\psi$ commute, from the proof of Corollary 10.28 we infer that $\left\{ \frac{D\psi}{D\varphi}(t) \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a so-continuous group of unitary operators in $\mathcal{M}_0^\infty$. From the Stone theorem (see 9.20) we infer that there exists a positive self-adjoint operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $s(A) = 1$ and

$$\frac{D\psi}{D\varphi}(t) = A^u, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In accordance with exercise E.9.25, $A$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}_0^\infty$; hence we can define the weight $\varphi_A$ and we have

$$\frac{D\varphi_A}{D\varphi}(t) = A^u, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$


Thus,
\[
\frac{D\varphi_A}{D\psi}(t) = \frac{D\varphi_A(t)}{D\varphi}(t) = A^{it}(A^{it})^{-1} = 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R};
\]

Consequently, we have
\[
\psi = \varphi_A.
\]

The uniqueness of the operator $A$ immediately follows from the uniqueness of the analytic generator in Stone's theorem*).

It is obvious that any weight commutes with any trace; hence, the theorem of I. E. Segal and L. Pukánszky, we have stated in section C.10.2, is a particular case of the theorem of G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki.

For a faithful, semifinite, normal weight $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}^+$, $\{\sigma_t^\varphi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the only group of $*$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$, with respect to which $\varphi$ satisfies the KMS-conditions (see Section 10.17). Consequently, if $\psi$ is another faithful, semifinite, normal weight, which satisfies the KMS-conditions with respect to $\{\sigma_t^\varphi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, then $\sigma_t^\psi = \sigma_t^\varphi$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We hence infer that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ commute; hence, in accordance with the above theorem, there exists a positive self-adjoint operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}^+_0$, such that $s(A) = 1$ and $\psi = \varphi_A$. Since
\[
\sigma_t^\psi(x) = \sigma_t^\varphi(x) = A^{it}\sigma_t^\varphi(x)A^{-it}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M},
\]
it follows that $A^{it}$ belongs to the center $\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathcal{M}$, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$; hence $A$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{Z}$. We thus obtain the following

Corollary 1. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be faithful, semifinite, normal weights on $\mathcal{M}^+$. The following assertions are then equivalent:

(i) $\psi$ satisfies the (KMS)-condition with respect to $\{\sigma_t^\varphi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$;

(ii) $\sigma_t^\psi = \sigma_t^\varphi$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$;

(iii) there exists a positive self-adjoint operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$, which is affiliated to $\mathcal{Z}$, such that $s(A) = 1$ and $\psi = \varphi_A$.

The assertions in this corollary are true, for example, if $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are faithful semifinite normal traces on $\mathcal{M}^+$; if, moreover, $\psi \leq \varphi$, then $A \in \mathcal{Z}$, $0 \leq A \leq 1$. In particular, we have the following

Corollary 2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor and $\varphi$, $\psi$ two semifinite normal traces on $\mathcal{M}^+$. Then there exists a $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $\psi = \lambda \varphi$.

Indeed, the corollary follows from the facts that the support of a trace is a central projection and $\varphi + \psi$ is also a semifinite normal trace, whereas $\varphi \leq \varphi + \psi$, $\psi \leq \varphi + \psi$, etc.

*) This method if proving the theorem of G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki was communicated to us by Gr. Arsene. In a recent paper, G. A. Elliott [15] gives a yet simpler proof, and also indicates technical simplifications for the proofs of the results in Sections 10.18, 10.27 and 10.28.
The preceding results concerning the traces have direct and simpler proofs (see J. Dixmier [26], Ch. I., § 6.4). For finite traces they can easily be obtained from the Radon-Nikodym type theorem of Sakai (see E.7.14).

The problem now arises whether the theorem in this section can be extended for weights which do not commute. Theorem 10.10 is such an extension for the case in which \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are normal forms. In the article of G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki [2] another partial extension is given (loc. cit., Prop. 7.6), as well as a negative result (loc. cit., Prop. 7.7).

Another theorem of the Radon-Nikodym type, for weights, was obtained by A. van Daele [5], who generalized a theorem of S. Sakai for normal forms (see C.5.5).

C.10.5. Let \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) be faithful normal forms on the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} \mathcal{N} \). We recall that by \( |\varphi \pm i\psi| \) we denote the modulus of the \( \omega \)-continuous linear form \( \varphi \pm i\psi \), in accordance with Theorem 5.16. M. Takesaki ([18], Th. 15.2) and R. H. Herman and M. Takesaki ([1], Th. 1, Th. 2) proved the following results:

**Proposition 1.** The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) commute;
(ii) \( \{\sigma_\varphi^t\} \) and \( \{\sigma_\psi^t\} \) commute: \( \sigma_\varphi^t \circ \sigma_\psi^s = \sigma_\varphi^s \circ \sigma_\psi^t \), \( t, s \in \mathbb{R} \);
(iii) \( |\varphi + i\psi| = |\varphi - i\psi| \).

**Proposition 2.** If \( \pi \) is a \( * \)-automorphism of \( \mathcal{M} \), which acts identically on the center, then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( \varphi \) is \( \pi \)-invariant: \( \varphi \circ \pi = \varphi \);
(ii) \( \pi \) commutes with \( \{\sigma_\varphi^t\} \): \( \pi \circ \sigma_\varphi^t = \sigma_\varphi^t \circ \pi \), \( t \in \mathbb{R} \).

The proofs of these propositions can also be found in the course of M. Takesaki ([17], 15.14—15.18).

Let now \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) be faithful, semifinite, normal weights on \( \mathcal{M}^+ \). The problem now arises whether the equivalences (i) \( \iff \) (ii) in the two propositions remain true. If \( \pi \) is an arbitrary \( * \)-automorphism of \( \mathcal{M} \), then, with the help of the KMS-conditions, it is easy to prove that

\[
\sigma_\varphi^{\pi t} = \pi^{-1} \circ \sigma_\varphi^t \circ \pi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Thus it is obvious that the implications (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) in both propositions remain true for weights, too. Nevertheless, the converse implications are not true, in general, as G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki have shown ([2], Prop. 5.11). In the presence of some additional hypotheses, the equivalence (i) \( \iff \) (ii) from Proposition 1 retains its validity for weights, too (see G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki [2], Lemma 5.8, Prop. 6.1, Cor. 6.4, Th. 6.6). We also mention that from condition (ii) (in Proposition 2), it follows that the weight \( \varphi + \psi \) is semifinite (in accordance with loc. cit., Prop. 5.10).

Let us now assume that \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are faithful forms on the hyperstandard von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} \mathcal{N} \) and that \( \psi \leq \varphi \). On the one hand we have the "derivative" \( \frac{d\psi}{d\varphi} \), introduced in Section 10.25, on the other hand we have
the "derivative" given by the theorem of Sakai (5.21). It is obvious that the two "derivatives" coincide iff \( \frac{d\psi}{d\varphi} \geq 0 \). One can prove the following result (see H. Araki [27], Th. 13):

**Proposition 3.** The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( \left( \frac{d\psi}{d\varphi} \right)^* = \frac{d\psi}{d\varphi} \);

(ii) \( \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \geq 0 \);

(iii) \( \sigma^t \left( \frac{d\psi}{d\varphi} \right) = \frac{d\psi}{d\varphi}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \);

(iv) \( \psi \) and \( \varphi \) commute.

C.10.6. The problem of the continuous dependence of the group \( \{\sigma^t\} \) of modular automorphisms with respect to the faithful normal form \( \varphi \) has been solved by A. Connes ([3], Th. 1) by the following

**Theorem.** Let \( \varphi_n, \varphi \) be faithful normal forms on the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \).

If \( \|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \to 0 \), then

\[ \sigma^{\varphi_n}(x) \underset{\sigma^t(x)}{\longrightarrow} x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \]

and the convergence is uniform with respect to \( t \), for \( |t| \leq t_0 \).

A proof of this theorem, based on the methods developed in Sections 10.23—10.25, can be found in H. Araki ([27], Th. 10). See also A. Connes [26] for another result of this kind.

C.10.7. One calls a modular Hilbert algebra (or a Tomita algebra) a complex algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) with an involution \( \xi \mapsto \xi^{**} \), endowed also with a scalar product \( (\cdot|\cdot) \) and with a group of algebra automorphisms \( \{\Delta(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}} \), depending on a complex parameter, which satisfies axioms (i), (ii), (iii) from Section 10.1, and also the axioms:

- (IV) \( \Delta(\alpha)\xi^{**} = \Delta(-\bar{\alpha})\xi^{**} \), for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{A} \), \( \alpha \in \mathcal{C} \);
- (V) \( \Delta(\alpha)\xi|\eta) = (\xi|\Delta(\bar{\alpha})\eta) \), for any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{A} \), \( \alpha \in \mathcal{C} \);
- (VI) \( \Delta(1)\xi^{**}|\eta^{**} = (\eta|\xi) \), for any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{A} \);
- (VII) \( \mathcal{C} \ni \alpha \mapsto (\Delta(\alpha)\xi|\eta) \in \mathcal{C} \) is an entire analytic function, for any \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{A} \);
- (VIII) \( 1 + \Delta(it)\mathcal{A} \) is dense in \( \mathcal{A} \), for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \).

It is easy to see that the Tomita algebra, associated to a left Hilbert algebra, is, in a natural manner, a modular Hilbert algebra, with

\[ \Delta(\alpha) = \Delta^a|\mathcal{A}, \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{C}. \]

Let now \( \mathcal{A} \) be a modular Hilbert algebra and \( \mathcal{H} \) the Hilbert space obtained by the completion of \( \mathcal{A} \). From axiom (V) one infers that \( \Delta(it) \) is an isometric mapping; hence, by denoting by \( u_t \), the closure of \( \Delta(it) \), we obtain a one-parameter group \( \{u_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) of unitary operators on \( \mathcal{H} \). In accordance with the Stone theorem,
there exists a positive self-adjoint operator $\Delta$ in $\mathcal{A}$, such that $s(\Delta) = 1$ and $u_* = \Delta^{it},\ t \in \mathbb{R}$. One can then prove that

$$\Delta^\alpha$$ is the closure of $\Delta(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

The operator $\Delta$ is called the modular operator associated to the modular Hilbert algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the mapping

$$\mathfrak{A} \ni \xi \mapsto \Delta^{it}\xi \in \mathfrak{A}$$

is an involution in $\mathfrak{A}$, which is compatible with its algebra structure. It is easy to prove that the involution corresponding to $t = 1/2$ extends to a conjugation $J$ of $\mathfrak{A}$, called the canonical conjugation associated to the modular Hilbert algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. On the other hand, the involution corresponding to $t = 1$, i.e., $\eta \mapsto \eta^\ast = \Delta\eta^{\dagger \dagger}$, is called the adjoint involution and it has the property that

$$(\xi^\ast | \eta) = (\eta^\ast | \xi^{\dagger \dagger}), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{A}.$$ 

Hence one can immediately infer that $\mathfrak{A}$ also satisfies axiom (iv) from Section 10.1, hence $\mathfrak{A}$ is a left Hilbert algebra. It is easy to prove that $\Delta$ and $J$ are associated to the structure of a left Hilbert algebra of $\mathfrak{A}$, as in Section 10.1, i.e., $S = JA^{1/2}$. For details we refer to M. Takesaki ([17], [18]).

The modular Hilbert algebras are a useful tool for the computations (see, for example, M. Takesaki [33]).

C.10.8. Bibliographical comments. In Sections 10.1—10.6, which contain the "elementary" part of the Tomita theory, we followed the lessons of M. Takesaki [18], but the systematic use of Proposition 10.3, exhibited by A. van Daele ([4], Lemma 2.6) allowed the simplification of the exposition given by M. Takesaki [18].

The commutation theorem for tensor products (10.7) has been known for a long time, for semifinite von Neumann algebras, and conjectured in general (J. Dixmier [26], Ch. I, § 2.4, § 6.9). S. Sakai [23] proved this theorem by assuming that only one of the two von Neumann algebras is semifinite. The general case was obtained by M. Tomita [10], [11], as a corollary of his main results. The direct proof we presented here was obtained by I. Cuculescu [5] and S. Sakai [32] (see, also, M. Takesaki [24], and L. Zsidó [1]). Recently, M. A. Rieffel and A. van Daele [1] obtained a simple proof of another nature, which does not use the theory of unbounded operators. See also R. Rousseau, A. van Daele and L. van Heeswijck [1].

The cones $\mathfrak{P}_S$ and $\mathfrak{P}_{S^\ast} ($§ 10.9) were introduced by M. Takesaki [18], for the case $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{M} \varepsilon_0$, and by F. Perdrizet [4] in the general case. Lemma 10.9, which is the main argument in the proof of the general Radon-Nikodym type theorem for normal forms (10.10), is due to M. Takesaki [18]. We mention that M. Takesaki [18] also gives a new proof to the Radon-Nikodym type theorem of Sakai, which is based on elementary results from the theory of Tomita.

Tomita's fundamental theorem (10.12) allows the conclusion that any von Neumann algebra is *-isomorphic to a standard von Neumann algebra (10.15), a result which concludes a long series of efforts in the development of the operator algebras theory, highlighted by the works of F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [1],
[2], H. A. Dye [1], I. E. Segal [11], J. Dixmier [19], L. Pukánszky [3], M. Tomita [8], and others (see C.10.2). The proof of Theorem 10.12, given in the text, is due to A. van Daele [4] and it is different and simpler than the proofs given by M. Tomita, in [10], and by M. Takesaki, in [18]. Another proof, given by L. Zsidó [6], is indicated in exercise E.10.13*).

The culminating point in Tomita’s theory, and the main technical instrument for handling the left Hilbert algebras, is the theorem on the existence of the Tomita algebra (10.20). For the exposition given in Sections 10.19—10.21 we developed the ideas from the article of L. Zsidó [6], the analytic continuation methods we use (Sections 9.15, 9.24) originating in the article by G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki [2], which also suggested to us a part of the criterion 10.21. The proof of Theorem 10.20, thus obtained, is different from that given by M. Takesaki in [18]. The algebra $\mathfrak{G}$ (Section 10.22) was first considered by L. Zsidó [6].

The weights were introduced by F. Combes [7] and G. K. Pedersen [1], whereas the link existing between the weights and the left Hilbert algebras was established by F. Combes [10] and M. Tomita [10]. For the exposition given by us in Sections 10.16 and 10.18 we used the articles by F. Combes [10], G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki [2], as well as the course by M. Takesaki [17].

The KMS-condition originates in theoretical physics, and it was framed into the theory of operator algebras by R. Haag, N. M. Hugenholtz and M. Winnink [1], who showed that, given a $C^*$-algebra, endowed with a one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms, the cyclic representation associated to a positive form, which satisfies the KMS-condition, is standard. Another application of the KMS-conditions was given by N. M. Hugenholtz [1]. These papers appeared at the same time as M. Tomita’s papers [10], [11] whereas M. Takesaki ([18], §13) found the deep link between the Tomita theory and the KMS-condition, by proving Theorem 10.17 for the case of the faithful normal forms. Subsequently, F. Combes [12] and M. Takesaki ([17], Th. 14.6) proved a variant of Theorem 10.17 for the case of the weights. The KMS-condition for weights is similar to the condition $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx)$, which is characteristic of the traces. For various results on the KMS-condition, we refer to: H. Araki [8], H. Araki and H. Miyata [1], F. Combes [10], [12], N. M. Hugenholtz [2], O. Bratteli, A. Kishimoto and D. W. Robinson [1], D. W. Robinson [3], F. Rocca, M. Sirugue and D. Testard [1], [4], M. Sirugue and M. Winnink [1], [2], [3], M. Takesaki [17], [18], [19], [26], [27], M. Winnink [2]. We also mention the works which gave a name to the KMS-condition: R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 12 (1957), p. 570, and P. C. Martin, J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev., 115 (1959), p. 1342.

The results in Section 10.27 are due to G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki ([2], §3), whereas Theorem 10.28 is due to A. Connes [4], [6]. In our exposition we have used these sources.

The characterization of the semifinite von Neumann algebras in terms of the group of the modular automorphisms (Section 10.29) was obtained by M. Takesaki ([18], §14) by a very intricate proof. A simpler proof was given by G. K. Pe-

*) In the case $\mathfrak{G} = \mathcal{N}_0$, A. van Daele [3] gives for Proposition 10.11 a proof similar to the proof of Sakai’s theorem, mentioned in C.5.5.
dersen and M. Takesaki ([2], Th. 7.4), on the basis of their theorem of the Radon-Nikodym type for weights (see C.10.4). The proof given in our text does not explicitly use this theorem, but the theorem of A. Connes.

The results in Sections 10.23—10.26 are from H. Araki [27], A. Connes [4], [7] and U. Haagerup [2]. In our exposition we followed the preprint of U. Haagerup [2]. The sets $\mathcal{P}_A$ (E.10.18) were introduced by H. Araki [27], [28], who also proved Radon-Nikodym type theorems relatively to these sets. A. Connes [7] found a characterization of the von Neumann algebras as ordered vector spaces, thus giving an answer to a problem posed by S. Sakai [4]. U. Haagerup [2] made a comprehensive study of the hyperstandard von Neumann algebras.

One of the most important applications of Tomita's theory concerns the classification of the factors of type III. In this direction we mention the wealth and depth of the results obtained by A. Connes [1—13], [19], [23], [24], [26]. Other important results were obtained by M. Takesaki [28], [29] and E. Størmer [15], [20].

A remarkable application of Tomita's theory to the structure of type III von Neumann algebras was found by M. Takesaki [30], [31], [32], [33], who showed that any type III von Neumann algebra is, in a unique manner, the cross-product of a type $II_\infty$ von Neumann algebra by a one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms. Particular cases of this theorem were previously proved by A. Connes [6] and M. Takesaki [28], [29].

For other results and applications concerning the Tomita theory we refer the reader to the Proceedings of some recent International Conferences on Operator Algebras such as: $C^*$-algebras and their applications to statistical mechanics and quantum field theory, North-Holland, 1976; Symposia Mathematica, vol. XX, Academic Press, 1975; Operator Algebras and their Applications to Mathematical Physics (Conference held in Marseille, June, 1977; the book, edited by CNRS, is in preparation).
Appendix

Fixed point theorems

In this Appendix we shall prove Ryll-Nardzewski’s fixed point theorem, which we used in Chapter 7.

A.1. Let \(\mathcal{X}\) be a vector space and \(\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{X}\) a convex set. A mapping \(T : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}\) is said to be affine if for any \(x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{K}\) and any \(\lambda \in [0, 1]\) we have

\[T(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2) = \lambda T(x_1) + (1 - \lambda) T(x_2).\]

Lemma (Markov-Kakutani). Let \(\mathcal{X}\) be a Hausdorff topological vector space, \(\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{X}\) a non-empty, compact, convex subset and \(\mathcal{F}\) a family of continuous, affine mappings of \(\mathcal{K}\) into \(\mathcal{K}\), which are mutually commuting. Then there exists an \(x_0 \in \mathcal{K}\), such that 

\[Tx_0 = x_0, \quad T \in \mathcal{F}.\]

Proof. For any \(T \in \mathcal{F}\) and any natural number \(n\) we write

\[T_n = \frac{1}{n} (I + T + \ldots + T^{n-1}).\]

The sets \(T_n(\mathcal{K})\) are compact subsets of \(\mathcal{K}\). For any \(T^{(1)}, T^{(2)}, \ldots, T^{(k)} \in \mathcal{F}\) and any natural numbers \(n_1, \ldots, n_k\) we have

\[(T^{(1)})_{n_1} \cdots (T^{(k)})_{n_k} \mathcal{K} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} (T^{(i)})_{n_i} \mathcal{K};\]

hence

\[\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} (T^{(i)})_{n_i} \mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset.\]

Consequently, there exists an

\[x_0 \in \bigcap_{T \in \mathcal{F}, n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n(\mathcal{K}) \subset \mathcal{K}.\]

Let now \(T \in \mathcal{F}\) be arbitrary. Since the set \(\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}\) is compact, for any neighbourhood \(\mathcal{U}\) of the origin, there exists a natural number \(n\), such that

\[\frac{1}{n} (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}) \subset \mathcal{U}.\]
Since \( x_0 \in T_n(\mathcal{N}) \), there exists an \( x \in \mathcal{N} \), such that \( x_0 = T_n x \); consequently

\[
T x_0 - x_0 = \frac{1}{n} (T^n x - x) \in \frac{1}{n} (\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{U}.
\]

Since \( \mathcal{N} \) is separated and \( \mathcal{U} \) was an arbitrary neighbourhood of the origin,

\[
T x_0 = x_0.
\]  

Q.E.D.

A.2. Let \( p \) be a seminorm on the vector space \( \mathcal{E} \). For any subset \( \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{E} \), let us denote

\[
p\text{-diam} (\mathcal{S}) = \sup_{x, y \in \mathcal{S}} p(x - y).
\]

Lemma (Namioka-Asplund [1]). Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be a Hausdorff locally convex vector space, \( \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{E} \) a non-empty, separable, weakly compact, convex subset, \( p \) a continuous seminorm on \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then there exists a closed convex subset \( \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E} \), such that

\[
\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{N} \quad \text{and} \quad p\text{-diam} (\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{C}) \leq \varepsilon.
\]

Proof. Let

\[
\mathcal{U} = \{ x ; x \in \mathcal{E}, p(x) \leq \varepsilon/4 \}.
\]

Since \( \mathcal{N} \) is separable, there exists a sequence \( \{ x_n \} \subset \mathcal{N} \), such that

\[
\mathcal{N} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_i + \mathcal{U}).
\]

We denote by \( \mathcal{E} \) the weak closure of the set of all extreme points of \( \mathcal{N} \). Since \( \mathcal{E} \) is weakly compact, and the sets \( x_i + \mathcal{U} \) are weakly closed, from

\[
\mathcal{E} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_i + \mathcal{U}),
\]

and by taking into account the theorem of Baire, we infer that there exists an index \( i_0 \), and a weakly open subset \( \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{E} \), such that

\[
\mathcal{E} \neq \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{E} \cap (x_{i_0} + \mathcal{U}).
\]

Let \( \mathcal{N}_1 \) be the closed convex hull of \( \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{D} \) and \( \mathcal{N}_2 \) the closed convex hull of \( \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{D} \). From the Krein-Milman theorem, \( \mathcal{N} \) is the convex hull of \( \mathcal{N}_1 \cup \mathcal{N}_2 \). Since the set \( \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{D} \) is weakly compact, it contains all the extreme points of \( \mathcal{N}_1 \) (by virtue of the converse Milman theorem; see R. R. Phelps [1], Ch. 1). Since \( \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset \), it follows that \( \mathcal{N}_1 \neq \mathcal{N} \). Obviously,

\[
p\text{-diam}(\mathcal{N}_2) \leq p\text{-diam}(x_{i_0} + \mathcal{U}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.
\]

We denote \( d = p\text{-diam}(\mathcal{N}) \) and we consider a number \( \delta \), such that \( 0 < \delta < \min \{ 1, \varepsilon/4d \} \). The set

\[
\mathcal{C} = \{ \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda) y_2 ; y_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2, \delta \leq \lambda \leq 1 \},
\]

is a closed convex subset of \( \mathcal{N} \).
Let us assume that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{K}$. Let $x$ be an extreme point of $\mathcal{K}$. Then there exist $y_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1$, $y_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2$, $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$, such that

$$x = \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda) y_2.$$ 

If $\lambda = 1$, then $x = y_1$; if $\lambda < 1$, since $x$ is extreme, it follows that $x = y_1 = y_2$. In both cases, $x = y_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1$. Since $x$ is an arbitrary extreme point of $\mathcal{K}$, we obtain $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_1$, thus contradicting the fact that $\mathcal{K}_1 \neq \mathcal{K}$.

Consequently, $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{K}$.

Since $\mathcal{K}$ is the convex hull of $\mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2$, for any $y \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{C}$ there exist $y_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1$, $y_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2$, $\lambda \in [0, \delta]$, such that

$$y = \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda) y_2.$$ 

Thus,

$$p(y - y_2) = \lambda p(y_1 - y_2) \leq \delta d.$$ 

Since $p$-diam($\mathcal{K}_2$) $\leq \varepsilon/2$, it follows that

$$p\text{-diam}(\mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{C}) \leq 2\delta d + \varepsilon/2 \leq \varepsilon.$$ 

Q.E.D.

A.3. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally convex vector space, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ a semigroup of mappings of $\mathcal{S}$ into $\mathcal{S}$. One says that $\mathcal{S}$ is non-contracting if, for any $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$, $x \neq y$, there exists a continuous seminorm $p$ on $\mathcal{X}$, such that

$$\inf_{T \in \mathcal{S}} p(Tx - Ty) > 0.$$ 

Theorem (Ryll-Nardzewski [1]). Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Hausdorff locally convex vector space, $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ a non-empty, weakly compact, convex subset and $\mathcal{S}$ a non-contracting semigroup of weakly continuous affine mappings of $\mathcal{K}$ into $\mathcal{K}$. Then there exists an $x_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, such that

$$Tx_0 = x_0, \quad T \in \mathcal{S}.$$ 

Proof. Let $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \mathcal{S}$ and let

$$T_0 = \frac{1}{n} (T_1 + \ldots + T_n).$$ 

In accordance with Lemma A.1, there exists an $x_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, such that $T_0 x_0 = x_0$.

Let us assume that there exists an index $i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $T_i x_0 \neq x_0$. We can assume that

$$T_i x_0 \neq x_0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

$$T_i x_0 = x_0, \quad \text{for } i > m.$$ 

If we denote $T_0' = \frac{1}{m} (T_1 + \ldots + T_m)$, we have $T_0' x_0 = x_0$. 
Since $\mathcal{S}$ is non-contracting, there exists a continuous seminorm $p$ on $\mathcal{X}$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

\[(*) \quad p(TT_i x_0 - T x_0) \geq 2\varepsilon, \quad T \in \mathcal{S}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.\]

Let $\mathcal{S}_0$ be the subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}$, generated by $T_1, \ldots, T_m$ and let $\mathcal{K}_0$ be the weakly closed convex hull of the set $\{T x_0; \ T \in \mathcal{S}_0\}$. Obviously, $\mathcal{K}_0$ is a nonempty, separable, weakly compact, convex subset of $\mathcal{K}$. In accordance with Lemma A.2, there exists a closed convex subset $\mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{K}_0$, such that $\mathcal{C}_0 \neq \mathcal{K}_0$ and $p\text{-diam}(\mathcal{K}_0 \setminus \mathcal{C}_0) \leq \varepsilon$.

Since $\mathcal{C}_0 \neq \mathcal{K}_0$, there exists a $S_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0$, such that $S_0 x_0 \in \mathcal{K}_0 \setminus \mathcal{C}_0$. From the equality $T_0 x_0 = x_0$, we infer that

$$S_0 x_0 = \frac{1}{m} (S_0 T_i x_0 + \ldots + S_0 T_m x_0).$$

Thus, there exists an index $i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $S_0 T_i x_0 \in \mathcal{K}_0 \setminus \mathcal{C}_0$. Then

$$p(S_0 T_i x_0 - S_0 x_0) \leq p\text{-diam}(\mathcal{K}_0 \setminus \mathcal{C}_0) \leq \varepsilon,$$

and this contradicts the relation $(*)$.

Consequently, any finite subset of $\mathcal{S}$ has a common fixed point. A familiar compactness argument shows that $\mathcal{S}$ has a fixed point.

Q.E.D.
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