# The LQG black hole

Hanno Sahlmann





## 1. Introduction

Black hole thermodynamics:

- Mass is energy
- Hawking temperature *is* temperature
- Area is entropy ?

Entropy of what? What are the microstates?

In loop quantum gravity (LQG):

- Area *is* entropy of quantum isolated horizon
- microstates = states of SU(2) Chern-Simons theory with punctures
  ≈ quantum shapes of horizon

But: Treatment not entirely from first principles.

#### The picture in LQG:



- Polymeric excitations endow horizon with area
- Bulk theory and CS theory coupled at punctures
- number of surface & CS states for fixed area ~ exp A

Rovelli , Krasnov ('96) Ashtekar + Baez + Corichi + Krasnov ('98) Domagala + Lewandowski, Meissner ('04) Engle + Noui + Perez ('10)

and many more.

## 2. Isolated horizons

Local notion of black hole horizon? Isolated horizon (Ashtekar Beetle Fairhurst ('98), specialization of *trapping horizon* (Hayward ('94))

Something like a *local Killing horizon*:

- Null surface  $H = S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$
- Foliation of H with corresponding null normal non-expanding.
- Pull-back of connection time independent on H.

⇒ Quasi-local expression for horizon mass (angular momentum, charge...)
 ⇒ Laws of BH mechanics

- KH is IH,
- ▶ IH inside/coincides with EH,
- ► IH + assumptions  $\Rightarrow \exists$  EH.

For rest of talk: spherically symmetric IH ("Type I")

Ashtekar-Barbero variables (Engle, Noui, Perez ('10))

with

$$\Sigma^{I} := \epsilon^{I}{}_{JK} e^{J} \wedge e^{K} = \delta^{IJ} \epsilon_{abc} E^{a}_{J} dx^{b} \wedge dx^{c}$$

Presymplectic structure:

$$\kappa\gamma\Omega(\delta_1,\delta_2) = \int_{\Sigma} 2\delta_{[1}\Sigma^I \wedge \delta_{2]}A_I - \frac{a_H}{(1-\beta^2)\pi} \int_{\Delta} \delta_1 A^I \wedge \delta_2 A_I$$

Appearance of Chern-Simons term on boundary suggests separate quantization of boundary DOF. More later.

# 3. Quantum theory

Bulk theory as before, but now spin-net edges can end on the horizon. Fix graph. Standard LQG-results give

$$\mathcal{H} = (\otimes_i j_{p_i}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{bulk}} \quad \ni \psi = |\{j_{p_i}, m_{p_i}\}, \ldots\rangle$$



$$[\widehat{J}^{I}(p),\widehat{J}^{J}(p)] = \epsilon^{IJ}{}_{K}\widehat{J}^{K}(p)$$

$$\widehat{\underline{E}}^{I}(p) = 16\pi G\beta \sum_{p_i} \delta(p, p_i) \widehat{J}^{I}(p)$$
$$\widehat{a}_{H} = 8\pi\beta l_P^2 |\widehat{J}(p)|$$

Boundary theory must satisfy:

$$-\frac{a_H}{\pi(1-\beta^2)}\epsilon^{ab}\widehat{F}_{ab}(p) \stackrel{!}{=} 16\pi G\beta \sum_{p_i} \frac{\delta(p,p_i)\widehat{J}^i(p)}{\delta(p,p_i)\widehat{J}^i(p)}$$

Again, follow ENP: Take SU(2) CS theory with particles,

$$\begin{split} S[A, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{i}] = & \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \operatorname{tr}[A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A] \\ &+ \sum_{i} \int_{c_{i}} \operatorname{tr}[\tau_{3}(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{i}^{-1}d\mathbf{\Lambda}_{i} + \mathbf{\Lambda}_{i}^{-1}A\mathbf{\Lambda}_{i})] \end{split}$$

Canonical analysis:

$$(\lambda_i, S_i): \qquad \{S_i^I, S_i^J\} = \epsilon^{IJ}{}_K S_i^K, \qquad \{S_i^I, \Lambda_i\} = -\tau^I \Lambda_i$$

plus three constraints per particle. Further constraint:

$$\frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{ab} \widehat{F}_{ab}(p) \stackrel{!}{=} \sum_{i} \delta(p, p_i) \widehat{S}_i$$

This suggests:

$$egin{aligned} k &= rac{a_H}{4\pi l_P^2 eta(1-eta^2)} \ \widehat{S}_i &= \widehat{J}(p_i) \ \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{H}_{CS}(j_1, j_2 \ldots) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{ ext{bulk}} \end{aligned}$$

Note furthermore: Because  $\Delta$  is simply connected

$$\mathcal{H}_{CS}(j_1, j_2, \ldots) \subset \operatorname{Inv}(j_1, j_2, \ldots)$$

In fact, for k large, it can be shown that

$$\mathcal{H}_{CS}(j_1, j_2, \ldots) = \operatorname{Inv}(j_1, j_2, \ldots)$$

for large level k (large BH).

Finally: Constraints don't change the picture.

## 4. State counting

Count: All sequences  $j_1, j_2, \ldots$  such that

$$4\pieta l_P^2\sum_i\sqrt{j_i(j_i+1)}\leq a_H$$
 with multiplicity  $\dim\,\mathrm{Inv}(j_1\otimes j_2\otimes\ldots)$ 

Agullo, Barbero, Borja, Diaz-Polo, Villasenor ('10) find:

$$N_{\leq}(a) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^2 i} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{x_0 - i\infty}^{x_0 + i\infty} \frac{\sin^2 \omega}{s} \\ \times \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\sin(k+1)\omega}{\sin\omega} e^{-s\sqrt{k(k+2)}} \right)^{-1} \\ \times e^{as} \, ds \, d\omega$$

Analysis of the pole structure of the integrand gives:

$$\ln N_{\leq}(a) = \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{2\pi\beta} \frac{a_h}{4l_P^2} - \frac{3}{2}\ln(a_H/l_P^2) + O(a_H^0)$$

with  $\widetilde{\beta}\,$  determined by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)e^{-\tilde{\beta}\sqrt{k(k+2)}/2} = 1$$

This leads to the choice

$$\beta = \widetilde{\beta}/(2\pi) \approx 0.274067$$

which reproduces the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy law.

### 5. Remarks

#### 1. History:

- Rovelli , Krasnov ('96): Counting punctures
- Ashtekar + Baez + Corichi + Krasnov ('98):
  - Use of isolated horizon condition
  - Chern-Simons on boundary
  - gauge fixing to U(1) on boundary
  - state counting only approximate
- Domagala + Lewandowski, Meissner ('04): correct combinatorial formulation, counting, statistics.
- Engle + Noui + Perez ('10): Treatment without extraneous gauge fixing

#### 2. Relation to quasinormal modes (QNM):

QNM in this context: ringing modes of fields (metric perturbations) on BH spacetimes

Complex frequencies. For scalar modes on Schwarzschild:



(image from: Dreyer '02)

In fact, limit of real part

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Re}(M\omega_n) \approx 0.04371235$$

Hod's prediction ('98):

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Re}(M\omega_n) = \frac{\ln 3}{8\pi}$$

Proven by Motl ('03). Why is this interesting?

Bekenstein ('73): Area quantum

$$\Delta A = 4\ln(k) \, l_P^2$$

Hod's reasoning: With k=3:

$$\Delta A = 32\pi M \Delta M = 32\pi \hbar \omega_{\rm QNM}$$

QNM spectrum = emission spectrum of quantum BH??

Situation in LQG:

Area spec much more complicated, but there is minimal nonzero eigenvalue.

Dreyer ('03): Can get  $\Delta A = 4 \ln(3) l_P^2$  for gauge group SO(3) in LQG

Uses (incorrect)

$$\beta^{\text{ABCK}} = \frac{\ln 3}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}$$

Domagala, Meissner, Lewandowski: Does not work for correct counting.

Possible way out: Different ordering in area operator gives equidistant area spectrum in LQG. Dreyer's argument then seems to work again.

But: Extension to charged, rotating case unclear (Perez, Sahlmann, Sudarsky ('04))

#### **3. Entropy quantization**

Area spectrum in LQG not equidistant. But...

A. Corichi, J. Diaz-Polo and E. Fernandez-Borja ('07):



Fully analytic investigation using tools from number theory in progress: Agullo, Barbero, Borja, Diaz-Polo, Villasenor ('10).

Structure seems to go away for large black holes.

#### 4. Connection to convex polyhedra, polymers

Beautiful recent work by Bianchi, Dona, Speziale ('10):



$$\sum_i ec{n}_i A_i = 0$$
 .

- derivation of area-entropy relation from polymer physics
- Horizon DOF as DOF of quantum convex polyhedron?

# 6. Summary / Outlook

In LQG:

- BH area *is* entropy of quantum isolated horizon
- microstates = states of SU(2) Chern-Simons theory with punctures
  - ≈ quantum shapes of horizon

Things fit very nicely together. Seems the LQG picture captures at least part of the truth.

But much more to understand:

- Charged, rotating black holes
- •Dynamical situation
- Holography?
- How does the thermodynamics come in?

• ...

# 2. LQG basics

Variables: (Ashtekar, Barbero)

$$\begin{split} S[\omega, e] &= \frac{1}{4k} \int_{M} \left[ \epsilon_{ijkl} e^{i} \wedge e^{j} \wedge \Omega^{kl} - \frac{2}{\beta} e^{i} \wedge e^{j} \wedge \Omega_{ij} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{k\gamma} \int dt \int_{\Sigma_{t}} E_{I}^{a} \dot{A}_{a}^{I} - \omega_{0}^{I} G_{I} + N^{a} C_{a} + NC \end{split}$$

$$A_a^I = \Gamma_a^I + \beta K_a^I \qquad \qquad E_I^a = \sqrt{|\det q|} e_I^a$$

 $i, j, \ldots$ : SO(3,1)  $I, J, \ldots$ : SO(3)(or SU(2))

$$\{A_a^I(x), E_b^J(y)\} = 8\pi\beta G \delta_a^b \delta_J^I \delta(x, y)$$