

Time scales analogues of differential equations

Jan L. Cieśliński

Faculty of Physics, University of Białystok, Poland

Integrable systems

(Banach Center Research Group), Warsaw, 7-9 June 2010

Plan of the talk

1. Preliminaries. Notation.
2. Exponential, hyperbolic and trigonometric functions on time scales. Short review of existing results.
3. New definition of the exponential function on \mathbb{T} , motivated by the Cayley transformation.
4. Cayley-hyperbolic and C-trigonometric functions on \mathbb{T} .
5. New approach to \mathbb{T} -analogues of ODE's. Application of geometric numerical schemes.
6. Short note on other developments and further directions:
 - modification of the q -calculus,
 - Padé-analogues of the exponential function on \mathbb{T} ,
 - time scales analogue of the exact discretization,
 - dynamic systems on Lie groups.
 - Sine-Gordon equation on time scales.

1. Preliminaries. Notation

Time scale \mathbb{T} is any (non-empty) closed subset of \mathbb{R} .

Forward jump operator $\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\} =: t^\sigma$

Backward jump operator $\rho(t) := \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}$

Graininess $\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t.$

Rd-continuous function is continuous at right-dense points ($\sigma(t) = t$) and has a finite limit at left-dense points ($\rho(t) = t$).

Graininess μ is always rd-continuous (but is not continuous at points which are left-dense and right-scattered).

Delta derivative:
$$f^\Delta(t) := \lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow t \\ s \neq \sigma(t)}} \frac{f(\sigma(t)) - f(s)}{\sigma(t) - s}$$

Theorem (Hilger): Any rd-continuous function f has an anti-derivative F (i.e., $F^\Delta = f$).

Nabla derivative:
$$f^\nabla(t) := \lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow t \\ s \neq \rho(t)}} \frac{f(\rho(t)) - f(s)}{\rho(t) - s} .$$

Hilger's exponential function, denoted by $e_\alpha(t, t_0)$, is the unique solution of the following initial value problem ($\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given):

$$x^\Delta = \alpha(t) x, \quad x(t_0) = 1.$$

Nabla exponential function, denoted by $\hat{e}_\alpha(t, t_0)$, satisfies:

$$x^\nabla = \alpha(t) x, \quad x(t_0) = 1.$$

Continuous case ($\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$): $e_\alpha(t, t_0) = \hat{e}_\alpha(t, t_0) = \exp \int_{t_0}^t \alpha(t) \Delta t.$

$$\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha(t) = z \quad \Rightarrow \quad e_\alpha(t) = \hat{e}_\alpha(t) = e^{zt}.$$

Discrete constant case ($\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha(t) = z \in \mathbb{C}$):

$$e_z(t) = \left(1 + \frac{zt}{n}\right)^n, \quad \hat{e}_z(t) = \left(1 - \frac{zt}{n}\right)^{-n}, \quad t = nh.$$

2. Hyperbolic and trigonometric functions

$$\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \cos x = \frac{1}{2}(e^{ix} + e^{-ix}) \quad , \quad e^{-ix} = (e^{ix})^{-1} \quad (!)$$

Unfortunately: $e_{-\alpha}(t, t_0) \neq e_{\alpha}^{-1}(t, t_0)$.

2.1. Hilger (1999): $\cosh_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{e_{\alpha}(t) + e_{\alpha}^{-1}(t)}{2}$ etc.

Advantages: $\cosh_{\alpha}^2(t) - \sinh_{\alpha}^2(t) = 1$,

Disadvantages: $\cosh_{\alpha}^{\Delta}(t)$ is not proportional to $\sinh_{\alpha}(t)$,

$\cosh_{i\omega}(t) \notin \mathbb{R}$ (for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$) . How to define sine and cosine?

Hilger (1999): $\omega(t) = \text{const} \Rightarrow \cos_{\omega}(t) := \cos(\omega t)$ (?!)

Exact discretization!

2.2. Bohner, Peterson (2001):

$$\cosh_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{e_{\alpha}(t) + e_{-\alpha}(t)}{2}, \quad \cos_{\omega}(t) = \cosh_{i\omega}(t), \quad \text{etc.}$$

Advantages: $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \cos_{\omega}(t) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sin_{\omega}(t) \in \mathbb{R},$

$$\sinh_{\alpha}^{\Delta}(t) = \alpha \cosh_{\alpha}(t), \quad \sin_{\omega}^{\Delta}(t) = \omega \cos_{\omega}(t), \quad \text{etc.}$$

Disadvantages: in place of Pythagorean identities we have qualitatively different equalities, i.e.,

$$\cosh_{\alpha}^2(t) - \sinh_{\alpha}^2(t) = e_{-\mu\alpha^2}(t), \quad \cos_{\omega}^2(t) + \sin_{\omega}^2(t) = e_{\mu\omega^2}(t).$$

Sine and cosine are not bounded.

3. New definition of the exponential function

The Cayley-exponential function $E_\alpha(t, t_0)$ satisfies the following initial value problem:

$$x^\Delta(t) = \alpha(t) \langle x(t) \rangle, \quad x(t_0) = 1,$$

where α is regressive (i.e., $\mu\alpha \neq \pm 2$) and rd-continuous on \mathbb{T} ,

and $\langle x(t) \rangle := \frac{x(t) + x(\sigma(t))}{2}$.

J.L.Cieśliński (2010), “New definitions of exponential, hyperbolic and trigonometric functions on time scales”, *preprint arXiv: 1003.0697 [math.CA]*.

Continuous case

$$\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} \quad \Rightarrow \quad E_{\alpha}(t) = \exp \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) d\tau.$$

Discrete case

$$\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}, \quad \alpha = \text{const}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad E_{\alpha}(t) = \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{2n}t\alpha}{1 - \frac{1}{2n}t\alpha} \right)^n, \quad t = nh.$$

Similar formulas (discrete case): Ferrand (1944), Duffin (1956), Zeilberger, Dym (1977), Date, Jimbo, Miwa (1982), Nijhoff, Quispel, Capel (1983), Iserles (2001), Mercat (2001).

Cayley-exponential (C-exponential) function E_α is given by

$$E_\alpha(t, t_0) := \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t \zeta_{\mu(s)}(\alpha(s)) \Delta s \right), \quad E_\alpha(t) := E_\alpha(t, 0),$$

where α ($\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$) is *regressive* (i.e., $\mu\alpha \neq \pm 2$) and rd-continuous, and

$$\zeta_\mu(z) := \frac{1}{\mu} \log \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}z\mu}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z\mu}, \quad \zeta_0(z) := z, \quad \left(z = \frac{2}{h} \tanh \frac{h\zeta}{2} \right).$$

Classical Cayley transformation: $z \rightarrow \text{cay}(z, a) = \frac{1 + az}{1 - az}$ maps the imaginary axis into the unit circle.

Properties of the Cayley-exponential function:

$$1. E_{\alpha}(t^{\sigma}, t_0) = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu(t)\alpha(t)}{1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu(t)\alpha(t)} E_{\alpha}(t, t_0)$$

$$2. \overline{E_{\alpha}(t, t_0)} = E_{\bar{\alpha}}(t, t_0) , \quad (E_{\alpha}(t, t_0))^{-1} = E_{-\alpha}(t, t_0)$$

$$3. E_{\alpha}(t, t_0) E_{\alpha}(t_0, t_1) = E_{\alpha}(t, t_1)$$

$$4. E_{\alpha}(t, t_0) E_{\beta}(t, t_0) = E_{\alpha \oplus \beta}(t, t_0)$$

where $t^{\sigma} \equiv \sigma(t)$ and $\alpha \oplus \beta := \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 + \frac{1}{4}\mu^2\alpha\beta}$.

“Lorentz velocity transformation”, $\frac{2}{\mu}$ is an analogue of the speed of light.

4. C-hyperbolic and C-trigonometric functions.

$$\text{Cosh}_\alpha(t) := \frac{E_\alpha(t) + E_{-\alpha}(t)}{2}, \quad \text{Sinh}_\alpha(t) := \frac{E_\alpha(t) - E_{-\alpha}(t)}{2},$$

$$\text{Cos}_\omega(t) := \frac{E_{i\omega}(t) + E_{-i\omega}(t)}{2}, \quad \text{Sin}_\omega(t) := \frac{E_{i\omega}(t) - E_{-i\omega}(t)}{2i}.$$

C-exponential function satisfies;

$$(E_\alpha(t))^{-1} = E_{-\alpha}(t), \quad E_{\bar{\alpha}}(t) = \overline{E_\alpha(t)}.$$

Therefore, $\text{Re}\alpha(t) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow |E_\alpha(t)| \equiv 1,$

which implies good properties of C-trigonometric functions.

Theorem.

$$\text{Cosh}_\alpha^2(t) - \text{Sinh}_\alpha^2(t) = 1 ,$$

$$\text{Cosh}_\alpha^\Delta(t) = \alpha(t) \langle \text{Sinh}_\alpha(t) \rangle , \quad \text{Sinh}_\alpha^\Delta(t) = \alpha(t) \langle \text{Cosh}_\alpha(t) \rangle ,$$

$$\text{Cos}_\omega^2(t) + \text{Sin}_\omega^2(t) = 1 ,$$

$$\text{Cos}_\omega^\Delta(t) = -\omega(t) \langle \text{Sin}_\omega(t) \rangle , \quad \text{Sin}_\omega^\Delta(t) = \omega(t) \langle \text{Cos}_\omega(t) \rangle ,$$

Theorem. If $\omega(t) = \text{const}$, then Cayley-sine and Cayley-cosine functions satisfy the equation (“harmonic oscillator on time scales”):

$$x^{\Delta\Delta} + \omega^2 \langle\langle x(t) \rangle\rangle = 0 , \quad \left(\langle\langle x(t) \rangle\rangle \equiv \frac{x^{\sigma\sigma} + 2x^\sigma + x}{4} \right) .$$

5. \mathbb{T} -analogues of ODE motivated by numerical schemes

We consider a general ODE:

$$\dot{x} = f(x, t) , \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad x(t) \in \mathbb{C}^N, \quad f(x(t), t) \in \mathbb{C}^N$$

Standard time scales analogues:

Forward (explicit) Euler scheme $x^\Delta(t) = f(x(t), t)$

Backward (implicit) Euler scheme $x^\nabla(t) = f(x(t), t)$

What about other numerical schemes? ...

Trapezoidal rule (notation: $x = x(t)$, $x^\sigma = x(t^\sigma)$)

autonomous case: $x^\Delta = \frac{1}{2} (f(x) + f(x^\sigma))$

general case: $x^\Delta = \frac{1}{4} (f(x, t) + f(x^\sigma, t) + f(x, t^\sigma) + f(x^\sigma, t^\sigma))$

Remark. $f(x, t) = \alpha(t) x \Rightarrow x^\Delta = \langle \alpha \rangle \langle x \rangle$

Yet more symmetric definition of the exponential function!

Implicit midpoint rule

autonomous case $x^\Delta = f\left(\frac{x + x^\sigma}{2}\right)$

general case: $x^\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \left(f\left(\frac{x + x^\sigma}{2}, t\right) + f\left(\frac{x + x^\sigma}{2}, t^\sigma\right) \right) (?)$

Discrete gradient method (a simplest case)

Hamiltonian $H(p, q) = T(p) + V(q)$ yields $\dot{q} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial p}$, $\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q}$.

\mathbb{T} -analogue:

$$q^\Delta = \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta p}, \quad p^\Delta = -\frac{\Delta V}{\Delta q}.$$

where the “discrete gradient” is defined as

$$\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta p}(p) := \lim_{P \rightarrow p} \frac{T(p^\sigma) - T(P)}{p^\sigma - P}, \quad \frac{\Delta V}{\Delta q}(q) := \lim_{Q \rightarrow q} \frac{V(q^\sigma) - V(Q)}{q^\sigma - Q}.$$

Theorem. On any time scale: $T(p) + V(q) = \text{const.}$

Classical harmonic oscillator $\ddot{q} + \omega_0^2 q = 0, \quad q(t) \in \mathbb{R},$

Implicit midpoint, trapezoidal and discrete gradient schemes yield the following \mathbb{T} -analogue of harmonic oscillator:

$$q^{\Delta\Delta} + \omega_0^2 \langle\langle q \rangle\rangle = 0 \quad \langle\langle q \rangle\rangle := \frac{q^{\sigma\sigma} + 2q^\sigma + q}{4} .$$

Solutions: C-sine and C-cosine functions (very good qualitative properties: **bounded**, often oscillatory-like).

Of course, all these schemes yield different results for other, nonlinear, equations.

6.1. New q -exponential function \mathcal{E}_q^x is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_q^x := e_q^{\frac{x}{2}} E_q^{\frac{x}{2}} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^k(1-q)\frac{x}{2}}{1 - q^k(1-q)\frac{x}{2}},$$

where e_q^x, E_q^x are standard q -exponential functions

Theorem:

$$\mathcal{E}_q^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\{n\}!},$$

$$\{n\} := \frac{1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}}{\frac{1}{2}(1 + q^{n-1})} \equiv \frac{[n]}{\frac{1}{2}(1 + q^{n-1})}.$$

New q -trigonometric functions motivated by the Cayley transformation

$$\mathcal{S}in_q x = \frac{\mathcal{E}_q^{ix} - \mathcal{E}_q^{-ix}}{2i}, \quad \mathcal{C}os_q x = \frac{\mathcal{E}_q^{ix} + \mathcal{E}_q^{-ix}}{2}.$$

Properties:

$$\mathcal{C}os_q^2 x + \mathcal{S}in_q^2 x = 1,$$

$$D_q \mathcal{S}in_q x = \langle \mathcal{C}os_q x \rangle,$$

$$D_q \mathcal{C}os_q x = -\langle \mathcal{S}in_q x \rangle,$$

where D_q (q -derivative) is defined by $D_q f(x) := \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{qx - x}$,

and $\langle f(x) \rangle := \frac{f(x) + f(qx)}{2}$.

6.2. Padé-analogues of the exponential function on \mathbb{T}

Padé approximant of e^x is a rational function $R_{j,k}(x) = \frac{P_j(x)}{Q_k(x)}$, where orders j, k are given, which agrees with e^x (at $x = 0$) to the highest possible order.

- $E_{1,0}^\alpha(t, t_0) = e_\alpha(t, t_0)$ delta exponential function
- $E_{0,1}^\alpha(t, t_0) = \hat{e}_\alpha(t, t_0)$ nabla exponential function
- $E_{1,1}^\alpha(t, t_0) = E_\alpha(t, t_0)$ Cayley-exponential function

- $E_{2,2}^\alpha(t^\sigma, t_0) = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\mu + \frac{1}{12}(\alpha\mu)^2}{1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\mu + \frac{1}{12}(\alpha\mu)^2} E_{2,2}^\alpha(t, t_0)$, which satisfies:

$$x^\Delta = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \frac{1}{12}(\alpha\mu)^2} \langle x \rangle, \quad x^\Delta = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\mu + \frac{1}{12}(\alpha\mu)^2} x, \quad (x = E_{2,2}^\alpha).$$

- Similarly: $E_{j,k}^\alpha(t^\sigma, t_0)$ (with “good” trigonometry for $k = j$).

6.3. Exact analogues of elementary/special functions on \mathbb{T}

Given $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define its **exact analogue** $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as $\tilde{f} := f|_{\mathbb{T}}$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{f}(t) := f(t) \quad (\text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}) .$$

The path $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ is obvious and unique, but how to find f corresponding to a given \tilde{f} ? For example, which function $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ corresponds to a given function $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$? The answer is obvious if $\alpha = \text{const}$. What about other cases?

Exact exponential function on \mathbb{T}

Assumption: $\alpha = \text{const} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition. $E_{\alpha}^{ex}(t, t_0) := e^{\alpha(t-t_0)}$.

Theorem. The exact exponential function $E_{\alpha}^{ex}(t, t_0)$ satisfies

$$x^{\Delta}(t) = \alpha \psi_{\alpha}(t) \langle x(t) \rangle, \quad x(t_0) = 1,$$

where $\psi_{\alpha}(t) = 1$ for right-dense points and

$$\psi_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{2}{\alpha\mu(t)} \tanh \frac{\alpha\mu(t)}{2}$$

for right-scattered points.

6.4. Dynamic systems on Lie groups

Natural generalizations of the Cayley transform:

- Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow$ (“quadratic”) Lie group G ,
- anti-Hermitian operators \rightarrow unitary operators.

Lemma. $A \in \mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow (I - A)^{-1}(I + A) \in G$.

The dynamic system $\Phi^\Delta = A \langle \Phi \rangle$, i.e., $\Phi^\sigma = \frac{I + \frac{1}{2}\mu A}{I - \frac{1}{2}\mu A} \Phi$

is a natural \mathbb{T} -analogue of $\frac{d}{dt} \Phi = A \Phi$ (here $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\Phi \in G$)

Another approach: J.L.Cieřliński (2007), “Pseudospherical surfaces on time scales: a geometric definition and the spectral approach”, **J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.** **40** (2007) 12525-12538.

6.5. Sine-Gordon equation on time scales

Discrete case:
$$\frac{\sin(\frac{1}{4}\mu_x\mu_y\Phi\Delta_x\Delta_y)}{\frac{1}{4}\mu_x\mu_y} = \sin \langle \Phi \rangle ,$$

$$\langle \Phi \rangle := \frac{\Phi^{\sigma_x\sigma_y} + \Phi^{\sigma_x} + \Phi^{\sigma_y} + \Phi}{4} .$$

Extension on any \mathbb{T} : soon.

Lax pair: $\psi^{\Delta_x} = U\psi$, $\psi^{\Delta_y} = V\psi$,
where U is linear in λ , V is linear in λ^{-1} .

J.L.Cieśliński, “Pseudospherical surfaces on time scales...”,
J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40** (2007) 12525-12538.

Open problem: to extend the Ablowitz-Ladik spectral problem on time scales.

7. Conclusions and future directions

- Differential equations have no unique ‘natural’ time scales analogues. It is worthwhile to consider different numerical schemes in this context.
- Dynamic systems preserving integrals of motion and Lyapunov functions (discrete gradient method).
- Inequalities of Gronwall type.
- New developments in the q -calculus (e.g., modifications of q -gamma function and of the Jackson integral).
- Laplace and Fourier transformations.
- Locally exact \mathbb{T} -analogues of elementary functions.

.

.

.

Exponential function

Exponential function on \mathbb{T} (Hilger, 1990)

$$e_\alpha(t, t_0) := \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t \xi_{\mu(s)}(\alpha(s)) \Delta s \right) ,$$

$$e_\alpha(t) := e_\alpha(t, 0) ,$$

where

$$\xi_\mu(z) := \frac{1}{\mu} \log(1 + z\mu) \quad (\text{for } \mu > 0)$$

$$\xi_0(z) := z .$$

Assumption: α is μ -**regressive** (i.e., $\mu\alpha \neq -1$) and rd-continuous.

Properties of Hilger's exponential function:

$$1. e_{\alpha}(t^{\sigma}, t_0) = (1 + \mu(t)\alpha(t)) e_{\alpha}(t, t_0) \quad ,$$

$$2. (e_{\alpha}(t, t_0))^{-1} = e_{\ominus^{\mu}\alpha}(t, t_0) \quad ,$$

$$3. e_{\alpha}(t, t_0) e_{\alpha}(t_0, t_1) = e_{\alpha}(t, t_1) \quad ,$$

$$4. e_{\alpha}(t, t_0) e_{\beta}(t, t_0) = e_{\alpha \oplus^{\mu} \beta}(t, t_0) \quad ,$$

where α, β rd-continuous and μ -regressive, $t^{\sigma} \equiv \sigma(t)$,

$$\alpha \oplus^{\mu} \beta := \alpha + \beta + \mu\alpha\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \ominus^{\mu}\alpha := \frac{-\alpha}{1+\mu\alpha}.$$

Theorem: $E_\alpha(t, t_0) = e_\beta(t, t_0)$, if

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{\beta(t)}{1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu(t)\beta(t)}, \quad \beta(t) = \frac{\alpha(t)}{1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu(t)\alpha(t)}.$$

Proof (sketch). We denote $x(t) = E_\alpha(t, t_0)$ and consider a right-scattered t (i.e., $t^\sigma \neq t$). Then:

$$x^\Delta(t) = \alpha(t)\langle x(t) \rangle \iff \frac{x(t^\sigma) - x(t)}{t^\sigma - t} = \alpha(t) \frac{x(t^\sigma) + x(t)}{2}.$$

Hence (using $\mu(t) = t^\sigma - t$): $x(t^\sigma) = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu(t)\alpha(t)}{1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu(t)\alpha(t)} x(t)$.

Therefore, $x^\Delta = \frac{x(t^\sigma) - x(t)}{\mu(t)} = \frac{\alpha(t)x(t)}{1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu(t)\alpha(t)} = \beta(t)x(t)$,

which means that $x(t) = e_\beta(t, t_0)$.

Numerical advantages of E_α

$$E_\alpha(t^\sigma, t) = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu\alpha}{1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu\alpha} = 1 + \alpha\mu + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\alpha\mu)^3 + \dots ,$$

$$e_\alpha(t^\sigma, t) = 1 + \alpha\mu ,$$

$$\hat{e}_\alpha(t^\sigma, t) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\mu} = 1 + \alpha\mu + (\alpha\mu)^2 + \dots$$

Continuous case:

$$\exp(\alpha\mu) = 1 + \alpha\mu + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\alpha\mu)^3 + \dots$$

Therefore, for $\mu \neq 0$,

$E_\alpha(t^\sigma, t)$ is a **second-order approximation** of $\exp(\alpha\mu)$, while $e_\alpha(t^\sigma, t)$ and $\hat{e}_\alpha(t^\sigma, t)$ are of the first order only.

q-Calculus

Standard q -exponential functions e_q^x, E_q^x

(definitions and notation are much older than the time scales!)

$$e_q^x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{[j]!} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - (1 - q)q^k x)^{-1},$$

$$E_q^x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}j(j-1)} \frac{x^j}{[j]!} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 + (1 - q)q^k x) = (e_q^{-x})^{-1} = e_{1/q}^x.$$

where $[j]! = [1][2] \dots [j]$, $[j] = 1 + q + \dots + q^{j-1}$, i.e.,

$$[j]! = 1 \cdot (1 + q) \cdot (1 + q + q^2) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 + q + \dots + q^{j-1}),$$

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}j(1-j)} [j]! = 1 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{q} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{j-1}}\right).$$

q -Exponentials in terms of exponential functions on $\mathbb{T} = \overline{q^{\mathbb{N}_0}}$

$$q < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad e_q^x = \hat{e}_x(1, 0), \quad E_q^x = e_x(1, 0),$$

$$q > 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad e_q^x = e_x(1, 0), \quad E_q^x = \hat{e}_x(1, 0),$$

$$\mathcal{E}_q^x = E_x(1, 0), \quad \mathcal{E}_{1/q}^x = E_x(1, 0),$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}_{1/q}^x = \mathcal{E}_q^x$, $E_q^x = e_{1/q}^x$.

Let $\mathbb{T} = q^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ ($0 < q < 1$), and $\alpha(t) = x$. Then

$$e_x(t) = \prod_{j=k}^{\infty} (1 + (1 - q)q^j x), \quad t = q^k.$$

Standard q -trigonometric functions

$$\sin_q x = \frac{e_q^{ix} - e_q^{-ix}}{2i}, \quad \text{Sin}_q x = \frac{E_q^{ix} - E_q^{-ix}}{2i},$$

$$\cos_q x = \frac{e_q^{ix} + e_q^{-ix}}{2}, \quad \text{Cos}_q x = \frac{E_q^{ix} + E_q^{-ix}}{2},$$

Properties:

$$\cos_q x \text{ Cos}_q x + \sin_q x \text{ Sin}_q x = 1$$

$$D_q \sin_q x = \cos_q x, \quad D_q \cos_q x = -\sin_q x,$$

$$D_q \text{Sin}_q x = \text{Cos}_q(qx), \quad D_q \text{Cos}_q x = -\text{Sin}_q(qx).$$

where D_q (q -derivative) is defined by $D_q f(x) := \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{qx - x}$.

Positively regressive functions

Definition. Function $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *positively regressive*, if for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ we have $|\alpha(t)\mu(t)| < 2$.

Theorem. If $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *rd-continuous* and *positively regressive*, then the Cayley-exponential function E_α is positive (i.e., $E_\alpha(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$).

Theorem. The set of real *positively regressive* functions is an abelian group with respect to the addition \oplus .

Attention. The set of all regressive functions is not closed with respect to the addition \oplus . In order to show this fact it is enough to take α, β such that $\mu^2\alpha\beta = -4$. Then $\alpha \oplus \beta$ is infinite.

Exact discretization

Modified delta derivative

$$x^{\Delta'_\alpha}(t) := \lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow t \\ s \neq \sigma(t)}} \frac{x(t^\sigma) - x(s)}{\delta_\alpha(t^\sigma - s)}$$

where $\delta_\alpha(\mu) := \frac{2}{\alpha} \tanh \frac{\alpha\mu}{2}$.

Lemma. $x^\Delta(t) = \psi_\alpha(t)x^{\Delta'_\alpha}(t)$.

Lemma. Exact exponential function $E_\alpha^{ex}(t, t_0)$ satisfies

$$x^{\Delta'_\alpha}(t) = \alpha \langle x(t) \rangle, \quad x(t_0) = 1 .$$

This is the **exact discretization** of the equation $\dot{x} = \alpha x$.

Exact analogues of **hyperbolic** and **trigonometric** functions on \mathbb{T} .

$$\cosh_{\alpha}^{ex}(t) = \frac{E_{\alpha}^{ex}(t) + E_{-\alpha}^{ex}(t)}{2} = \cosh \alpha t ,$$

$$\sinh_{\alpha}^{ex}(t) = \frac{E_{\alpha}^{ex}(t) - E_{-\alpha}^{ex}(t)}{2} = \sinh \alpha t .$$

$$\cos_{\omega}^{ex}(t) = \frac{E_{i\omega}^{ex}(t) + E_{-i\omega}^{ex}(t)}{2} = \cos \omega t ,$$

$$\sin_{\omega}^{ex}(t) = \frac{E_{i\omega}^{ex}(t) - E_{-i\omega}^{ex}(t)}{2i} = \sin \omega t .$$

The last two definitions coincide with Hilger's definitions.

These functions satisfy rather complicated dynamic equations which simplify greatly in the case $\mu = \text{const}$.

Exact harmonic oscillator on \mathbb{T} .

If $\mu(t) = \text{const}$, $\omega(t) = \text{const}$, then \cos_{ω}^{ex} and \sin_{ω}^{ex} satisfy

$$x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \omega^2 \phi^2(\omega\mu) \langle\langle x(t) \rangle\rangle = 0 ,$$

or, equivalently,

$$x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \omega^2 \left(\text{sinc} \frac{\omega\mu}{2} \right)^2 x(t^{\sigma}) = 0 ,$$

where $\text{sinc}(x) := \frac{\sin x}{x}$ (for $x \neq 0$), $\text{sinc}(0) := 1$.

Another equivalent form of this equation reads

$$x^{\Delta''_{\omega}\Delta''_{\omega}}(t) + \omega^2 x(t) = 0.$$

$x^{\Delta''_{\omega}}$ is another **modification of the delta derivative**.

$$x^{\Delta''_{\omega}}(t) = \lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow t \\ s \neq \sigma(t)}} \frac{x(t^{\sigma}) - x(s) \cos(\omega t^{\sigma} - \omega s)}{\omega^{-1} \sin(\omega t^{\sigma} - \omega s)} .$$

In order to avoid infinite values of $x^{\Delta''_{\omega}}$ we assume $|\omega\mu(t)| < \pi$. All positively regressive constant functions ω obviously satisfy this requirement.

Lemma. If $x = x(t)$ solves the equation $\ddot{x} + \omega^2 x = 0$ (defined for $t \in \mathbb{R}$), then

$$(x(t)|_{t \in \mathbb{T}})^{\Delta''_{\omega}} = \dot{x}(t)|_{t \in \mathbb{T}} .$$

Lemma.

$$x^{\Delta}(t) = \text{sinc}(\omega\mu) x^{\Delta''_{\omega}}(t) - \frac{1}{2}\mu\omega^2 \left(\text{sinc}\frac{\omega\mu}{2}\right)^2 x(t) .$$