

Bi-hamiltonian property and related class of separation relations

Maciej Błaszak

A. Mickiewicz University Poznan-POLAND

Bedlewo August 2008

Introduction

The **Hamilton-Jacobi (HJ)** theory is one of the most powerful methods of integration by quadratures a wide class of systems described by nonlinear ordinary differential equations.

Introduction

The **Hamilton-Jacobi (HJ)** theory is one of the most powerful methods of integration by quadratures a wide class of systems described by nonlinear ordinary differential equations.

There are some milestones of that theory in the works of **Stäckel, Levi-Civita, Eisenhart, Woodhouse, Klanins, Miller, Benenti, Rauch-Wojciechowski** and others.

Introduction

The **Hamilton-Jacobi (HJ)** theory is one of the most powerful methods of integration by quadratures a wide class of systems described by nonlinear ordinary differential equations.

There are some milestones of that theory in the works of **Stäckel, Levi-Civita, Eisenhart, Woodhouse, Klanins, Miller, Benenti, Rauch-Wojciechowski** and others.

The first constructive theory of separated coordinates was made by **Sklyanin**. He adapted the method of Lax representation and r-matrix theory to derive separated coordinates. In that approach involutive functions appear as coefficients of characteristic equation (**spectral curve**) of Lax matrix.

Introduction

Recently, a modern geometric theory of separability on bi-Poisson manifolds was constructed (Magri, Falqui, Pedroni), related to the so-called Gel'fand-Zakharevich (GZ) bi-Hamiltonian systems.

Introduction

Recently, a modern geometric theory of separability on bi-Poisson manifolds was constructed (Magri, Falqui, Pedroni), related to the so-called Gel'fand-Zakharevich (GZ) bi-Hamiltonian systems. In that approach constants of motion are closely related to Casimirs of Poisson pencil and further to so called *separation curve* or more generally *separation relations*.

Recently, a modern geometric theory of separability on bi-Poisson manifolds was constructed (Magri, Falqui, Pedroni), related to the so-called Gel'fand-Zakharevich (GZ) bi-Hamiltonian systems. In that approach constants of motion are closely related to Casimirs of Poisson pencil and further to so called *separation curve* or more generally *separation relations*.

Content:

1. Separation relations
2. Classification
3. Bi-hamiltonian extension
3. Generalized Stäckel transform
4. Multi-times reciprocal transformations

Separation relations

Let us consider **Liouville integrable** system on $2n$ dimensional phase space M , given in canonical representation:

$M \ni u = (q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)^T$ and n functions $H_i(q, p)$ in involution with respect to the canonical Poisson tensor π

$$\{H_i, H_j\}_\pi = \pi(dH_i, dH_j) = \langle dH_i, \pi dH_j \rangle = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality map between TM and T^*M .

Separation relations

Let us consider **Liouville integrable** system on $2n$ dimensional phase space M , given in canonical representation:

$M \ni u = (q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)^T$ and n functions $H_i(q, p)$ in involution with respect to the canonical Poisson tensor π

$$\{H_i, H_j\}_\pi = \pi(dH_i, dH_j) = \langle dH_i, \pi dH_j \rangle = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality map between TM and T^*M .

Functions H_i generate n Hamiltonian dynamic systems

$$u_{t_i} = \pi dH_i = X_{H_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where X_{H_i} are called the **Hamiltonian vector fields**.

Separation relations

The **Hamilton-Jacobi method** of solution is related with linearization of equations through a canonical transformation

$$(q, p) \rightarrow (b, a), \quad a_i = H_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Separation relations

The **Hamilton-Jacobi method** of solution is related with linearization of equations through a canonical transformation

$$(q, p) \rightarrow (b, a), \quad a_i = H_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In order to find the conjugate coordinates b_i it is necessary to construct a generating function $W(q, a)$ such that

$$b_j = \frac{\partial W}{\partial a_j}, \quad p_j = \frac{\partial W}{\partial q_j}.$$

Separation relations

The **Hamilton-Jacobi method** of solution is related with linearization of equations through a canonical transformation

$$(q, p) \rightarrow (b, a), \quad a_i = H_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In order to find the conjugate coordinates b_i it is necessary to construct a generating function $W(q, a)$ such that

$$b_j = \frac{\partial W}{\partial a_j}, \quad p_j = \frac{\partial W}{\partial q_j}.$$

$W(q, a)$ is a complete integral of related **Hamilton-Jacobi equations (HJ)**

$$H_i \left(q_1, \dots, q_n, \frac{\partial W}{\partial q_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial W}{\partial q_n} \right) = a_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Separation relations

In (b, a) representation the t_i -dynamic is trivial

$$(a_j)_{t_i} = 0, \quad (b_j)_{t_i} = \delta_{ij}$$

hence,

$$b_j(q, a) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial a_j} = t_j + \text{const}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

are implicit solutions known as the *inversion Jacobi problem*.

Separation relations

In (b, a) representation the t_i -dynamic is trivial

$$(a_j)_{t_i} = 0, \quad (b_j)_{t_i} = \delta_{ij}$$

hence,

$$b_j(q, a) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial a_j} = t_j + \text{const}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

are implicit solutions known as the *inversion Jacobi problem*.

Where are the difficulties?

Separation relations

In (b, a) representation the t_i -dynamic is trivial

$$(a_j)_{t_i} = 0, \quad (b_j)_{t_i} = \delta_{ij}$$

hence,

$$b_j(q, a) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial a_j} = t_j + \text{const}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

are implicit solutions known as the *inversion Jacobi problem*.

Where are the difficulties?

(λ, μ) : distinguished canonical coordinates in which there exist n relations:

Separation relations

$$\varphi_i(\lambda_i, \mu_i; a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \det \left[\frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial a_j} \right] \neq 0,$$

each containing one pair of canonical coordinates.

Separation relations

$$\varphi_i(\lambda_i, \mu_i; a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \det \left[\frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial a_j} \right] \neq 0,$$

each containing one pair of canonical coordinates.

If the functions $W_i(\lambda_i, a)$ are solutions of a system of n decouple ODE's

$$\varphi_i \left(\lambda_i, \mu_i = \frac{dW_i(\lambda_i, a)}{d\lambda_i}, a_1, \dots, a_n \right) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

then the function $W(\lambda, a) = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i(\lambda_i, a)$ is an additively separable solution of the above system and *simultaneously* it is a solution of all Hamilton-Jacobi equations.

Separation relations

Solving separation relations

$$a_i = H_i(\lambda, \mu)$$

we get Poisson-commute Hamiltonians H_i .

Separation relations

Solving separation relations

$$a_i = H_i(\lambda, \mu)$$

we get Poisson-commute Hamiltonians H_i .

Consider a generic case of separation relations linear in H_i :

$$\sum_{k=1}^n S_i^k(\lambda_i, \mu_i) H_k = \psi_i(\lambda_i, \mu_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

called **generalized Stäckel separation relations**, while $S = (S_i^k)$ is **generalized Stäckel matrix**.

Separation relations

Solving separation relations

$$a_i = H_i(\lambda, \mu)$$

we get Poisson-commute Hamiltonians H_i .

Consider a generic case of separation relations linear in H_i :

$$\sum_{k=1}^n S_i^k(\lambda_i, \mu_i) H_k = \psi_i(\lambda_i, \mu_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

called **generalized Stäckel separation relations**, while $S = (S_i^k)$ is **generalized Stäckel matrix**.

If additionally $S_i^k = S^k$ and $\psi_i = \psi$ then separation conditions can be represented by n copies of some curve:

Separation relations

$$\sum_{k=1}^n S^k(\lambda, \mu) H_k = \psi(\lambda, \mu)$$

in (λ, μ) plane, called a *separation curve*.

Separation relations

$$\sum_{k=1}^n S^k(\lambda, \mu) H_k = \psi(\lambda, \mu)$$

in (λ, μ) plane, called a *separation curve*.

Degenerations: assume that in (λ, μ) coordinates H_1 separates, i.e. $H_1 = H_{1,1} + H_{2,1}$, then we get separation relations for two sub-systems

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_1} f^{1,k}(\lambda, \mu) H_{1,k} = \psi_1(\lambda, \mu)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_2} f^{2,k}(\lambda, \mu) H_{2,k} = \psi_2(\lambda, \mu), \quad n_1 + n_2 = n.$$

Separation relations

$$\sum_{k=1}^n S^k(\lambda, \mu) H_k = \psi(\lambda, \mu)$$

in (λ, μ) plane, called a *separation curve*.

Degenerations: assume that in (λ, μ) coordinates H_1 separates, i.e. $H_1 = H_{1,1} + H_{2,1}$, then we get separation relations for two sub-systems

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_1} f^{1,k}(\lambda, \mu) H_{1,k} = \psi_1(\lambda, \mu)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_2} f^{2,k}(\lambda, \mu) H_{2,k} = \psi_2(\lambda, \mu), \quad n_1 + n_2 = n.$$

In order to get the bi-Hamiltonian extension we further restrict to:

Separation relations

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu^{\alpha'_k} \lambda^{\beta'_k} H_k = \psi(\lambda, \mu), \quad \alpha'_k, \beta'_k \in \mathbb{N},$$

with the following normalization:

$$\alpha'_1 \geq \alpha'_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha'_n = 0, \beta'_n = 0.$$

Separation relations

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu^{\alpha'_k} \lambda^{\beta'_k} H_k = \psi(\lambda, \mu), \quad \alpha'_k, \beta'_k \in \mathbb{N},$$

with the following normalization:

$$\alpha'_1 \geq \alpha'_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha'_n = 0, \beta'_n = 0.$$

For further purpose let us collect terms from the l.h.s. in the following form

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \mu^{\alpha_k} \lambda^{\beta_k} H^{(k)}(\lambda) = \psi(\lambda, \mu), \quad m \leq n, \quad \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$H^{(k)}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda^{n_k-i} H_i^{(k)}, \quad n_1 + \dots + n_m = n$$

classification

classification

The form of separation relations allow us to classify related Stäckel systems. Actually, a given class of Stäckel separable systems can be represented by a fixed Stäckel matrix S .

classification

The form of separation relations allow us to classify related Stäckel systems. Actually, a given class of Stäckel separable systems can be represented by a fixed Stäckel matrix S .

For separation curves under consideration S is uniquely determined by fixed sequences:

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, 0), (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}, 0), (n_1, \dots, n_m)$$

and an appropriate type of admissible functions ψ .

The form of separation relations allow us to classify related Stäckel systems. Actually, a given class of Stäckel separable systems can be represented by a fixed Stäckel matrix S .

For separation curves under consideration S is uniquely determined by fixed sequences:

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, 0), (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}, 0), (n_1, \dots, n_m)$$

and an appropriate type of admissible functions ψ .

Example 1. $m = 1 : (0), (0), (n)$ and functions ψ being quadratic in momenta (**Benenti class**):

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda^{n-i} H_i = \frac{1}{2} f(\lambda) \mu^2 + \gamma(\lambda)$$

Example 2. $m = 1 : (0), (0), (n)$ and functions ψ being exponential in momenta

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda^{n-i} H_i = f_1(\lambda) \exp(a\mu) + f_2(\lambda) \exp(-b\mu) + \gamma(\lambda).$$

(periodic Toda lattice, KdV dressing chain, Ruijsenaar-Schneider system,...).

Example 2. $m = 1 : (0), (0), (n)$ and functions ψ being exponential in momenta

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda^{n-i} H_i = f_1(\lambda) \exp(a\mu) + f_2(\lambda) \exp(-b\mu) + \gamma(\lambda).$$

(periodic Toda lattice, KdV dressing chain, Ruijsenaar-Schneider system,...).

Example 3. $m = 2 : (1, 0), (0, 0), (n_1, n_2)$ and functions ψ being cubic in momenta

$$\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \lambda^{n-i} H_i^{(1)} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \lambda^{n-i} H_i^{(2)} = \mu^3 + \gamma_1(\lambda)\mu + \gamma_2(\lambda)$$

stationary Businessq hierarchy - $(n_1 = n - 2, n_2 = 2)$,
dynamic on loop algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ - $(n_1 = 2, n_2 = 4)$.

Example 4. Systems from classes with $1 < m \leq n$,
 $\alpha_i = 0$ and ψ quadratic in momenta

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda^{\beta_i} H_i = \frac{1}{2} f(\lambda) \mu^2 + \gamma(\lambda).$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

Bi-hamiltonian representation

Stäckel Hamiltonians fulfil the following **quasi-bi-Hamiltonian representation** (Tondo, Falqui, Pedroni):

$$\pi_1 dH_i = \sum_{j=1}^n F_{ij} \pi_0 dH_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where

$$\pi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Lambda_n \\ -\Lambda_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_n = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

are compatible Poisson tensors and

Bi-hamiltonian representation

Stäckel Hamiltonians fulfil the following **quasi-bi-Hamiltonian representation** (Tondo, Falqui, Pedroni):

$$\pi_1 dH_i = \sum_{j=1}^n F_{ij} \pi_0 dH_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where

$$\pi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Lambda_n \\ -\Lambda_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_n = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

are compatible Poisson tensors and

$$F_{ij} = (S^{-1} \Lambda_n S)_{ij},$$

where $S_k^l = \mu_k^{\alpha_l} \lambda_k^{\beta_l}$.

Bi-hamiltonian representation

To have a better control of functions F_{ij} we will find it another representation.

Bi-hamiltonian representation

To have a better control of functions F_{ij} we will find it another representation.

Observation.

$$F_{ij} = (J^{-1}\Lambda_n J)_{ij} = \frac{W_{ij}}{W},$$

where $W = \det S$, $W_{ki} = \det U_{ki}$ and U_{ki} is matrix S with the k -th column replaced by that $(\mu_1^{\alpha'_i} \lambda_1^{\beta'_i+1}, \dots, \mu_n^{\alpha'_i} \lambda_n^{\beta'_i+1})^T$.

Bi-hamiltonian representation

To have a better control of functions F_{ij} we will find it another representation.

Observation.

$$F_{ij} = (J^{-1}\Lambda_n J)_{ij} = \frac{W_{ij}}{W},$$

where $W = \det S$, $W_{ki} = \det U_{ki}$ and U_{ki} is matrix S with the k -th column replaced by that $(\mu_1^{\alpha'_i} \lambda_1^{\beta'_i+1}, \dots, \mu_n^{\alpha'_i} \lambda_n^{\beta'_i+1})^T$.

Now, the important question is: which $F_{ij} \neq 0$. In other words, for which i, j determinant W_{ij} has no repeating columns.

Bi-hamiltonian representation

First, for the following separation curve

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \mu^{\alpha_k} \lambda^{\beta_k} H^{(k)}(\lambda) = \psi(\lambda, \mu), \quad m \leq n, \quad \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$H^{(k)}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda^{n_k-i} H_i^{(k)}, \quad n_1 + \dots + n_m = n$$

we rewrite the quasi-bi-Hamiltonian chain in the form

$$\pi_1 dH_i^{(k)} = \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_l} F_{i,j}^{k,l} \pi_0 dH_j^{(l)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \quad i = 1, \dots, n_k$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

A simple inspection shows that

$$F_{i,i+1}^{k,k} = 1, \quad F_{i,1}^{k,l} \neq 0.$$

Hence, a quasi-bi-Hamiltonian representation takes the form

$$\pi_1 dH_i^{(k)} = \pi_0 dH_{i+1}^{(k)} + \sum_{l=1}^m F_{i,1}^{k,l} \pi_0 dH_1^{(l)}.$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

A simple inspection shows that

$$F_{i,i+1}^{k,k} = 1, \quad F_{i,1}^{k,l} \neq 0.$$

Hence, a quasi-bi-Hamiltonian representation takes the form

$$\pi_1 dH_i^{(k)} = \pi_0 dH_{i+1}^{(k)} + \sum_{l=1}^m F_{i,1}^{k,l} \pi_0 dH_1^{(l)}.$$

Bihamiltonian extension:

$M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\dim M = 2n$, $\dim \mathcal{M} = 2n + m$, with additional coordinates $c_i, i = 1, \dots, m$.

Bi-hamiltonian representation

A simple inspection shows that

$$F_{i,i+1}^{k,k} = 1, \quad F_{i,1}^{k,l} \neq 0.$$

Hence, a quasi-bi-Hamiltonian representation takes the form

$$\pi_1 dH_i^{(k)} = \pi_0 dH_{i+1}^{(k)} + \sum_{l=1}^m F_{i,1}^{k,l} \pi_0 dH_1^{(l)}.$$

Bihamiltonian extension:

$M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\dim M = 2n$, $\dim \mathcal{M} = 2n + m$, with additional coordinates $c_i, i = 1, \dots, m$.

Then we extend Hamiltonians:

Bi-hamiltonian representation

$$H_i^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow h_i^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu, c) = H_i^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu) - \sum_{l=1}^m F_{i,1}^{k,l}(\lambda, \mu) c_l.$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

$$H_i^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow h_i^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu, c) = H_i^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu) - \sum_{l=1}^m F_{i,1}^{k,l}(\lambda, \mu) c_l.$$

separation relations for $h_i^{(k)}$ are given by

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \mu^{\alpha_k} \lambda^{\beta_k} h^{(k)}(\lambda) = \psi(\lambda, \mu), \quad m \leq n, \quad \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$h^{(k)}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \lambda^{n_k-i} h_i^{(k)}, \quad h_0^{(k)} = c_k, \quad n_1 + \dots + n_m = n.$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

On \mathcal{M} Poisson tensors π_0 and π_1 will be denoted by Π_0 and Π_{1D} , respectively. Both are degenerated with common Casimirs $c_i, i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\Pi_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \Pi_{1D} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

On \mathcal{M} Poisson tensors π_0 and π_1 will be denoted by Π_0 and Π_{1D} , respectively. Both are degenerated with common Casimirs $c_i, i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\Pi_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \Pi_{1D} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Quasi-bi-Hamiltonian representation on \mathcal{M} :

$$\Pi_{1D} dh_i^{(k)} = \Pi_0 dh_{i+1}^{(k)} + \sum_{l=1}^m F_{i,1}^{k,l} \Pi_0 dh_1^{(l)}, \quad F_{0,1}^{k,l} = -\delta_{kl}.$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

On \mathcal{M} Poisson tensors π_0 and π_1 will be denoted by Π_0 and Π_{1D} , respectively. Both are degenerated with common Casimirs $c_i, i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\Pi_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \Pi_{1D} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Quasi-bi-Hamiltonian representation on \mathcal{M} :

$$\Pi_{1D} dh_i^{(k)} = \Pi_0 dh_{i+1}^{(k)} + \sum_{l=1}^m F_{i,1}^{k,l} \Pi_0 dh_1^{(l)}, \quad F_{0,1}^{k,l} = -\delta_{kl}.$$

Let us introduce the following bivector

$$\Pi_1 := \Pi_{1D} + \sum_{k=1}^m X_1^{(k)} \wedge Z_k, \quad X_1^{(k)} = \Pi_0 dh_1^{(k)}, \quad Z_k := \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k}.$$

Theorem.

1. Bivector Π_1 is Poisson.
2. Poisson bivectors Π_0 and Π_1 are compatible.
3. $h^{(k)}(\lambda)$ are Casimir functions of the Poisson pencil

$\Pi_\lambda = \Pi_1 - \lambda\Pi_0$: functions $h_i^{(k)}$ form bi-Hamiltonian chains with respect to Π_0, Π_1 .

Theorem.

1. Bivector Π_1 is Poisson.
2. Poisson bivectors Π_0 and Π_1 are compatible.
3. $h^{(k)}(\lambda)$ are Casimir functions of the Poisson pencil

$\Pi_\lambda = \Pi_1 - \lambda\Pi_0$: functions $h_i^{(k)}$ form bi-Hamiltonian chains with respect to Π_0, Π_1 .

Example. $n = 2$, Benenti class.

Theorem.

1. Bivector Π_1 is Poisson.
2. Poisson bivectors Π_0 and Π_1 are compatible.
3. $h^{(k)}(\lambda)$ are Casimir functions of the Poisson pencil

$\Pi_\lambda = \Pi_1 - \lambda\Pi_0$: functions $h_i^{(k)}$ form bi-Hamiltonian chains with respect to Π_0, Π_1 .

Example. $n = 2$, Benenti class.

Separation curve for the Henon-Heiles system:

$$\lambda H_1 + H_2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mu^2 + \lambda^4.$$

Theorem.

1. Bivector Π_1 is Poisson.
2. Poisson bivectors Π_0 and Π_1 are compatible.
3. $h^{(k)}(\lambda)$ are Casimir functions of the Poisson pencil $\Pi_\lambda = \Pi_1 - \lambda\Pi_0$: functions $h_i^{(k)}$ form bi-Hamiltonian chains with respect to Π_0, Π_1 .

Example. $n = 2$, Benenti class.

Separation curve for the Henon-Heiles system:

$$\lambda H_1 + H_2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mu^2 + \lambda^4.$$

The transformation to cartesian coordinates (q, p) takes the form:

Bi-hamiltonian representation

$$q^1 = \lambda^1 + \lambda^2, \quad q^2 = 2\sqrt{-\lambda^1\lambda^2},$$
$$p_1 = \frac{\lambda^1\mu_1}{\lambda^1 - \lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda^2\mu_2}{\lambda^2 - \lambda^1}, \quad p_2 = \sqrt{-\lambda^1\lambda^2} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\lambda^1 - \lambda^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda^2 - \lambda^1} \right)$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

$$q^1 = \lambda^1 + \lambda^2, \quad q^2 = 2\sqrt{-\lambda^1\lambda^2},$$

$$p_1 = \frac{\lambda^1\mu_1}{\lambda^1 - \lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda^2\mu_2}{\lambda^2 - \lambda^1}, \quad p_2 = \sqrt{-\lambda^1\lambda^2} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\lambda^1 - \lambda^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda^2 - \lambda^1} \right)$$

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2}p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_2^2 + (q^1)^3 + \frac{1}{2}q^1(q^2)^2,$$

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{2}q^2p_1p_2 - \frac{1}{2}q^1p_2^2 + \frac{1}{16}(q^2)^4 + \frac{1}{4}(q^1)^2(q^2)^2,$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

$$q^1 = \lambda^1 + \lambda^2, \quad q^2 = 2\sqrt{-\lambda^1\lambda^2},$$

$$p_1 = \frac{\lambda^1\mu_1}{\lambda^1 - \lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda^2\mu_2}{\lambda^2 - \lambda^1}, \quad p_2 = \sqrt{-\lambda^1\lambda^2} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\lambda^1 - \lambda^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda^2 - \lambda^1} \right)$$

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2}p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_2^2 + (q^1)^3 + \frac{1}{2}q^1(q^2)^2,$$

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{2}q^2p_1p_2 - \frac{1}{2}q^1p_2^2 + \frac{1}{16}(q^2)^4 + \frac{1}{4}(q^1)^2(q^2)^2,$$

The quasi-bi-Hamiltonian chain is

$$\pi_1 dH_r = \pi_0 dH_{r+1} - \rho_r \pi_0 dH_1, \quad r = 1, 2,$$

where $\rho_1 = -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = -q^1$, $\rho_2 = \lambda_1\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{4}(q^2)^2$ and

Bi-hamiltonian representation

$$\pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 \\ -\lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & q^1 & \frac{1}{2}q^2 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}q^2 & 0 \\ -q^1 & -\frac{1}{2}q^2 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}p_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}q^2 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}p_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

$$\pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 \\ -\lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & q^1 & \frac{1}{2}q^2 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}q^2 & 0 \\ -q^1 & -\frac{1}{2}q^2 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}p_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}q^2 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}p_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In $\mathcal{M} \ni (q^1, q^2, p_1, p_2, c)$ the extended Hamiltonians

$$h_0 = c, \quad h_1 = H_1 - cq^1, \quad h_2 = H_2 - \frac{1}{4}c(q^2)^2$$

form one bi-Hamiltonian chain

$$\Pi_0 dh_0 = 0$$

$$\Pi_0 dh_1 = X_1 = \Pi_1 dh_0$$

$$\Pi_0 dh_2 = X_2 = \Pi_1 dh_1$$

$$0 = \Pi_1 dh_2$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

where

$$\Pi_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \Pi_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_1 & \pi_0 dh_1 \\ \hline -(\pi_0 dh_1)^T & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

and separation curve takes the form

$$c\lambda^2 + h_1\lambda + h_2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mu^2 + \lambda^4.$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

where

$$\Pi_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \Pi_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_1 & \pi_0 dh_1 \\ \hline -(\pi_0 dh_1)^T & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

and separation curve takes the form

$$c\lambda^2 + h_1\lambda + h_2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mu^2 + \lambda^4.$$

Example. $n = 2$, non-Benenti class.

Bi-hamiltonian representation

where

$$\Pi_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \Pi_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi_1 & \pi_0 dh_1 \\ \hline -(\pi_0 dh_1)^T & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

and separation curve takes the form

$$c\lambda^2 + h_1\lambda + h_2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mu^2 + \lambda^4.$$

Example. $n = 2$, non-Benenti class.

Separation curve:

$$H_1^{(1)}\lambda^2 + H_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mu^2 + \lambda^4.$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

The quasi-bi-Hamiltonian chain takes the form

$$\pi_1 dH_1^{(k)} = F_{1,1}^{k,1} \pi_0 dH_1^1 + F_{1,1}^{k,2} \pi_0 dH_1^{(2)}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

and

$$F_{1,1}^{k,1} = -\rho_k + \rho_{k-1} \rho_2 \rho_1^{-1}, \quad F_{1,1}^{k,2} = -\rho_{k-1} \rho_1^{-1}.$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

The quasi-bi-Hamiltonian chain takes the form

$$\pi_1 dH_1^{(k)} = F_{1,1}^{k,1} \pi_0 dH_1^1 + F_{1,1}^{k,2} \pi_0 dH_1^{(2)}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

and

$$F_{1,1}^{k,1} = -\rho_k + \rho_{k-1} \rho_2 \rho_1^{-1}, \quad F_{1,1}^{k,2} = -\rho_{k-1} \rho_1^{-1}.$$

In extended phase space $\mathcal{M} \ni (q^1, q^2, p_1, p_2, c_1, c_2)$

$$h_0^{(1)} = c_1,$$

$$h_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{q^1} p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{q^1} p_2^2 + (q^1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (q^2)^2 - c_1 \left[q_1 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{q^1} (q^2)^2 \right] - c_2 \frac{1}{q^1}$$

$$h_0^{(2)} = c_2,$$

$$h_1^{(2)} = -\frac{q_2^2}{8q^1} p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} q^2 p_1 p_2 - \frac{1}{2} q^1 p_2^2 - \frac{q_2^2}{8q^1} p_2^2 - \frac{(q^2)^4}{16} + c_1 \frac{(q^2)^4}{16q^1} + c_2 \frac{(q^2)^4}{4q^1}$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

$$\Pi_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} \pi_0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & \end{array} \right), \quad \Pi_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} \pi_1 & \pi_0 dh_1^{(1)} & \pi_0 dh_1^{(2)} \\ \hline -(\pi_0 dh_1^{(1)})^T & & \\ -(\pi_0 dh_1^{(2)})^T & & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

$$\Pi_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} \pi_0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & \end{array} \right), \quad \Pi_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} \pi_1 & \pi_0 dh_1^{(1)} & \pi_0 dh_1^{(2)} \\ \hline -(\pi_0 dh_1^{(1)})^T & & \\ -(\pi_0 dh_1^{(2)})^T & & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Two bi-Hamiltonian sub-chains are

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0 dh_0^{(1)} &= 0 & \Pi_0 dh_0^{(2)} &= 0 \\ \Pi_0 dh_1^{(1)} &= \Pi_1 dh_0^{(1)} & \Pi_0 dh_1^{(2)} &= \Pi_1 dh_1^{(2)} \\ 0 &= \Pi_1 dh_1^{(1)} & 0 &= \Pi_1 dh_3, \end{aligned}$$

Bi-hamiltonian representation

$$\Pi_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} \pi_0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & \end{array} \right), \quad \Pi_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} \pi_1 & \pi_0 dh_1^{(1)} & \pi_0 dh_1^{(2)} \\ \hline -(\pi_0 dh_1^{(1)})^T & & \\ -(\pi_0 dh_1^{(2)})^T & & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Two bi-Hamiltonian sub-chains are

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0 dh_0^{(1)} &= 0 & \Pi_0 dh_0^{(2)} &= 0 \\ \Pi_0 dh_1^{(1)} &= \Pi_1 dh_0^{(1)} & \Pi_0 dh_1^{(2)} &= \Pi_1 dh_1^{(2)} \\ 0 &= \Pi_1 dh_1^{(1)} & 0 &= \Pi_1 dh_3, \end{aligned}$$

Separation curve for extended system is

$$\lambda^2(c_1\lambda + h_1^{(1)}) + c_2\lambda + h_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mu^2 + \lambda^4.$$

Generalized Stäckel transform

Generalized Stäckel transform

Consider Liouville integrable system with n involutive Hamiltonians H_i which depend linearly on $k \leq n$ parameters $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$:

$$H_i = H_{i,0} + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j H_{i,j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Generalized Stäckel transform

Consider Liouville integrable system with n involutive Hamiltonians H_i which depend linearly on $k \leq n$ parameters $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$:

$$H_i = H_{i,0} + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j H_{i,j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let us define n new Hamiltonians \tilde{H}_i in the following way:

from $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ fix a k -tuple (s_1, \dots, s_k) , then

$$H_{s_i,0} + \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{H}_{s_j} H_{s_i,j} = \tilde{\alpha}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$\tilde{H}_i = H_{i,0} + \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{H}_{s_j} H_{i,j}, \quad i \neq s_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Generalized Stäckel transform

Notice that new Hamiltonians are of the form:

$$\tilde{H}_i = \tilde{H}_{i,0} + \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{\alpha}_j \tilde{H}_{i,j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Generalized Stäckel transform

Notice that new Hamiltonians are of the form:

$$\tilde{H}_i = \tilde{H}_{i,0} + \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{\alpha}_j \tilde{H}_{i,j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We shall refer to the above transformation from H_i , to \tilde{H}_i , as to the k -parameter *generalized Stäckel transform* generated by H_{s_1}, \dots, H_{s_k} (Błaszak, Sergeev). One-parameter case was considered by Hieterinta, Grammaticos, Dorizi, Ramani and by Boyer, Kalnins, Miller.

Generalized Stäckel transform

Notice that new Hamiltonians are of the form:

$$\tilde{H}_i = \tilde{H}_{i,0} + \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{\alpha}_j \tilde{H}_{i,j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We shall refer to the above transformation from H_i , to \tilde{H}_i , as to the k -parameter *generalized Stäckel transform* generated by H_{s_1}, \dots, H_{s_k} (Błaszak, Sergeev). One-parameter case was considered by Hieterinta, Grammaticos, Dorizi, Ramani and by Boyer, Kalnins, Miller.

Theorem. Hamiltonians $\tilde{H}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ form Liouville integrable systems.

Multi-times reciprocal transformations

Multi-times reciprocal transformations

Assume that \tilde{H}_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are related to H_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, through the k -parameter Stäckel transform generated by H_{s_1}, \dots, H_{s_k} .

Multi-times reciprocal transformations

Assume that \tilde{H}_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are related to H_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, through the k -parameter Stäckel transform generated by H_{s_1}, \dots, H_{s_k} .

Consider simultaneously the equations of motion for the Hamiltonians H_{s_i} with the times t_{s_i} and for \tilde{H}_{s_i} with the times \tilde{t}_{s_i} :

$$dx^b/dt_{s_i} = (X_{H_{s_i}})^b, \quad b = 1, \dots, \dim M, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$dx^b/d\tilde{t}_{s_i} = (X_{\tilde{H}_{s_i}})^b, \quad b = 1, \dots, \dim M, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

where x^b are local coordinates on M

Multi-times reciprocal transformations

Theorem.(Błaszak, Sergeev)

Consider the equations of motion for H_{s_i} , $i = 1, \dots, k$, restricted onto the common level surface $N_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ of H_{s_i} :

$$N_{\tilde{\alpha}} = \{x \in M \mid H_{s_i}(x, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = \tilde{\alpha}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Then the reciprocal transformation

$$d\tilde{t}_{s_i} = - \sum_{j=1}^k H_{s_j, i} dt_{s_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

is well defined on these restricted equations of motion and sends them into the equations of motion for \tilde{H}_{s_i} , $i = 1, \dots, k$, restricted onto the common level surface \tilde{N}_{α} of \tilde{H}_{s_i} , where

$$\tilde{N}_{\alpha} = \{x \in M \mid \tilde{H}_{s_i}(x, \tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_k) = \alpha_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k\},$$

moreover $\tilde{N}_{\alpha} = N_{\tilde{\alpha}}$.

Stäckel equivalent separable systems

Stäckel equivalent separable systems

Separation relations under consideration are as follows

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \mu^{\alpha_k} \lambda^{\beta_k} H^{(k)}(\lambda) = \psi(\lambda, \mu), \quad m \leq n, \quad \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$H^{(k)}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda^{n_k-i} H_i^{(k)}, \quad n_1 + \dots + n_m = n$$

Stäckel equivalent separable systems

Separation relations under consideration are as follows

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \mu^{\alpha_k} \lambda^{\beta_k} H^{(k)}(\lambda) = \psi(\lambda, \mu), \quad m \leq n, \quad \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$H^{(k)}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda^{n_k-i} H_i^{(k)}, \quad n_1 + \dots + n_m = n$$

The classes for which $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_m$ will be called **seed classes**.

Theorem. Any separable system under consideration belongs either to some seed class or is Stäckel equivalent to an appropriate system from some seed class.

Stäckel equivalent separable systems

Consider classical Stäckel systems and related classes of separation relations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda^{\beta_i} H_i = \frac{1}{2} f(\lambda) \mu^2 + \gamma(\lambda).$$

Benenti class, where $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 0)$, is the only seed class. All other classes are Stäckel related to the Benenti one.

Stäckel equivalent separable systems

Consider classical Stäckel systems and related classes of separation relations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda^{\beta_i} H_i = \frac{1}{2} f(\lambda) \mu^2 + \gamma(\lambda).$$

Benenti class, where $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 0)$, is the only seed class. All other classes are Stäckel related to the Benenti one.

Consider a seed class of separation curves cubic in momenta:

$$\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \lambda^{n-i} H_i^{(1)} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \lambda^{n-i} H_i^{(2)} = \mu^3 + \gamma_1(\lambda) \mu + \gamma_2(\lambda).$$

Stäckel equivalent separable systems

Consider classical Stäckel systems and related classes of separation relations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda^{\beta_i} H_i = \frac{1}{2} f(\lambda) \mu^2 + \gamma(\lambda).$$

Benenti class, where $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 0)$, is the only seed class. All other classes are Stäckel related to the Benenti one.

Consider a seed class of separation curves cubic in momenta:

$$\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \lambda^{n-i} H_i^{(1)} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \lambda^{n-i} H_i^{(2)} = \mu^3 + \gamma_1(\lambda) \mu + \gamma_2(\lambda).$$

All other classes of the form

Stäckel equivalent separable systems

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \lambda^{\alpha_i} H_i^{(1)} \right) \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \lambda^{\beta_i} H_i^{(2)} = \mu^3 + \gamma_1(\lambda) \mu + \gamma_2(\lambda)$$

are Stäckel related to the seed one.



THE END

Introduction

Introduction

Introduction
