# Bianchi I model in terms of loop geometry: Classical dynamics

#### Piotr Dzierżak

Theoretical Physics Department Institute for Nuclear Studies Warsaw, Poland

#### Based on:

- [1] P. Dz., P. Małkiewicz and W. Piechocki, 'Turning Big Bang into Big Bounce: I. Classical dynamics', Phys. Rev. D80, 104001 (2009) [arXiv:gr-qc/0907.3436].
- [2] P. Dz., W. Piechocki,
  - 'The Bianchi I model in terms of nonstandard loop quantum cosmology: Classical dynamics',
  - Phys. Rev. D80, 124033 (2009) [arXiv:gr-qc/0909.4211].

#### **OUTLINE**

- Introduction
- Classical level
  - Bianchi I model
  - Modified Hamiltonian
  - Equations of motion
  - Algebra of observables
  - Functions on the physical space
- 3 Conclusions

#### Introduction

#### Two LQC methods:

- standard LQC: 'first quantize, then impose constraints'
- non-standard LQC: 'first solve constraints, then quantize'

Bianchi I with massless scalar field:

- standard LQC: classical Big Bang is replaced by quantum Big Bounce due to strong quantum effects at the Planck scales
- non-standard LQC: modification of GR by loop geometry is responsible for the resolution of the singularity

#### Introduction

#### Two LQC methods:

- standard LQC: 'first quantize, then impose constraints'
- non-standard LQC: 'first solve constraints, then quantize'

#### Bianchi I with massless scalar field:

- standard LQC: classical Big Bang is replaced by quantum Big Bounce due to strong quantum effects at the Planck scales
- non-standard LQC: modification of GR by loop geometry is responsible for the resolution of the singularity

#### Introduction

#### Two LQC methods:

- standard LQC: 'first quantize, then impose constraints'
- non-standard LQC: 'first solve constraints, then quantize'

#### Bianchi I with massless scalar field:

- standard LQC: classical Big Bang is replaced by quantum Big Bounce due to strong quantum effects at the Planck scales
- non-standard LQC: modification of GR by loop geometry is responsible for the resolution of the singularity

## Bianchi I model

Bianchi I model describes homogeneous and anisotropic universe. The metric reads:

$$ds^{2} = -N^{2} dt^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i}^{2}(t) dx_{i}^{2},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} k_{i} = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_{i}^{2} + k_{\phi}^{2} = 1$$
(1)

where  $a_i(t)=a_i(0)\left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right)^{k_i},\ d\tau=\textit{N}\,dt\,,\ k_\phi$  describes matter density. For  $k_\phi=0$  (vacuum) we have Kasner model.

# We consider Bianchi I cosmology with massless scalar field in space with $\mathbb{R}^3$ -topology.

Hamiltonian reads:

$$H_g := \int_{\Sigma} d^3x (N^i C_i + N^a C_a + NC), \tag{2}$$

where:  $\Sigma$  is the space-like part of spacetime  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ ;  $(N^i, N^a, N)$  Lagrange multipliers;  $(C_i, C_a, C)$  are Gauss, diffeomorphism and scalar constraints; (a, b = 1, 2, 3), spatial indices; (i, j, k = 1, 2, 3) internal SU(2) indices. The constrains must satisfy specific algebra.

We consider Bianchi I cosmology with massless scalar field in space with  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -topology.

Hamiltonian reads:

$$H_g := \int_{\Sigma} d^3x (N^i C_i + N^a C_a + NC), \qquad (2)$$

where:  $\Sigma$  is the space-like part of spacetime  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ ;  $(N^i, N^a, N)$  Lagrange multipliers;  $(C_i, C_a, C)$  are Gauss, diffeomorphism and scalar constraints; (a, b = 1, 2, 3), spatial indices; (i, j, k = 1, 2, 3) internal SU(2) indices. The constrains must satisfy specific algebra.

Having fixed local gauge and diffeomorphism freedom we can rewrite the gravitational part of the classical Hamiltonian in the form:

$$H_g = -\gamma^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{V}} d^3x \ Ne^{-1} \varepsilon_{ijk} E^{aj} E^{bk} F^i_{ab} \,, \tag{3}$$

where:  $\gamma$  is the Barbero-Immirzi parameter;  $\mathcal{V} \subset \Sigma$  elementary cell; N lapse function;  $\varepsilon_{ijk}$  alternating tensor;  $E^a_i$  density weighted triad;  $F^k_{ab} = \partial_a A^k_b - \partial_b A^k_a + \epsilon^k_{ij} A^i_a A^j_b$  curvature of SU(2) connection  $A^i_a$ ;  $e := \sqrt{|\det E|}$ ;

Rewriting the curvature in terms of holonomies:

$$F_{ab}^{k} = -2 \lim_{Ar \square_{ij} \to 0} Tr \left( \frac{h_{\square_{ij}}^{(\mu)} - 1}{Ar \square_{ij}} \right) \tau^{k o} \omega_{a}^{i o} \omega_{a}^{j}, \tag{4}$$

where:

$$h_{\square_{i}} = h_{i}^{(\mu_{i})} h_{j}^{(\mu_{j})} (h_{i}^{(\mu_{i})})^{-1} (h_{j}^{(\mu_{j})})^{-1}$$
 (5)

is the holonomy of the gravitational connection around the square loop  $\Box_{ij}$ , considered over a face of the elementary cell, each of whose sides has length  $\mu_j L_j$  (and  $V_o := L_1 L_2 L_3$ ) with respect to the flat fiducial metric  ${}^o q_{ab} := \delta_{ij} \, {}^o \omega_a^i \, {}^o \omega_a^j$ .

In the fundamental, j = 1/2, representation of SU(2), reads

$$h_i^{(\mu_i)} = \cos(\mu_i c_i/2) \mathbb{I} + 2 \sin(\mu_i c_i/2) \tau_i$$
 (6)

where  $\tau_i = -i\sigma_i/2$  ( $\sigma_i$  are the Pauli spin matrices)

Rewriting the curvature in terms of holonomies:

$$F_{ab}^{k} = -2 \lim_{Ar \square_{ij} \to 0} Tr \left( \frac{h_{\square_{ij}}^{(\mu)} - 1}{Ar \square_{ij}} \right) \tau^{k o} \omega_{a}^{i o} \omega_{a}^{j}, \tag{4}$$

where:

$$h_{\square_{ij}} = h_i^{(\mu_i)} h_j^{(\mu_j)} (h_i^{(\mu_i)})^{-1} (h_j^{(\mu_j)})^{-1}$$
 (5)

is the holonomy of the gravitational connection around the square loop  $\Box_{ij}$ , considered over a face of the elementary cell, each of whose sides has length  $\mu_j L_j$  (and  $V_o := L_1 L_2 L_3$ ) with respect to the flat fiducial metric  ${}^o q_{ab} := \delta_{ij} {}^o \omega_a^i {}^o \omega_a^j$ .

In the fundamental, j = 1/2, representation of SU(2), reads

$$h_i^{(\mu_i)} = \cos(\mu_i c_i/2) \mathbb{I} + 2 \sin(\mu_i c_i/2) \tau_i$$
 (6)

where  $\tau_i = -i\sigma_i/2$  ( $\sigma_i$  are the Pauli spin matrices)

Making use of (3), (4) and the so-called Thiemann identity leads to  $H_g$  in the form:

$$H_g = \lim_{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \to 0} H_g^{(\mu_1 \, \mu_2 \, \mu_3)}, \tag{7}$$

where:

$$H_g^{(\mu_1 \, \mu_2 \, \mu_3)} = -\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(p_1 p_2 p_3)}{2\pi G \gamma^3 \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} \sum_{ijk} N \, \varepsilon^{ijk} \, \operatorname{Tr} \left( h_i^{(\mu_i)} h_j^{(\mu_j)} \times \right. \\ \times \left. (h_i^{(\mu_i)})^{-1} (h_j^{(\mu_j)})^{-1} h_k^{(\mu_k)} \{ (h_k^{(\mu_k)})^{-1}, V \} \right)$$
(8)

and is the  $V = a_1 a_2 a_3 V_0$  volume of the elementary cell.

In considered model the hamiltonian reads:

$$H = H_g + H_\phi \approx 0, \tag{9}$$

where  $H_g$  is defined by (7) and  $H_\phi = N p_\phi^2 |p|^{-\frac{3}{2}}/2$ . The relation  $H \approx 0$  defines the physical phase space of considered gravitational system with constraints.

Making use of (6) we calculate (8) and get the modified total Hamiltonian. The Hamiltonian modified by loop geometry (in the gauge  $N=\sqrt{|p_1\,p_2\,p_3|}$ ) reads:

$$H^{(\lambda)} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G \gamma^2 \mu_1 \mu_2} \left[ |p_1 p_2| \sin(c_1 \mu_1) \sin(c_2 \mu_2) + \text{cyclic} \right] + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2} \quad (10)$$

# We use so called $\overline{\mu}$ scheme:

$$\mu_i := \sqrt{\frac{1}{|p_i|}} \lambda$$
 and  $\lambda$  is a free parameter.

Now we introduce following canonical variables

$$\beta_i := \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{|p_i|}}, \quad v_i := |p_i|^{3/2} \operatorname{sgn}(p_i),$$

and the Poisson brackets

$$\{\cdot,\cdot\} := 12\pi G\gamma \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \beta_{k}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial v_{k}} - \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial v_{k}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \beta_{k}} \right] + \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial p_{\phi}} - \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial p_{\phi}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \phi}$$

The Hamiltonian in new variables reads:

$$H^{(\lambda)} = \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2} - \frac{1}{8\pi G \gamma^2} \left( \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_1) \sin(\lambda \beta_2)}{\lambda^2} v_1 v_2 + \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_1) \sin(\lambda \beta_3)}{\lambda^2} v_1 v_3 + \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_2) \sin(\lambda \beta_3)}{\lambda^2} v_2 v_3 \right)$$
(11)

We use so called  $\overline{\mu}$  scheme:

$$\mu_i := \sqrt{\frac{1}{|p_i|}} \lambda$$
 and  $\lambda$  is a free parameter.

Now we introduce following canonical variables:

$$\beta_i := \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{|p_i|}}, \quad v_i := |p_i|^{3/2}\operatorname{sgn}(p_i),$$

and the Poisson bracket:

$$\{\cdot,\cdot\} := 12\pi G_{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \beta_{k}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial v_{k}} - \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial v_{k}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \beta_{k}} \right] + \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial p_{\phi}} - \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial p_{\phi}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \phi}$$

The Hamiltonian in new variables reads:

$$H^{(\lambda)} = \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2} - \frac{1}{8\pi G \gamma^2} \left( \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_1) \sin(\lambda \beta_2)}{\lambda^2} v_1 v_2 + \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_1) \sin(\lambda \beta_3)}{\lambda^2} v_1 v_3 + \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_2) \sin(\lambda \beta_3)}{\lambda^2} v_2 v_3 \right)$$

$$(11)$$

We use so called  $\overline{\mu}$  scheme:

$$\mu_i := \sqrt{\frac{1}{|p_i|}} \lambda$$
 and  $\lambda$  is a free parameter.

Now we introduce following canonical variables:

$$\beta_i := \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{|p_i|}}, \quad v_i := |p_i|^{3/2}\operatorname{sgn}(p_i),$$

and the Poisson bracket:

$$\{\cdot,\cdot\} := 12\pi G\gamma \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \beta_{k}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial v_{k}} - \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial v_{k}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \beta_{k}} \right] + \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial p_{\phi}} - \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial p_{\phi}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \phi}$$

The Hamiltonian in new variables reads:

$$H^{(\lambda)} = \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2} - \frac{1}{8\pi G \gamma^2} \left( \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_1) \sin(\lambda \beta_2)}{\lambda^2} v_1 v_2 + \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_1) \sin(\lambda \beta_3)}{\lambda^2} v_1 v_3 + \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_2) \sin(\lambda \beta_3)}{\lambda^2} v_2 v_3 \right)$$

$$(11)$$

We use so called  $\overline{\mu}$  scheme:

$$\mu_i := \sqrt{\frac{1}{|p_i|}} \lambda$$
 and  $\lambda$  is a free parameter.

Now we introduce following canonical variables:

$$\beta_i := \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{|p_i|}}, \quad v_i := |p_i|^{3/2}\operatorname{sgn}(p_i),$$

and the Poisson bracket:

$$\{\cdot,\cdot\} := 12\pi G\gamma \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \beta_{k}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial v_{k}} - \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial v_{k}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \beta_{k}} \right] + \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial p_{\phi}} - \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial p_{\phi}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \phi}$$

The Hamiltonian in new variables reads:

$$H^{(\lambda)} = \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2} - \frac{1}{8\pi G \gamma^2} \left( \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_1) \sin(\lambda \beta_2)}{\lambda^2} v_1 v_2 + \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_1) \sin(\lambda \beta_3)}{\lambda^2} v_1 v_3 + \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_2) \sin(\lambda \beta_3)}{\lambda^2} v_2 v_3 \right)$$

$$(11)$$

Equations of motion plus the constraint reads:

$$\dot{\beta}_i = -18\pi G \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_i)}{\lambda} (O_j + O_k)$$
 (12)

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_i = 18\pi G \mathbf{v}_i \cos(\lambda \beta_i) \left( \mathbf{O}_j + \mathbf{O}_k \right) \tag{13}$$

$$\dot{p_{\phi}} = 0 ag{14}$$

$$\dot{\phi} = \rho_{\phi} \tag{15}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\lambda)} \approx 0,$$
 (16)

where  $O_i := \frac{v_i \sin(\lambda \beta_i)}{12\pi G \gamma \lambda}$ ,  $O_i$  are constants of motion. Worth of noting:  $\phi$  plays the role of time.

Equations of motion plus the constraint reads:

$$\dot{\beta}_i = -18\pi G \frac{\sin(\lambda \beta_i)}{\lambda} (O_j + O_k)$$
 (12)

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_i = 18\pi G \mathbf{v}_i \cos(\lambda \beta_i) \left( \mathbf{O}_j + \mathbf{O}_k \right) \tag{13}$$

$$\dot{\rho_{\phi}} = 0$$
 (14)

$$\dot{\phi} = \boldsymbol{p}_{\phi} \tag{15}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\lambda)} \approx 0,$$
 (16)

where  $O_i := \frac{v_i \sin(\lambda \beta_i)}{12\pi G \gamma \lambda}$ ,  $O_i$  are constants of motion. Worth of noting:  $\phi$  plays the role of time.

Solution of equation, for example (13):

$$2 v_i(\phi) = \exp\left(\frac{18\pi G}{p_{\phi}}(O_j + O_k)(\phi - \phi_i^0)\right) +$$

$$+ (12\pi G\gamma\lambda O_i)^2 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{18\pi G}{p_{\phi}}(O_j + O_k)(\phi - \phi_i^0)\right) (17)$$

We can write this in a different form:

$$v_i = 12\pi G \gamma \lambda O_i \cosh\left(rac{18\pi G}{
ho_\phi} \left(O_j + O_k\right) \left(\phi - \phi_i^0\right) - \ln\left|12\pi G \gamma \lambda O_i\right|
ight)$$
 (18)

Because  $V = (v_1 v_2 v_3)^{1/3}$  it is clear that for nonzero  $\lambda$  there is no singularity for any value of  $\phi$ .

Big Bounce already at classical level

Solution of equation, for example (13):

$$2 v_i(\phi) = \exp\left(\frac{18\pi G}{\rho_{\phi}}(O_j + O_k)(\phi - \phi_i^0)\right) +$$

$$+ (12\pi G\gamma \lambda O_i)^2 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{18\pi G}{\rho_{\phi}}(O_j + O_k)(\phi - \phi_i^0)\right) (17)$$

We can write this in a different form:

$$v_{i} = 12\pi G\gamma\lambda O_{i}\cosh\left(\frac{18\pi G}{\rho_{\phi}}\left(O_{j} + O_{k}\right)\left(\phi - \phi_{i}^{0}\right) - \ln\left|12\pi G\gamma\lambda O_{i}\right|\right) \tag{18}$$

Because  $V = (v_1 v_2 v_3)^{1/3}$  it is clear that for nonzero  $\lambda$  there is no singularity for any value of  $\phi$ .

Big Bounce already at classical level!

Solution of equation, for example (13):

$$2 v_i(\phi) = \exp\left(\frac{18\pi G}{p_{\phi}}(O_j + O_k)(\phi - \phi_i^0)\right) +$$

$$+ (12\pi G\gamma\lambda O_i)^2 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{18\pi G}{p_{\phi}}(O_j + O_k)(\phi - \phi_i^0)\right) (17)$$

We can write this in a different form:

$$v_{i} = 12\pi G \gamma \lambda O_{i} \cosh \left(\frac{18\pi G}{p_{\phi}} \left(O_{j} + O_{k}\right) \left(\phi - \phi_{i}^{0}\right) - \ln\left|12\pi G \gamma \lambda O_{i}\right|\right) \tag{18}$$

Because  $V = (v_1 v_2 v_3)^{1/3}$  it is clear that for nonzero  $\lambda$  there is no singularity for any value of  $\phi$ .

Big Bounce already at classical level

Solution of equation, for example (13):

$$2 v_i(\phi) = \exp\left(\frac{18\pi G}{\rho_{\phi}}(O_j + O_k)(\phi - \phi_i^0)\right) +$$

$$+ (12\pi G\gamma \lambda O_i)^2 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{18\pi G}{\rho_{\phi}}(O_j + O_k)(\phi - \phi_i^0)\right) (17)$$

We can write this in a different form:

$$v_{i} = 12\pi G\gamma\lambda O_{i}\cosh\left(\frac{18\pi G}{\rho_{\phi}}\left(O_{j} + O_{k}\right)\left(\phi - \phi_{i}^{0}\right) - \ln\left|12\pi G\gamma\lambda O_{i}\right|\right) \tag{18}$$

Because  $V = (v_1 v_2 v_3)^{1/3}$  it is clear that for nonzero  $\lambda$  there is no singularity for any value of  $\phi$ .

Big Bounce already at classical level!

## Our aim: Singularity aspects of the model in terms of observables.

A function *F* defined on phase space is a Dirac observable if it is the solution to the equation

$$\left\{ F, H^{(\lambda)} \right\} = 0 \tag{19}$$

We found that

$$A_i^{\text{dyn}} = \ln \left| \tan \left( \frac{\lambda \beta_i}{2} \right) \right| + \frac{3\sqrt{\pi G} \operatorname{sgn}(p_\phi) \left( O_j + O_k \right) \phi}{\sqrt{O_1 O_2 + O_1 O_3 + O_2 O_3}}$$
 (20)

$$O_i = \frac{v_i \sin(\lambda \beta_i)}{12\pi G_{\gamma \lambda}} \tag{21}$$

These are dynamical (satisfy the constraint) observables. We can express by them any interesting physical function

Our aim: Singularity aspects of the model in terms of observables.

A function *F* defined on phase space is a Dirac observable if it is the solution to the equation

$$\left\{ F, H^{(\lambda)} \right\} = 0 \tag{19}$$

We found that

$$A_{i}^{\text{dyn}} = \ln\left|\tan\left(\frac{\lambda\beta_{i}}{2}\right)\right| + \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}G}\sqrt{\frac{\text{sgn}(\rho_{\phi})(O_{j} + O_{k})}{\sqrt{O_{1}O_{2} + O_{1}O_{3} + O_{2}O_{3}}}}$$
(20)  

$$O_{i} = \frac{v_{i}\sin(\lambda\beta_{i})}{12\pi G_{2}\lambda}$$
(21)

These are dynamical (satisfy the constraint) observables. We can express by them any interesting physical function

Our aim: Singularity aspects of the model in terms of observables.

A function *F* defined on phase space is a Dirac observable if it is the solution to the equation

$$\left\{ F, H^{(\lambda)} \right\} = 0 \tag{19}$$

We found that:

$$A_i^{\text{dyn}} = \ln \left| \tan \left( \frac{\lambda \beta_i}{2} \right) \right| + \frac{3\sqrt{\pi G} \operatorname{sgn}(p_\phi) \left( O_j + O_k \right) \phi}{\sqrt{O_1 O_2 + O_1 O_3 + O_2 O_3}}$$
 (20)

$$O_i = \frac{v_i \sin(\lambda \beta_i)}{12\pi G_{\gamma \lambda}}$$
 (21)

These are dynamical (satisfy the constraint) observables. We can express by them any interesting physical function

Our aim: Singularity aspects of the model in terms of observables.

A function *F* defined on phase space is a Dirac observable if it is the solution to the equation

$$\left\{ F, H^{(\lambda)} \right\} = 0 \tag{19}$$

We found that:

$$A_i^{\text{dyn}} = \ln \left| \tan \left( \frac{\lambda \beta_i}{2} \right) \right| + \frac{3\sqrt{\pi G} \operatorname{sgn}(p_\phi) \left( O_j + O_k \right) \phi}{\sqrt{O_1 O_2 + O_1 O_3 + O_2 O_3}}$$
(20)

$$O_i = \frac{v_i \sin(\lambda \beta_i)}{12\pi G \gamma \lambda} \tag{21}$$

These are dynamical (satisfy the constraint) observables. We can express by them any interesting physical function.

These observables satisfy the Lie algebra:

$$\{O_{i}, O_{j}\}_{dyn} = 0, \quad \{A_{i}^{dyn}, O_{i}\}_{dyn} = 1, \{A_{i}^{dyn}, O_{j}\}_{dyn} = 0, \quad \{A_{i}^{dyn}, A_{j}^{dyn}\}_{dyn} = 0.$$
(22)

where:

$$\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\rm dyn} := \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial A_i^{\rm dyn}} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial O_i} - \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial O_i} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial A_i^{\rm dyn}} \right) \tag{23}$$

Directional energy density:

$$\rho_i(\lambda,\phi) := \frac{p_\phi^2}{2 v_i^2} \tag{24}$$

The bounce in i-th direction occurs when  $\rho_i$  approaches its maximum value<sup>1</sup>.

We would like to express above function in terms of observables and an evolution parameter  $\phi$ :

$$\rho_{i}(\lambda,\phi) = \frac{O_{1}O_{2} + O_{1}O_{3} + O_{2}O_{3}}{8\pi G \gamma^{2} O_{i}^{2} \cosh^{2}\left(\frac{3\sqrt{\pi G} \operatorname{sgn}(\rho_{\phi})\left(O_{i} + O_{k}\right)}{\sqrt{O_{1}O_{2} + O_{1}O_{3} + O_{2}O_{3}}}\phi - A_{i}^{\operatorname{dyn}}\right)}$$
(25)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>D.W. Chiou PRD (**75**), 24029 (2007)

Directional energy density:

$$\rho_i(\lambda,\phi) := \frac{p_\phi^2}{2 v_i^2} \tag{24}$$

The bounce in i-th direction occurs when  $\rho_i$  approaches its maximum value<sup>1</sup>.

We would like to express above function in terms of observables and an evolution parameter  $\phi$ :

$$\rho_{i}(\lambda,\phi) = \frac{O_{1}O_{2} + O_{1}O_{3} + O_{2}O_{3}}{8\pi G \gamma^{2} O_{i}^{2} \cosh^{2}\left(\frac{3\sqrt{\pi G} \operatorname{sgn}(p_{\phi})(O_{j} + O_{k})}{\sqrt{O_{1}O_{2} + O_{1}O_{3} + O_{2}O_{3}}}\phi - A_{i}^{\operatorname{dyn}}\right)}$$
(25)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>D.W. Chiou PRD (**75**), 24029 (2007)

Directional energy density:

$$\rho_i(\lambda,\phi) := \frac{p_\phi^2}{2 v_i^2} \tag{24}$$

The bounce in i-th direction occurs when  $\rho_i$  approaches its maximum value<sup>1</sup>.

We would like to express above function in terms of observables and an evolution parameter  $\phi$ :

$$\rho_{i}(\lambda, \phi) = \frac{O_{1}O_{2} + O_{1}O_{3} + O_{2}O_{3}}{8\pi G \gamma^{2} O_{i}^{2} \cosh^{2}\left(\frac{3\sqrt{\pi G} \operatorname{sgn}(\rho_{\phi})\left(O_{i} + O_{k}\right)}{\sqrt{O_{1}O_{2} + O_{1}O_{3} + O_{2}O_{3}}}\phi - A_{i}^{\operatorname{dyn}}\right)}$$
(25)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>D.W. Chiou PRD (**75**), 24029 (2007)

Directional energy density:

$$\rho_i(\lambda,\phi) := \frac{p_\phi^2}{2 v_i^2} \tag{24}$$

The bounce in i-th direction occurs when  $\rho_i$  approaches its maximum value<sup>1</sup>.

We would like to express above function in terms of observables and an evolution parameter  $\phi$ :

$$\rho_{i}(\lambda, \phi) = \frac{O_{1}O_{2} + O_{1}O_{3} + O_{2}O_{3}}{8\pi G \gamma^{2} O_{i}^{2} \cosh^{2}\left(\frac{3\sqrt{\pi G} \operatorname{sgn}(\rho_{\phi})\left(O_{i} + O_{k}\right)}{\sqrt{O_{1}O_{2} + O_{1}O_{3} + O_{2}O_{3}}}\phi - A_{i}^{\operatorname{dyn}}\right)}$$
(25)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>D.W. Chiou PRD (**75**), 24029 (2007)

Big bounce happens when  $v_i$  takes its minimal value:  $v_i^{\min} = 12\pi G\gamma \lambda O_i$ . Thus the maximum value of this density:

$$\rho_i^{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G \gamma^2 \lambda^2} \left(\frac{k_\phi}{k_i}\right)^2 \tag{26}$$

where  $k_{\phi}$  and  $k_{i}$  taken from the metric.

 $\lambda$  is a free parameter of this formalism. Let assume that  $\lambda = I_{Pl}$ . We get:

$$\rho_i^{\text{max}} \simeq 0,35 \left(\frac{k_\phi}{k_i}\right)^2 \rho_{Pl} \tag{27}$$

Big bounce happens when  $v_i$  takes its minimal value:  $v_i^{\min} = 12\pi G\gamma\lambda O_i$ . Thus the maximum value of this density:

$$\rho_i^{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G \gamma^2 \lambda^2} \left(\frac{k_\phi}{k_i}\right)^2 \tag{26}$$

where  $k_{\phi}$  and  $k_{i}$  taken from the metric.

 $\lambda$  is a free parameter of this formalism.

Let assume that  $\lambda = I_{Pl}$ . We get:

$$\rho_i^{\text{max}} \simeq 0,35 \left(\frac{k_\phi}{k_i}\right)^2 \rho_{Pl} \tag{27}$$

Big bounce happens when  $v_i$  takes its minimal value:  $v_i^{\min} = 12\pi G\gamma \lambda O_i$ . Thus the maximum value of this density:

$$\rho_i^{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G \gamma^2 \lambda^2} \left(\frac{k_\phi}{k_i}\right)^2 \tag{26}$$

where  $k_{\phi}$  and  $k_{i}$  taken from the metric.

 $\lambda$  is a free parameter of this formalism. Let assume that  $\lambda = I_{Pl}$ . We get:

$$\rho_i^{\text{max}} \simeq 0.35 \left(\frac{k_\phi}{k_i}\right)^2 \rho_{Pl} \tag{27}$$

Big bounce happens when  $v_i$  takes its minimal value:  $v_i^{\min} = 12\pi G\gamma \lambda O_i$ . Thus the maximum value of this density:

$$\rho_i^{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G \gamma^2 \lambda^2} \left(\frac{k_\phi}{k_i}\right)^2 \tag{26}$$

where  $k_{\phi}$  and  $k_{i}$  taken from the metric.

 $\lambda$  is a free parameter of this formalism.

Let assume that  $\lambda = I_{Pl}$ . We get:

$$\rho_i^{\text{max}} \simeq 0.35 \left(\frac{k_\phi}{k_i}\right)^2 \rho_{Pl} \tag{27}$$

- Modification of gravitational part of classical Hamiltonian, realized by using the loop geometry turns big-bang into big-bounce.
- $\lambda$  is a free parameter of the theory as in the case of FRW model.
- Next step: quantization of classical dynamics, examine spectra of physical observables.

- Modification of gravitational part of classical Hamiltonian, realized by using the loop geometry turns big-bang into big-bounce.
- $\lambda$  is a free parameter of the theory as in the case of FRW model.
- Next step: quantization of classical dynamics, examine spectra of physical observables.

- Modification of gravitational part of classical Hamiltonian, realized by using the loop geometry turns big-bang into big-bounce.
- $\lambda$  is a free parameter of the theory as in the case of FRW model.
- Next step: quantization of classical dynamics, examine spectra of physical observables.

- Modification of gravitational part of classical Hamiltonian, realized by using the loop geometry turns big-bang into big-bounce.
- $\lambda$  is a free parameter of the theory as in the case of FRW model.
- Next step: quantization of classical dynamics, examine spectra of physical observables.