Basis of dynamical mean-field theory and application to model systems

Krzysztof Byczuk

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics University of Warsaw

February 16th, 2011











www.physik.uni-augsburg.de/theo3/kbyczuk www.fuw.edu.pl/pmss

Aim of this talk

DYNAMICAL MEAN-FIELD THEORY

- What is it?
- Why do we need it?
- How to use it?
- Where does it help?

Correlation

- Correlation [lat.]: con+relatio ("with relation")
 - Two or more objects needed
 - Grammar: either ... or, look for, deal with, ...
 - Many-body physics:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}_1}{dt} = \mathbf{F}_1 + \mathbf{F}_{12}, \qquad \mathbf{p}_1 = m_1 \frac{d\mathbf{x}_1}{dt}$$
$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}_2}{dt} = \mathbf{F}_2 + \mathbf{F}_{21}, \qquad \mathbf{p}_2 = m_2 \frac{d\mathbf{x}_2}{dt}$$





Spatial and temporal correlations everywhere





car traffic

air traffic

human traffic

electron traffic

more





Abb. 3: Beispiel eines Metall-Isolator-Übergangs: Bei Abkühlung unter eine Temperatur von ca. 150 Kelvin erhöht sich der elektrische Widerstand von metallischem Vanadiumoxid (V₂O₃) schlagartig um das Einhundertmillionenfache (Faktor 10^8) – das System wird zum Isolator.

Correlation

- Mathematics, Statistics, Natural Science: "In statistics, dependence refers to any statistical relationship between two random variables or two sets of data. Correlation refers to any of a broad class of statistical relationships involving dependence." (*Wikipedia*)
- Formally: Two random variables are not independent (are dependent) if

 $P(x,y) \neq p(x)p(y),$

and are correlated if

 $\langle xy \rangle \neq \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle,$

 $p(x) = \int dy P(x, y).$

• In many body physics: correlations are effects beyond factorizing approximations

$$\langle \rho(r,t)\rho(r',t')\rangle \approx \langle \rho(r,t)\rangle \langle \rho(r',t')\rangle,$$

as in Weiss or Hartree-Fock mean-field theories.

Spatial and temporal correlations neglected

time/space average insufficient

 $\langle \rho(r,t)\rho(r',t')\rangle \approx \langle \rho(r,t)\rangle \langle \rho(r',t')\rangle = \text{disaster!}$



Spatial and temporal correlations neglected

Local density approximation (LDA) disaster in HTC



LaCuO₄ Mott (correlated) insulator predicted to be a metal

Partially curred by (AF) long-range order ... but correlations are still missed

Correlated electrons



Narrow d,f-orbitals/bands \rightarrow strong electronic correlations

Correlated fermions on lattices

$$H = -\sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \frac{U}{U} \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$$



P.W. Anderson, J. Hubbard, M. Gutzwiller, J. Kanamori, 1960-63





Local Hubbard physics



The Holy Grail for correlated electrons

Fact: Hubbard model is not solved for arbitrary cases

Find the best comprehensive approximation

- valid for all values of parameters t, U, $n = N_e/N_L$, T
- thermodynamically consistent
- conserving
- possessing a small expansion (control) parameter and exact in some limit
- flexible to be applied to different systems and material specific calculations

What we need for Hubbard model

We need propagator (one-particle Green function)

$$G_{ij\sigma}(t) = -i \langle T_t c_{i\sigma}(t) c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger}(0) \rangle \underset{F.T.}{\longrightarrow} G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{\omega + \mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}$$

At finite temperatures $t \to -i\tau$

$$G_{ij\sigma}(\tau) = -\langle T_{\tau}c_{i\sigma}(\tau)c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger}(0)\rangle = -\frac{1}{Z}\int D[c^*,c]c_{i\sigma}(\tau)c_{j\sigma}^*(0)e^{-S[c^*,c]}$$

with the action (Lagrangian)

$$S[c^*, c] = -\int_{0}^{\beta=1/T} d\tau \sum_{i\sigma} c^*_{i\sigma}(\tau) (\partial_{\tau} - \mu) c_{i\sigma}(\tau) - H[c^*, c]$$

Later two-particle Green functions

All what we know about Hubbard model

Solved in U = 0 limit (non-interacting limit)

$$G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega + \mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{j(i)} t_{ij} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}_{i} - \mathbf{R}_{j})}$$
Spectral function - one-particle excitations
$$A_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \equiv -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}G(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \delta(\omega + \mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$$
Density of states (DOS) - thermodynamics
$$N_{\sigma}(\omega) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} A(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta(\omega + \mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$$

Dispersion relation

Spectral function - one

All what we know about Hubbard model

Solved in t = 0 limit (atomic limit)

$$G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \frac{1-n_{-\sigma}}{\omega+\mu} + \frac{n_{-\sigma}}{\omega+\mu-U} = \frac{1}{\omega+\mu-\Sigma_{\sigma}(\omega)}$$

 $\rightarrow \omega$



Spectral function

Static mean-field for exchange Hamiltonian

Replace many-body Hamiltonian by one-body Hamiltonian with external (molecular or Weiss) field

$$Z = e^{-\beta F} = Tr_{\mathbf{S}_i} e^{-\beta H_{exch}} = Tr_{\mathbf{S}_i} e^{-\beta H_{MF}}$$

where

$$H_{MF} = \sum_{i} \mathbf{B}_{MF} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i} + E_{shift}$$

Make mean-field (decoupling) approximation and determine \mathbf{B}_{MF}

$$\mathbf{B}_{MF} = \sum_{j(i)} J_{ij} \langle \mathbf{S}_j \rangle_{H_{MF}}$$

where $\langle \mathbf{S}_j \rangle = \langle S^z \rangle_{H_{MF}}$ is found self-consistently

$$\langle S^z \rangle_{H_{MF}} = \tanh\left(\beta J \langle S^z \rangle_{H_{MF}}\right)$$

Static mean-field – principal approximation

Spin-spin correlations are neglected

$$\langle [\mathbf{S}_i - \langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle] \cdot [\mathbf{S}_j - \langle \mathbf{S}_j \rangle] \rangle = 0 \Longrightarrow \langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle = \langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$$



2J < S >

Quality of mean-field approximation improves when z is large

Static mean-field – exact when $z \to \infty$

$$\langle [\mathbf{S}_i - \langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle] \cdot [\mathbf{S}_j - \langle \mathbf{S}_j \rangle] \rangle \rightarrow_{z \to \infty} 0 \Longrightarrow \langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle \rightarrow_{z \to \infty} \langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$$

No spatial correlations in $z \to \infty$ limit

Rescaling for nearest neighbor (nn) exchange coupling

$$J \to \frac{J^*}{z}, \quad J^* = \text{const}$$

then

$$\mathbf{B}_{MF} = \sum_{j=1}^{z} J \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle_{H_{MF}} = \frac{J^*}{z} \sum_{j=1}^{z} \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle_{H_{MF}} = J^* \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle_{H_{MF}}$$

is bounded when $z \to \infty$

Static mean-field theory is exact and nontrivial when $z \to \infty$; there exists a small (expansion) parameter 1/z

Find a comprehensive mean-field theory for Hubbard model

Exact free energy

$$F = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \int D[c^*, c] e^{-S[c^*, c]} = \frac{1}{\beta} \operatorname{Tr} \ln G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \operatorname{Tr} \ln[i\omega_n + \mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n)]$$

Approximation $\Sigma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n) = \Sigma_{\sigma}(\omega_n)$ - local approximation keeping full dynamics



Need a prescription to determine $\Sigma_{\sigma}(\omega_n)$

"Remove" a single site in the effective medium and replace it by the actual, bare interaction

$$F = F_{med}[\mathbf{\Sigma}] - F_i[\mathbf{\Sigma}] + F_i^{bare}$$

Local Green function (i = j and index omitted)

$$G_{\sigma}(i\omega_n) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{i\omega_n + \mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(\omega_n)} = G_{\sigma}^0(i\omega + \mu - \Sigma_{\sigma}(\omega_n))$$

and

$$F_i[\mathbf{\Sigma}] = -\frac{1}{\beta} \operatorname{Tr} \ln[G^0_{\sigma}(i\omega + \mu - \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\sigma}(\omega_n))]^{-1}$$





Actual bare interaction

$$F_i^{bare}[\mathcal{G}] = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \int D[c^*, c] e^{-S_{loc}^{bare}[c^*, c]}$$

where

$$S_{loc}^{bare} = \sum_{\sigma} \int d\tau d\tau' c_{\sigma}^*(\tau) \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}^{-1}(\tau - \tau') c_{\sigma}(\tau') + U \int d\tau n_{\uparrow}(\tau) n_{\downarrow}(\tau)$$

and the local-Dyson equation defines



to close the set of equations use stationary condition

$$\frac{\delta F[\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathcal{G}^{-1}]}{\delta \mathcal{G}^{-1}} = 0$$

hence

$$G_{\sigma}(\tau) = -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\sigma}(\tau)c_{\sigma}^{*}(0)\rangle_{S_{loc}^{bare}}$$

and all three local but dynamical variables G, \mathcal{G} , and Σ are determined



Summary – DMFT - full glory

Local Green function

$$G_{\sigma}(\tau) = -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\sigma}(\tau)c_{\sigma}^{*}(0)\rangle_{S_{loc}^{bare}}$$

where

$$S_{loc}^{bare} = \sum_{\sigma} \int d\tau d\tau' c_{\sigma}^*(\tau) \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}^{-1}(\tau - \tau') c_{\sigma}(\tau') + U \int d\tau n_{\uparrow}(\tau) n_{\downarrow}(\tau)$$

Weiss (mean-field) function and self-energy

$$\mathcal{G}_{\sigma}^{-1}(\omega_n) = \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}^{-1}(\omega_n) + \Sigma_{\sigma}(\omega_n)$$

Local Green function and lattice system self-consistency

$$G_{\sigma}(i\omega_n) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{i\omega_n + \mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(\omega_n)} = G_{\sigma}^0(i\omega + \mu - \Sigma_{\sigma}(\omega_n))$$

DMFT – what is neglected, what is kept

Spatial correlations are neglected (LRO is OK)

$$\langle [n_{i\sigma}(\tau) - \langle n_{i\sigma}(\tau) \rangle] [n_{j\sigma'}(\tau') - \langle n_{j\sigma'}(\tau') \rangle] \rangle_{S_{loc}} = 0$$

Local temporal correlations are kept exactly

$$\langle [n_{i\sigma}(\tau) - \langle n_{i\sigma}(\tau) \rangle] [n_{i\sigma'}(\tau') - \langle n_{i\sigma'}(\tau') \rangle] \rangle_{S_{loc}} = \text{``exact''}$$

Local dynamical Hubbard physics described well



DMFT – conserving theory

any reliable approximation should be conserving, i.e. all microscopic conservation laws should be preserved by an approximate theory

 Φ -derivable theory (Baym, Kadanoff - 1962)

$$\Omega[\Sigma] = \Phi[\Sigma] - \operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma G) - \operatorname{Tr}(G_0^{-1} - \Sigma)$$

where

$$\Sigma = \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta \Sigma}$$

is conserving because vertices

$$\Lambda = \frac{\delta^2 \Phi}{\delta \Sigma \delta \Sigma}$$

are approximated in the same way

dynamical mean-field theory is a conserving approximation due to construction

DMFT – consistent and comprehensive

DMFT is thermodynamically consistent, e.g.

$$n = -\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n\sigma} G_{\sigma}(\omega_n)$$

DMFT is valid for any value of the microscopic parameters, no expansion wrt U, t, β , n or 1/n

DMFT is comprehensive theory for correlated electrons

DMFT – flexibility; **LDA**+**DMFT**

Multi-band systems (Anisimov et al. 97; ... Nekrasov et al. 00, ...)

$$H = H_{LDA} + H_{int} - H_{LDA}^U = H_{LDA}^0 + H_{int}$$

direct and exchange interaction

$$H_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=i_d, l=l_d} \sum_{m\sigma, m'\sigma'} U_{mm'}^{\sigma\sigma'} n_{ilm\sigma} n_{ilm'\sigma'}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=i_d,l=l_d}\sum_{m\sigma,m'}J_{mm'}c^{\dagger}_{ilm\sigma}c^{\dagger}_{ilm'-\sigma}c_{ilm'\sigma}c_{ilm-\sigma}$$

kinetic part, determined from DFT-LDA calculation (material specific)

$$H^0_{LDA} = \sum_{ilm,jl'm',\sigma} t^0_{ilm,jl'm'} c^{\dagger}_{ilm\sigma} c_{jl'm'\sigma}$$

LDA+DMFT - state of the art for realistic approach to correlated electron systems

DMFT scheme

 S_{loc} - local interactions U or J from a model **TB** or a microscopic **LDA** Hamiltonian



DMFT – flexibility; disordered systems

Correlated electrons with local disorder

$$H = -\sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + \sum_{i\sigma} \epsilon_{i} n_{i\sigma}$$

where ϵ_i is random (on-site) local energy with fixed probability distribution function (PDF) $P(\epsilon_i)$

In self-averaged systems, physical quantities are given by arithmetic average

$$G(\omega)_{av} = -\int d\epsilon_i P(\epsilon_i) \langle c(\omega) c^*(\omega) \rangle_{S_{loc}(\epsilon_i)} \equiv \langle \langle c(\omega) c^*(\omega) \rangle_{S_{loc}(\epsilon_i)} \rangle_{dis}$$

In non-self-averaged systems, physical quantities are given by typical ones - geometric average $O_{geom} = \exp[\langle \ln O \rangle]$

$$-\mathrm{Im}G(\omega)_{typ} = e^{\langle \ln[-\mathrm{Im}\langle c(\omega)c^*(\omega)\rangle_{S_{loc}(\epsilon_i)}]\rangle_{dis}}$$

DMFT – $d \rightarrow \infty$ limit, small parameter **O**(1/z)

Idea: spatial correlations are absent when number of neighbors is large (infinite)

Crystal lattices in d = 3:

simple cubic (sc) - z = 6body center cubic (bcc) - z = 8face centered cubic (fcc) - z = 12

Hypercubic lattice in d-dimension - z = 2d

Bethe (Caley) tree - z = K + 1











Simple $d \to \infty$ limit

Kinetic energy

$$H_0 = \sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$$

NN hopping:

$$t_{ij} = t(\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j) = \begin{cases} -t & \text{if } \mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j = \pm \mathbf{e}_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Bare dispersion

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t \sum_{i=1}^{d} \cos k_i$$

Density of states

$$N_d(\epsilon) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) \to_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{2t\sqrt{\pi d}} e^{-\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2t\sqrt{d}}\right)^2}$$

arbitrary broad and featureless in $d \to \infty$ limit

Non-trivial $d \to \infty$ limit

Non-trivial DOS is obtained when hopping is rescaled

$$t \to \frac{t^*}{\sqrt{2d}}, \quad t^* = \text{const}$$

$$N_{\infty}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}t^*} e^{-\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2t^*}\right)^2}$$



In general

$$t_{ij} \to \frac{t_{ij}^*}{\sqrt{d^{||\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j||}}}$$



Fig. 1.2 Tight-binding density of states in d = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 as compared with t for $d = \infty$.



Non-trivial $d \to \infty$ limit

Non-trivial (asymptotic) theory is well defined such that the energy density is generically finite and non-zero

$$\frac{1}{N_L} E_{kin} = \frac{1}{N_L} \sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} \langle c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} \rangle = \frac{1}{N_L} \sum_{i\sigma} \sum_{i\sigma} \sum_{\substack{j(i) \\ O(d^{||\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j||})}} t_{ij} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} G_{ij\sigma}(\omega) \sim O(1)$$

Fact, since G_{ij} is probability amplitude for hopping,

$$G_{ij} \sim O(d^{-\frac{||\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j||}{2}})$$

with rescaling

$$t_{ij} \to \frac{t_{ij}^*}{\sqrt{d^{||\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j||}}}$$

sum $\sum_{j(i)}$ is compensated and energy is finite (Metzner, Vollhardt, 1989)

$d \rightarrow \infty$ limit – Feynman diagrams simplification

One proves, term by term, that skeleton expansion for the self-energy $\Sigma_{ij}[G]$ has only local contributions

$$\Sigma_{ij\sigma}(\omega_n) \to_{d\to\infty} \Sigma_{ii\sigma}(\omega_n)\delta_{ij}$$

Fourier transform is **k**-independent

$$\Sigma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k},\omega_n) \to_{d\to\infty} \Sigma_{\sigma}(\omega_n)$$

DMFT is an exact theory in infinite dimension (coordination number) and small control parameter is 1/d (1/z)

(Metzner, Vollhardt, 1989)

ansatz in heuristic derivation is then exact (Janis, Vollhrdt, 1992)

$$F = F_{med}[\mathbf{\Sigma}] = -\frac{1}{\beta} \operatorname{Tr} \ln[i\omega_n + \mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(\omega_n)]$$

DMFT in practice

The hardest part of DMFT is to solve local, many-body problem

$$G_{\sigma}(\tau) = -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\sigma}(\tau)c_{\sigma}^{*}(0)\rangle_{S_{loc}^{bare}}$$

where

$$S_{loc}^{bare} = \sum_{\sigma} \int d\tau d\tau' c_{\sigma}^*(\tau) \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}^{-1}(\tau - \tau') c_{\sigma}(\tau') + U \int d\tau n_{\uparrow}(\tau) n_{\downarrow}(\tau)$$

it is usually mapped onto the Single Impurity Anderson Model (Kotliar, Georges, 1992; Jarrell, 1992)

$$H_{SIAM} = \epsilon_d \sum_{\sigma} n_{d\sigma} + U n_{d\uparrow} n_{d\downarrow} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} V_{\mathbf{k}} d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + H.c. + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon^{aux}_{\mathbf{k}} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$$

DMFT solvers in practice

- Analytical approaches (approximate, but fast)
 - (IPT) Iterative perturbation expansion
 - (NCA) Non-crossing approximation
 - (LMA) Local moment approach
 - (LDMFT) Linear DMFT
 - (Hubbard I or III) Strong coupling approach
- Numerical approaches (formally exact, but expensive)
 - (HF-QMC) Quantum Monte Carlo Trotter decomposition, large T, limited U
 - (CT-QMC) Quantum Monte Carlo continuum time, perturbative character
 - (PQMC) Projected quantum Monte Carlo only ground state
 - (ED) Exact diagonalization small system, discrete spectrum
 - (NRG) Numerical renormalization group logarithmic broadening
 - (DMRG) Dynamical matrix renormalization group

Find the best solver to you physical problem

DMFT – long-range orders and susceptibilities

Broken symmetry phases (infinite-long range orders) are described by generalized local problem and self-consistency condition

e.g., for AF we need to sites (A or B) which are coupled be opposite spins

Susceptibilities (two-particle correlation functions) are determined by the corresponding local quantities and non-interacting lattice parts

$$\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{q}}^{-1} = \hat{\chi}_{loc}^{-1} + \hat{\chi}_{0,\mathbf{q}}^{-1} - \hat{\chi}_{0,loc}^{-1}$$

Eg. 1 - MIT at half-filling



 $U \ll |t_{ij}|, \Delta \mathbf{p} = 0$



Antiferromagnetic Mott insulator



typical intermediate coupling problem $U_c \approx |t_{ij}|$

```
Eg. 1 - MIT at half-filling
```



spin flip on central site

dynamical processes with spin-flips inject states into correlation gap giving a quasiparticle resonance

Eg. 1 - MIT at half-filling at T = 0 according to **D**MFT

hypercubic **Bethe** hypercubic **Bethe** 0.4 0.4 0.0 0.0 U=0.8U U=0.8U 0.3 0.3 -2.0 -2.0 -4.0 0.2 0.2 -4.0U=0.8U_c U=0.8U 0.1 -6.00.1 -6.0 0.0 0 0 0.0 U=0.99U U=0.99U 0.3 -2.0 0.3 -2.0lmΣ(ω) A(ω) 0.2 U=0.99U_c 0.2 -4.0 -4.0 U=0.99U c -6.0 0.1 0.1 -6.0 0 0 0.0 0.0 U=1.10 U=1.10 0.3 0.3 -2.0 -2.0 0.2 0.2 -4.0 -4.0 U=1.1U U=1.1U 0.1 0.1 -6.0-6.0 0.0 -8.0 -8.0 0 -8 -4 8 -8 8 -8 -4 0 4 8 0 4 8 -8 -4 0 4 -4 0 4 ω ω ω ω 1.0 • Bethe Luttinger pinning $A(0) = N_0(0)$ ---- hypercubic 0.8 N ^{0.6} 0.4 0.2 0.0 Fermi liquid 1.0 NRG 0.8 RDA $G(k,\omega) \sim \frac{Z}{\omega - \tilde{\epsilon}_L - i\alpha \ \omega^2} + G_{inc}$ N ^{0.6} – IPT × PSCM 0.4 Muller-Hartmann 1989 0.2 0.0 2.0 4.0 6.0

0.0

Kotliar et al. 92-96, Bulla, 99

Eg. 1 - MIT at half-filling at T>0 according to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DMFT}}$

Kotliar et al. 92-96, Bulla et al. 01, also Spalek 87



 1^{st} -order transition



Metal

300

200

100

T (K)

T

AFI

Eg. 2 - Mott-Anderson MIT



Interaction \leftrightarrow Mott-Hubbard MIT

Interaction and disorder compete with each other stabilizing the metallic phase against the occurring one of the insulators

Eg. 2 - Phase diagram for disordered Hubbard model

$$N_0(\epsilon) = \frac{2}{\pi D} \sqrt{D^2 - \epsilon^2}; \quad \eta(\omega) = \frac{D^2}{4} G(\omega)$$

T = 0, n = 1, W = 2D = 1, NRG solver, KB, W. Hofstetter, D. Vollhardt (2005)



Summary – DMFT - flexibility

- Local temporal correlations exact
- Spatial correlations neglected
- Conserving and thermodynamically consistent
- Comprehensive mean-field theory
- LDA+DMFT
- DMFT for disordered electrons