Pomeron-Graviton Correspondence

Evidence for the Discrete Asymptotically-Free BFKL Pomeron from HERA Data

J. Ellis, H. Kowalski, D.A. Ross

Tok Meeting Luty 2008 Warszawa 2008

Low-x Physics @ HERA

At low x and high Q^2 , steep rise in structure function = distribution of partons, integrated over k_T



Behavior of F_2 is dominated by gluon density at small-x



Hard Diffraction - the HERA surprise



Low-x Physics @ HERA



 Increasing rate of growth with Q², well described by DGLAP evolution









Note: educated guesses for VM wf work very we





Pomeron-Graviton Correspondence



generalization by Virasoro \rightarrow dual models

 $A(s,t,u) = \beta \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(s))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(t))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(u))}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(t) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(u))\Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(s) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(u))\Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(s) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(t))}$

 $M^2 = t (GeV)^2$

++++ [a₆, f₆]

Figure 2

mesons are open strings, closed strings necessary for unitarity **→**

L - string length, E = c L, $J = a^2 E^2$

Virasoro-amplitude for $\alpha(0) = 1$ has a pole at s = t = 0with J = 2, a graviton \rightarrow starting point for theory of quantum gravity

> Superstring Theory, Green, Schwarz, Witten (1987) R. Brower hep-th/0508036

Maldacena Conjecture

from the talk by J. Maldacena

<u>Particle theory</u> = <u>gravity theory</u>

Most supersymmetry QCD theory

N . . .

(J.M.)

N colors

N = magnetic flux through S^5

Radius of curvature

$$R_{S^5} = R_{AdS_5} = \left(g_{YM}^2 \ N\right)^{1/4} l_s$$

Duality:

 $g^2 N$ is small \rightarrow perturbation theory is easy – gravity is bad

 $g^2 N$ is large \rightarrow gravity is good – perturbation theory is hard

Strings made with gluons become fundamental strings.

From the talk by J. Maldacena

Most supersymmetric QCD

Supersymmetry

Bosons \longleftrightarrow Fermions

Gluon ← → Gluino

Many supersymmetries

 $\stackrel{B1}{B2} \rightleftharpoons \stackrel{F1}{F2}$

Maximum 4 supersymmetries, N = 4 Super Yang Mills

A_{μ}	Vector boson	spin = 1	
Ψ_{α}	4 fermions (gluinos)	spin = 1/2	
Φ^{I}	6 scalars	spin = 0	SO(6) symmetry
All NxN m	atrices		

Susy might be present in the real world but spontaneously broken at low energies.

We study this case because it is simpler.

but $\beta = 0$, no asymptotic freedom

Ramond

Wess, Zumino

Pomeron and Gauge/String Duality

Brower, Polchinski, Strassler, and Tan, hep-th/0603115

Pomeron is a coherent color-singlet object, build from gluons, with universal properties; it is the object which is exchanged by any pair of hadrons that scatter at high energies. In string theory, it is the object which is exchanged in tree level scattering in the Regge regime, it is not the graviton but the graviton's Regge traj.

$$\omega = 2 - \frac{2}{\pi \sqrt{\alpha_s}}, \text{ for string theory (valid for } \overline{\alpha_s} \gg 1) \text{ in ADS/CFT}$$

in N=4 YM = Most Supersymmetric QCD

Kotikov, Lipatov, Onishchenko, Velizhanin, Physt. Lett. B 632, 754 (2006)



A Possible Pomeron-Graviton connection in the real world

How to combine Regge theory with DGLAP and BFKL ? Lipatov 1986 $xg(x,Q^2) \approx \sum_{n=0} (1/x)^{\Delta_n} c_n(Q^2)$ $\Delta_n = J_n - 1 = \frac{c}{n+\delta}; \qquad 0 < \delta < 1$ $c_n \sim (\log Q^2)^{1/\Delta_n}$ leading intercept $\Delta_0 \ge 0.4$ (no saturation effects)

Lipatov conjecture: quantum properties of the graviton determine the value of the leading Pomeron intercept (leading intercept can be calculated in the gravitational string theory, it cannot be calculated in the perturbative QCD)

measurement of 53'





 $f_{BFKL}(\omega, k_1, k_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \left(\frac{k_1^2}{k_2^2}\right)^{i\nu} \frac{1}{2\pi^2 k_1 k_2} \frac{1}{(\omega - \bar{\alpha_s}\chi(\nu))}$

Green function

$$f_{BFKL}(\omega, k_1, k_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \left(\frac{k_1^2}{k_2^2}\right)^{i\nu} \frac{1}{2\pi^2 k_1 k_2} \frac{1}{(\omega - \bar{\alpha_s}\chi(\nu))}$$

usually approximated by: $\chi(v) = 4 \ln 2 - 14\zeta(3)v^2 + ...$

$$f(\sqrt{s}, \mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}) \sim \frac{1}{\mathbf{k_1 k_2}} s^{4\overline{\alpha_s} \ln(2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln(s)}} \exp\left\{\frac{-\ln^2(\mathbf{k_1}/\mathbf{k_2})}{14\zeta(3)\overline{\alpha_s} \ln(s)}\right\}$$

not used for DAFP

NLO BFKL with running α_s

NLO

$$\omega \equiv \chi(\alpha_s, \nu) = \bar{\alpha}_s (1 - A\bar{\alpha}_s) \chi_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \bar{\alpha}_s B + i\nu + \frac{\omega}{2}\right) + \bar{\alpha}_s^2 \chi_1(\nu).$$
Fadin, Lipatov
G. Salam
resummation

$$\omega = \chi(\alpha_s(k), \nu_\omega(k)).$$
property of χ :
largest ω at $\nu = 0$

largest ω at v=0

Airy functions are solving BFKL eq. around $k \sim k_{crit}$

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\ln(k^2/k_0^2)} + \frac{\beta_0}{2\pi}\frac{\dot{\chi}(\alpha_s(k_{\rm crit}),0)}{\chi''(\alpha_s(k_{\rm crit}),0)}\ln\left(\frac{k^2}{k_0^2}\right)\right]\overline{f_{\omega}}(k) = 0,$$

 $f_{\omega}(k^2) = \frac{\overline{f_{\omega}}(k)}{\sqrt{k^2}},$

NLO BFKL with running α_s

solution away from k_{crit}

$$\overline{f_{\omega}}(k) = e^{\pm i\varphi_{\omega}(k)},$$
$$\varphi_{\omega}(k) = 2 \int_{k}^{k_{\text{crit}}} \frac{dk'}{k'} |\nu_{\omega}(k)|.$$

for all regions:

$$\overline{f_{\omega}}(k) = \sqrt[3]{\varphi_{\omega}(k)} \left[J_{\frac{1}{3}}(\varphi_{\omega}(k)) + J_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\varphi_{\omega}(k)) \right], \quad (k < k_{\text{crit}}),$$
$$= \sqrt{3}\sqrt[3]{\varphi_{\omega}(k)} K_{\frac{1}{3}}(\varphi_{\omega}(k)), \quad (k > k_{\text{crit}}),$$

Matching the salutions at $k=k_{crit}$ determines the phase of oscilations = $\pi/4$

near
$$k \sim k_0$$
 $\overline{f_{\omega}}(k) \sim \sin\left(\frac{\nu_{\omega}(k_0)}{k_0^2}\left(k^2 - k_0^2\right) - \eta\right)$

Lipatov 86 \rightarrow encode the infrared behaviour of QCD by assuming a fixed phase η at k_0

Quantization condition

$$\varphi_{\omega}(k_0) \equiv 2 \int_{k_0}^{k_{\rm crit}} \frac{dk'}{k'} \left| \nu_{\omega}(k) \right| = \left(n - \frac{1}{4} \right) \pi + \eta,$$

 $\omega = \chi \left(\alpha_s(k), \nu_\omega(k) \right).$

solve for a

fixed w

$$\varphi_{\omega}(k_0) \equiv 2 \int_{k_0}^{k_{\text{crit}}} \frac{dk'}{k'} |\nu_{\omega}(k)| = \left(n - \frac{1}{4}\right) \pi + \eta,$$

$$\boldsymbol{k_0} \Rightarrow \quad \overline{f_{\omega}}(k) \sim \sin\left(\frac{\nu_{\omega}(k_0)}{k_0^2} \left(k^2 - k_0^2\right) - \eta\right).$$

just above $k_0 \rightarrow$





 Φ_{DIS} known in QCD

structure function

$$F_2(x,Q^2) = \int_0^Q \frac{dk}{k} \Phi_{\text{DIS}}(Q,k) x g(x,k),$$

unintegrated gluon density

$$xg(x,k) = \sum_{n} \int \frac{dk'}{k'} \Phi_{p}(k') x^{-\omega_{n}} k^{2} f_{\omega_{n}}^{*}(k') f_{\omega_{n}}(k),$$

$$xg(x,k) = \sum_{n} a_n x^{-\omega_n} k^2 f_{\omega_n}(k).$$

$$\Phi_p(k) = \sum_n a_n k^2 f_{\omega_n}(k),$$

 Φ_P barely known

n - pole	χ^2/N_{df}	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4
1-pole	3900/101	0.033	-	-	-
2-pole	303/100	0.026	-0.030	-	-
3-pole	98.7/99	0.041	0.057	0.087	-
4-pole	98.4/98	0.043	0.095	0.16	0.049



Contributions to F₂ of the individual eigenfunctions

good data description due to interferences

→ phase η precisely determined





Sum of contributions with small eigenvalues can give a larger rate of rise than the leading eigenvalue !!!

Where Do BFKL and DGLAP Meet

Lipatov, private communication

Unintegrated BFKL gluon density (LO, no running α_s)

$$xg(x,k^{2}) = \int d\gamma \Phi_{p}(\gamma) \left(\frac{k^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{\gamma} x^{-\alpha \overline{s}_{s}\chi(\gamma)} = \int d\gamma \Phi_{p}(\gamma) \exp(F(\gamma))$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} + i\nu$$
Saddle point
$$(F(\gamma))' = (\gamma \ln(k^{2}/\mu^{2}) + \alpha \overline{s} \ln(1/x)\chi(\gamma))' = 0$$

$$\chi(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma} - 2\zeta(3)\gamma^{2} + \cdots$$

$$\gamma^{2} = \frac{\overline{\alpha} \ln(1/x)}{\ln(k^{2}/\mu^{2})}$$

$$\omega \approx \alpha \overline{s}/\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha \overline{s} \ln(k^{2}/\mu^{2})}{\ln(1/x)}}$$
valid if $\overline{\alpha}(k^{2}) \ln(1/x) \ll 1$,

equal to DLL limit of DGLAP (LO, no running α_s)

Conclusions

It is the first time that the Discrete Assymptotically Free (DAF)-Pomeron, with properties following directly from the first principles of QCD was successfully confronted with data

Gluon density described by the DAF-Pomeron has different (?) properties than the DGLAP one when extrapolated to lower-x and higher Q²

Known problem of DGLAP: negative starting gluon density, lack of proportionality between the sea quarks and gluon distribution

Future: consequences for diffractive vector meson production, t-dependence consequences for low-x, high Q² inclusive and exclusive processes at LHC consequences for saturation physics

Pomeron - Graviton correspondence?

