Cracow-Warsaw Workshop on LHC, Warsaw, 26 March 2010

## WW scattering at the LHC

## theory – Jan Kalinowski simulations – Michał Szleper

K. Doroba, S. Pokorski, J. Rosiek, S. Tkaczyk, JK, MS

Standard Model of GSW provides a very successful description of fundamental interactions up to the electroweak scale

- the only missing piece is the Higgs boson
- Higgs unitarizes the scattering amplitudes of massive gauge bosons with longitudinal polarizations
- Higgs serves as a means to break the electroweak symmetry

and is a source of unwanted theoretical features

Processes with longitudinal gauge bosons  $V_L V_L \rightarrow V_L V_L$ 

pure gauge sector



each diagram ~ 
$$s^2$$

summing: 
$$\mathcal{M}_{gauge} = -\frac{g^2}{4M_W^2} (4 - \frac{3}{\rho})u + \mathcal{O}(s^0)$$
  

$$\rho = M_W^2 / M_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_W$$

in the SM the unitarity is restored by adding a scalar H with proper couplins

J. Kalinowski

- SM the simplest mechanism for the electroweak symmetry breaking
- so far the mechanism of EWSB not tested: Higgs ad hoc
- Higgs mass unstable with respect to radiative corrections
- Goldstone theorem and Higgs mechanism do not require the existence of the elementary scalar

main motivations to go beyond minimal SM

 $V_L V_L \rightarrow V_L V_L$  scattering inextricably connected to EWSB mechanism

Unitarity of the WW scattering can be restored by many means:
\* resonances, composite objects, multiHiggs models, noHiggs, ...
\* at the same time the couplings may be modified

**Lessons from the SM analysis of**  $V_L V_L \rightarrow V_L V_L$ 

two limits are of interest

$$\mathcal{A}(W_L W_L \to W_L W_L) = \begin{cases} \frac{g^2 s}{4m_W^2} & \text{for} \quad m_W^2 \ll s \ll m_H^2 \\ \\ \frac{g^2 m_H^2}{4m_W^2} & \text{for} \quad m_W^2 \ll m_H^2 \ll s \end{cases}$$

the heavier the Higgs (unitarity restored later) the more strongly the WW system interacts

in the SM unitarity requires  $m_H \leq 800 \text{ GeV}$ 

If the unitarity restoration is delayed to some scale M, the rise of the  $\sigma(W_L W_L)$  with energy in the range  $m_W \ll \sqrt{s} \ll M$  should be seen

 $V_L V_L$  scattering on the shopping list of LHC experiments

Not an easy task:

• we do not have W beams



Not an easy task:

- large irreducible background from  $V_L V_T$ ,  $V_T V_T$
- final W decays, no polarisation measurement on event basis
- many sources of reducible background, e.g.  $t\overline{t} \rightarrow WWb\overline{b}$

Many analyses in past decades:

• difficult to select  $V_L V_L \rightarrow V_L V_L$ 

Lee Quigg Thacker '77, Chanowitz, Gaillard 85 Cahn ea, '87, Casalbuoni ea, '85, Gunion ea, '86-89 Dicus, Repko '86, Bagger ea, '93-95, Dobado ea, '95-'99 Butterworth ea, '02-'08, Ballestrero ea, '06-09 Giudice ea, '07, Contino ea, '10, ATLAS and CMS notes, and many many more

 analysed mostly in the context of resonances restoring unitarity: scalars, vectors, elementary, composite etc.



- other scenarios, like non-resonant mechanisms, received less attention
- possible improvements in theoretical understanding of mechanisms of enhancing the flux of V<sub>L</sub>



- intrinsically small angle approximation
- for large WW invariant mass
- off-shell effects should become less relevant
- with delayed unitarity restoration,  $V_L V_L \rightarrow V_L V_L$  should dominate

Anatomy of WW-> WW: for  $m_V^2 \ll s \ll M^2$ 

$$\bigstar \qquad \mathcal{A}_T = \mathcal{A}(V_T V_T \to V_T V_T) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$
$$\mathcal{A}_L = \mathcal{A}(V_L V_L \to V_L V_L) \sim s/m_V^2$$

since  $\mathcal{A}_T$  has Coulomb poles in forward direction, we expect  $\frac{\sigma_{LL}(Q^2)}{\sigma_{TT}(Q^2)} \sim \frac{s Q^2}{m_W^4}$ where  $m_W^2 \ll Q^2 \ll s$  cuts out forward region



cross section weighted with the WW luminosity

AWN ~ MW

probability of radiating W  $\mathcal{M}^{L} \sim \overline{u}(q') \mathcal{X}^{L} u(q) \mathcal{E}^{L}_{m}(k)$ i = L, Tprobability  $P' \sim \int \frac{dk^2}{(k^2 - m_w^2)^2} \left| \mathcal{M}' \right|$ 

 $k^{2} = (q - q')^{2} \sim -EE' Q'^{2}$ for transverse W like in QCD:  $\sum M^{2} \sim Q^{2}$ 1 = TMLIN 1 for longitudinal W:

in the small angle approximation:



→ longitudinal W collimated into a smaller forward angle than transverse

so tagging forward jets with relatively small p\_T should enhance the content of W\_L in data sample



Gunion, Tofighi-Niaki, JK: 1986

Need to include full set of diagrams  $qq \rightarrow qqWW$ 



separately diagrams are not gauge invariant

Diagrams	MadGraph	CompHEP	CompHEP
		Feynman gauge	Unitary gauge
With WW scattering	12.29 +- 0.014 pb	0.0282 +- 0.7% pb	10.98 +- 0.2% pb
Non-scattering	12.36 +- 0.02 pb	0.132 +- 0.8% pb	11.01 +- 0.3% pb
Total	0.170 +- 0.001 pb	0.170 +- 0.4% pb	0.169 +- 1.1% pb

Conclusions and open questions:

- full set of diagrams is needed
- experimental analysis very difficult
- with the start up of LHC renewed interest
- to what extend the early LHC phase can provide answers
- concentrate on non-resonant scenarios
- investigate means of improving the flux of longitudinal V

Work started, preliminary results encouraging

as will be demonstared with simulations in the following