

## Cracow groups in LHCb Software contribution



M. Witek



## Main contributions of Cracow groups

- Simulation of OT
- Fast reconstruction of long tracks Velo-T
- Trigger optimization and development
  - Layout of TT
  - Fast Velo-TT reconstruction
  - Trigger development of ECAL Alley
- Reconstruction of primary vertices (PV)
  - Online 2D,3D, off-beam (speed)
  - Offline PV (precision)
- Measurements of CP violation in:
  - B decays to pair of vector mesons (e.g.  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ )
  - $B_s \rightarrow \eta_c \phi, B_s \rightarrow \chi_c \phi, B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \eta, B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f_0$
  - $B \rightarrow DK, B \rightarrow D^*a1$
  - CP violation in baryon decays



## **Evolution of LHCb detector**

- Trigger (and whole detector) has been designed using simulation with some assumptions on the detector technology.
- The prototyping led to realistic technology.
  - Material budget before calorimeters  $X0(\lambda_i)$  increased from 40% (10%) to 60% (20%).
  - Increased occupancies
  - Deteriorated capability to detect  $e^{\pm}$  and  $\gamma$ . Loss K, $\pi$  due to secondary interactions
  - Too long execution time for trigger algorithms



# Trigger after reoptimization (L0-L1-HLT) @ 2003

- L1 New approach @ 2003
  - Add a bit of momentum information to combine IP & PT already at L1
  - Removal of shielding plates to bring a bit of B field into the Trigger Tracker (TT) area.
  - Execution time within time budget ~1 ms ( 8 ms @  $2003 \rightarrow 1$  ms @ 2008)





## Trigger Overview @ 2009

- Hardware Trigger (Level-0 or L0)
  - "high pt" calorimeter & muon objects
  - rejects busy events
- Software Trigger (High Level Trigger)
  - HLT first level:
    - trigger on B decay products
  - HLT second level:
    - trigger fully reconstructed B decays

10 years of development









 $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-, B_s \rightarrow J/\psi(ee)\phi$ 

Latest strategy of trigger is to confirm L0 by HLT1. Good control of trigger biases (corectable using control channels). SPD/PS HC Magnet T3PT>3 GeV: single electron decision L0 electron T21. T confirmation 2. Velo - Calo and T matching *'ertex* 3. IP > 0.15 mm (2D and 3D) 5. e+e- vertex Second electron 4. Velo 3D 6. Forward reco 7. Calo confirmation **Fast reco** -5m

PT>1 GeV: di-electron decision



## HLT1 – photon line

L0 photon –  $\pi^0$  merged removal



 $B_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma, B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ 

Broader cluster in ECAL

Input variables:

- Shape
- Tails/Core
- Asymmetry
- Kappa



### Vertex reconstruction in vacuum

- Silicon strip detectors with r-phi geometry for fast online track reconstruction
- 2 halves, 21 stations, 7mm from beam, with 250µm
  Al foil between detector and beam vacuum
- ► Impact parameter resolution:  $\sigma_{IP} = 14 \mu m + 35 \mu m / pt [GeV/c]$



- Dedicated geometry for fast online reconstruction
  - Rz and R $\phi$  projections. Fast Rz reconstruction (tracks are straight lines: R = az+b)
  - But no B field no momentum



- The quality of PV reconstruction is essential for trigger. In first phase of HLT1 a 2D PV is reconstructed and used to reject events with all tracks compatible with PV.
- 2D PV (x,y,z) is reconstructed with RZ VELO tracks. Only half of information is available wrt full 3D tracks.
- The exact  $\phi$  is not known (only sector  $\pi/4),$  hence the 3D 2D track transformation is approximate.

dx

• In case of VELO misalignment the shift of 2D PV position is hard to correct.





Entries

Mean

RMS

 $\gamma^2$  / ndf

Constant

Mean

Sigma

201

0.008492

0.02038

14 69 / 10

45.51 + 4.64

 $0.008844 \pm 0.001257$ 

 $0.01636 \pm 0.00118$ 





PV x - 9  $\mu$ m bias

0.25 -0.2 -0.15 -0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25





- $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ 
  - Vector-Vector decay angular analysis needed to estimate  $\phi_{\text{s}}$  phase.
- $B_s \rightarrow \eta_c \phi, B_s \rightarrow \chi_c \phi, B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \eta, B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f_0$ 
  - Vector-Scalar decays
  - Final state is CP eigenstate
  - Valuable supplementary measurement of  $\phi_{\text{s}}$
- $B \rightarrow D^*a1$ 
  - Relatively large BF  $\sim 10^{-4}$
  - Supplementary to  $B \rightarrow D^* \pi$
- **CP** violation for beauty baryons  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda D^0$
- $B \rightarrow DK$  to be resumed.

•

## $B_s \rightarrow \chi_c \phi$ decay - not observed yet

$$\mathcal{A_{CP}}(t) = \frac{-\eta_{\chi_{c0}\phi}\sin(\phi_s)\sin(\Delta M_s t)}{\cosh(\frac{\Delta\Gamma_s t}{2}) - \eta_{\chi_{c0}\phi}\cos(\phi_{CKM})\sinh(\frac{\Delta\Gamma_s t}{2})}$$



 $B^0_{s}$  $\widetilde{K}^{-}\phi$  $\eta_c - \phi$ vertex Primary vertex  $K^+$  $\pi$  $\eta_c$ tau res  $\pi^{-}$ 1664 ± 14.9 Constant -0.01944 - 0.00026 Mann 0.03584 ± 0.00019 Sigma



- Preselection
  - PID,  $p_T$ , impact parameter,  $\chi 2$  of secondary vertex, flight distance ...
- Mutivariate analysys —
  - TMVA on selected parameters





#### Preliminary results:

• Yearly yield ~2000 of  $B_s \rightarrow \chi_c \phi$  decays @ S/B > 4



## CP breaking for beauty baryons

- $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda D^0$  is interesting
  - First observation of CP breaking for baryons
  - No need for b-tagging nor time dependent analysis.
- Study of S/B showed that extraction of this decay is very difficult in LHCb environment
  - Low BF ~10<sup>-7</sup>, b fragmentation to baryons factor
  - Long lifetime of  $\Lambda$  (most decays outside VELO)
  - Weak discrimination of  $\Lambda$  coming from PV
- Yearly yield ~100 events (Λ decay inside VELO) at small S/B ratio.
- **Conclusion:** very challenging channel, no good prospects.



 $\begin{array}{l} \Lambda_{b} \rightarrow \Lambda D^{0} \\ \Lambda_{b} \rightarrow \Lambda D^{0}{}^{\sim} \\ \Lambda_{b} \rightarrow \Lambda D^{0}{}_{CP} \end{array} + CC$ 

- I. Dunietz, Z. Phys. C 56 129 (1992)
- A. K. Giri, R. Mohata, M. P. Khanna, hep-ph/0112220 (2002)



## Summary

- Cracow groups participated in exiting period of design, reoptimization and development of LHCb.
- Now ready to even more exitintg work with experimental data.