

## Complex, $U(1)$ symmetric, scalar field

$$L = \partial_{\mu} \varphi^* \partial^{\mu} \varphi - \underbrace{V(|\varphi|)}_{= m^2 \varphi^* \varphi + \lambda (\varphi^* \varphi)^2}$$

$$E-L: \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_i} - \partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi_i)} = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} (\square + m^2) \varphi = 0 \\ (\square + m^2) \varphi^* = 0 \end{cases} \text{ for } \lambda = 0$$

$L$  is invariant under a global  $U(1)$  transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &\rightarrow \varphi'(x) = e^{i\alpha} \varphi(x), \quad \alpha - \text{c-number} \\ \varphi^*(x) &\rightarrow \varphi'^*(x) = e^{-i\alpha} \varphi^*(x) \end{aligned}$$

## Electrodynamics

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \quad F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}$$

$$E-L \text{ equations} \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_i} - \partial_{\lambda} \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_{\lambda} \phi_i)} = 0 \quad \phi_i \leftrightarrow A_{\beta}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_{\lambda} A_{\beta})} &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum^{\mu\sigma} \sum^{\nu\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial (\partial_{\lambda} A_{\beta})} (F_{\sigma\lambda} F_{\mu\nu}) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum^{\mu\sigma} \sum^{\nu\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial (\partial_{\lambda} A_{\beta})} (\partial_{\sigma} A_{\lambda} - \partial_{\lambda} A_{\sigma}) (\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum^{\mu\sigma} \sum^{\nu\lambda} \left[ (\delta_{\sigma}^{\lambda} \delta_{\mu}^{\beta} - \delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} \delta_{\sigma}^{\beta}) F_{\nu\mu} + F_{\sigma\lambda} (\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} \delta_{\nu}^{\beta} - \delta_{\nu}^{\lambda} \delta_{\mu}^{\beta}) \right] = \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \left[ (\gamma^{\mu\alpha} \gamma^{\nu\beta} - \gamma^{\mu\beta} \gamma^{\nu\alpha}) F_{\mu\nu} + F_{\sigma\lambda} (\gamma^{\mu\sigma} \gamma^{\nu\lambda} - \gamma^{\mu\lambda} \gamma^{\nu\sigma}) \right] =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} (F^{\alpha\beta} - F^{\beta\alpha} + F^{\alpha\beta} - F^{\beta\alpha}) = -F^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$E-L: \quad \partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (*)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \Rightarrow \text{the Bianchi identity: } \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \partial_\beta F_{\gamma\delta} = 0 \quad (**)$$

$$\vec{E} = (E^1, E^2, E^3) \quad \vec{B} = (B^1, B^2, B^3)$$

$$F^{12} = -B^3 \quad F^{23} = -B^1 \quad F^{31} = -B^2$$

$$F^{01} = -E^1 \quad F^{02} = -E^2 \quad F^{03} = -E^3$$

$$(*) \quad \beta = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0$$

$$\beta = i \Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \partial_t \vec{E}$$

$$(**) \quad \alpha = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$

$$\alpha = i \Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\partial_t \vec{B}$$

Gauge symmetry:  $F_{\mu\nu}$  invariant under  $A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \alpha(x)$

$\Downarrow$  (local symmetry:  $\alpha = \alpha(x)$ )

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow F'_{\mu\nu}(x) = F_{\mu\nu}(x)$$

Scalar electrodynamics:  $U(1)$  gauge theory

$$\rho = \partial_\mu \omega^* \partial^\mu \omega \quad m^2 (\omega^* \omega - \lambda (\omega^* \omega)^2) \quad | \mathcal{L} = F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$L = \partial_\mu \varphi^* \partial^\mu \varphi - \underbrace{m^2 \varphi^* \varphi - \lambda (\varphi^* \varphi)^2}_{-v(|\varphi|)} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

- globally symmetric (invariant)      invariant under local transformations

$$\varphi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \varphi(x)$$

- vary under local transformations

$$\varphi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} \varphi(x)$$

↓

$$\partial_\mu \varphi \rightarrow \partial_\mu (e^{i\alpha} \varphi) = i(\partial_\mu \alpha) e^{i\alpha} \varphi + e^{i\alpha} \partial_\mu \varphi = e^{i\alpha} (\partial_\mu + i\partial_\mu \alpha) \varphi$$

Question: How to construct a theory for  $\varphi$  and  $A_\mu$  that would be locally symmetric?

hint: to modify  $\partial_\mu \varphi$  so that  $D_\mu \varphi = \partial_\mu \varphi - iX_\mu \varphi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} D_\mu \varphi$

$$\partial_\mu \varphi - iX_\mu \varphi \rightarrow \partial_\mu \varphi' - iX'_\mu \varphi' = e^{i\alpha} (\partial_\mu \varphi - iX_\mu \varphi)$$

$$\cancel{\partial_\mu} (\partial_\mu \varphi + i\partial_\mu \alpha \varphi) - iX'_\mu \cancel{\varphi} = \cancel{\partial_\mu} (\partial_\mu \varphi - iX_\mu \varphi)$$

$$i(\partial_\mu \alpha) \varphi - iX'_\mu \varphi = -iX_\mu \varphi$$

$$X'_\mu = X_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha$$

gauge transformation of electrodynamics!

$$L = (D_\mu \varphi)^* D^\mu \varphi - v(|\varphi|) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = (D_\mu \varphi)^\dagger D^\mu \varphi - V(|\varphi|) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$D_\mu \varphi \equiv (\partial_\mu - i A_\mu) \varphi$$

$$\text{symmetry: } \varphi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} \varphi, \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha$$

## Fundamentals of Lie group theory

group  $G$  is a set of elements  $(a, b, c, \dots)$  with a multiplication law:

- i) closure:  $a, b \in G \Rightarrow ab \in G$
- ii) associative:  $a(bc) = (ab)c$
- iii) identity:  $ea = ae = a$  for any  $a \in G$
- iv) inverse:  $a\bar{a} = \bar{a}a = e$  for any  $a \in G$

if  $ab = ba$  for all  $a, b \in G$ , the group is called Abelian

if the number of elements in  $G$  is finite, the group is a finite group

Given any two groups  $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$   $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots\}$  then, if  $g_i$ 's commute with  $h_j$ 's one can define a direct-product group  $G \times H = \{g_i h_j\}$  with a multiplication law

$$g_i h_j \cdot g_m h_n = g_i g_m \cdot h_j h_n$$

eg.  $SU(2) \times U(1)$

A Lie group is a group whose elements  $g$  depend in a continuous and differentiable way on a set of real parameters  $t_a$ ,  $a = 1 \dots n$

A Lie group is a group whose elements  $g$  depend in a continuous and differentiable way on a set of real parameters  $\alpha_a$ ,  $a=1 \dots n$

A representation is a specific realization of the multiplication of the group elements by matrices

$$g \rightarrow D(g) \quad \text{such that if } g \cdot h = k \text{ then } D(g) \cdot D(h) = D(k)$$

convention:  $g(\alpha) \Big|_{\alpha=0} = e \rightarrow D(g(\alpha)) \Big|_{\alpha=0} = 11$

$$D(d\alpha) = 11 + i d\alpha_a T_a + \dots$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$T_a \equiv -i \frac{\partial D(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_a} \Big|_{\alpha=0}$

- group generators

it could be shown that a generic group element  $g(\alpha)$  can always be represented

$$D[g(\alpha)] = e^{i \alpha_a X_a}$$

$SU(n)$  : group of  $n \times n$  unitary matrices with  $\det \omega = 1$

$$(\omega \omega^\dagger = \omega^\dagger \omega = 1)$$

$$\omega = e^{iH} \quad \text{for } H^\dagger = H \quad (\text{unitarity})$$

$$\det(e^A) = e^{\text{Tr} A} \Rightarrow \text{Tr} H = 0$$

Question: How many  $n \times n$  traceless and Hermitian matrices do exist?

number of real parameters:  $n \times n \rightarrow 2n^2 \rightarrow n^2 \rightarrow n^2 - 1$

$$H = H^\dagger \quad \text{Tr} H = 0$$

$$H_{ij} = H_{ji}^* \quad \frac{n^2 - n}{2} \cdot 2 + n = n^2$$

$$H_{ji} = H_{ij}^*$$

$$\omega = e^{i \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \epsilon_a T_a}$$

$\epsilon_a$  - real parameters

$T_a$  - generators

Example:  $SU(2)$

$$\omega = e^{i \epsilon_a \frac{\sigma_a}{2}}$$

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

the Pauli matrices

$$\text{Tr} \sigma_a = 0$$

$$T_a = \frac{\sigma_a}{2}$$

$$[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k$$

$$1 \times 0 = 0$$

$$1 = \frac{-}{2}$$

$$[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2i \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k$$

$$[T_i, T_j] = i \varepsilon_{ijk} T_k$$

$$\{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} = 2\delta_{ij} \mathbb{1} \Rightarrow \sigma_i^2 = \mathbb{1}$$

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \frac{1}{2} \{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} + \frac{1}{2} [\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = \delta_{ij} \mathbb{1} + i \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k$$

$$(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\sigma})(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) = a_i b_j \sigma_i \sigma_j = a_i b_j (\delta_{ij} \mathbb{1} + i \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k) = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \mathbb{1} + i(\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) \cdot \vec{\sigma}$$

Problem

Shows that  $e^{i \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} = \mathbb{1} \cos a + i(\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \sin a$  for  $\vec{a} = a \hat{n}$ ,  $|\hat{n}| = 1$

$$e^{i \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} = e^{i a \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^n (a \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (a \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^{2n}}{(2n)!} + i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (a \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} =$$

$$(\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^{2n} = [(\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^2]^n = [\mathbb{1}]^n = \mathbb{1}$$

$$(\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^{2n+1} = \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$$

$$\mathbb{1} \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n a^{2n}}{(2n)!}}_{\cos a} + i \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n a^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}}_{\sin a} = \mathbb{1} \cos a + i \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \sin a \quad \square$$

# Yang-Mills theories : non-Abelian generalisations of electrodynamics

i) global

$$\varphi_i(x) \rightarrow \varphi_i'(x) = \omega_{ij} \varphi_j(x) \quad \omega \in \text{SU}(N) : \det \omega = 1 \quad \omega^\dagger \omega = 11$$

$$\varphi_i^\dagger \varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi_i'^\dagger \varphi_i' = \omega_{ij}^\dagger \varphi_j^\dagger \omega_{ik} \varphi_k = \varphi_j^\dagger \varphi_k \underbrace{\omega_{ij}^\dagger \omega_{ik}}_{(\omega^\dagger \omega)_{jk} = \delta_{jk}} = \varphi_j^\dagger \varphi_j$$

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_N \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \varphi^\dagger \partial^\mu \varphi - m^2 \varphi^\dagger \varphi - \lambda (\varphi^\dagger \varphi)^2$$

Question : what are the consequences of the symmetry?   
 • equal masses   
 • equal couplings

ii) local

$$\varphi_i(x) \rightarrow \varphi_i'(x) = \omega_{ij}(x) \varphi_j(x) \quad \text{e.g. } \omega(x) \in \text{SU}(N)$$

$$\partial_\mu \varphi \rightarrow \partial_\mu \varphi'(x) = \partial_\mu (\omega \varphi) = \underbrace{(\partial_\mu \omega)}_{\Downarrow} \varphi + \omega (\partial_\mu \varphi) \quad (*)$$

$$\text{non-invariance } \left[ \omega (\partial_\mu \varphi) \right]^\dagger \omega (\partial^\mu \varphi) = \partial_\mu \varphi^\dagger \underbrace{\omega^\dagger \omega}_{\Downarrow} \partial^\mu \varphi$$

Following ED we introduce a covariant derivative and require that it transforms the same way as  $\varphi$

$$\partial_\mu \varphi \rightarrow (\partial_\mu \varphi)'$$



and require that it transforms the same way as  $\varphi$

$$D_\mu \varphi \rightarrow (D_\mu \varphi)' = \omega (D_\mu \varphi)$$

$$D_\mu \varphi = (\partial_\mu - i A_\mu) \varphi$$

$$\partial_\mu \varphi' - i A'_\mu \varphi' = \omega (\partial_\mu \varphi - i A_\mu \varphi)$$

$$(\partial_\mu \omega) \varphi + \omega (\cancel{\partial_\mu \varphi}) - i A'_\mu \omega \varphi = \omega (\cancel{\partial_\mu \varphi}) - i \omega A_\mu \varphi \quad \text{for any } \varphi$$

$$\partial_\mu \omega - i A'_\mu \omega = -i \omega A_\mu \quad | \omega^\dagger$$

$$i) \quad (\partial_\mu \omega) \omega^\dagger - i A'_\mu \omega^\dagger = -i \omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger$$

$$A'_\mu = \omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger - i (\partial_\mu \omega) \omega^\dagger = \omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger + i \omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger$$

Question: How does it look like for  $\omega \in U(1)$ ?

$$\omega = e^{i\alpha} \quad A'_\mu = A_\mu - i i \partial_\mu \alpha = A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha \quad \text{as it should.}$$

For Lie groups, in the vicinity of identity

$$\omega(x) \approx 1 + \epsilon(x) \approx 1 + i \theta_a T_a$$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{generators (algebra)} \\ \text{parameters (real)} \end{array} \right.$

Let's consider an infinitesimal transformation, then

$$\omega^\dagger = \omega^{-1} = 1 - \epsilon(x)$$

For instance for  $\omega \in SU(2)$

$$i) \quad \omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger = (1 + \epsilon) \partial_\mu (1 - \epsilon) = -\partial_\mu \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2) \Rightarrow \omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$$

For instance for  $\omega \in \text{SU}(2)$

$$i) \quad \omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger = (1+\epsilon) \partial_\mu \epsilon = -\partial_\mu \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger \in \text{ASU}(2)$$

$$ii) \quad \text{assume that } A_\mu \in \text{ASU}(2), \text{ then } \omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger = (1+\epsilon) A_\mu (1-\epsilon) = \\ = A_\mu + [\epsilon, A_\mu] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

### Problem

Construct kinetic term for non-Abelian gauge theories, an analog of  $-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$  for E-D.

• for global transformations :  $A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu = \omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger$

$$\underbrace{(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)}_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \omega (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) \omega^\dagger$$

- note that this is not invariant as in E-D

-  $(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu)$  could work

• however for local transformations  $A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu = \omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger + i \omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger$ ,

$$(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) \text{ does not transform simply}$$

• we need new  $F_{\mu\nu}$  such that  $F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow F'_{\mu\nu} = \omega F_{\mu\nu} \omega^\dagger$

⇓

then  $\text{Tr} \{ F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \}$  is invariant

• let's try

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i[A_\mu, A_\nu]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu &\rightarrow \partial_\mu (\omega A_\nu \omega^\dagger + i\omega \partial_\nu \omega^\dagger) - \partial_\nu (\omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger + i\omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger) = \\
&= \partial_\mu \omega A_\nu \omega^\dagger + \cancel{\omega \partial_\mu A_\nu \omega^\dagger} + \omega A_\nu \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger + i \partial_\mu \omega \partial_\nu \omega^\dagger + i \omega \cancel{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \omega^\dagger} + \\
&\quad - \partial_\nu \omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger - \cancel{\omega \partial_\nu A_\mu \omega^\dagger} - \omega A_\mu \partial_\nu \omega^\dagger - i \partial_\nu \omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger - i \omega \cancel{\partial_\nu \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger} = \\
&\quad \boxed{\omega (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) \omega^\dagger}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-i[A_\mu, A_\nu] &= -i(A_\mu A_\nu - A_\nu A_\mu) \rightarrow i(\omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger + i\omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger)(\omega A_\nu \omega^\dagger + i\omega \partial_\nu \omega^\dagger) + \\
&\quad + i(\omega A_\nu \omega^\dagger + i\omega \partial_\nu \omega^\dagger)(\omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger + i\omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\boxed{i\omega (A_\mu A_\nu - A_\nu A_\mu) \omega^\dagger} + \omega A_\mu \partial_\nu \omega^\dagger + \overbrace{\omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger \omega A_\nu \omega^\dagger}^{-\partial_\mu \omega} + \overbrace{\omega \partial_\nu \omega^\dagger \omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger}^{-\partial_\nu \omega} + \\
&\quad - \omega A_\nu \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger - \underbrace{\omega \partial_\nu \omega^\dagger \omega A_\mu \omega^\dagger}_{-\partial_\nu \omega} - \underbrace{i\omega \partial_\nu \omega^\dagger \omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger}_{-\partial_\nu i\omega}
\end{aligned}$$

$$0 = \partial_\mu (\omega \omega^\dagger) = \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger \omega + \omega^\dagger \partial_\mu \omega \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega \partial_\mu \omega^\dagger \omega = -\partial_\mu \omega$$

⇓

$$F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu}' = \omega (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i[A_\mu, A_\nu]) \omega^\dagger = \omega F_{\mu\nu} \omega^\dagger$$

Alternative derivation

$$D_\mu \psi = (\partial_\mu - iA_\mu) \psi$$

$$D_\mu \psi \rightarrow \omega D_\mu \psi$$

Note that

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = [(\partial_\mu - iA_\mu), (\partial_\nu - iA_\nu)] = -i[\partial_\mu, A_\nu] - i[A_\mu, \partial_\nu] - [A_\mu, A_\nu] =$$

$$[\partial_\mu, A_\nu] \psi = \partial_\mu (A_\nu \psi) - A_\nu \partial_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu A_\nu \psi + A_\nu \cancel{\partial_\mu} \psi - A_\nu \cancel{\partial_\mu} \psi \quad [\partial_\mu, A_\nu] = \partial_\mu A_\nu$$

$$[A_\mu, \partial_\nu] \psi = A_\mu \partial_\nu \psi - \partial_\nu (A_\mu \psi) = A_\mu \cancel{\partial_\nu} \psi - \partial_\nu A_\mu \psi - A_\mu \cancel{\partial_\nu} \psi \quad [A_\mu, \partial_\nu] = -\partial_\nu A_\mu$$

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = -i \partial_\mu A_\nu - i (\partial_\nu A_\mu) - [A_\mu, A_\nu] = -i (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i [A_\mu, A_\nu]) = -i F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = i [D_\mu, D_\nu]$$

$$D_\mu \psi \rightarrow D'_\mu \psi' = D'_\mu \omega \psi = \omega D_\mu \psi \quad \text{for any } \psi$$

$\Downarrow$

$$D_\mu \rightarrow D'_\mu = \omega D_\mu \omega^\dagger$$

$\Downarrow$

$$\text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(\omega F_{\mu\nu} \omega^\dagger \omega F^{\mu\nu} \omega^\dagger) = \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) \quad \Leftarrow \quad F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow i [D'_\mu, D'_\nu] = i \omega [D_\mu, D_\nu] \omega^\dagger = \omega F_{\mu\nu} \omega^\dagger$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{kin} \propto \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\psi \rightarrow \omega \psi = e^{ig \theta^a T_a} \psi$$

$\omega$  is a matrix in a given representation  
 $T_a$  - group generators

$$D_\mu \psi = (\partial_\mu - iA_\mu) \psi$$

$$\text{Tr}(T_a, T_b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab} \quad \text{in fundamental representation}$$

$$A_\mu = g A_\mu^a T_a$$

$g$ -gauge coupling (normalization of  $A_\mu^a$ )

$$F_{\mu\nu} = g \left( \partial_\mu A_\nu^a T_a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a T_a \right) - i g^2 \underbrace{A_\mu^a A_\nu^b [T_a, T_b]}_{i f_{abc} T_c} = g \underbrace{\left( \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g A_\mu^c A_\nu^b f_{abc} \right)}_{F_{\mu\nu}^a} T_c$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = g F_{\mu\nu}^a T_a$$

$$\text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) = g^2 F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu b} \underbrace{\text{Tr}(T_a T_b)}_{\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}} = \frac{g^2}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a}$$

↓

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} = \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a}$$

Example:  $SU(2)$

fundamental:  $T_a = \frac{\tau_a}{2}$ ,  $[\frac{\tau_a}{2}, \frac{\tau_b}{2}] = i \epsilon_{abc} \frac{\tau_c}{2}$ ,  $\text{Tr}(\frac{\tau_a}{2}, \frac{\tau_b}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}$

$$A_\mu = g A_\mu^a \frac{\tau_a}{2}$$

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - i A_\mu = \partial_\mu - i g A_\mu^a \frac{\tau_a}{2}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\tau_a}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{\tau_a}{2} \left( \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g \epsilon_{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \right)$$

The gauge invariant scalar-vector theory with scalars in the fundamental reprs.

$$\mathcal{L} = (D_\mu \varphi)^\dagger (D^\mu \varphi) + \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} (F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu})$$

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad D_\mu \varphi = \left( \partial_\mu - ig A_\mu^a \frac{\tau_a}{2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge (Yang-Mills) theories with fermions

Following Dirac, we are looking for linear (since the goal was to construct a relativistically covariant generalization of the Schrödinger equation

$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \hat{H} \psi$  which is linear in  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ) in derivatives, such that its solutions satisfy the Klein-Gordon equation;  $(\square + m^2) \psi = 0$ .

We therefore postulate

$$(i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu + m) \psi = 0$$

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = 0$$

↑ undefined coefficients

↑ undefined coefficients

$$(-\cancel{\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu} \partial_\nu \partial_\mu - m i \cancel{\gamma^\nu} \partial_\nu + m i \cancel{\gamma^\mu} \partial_\mu - m^2) \psi = 0$$

$$\leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \{\gamma^\nu, \gamma^\mu\} + \frac{1}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$$

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2} \{\gamma^\nu, \gamma^\mu\} \partial_\nu \partial_\mu - m^2\right) \psi = 0$$

In order to reproduce the Klein-Gordon equation we require  $\{\gamma^\nu, \gamma^\mu\} = 2\eta^{\nu\mu}$

the Clifford algebra

1.  $\gamma^\mu$  must be matrices

2.  $\psi$  must have several components

It is possible to prove that for a space-time of dimension  $D$   
the dimension of matrices satisfying  $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$  equals  $2^{\lfloor \frac{D}{2} \rfloor}$

$\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the integer part of  $x$

e.g.  $\lfloor \frac{4}{2} \rfloor = 2$ ,  $\lfloor \frac{5}{2} \rfloor = 2$



In 4-dimensional space time  $\gamma^\mu$  are  $4 \times 4$  matrices

$$\gamma^0 \quad | \quad -i\gamma^0 \partial_0 \psi = (-i\gamma^i \partial_i + m) \psi$$

$$\gamma^{0^2} = 1$$

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} 1$$

$$0 \quad 1 \quad \dots \quad \sigma_0 \quad \gamma = (-i\gamma^0 \partial_t + m) \psi$$

$$0 = \dots \quad \gamma^0, 0 \quad \gamma = \gamma^0 \quad \dots$$

$$i\partial_0 \psi = \left( \underbrace{-i\gamma^0 \gamma^i}_{\alpha_i} \partial_i + \underbrace{m\gamma^0}_{\beta} \right) \psi \iff i\partial_\pm \psi = \hat{H} \psi \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_i^+ = \alpha_i \\ \beta^+ = \beta \end{array} \right.$$

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \gamma^{i+} = -\gamma^i \\ \gamma^{0+} = \gamma^0 \end{array}}$$

$$\iff \begin{array}{l} \gamma^{i+} \gamma^{0+} = \gamma^0 \gamma^i \\ \gamma^{0+} = \gamma^0 \end{array}$$



The standard representation of Dirac matrices  $\gamma^\mu$

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \tilde{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma^\mu := (1, \sigma^i)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^\mu := (1, -\sigma^i)$$

, where  $\sigma^i$  the Pauli matrices

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boxed{(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = 0}$$

the Dirac equation

$$S_D = \int d^4x \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi \iff \delta S_D = 0 \text{ for } (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = 0$$

$$\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma_0$$

• invariance under

$$\psi \rightarrow \omega \psi \quad \text{such that } \omega \omega^\dagger = 1 \text{ and } \omega \text{ const.}$$

• local invariance :

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu := \partial_\mu - iA_\mu \quad \text{covariant derivative}$$



• local invariance :

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu := \partial_\mu - iA_\mu \quad \text{covariant derivative}$$

Example : Dirac equation for  $SU(2)$  and fundamental (dim 2) representation

$$\left\{ \bar{c}(\gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} \underbrace{\left[ \delta_{ij} \partial_\mu - ig \frac{(t^a)_{ij}}{2} A_\mu^a \right]}_{(D_\mu)_{ij}} - m \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij} \right\} \psi_{j\beta}(x) = 0$$

Lorentz

$$\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

spinor

$$\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

$SU(2)$

$$\bar{i}, j = 1, 2$$

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{11} \\ \psi_{12} \\ \psi_{13} \\ \psi_{14} \\ \psi_{21} \\ \psi_{22} \\ \psi_{23} \\ \psi_{24} \end{bmatrix}$$