### Metal-Insulator Transitions in the Falicov-Kimball model with Disorder

Krzysztof Byczuk

Institute of Physics, Augsburg University

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Warsaw University

June 29th, 2005





#### Main goal:

- Interaction  $\leftrightarrow$  Mott Hubbard MIT
- Disorder ↔ Anderson MIT

#### Interaction and disorder compete with each other stabilizing the metallic phase against the occurring one of the insulators



#### **Collaboration and discussions:**

- Walter Hofstetter Aachen, Germany
- Romek Lemański Wrocław, Poland
- Dieter Vollhardt Augsburg, Germany

Phys. Rev. Lett.**94**, 056404 (2005); cond-mat/0403765 Physica B **359-361**, 651 (2005); cond-mat/0502257 Phys. Rev. B **71**, 205105 (2005); cond-mat/0412590

### Plan of the talk:

- 1. Introduction
  - Falicov-Kimball model
  - Description of Mott Hubbard localization DMFT
  - Description of Anderson localization
  - Arithmetic vs. geometric means
- 2. FK model with disorder phase diagram and MITs in details
- 3. Conclusions and outlook

#### Introduction: Falicov-Kimball model and MIT

#### Falicov-Kimball model



poor brother/sister of Hubbard model

 $n_c$  and  $n_f$  independently fixed (canonical approach)

 $n_c + n_f$  fixed (grant canonical approach)

- mobile particles on a lattice
- localized particles on a lattice
- local interaction

$$H = \sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \epsilon_f \sum_i f_i^{\dagger} f_i + U \sum_i f_i^{\dagger} f_i c_i^{\dagger} c_i$$

#### Interest in Falicov-Kimball model

- 1969 L.M. Falicov and J.C. Kimball introduced this model to study MITs in mixed valance compounds of rare earth and transition metal oxides (localized f-electrons interacting with itinerant d-electrons).
- ordering phenomena in mixed valance systems, binary alloys, magnets, ...
- approximate solution to Hubbard model.
- 1986 T. Kennedy and E. Lieb reinterpreted FK model as a primitive model for matter to study crystallization: For *n<sub>e</sub>* = *n<sub>f</sub>* = 1/2 and a bipartite lattice there exists a long-range order (crystal) at low temperatures and for any coupling *U* and dimension *d* ≥ 2. At higher temperatures the LRO is absent (fluid).
- LRO, phase separation, stripes, ...
- 2005 C. Ates and K. Ziegler used FK model to study mixtures of cold atoms.
- DMFT exact solution in d = ∞ limit: U. Brandt et al.,
   P.G.J van Dongen and D. Vollhardt, V. Janiš, J.K. Freericks et al.,
   A.M. Shvaika, and many others.
- ... many extentions: spins (CMR, DMS), phonons (Holstein), correlated hopping, ..., disorder (K. Byczuk).

#### Mott MIT in Falicov-Kimball model

- f-particles appear as like disorder scatterers (with an annealed averaging).
- No Fermi liquid property of FK model if  $n_f \neq 0$  or 1.
- Pseudo-gap regime.
- For  $n_e = n_f = 0.5$  and  $U = U_c \sim W$  continuous Mott like MIT.
- Correlation gap opened.



van Dongen and Lainung 1997, DMFT, Bethe, no CDW, U=0.5-3.0

Note: Falicov-Kimball (CT) like MIT is when  $n_e(T) + n_f(T) = \text{const.}$ 

Introduction: disorder systems and Anderson localization

#### Waves in random system

propagation of waves in a randomly inhomogeneous medium

random conservative linear wave equation



$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} = c(x)^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}$$

$$irac{\partial w}{\partial t} = -rac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + 
u(x)w$$

diffusive motion, memory of  $\vec{V}(0)$  lost, "random walk" over long distances, friction imposed by averaging

#### **Anderson localization**

(/o` Ο 

propagation of waves in a randomly inhomogeneous medium

random conservative linear wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} = c(x)^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}$$

$$i\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \nu(x)w$$

$$\Psi_{k(E)}(r) \sim \sum_{i} \sin(kr + \delta_i)$$

Anderson 1958: (no averaging) – strong scattering forms "standing" waves, sloshing back and forth in a bounded region of space

Localization is a destruction of coherent superposition of spatially separated states

#### **Anderson model**

$$H = \sum_{i\sigma} \epsilon_i n_{i\sigma} + \sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma}$$

Probability distribution function

$$\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \Theta\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} - |\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i|\right)$$



#### Anderson MIT - cont.

Returning probability  $P_{j \to j}(t \to \infty; V \to \infty)$  ?



 $P_{j \rightarrow j}(t \rightarrow \infty; V \rightarrow \infty) = 0$  for extended states

 $P_{j \to j}(t \to \infty; V \to \infty) > 0$  for localized states



#### **Characterization of Anderson localization**



#### Anderson MIT - cont.

Near Anderson localization typical LDOS is approximated by geometrical mean



 $\rho_{typ}(E) \approx \rho_{geom}(E) = e^{\langle \ln \rho_i(E) \rangle}$ 

Theorem (F.Wegner 1981):

$$\rho(E)_{av} = \langle \rho_i(E) \rangle > 0$$

within a band for any finite  $\Delta$ 

Schubert et al. cond-mat/0309015

Main part: FK model with disorder, our results

#### Falicov-Kimball model with disorder

Motivation: easier to solve, no high-tech numerics (QMC, NRG, ED), details on mobility and band edges

Our model (only mobile particles subjected to the structural disorder):

$$H = \sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \sum_i \epsilon_i c_i^{\dagger} c_i + U \sum_i c_i^{\dagger} c_i f_i^{\dagger} f_i$$

 $\epsilon_i$  - random quantity with box PDF

## DMFT for Falicov-Kimball model with disorder

$$G_{ii}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega + \mu - \epsilon_i - \eta(\omega) - \Sigma(\omega, \epsilon_i)} \equiv G(\omega, \epsilon_i)$$
$$\Sigma(\omega, \epsilon_i) = wU + \frac{w(1 - w)U^2}{\omega + \mu - \epsilon_i - (1 - w)U - \eta(\omega)}$$
$$\langle f_i^{\dagger} f_i \rangle = n_f = w$$

$$A(\omega, \epsilon_i) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} G(\omega, \epsilon_i)$$
$$A_{\text{geom}}(\omega) = \exp \left[ \langle \ln A(\omega, \epsilon_i) \rangle_{\text{dis}} \right]$$
$$A_{\text{arith}}(\omega) = \langle A(\omega, \epsilon_i) \rangle_{\text{dis}}$$
$$G(\omega) = \int d\omega' \frac{A_{\alpha}(\omega')}{\omega - \omega'}$$

On the Bethe lattice, no LRO

$$\eta(\omega) = W^2 G(\omega) / 16$$

Here:  $n_e=1/2$ ,  $n_f=w=1/2$ ,  $\mu=U/2$ , W=1

#### **Criteria for Anderson and Mott MIT**

- $A_{
  m geom}(\omega)>0$  and  $A_{
  m arith}(\omega)>0$  extended gapless states at  $\omega$
- $A_{
  m geom}(\omega)=0$  and  $A_{
  m arith}(\omega)=0$  correlation or band gap at  $\omega$
- $A_{
  m geom}(\omega)=0$  and  $A_{
  m arith}(\omega)>0$  localized gapless states at  $\omega$

#### **Spectral phase diagrams**

weak coupling 0 < U < W/2

medium coupling  $W/2 < U \lesssim 1.36W$ 

strong coupling  $1.36W \lesssim U$ 



# Spectral phase diagrams - weak coupling 0 < U < W/2







# Spectral phase diagrams - medium coupling $W/2 < U \lesssim 1.36W$

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Spectral phase diagrams - strong coupling $1.36W \lesssim U$

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### States in a band center

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### NFL

reentrant MIT continuity between insulators metallicity stabilized

### Linearized DMFT

Geometric average

$$1 = \frac{W^2}{16} \exp\left[I_{\text{geom}}(U, \Delta)\right]$$

where

$$I_{\text{geom}}(U,\Delta) = 2 + \left[\left(\frac{U}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)^2\right] - 2\ln\left[\left(\frac{U}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)^2\right] + \frac{2U}{\Delta}\left[\arctan\left(\frac{\Delta}{U}\right) - \ln\left|\frac{\Delta+U}{\Delta-U}\right|\right]$$

Arithmetic average

$$1 = \frac{W^2}{16} I_{\text{arith}}(U, \Delta)$$

where

$$I_{\text{arith}}(U,\Delta) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{U}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)^2}$$

#### **Conclusions and outlook:**

#### Interaction and disorder compete with each other stabilizing the metallic phase against the occurring one of the insulators

- Geometrical means used to study Anderson MIT in correlated electron system within DMFT
- Complete phase diagrams
- Nonmonotonic behavior of  $\Delta_c(U)$  at Anderson MIT
- Two insulators connected continously
- Certain similarity/differences between Hubbard and FK models

Further projects: AF, CDW phases and Anderson localization in Hubbard and FK models

![](_page_24_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_9.jpeg)