Equations of hypergeometric type in the degenerate case
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Abstract
We consider the three most important equations of hypergeometric type, \( \,_{2}F_{1}, \,_{1}F_{1} \) and \( \,_{1}F_{0} \), in the so-called degenerate case. In this case one of the parameters, usually denoted \( c \), is an integer and the standard basis of solutions consists of a hypergeometric-type function and a function with a logarithmic singularity. This article is devoted to a thorough analysis of the latter solution to all three equations.

1 Introduction

The paper is devoted to three equations of hypergeometric type:

\begin{align*}
\text{the } \,_{0}F_{1} \text{ equation} & \quad (z\partial_{z}^{2} + c\partial_{z} - 1)f(z) = 0, \\
\text{the } \,_{1}F_{1} \text{ or the confluent equation} & \quad (z\partial_{z}^{2} + (c - z)\partial_{z} - a)f(z) = 0, \\
\text{the } \,_{2}F_{1} \text{ or the hypergeometric equation} & \quad (z(1 - z)\partial_{z}^{2} + (c - (a + b + 1)z)\partial_{z} - ab)f(z) = 0.
\end{align*}

∗The financial support of the National Science Center, Poland, under the grant UMO-2014/15/B/ST1/00126, is gratefully acknowledged.
They are probably the most important exactly solvable differential equations of mathematical physics. (Perhaps the $_0F_1$ equation is not so well known—by a simple transformation it is however equivalent to the much better known Bessel equation).

The theory of these equations is quite different depending on whether the parameter $c$ is an integer or not. For integer $c$ there exist additional identities satisfied by solutions, and therefore it is more difficult to construct all solutions. One of them is analytic at 0, but the remaining solutions have a logarithmic singularity. The case of integer $c$ will be called *degenerate*.

In our paper we would like to discuss systematically the equations (1), (2) and (3) in the degenerate case. In particular, we will introduce and analyze new special functions $D(1+m; z)$, $D(a; 1+m; z)$, and $D(a, b; 1+m; z)$ useful in describing solutions of these equations in this case.

Let us remark that the degenerate case of (1), (2) and (3), even though it is in some sense exceptional, often appears in applications. For instance, the Bessel equation with integer parameters corresponds to the degenerate case of the $F_1$ equation.

Separation of variables in the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^d$ leads to the Bessel equation. If $d$ is odd, one obtains the Bessel equation with half-integer parameters—this corresponds to the non-degenerate case. However if $d$ is even, then one obtains integer parameters—which is the degenerate case. This is related to the fact that the resolvent of the Laplacian has a logarithmic singularity in even dimensions. When studying the wave equation one observes a similar phenomenon: the so-called Hadamard solutions and the Feynman propagator have a logarithmic singularity in even dimensions, e.g. in the dimension 4 of our space-time (see eg. Appendix E of [6]).

In the remaining part of the introduction we will give a short resume of the results of our paper. Unlike in the rest of the paper, we will discuss in parallel the three equations (1), (2) and (3). In the rest of the paper there will be separate sections devoted to each of these three equations. Another difference between the introduction and the rest of the paper is the choice of parameters. In the introduction we use the traditional parameters $a, b, c$. In the remaining part of the paper, instead of $a, b, c$ we will use the parameters

\[
\alpha := c - 1, \quad \theta := -c + 2a; \quad (4)
\]
\[
\alpha := c - 1, \quad \beta := a + b - c, \quad \mu := a - b. \quad (5)
\]

These parameters, used in [4] and called there *Lie-algebraic*, are more convenient if we want to express symmetries of hypergeometric type equations. In the degenerate case, the parameter $\alpha$ will be usually called $m$. 

2
1.1 Resumé of constructions and results of the paper

Let us start with introducing the linear operators

\[ \mathcal{F}(c) := z \partial_z^2 + c \partial_z - 1, \]  
(7)

\[ \mathcal{F}(a; c) := z \partial_z^2 + (c - z) \partial_z - a, \]  
(8)

\[ \mathcal{F}(a, b; c) := z(1 - z) \partial_z^2 + (c - (a + b + 1)z) \partial_z - ab. \]  
(9)

Solving the equations (1), (2), resp. (3) means finding the nullspace of (7), (8), resp. (9).

If \( c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots \), then the only solution of these equations \( \sim 1 \) at 0 is

the \( 0F_1 \) function

\[ F(c; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \]  
![](image)

(10)

the \( 1F_1 \) or the confluent function

\[ F(a; c; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \]  
![](image)

(11)

the \( 2F_1 \) or the hypergeometric function

\[ F(a, b; c; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \]  
![](image)

(12)

Often, it is more convenient to normalize differently these functions:

\[ F(c; z) := \frac{F(c; z)}{\Gamma(c)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(c + n)} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \]  
![](image)

(13)

\[ F(a; c; z) := \frac{F(a; c; z)}{\Gamma(c)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{\Gamma(c + n)} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \]  
![](image)

(14)

\[ F(a, b; c; z) := \frac{F(a, b; c; z)}{\Gamma(c)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{\Gamma(c + n)} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \]  
![](image)

(15)

so that they are defined for all \( c \).

It is easy to check that the equations (7), (8) and (9) have another solution

\[ z^{1 - c} F(2 - c; z), \]  
(16)

\[ z^{1 - c} F(a + 1 - c; 2 - c; z), \]  
(17)

\[ z^{1 - c} F(b + 1 - c, a + 1 - c; 2 - c; z). \]  
(18)
However, for integer $c$, these two solutions are proportional to one another. In fact, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

\[ F(1 + m; z) = \sum_{n=\max(0, -m)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!(m+n)!} z^n, \quad (19) \]

\[ F(a; 1 + m; z) = \sum_{n=\max(0, -m)}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{n!(m+n)!} z^n, \quad (20) \]

\[ F(a, b; 1 + m; z) = \sum_{n=\max(0, -m)}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{n!(m+n)!} z^n. \quad (21) \]

This easily implies the following identities for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$:

\[ F(1 + m; z) = z^{-m} F(1 - m; z), \quad (22) \]

\[ (a - m)_m F(a; 1 + m; z) = z^{-m} F(a - m; 1 - m; z), \quad (23) \]

\[ (a - m)_m (b - m)_m F(a, b; 1 + m; z) = z^{-m} F(a - m, b - m; 1 - m; z). \quad (24) \]

Thus, if $c$ is an integer, the pairs of functions (13), (16); (14), (17); (15), (18) do not span the whole solution space. This is the reason why this case is called degenerate. As we see from the above identities, when discussing the degenerate case it is convenient to replace $c$ with $1 + m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The pairs of functions (13), (16); (14), (17); (15), (18) are solutions with a power-like behavior at 0. The equations (1), (2) and (3) possess also other distinguished solutions. In particular, it is natural to introduce the following solutions, which have a simple behavior at infinity:

\[ U(c, z) := e^{-z^{2/5} z^{-2} + 1/4} F\left(c - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; -; -\frac{1}{4z^2}\right), \quad (25) \]

\[ U(a; c; z) := z^{-a} F\left(a, a + 1 - c; -; -\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad (26) \]

\[ U(a, b; c; z) := (-z)^{-a} F\left(a, a - c + 1; a - b + 1; \frac{1}{z}\right). \quad (27) \]

(25) is closely related to the MacDonald function, see (77). (26) is sometimes called Tricomi’s function. (27) is one of elements of the so-called Kummer’s table, which gives a list of standard solutions to the hypergeometric equation.

Both in (25) and (26) we use the $2F_0$ function, which is perhaps less known. Note that it is not analytic at zero—it has a branch point there. It satisfies

\[ F(a, b; -; z) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{n!} z^n \quad (28) \]

in sectors $|\arg(z)| > \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. For its definition and basic properties the reader can consult e.g. [4].

Now the (25), (26), resp. (27) are additional solutions of the equations (1), (2), resp. (3) typically not proportional to (13), (14), resp. (15). They can be used in the degenerate case to obtain the full spaces of solutions.
In our paper we also analyze a different method of solving the equations (1), (2) and (3) in the degenerate case. This method is based on the observation that all solutions not proportional to (13), (14) and (15) have a logarithmic singularity. It is natural to look for solutions of the equations (7), (8) and (9) in the form

\[ \log z F(1 + m; z) + D(1 + m; z), \] \hspace{1cm} (29)

\[ \log z F(a; 1 + m; z) + D(a; 1 + m; z), \] \hspace{1cm} (30)

\[ \log(-z) F(a, b; 1 + m; z) + D(a, b; 1 + m; z). \] \hspace{1cm} (31)

Note that we use the so-called principal branch of the logarithm, so that the domain of \( \log z \) is \( \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \). Consequently, the domain of \( \log(-z) \) is \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty] \). Solutions of the \( 2F_1 \) equation usually have a branch point at 1, therefore it is more convenient in this case to replace \( \log z \) with \( \log(-z) \).

The functions \( D(1 + m; z) \), \( D(a; 1 + m; z) \), resp. \( D(a, b; 1 + m; z) \) solve the inhomogeneous equation

\[ F(1 + m)D(1 + m; z) = -\frac{m}{z} F(1 + m; z) \]

\[ - 2\partial_z F(1 + m; z), \] \hspace{1cm} (32)

\[ F(a; 1 + m)D(a; 1 + m; z) = \left( 1 - \frac{m}{z} \right) F(a; 1 + m; z) \]

\[ - 2\partial_z F(a; 1 + m; z), \] \hspace{1cm} (33)

\[ F(a, b; 1 + m)D(a, b; 1 + m; z) = \left( a + b - \frac{m}{z} \right) F(a, b; 1 + m; z) \]

\[ + 2(z - 1) \partial_z F(a, b; 1 + m; z). \] \hspace{1cm} (34)

We will show that these equations have solutions meromorphic around 0. This does not fix them completely, because one can always add a multiple of (13), (14), resp. (15). There exist however canonical solutions, which we introduce in our paper.
Using the digamma function $\psi$ (see (195)), for $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ we define

$$\mathbf{D}(1 + m; z) := \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{(m-k)!} z^{-k}$$

$$- \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \psi(k + 1) + \psi(k + 1 + m) \right) \frac{1}{k!(m+k)!} z^{k},$$

$$\mathbf{D}(a; 1 + m; z) := \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)! (a)_{k}}{(m-k)!} z^{-k}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \psi(a + k) - \psi(k + 1) - \psi(k + 1 + m) \right) \frac{(a)_{k}}{(m+k)! k!} z^{k},$$

$$\mathbf{D}(a, b; 1 + m; z) := \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)! (a)_{k} (b)_{k}}{(m-k)!} z^{-k}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \psi(a + k) + \psi(1 - b - k) - \psi(k + 1) - \psi(m + 1 + k) \right) \frac{(a)_{k}(b)_{k}}{(m+k)! k!} z^{k}.$$ (37)

We extend these definitions to negative integers by setting

$$\mathbf{D}(1 - m; z) := z^{m} \mathbf{D}(1 + m; z),$$

$$\mathbf{D}(a; 1 - m; z) := z^{m} \mathbf{D}(a; 1 + m; z),$$

$$\mathbf{D}(a, b; 1 - m; z) := z^{m} \mathbf{D}(a, b; 1 + m; z).$$ (40)

The functions $\mathbf{D}(1 + m; z)$, $\mathbf{D}(a; 1 + m; z)$, resp. $\mathbf{D}(a, b; 1 + m; z)$ are solutions of (32), (33), resp. (34). Therefore, (29), (30), resp. (31) are solutions of (1), (2) and (3).

We prove that with the definitions (35), (36), resp. (37), the functions (29), (30), resp. (31) are proportional to the special solutions (25), (26), resp. (27):

$$U(1 + m; z) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1} \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(a - m)} \left( \log z \cdot \mathbf{F}(1 + m; z) + \mathbf{D}(1 + m; z) \right),$$

$$U(a; 1 + m; z) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\Gamma(a - m)} \left( \log z \cdot \mathbf{F}(a; 1 + m; z) + \mathbf{D}(a; 1 + m; z) \right),$$

$$U(a, b; 1 + m; z) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\Gamma(1 - b) \Gamma(a - m)}$$

$$\times \left( \log(-z) \cdot \mathbf{F}(a, b; 1 + m; z) + \mathbf{D}(a, b; 1 + m; z) \right).$$ (44)

The special functions $\mathbf{D}(1 + m; z)$, $\mathbf{D}(a; 1 + m; z)$, and $\mathbf{D}(a, b; 1 + m; z)$ satisfy various identities, which we derive in our paper. In particular, we compute recurrence relations satisfied by these functions. We show that they are very similar to the usual recurrence relations for functions $\mathbf{F}(1 + m; \cdot)$, $\mathbf{F}(a; 1 + m; \cdot)$ and $\mathbf{F}(a, b; 1 + m; \cdot)$, up to terms proportional to $\mathbf{F}(1 + m; \cdot)$, $\mathbf{F}(a; 1 + m; \cdot)$ and $\mathbf{F}(a, b; 1 + m; \cdot)$ themselves. We also derive quadratic relations, which involve quadratic transformations of the independent variable and doubling of parameters.
1.2 Bibliographical remarks

(1), (2) and (3) or equivalent equations have been studied by mathematicians for more than two centuries. Therefore, the material of our paper can be traced back to many classic papers and books, such as the textbook of Whittaker and Watson [12].

[12] contains in particular a detailed analysis of the Bessel equation, closely related to the \(_0\text{F}_1\) equation. In particular that the function \(U(1 + m; z)\) is closely related to the MacDonald function \(K_m(z)\), whose degenerate case is analyzed in Sect. 17.71 of [12]. A more complete study of the Bessel equation can be found in [11].

The \(_1\text{F}_1\) equation is essentially equivalent to the Whittaker equation, which is the subject of a treatise by Buchholz [3]. \(U(a; 1 + m; z)\) is the well-known Tricomi's function—see Equation 2.25a in [3] for the closely-related Whittaker function. Buchholz analyzes its degenerate case in Sect. 2.5. He introduces a function equivalent to our \(D(a; 1 + m; z)\), denoting it \(M_{\frac{a}{2}, \frac{m}{2}}(z)\).

Another treatise devoted to the \(_1\text{F}_1\) equation was written by Slater [7]. Its section 1.5 contains a discussion of the degenerate case—see in particular equation 1.5.24, equivalent to our (42). As Slater remarks, this equation was first stated incorrectly in the literature: negative powers was missing in the formula for \(U(a; 1 + m; z)\) in [2]. The correct formula was given 20 years later in [1].

The Legendre and the associated Legendre equation are the most important degenerate cases of the \(_2\text{F}_1\) equation. They appear e.g. in the harmonic analysis on the sphere. They were studied e.g. in Chap. XV of [12] or in [8]. The Legendre function of the second kind, as well as the associated Legendre function of the second kind, discussed in Sec. 15.3 of [12], are closely related to \(U(a, b; 1 + m; z)\).

Among the more recent references, let us mention [9], and especially Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, [10]. In Equation 15.10.8 of [10], one can find the function that we call \(U(a, b; 1 + m; z)\). The so-called associated Legendre functions of the second kind can be found in 15.9.16–23 of [10].

In our opinion, in the literature the degenerate case of (1), (2) and (3) is usually treated in a rather ad hoc way. We think that this subject deserves a more systematic treatment. To this end we introduce the functions \(D(1 + m; z)\), \(D(a; 1 + m; z)\), and \(D(a, b; 1 + m; z)\) and derive their various properties. Most of these properties (e.g. recurrence relations and quadratic relations) seem to be new.

1.3 Notation

We will often deal with multivalued analytic functions such as \(z^a\) and \(\log(z)\). The standard form of these functions, called the principal branch has the domain \(\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]\). We can sometimes ”rotate” these functions. For instance,
$(−z)^α$ or $\log(−z)$ have the domain $\mathbb{C}\setminus[0,\infty]$. Note the relations

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha & = e^{i\pi\alpha}(-z)^{\pm\alpha}, \quad \pm\text{Im } z > 0; \\
\log(-z) & = \log(z) \pm i\pi, \quad \pm\text{Im } z > 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(45) (46)

2 The $0F_1$ equation

2.1 The $0F_1$ function

In this section we discuss the $0F_1$ equation, which is defined by the operator

\[ F_α := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + (α + 1)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - 1. \]

It annihilates the $0F_1$ function $F_α(z) = F(α + 1; z)$. We will mostly use its normalized version (13):

\[
F_α(z) := \frac{F_α(z)}{Γ(α + 1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{Γ(α + 1 + n)} \frac{z^n}{n!}.
\]

Another solution is

\[
z^{-α}F_{-α}(z).
\]

2.2 Solution with a simple behavior at infinity

It is natural to introduce another solution

\[
U_α(z) := e^{-2\sqrt{z}}z^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}F\left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} + α; -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{z}\right)}.
\]

We have a connection formula

\[
U_α(z) = \frac{\sqrt{π}}{\sin π(-α)}F_α(z) + \frac{\sqrt{π}}{\sin πα}z^{-α}F_{-α}(z),
\]

and a discrete symmetry

\[
U_α(z) = z^{-α}U_{-α}(z).
\]

2.3 Degenerate case

If $α = m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

\[
\begin{align*}
F_m(z) &= \sum_{k=\max{0,-m}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+m)!} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \\
\end{align*}
\]

(48)

Hence

\[
F_m(z) = z^{-m}F_{-m}(z),
\]

so that $F_m$ and $z^{-m}F_{-m}$ are no longer linearly independent.
Assume first that \( m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). We look for another function annihilated by the operator \( F_m \) which has the form

\[
\log z \cdot F_m(z) + D_m(z),
\]

where \( D_m(\cdot) \) is a function meromorphic around zero. Note that we have some freedom in the choice of \( D_m(\cdot) \)—we may add to it any multiple of \( F_m(\cdot) \), i.e. the solution of the homogeneous problem.

The equation

\[
(z \partial_z^2 + (m + 1) \partial_z - 1) (\log z \cdot F_m(z) + D_m(z)) = 0
\]

leads to an inhomogeneous equation for \( D_m(\cdot) \):

\[
(z \partial_z^2 + (m + 1) \partial_z - 1) D_m(z) = -\frac{m}{z} F_m(z) - 2F'_m(z).
\]

Suppose \( D_m(z) = \sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} d_n z^n \) for some \( N \) (whose value will we find). Equation (52) reads then

\[
\sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} d_n ((n+m)nz^{n-1} - z^n) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m+2n}{(m+n)!} z^{n-1},
\]

which means that

\[
d_{-N} N(N-m) z^{-N-1} + \sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} (d_{n+1}(n+1)(n+m+1) - d_n) z^n = -\frac{1}{(m-1)!}z - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m+2n+2}{(m+n+1)!(n+1)!} z^n.
\]

For this equality to be true, the coefficients \( d_n \) with negative \( n \) have to fulfil

\[
d_{-1} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!},
\]

\[
d_{-k} = -(k-1)(m+1-k)d_{-k+1} \text{ for } k = 2, 3, \ldots
\]

This recurrence can be easily solved. For \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \) it gives

\[
d_{-k} = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{(m-k)!},
\]

where the factorial is understood in the sense of the \( \Gamma \) function, if needed.

This shows us that \( N = m \) (because \( d_{-k} = 0 \) for \( k > m \)).

For \( n = 0, 1 \ldots \) we have the recursion formula

\[
d_{n+1} = \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+m+1)} \left( d_n - \frac{m+2n+2}{(m+n+1)!(n+1)!} \right).
\]

It is solved by

\[
d_n = -\frac{1}{n!(m+n)!} (H_n + H_n(m) + C),
\]
where $C \in \mathbb{C}$ and $H_n$ and $H_n(m)$ are defined in (184) and (183). The choice of $C$ corresponds to adding a multiple of $F_m(z)$. The formula (58) can be proved by a simple induction argument.

We define the function $D_m(z)$ for $m = 0, 1, \ldots$ by

$$D_m(z) := \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{(m-k)!} z^{k} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(k+1) + \psi(k + m + 1)}{k!(m + k)!} z^{k}. \quad (59)$$

hence we choose

$$C := 2\gamma - H_m, \quad (60)$$

where $\gamma$ is Euler’s constant (see (198)). We also set

$$D_{-m}(z) = z^m D(z). \quad (61)$$

Thus we defined $D_m(z)$ for all integer $m$. For $m$ positive, it has a pole at zero of order $m$, for $m$ negative or zero it is analytic.

A close connection exists between $D_m$ and $U_m$ function:

**Theorem 1.** For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$U_m(z) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \log z \cdot F_m(z) + D_m(z) \right). \quad (62)$$

**Proof.** By (49) we can apply the de l’Hospital rule. As a preparation, we compute

$$\partial_\alpha F_\alpha(z) = -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(\alpha + j + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + j + 1)j!} z^j. \quad (63)$$

$$\partial_\alpha F_\alpha(z) \bigg|_{\alpha = m} = -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(m + j + 1)}{(m + j)!j!} z^j, \quad (64)$$

$$\partial_\alpha F_\alpha(z) \bigg|_{\alpha = -m} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^{-m+j+1}(-m + j + 1)!z^j}{j!} \bigg|_{\alpha = -m}$$

$$- \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(-m + j + 1)}{(-m + j)!j!} z^j \quad (65)$$

$$= z^m \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}(k-1)!z^{-k}}{(m-k)!} \quad (66)$$

$$- z^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(k + 1)}{k!(k + m)!} \frac{z^k}{k!(k + m)!}. \quad (67)$$
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Now we can write
\[
U_m(z) = -\lim_{\alpha \to m} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sin \pi \alpha} (F_\alpha(z) - z^{-\alpha} F_{-\alpha}(z))
\]
(69)
\[
= (-1)^{m+1} \sqrt{\pi} \left( \partial_\alpha F_\alpha(z) \bigg|_{\alpha=m} + z^{-m} \partial_\alpha F_\alpha(z) \bigg|_{\alpha=-m} + \log z \cdot F_m(z) \right)
\]
(70)
\[
= (-1)^{m+1} \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{(m-k)!} z^{-k}
\]
(71)
\[
- \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(k+1) + \psi(k+m+1)}{k!(m+k)!} z^{k}
\]
(72)
\[
+ \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \log z \cdot F_m(z).
\]
(73)

2.4 Recurrence relations

The function $F_\alpha$ satisfies the recurrence relations
\[
\partial_\alpha F_\alpha(z) = F_{\alpha+1}(z),
\]
\[
(z\partial_z + \alpha) F_\alpha(z) = F_{\alpha-1}(z).
\]

The recurrence relations for $(\log z F_m + D_m)$ are the same as for $F_m$. They lead to the following recurrence relations for $D_m$:
\[
\partial_\alpha D_m(z) = D_{m+1}(z) - \frac{F_m(z)}{z},
\]
\[
(z\partial_z + m) D_m(z) = D_{m-1}(z) - F_m(z).
\]
(74)

They imply the contiguity relation
\[
D_m(z) = \frac{1}{m} (D_{m-1}(z) - z D_{m+1}(z)).
\]
(75)

2.5 Bessel equation and modified Bessel equation

The functions $F_m$ and $D_m$ are closely related to the well-known solutions of modified Bessel equation:

• to the modified Bessel function
\[
I_m(z) = (\frac{z}{2})^m F_m\left(\frac{z^2}{4}\right); \quad (76)
\]

• to the MacDonald function (the modified Bessel function of the second kind)
\[
K_m(z) = (-1)^{m+1} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^m \left( \log \left(\frac{z^2}{4}\right) F_m\left(\frac{z^2}{4}\right) + D_m\left(\frac{z^2}{4}\right) \right). \quad (77)
\]
Similarly, the functions $F_m$ and $D_m$ are also closely related to respective solutions of Bessel equation, namely

- to the Bessel function
  \[ J_m(z) = \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^m F_m \left( -\frac{z^2}{4} \right); \quad (78) \]

- to the Hankel functions of the first and the second type, respectively
  \[
  H^{(1)}_m(z) = -\frac{i}{\pi} \left( e^{\frac{i\pi}{2} z^2} \right)^m \left( \log \left( e^{\frac{i\pi}{2} z^2} \right) F_m \left( e^{\frac{i\pi}{4} z^2} \right) + D_m \left( e^{\frac{i\pi}{4} z^2} \right) \right),
  \]
  \[
  H^{(2)}_m(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2} z^2} \right)^m \left( \log \left( e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2} z^2} \right) F_m \left( e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4} z^2} \right) + D_m \left( e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4} z^2} \right) \right). \quad (79)
  \]

3 The $1F_1$ equation

3.1 The $1F_1$ function

In the parameters $\theta, \alpha$ introduced in (5), the $1F_1$ operator (8) becomes

\[ F_{\theta,\alpha} = z \partial_z^2 + (1 + \alpha - z) \partial_z - \frac{1}{2} (1 + \theta + \alpha), \]

It annihilates the $1F_1$ function $F_{\theta,\alpha}(z) = F\left( \frac{1+\alpha+\theta}{2}; \alpha+1; z \right)$. We will mostly use its normalized version (14):

\[ F_{\theta,\alpha}(z) := \frac{F_{\theta,\alpha}(z)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha+\theta)_n}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)n!} z^n. \quad (80) \]

There is also another solution

\[ z^{-\alpha} F_{\theta,-\alpha}(z). \quad (81) \]

3.2 Tricomi’s function

One can also introduce a solution of the confluent equation with a simple behavior at infinity. It is sometimes called Tricomi’s function

\[ U_{\theta,\alpha}(z) := z^{-1-\frac{\theta+\alpha}{2}} F\left( \frac{1 - \alpha + \theta}{2}, \frac{1 + \alpha + \theta}{2}; -; -z^{-1} \right). \quad (82) \]

We have a connection formula

\[ U_{\theta,\alpha}(z) = \frac{\pi F_{\theta,\alpha}(z)}{\sin \pi(\alpha) \Gamma(\frac{1+\theta-\alpha}{2})} + \frac{\pi z^{-\alpha} F_{\theta,-\alpha}(z)}{\sin \pi \alpha \Gamma(\frac{1+\theta+\alpha}{2})}, \]

and a discrete symmetry

\[ U_{\theta,-\alpha}(z) = z^{\alpha} U_{\theta,\alpha}(z). \quad (83) \]
### 3.3 Degenerate case

If $\alpha = m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$F_{\theta,m}(z) = \sum_{n=\max\{0,-m\}}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{\theta+m+1}{2}\right)_m}{n!(m+n)!} z^n.$$  

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{\theta-m+1}{2}\right)_m F_{\theta,m}(z) = z^{-m} F_{\theta,-m}(z), \quad (84)$$

so that $F_{\theta,m}$ and $z^{-m} F_{\theta,-m}$ are no longer linearly independent.

We will look for another solution of the form

$$\log z \cdot F_{\theta,m}(z) + D_{\theta,m}(z), \quad (85)$$

where $D_{\theta,m}(\cdot)$ is a meromorphic function around zero. Note that again we have some freedom in the choice of $D_{\theta,m}(\cdot)$—we may add to it any multiple of $F_{\theta,m}(\cdot)$.

The equation

$$\left(z \partial_z^2 + (1 + \alpha - z) \partial_z - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \theta + \alpha)\right)(\log z \cdot F_{\theta,m}(z) + D_{\theta,m}(z)) = 0 \quad (86)$$

leads to an inhomogeneous equation

$$\left(z \partial_z^2 + (1 + \alpha - z) \partial_z - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \theta + \alpha)\right)D_{\theta,m}(z) = \left(1 - \frac{m}{z}\right) F_{\theta,m}(z) - 2 \partial_z F_{\theta,m}(z). \quad (87)$$

Suppose again that $D_{\theta,m} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n z^n$. We obtain a recurrence relation

$$d_{-1} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!(-\frac{1+m+\theta}{2})},$$

$$d_{-k} = -\frac{(k-1)(m+1-k)}{(1+m+\theta - k)} d_{-k+1} \quad \text{for} \ k = 2,3,\ldots,$$

$$d_{k+1} = \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+m+1)} \left(\left(k + \frac{1+m+\theta}{2}\right) d_k + \frac{(1+m+\theta)_k}{(m+k+1)!(k+1)!} \left((k+1)(m+k+1) - \left(1 + \frac{m+\theta}{2}\right)(m+2k+2)\right)\right) \quad \text{for} \ k = 0,1,2\ldots$$

These recursion relations are solved by

$$d_{-k} = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!\left(1 + \frac{m+\theta}{2}\right)}{(m-k)!} \quad \text{for} \ k = 1,2\ldots$$

$$d_k = \frac{(1+m+\theta)_k}{(m+k)!} \left(\psi\left(\frac{1+m+\theta}{2} + k\right) - H_k - H_k(m) + C\right) \quad \text{for} \ k = 0,1,2,\ldots,m,$$
where $C$ is arbitrary. To define $D_{\theta,m}$, we choose again
\[ \dot{C} := 2\gamma - H_m, \tag{89} \]
which leads to
\[
D_{\theta,m}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+z)^k}{(m+k)!} \left( \psi\left(\frac{1+m}{2} + k\right) - \psi(k + 1) - \psi(k + m + 1) \right) z^k
+ \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!((1+m)^k - 1)}{(m-k)!} z^{m-k}. \tag{90} \]
For $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, we set
\[ D_{\theta,-m}(z) := z^m D_{\theta,m}(z). \tag{91} \]
The $D_{\theta,m}$ function is closely related to Tricomi’s function:

**Theorem 2.** For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,
\[ U_{\theta,m}(z) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-m+\theta}{2}\right)} \left( \log z \cdot F_{\theta,m}(z) + D_{\theta,m}(z) \right). \tag{92} \]

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 and is omitted.

### 3.4 Recurrence relations

The function $F_{\theta,\alpha}$ fulfills the following recurrence relations:
\[
\partial_{z} F_{\theta,\alpha}(z) = \frac{1+\alpha+\theta}{2} F_{\theta+1,\alpha+1}(z),
\]
\[(\partial_{z} - 1) F_{\theta,\alpha}(z) = \frac{-1-\alpha+\theta}{2} F_{\theta-1,\alpha+1}(z),
\]
\[(z\partial_{z} + \alpha - z) F_{\theta,\alpha}(z) = F_{\theta-1,\alpha-1}(z),
\]
\[(z\partial_{z} + \alpha) F_{\theta,\alpha}(z) = F_{\theta+1,\alpha-1}(z),
\]
\[(z\partial_{z} + \frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha + \theta)) F_{\theta,\alpha}(z) = \frac{1+\alpha+\theta}{2} F_{\theta+2,\alpha}(z),
\]
\[(z\partial_{z} + \frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha - \theta - z)) F_{\theta,\alpha}(z) = \frac{1+\alpha-\theta}{2} F_{\theta-2,\alpha}(z).
\]

The recurrence relations for $\log(z)F_{\theta,m} + D_{\theta,m}$ are the same as for $F_{\theta,m}$. They lead to the recurrence relations for $D_{\theta,m}$:
\[
\partial_{z} D_{\theta,m}(z) = \frac{1+\theta+m}{2} D_{\theta+1,m+1}(z) - \frac{F_{\theta,m}(z)}{z},
\]
\[(\partial_{z} - 1) D_{\theta,m}(z) = \frac{-1+\theta-m}{2} D_{\theta-1,m+1}(z) - \frac{F_{\theta,m}(z)}{z},
\]
\[(z\partial_{z} + m - z) D_{\theta,m}(z) = D_{\theta-1,m-1}(z) - F_{\theta,m}(z),
\]
\[(z\partial_{z} + m) D_{\theta,m}(z) = D_{\theta+1,m-1}(z) - F_{\theta,m}(z),
\]
\[(z\partial_{z} + \frac{1}{2}(1+m + \theta)) D_{\theta,m}(z) = \frac{1+m+\theta}{2} D_{\theta+2,m}(z) - F_{\theta,m}(z),
\]
\[(z\partial_{z} + \frac{1}{2}(1+m - \theta) - z) D_{\theta,m}(z) = \frac{1+m-\theta}{2} D_{\theta-2,m}(z) - F_{\theta,m}(z).
\]
They imply contiguous relations

\[
D_{\theta, m}(z) = \frac{1 + m + \theta}{2} D_{\theta + 1, m + 1}(z) + \frac{1 + m - \theta}{2} D_{\theta - 1, m + 1}(z),
\]
\[
zD_{\theta, m}(z) = D_{\theta + 1, m - 1}(z) - D_{\theta - 1, m - 1}(z),
\]
\[
(\theta + z)D_{\theta, m}(z) = \frac{1 + m + \theta}{2} D_{\theta + 2, m}(z) - \frac{1 + m - \theta}{2} D_{\theta - 2, m}(z).
\]

### 3.5 Quadratic relations

It is well-known that the \( _0F_1 \) equation and the \( _1F_1 \) equation for \( \theta = 0 \) are related by a quadratic transformation. On the level of their solutions, we have

\[
F_\alpha(z^2) = e^{-2z}F_{0, 2\alpha}(4z), \tag{93}
\]
\[
U_\alpha(z^2) = 2 \cdot 4^\alpha e^{-2z} U_{0, 2\alpha}(4z). \tag{94}
\]

This leads to a simple relationship between \( D_m \) and \( D_{0, 2m} \):

**Theorem 3.**

\[
D_m(z^2) = \frac{2(-4)^m \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - m)} e^{-2z} \left( \log(4) F_{0, 2m}(4z) + D_{0, 2m}(4z) \right). \tag{95}
\]

**Proof.** Inserting (93) into (62) we obtain

\[
U_m(z^2) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( 2 \log(z) \frac{\Gamma(1 + 2m)}{\Gamma(1 + m)} e^{-2z} F_{0, 2m}(4z) + D_m(z^2) \right). \tag{96}
\]

Inserting (93) into (92) we obtain

\[
2 \cdot 4^m e^{-2z} U_{0, 2m}(z) = -\frac{2 \cdot 4^m}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - m)} e^{-2z} \left( \left( \log 4 + \log(z) \right) F_{0, 2m}(4z) + D_{0, 2m}(4z) \right). \tag{97}
\]

Now by (94) we have (96)=(97). Using the identity

\[
\frac{(-1)^m \Gamma(1 + 2m)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(1 + m)} = \frac{4^m}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - m)}, \tag{98}
\]

we see that the terms with \( \log(z) \) cancel and we obtain (95).

### 4 The \( _2F_1 \) equation

#### 4.1 The \( _2F_1 \) function

In the parameters \( \alpha, \beta, \mu \) introduced in (6), the \( _2F_1 \) operator (9) becomes

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta, \mu} := z(1 - z) \partial_z^2 + \left( (1 + \alpha)(1 - \beta) - (1 + \beta)z \right) \partial_z + \frac{\mu^2}{4} - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha + \beta + 1)^2. \tag{99}
\]
It annihilates the $2F_1$ function $F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = F\left(\frac{1+\alpha+\beta+\mu}{2}, \frac{1+\alpha+\beta-\mu}{2}; 1 + \alpha; z\right)$. We will mostly use its normalized version (15):

$$F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) := \frac{F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha+\beta+\mu)^n(1+\alpha+\beta-\mu)^n}{\Gamma(1+\alpha+n)n!} z^n. \quad (100)$$

There is also another solution with a power-like behavior at zero:

$$z^{-\alpha}F_{-\alpha,\beta,-\mu}(z). \quad (101)$$

### 4.2 Solution with a simple behaviour at infinity

The following function is annihilated by $F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}$ and behaves as $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\mu)}(-z)^{-1-\alpha-\beta-\mu}$ at $\infty$ (see e.g. [4]):

$$U_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) := (-z)^{-1-\alpha-\beta-\mu}F_{\mu,\beta,\alpha}(z^{-1}). \quad (102)$$

It can be expressed with use of $F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}$ function:

$$U_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = -\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi \alpha)} \left( \frac{F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha-\beta+\mu)\Gamma(1+\alpha+\beta+\mu)} - \frac{(-z)^{-\alpha}F_{-\alpha,\beta,-\mu}(z)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\beta+\mu)\Gamma(1+\alpha-\beta+\mu)} \right). \quad (103)$$

We have a set of identities

$$U_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = (-z)^{-\alpha}U_{-\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) \quad (104)$$

$$= (1-z)^{-\beta}U_{\alpha,-\beta,\mu}(z) \quad (105)$$

$$= (-z)^{-\alpha}(1-z)^{-\beta}U_{-\alpha,-\beta,\mu}(z), \quad (106)$$

which are essentially a part of the so-called Kummer table, see e.g. [4]. They follow by the following argument: all of them are annihilated by $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}$ and behave like $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\mu)}(-z)^{-1-\alpha-\beta-\mu}$ at $\infty$. These conditions determine uniquely a solution to the hypergeometric equation.

We have another identity

$$U_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = e^{\pm i\pi \frac{(-1-\alpha-\beta+\mu)}{2}}U_{-\alpha,\beta,\mu}(1-z), \quad \pm \text{Im } z > 0. \quad (108)$$

Indeed, $U_{\beta,\alpha,\mu}(1-z)$ is annihilated by $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}$ and behaves as $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\mu)}z^{-1-\alpha-\beta-\mu}$ at $\infty$. Then we use (45).

### 4.3 Degenerate case

If $\alpha = m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$F_{m,\beta,\mu}(z) = \sum_{n=\max\{0,-m\}}^{\infty} \frac{(1+m+\beta+\mu)^n(1+m+\beta-\mu)^n}{n!(m+n)!} z^n. \quad (16)$$
Therefore, 

\[ z^{-m}F_{-m, \beta, -\mu}(z) = \left(\frac{1 - m - \beta - \mu}{2}\right)^m \left(1 - m - \beta + \mu\right)^m F_{m, \beta, \mu}(z) \quad (109) \]

\[ = \left(\frac{1 - m + \beta + \mu}{2}\right)^m \left(1 - m + \beta - \mu\right)^m F_{m, \beta, \mu}(z) \quad (110) \]

\[ = (-1)^m \left(\frac{1 - m + \beta + \mu}{2}\right)^m \left(1 - m - \beta + \mu\right)^m F_{m, \beta, \mu}(z). \quad (111) \]

\[ = (-1)^m \left(\frac{1 - m - \beta - \mu}{2}\right)^m \left(1 - m + \beta - \mu\right)^m F_{m, \beta, \mu}(z). \quad (112) \]

Hence \( F_{m, \beta, \mu} \) and \( -m F_{-m, \beta, -\mu} \) are no longer linearly independent.

Note that (109)–(112) contain 4 ways of writing the coefficient in front of \( F_{m, \beta, \mu} \)—this follows from the identity (191).

For \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) we will look for another solution of the form

\[ \log(-z) \cdot F_{m, \beta, \mu}(z) + D_{m, \beta, \mu}(z). \quad (113) \]

Again, this does not fix \( D_{m, \beta, \mu} \)—we may add to it any multiple of \( F_{m, \beta, \mu} \).

Inserting (113) into the hypergeometric equation yields the recurrence relations

\[ d_{-1} = \frac{1}{(m - 1)!} \left(\frac{2}{m - 1 + \beta + \mu}\right) \left(\frac{2}{m - 1 + \beta - \mu}\right), \]

\[ d_{-k} = -\frac{(k - 1)(m + 1 - k)}{(1 + m + \beta + \mu - k)(1 + m + \beta - \mu - k)} d_{-k+1}, \]

\[ d_{k+1} = \frac{1}{(k + 1)(k + 1 + m)} \left(\frac{1 + m + \beta + \mu}{2} + k\right) \left(\frac{1 + m + \beta - \mu}{2} + k\right) d_k \]

\[ + \frac{(1 + m + \beta + \mu)_k (1 + m + \beta - \mu)_k}{k! (m + k)!} \left(1 + \beta + m + 2k\right) \]

\[ - \frac{(1 + m + \beta + \mu)_k (1 + m + \beta - \mu)_k}{(1 + m + k)(k + 1)} (2k + 2) \]

These recursion relations are solved by

\[ d_{-k} = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k - 1)! (1 + m + \beta - \mu)_k (1 + m + \beta + \mu)_k}{(m - k)!}, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, (114) \]

\[ d_k = \left(\frac{H_k}{1 + m + \beta + \mu} + \frac{H_k}{1 + m + \beta - \mu}\right) \]

\[ - H_k - H_k(m + c) \frac{(1 + m + \beta + \mu)_k (1 + m + \beta - \mu)_k}{(m + k)! k!}, \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \]
where $C$ is arbitrary. We introduce a particular solution of these relations:

$$D_{m,\beta,\mu}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \psi\left( 1 + m + \beta + \mu + \frac{k}{2} \right) + \psi\left( 1 - m - \beta + \mu - \frac{k}{2} \right) - \psi(k + 1) - \psi(m + k + 1) \right) \frac{(1+m+\beta+\mu)_k(1+m+\beta-\mu)_k}{(m+k)!k!} z^k$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(1+m+\beta+\mu)_{k-1}(1+m+\beta-\mu)_{k-1}}{(m-k)!} z^{k-1}. \quad (115)$$

For $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, we set

$$D_{-m,\beta,\mu}(z) := z^m D_{m,\beta,\mu}(z). \quad (116)$$

Note the identity

$$\psi\left( 1 - m - \beta + \mu + \frac{k}{2} \right) = \psi\left( 1 + m + \beta - \mu + \frac{k}{2} \right) + \pi \cot \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right), \quad (117)$$

$$\psi\left( 1 + m + \beta + \mu + \frac{k}{2} \right) = \psi\left( 1 - m - \beta - \mu + \frac{k}{2} \right) - \psi(1 + \alpha + k), \quad (118)$$

which can be used to put the definition of $D_{m,\beta,\mu}(z)$ in a different form.

The function $U_{m,\beta,\mu}$ is closely related to $D_{m,\beta,\mu}$:

**Theorem 4.** For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$U_{m,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\Gamma\left( \frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2} \right)} \left( \log(-z) \cdot F_{m,\beta,\mu}(z) + D_{m,\beta,\mu}(z) \right). \quad (119)$$

**Proof.** Note that (111) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{(-z)^{-m} F_{-m,\beta,-\mu}(z)}{\Gamma\left( \frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{1+m-\beta+\mu}{2} \right)} = \frac{F_{m,\beta,\mu}(z)}{\Gamma\left( \frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2} \right)}. \quad (120)$$

Therefore, we can apply the de l’Hospital rule. As a preparation for this we compute

$$\partial_{\alpha} \frac{F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z)}{\Gamma\left( \frac{1-\alpha-\beta+\mu}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{1-\alpha+\beta+\mu}{2} \right)} \quad (121)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi\left( \frac{1-\alpha-\beta+\mu}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \psi\left( \frac{1-\alpha+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} H_k \left( \frac{1+\alpha+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} H_k \left( \frac{1+\alpha+\beta-\mu}{2} \right) - \psi(1 + \alpha + k) \right)$$

$$\times \frac{(1+\alpha+\beta+\mu)_k(1+\alpha+\beta-\mu)_k}{\Gamma\left( \frac{1-\alpha-\beta+\mu}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{1-\alpha+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) \Gamma(1 + \alpha + k)!}.$$
Thus,

\[
\partial_\alpha F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) \bigg|_{\alpha = m} \quad (122)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi\left( \frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \psi\left( \frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} H_k \left( \frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} H_k \left( \frac{1+m+\beta-\mu}{2} \right) - \psi(1+m+k) \right)
\]

\[
\times \frac{(1+m+\beta+\mu)_k (1+m+\beta-\mu)_k z^k}{\Gamma(\frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2}) \Gamma\left( \frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) (m+k)! k!}.
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{-1}{2} (-1)^{1-m+k} (1+m-k)!(1-m+\beta+\mu)_k (1-m-\beta+\mu)_k z^k
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi\left( \frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \psi\left( \frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} H_k \left( \frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} H_k \left( \frac{1-m+\beta-\mu}{2} \right) - \psi(1-m+k) \right)
\]

\[
\times \frac{(1-m+\beta+\mu)_k (1-m+\beta-\mu)_k z^k}{\Gamma(\frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2}) \Gamma\left( \frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) (m+k)! k!}.
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{-1}{2} (-1)^{1+k} (1+m-k)!(1-m+\beta+\mu)_k (1-m-\beta+\mu)_k z^k
\]

\[
+ (-z)^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi\left( \frac{1+m-\beta+\mu}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \psi\left( \frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} H_{k+m} \left( \frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} H_{k+m} \left( \frac{1-m+\beta-\mu}{2} \right) - \psi(1+k) \right)
\]

\[
\times \frac{(1+m+\beta+\mu)_k (1+m-\beta-\mu)_k z^k}{\Gamma(\frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2}) \Gamma\left( \frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2} \right) k!(k+m)!}.
\]

(123)

(124)

where we shifted the variable \( k \) by \( m \) and used a few identities for the Pochhammer symbol in (124). Now, recalling that \( F_{-\alpha,\beta,-\mu}(z) = F_{-\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) \),
we can write

\[
U_{m,\beta,\mu}(z) = -\lim_{\alpha \to m} \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi \alpha)} \left( \frac{F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z)}{\Gamma(\frac{1-a-\beta+\mu}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1-a+\beta+\mu}{2})} - \frac{(-z)^{-\alpha}F_{-\alpha,\beta,-\mu}(z)}{\Gamma(\frac{1+\alpha+\beta+\mu}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+\alpha+\beta+\mu}{2})} \right)
\]

\[
= (-1)^{m+1} \left( \frac{\partial_a}{\Gamma(1+a-\beta+\mu)\Gamma(1-a+\beta+\mu)} \right)_{a=m}
\]

\[
+ (-z)^{-m} \frac{\partial_a}{\Gamma(1+a-\beta+\mu)\Gamma(1-a+\beta+\mu)} \bigg|_{a=-m}
\]

\[
+ \log(-z)(-z)^{-m} \frac{F_{-m,\beta,\mu}(z)}{\Gamma(\frac{1+m-\beta+\mu}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2})}
\]

\[
= (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1 + m - k)(1+m+\beta+\mu)k^{-m}(1+m+\beta+\mu)k^{-m}}{\Gamma(\frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2})k!}
\]

\[
+ (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi\left(\frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \psi\left(\frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2}\right) \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} H_k\left(\frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} H_k\left(\frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2}\right) - \psi(1+m+k)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} H_{k+m}\left(\frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} H_{k+m}\left(\frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2}\right) - \psi(1+k)
\]

\[
\times \frac{(1+m+\beta+\mu)_k}{\Gamma(\frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2})(m+k)!k!}
\]

\[
+ (-1)^{m+1} \log(-z) \frac{F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z)}{\Gamma(\frac{1-a-\beta+\mu}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+\alpha+\beta+\mu}{2})}
\]

Finally, we simplify the expression by using a few identities:

\[
\psi\left(\frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2}\right) + H_k\left(\frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2}\right) = \psi\left(\frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2} + k\right),
\]

\[
(125)
\]

\[
\psi\left(\frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2}\right) + H_k\left(\frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2}\right) = \psi\left(\frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2} + k\right),
\]

\[
(126)
\]

\[
\psi\left(\frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2}\right) + H_k\left(\frac{1+m+\beta+\mu}{2}\right) = \psi\left(\frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2} - k\right),
\]

\[
(127)
\]

\[
\psi\left(\frac{1+m-\beta+\mu}{2}\right) + H_k\left(\frac{1-m+\beta+\mu}{2}\right) = \psi\left(\frac{1-m-\beta+\mu}{2} - k\right).
\]

\[
(128)
\]

\[
\square
\]
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4.4 Recurrence relations

To revise

Recurrence relations for the hypergeometric function have a more symmetric form if we use a special normalisation, namely

\[
F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) := \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + \alpha + \beta - \mu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + \alpha - \beta + \mu}{2}\right) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) \quad (129)
\]

\[
= \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + \alpha - \beta + \mu}{2}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \alpha + \beta - \mu + k\right)(1 + \alpha + \beta + \mu)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha + k)k!} z^k.
\]

The function \( F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu} \) fulfils the following recurrence relations:

\[
\partial_z F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{1 + \alpha + \beta + \mu}{2} F_{\alpha+1,\beta+1,\mu}(z)
\]

\[
(z(1-z)\partial_z + \alpha(1-z) - \beta z) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{-1 + \alpha + \beta - \mu}{2} F_{\alpha-1,\beta-1,\mu}(z)
\]

\[
((1-z)\partial_z - \beta) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{1 + \alpha - \beta - \mu}{2} F_{\alpha-1,\beta-1,\mu}(z)
\]

\[
(z\partial_z + \alpha) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{-1 + \alpha - \beta + \mu}{2} F_{\alpha-1,\beta+1,\mu}(z)
\]

\[
\left(z\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha + \beta + \mu)\right) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{1 + \alpha + \beta + \mu}{2} F_{\alpha+1,\beta+1,\mu+1}(z)
\]

\[
\left(z\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha + \beta - \mu)\right) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{-1 + \alpha - \beta + \mu}{2} F_{\alpha-1,\beta+1,\mu-1}(z)
\]

\[
(z(1-z)\partial_z - \beta + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha + \beta + \mu)(1-z)) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{-1 + \alpha + \beta - \mu}{2} F_{\alpha-1,\beta-1,\mu+1}(z)
\]

\[
(z(1-z)\partial_z - \beta + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha + \beta - \mu)(1-z)) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{1 + \alpha - \beta - \mu}{2} F_{\alpha-1,\beta-1,\mu-1}(z)
\]

\[
((z-1)\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha + \beta + \mu)) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{1 + \alpha + \beta + \mu}{2} F_{\alpha+1,\beta+1,\mu+1}(z)
\]

\[
((z-1)\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha + \beta - \mu)) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{1 + \alpha - \beta - \mu}{2} F_{\alpha+1,\beta+1,\mu-1}(z)
\]

\[
(z(1-z)\partial_z + \alpha - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha + \beta + \mu)z) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{-1 + \alpha + \beta - \mu}{2} F_{\alpha-1,\beta-1,\mu+1}(z)
\]

\[
(z(1-z)\partial_z + \alpha - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha + \beta - \mu)z) F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{-1 + \alpha - \beta + \mu}{2} F_{\alpha-1,\beta-1,\mu-1}(z).
\]

In order to have recurrence relations for \( D_{m,\beta,\mu} \) similar to relations for \( F_{m,\beta,\mu} \), we change its normalisation:

\[
D_{m,\beta,\mu}(z) := \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + m + \beta - \mu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + m - \beta + \mu}{2}\right) D_{m,\beta,\mu}(z). \quad (130)
\]

The recurrence relations for \( \log(-z)F_{m,\beta,\mu} + D_{m,\beta,\mu} \) are the same as for \( F_{m,\beta,\mu} \) and they lead to the recurrence relations for \( D_{m,\beta,\mu} \):

\[
\text{21}
\]
\[\partial_z D_{m,\beta,\mu}^1(z) = \frac{1 + m + \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m+1,\beta+1,\mu}^1(z) - \frac{F_{m,\beta,\mu}^l(z)}{z},\]
\[(z(1 - z)) \partial_z + m(1 - z) - \beta z) D_{m,\beta,\mu}^1(z) = \frac{-1 + m + \beta - \mu}{2} D_{m-1,\beta-1,\mu}^1(z) - (1 - z) F_{m,\beta,\mu}^l(z),\]
\[(z\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}(1 + m + \beta + \mu)) D_{m,\beta,\mu}^1(z) = \frac{1 + m + \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m,\beta+1,\mu+1}^1(z) - F_{m,\beta,\mu}^l(z),\]
\[(z\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}(1 + m + \beta - \mu)) D_{m,\beta,\mu}^1(z) = \frac{-1 + m - \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m,\beta+1,\mu-1}^1(z) - F_{m,\beta,\mu}^l(z),\]
\[(z(1 - z)) \partial_z - \beta + \frac{1}{2}(1 + m + \beta + \mu)(1 - z) D_{m,\beta,\mu}^1(z) = \frac{-1 + m + \beta - \mu}{2} D_{m,\beta-1,\mu+1}^1(z) - (1 - z) F_{m,\beta,\mu}^l(z),\]
\[(z(1 - z)) \partial_z - \beta + \frac{1}{2}(1 + m + \beta - \mu)(1 - z) D_{m,\beta,\mu}^1(z) = \frac{1 + m - \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m,\beta-1,\mu-1}^1(z) - (1 - z) F_{m,\beta,\mu}^l(z),\]
\[((z - 1)) \partial_z + \frac{1}{2}(1 + m + \beta + \mu)) D_{m,\beta,\mu}^1(z) = \frac{1 + m + \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m+1,\beta+1,\mu+1}^1(z) - \frac{z - 1}{z} F_{m,\beta,\mu}^l(z),\]
\[((z - 1)) \partial_z + \frac{1}{2}(1 + m + \beta - \mu)) D_{m,\beta,\mu}^1(z) = \frac{1 + m - \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m+1,\beta+1,\mu-1}^1(z) - \frac{z - 1}{z} F_{m,\beta,\mu}^l(z),\]
\[(z(1 - z)) \partial_z + m - \frac{1}{2}(1 + m + \beta + \mu)z) D_{m,\beta,\mu}^1(z) = \frac{-1 + m + \beta - \mu}{2} D_{m-1,\beta+1,\mu+1}(z) - (1 - z) F_{m,\beta,\mu}^l(z),\]
\[(z(1 - z)) \partial_z + m - \frac{1}{2}(1 + m + \beta - \mu)z) D_{m,\beta,\mu}^1(z) = \frac{-1 + m - \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m-1,\beta-1,\mu+1}(z) - (1 - z) F_{m,\beta,\mu}^l(z).\]
They imply contiguous relations:

\[
m D_{m,\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{-1 + m - \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m-1,\beta+1,\mu}(z) - \frac{1 + m + \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m+1,\beta+1,\mu}(z),
\]

\[
m(1 - z) D_{m,\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{-1 + m + \beta - \mu}{2} D_{m-1,\beta-1,\mu}(z) - \frac{1 + m - \beta - \mu}{2} D_{m+1,\beta-1,\mu}(z),
\]

\[
\mu D_{m,\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{1 + m + \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m+1,\alpha+1,\mu}(z) - \frac{1 + m - \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m+1,\beta+1,\mu}(z),
\]

\[
\mu(1 - z) D_{m,\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{-1 + m + \beta - \mu}{2} D_{m+1,\alpha+1-1,\mu}(z) - \frac{1 + m - \beta - \mu}{2} D_{m+1,\alpha+1-1,\mu}(z),
\]

\[
\mu z D_{m,\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \frac{-1 + m - \beta + \mu}{2} D_{m-1,\alpha+1,\mu}(z) - \frac{-1 + m + \beta - \mu}{2} D_{m-1,\beta+1,\mu}(z).
\]

### 4.5 Kummer’s table relations

As a special case of relations from the so-called Kummer’s table, the hypergeometric function satisfies

\[
F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = (1 - z)^{-\beta} F_{\alpha-\beta,\mu}(z),
\]

\[
U_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = (1 - z)^{-\beta} U_{\alpha-\beta,\mu}(z),
\]

(see e.g. [4], and also (106)). There is also an analogous identity for \(D_m\):

**Theorem 5.** For \(m \in \mathbb{Z}\),

\[
D_{m,\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = (1 - z)^{-\beta} D_{m,\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z).
\]

**Proof.** We use (119) together with (131), (132). \(\square\)

### 4.6 Quadratic relations

There exist also well-known “doubling relations” between hypergeometric functions with special parameters, which involve a quadratic transformation of the independent variable, such as

\[
\Gamma(1 + 2\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{2\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = \left(\frac{2}{2 - z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + \beta} \Gamma(1 + \alpha) \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta,\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{z^2}{(2 - z)^2})
\]

\[
= (1 - z)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma(1 + \alpha) \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,-\frac{1}{2} - \beta,\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{z^2}{4(z - 1)}),
\]

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\beta,2\alpha}(z) = \mathcal{F}_{\beta,-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}(4z(1 - z)),
\]

\[
= (1 - 2z)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \beta - \alpha} \mathcal{F}_{\beta,-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}(\frac{4z(z - 1)}{(1 - 2z)^2}).
\]

Indeed, we check that the functions that appear on the left and right hand sides of (134) and (135) are annihilated by the hypergeometric operator \(\mathcal{F}_{2\alpha,\beta,\mu}\), are analytic at 0, and equal 1 at 0. Using the fact that 0 is a regular singular point of the hypergeometric equation, we conclude that
they coincide, which proves identities (134) and (135). (136) and (137) can be proven in a similar way.

(136) can be rewritten as

\[ U_{2\alpha,\beta,\beta}(z) = (4(1 - z))^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}} U_{\alpha,-\frac{1}{2},\beta}(\frac{z^2}{4(z - 1)}). \]  

(138)

Here is a doubling relation for the functions \( D \):

**Theorem 6.** For \( m = 0, 1, \ldots \), we have

\[ D_{2m,\beta,\beta}(z) = \frac{m!}{2(2m)!} (1 - z)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{m}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}} \left( D_{m,-\frac{1}{2},\beta}(\frac{z^2}{4(z - 1)}) - \log(4(1 - z)) F_{m,-\frac{1}{2},\beta}(\frac{z^2}{4(z - 1)}) \right), \]  

(139)

**Proof.** By (119), we have

\[ U_{2m,\beta,\beta}(z) \]  

(140)

\[ = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - m)\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - m + \beta)} \left( D_{2m,\beta,\beta}(z) + \log(-z) F_{2m,\beta,\beta}(z) \right) \]  

(141)

\[ = \frac{(-1)^{m+1} \Gamma(1 + 2m) \Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - m + \beta)}{\sqrt{\pi} 4^m \Gamma(1 + m) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - m + \beta)} \left( D_{2m,\beta,\beta}(z) + \log(-z) F_{2m,\beta,\beta}(z) \right) \]  

(142)

where we used (98). Again, by (119), we have

\[ (4(1 - z))^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{m}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}} U_{m,-\frac{1}{2},\beta}(\frac{z^2}{4(z - 1)}) \]  

(143)

\[ = \frac{(-1)^{m+1} (4(1 - z))^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{m}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - m + \beta) \Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - m + \beta)} \left( D_{m,-\frac{1}{2},\beta}(\frac{z^2}{4(z - 1)}) + \log(4(1 - z)) F_{m,-\frac{1}{2},\beta}(\frac{z^2}{4(z - 1)}) \right) \]  

(144)

\[ = \frac{(-1)^{m+1} (1 - z)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{m}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}}}{2^{1+2m} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - m + \beta)} \left( D_{m,-\frac{1}{2},\beta}(\frac{z^2}{4(z - 1)}) + \log(-z) - \log(4(1 - z)) \right) F_{m,-\frac{1}{2},\beta}(\frac{z^2}{4(z - 1)}) \]  

(145)

\[ \Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - m + \beta) \Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - m + \beta) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}+m+\beta} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - m + \beta). \]  

(149)

Now by (138) we have the identity (140)=(143). Then we notice that by (135) the terms in (142) and (148) involving \( \log(-z) \) cancel. We obtain (139).
4.7 Special normalization

The reader probably has noticed that the formulas involving the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \mu$ are often somewhat complicated. For this reason, we introduce an additional parameter

$$n : -\frac{(\alpha + \beta + \mu + 1)}{2},$$

(150)
treated as a function of the basic parameters $\alpha, \beta, \mu$. This parameter coincides with $-a$ from the usual parameters $a, b, c$. If $n$ is an integer, then the hypergeometric function is a polynomial, essentially the Jacobi polynomial, and $n$ is (usually) its degree. However, we will use this symbol also when $n$ is not an integer.

It is often natural to consider the hypergeometric function with different normalizations than $F$ and $F^I$. One of them, considered eg. in [], is

$$F^I(a, b; c; z) = F^I_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(z) (151)$$

$$:= \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)}{\Gamma(c)} F(a, b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(-n)\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} F_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(z). (152)$$

This normalization appears naturally when we consider the integral representation of the following type:

$$F^I(a, b; c; z) = \int_1^\infty t^{b-c}(t-1)^{c-a-1}(t-z)^{-b}dt, \quad z \notin [1, \infty]. (153)$$

In the context of degenerate hypergeometric functions, and especially hypergeometric polynomials, another normalization is also useful:

$$F^{II}(a, b; c; z) = F^{II}_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(z) (154)$$

$$:= \frac{\Gamma(c-a)}{\Gamma(1-a)\Gamma(c)} F(a, b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1)} F_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(z). (155)$$

For non-integer $a$ it is easy to pass from $F^I$ to $F^{II}$:

$$F^{II}(a, b; c; z) = \frac{\sin(\pi a)}{\pi} F^I(a, b; c; z). (156)$$

We also have a natural integral representation of $F^{II}$ valid if $\text{Re} (c - a) > 0$:

$$F^{II}(a, b; c; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{[1,(z,0)^+.1]} (-t)^{b-c}(1-t)^{c-a-1}(z-t)^{-b}dt. (157)$$

Here, the contour starts at 1, encircles $z$ and 0 counterclockwise and ends up at 1.

We have two important cases when $F^{II}$ is useful and its definition simplifies: the degenerate case and the polynomial case. We discuss them below.
4.8 Special normalization in the degenerate case

Let us consider $\alpha =: m \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is in the degenerate case. Using $rac{\Gamma(m+n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)} = (n+1)_m$, we can then write

$$F_{m,\beta,\mu}^\Pi(z) = \frac{(n+1)_m}{m!} F_{m,\beta,\mu}(z).$$

(158)

The identity (109) can now be rewritten in a simple form:

$$z^{-m} F_{-m,\beta,-\mu}^\Pi(z) = F_{m,\beta,\mu}^\Pi(z).$$

(159)

The contour for the integral representation also simplifies in this case:

$$F^\Pi(a,b; 1+m; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{[z,0]^+} (-t)^{b-m-1}(1-t)^{m-a} (z-t)^{-b} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{[1,\infty]^+} (-t)^{b-m-1}(1-t)^{m-a} (z-t)^{-b} dt.$$  

(160)

(161)

Here, the first contour is a counterclockwise loop around $z$ and 0, and the second contour is a counterclockwise loop around 1 and $\infty$. (Note that thanks to the degeneracy condition $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the loops are closed on the Riemann surface of the integrand).

Here is the effect of switching the sign in front of $\mu$:

$$F_{m,\beta,\mu}^\Pi(z) = \frac{(n+1)_m}{(n+\mu+1)_m} F_{m,\beta,-\mu}^\Pi(z)$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^m (n+1)_m}{(n+\beta+1)_m} F_{m,\beta,-\mu}^\Pi(z).$$

(162)

(163)

4.9 Polynomial case

The second case when $F^\Pi$ simplifies is $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using $\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} = (\alpha+1)_n$, we can write

$$F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}^\Pi(z) = \frac{(\alpha+1)_n}{n!} F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}(z) = P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(1-2z)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha+j)_{n-j}(1+\alpha+\beta+n)_{j}}{(n-j)!j!} (-z)^j,$$

(164)

(165)

where $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ are the usual Jacobi polynomials. The degree of $F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}^\Pi$ is generically $n$ if $n \geq 0$, otherwise $F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}^\Pi = 0$. The only exception is when $\mu$ is a negative integer. This is the degenerate case at infinity and the degree of $F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}^\Pi$ is

$$\frac{-1 - \alpha - \beta + \mu}{2} = -1 - \alpha - \beta - n = n + \mu.$$  

(166)
The contour in the integral representation (157) can be again simplified, using the fact that $\infty$ now becomes a pole:

$$
F^{II}(a, b; c; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(0, 1, z)} (-t)^{b-m-1}(1-t)^{m-a}(z-t)^{-b}dt, \quad (167)
$$

where the contour is a counterclockwise loop around 0, 1, z (or a clockwise loop around $\infty$). Substituting $t = -\frac{1}{s}$ and reversing the orientation of the contour, we can transform (169) to a more usual form

$$
F^{II}(a, b; c; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{[0^+]^*} s^{a-1}(1+s)^{-a-1}(1+zs)^{-b}ds \quad (168)
$$

where the contour is a clockwise loop around 0.

The functions $F^{II}$ in the polynomial case enjoy many symmetries:

$$
F^{II}_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(z) = (1-z)^n F^{II}_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(\frac{1}{z}) = (-1)^n F^{II}_{\beta, \alpha, \mu}(1-z) = (z-1)^n F^{II}_{\mu, \beta, \alpha}(1-z),
$$

They have the following values at 0, 1 and the behavior at $\infty$:

$$
F^{II}_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(0) = \frac{(\alpha + 1)_n}{n!}, \quad F^{II}_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(1) = (-1)^n \frac{(\beta + 1)_n}{n!},
$$

$$
\lim_{z \to \infty} F^{II}_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(z)\frac{z^n}{n!} = (-1)^n \frac{(\mu + 1)_n}{n!}.
$$

We have the identity

$$
U_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(z) = \frac{(-1)^n n!}{\Gamma(1+\mu+n)} F^{II}_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(z). \quad (170)
$$

Note that if $n = -1, -2, \ldots$, then $F^{II}_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(z) = 0$. Therefore, to obtain a regular solution we need to choose a different normalization, such that

$$
F^{I}_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j-n-1)!}{(\alpha+n+1)_j j!} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+n+1)_j}{(\alpha+j)_j} z^j, \quad (171)
$$

$$
F_{\alpha, \beta, \mu}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-n)_j (\alpha+\beta+n+1)_j}{(\alpha+j)_j j!} z^j. \quad (172)
$$

(173)
4.10 Degenerate polynomial case

The following identities are valid by (159) and (163) for a general \( n \):

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}^{II}(z) &= \frac{(n+1)\alpha}{(\beta+n+1)\alpha}(-z)^{-\alpha}F_{-\alpha,\beta,\mu}^{II}(z), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}; \\
F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}^{II}(z) &= \frac{(n+1)\beta}{(\alpha+n+1)\beta}(1-z)^{-\beta}F_{\alpha,-\beta,\mu}^{II}(z), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{Z}; \\
F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}^{II}(z) &= (-z)^{-\alpha}(1-z)^{-\beta}F_{\alpha,-\beta,\mu}^{II}(z), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{align*}
\]

They are especially useful if \( n \) is an integer, since they connect various polynomials: clearly, \( \deg F_{\alpha,\beta,\mu}^{II} = n \), and on the rhs we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\deg F_{-\alpha,\beta,\mu}^{II} &= n + \alpha, \\
\deg F_{\alpha,-\beta,\mu}^{II} &= n + \beta, \\
\deg F_{-\alpha,-\beta,\mu}^{II} &= n + \alpha + \beta.
\end{align*}
\]

4.11 Complete elliptic integral

Let us discuss the case 000. We have

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{000}(z, \partial z) &= z(1-z)\partial_z^2 + (1-2z) - \frac{1}{4} \\
&= z^{-1}(1-z)^{-1}\partial_z^2(1-z)^2\partial_z - \frac{1}{4}.
\end{align*}
\]

The solution that behaves as 1 near 0 is

\[
F_{000}(z) = F_{000}(z) = F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; z\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^2 z^j}{(j!)^2}.
\]

It satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{000}(z) &\sim \ln \left(\frac{1-z}{16}\right), \quad z \approx 1; \\
F_{000}(z) &\sim (-z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ln \left(-\frac{z}{16}\right), \quad z \approx \infty.
\end{align*}
\]

It is closely related to the complete elliptic integral of the first kind:

\[
K(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} F_{000}(k^2).
\]

The solution behaving as 1 near 1 is \( F_{000}(1-z) \). The solution that behaves as \( (-z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \) near \( \infty \) is

\[
U_{000}(z) = U_{000}(z) = (-z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_{000}(z^{-1}) = (1-z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_{000}(1-z^{-1}).
\]

We have

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{000}(z) &= \pm i U_{000}(1-z), \quad \pm \text{Im } z > 0; \\
U_{000}(z) &\sim \ln \left(-\frac{z}{16}\right), \quad z \approx 0; \\
U_{000}(z) &= \pm i F(z) - F(1-z), \quad \pm \text{Im } z > 0.
\end{align*}
\]

28
A Some formulas

We use various functions related to the Gamma function $\Gamma(z)$:

- the shifted $k$th harmonic number $H_k(z) := \frac{1}{z} + \cdots + \frac{1}{z + k - 1}$, (183)
- the $k$th harmonic number $H_k := \frac{1}{1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k} = H_k(1)$, (184)
- the Pochhammer symbol $(z)_k := \frac{\Gamma(z + k)}{\Gamma(z)}$ (185)

\[
(z)_k = \begin{cases} 
  z(z+1)\cdots(z+k-1), & k \geq 0, \\
  \frac{1}{(z+k)(z+k+1)\cdots(z-1)}, & k \leq 0 
\end{cases}
\]

Some of their properties are collected below:

\[
H_{k+n}(z) = H_n(z) + H_k(z+n),
\]
\[
H_k(z) = -H_k(1-z-k),
\]
\[
H_{2p} = \frac{1}{2}\left[H_p + H_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right],
\]
\[
H_{2p+1} = \frac{1}{2}\left[H_p + H_{p+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right],
\]
\[
(z)_{k+n} = (z)_n(z+n),
\]
\[
(z)_k = (-1)^k(1-k-z)_k,
\]
\[
(z)_{-k} = \frac{1}{(z-k)}.
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left. \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \right|_{z=-n} = (-1)^n n!, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...
\]
\[
\partial_n(z)_n = H_n(z)(z)_n.
\]

Here is the digamma function:

\[
\psi(z) := \frac{\partial_n \Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(z)},
\]
\[
\partial_z \left. \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \right| = -\frac{\psi(z)}{\Gamma(z)},
\]
\[
\psi(z+k) = \psi(z) + H_k(z),
\]
\[
\psi(1+k) = -\gamma + H_k.
\]

\[
\psi(z) - \psi(1-z) = -\pi \cot(\pi z),
\]
\[
\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + z\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} - z\right) = \pi \tan(\pi z),
\]
\[
2 \log 2 + \psi(z) + \psi\left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2\psi(2z).
\]
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