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## How to get a fully constrained evolutionary scheme?

- one needs to uncover (intimate) relations between various parts of Einstein's equations
- spherically symmetric case
- without symmetries ? $\checkmark$ ?


## Based on

- I. Rácz: On the use of the Kodama vector field in spherically symmetric dynamical problems, Class. Quantum Grav. 23, 115-123 (2006)
- I. Rácz: Is the Bianchi identity always hyperbolic? Class. Quantum Grav. 31 (2014) 155004
- I. Rácz: Cauchy problem as a two-surface based 'geometrodynamics' Class. Quantum Grav. 32 (2015) 015006
- I. Rácz: Dynamical determination of the gravitational degrees of freedom, arXiv:1412.0667
- I. Rácz: Constraints as evolutionary systems, Class. Quantum Grav. 33015014 (2016)


## Assumptions:

- The primary space: $\left(M, g_{a b}\right)$
- $M: n+1$-dim. ( $n \geq 3$ ), smooth, paracompact, connected, orientable manifold
- $g_{a b}$ : smooth Lorentzian $(-,+, \ldots,+)$ or Riemannian $(+, \ldots,+)$ metric
- Einsteinian space: Einstein's equation restricting the geometry

$$
G_{a b}-\mathscr{G}_{a b}=0
$$

with source term $\mathscr{G}_{a b}$ having a vanishing divergence, $\nabla^{a} \mathscr{G}_{a b}=0$.

- or, in a more familiarly looking setup

$$
\left[R_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} R\right]+\Lambda g_{a b}=8 \pi T_{a b}
$$

with matter fields satisfying their individual field equations with - energy-momentum tensor $T_{a b}$ and with cosmological constant $\Lambda$

$$
\mathscr{G}_{a b}=8 \pi T_{a b}-\Lambda g_{a b}
$$

## Dynamics in the spherically symmetric case:

- ! $(t, r, \theta, \phi)-r$ is the area radius: $\quad \mathcal{A}=4 \pi r^{2}$
- the line element is

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-A \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+B \mathrm{~d} r^{2}+r^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}+\sin \theta^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}\right)
$$

$A$ and $B$ are assumed to be smooth functions of the coordinates $t$ and $r$

- $E_{a b}=G_{a b}-\mathscr{G}_{a b}$ with only 'four' non-trivial components: $E_{t t}, E_{t r}, E_{r r}, E_{\theta \theta}$
- $\nabla^{a} \mathscr{G}_{a b}=0 \Rightarrow \nabla^{a} E_{a b}=0$ (with only two non-trivial components: ' $t$ ' and ' $r$ ')

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-2 A B^{2} r\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{t t}\right)+2 B^{2} r E_{t t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A+A B r E_{t r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} A\right)+2 A^{2} B r\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_{t r}\right) \\
-A B r E_{t t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} B\right)-A^{2} r E_{t r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B-A^{2} r E_{r r} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B+4 A^{2} B E_{t r}=0 \\
2 A B^{2} r^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{t r}\right)-B^{2} r^{3} E_{t t} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A-B^{2} r^{3} E_{t r} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A+A B r^{3} E_{t r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} B\right) \\
-A B r^{3} E_{r r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} A\right)-2 A^{2} B r^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_{r r}\right)+2 A^{2} r^{3} E_{r r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B \\
-4 A^{2} B r^{2} E_{r r}+4 A^{2} B^{2} E_{\theta \theta}=0
\end{array}
$$

## The standard argument:

- assume that the evolution equations hold: $E_{r r}=0$ and $E_{\theta \theta}=0$
- the constraints propagate: $E_{t t}=0$ and $E_{t r}=0$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-2 A B^{2} r\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{t t}\right)+2 B^{2} r E_{t t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A+A B r E_{t r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} A\right)+2 A^{2} B r\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_{t r}\right) \\
-A B r E_{t t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} B\right)-A^{2} r E_{t r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B-A^{2} r E_{r r} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B+4 A^{2} B E_{t r}=0 \\
2 A B^{2} r^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{t r}\right)-B^{2} r^{3} E_{t t} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A-B^{2} r^{3} E_{t r} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A+A B r^{3} E_{t r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} B\right) \\
-A B r^{3} E_{r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} A\right)-2 A^{2} B r^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_{r r}\right)+2 A^{2} r^{3} E_{r r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B \\
-4 A^{2} B r^{2} E_{r r}+4 A^{2} B^{2} E_{\theta \theta}
\end{array}=0
$$

- a first-order strongly hyperbolic system for $E_{t t}, E_{t r}$ linear \& homogeneous
- if they vanish on one of the $t=$ const time-level surface they vanish on each


## The non-standard argument:

- assume that one of the constraints $E_{t t}=0$ and one of the evolutionary equations $E_{r r}=0$ hold on each of the $t=$ const time-level surfaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 A B^{2} r\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{t t}\right)+2 B^{2} r E_{t t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A+A B r E_{t r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} A\right)+2 A^{2} B r\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_{t r}\right) \\
& -A B r E_{t r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} B\right)-A^{2} r E_{t r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B-A^{2} r E_{r r} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B+4 A^{2} B E_{t r}=0 \\
& \frac{2 A B^{2} r^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{t r}\right)-B^{2} r^{3} E_{t t} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A-B^{2} r^{3} E_{t r} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A+A B r^{3} E_{t r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} B\right)}{-A B r^{3} E_{r r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} A\right)-2 A^{2} B r^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_{r r}\right)+2 A^{2} r^{3} E_{r r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B} \\
& -4 A^{2} B r^{2} E_{r r}+4 A^{2} B^{2} E_{\theta \theta}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- the firts equation is a first-order ODE for $E_{t r}$ linear and homogeneous $\Rightarrow E_{t r} \equiv 0$ on any of the time-level surfaces if $E_{t r}=0$ at the origin!!!
- the second equation implies that $E_{\theta \theta}$ vanishes everywhere


## The case of a scalar field 'ala Choptuik':

$$
T_{a b}=\nabla_{a} \psi \nabla_{b} \psi-\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} \nabla^{e} \psi \nabla_{e} \psi
$$

and using the auxiliary variables

$$
\Phi=\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \psi, \quad \Pi=\sqrt{\frac{B}{A}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi
$$

- $\nabla^{e} \nabla_{e} \psi=0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi=\frac{\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}}\left(r\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \Pi\right)+\Pi(1-B)\right)}{r}$
- $E_{t t}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B=\frac{B(1-B)}{r}+4 \pi r B\left(\Pi^{2}+\Phi^{2}\right) \quad\left(\left.B\right|_{r=0}=1\right)$
- $E_{t r}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B=8 \pi r \Phi \Pi \sqrt{A B}$
$\left(\left.B\right|_{r=0}=1!!!\right)$
- $E_{r r}=0 \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A=-\frac{A(1-B)}{r}+4 \pi r A\left(\Pi^{2}+\Phi^{2}\right)$.


## The primary $n+1$ splitting:

## No restriction on the topology by Einstein's equations! (local PDEs)

- Assume: $M$ is foliated by a one-parameter family of homologous hypersurfaces, i.e. $M \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$, for some codimension-one manifold $\Sigma$.
- known to hold for globally hyperbolic spacetimes (Lorentzian case)
- equivalent to the existence of a smooth function $\sigma: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with non-vanishing gradient $\nabla_{a} \sigma$ such that the $\sigma=$ const level surfaces $\Sigma_{\sigma}=\{\sigma\} \times \Sigma$ comprise the one-parameter foliation of $M$.



## The main creatures:

- $n^{a}$ the 'unit norm' vector field that is normal to the $\Sigma_{\sigma}$ level surfaces

$$
n^{a} n_{a}=\epsilon
$$

- $\epsilon$ takes the value -1 or +1 for Lorentzian or Riemannian metric $g_{a b}$, resp.
- the projection operator

$$
h_{a}^{b}=\delta_{a}^{b}-\epsilon n_{a} n^{b}
$$

- the metric induced

$$
h_{a b}=h_{a}{ }^{e} h_{b}{ }^{f} g_{e f}=g_{a b}-\epsilon n_{a} n_{b}
$$

- the covariant derivative operator $D_{a}$ associated with $h_{a b}: \forall \omega_{b}$ on $\Sigma$

$$
D_{a} \omega_{b}:=h_{a}{ }^{d} h_{b}{ }^{e} \nabla_{d} \omega_{e}
$$

- the extrinsic curvature and the acceleration of $n^{a}$ on $\Sigma$

$$
K_{a b}=h_{a}^{e} \nabla_{e} n_{b}=\frac{1}{2} \mathscr{L}_{n} h_{a b}
$$

$$
\dot{n}_{a}:=n^{e} \nabla_{e} n_{a}
$$

## Decompositions of various fields:

arbitrary symmetric tensor field $P_{a b}$ on $M$ can be decomposed
in terms of $n^{a}$ and fields living on the $\sigma=$ const level surfaces as

$$
P_{a b}=\boldsymbol{\pi} n_{a} n_{b}+\left[n_{a} \mathbf{p}_{b}+n_{b} \mathbf{p}_{a}\right]+\mathbf{P}_{a b}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi}=n^{e} n^{f} P_{e f}, \quad \mathbf{p}_{a}=\epsilon h_{a}^{e} n^{f} P_{e f}, \quad \mathbf{P}_{a b}=h_{a}^{e} h_{b}^{f} P_{e f}
$$

decomposition of the contraction $\nabla^{a} P_{a b}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon\left(\nabla^{a} P_{a e}\right) n^{e} & =\mathscr{L}_{n} \boldsymbol{\pi}+D^{e} \mathbf{p}_{e}+\left[\boldsymbol{\pi}\left(K^{e}{ }_{e}\right)-\epsilon \mathbf{P}_{e f} K^{e f}-2 \epsilon \dot{n}^{e} \mathbf{p}_{e}\right] \\
\left(\nabla^{a} P_{a e}\right) h^{e}{ }_{b} & =\mathscr{L}_{n} \mathbf{p}_{b}+D^{e} \mathbf{P}_{e b}+\left[\left(K^{e}{ }_{e}\right) \mathbf{p}_{b}+\dot{n}_{b} \boldsymbol{\pi}-\epsilon \dot{n}^{e} \mathbf{P}_{e b}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

I.h.s. of Einstein's equation: $E_{a b}=G_{a b}-\mathscr{C}_{a b}$

$$
E_{a b}=n_{a} n_{b} E^{(\mathcal{H})}+\left[n_{a} E_{b}^{(\mathcal{M})}+n_{b} E_{a}^{(\mathcal{M})}\right]+\left(E_{a b}^{(\mathcal{V} \mathcal{O L})}+h_{a b} E^{(\mathcal{H})}\right)
$$

$$
E^{(\mathcal{H})}=n^{e} n^{f} E_{e f}, \quad E_{a}^{(\mathcal{M})}=\epsilon h_{a}^{e} n^{f} E_{e f}, \quad E_{a b}^{(\mathcal{E V O L})}=h_{a}^{e} h_{b}^{f} E_{e f}-h_{a b} E^{(\mathcal{H})}
$$

## Relations between various parts of Einstein's equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{L}_{n} E^{(\mathcal{H})}+D^{e} E_{e}^{(\mathcal{M})}+ {\left[E^{(\mathcal{H})}\left(K_{e}^{e}\right)-2 \epsilon\left(\dot{n}^{e} E_{e}^{(\mathcal{M})}\right)\right.} \\
&\left.-\epsilon K^{a e}\left(E_{a e}^{(\mathcal{V} \mathcal{L})}+h_{a e} E^{(\mathcal{H})}\right)\right]=0 \\
& \mathscr{L}_{n} E_{b}^{(\mathcal{M})}+D^{a}\left(E_{a b}^{(\mathcal{E} \mathcal{O L})}+h_{a b} E^{(\mathcal{H})}\right)+\left[\left(K_{e)}^{e} E_{b}^{(\mathcal{M})}+E^{(\mathcal{H})} \dot{n}_{b}\right.\right. \\
&\left.-\epsilon\left(E_{a b}^{(\mathcal{E} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{L})}+h_{a b} E^{(\mathcal{H})}\right) \dot{n}^{a}\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## reverse the argument

If the constraint expressions $E^{(\mathcal{H})}$ and $E_{a}^{(\mathcal{M})}$ vanish on the $\sigma=$ const level surfaces then the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{a b} E_{a b}^{(\mathcal{E V O L})} & =0 \\
D^{a} E_{a b}^{(\mathcal{E} \mathcal{O L})}-\epsilon \dot{n}^{a} E_{a b}^{(\mathcal{E} \mathcal{O L})} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for the evolutionary expression $E_{a b}^{(\mathcal{E V O L})}$

$$
h^{e}{ }_{a} h^{f}{ }_{b} E_{e f}=E_{a b}^{(\mathcal{E V O L})}+h_{a b} E^{(\mathcal{V})}
$$

## The secondary $[n-1]+1$ splitting:

Assume that on one of the $\sigma=$ const level surfaces-say on $\Sigma_{0}$, for some $\sigma=\sigma_{0}(\in \mathbb{R})$,—there exists a smooth function $\rho: \Sigma_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with (a.e.—almost everywhere) non-vanishing gradient, $\partial_{i} \rho$, such that:

- the $\rho=$ const level surfaces $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$ provide a one-parameter foliation of $\Sigma_{0}$

- the metric $h_{i j}$ on $\Sigma_{0}$ can be decomposed as

$$
h_{i j}=\widehat{\gamma}_{i j}+\widehat{n}_{i} \widehat{n}_{j} \quad \text { where } \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}=\widehat{\gamma}^{k}{ }_{i} \widehat{\gamma}_{j}^{l} h_{i j} \text { with } \widehat{\gamma}^{i}{ }_{j}=\delta^{i}{ }_{j}-\widehat{n}^{i} \widehat{n}_{j}
$$

in terms of the positive definite metric $\widehat{\gamma}_{i j}$, induced on the $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$ hypersurfaces,

- the secondary extrinsic curvature and the acceleration of $\widehat{n}^{a}$ on the $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$ hypersurfaces

$$
\widehat{K}_{i j}=\frac{1}{2} \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}} \widehat{\gamma}_{i j} \quad \dot{\hat{n}}_{i}:=\widehat{n}^{l} D_{l} \widehat{n}_{i}
$$

## The two-parameter family of foliations:

The Lie drag this foliation of $\Sigma_{0}$ along the integral curves of the vector field $\sigma^{a}$ yields then a two-parameter family of foliating surfaces: $\mathscr{S}_{\sigma, \rho}$


- the fields $\widehat{n}^{i}, \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}$ and the projection $\widehat{\gamma}^{k}{ }_{l}=h^{k}{ }_{l}-\widehat{n}^{k} \widehat{n}_{l}$, to the codimension-two surfaces $\mathscr{S}_{\sigma, \rho}$, get to be well-defined on each of the individual $\sigma=$ const hypersurfaces


## Recasting the reduced Einstein equations:

## the kinematical background $\longrightarrow[n-1]+1$ decomposition of $E_{a b}^{(\varepsilon v O C)}$

$$
h_{b}{ }^{e} h_{d}{ }^{f} R_{e f}={ }^{(n)} R_{b d}+\epsilon\left\{-\mathscr{L}_{n} K_{b d}-K_{b d} K_{e}{ }^{e}+2 K_{b}{ }^{e} K_{d e}-\epsilon N^{-1} D_{b} D_{d} N\right\}
$$

$$
R={ }^{(n)} R+\epsilon\left\{-2 \mathscr{L}_{n}\left(K_{b d} h^{b d}\right)-\left(K_{e}^{e}\right)^{2}-K_{e f} K^{e f}-2 \epsilon N^{-1} D^{e} D_{e} N\right\}
$$

## one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hline h_{b}{ }^{e} h_{d}{ }^{f} E_{e f} & =h_{b}{ }^{e} h_{d}{ }^{f}\left\{\left[R_{e f}-\frac{1}{2} g_{e f} R\right]-\mathscr{G}_{b d}\right\}=h_{b}{ }^{e} h_{d}{ }^{f}\left\{\left[R_{e f}-\frac{1}{2} h_{e f} R\right]-\mathscr{G}_{b d}\right\} \\
& =\left[{ }^{(n)} R_{b d}-\frac{1}{2} h_{e f}{ }^{(n)} R\right]-{ }^{(n)} \mathscr{G}_{b d}={ }^{(n)} G_{b d}-{ }^{(n)} \mathscr{G}_{b d}={ }^{(n)} E_{b d}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{(n)} \mathscr{G}_{a b}=\mathfrak{S}_{a b} & -\epsilon\left\{-\mathscr{L}_{n} K_{a b}-\left(K_{e}^{e}\right) K_{a b}+2 K_{a e} K_{b}^{e}-\epsilon N^{-1} D_{a} D_{b} N\right. \\
& \left.+h_{a b}\left[\mathscr{L}_{n}\left(K_{e}^{e}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{e}^{e}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} K_{e f} K^{e f}+\epsilon N^{-1} D^{e} D_{e} N\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
{ }^{(n)} E_{i j}=\widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})} \widehat{n}_{i} \widehat{n}_{j}+\left[\widehat{n}_{i} \widehat{E}_{j}^{(\mathcal{M})}+\widehat{n}_{j} \widehat{E}_{i}^{(\mathcal{M})}\right]+\left(\widehat{E}_{i j}^{(\mathcal{E V O L})}+\widehat{\gamma}_{i j} \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}\right)
$$

$\widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}=\widehat{n}^{e} \widehat{n}^{f(n)} E_{e f}, \quad \widehat{E}_{i}^{(\mathcal{M})}=\widehat{\gamma}^{e}{ }_{j} \widehat{n}^{f(n)} E_{e f}, \quad \widehat{E}_{i j}^{(\mathcal{E V O L})}=\widehat{\gamma}^{e}{ }_{i} \widehat{\gamma}^{f}{ }_{j}{ }^{(n)} E_{e f}-\widehat{\gamma}_{i j} \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}$

## Relations between various parts of the basic equations:

Substituting the $[n-1]+1$ splitting of ${ }^{(n)} E_{i j}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{a b^{(n)}} E_{a b} & =0 \\
D^{a}\left[{ }^{(n)} E_{a b}\right]-\epsilon \dot{n}^{(n)} E_{a b} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

as

$$
{ }^{(n)} E_{a b}=h^{e}{ }_{a} h^{f}{ }_{b} E_{e f}=E_{a b}^{(\mathcal{E} \mathcal{O L})}+h_{a b} E^{(\eta)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{a b}{ }^{(n)} E_{a b} & =\boldsymbol{\kappa} \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}+2 \mathbf{k}^{e} \widehat{E}_{e}^{(\mathcal{M})}+\mathbf{K}^{e f} \widehat{E}_{f t}^{(\mathcal{E V O L})}+\left(\mathbf{K}^{e} e\right) \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})} \\
\dot{n}^{a(n)} E_{a b} & =\left[\left(\widehat{n}_{a} \dot{n}^{a}\right) \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}+\left(\dot{n}^{a} \widehat{E}_{a}^{(\mathcal{M})}\right)\right] \widehat{n}_{b}+\left(\widehat{n}_{a} \dot{n}^{a}\right) \widehat{E}_{b}^{(\mathcal{M})}+\dot{n}^{a}\left[\widehat{E}_{a b}^{(\mathcal{E} V C)}+\widehat{\gamma}_{a b} \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\widehat{n}^{e} D^{a}\left[{ }^{(n)} E_{a e}\right]=\mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}} \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}+\widehat{D}^{e} \widehat{E}_{e}^{(\mathcal{M})}+\left(\widehat{K}_{e}^{e}\right) \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}-\left[\widehat{E}_{e f}^{(\mathcal{E V O K})}+\widehat{\gamma}_{e f} \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}\right] \widehat{K}^{e f}-2 \dot{\bar{n}}^{e} \widehat{E}_{e}^{(\mathcal{M})}
$$

$$
\widehat{\gamma}^{e}{ }_{b} D^{a}\left[{ }^{(n)} E_{a e}\right]=\mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}} \widehat{E}_{b}^{(\mathcal{M})}+\widehat{D}^{e}\left[\widehat{E}_{e b}^{(\mathcal{E} V O G}+\widehat{\gamma}_{e b} \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}\right]+\left(\widehat{K}^{e}{ }_{e}\right) \widehat{E}_{b}^{(\mathcal{M})}-\dot{\hat{n}}^{e} \widehat{E}_{e b}^{(\mathcal{E V O c})}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}} \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}+\widehat{\gamma}^{e f} \widehat{D}_{e} \widehat{E}_{f}^{(\mathcal{M})}=\widehat{\mathscr{E}} \\
& \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}} \widehat{E}_{b}^{(\mathcal{M})}+\widehat{D}_{b} \widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}=\widehat{\mathscr{E}}_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Longrightarrow \mathbf{I F} \widehat{E}_{e f}^{(\mathcal{E V O L})}=0$ holds: a linear and homogeneous FOSH for $\left(\widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}, \widehat{E}_{i}^{(\mathcal{M})}\right)^{T}$

## A fully constrained evolutionary scheme?

## Theorem

- Assume that the primary constraint expressions $E^{(\mathcal{H})}$ and $E_{a}^{(\mathcal{M})}$ vanish on the $\sigma=$ const level surfaces, also that
- the secondary constraint expressions $\widehat{E}^{(\mathcal{H})}$ and $\widehat{E}_{a}^{(\mathcal{M})}$ vanish along the hypersurface yielded by the Lie dragging, $\mathscr{W}_{\rho_{0}}=\Phi_{\sigma}\left[\mathscr{S}_{\rho_{0}}\right]$, of one of the level surfaces $\mathscr{S}_{\rho_{0}}$ foliating $\Sigma_{0}$.
$\bullet \Longrightarrow$
Then, to get solutions to the full set of Einstein's equations $G_{a b}-\mathscr{G}_{a b}=0$ it suffices-regardless whether the primary metric $g_{a b}$ is Riemannian or Lorentzian-to solve, in addition, only the secondary reduced equations $\widehat{E}_{i j}^{(\mathcal{E} \mathcal{O L})}=0$.


Remark (i).: the Lie dragging is done by using the one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms, $\Phi_{\sigma}$, associated by the "time evolution vector field" $\sigma^{a}$ - could be only a world-line

Remark (ii): if one wants to setup an initial-boundary value problem on either side of the hypersurface $\mathscr{W}_{\rho_{0}}$ the previous theorem provides a clear mean to identify the geometrical freedom we have on $\mathscr{W}_{\rho_{0}}$

