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## Motivations:

## GW observations:

- inspiral and merger of binary black holes is of distinguished importance for the emerging field of gravitational wave astronomy
- non-linearities necessitate the use of accurate numerical approaches in determining the emitted waveforms
- precision of these simulations-in particular, their initializations-is of critical importance in enhancing the detection of gravitational wave signals


## A new construction:

- I. Rácz: Constraints as evolutionary systems, Class. Quantum Grav. 33015014 (2016)
- I. Rácz: A simple method of constructing binary black hole initial data, arXiv:1605.01669
- I. Rácz: On the ADM charges of multiple black holes, arXiv:1608.02283
- A. Nakonieczna, L. Nakonieczny and I. Rácz: Black hole initial data by numerical integration of the parabolic-hyperbolic form of the constraints, arXiv:1712.00607


## Intitialization:

## The constraints:

- vacuum initial data:

$$
\left(h_{i j}, K_{i j}\right) \text { on a 3-dimensional manifold } \Sigma
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{(3)} R+\left(K^{e} e\right)^{2}-K_{e f} K^{e f} & =0 \\
D_{e} K^{e}{ }_{a}-D_{a} K^{e}{ }_{e} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{a}$ denotes the covariant derivative operator associated with $h_{a b}$

- it is an underdetermined system: 4 equations for 12 variables


## New variables by applying $2+1$ decompositions:

## Splitting of the metric $h_{i j}$ :

## assume

$$
\Sigma \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathscr{S}
$$

$\Sigma$ is smoothly foliated by a one-parameter family of two-surfaces $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$ : $\rho=$ const level surfaces of a smooth real function $\rho: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\partial_{i} \rho \neq 0$

$$
\Longrightarrow \quad \partial_{i} \rho \& h^{i j} \longrightarrow \widehat{n}_{i}, \widehat{n}^{i}=h^{i j} \widehat{n}_{j} \ldots \widehat{\gamma}_{j}^{i}=\delta_{j}^{i}-\widehat{n}^{i} \widehat{n}_{j}
$$

- choose $\rho^{i}$ to be a vector field on $\Sigma$ : the integral curves. . . \& $\rho^{i} \partial_{i} \rho=1$
- 'lapse' and 'shift' of $\rho^{i}$

$$
\rho^{i}=\widehat{N} \widehat{n}^{i}+\widehat{N}^{i}
$$

$$
\widehat{N}=\rho^{i} \widehat{n}_{i}, \quad \widehat{N}^{i}=\widehat{\gamma}^{i}{ }_{j} \rho^{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}=\widehat{\gamma}^{k}{ }_{i} \widehat{\gamma}^{l}{ }_{j} h_{k l}
$$

- the metric $h_{i j}$ can then be given as

$$
h_{i j}=\widehat{\gamma}_{i j}+\widehat{n}_{i} \widehat{n}_{j} \quad \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\widehat{N}, \widehat{N}^{i}, \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}\right\}
$$

## $2+1$ decompositions:

Splitting of the symmetric tensor field $K_{i j}$ :

$$
K_{i j}=\boldsymbol{\kappa} \widehat{n}_{i} \widehat{n}_{j}+\left[\widehat{n}_{i} \mathbf{k}_{j}+\widehat{n}_{j} \mathbf{k}_{i}\right]+\mathbf{K}_{i j}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{\kappa}=\widehat{n}^{k} \widehat{n}^{l} K_{k l}, \quad \mathbf{k}_{i}=\widehat{\gamma}^{k}{ }_{i} \widehat{n}^{l} K_{k l} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{K}_{i j}=\widehat{\gamma}^{k}{ }_{i} \widehat{\gamma}^{l}{ }_{j} K_{k l}
$$

- the trace and trace free parts of $\mathbf{K}_{i j}$

$$
\mathbf{K}_{l}^{l}=\hat{\gamma}^{k l} \mathbf{K}_{k l} \quad \text { and } \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{K}}_{i j}=\mathbf{K}_{i j}-\frac{1}{2} \widehat{\gamma}_{i j} \mathbf{K}_{l}^{l}
$$

The new variables:
-

$$
\left(h_{i j}, K_{i j}\right) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad\left(\widehat{N}, \widehat{N}^{i}, \widehat{\gamma}_{i j} ; \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mathbf{k}_{i}, \mathbf{K}_{l}^{l}, \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{K}}_{i j}\right)
$$

- these variables retain the physically distinguished nature of $h_{i j}$ and $K_{i j}$


## The parabolic-hyperbolic form of the constraints:

An evolutionary system for the constrained fields $\widehat{N}, \mathbf{k}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{K}^{l}{ }_{l}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\star}{K}\left[\left(\partial_{\rho} \widehat{N}\right)-\widehat{N}^{l}\left(\widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right)\right]-\widehat{N}^{2}\left(\widehat{D}^{l} \widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right)-\mathcal{A} \widehat{N}+\mathcal{B} \widehat{N}^{3}=0 \\
& \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}} \mathbf{k}_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \widehat{D}_{i}\left(\mathbf{K}_{l}^{l}\right)-\widehat{D}_{i} \boldsymbol{\kappa}+\widehat{D}^{l} \mathbf{K}_{l i}+\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{\star} \mathbf{k}_{i}+\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{K}_{l}^{l}\right)\right] \dot{\hat{n}}_{i}-\dot{\hat{n}}^{l} \mathbf{K}_{l i}=0 \\
& \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{l}^{l}\right)-\widehat{D}^{l} \mathbf{k}_{l}-\widehat{N} \hat{K}\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{K}_{l}^{l}\right)\right]+\widehat{N} \mathbf{K}_{k l} \overleftarrow{K}^{k l}+2 \dot{\hat{n}}^{l} \mathbf{k}_{l}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widehat{D}_{i}$ denotes the covariant derivative operator associated with $\widehat{\gamma}_{i j}$

$$
\stackrel{\hbar}{K}=\frac{1}{2} \widehat{\gamma}^{i j} \mathscr{L}_{\rho} \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}-\widehat{D}_{j} \widehat{N}^{j}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\star}{K}_{i j}=\frac{1}{2} \mathscr{L}_{\rho} \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}-\widehat{D}_{(i} \widehat{N}_{j)}, \quad \dot{\vec{n}}_{k}=\widehat{n}^{l} D_{l} \widehat{n}_{k}=-\widehat{D}_{k}(\ln \widehat{N}) \\
& \mathcal{A}=\left(\partial_{\rho} \stackrel{\star}{K}\right)-\widehat{N}^{l}\left(\widehat{D}_{l} \stackrel{\star}{K}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\stackrel{\star}{K}^{2}+\stackrel{\star}{K}_{k l} \stackrel{\star}{K}^{k l}\right] \\
& \mathcal{B}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\widehat{R}+2 \boldsymbol{\kappa}\left(\mathbf{K}_{l}^{l}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2}-2 \mathbf{k}^{l} \mathbf{k}_{l}-\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{k l} \stackrel{\circ}{K}^{k l}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## The parabolic-hyperbolic system:

## The parabolic-hyperbolic system:

- no restriction applies to $\widehat{N}^{i}, \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{K}}_{i j} \Longrightarrow$ they are freely specifiable throughout $\Sigma$
- the parabolic equation is uniformly parabolic in those subregions of $\Sigma$, where $\stackrel{\star}{K}$ is either positive or negative
- $\stackrel{\star}{K}$ depends exclusively on the freely specifiable fields $\widehat{\gamma}_{i j}$ and $\widehat{N}^{i} \Longrightarrow$ its sign can be tailored according to the desire of the investigated problem
- the combined evolutionary system is (locally) well-posed
- once suitable initial values for the constrained fields $\widehat{N}, \mathbf{k}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{l}^{l}$ chosen, on some level surface $\mathscr{S}_{0}$ in $\Sigma$, then, in the domain of dependence of $\mathscr{S}_{0}$, unique solution exists to the evolutionary system
- the fields $h_{i j}$ and $K_{i j}$ that can be reconstructed from the freely specifiable and constrained variables do satisfy the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints

The Kerr black hole:

## In Kerr-Schild form:

$$
g_{\alpha \beta}=\eta_{\alpha \beta}+2 H \ell_{\alpha} \ell_{\beta}
$$

- inertial coordinates $(t, x, y, z)$ adapted to the Minkowski background $\eta_{\alpha \beta}$

$$
H=\frac{r^{3} M}{r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}}
$$

$$
\ell_{\alpha}=\left(1, \frac{r x+a y}{r^{2}+a^{2}}, \frac{r y-a x}{r^{2}+a^{2}}, \frac{z}{r}\right)
$$

- the Boyer-Lindquist radial coordinate $r$ is related to the spatial part of the inertial coordinates as

$$
r^{4}-\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-a^{2}\right) r^{2}-a^{2} z^{2}=0
$$

## $t=$ const slices in Kerr spacetime:



## Generic Kerr-Schild black holes:

- the Kerr-Schild metrics are form-invariant under Lorentz transformations
- if a Lorentz transformation $x^{\prime \alpha}=\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} x^{\beta}$ is performed
- the metric retains its distinguished Kerr-Schild form

$$
g_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}=\eta_{\alpha \beta}+2 H^{\prime} \ell_{\alpha}^{\prime} \ell_{\beta}^{\prime}
$$

- where $H^{\prime}=H^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \alpha}\right)$ and $\ell_{\beta}^{\prime}=\ell_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \varepsilon}\right)$ are given as

$$
H^{\prime}=H\left(\left[\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}\right]^{-1} x^{\prime \beta}\right), \ell_{\beta}^{\prime}=\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \ell_{\alpha}\left(\left[\Lambda^{\varepsilon}{ }_{\varphi}\right]^{-1} x^{\prime \varphi}\right)
$$

- boosts and spatial rotations are special Lorentz transformations $\Longrightarrow$ it is straightforward to construct models of moving and rotating black holes with preferably oriented speed and spin


## Superposed Kerr-Schild black holes:

## A binary system will be approximated by:

$$
g_{\alpha \beta}=\eta_{\alpha \beta}+2 H^{[1]} \ell_{\alpha}^{[1]} \ell_{\beta}^{[1]}+2 H^{[2]} \ell_{\alpha}{ }^{[2]} \ell_{\beta}{ }^{[2]} \quad(*)
$$

- $H^{[n]}$ and $\ell_{\alpha}{ }^{[n]}$ correspond to the Kerr-Schild data for individual black holes
- not a solution! but good approximation close to the individual black holes
- Einstein tensor falls off at the rate $\mathcal{O}\left(|\vec{x}|^{-4}\right)$, where $|\vec{x}|=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}$


## Choice for the free data:

- $\widehat{N}^{i}, \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{K}}_{i j}$ as if $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ solved the Einstein equations
- $\widehat{N}, \mathbf{K}^{l}{ }_{l}$ and $\mathbf{k}_{i}$ on some level surface $\mathscr{S}_{0}$ in $\Sigma$ deduced from $\left(^{*}\right)$ [only on $\mathscr{S}_{0}$ !]


## The initial-boundary value problem:

the $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$ surfaces have tacitly been assumed to be compact without boundary:

- in numerical approaches $\Sigma$ is chosen to be a large but bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$
- the product structure $\Sigma \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathscr{S}$ can be guaranteed by choosing the $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$ leaves to be diffeomorphic to a closed disk in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$
choose $\Sigma$ to be a cube centered at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ :

- for large enough value of $A \ldots$
- boundary of $\Sigma$ :
six squares each with edges of size $2 A$
- the black holes are assumed to be located on the $z=0$ plane
- speeds are parallel, spins are orthogonal to the $z=0$ plane
- foliation by $z=$ const level surfaces
- deduce $\stackrel{\star}{K}$ from $\left(^{*}\right)$


## Solving the initial-boundary value problem:

## The parabolic-hyperbolic system:

- $\stackrel{\star}{K}$ can be given as $\stackrel{\star}{K}=-z \cdot \stackrel{t}{K}$
- $\stackrel{\not k}{K}$ is positive below the $z=0$ plane, while it is negative above that plane
- solved by propagating, along the $z$-streamlines, initial values specified on the horizontal $z= \pm A$ squares
- boundary values are to be given on the four vertical sides of the cube

- $\widehat{N}, \mathbf{K}^{l}{ }_{l}$ and $\mathbf{k}_{i}$ are developed on $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$separately
- global existence and matching of these solutions at their common Cauchy horizon-at the $z=0$ plane-is of fundamental importance
- the auxiliary metric $\left(^{*}\right)$ possesses a $z \rightarrow-z$ reflection symmetry
- (apart from singularities) the existence of unique (at least) $C^{2}$ solutions with proper matching at the "common Cauchy horizon" can be verified


## Input parameters and global ADM charges:

- Input parameters: the rest masses $M^{[n]}$, displacements $\vec{d}{ }^{[n]}$, speeds $\vec{v}{ }^{[n]}$ and spins $a^{[n]} \vec{s}_{\circ}^{[n]}$ of the involved black holes
- essentially the same as used in post-Newtonian description of binaries !!!
- Global ADM charges: in terms of the input parameters
- though $\left(^{*}\right)$ does not satisfy Einstein's equations it is asymptotically flat
- constructed by adding contributions of individual black hole metrics to a Minkowski background
- the ADM quantities are linear in deviation from flat Euclidean space at infinity

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{A D M}= & \gamma^{[1]} M^{[1]}+\gamma^{[2]} M^{[2]} \\
M^{A D M} \vec{d}^{A D M}= & \gamma^{[1]} M^{[1]} \vec{d}^{[1]}+\gamma^{[2]} M^{[2]} \vec{d}^{[2]} \\
\vec{P}^{A D M}= & \gamma^{[1]} M^{[1]} \vec{v}^{[1]}+\gamma^{[2]} M^{[2]} \vec{v}^{[2]} \\
\vec{J}^{A D M}= & \gamma^{[1]}\left\{M^{[1]} \vec{d}^{[1]} \times \vec{v}^{[1]}+M^{[1]} a^{[1]} \vec{s}_{\circ}^{[1]}\right\} \\
& +\gamma^{[2]}\left\{M^{[2]} \vec{d}^{[2]} \times \vec{v}^{[2]}+M^{[2]} a^{[2]} \vec{s}_{\circ}^{[2]}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary:

(1) a new method to initialize time evolution of binary black hole systems by applying

- a parabolic-hyperbolic formulation of constraint equations
- superposing Kerr-Schild black holes
(2) the parabolic-hyperbolic equations solved as an initial-boundary value problem
(3) existence of unique (at least) $C^{2}$ solutions is guaranteed (apart from singularities)
(0) the input parameters-the rest masses, speeds, spins and displacements-are essentially the same as used in PN !!!
(0) each of the ADM charges can be given in terms of the input parameters
( no use of boundary conditions in the strong field regime (tidal deformations)

