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## Motivations:

## GR is a metric theory of gravity:

- it is highly non-trivial to talk about, for instance, the mass, energy or angular momentum of certain bounded spatial regions
- "... it is almost certain that we have to understand conserved (or quasi conserved) quantities which can control the field in a more local manner. In other words, we expect some concept of quasi-local mass will be useful."
- efforts to prove the positive mass theorem and the Penrose inequalities using certain quasi-local quantities Geroch (1973), Wald, Jang (1977), Jang (1978), Kijowski (1986), Chruściel (1986), Jezieski, Kijowski (1987), Huisken, IImanen (1997, 2001), Frauendiener (2001), Bray (2001), Malec, Mars, Simon (2002), Bray, Lee (2009),...


## The aim is to outline:

- a simple construction of Riemannian three-spaces with prescribed, whence globally existing regular foliation and flow such that
- the (quasi-local) Geroch mass-that can be evaluated on the leaves of the foliations-is non-decreasing with respect to the applied flow
- the foliation gets to be quasi-convex w.r.t. the constructed three-metric


## Foliations by topological two-spheres:



- consider a smooth 3-dimensional manifold $\Sigma$ with a Riemannian metric $h_{i j}$
- assume

$$
\Sigma \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathscr{S}
$$

$$
\operatorname{origin}(s)(!)
$$

i.e. $\Sigma$ is smoothly foliated by a one-parameter family of two-surfaces $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$ : $\rho=$ const level surfaces of a smooth real function $\rho: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\partial_{i} \rho \neq 0$

- $\Longrightarrow \partial_{i} \rho \& h^{i j} \longrightarrow \widehat{n}_{i}, \widehat{n}^{i}=h^{i j} \widehat{n}_{j} \ldots \widehat{\gamma}^{i}{ }_{j}=\delta^{i}{ }_{j}-\widehat{n}^{i} \widehat{n}_{j}$
- 'へ' to distinguish quantities that could also be viewed as fields on the leaves


## Quasi-convex foliations:



- the induced Riemannian metric on the $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$ level sets

$$
\widehat{\gamma}_{i j}=\widehat{\gamma}^{k}{ }_{i} \hat{\gamma}^{l}{ }_{j} h_{k l}
$$

- the extrinsic curvature given by the symmetric tensor field

$$
\widehat{K}_{i j}=\widehat{\gamma}_{i}^{l} D_{l} \widehat{n}_{j}=\frac{1}{2} \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}} \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}, \quad D_{i}, \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}}
$$

- a $\rho=$ const level surface is called to be quasi-convex if its mean curvature, $\widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}=\widehat{\gamma}^{i j} \widehat{K}_{i j}=\widehat{\gamma}^{i j} D_{i} \widehat{n}_{j}$, is positive on $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$


## Flows:



- a smooth vector field $\rho^{i}$ on $\Sigma$ is a flow, ("evolution vector field") w.r.t. $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$
- if the integral curves of $\rho^{i}$ intersect each leaves precisely once, and
- if $\rho^{i}$ is scaled such that $\rho^{i} \partial_{i} \rho=1$ holds throughout $\Sigma$
- any smooth flow can be decomposed in terms of its 'lapse' and 'shift' as

$$
\rho^{i}=\widehat{N} \widehat{n}^{i}+\widehat{N}^{i} \quad \widehat{N}=\rho^{i} \widehat{n}_{i}=\left(\widehat{n}^{i} \partial_{i} \rho\right)^{-1} \quad \widehat{N}^{i}=\widehat{\gamma}^{i}{ }_{j} \rho^{j}
$$

- the lapse measures the normal separation of the surfaces $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$


## Variation of the area:

- to any quasi-convex foliation $\exists$ a (quasi-local) orientation of the leaves $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$
- a flow $\rho^{i}$ is called outward pointing if the area is increasing w.r.t. it
- variation of the area $\mathscr{A}_{\rho}=\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ of the $\rho=$ const level surfaces, w.r.t. $\rho^{i}$

$$
\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \mathscr{A}_{\rho}=\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}} \mathscr{L}_{\rho} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}=\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}}\left\{\widehat{N}\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}{ }_{l}\right)+\left(\widehat{D}_{i} \widehat{N}^{i}\right)\right\} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}=\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}} \widehat{N}\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right) \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}
$$

the relations $\mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}=\left(\widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}\right) \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\widehat{N}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}=\frac{1}{2} \widehat{\gamma}^{i j} \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{N}} \widehat{\gamma}_{i j} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}=\left(\widehat{D}_{i} \widehat{N}^{i}\right) \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$, along with the vanishing of the integral of the total divergence $\widehat{D}_{i} \widehat{N}^{i}$, were applied.

- $\widehat{N}$ does not vanish on $\Sigma$ unless the Riemannian three-metric

$$
h^{i j}=\widehat{\gamma}^{i j}+\widehat{N}^{-2}\left(\rho^{i}-\widehat{N}^{i}\right)\left(\rho^{j}-\widehat{N}^{j}\right)
$$

gets to be singular

- for quasi-convex foliations $\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}>0 \Longrightarrow$ the area is increasing w.r.t. $\rho^{i}$
- the orientations by $\widehat{n}^{i}$ and $\rho^{i}$ coincide


## The Geroch mass:

- the (quasi-local) Geroch mass (equal to the Hawking mass only if $K^{i}{ }_{i}=0$ )

$$
m_{\mathcal{G}}=\frac{\mathscr{A}_{\rho}^{1 / 2}}{64 \pi^{3 / 2}} \int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}}\left[2 \widehat{R}-\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2}\right] \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}
$$

where $\widehat{R}$ is the scalar curvature of the metric $\widehat{\gamma}_{i j}$ on the leaves

- for quasi-convex foliations the area $\mathscr{A}_{\rho}$ is monotonously increasing
- it suffices to investigate

$$
W(\rho)=\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}}\left[2 \widehat{R}-\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2}\right] \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}
$$

- if both $\mathscr{A}_{\rho}$ and $W(\rho)$ were non-decreasing, and for some specific $\rho_{*}$ value, $W\left(\rho_{*}\right)$ was zero or positive then $m_{\mathcal{G}} \geq 0$ would hold to the exterior of $\mathscr{S}_{\rho_{*}}$ in $\Sigma$


## The variation of $W(\rho)$ :

- the key equation we shall use relates the scalar curvatures of $h_{i j}$ and $\widehat{\gamma}_{i j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(3)} R=\widehat{R}-\left\{2 \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}}\left(\widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}\right)+\left(\widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}\right)^{2}+\widehat{K}_{k l} \widehat{K}^{k l}+2 \widehat{N}^{-1} \widehat{D}^{l} \widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right\} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}_{\rho} W & =-\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}} \mathscr{L}_{\rho}\left[\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2} \widehat{\epsilon}\right]=-\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}}\left\{\widehat{N} \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}}\left[\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2} \widehat{\epsilon}\right]+\mathscr{L}_{\widehat{N}}\left[\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\right]\right\} \\
& =-\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}}\left(\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}\right)\left[2 \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}}\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)+\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2}\right] \widehat{\epsilon}-\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}} \widehat{D}_{i}\left[\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2} \widehat{N}^{i}\right] \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \\
& =-\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}}\left(\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}\right)\left[\left(\widehat{R}-{ }^{(3)} R\right)-\widehat{K}_{k l} \widehat{K}^{k l}-2 \widehat{N}^{-1} \widehat{D}^{l} \widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right] \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- where on $1^{\text {st }}$ line $\rho^{i}=\widehat{N} \widehat{n}^{i}+\widehat{N}^{i}$ and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem
- on $2^{\text {nd }}$ line the relations $\mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}=\left(\widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}\right) \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\widehat{N}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}=\left(\widehat{D}_{i} \widehat{N}^{i}\right) \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$
- on $3^{r d}$ line $\left(^{*}\right)$ and the vanishing of the integral of $\widehat{D}_{i}\left[\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2} \widehat{N}^{i}\right]$ were used


## The variation of $W(\rho)$ :

- by the Leibniz rule

$$
\widehat{N}^{-1} \widehat{D}^{l} \widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}=\widehat{D}^{l}\left(\widehat{N}^{-1} \widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right)+\widehat{N}^{-2} \widehat{\gamma}^{k l}\left(\widehat{D}_{k} \widehat{N}\right)\left(\widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right)
$$

- and by introducing the trace-free part of $\widehat{K}_{i j}$

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{i j}=\widehat{K}_{i j}-\frac{1}{2} \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right), \quad \widehat{K}_{k l} \widehat{K}^{k l}=\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{k l} \stackrel{\circ}{K}^{k l}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2}
$$

- and using the vanishing of the integral of the total divergence $\widehat{D}^{l}\left(\widehat{N}^{-1} \widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}_{\rho} W= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}}\left(\widehat{N} \widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)\left[2 \widehat{R}-\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2}\right] \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \\
& +\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}}\left(\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}\right)\left[{ }^{(3)} R+\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{k l} \stackrel{\circ}{K}^{k l}+2 \widehat{N}^{-2} \widehat{\gamma}^{k l}\left(\widehat{D}_{k} \widehat{N}\right)\left(\widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right)\right] \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rigidity of the setup:

- if the product $\widehat{N} \widehat{K}_{l}{ }_{l}$ could be replaced by its mean value

$$
\widehat{\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}}=\frac{\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}} \hat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l} \widehat{\epsilon}}{\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\rho}} \hat{\epsilon}} \quad \widehat{\hat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}}{ }_{l}=\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right]
$$

$$
\left[\left(64 \pi^{3 / 2}\right) /\left(\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \cdot \mathscr{L}_{\rho} m_{\mathcal{G}}=\mathscr{L}_{\rho} W+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right]\right) W \geq 0
$$

- once in addition to $h_{i j}$ a foliation and a flow are fixed not only the mean curvature $\widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}$ BUT the lapse $\widehat{N}$ and the shift $\widehat{N}^{i}$ get also to be fixed

$$
\widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}=\widehat{\gamma}^{i j} \widehat{K}_{i j}=\widehat{\gamma}^{i j} D_{i} \widehat{n}_{j}
$$

$$
\widehat{N}=\rho^{i} \widehat{n}_{i}=\left(\widehat{n}^{i} \partial_{i} \rho\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\widehat{N}^{i}=\widehat{\gamma}^{i}{ }_{j} \rho^{j}
$$

- the only "freedom" is a relabeling of the leaves by using a function $\bar{\rho}=\bar{\rho}(\rho)$ but this cannot yield more than a rescaling $\widehat{N} \rightarrow \widehat{N}(\mathrm{~d} \rho / \mathrm{d} \bar{\rho})$ of the lapse
- (!) at best $\widehat{N} \widehat{K}_{l}^{l}$ is a smooth positive function on the leaves of the foliation


## How to get control on the monotonicity?

What we have by hands: $\left\{\widehat{N}, \widehat{N}^{A}, \widehat{\gamma}_{A B} ; \rho: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \rho^{i}=\left(\partial_{\rho}\right)^{i}\right\}$

- a Riemannian metric $h_{i j}$ defined on a three-surface $\Sigma$
- $\Sigma$ is foliated by topological two-spheres: $\Sigma \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{2} ; \quad \rho: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is chosen
- a flow $\rho^{i}$ was also fixed on $\Sigma$ such that $\rho^{i} \partial_{i} \rho=1$
- the later two can be used to introduce coordinates ( $\rho, x^{A}$ ) adapted to the flow:

$$
\rho^{i}=\left(\partial_{\rho}\right)^{i} \leftrightarrow \delta^{i}{ }_{\rho}, \quad \hat{N}^{i}=\delta^{i}{ }_{A} \widehat{N}^{A} \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}=\delta^{A}{ }_{i} \delta^{B}{ }_{j} \widehat{\gamma}_{A B}
$$

$\widehat{N}^{A}$ and $\widehat{\gamma}_{A B}$ depend smoothly on $\rho, x^{A}$, where $A$ takes the values 2,3

- line element of the Riemannian metric $h_{i j}$

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\widehat{N}^{2} \mathrm{~d} \rho^{2}+\widehat{\gamma}_{A B}\left(\mathrm{~d} x^{A}+\widehat{N}^{A} \mathrm{~d} \rho\right)\left(\mathrm{d} x^{B}+\widehat{N}^{B} \mathrm{~d} \rho\right)
$$

## Our task:

- choose a maximal subset of the fields $\left\{h_{i j} ; \rho: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \rho^{i}=\left(\partial_{\rho}\right)^{i}\right\}$ such that

$$
\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}=\overline{\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}}{ }_{l}=\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right]
$$

$$
{ }^{(3)} R+\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{k l} \stackrel{\ominus}{K}^{k l}+2 \widehat{N}^{-2} \widehat{\gamma}^{k l}\left(\widehat{D}_{k} \widehat{N}\right)\left(\widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right) \geq 0
$$

## Solution $1^{\circ}$ : using the inverse mean curvature flow (IMCF)

- choose a maximal subset of the fields $\left\{h_{i j} ; \rho: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \rho^{i}=\left(\partial_{\rho}\right)^{i}\right\}$ such that

$$
\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}=\widehat{\hat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}}=\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right]
$$

$$
{ }^{(3)} R+\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{k l} \stackrel{\hat{K}}{ }^{k l}+2 \widehat{N}^{-2} \widehat{\gamma}^{k l}\left(\widehat{D}_{k} \widehat{N}\right)\left(\widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right) \geq 0
$$

- what is if we keep $\left(\Sigma, h_{i j}\right)$ but drop $\rho: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the shift from $\rho^{i}=\left(\partial_{\rho}\right)^{i}$

The foliation and part of the flow is to be determined dynamically

- the inverse mean curvature flow

$$
\rho_{\{I M C F\}}^{i}=\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{-1} \widehat{n}^{i}+\widehat{N}_{\{I M C F\}}^{i}
$$

- as for the corresponding foliation $\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l} \equiv 1$ hold: if this flow existed globally the Geroch mass would be non-decreasing w.r.t it
- one can relax these condition by using a generalized IMCF

$$
\rho^{i}=\mathscr{L}_{\rho}\left(\log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right]\right) \rho_{\{I M C F\}}^{i}
$$

- (!) global existence and regularity is a serious issue


## Solution $2^{\circ}$ : using globally well-behaving foliation ...

- choose a maximal subset of the fields $\left\{h_{i j} ; \rho: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \rho^{i}=\left(\partial_{\rho}\right)^{i}\right\}$ such that

$$
\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}=\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}=\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right]
$$

$$
{ }^{(3)} R+\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{k l} \stackrel{\grave{K}}{ }_{k l}+2 \widehat{N}^{-2} \widehat{\gamma}^{k l}\left(\widehat{D}_{k} \widehat{N}\right)\left(\widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right) \geq 0
$$

- what is if we drop the three-metric $h_{i j}$ BUT keep the globally well-defined foliation $\rho: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with a flow $\rho^{i}=\left(\partial_{\rho}\right)^{i}$ and with induced metric $\widehat{\gamma}_{A B}$ on the leaves: note that now we have coordinates $\left(\rho, x^{A}\right)$ adapted to the flow $\rho^{i}$

Using prescribed foliation, flow, induced metric: $h_{i j} \leftrightarrow \widehat{N}, \widehat{N}^{A}, \widehat{\gamma}_{A B}$

- $\rho^{i}=\widehat{N} \widehat{n}^{i}+\widehat{N}^{i}$ however counterintuitive it is we may always construct shift $\widehat{N}^{i}$ with desirable properties:

$$
\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}=\frac{1}{2} \widehat{\gamma}^{i j} \mathscr{L}_{\rho} \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}-\widehat{D}_{i} \widehat{N}^{i}
$$

- or equivalently, as $\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}=\widehat{N} \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}=\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right]$ wished to be guaranteed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{D}_{A} \widehat{N}^{A}=\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{A B}\right)}\right]-\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right] \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Solution $2^{\circ}$ : using prescribed foliation, flow and $\widehat{\gamma}_{A B}$

## Solving $\widehat{D}_{A} \widehat{N}^{A}=\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{F}_{i j}\right)}\right]-\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right] \quad\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ on $\mathscr{S}_{\rho}$

- on topological two-spheres using then the Hodge decomposition of the shift

$$
\widehat{N}^{A}=\widehat{D}^{A} \chi+\widehat{\epsilon}^{A B} \widehat{D}_{B} \eta, \chi \text { and } \eta \text { are some smooth functions on } \mathscr{S},\left({ }^{* *}\right)
$$

$$
\widehat{D}^{A} \widehat{D}_{A} \chi=\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{A B}\right)}\right]-\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right]
$$

- solubility in terms of spherical harmonics presumes that some standard polar coordinates $(\vartheta, \varphi)$, given on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$, are transfered to $\mathscr{S}$
- by Lie dragging polar coordinates $(\vartheta, \varphi)$ along the prescribed flow $\rho^{i}=\left(\partial_{\rho}\right)^{i}$ $\left.{ }^{(* *}\right)$ can be solved in a synchronized way on each of the leaves throughout $\Sigma$

Not done yet (!) $\quad{ }^{(3)} R+\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{k l} \stackrel{\circ}{K}^{k l}+2 \widehat{N}^{-2} \widehat{\gamma}^{k l}\left(\widehat{D}_{k} \widehat{N}\right)\left(\widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right) \geq 0$

- in clearing up the picture let us have a glance again of the key equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(3)} R=\widehat{R}-\left\{2 \mathscr{L}_{\widehat{n}}\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)+\left(\widehat{K}_{l}^{l}\right)^{2}+\widehat{K}_{k l} \widehat{K}^{k l}+2 \widehat{N}^{-1} \widehat{D}^{l} \widehat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right\} \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A parabolic equation for $\widehat{N}$ :

- as noticed first by Bartnik (1993), while applying quasi-spherical foliations ( ${ }^{*}$ ) can be viewed as a parabolic equation for $\widehat{N}$
- remarkably, (*) can always be seen to be a parabolic eqn for $\widehat{N}$ IF ${ }^{(3)} R, \widehat{\gamma}_{A B}$ and $\widehat{N}^{A}$ can be treated as prescribed fields
- introducing $\stackrel{K}{K}_{i j}=\widehat{N} \widehat{K}_{i j}$ and $\hat{K}=\frac{1}{2} \widehat{\gamma}^{i j} \mathscr{L}_{\rho} \widehat{\gamma}_{i j}-\widehat{D}_{i} \widehat{N}^{i}$ to eliminate hidden occurrence of the lapse in $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) we get

$$
\stackrel{\star}{K}\left[\left(\partial_{\rho} \widehat{N}\right)-\widehat{N}^{l}\left(\hat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right)\right]=\widehat{N}^{2}\left(\widehat{D}^{l} \widehat{N}_{l} \widehat{N}\right)+\mathcal{A} \widehat{N}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\widehat{R}-{ }^{(3)} R\right) \widehat{N}^{3}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}=\partial_{\rho} \stackrel{t}{K}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\star^{2}+\stackrel{\star}{K}_{k l} \stackrel{t}{K}^{k l}\right]$ with $\quad \stackrel{t}{K}=\widehat{\hat{N} \widehat{K}^{l_{l}^{l}}}=\mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right]>0$

- it is standard to obtain existence of unique solutions to this (Bernoulli type) uniformly parabolic PDE in a sufficiently small one-sided neighborhood of $\mathscr{S}$ in $\Sigma$


## Global existence of unique solutions:

- our main concern is global existence (!)
- it should not come as a surprise that an analogous parabolic equation came up in deriving the evolutionary form of the Hamiltonian constraints in [Rácz I: Constrains as evolutionary systems, Class. Quant. Grav. 33015014 (2016)]
- if, e.g., $\quad{ }^{(3)} R+\stackrel{\widehat{\widehat{K}}}{k l}^{\stackrel{\widehat{K}}{ }^{k l}=0}$ global unique solutions exist to

$$
\stackrel{\star}{K}\left[\left(\partial_{\rho} \widehat{N}\right)-\widehat{N}^{l}\left(\hat{D}_{l} \widehat{N}\right)\right]=\widehat{N}^{2}\left(\widehat{D}^{l} \widehat{N}_{l} \widehat{N}\right)+\left(\partial_{\rho} \stackrel{\star}{K}+\frac{3}{4} \stackrel{\star}{K}^{2}\right) \widehat{N}-\frac{1}{2} \widehat{R} \widehat{N}^{3}
$$

- for any smooth positive initial data ${ }_{0} \widehat{N}$ on some $\mathscr{S}_{\rho_{0}}$ a unique positive bounded solution $\widehat{N}$ exists for all $\rho \geq \rho_{0}$
- if $\Sigma \approx \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and the freely specifiable data $\widehat{\gamma}_{A B}$ is chosen such that suitable integral terms approximate their "asymptotically flat forms" then in the $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ limit $\widehat{N} \rightarrow 1$ can also be guaranteed


## Summary:

a simple construction of Riemannian three-spaces with prescribed, whence globally existing regular foliation and flow such that

- the (quasi-local) Geroch mass-that can be evaluated on the leaves of the foliations-is non-decreasing with respect to the applied flow
- the foliation gets to be quasi-convex w.r.t. the constructed three-metric $h_{i j}: \widehat{K}^{l}{ }_{l}=\widehat{N}^{-1} \mathscr{L}_{\rho} \log \left[\mathscr{A}_{\rho}\right]$
- ultimate aim is to construct initial data sets with these properties
- the topology of $\Sigma$ could be: $\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{S}^{3}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}, \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}, \quad(1,2,0,0)$
- the construction applies to wide range of geometrized theories of gravity
- no use of Einstein's equations or any other field equation on the metric of the ambient space had been applied anywhere in our construction
- as only the Riemannian character of the metric on $\Sigma$ was used the signature of the metric on the ambient space could be either Lor. or Euc.

