









Features of the Pseudopotential Method

Pseudopotential is approximation to all-electron case, but...
 <u>Very accurate</u>
 Comparable accuracy to AE in most cases
 Simpler formalism

Low computational cost •Perform calculations on 'real-life' materials

Allows full advantage of plane-wave basis sets •Systematic convergence •Easy to perform dynamics

Basis Expansion around atom

- Previous lectures delocalized basis set (*plane waves*)
- Muffin tin approaches
 - Spherical potentials around each atom
 - Wavefunction expanded in spherical waves (s, p, d, f character)
 - Potential is zero in space between atoms
 - Solution of different sites connected together (multiple scattering, cancellation of orbital tails)







- All APW descendent methods divide space into atomic centered spheres surrounded by an interstitial region
- The APW basis functions consist of *planewaves* in the interstitial region *augmented into radial solutions* of the Schrödinger equation inside the MT-spheres
- For a system with one atom per unit cell







The original Augmented Planewave (APW) method (3)

• The coefficients $a_L^{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{O}}}$ are found by expanding each planewave into Bessel functions $j_l(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{O}}\mathbf{r})$ at the MT-spheres, $r = r_{\mathbf{MT}}$, requiring the basis functions to be continuous at the sphere boundaries

This yields
$$a_L^{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{G}}} = 4\pi i^l Y_L^*(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{G}}) \frac{j_l(k_{\mathbf{G}}r_{\mathrm{MT}})}{u_l(r_{\mathrm{MT}},E)}$$

- The planewaves are energy independent
- The radial solutions u_{I} depend on the energy at which the radial Schrödinger equation is evaluated



The original Augmented Planewave (APW) method (4)

• An eigenfunction $\Phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} C_{i\mathbf{G}} \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k})$

can only be efficiently described by orbital solutions ${\it u}_i$ evaluated at the eigenenergy ${\it {\cal E}}_i$ of Φ_i

- A new set of APW basis functions must therefore be evaluated for each new energy treated.
- As the matrix elements representing operators depend on the choice of basis set the secular equation is non-linear in energy



The original Augmented Planewave (APW) method (6) – An asymptote problem

- The task of finding the APW eigenvalues becomes somewhat more troublesome, due to the *asymptote problem*
- When going through a large number of energies, one might hit an energy for which u_l(r_{MT}, E) is very small or even equals zero.
- This yields very large or infinite coefficients a^t
- The determinant, involving matrix elements with summations over the coefficients will then go to infinity,

$$Det(E) \rightarrow \infty$$

• Any routine used to find the eigenvalues must therefore be adjusted to handle the asymptotic behavior of the determinant at such energies.

The original Augmented Planewave (APW) method (7)

 $\det[T_{\mathbf{GG}'}(E) + V_{\mathbf{GG}'}(E) - E\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{GG}'}(E)] = 0,$

- The procedure of evaluating the determinant for a number of different energies in order to find the energy eigenvalues makes the APW method very time consuming.
- If the basis functions, and thereby the matrix elements were independent on energy, the secular equation would turn into a *general eigenvalue problem*
 - ➡ Linearization procedure





 The two coefficients a_L^{k_G} and b_L^{k_G} are determined by forcing each basis function to be continuously differentiable, i.e., continuous with continuous first derivative, at the surfaces of the MT-spheres.

The Linearized Augmented Plane Wave (LAPW) method

• An energy independent basis set must be able to describe all eigen-functions of the different eigenenergies













Full potential LAPW (FP-LAPW)

• In its general form the LAPW (LAPW+lo) method expands the potential in the following form

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{LM} V_{LM}(r) Y_{LM}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) & \text{inside sphere} \\ \sum_{\mathbf{K}} V_{\mathbf{K}} e^{i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}} & \text{outside sphere} \end{cases}$$

- and the charge densities analogously.
- Thus no shape approximations are made, a procedure frequently called a ``full-potential`` method
- The ``*muffin-tin*`` approximation used in early band calculations corresponds to retaining only the *l* = 0 component in the first expression and only the *K* = 0 component of the second
- This (much older) procedure corresponds to taking the spherical average inside the spheres and the volume average in the interstitial region.

FP LAPW method - Summary

- The LAPW method is a variational expansion approach which solves the equations of DFT by approximating solutions as a finite linear combination of basis functions
- What distinguishes the LAPW method from others is the choice of basis.
- The LAPW basis is constructed to be particularly accurate and efficient for the solution of the *all-electron* ab initio electronic-structure problem, where solutions are rapidly varying and atomic-like (like isolated-atom solutions) near the atoms but more smoothly varying and not atomic-like throughout the rest of the cell

FP LAPW method - Summary

- The atomic-like nature of the LAPW basis in the vicinity of the atoms leads to an efficient representation, while the planewave nature in the interstitial region allows for highly accurate solutions for any atomic arrangement: close-packed or open, high-symmetry or low, surfaces or bulk
- An advantage of the LAPW method over the PPs based methods

is that core and *semicore electrons* are explicitly included in the calculations.

 This can be especially important at high pressures, where outer core states may change substantially.

FP LAPW method - References

- D. Singh, *Plane waves, pseudopotentials and the LAPW method*, Kluwer Academic, 1994
- G.K.H. Madsen, P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, E. Sjöstedt, and L. Nordström, "Efficient linearization of the augmented plane-wave method," Phys. Rev. B 64, 195134 (2001).
- P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G.K.H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka and J. Luitz, *WIEN2k, An Augmented Plane Wave* + *Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties* (Karlheinz Schwarz, Techn. Universität Wien, Austria), 2001. ISBN 3-9501031-1-2.



http://exciting.sourceforge.net

Claudia Ambrosch-Draxl Karl-Franzes Universität Graz, Austria

FP LAPW method - Applications

Theoretical Mineral Physics

Ronald Cohen, Geophysical Laboratory, Carnegie Institution of Washington

The state-of-the-art in theoretical mineral physics uses first-principles methods.

- In 1992, a phase transition in stishovite (SiO₂) from the rutile structure to the CaCl₂ structure at 45 GPa was predicted using the LAPW method
- New Raman experiments found exactly what was predicted theoretically, with a best estimate of the phase transition pressure of 50 GPa.





Theoretical mineral physics

One of the most active areas in first-principles mineral physics is for properties of the Earth's core.





- Temperature of the core ?
- Composition ?

Making Life Easier with ASA Atomic Sphere Approximation

 Many crystals are close-packed systems (fcc, bcc, and hcp)



• Most of the space is filled by atomic spheres

What if we cheat a little...and have the spheres overlap??

 Doing this, we remove the interstitial region and our integration over space becomes an integration of atomic spheres.



- This approach works best when the system is close packed, otherwise we have to pack the system with empty spheres to fill space
 - How to choose radius of spheres?



Muffin Tin Orbital Method











Full Potential Linear Muffin-Tin Orbital (FP-LMTO) method

- In a *full potential* method the idea is to describe the potentials and charge densities in the crystal without the approximation of regarding them as necessarily spherical.
- In FP-LMTO the unit cell is divided into non-overlapping muffin-tin spheres around the atoms and an interstitial region outside these spheres.



Advantages of the LMTO over MTO Speed Improvement: Removal of non-linearity in determinant equation, accelerates calculations.

Accuracy: Eigenvalues correct up to third order in (E-E.)

Limitations: Can run into problems with semi-core d-states outside of the effective energy window.

 \rightarrow Two reference energies often required





• Basics of the alloy theory







Multiple Scattering Theory – Basic Equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_o + V \end{bmatrix} \psi(\vec{r}) = E \psi(\vec{r}) \\
-H_o \text{ is the free space Hamiltonian} \\
-V \text{ is the perturbing potential} \\
-Y \text{ is the electron wavefunction} \\
\psi(\vec{r}) = \chi(\vec{r}) + \int G_o(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') V(\vec{r}') \psi(\vec{r}') d^3 r' \\
\text{• We can express the wavefunction at some position as a sum of the free space wavefunction, χ , and contributions from the perturbing potential, V , at different sites.$$

 In this case, G_o is the free electron propagator and describes motion in regions where no scattering from the potential occurs.

















• The poles of *M*(*E*) determine the *eigenenergies* for the system for a given *k* through the following equation:

$$\frac{\det\left[m(E)-\tilde{G}\left(E,\vec{k}\right)\right]=0}{\Rightarrow} \approx \varepsilon(\vec{k})$$

- This allows us to calculate the system band structure.
- Possibility to calculate non-periodic systems (clusters)

Problem with the KKR method

- Linking interstitial region (V=0) with spherical regions with muffin tin potentials can be difficult
- Determinant used to find band structure is a nonlinear function of energy (energy dependence carried in the site t matrices) – this can not be reduced to a standard matrix eigenvalue problem
- The Solution Linearize the equation LMTO approach (Andersen, PRB, 1975 – 1370 citations)















