

## On Certain Properties of $\varphi$ -functions

by

W. MATUSZEWSKA and W. ORLICZ

Presented by W. ORLICZ on May 5, 1960

1. In this paper we use the same definitions and notations as in [3]. Given a  $\varphi$ -function let us define for  $\lambda > 0$  the following extended-value functions

$$\begin{aligned} (\circ) \quad \underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda) &= \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(\lambda u)}, & (\circ \circ) \quad \bar{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda) &= \overline{\lim}_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(\lambda u)}, \\ (\circ') \quad \underline{h}_{\varphi}^a(\lambda) &= \inf_{u > 0} \frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(\lambda u)}, & (\circ \circ') \quad \bar{h}_{\varphi}^a(\lambda) &= \sup_{u > 0} \frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(\lambda u)}. \end{aligned}$$

### 1.1. There exist limits

$$(*) \quad s_{\varphi} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\lg \underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda}, \quad (***) \quad \sigma_{\varphi} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\lg \bar{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda},$$

and there exist limits (let  $s_{\varphi}^a$  resp.  $\sigma_{\varphi}^a$  stand for these) of analogous expression which appear at (\*), (\*\*\*) on replacing  $\underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda)$ , resp.  $\bar{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda)$ , by  $\underline{h}_{\varphi}^a(\lambda)$ , resp.  $\bar{h}_{\varphi}^a(\lambda)$ .

To prove this let us notice that  $\underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda) \geq 1$  for  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ ,

$$\underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda \mu) = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(\lambda u)} \cdot \frac{\varphi(\lambda u)}{\varphi(\lambda \mu u)} \geq \underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda) \underline{h}_{\varphi}(\mu), \quad 0 < \lambda, \mu \leq 1,$$

and  $\bar{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda \mu) \leq \bar{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda) \bar{h}_{\varphi}(\mu)$ . By suitable substitution we reduce the supermultiplicative function  $\underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda)$ , resp. the submultiplicative function  $\bar{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda)$  to a superadditive, resp. subadditive one and apply the well-known theorem on limits of such functions. For the reader's convenience we shall supply a direct proof in the case (\*). Let  $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$ ; given  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , we determine a positive integer  $n$  so that  $\lambda = \lambda_0^n \mu$ , where  $\lambda_0 < \mu \leq 1$ .  $\underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda)$  being supermultiplicative, we obtain  $\underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda) = \underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda_0^n \mu) \geq (\underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda_0))^n \underline{h}_{\varphi}(\mu)$ . Hence,

$$\frac{\lg \underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda} \geq -\frac{n}{n \lg \lambda_0 + \lg \mu} \lg \underline{h}_{\varphi}(\lambda_0) + \frac{\lg \underline{h}_{\varphi}(\mu)}{-\lg \lambda}.$$

If  $h_\varphi(\lambda_0) < \infty$ , we get with  $\lambda \rightarrow 0 +$

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\lg h_\varphi(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda} \geq \frac{\lg h_\varphi(\lambda_0)}{-\lg \lambda_0}.$$

The latter inequality holds also in the case of  $h_\varphi(\lambda_0) = \infty$  (in that case  $h_\varphi(\lambda) = \infty$  for every  $\lambda, 0 < \lambda < x_0$ ). Thus we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\lg h_\varphi(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda} \geq \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \frac{\lg h_\varphi(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda} \geq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\lg h_\varphi(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda},$$

whence

$$(+) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\lg h_\varphi(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda} = \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \frac{\lg h_\varphi(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda}.$$

Similarly we find

$$(++) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\lg \bar{h}_\varphi(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda} = \inf_{0 < \lambda < 1} \frac{\lg \bar{h}_\varphi(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda}.$$

Relations analogous to (+) and (++) hold for  $\underline{h}_\varphi^a(\lambda)$ ,  $\bar{h}_\varphi^a(\lambda)$ .

**1.2.** If  $\varphi \stackrel{L}{\sim} \psi$  then  $s_\varphi = s_\psi$ ,  $\sigma_\varphi = \sigma_\psi$ ; if  $\varphi \stackrel{a}{\sim} \psi$  then  $s_\varphi^a = s_\psi^a$ ,  $\sigma_\varphi^a = \sigma_\psi^a$ .

If  $\varphi \stackrel{L}{\sim} \psi$ , we have with certain positive constants  $a, b, k_1, k_2$

$$a\varphi(k_1 u) \leq \psi(u) \leq b\varphi(k_2 u)$$

for sufficiently large  $u$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{a}{b} \frac{\varphi(k_1 u)}{\varphi\left(\frac{k_2}{k_1} \lambda k_1 u\right)} = \frac{a}{b} \frac{\varphi(k_1 u)}{\varphi(k_2 \lambda u)} \leq \frac{\psi(u)}{\psi(\lambda u)} \leq \frac{b}{a} \frac{\varphi(k_2 u)}{\varphi(\lambda k_1 u)} = \frac{b}{a} \frac{\varphi(k_2 u)}{\varphi\left(\frac{k_1}{k_2} \lambda k_2 u\right)},$$

$$\frac{a}{b} \underline{h}_\varphi\left(\frac{k_2}{k_1} \lambda\right) \leq \underline{h}_\psi(\lambda) \leq \frac{b}{a} \underline{h}_\varphi\left(\frac{k_1}{k_2} \lambda\right),$$

$$\frac{\lg \underline{h}_\psi(\lambda)}{-\lg \lambda} \leq \frac{\lg \frac{b}{a}}{-\lg \lambda} + \frac{\lg \underline{h}_\varphi\left(\frac{k_1}{k_2} \lambda\right) - \lg \frac{k_1}{k_2} \lambda}{-\lg \frac{k_1}{k_2} \lambda}, \quad \text{for } 0 < \lambda < 1,$$

whence  $s_\psi \leq s_\varphi$ ; we show similarly that  $s_\varphi \leq s_\psi$ . Our argument is untouched in the case  $^a$ . One can prove similarly  $\sigma_\varphi = \sigma_\psi$ , resp.  $\sigma_\varphi^a = \sigma_\psi^a$ .

**1.3.** (a) Let  $0 < s < \infty$ ; in order that  $s_\varphi = s$ , it is necessary and sufficient that

$$(\circ) \quad \varphi(u) = u^s \varrho(u),$$

where  $\varrho(u)$  is continuous, positive for  $u > 0$  and subject to

$$(+) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\lg \lim_{u \leftarrow \infty} \frac{\varrho(u)}{\varrho(\lambda u)}}{-\lg \lambda} = 0.$$



(b) Let  $0 < \sigma < \infty$ ; in order that  $\sigma_\varphi = \sigma$  it is necessary and sufficient that

$$(\circ \circ) \quad \varphi(u) = u^\sigma \varrho_1(u),$$

where  $\varrho_1(u)$  is continuous, positive for  $u > 0$  and subject to

$$(+ +) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\lg \overline{\lim}_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varrho_1(u)}{\varrho_1(\lambda u)}}{-\lg \lambda} = 0.$$

We shall get analogous theorems for indices  $s_\varphi^a$ ,  $\sigma_\varphi^a$  if we replace in the above formulae  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varrho(u)}{\varrho(\lambda u)}$  by  $\inf_{u > 0} \frac{\varrho(u)}{\varrho(\lambda u)}$  resp.  $\overline{\lim}_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varrho_1(u)}{\varrho_1(\lambda u)}$  by  $\sup_{u > 0} \frac{\varrho_1(u)}{\varrho_1(\lambda u)}$ , (on the whole  $\varrho$ ,  $\varrho_1$  are not  $\varphi$ -functions).

1.4.  $\varphi$  is said to satisfy the condition  $(\Delta_a)$ ,  $a > 1$ , for large  $u$ , if  $\varphi(au) \leq d_a \varphi(u)$  for  $u \geq u(a)$ ;  $\varphi$  is said to satisfy the condition  $(\Lambda_a)$ ,  $a > 1$ , for large  $u$ , if with a  $c_a > 1$  we have  $\varphi(u)c_a \leq \varphi(au)$  for  $u \geq u_1(a)$ . If  $u(a)$  resp.  $u_1(a)$  are zero, then we say that the condition  $(\Delta_a)$  resp.  $(\Lambda_a)$  is satisfied for all  $u$  (cf. [3]).

1.4.1. (a) If  $s_\varphi > 0$ , then  $(\Lambda_a)$  is satisfied for large  $u$  and one may pick up, given an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , some  $a > 1$  and  $c_a$  so that  $(\lg c_a)(\lg a)^{-1} > s_\varphi - \varepsilon$ . If  $(\Delta_a)$  holds for large  $u$ , we have  $s_\varphi \geq (\lg c_a)(\lg a)^{-1} > 0$ .

(b) If  $\sigma_\varphi < \infty$  then  $(\Delta_a)$  is satisfied for large  $u$  and one may pick up, given an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , some  $a > 1$ ,  $d_a$  so that  $(\lg d_a)(\lg a)^{-1} < \sigma_\varphi + \varepsilon$ . If  $(\Lambda_a)$  holds for large  $u$ , we have  $\sigma_\varphi \leq (\lg d_a)(\lg a)^{-1} < \infty$ .

Analogous theorems hold for indices  $s_\varphi^a$  resp.  $\sigma_\varphi^a$  and conditions  $(\Delta_a)$  resp.  $(\Lambda_a)$  for all  $u$ .

Let  $s_\varphi < \infty$ ,  $s_\varphi - \varepsilon/2 = s'$ ,  $s_\varphi - \varepsilon = s$  and let with a certain  $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$  be

$$\frac{\lg \underline{h}_\varphi(\lambda_0)}{-\lg \lambda_0} > s',$$

whence  $\underline{h}_\varphi(\lambda_0) \geq \lambda_0^{-s'}$  and for  $0 < c < 1$  we have, provided  $u \geq u_0(c)$ ,  $\varphi(u)/\varphi(\lambda_0 u) \geq c^{s'} \lambda_0^{-s'}$ . Let  $\alpha = 1/\lambda_0$ ; for  $u \geq u_0(c)$  we have  $\varphi(au) \geq c^{s'} \alpha^{s'} \varphi(u)$ . It follows that choosing  $c$  sufficiently near to 1,  $c\alpha > 1$ , we get for sufficiently large  $u$  the inequality  $\varphi(au) \geq c_\alpha \varphi(u)$ , where  $c_\alpha = (c\alpha)^{s'}$ ,

$$\frac{\lg c_\alpha}{\lg \alpha} = s' + s' \frac{\lg c}{\lg \alpha} > s.$$

For  $s_\varphi = \infty$  we choose  $s < s' < \infty$  arbitrarily. Suppose  $\varphi$  satisfying  $(\Delta_a)$  for large  $u$  with a certain  $\alpha > 1$  and with constant  $c_\alpha$ . Hence, for large  $u$ ,

$$\frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(\lambda_0 u)} \geq c_\alpha, \text{ with } \lambda_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha},$$

$\underline{h}_\varphi(\lambda_0) \geq c_\alpha$ ,  $\lg \underline{h}_\varphi(\lambda_0)/-\lg \lambda_0 \geq \lg c_\alpha/\lg \alpha$  and it remains to appeal to 1.1 (+).

(b) can be proved similarly.

We arrive at the following corollary:  $\sigma_\varphi < \infty$  is equivalent to  $(\Delta_\alpha)$ ,  $s_\varphi > 0$  is equivalent to  $(\Delta_\alpha)$ .

2. Let  $\varrho$  be an arbitrary function continuous and non-vanishing for  $u > 0$ .  $\varrho$  will be said *pseudo-increasing for large  $u$*  if there exist positive constants  $m, n$  such that

$$\varrho(u_2) \geq m\varrho(nu_1) \text{ for } u_2 \geq u_1 \geq u_0;$$

changing in the above inequality sign  $\geq$  to  $\leq$  we come to the definition of *pseudo-decreasing (for large  $u$ ) function*; if  $u_0 = 0$  we get the definitions of *pseudo-increasing (-decreasing) function for all  $u$* .

$\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  is said to be  $(\Delta, s)$  resp.  $(\Delta, \sigma)$ -representable ( $s > 0, \sigma > 0$ ) if  $\varphi(u) = \psi(u^s)$ , where  $\psi$  is a convex  $\varphi$ -function, resp.  $\varphi(u) = \psi(u^\sigma)$ , where  $\psi$  is a concave  $\varphi$ -function. We have for  $(\Delta, s)$ -representable functions  $s_\varphi = s s_\psi$ ,  $\sigma_\varphi = s \sigma_\psi$  and analogous relations hold for  $(\Delta, \sigma)$ -representable functions.

2.1. (a) In order that  $\varphi \stackrel{L}{\sim} \chi$ , where  $\chi$  is  $(\Delta, s)$ -representable, it is necessary and sufficient that  $\varphi$  be of form 1.3, (o), where  $\varrho$  is pseudo-increasing for large  $u$ .

(b) In order that  $\varphi \stackrel{L}{\sim} \chi$ , where  $\chi$  is  $(\Delta, \sigma)$ -representable, it is necessary and sufficient that  $\varphi$  be of form 1.3, (oo), where  $\varrho_1$  is pseudo-decreasing for large  $u$ .

The theorem does not cease to hold if we replace  $\stackrel{L}{\sim}$  by  $\stackrel{a}{\sim}$  and the words "for large  $u$ " by "for all  $u$ ".

For  $s=1$ , resp.  $\sigma=1$ , the above is equivalent to theorem 2.3 from [3] and the case of arbitrary  $s, \sigma$  may be switched to the latter one. For the details of proof see [4].

2.2. If (+)  $\varphi(au) \leq ca^s \varphi(u/\varepsilon)$  ( $s > 0$ ), where  $c > 0$ , for  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  and for (++)  $a^s \varphi(u/\varepsilon) \geq a > 0$ , then  $\varphi \stackrel{L}{\sim} \chi$ , where  $\chi$  is  $(\Delta, s)$ -representable.

Let  $a^s = (\varphi(u/\varepsilon))^{-1} a$ ; we have  $\varphi(a^{1/s} u \varphi(u/\varepsilon)^{-1/s}) \leq ca$ , whence  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} u^{-s} \varphi(u/\varepsilon) > d > 0$ . Let  $u_2 \geq u_1 \geq u_0$ , where  $u^{-s} \varphi(u/\varepsilon) \geq d/2$  for  $u \geq u_0$ ,  $a = u_1/u_2$ . Since for sufficiently large  $u_0$  we have  $(u_1/u_2)^s \varphi(u_2/\varepsilon) \geq u_0^s d/2 \geq a$  it follows that  $\varphi(au_2) = \varphi(u_1) \leq c(u_1/u_2)^s \varphi(u_2/\varepsilon)$ , i.e. writing  $\varrho(u) = \varphi(u)u^{-s}$  we get  $\varrho(u_1) \leq c\varrho(u_2/\varepsilon)$  for large  $u$ .

2.2.1. If (+) holds for those  $a, u$  for which (++) is satisfied and, moreover, for  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  and  $u$  sufficiently small, then  $\varphi \stackrel{a}{\sim} \chi$ , where  $\chi$  is  $(\Delta, s)$ -representable.

2.3. (a) If  $s_\varphi > 0$  then with every  $s < s_\varphi$  we have  $\varphi \stackrel{L}{\sim} \chi_s$ , where  $\chi_s$  is  $(\Delta, s)$ -representable, we have  $\varphi \stackrel{L}{\sim} \chi$ , where  $\chi$  is  $(\Delta, s_\varphi)$ -representable, if and only if  $\varphi$  is of form 1.3, (o) with  $s = s_\varphi$  and  $\varrho$  pseudo-increasing for large  $u$  and satisfying 1.3, (+). With no  $s > s_\varphi$   $\varphi$  is  $l$ -equivalent to a  $(\Delta, s)$ -representable  $\chi_s$ .



(b) If  $\sigma_\varphi < \infty$ , then with every  $\sigma_\varphi < \sigma$  we have  $\varphi \stackrel{L}{\sim} \chi_\sigma$ , where  $\chi_\sigma$  is  $(\Delta, \sigma)$ -representable; we have  $\varphi \stackrel{L}{\sim} \chi$ , where  $\chi$  is  $(\Delta, \sigma_\varphi)$ -representable, if and only if  $\psi$  is of form 1.3, (oo) with  $\sigma = \sigma_\varphi$  and  $\varrho_1$  pseudo-decreasing for large  $u$  and satisfying 1.3,  $(++)$ . With no  $\sigma < \sigma_\varphi$   $\varphi$  is  $l$ -equivalent to a  $(\Delta, \sigma)$ -representable  $\chi_\sigma$ .

The theorem is still valid if we replace in its formulation  $s_\varphi, \sigma_\varphi$  by  $s_\varphi^a, \sigma_\varphi^a$ ,  $\stackrel{L}{\sim}$  by  $\stackrel{a}{\sim}$ , the words "for large  $u$ " by "for all  $u$ ".

In the proof of (a) we make use of Theorem 2.2 [3] (for details see [4]) and 1.4.1, (a), 2.1, (a), in the proof of (b) of Theorem 2.1 [3] and 1.4.1, (b), 2.1, (b).

**2.4.** Now we shall give few examples of  $\varphi$ -functions and the corresponding indices  $s_\varphi, \sigma_\varphi$ . If  $\varphi$  is convex, then from the inequality  $\varphi(\lambda u) < \lambda \varphi(u)$  for  $0 < \lambda < 1$  it follows  $s_\varphi \geq s_\varphi^a \geq 1$ ; if  $\varphi$  is concave, then  $\sigma_\varphi \leq \sigma_\varphi^a \leq 1$ . If there exist

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(\lambda u)} = \underline{h}_\varphi(\lambda) = \overline{h}_\varphi(\lambda) < \infty \text{ for every } \lambda > 0,$$

then  $\underline{h}_\varphi(\lambda \mu) = \underline{h}_\varphi(\lambda) \underline{h}_\varphi(\mu)$  for  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ , whence  $\underline{h}_\varphi(\lambda) = 1$  or  $\lambda^{-s}$  ( $s > 0$ ) for  $\lambda > 0$ ; therefore two cases are possible:  $s_\varphi = \sigma_\varphi = 0$  or  $s_\varphi = \sigma_\varphi = s$ . If  $\underline{h}_\varphi(\lambda) = \overline{h}_\varphi(\lambda) = 1$  for  $\lambda > 0$ , then we have the so-called slowly oscillating function in the sense of Karamata [1]. If  $\varphi(u) \sim \psi(u) \varphi(u)$ , where  $s_\psi > 0$ , then, owing to 1.2, we get  $s_\varphi = \sigma_\varphi = \infty$ , since we have always  $s_{\varphi\psi} \geq s_\varphi + s_\psi$ ,  $\sigma_{\varphi\psi} \leq \sigma_\varphi + \sigma_\psi$ . The case  $\psi(u) = u$  means the functions satisfying the condition  $(\Delta_3)$ , to adopt the notation of [2]. Let  $\varphi(u) = u^s / \varrho(u)$ ,  $\varphi_1(u) = u^s \varrho(u)$ , where  $\varrho(u)$  is a slowly oscillating function (e.g.  $\varrho(u) = \lg(1+u)$ ). We obtain  $s_\varphi = \sigma_\varphi = s$ ,  $s_{\varphi_1} = \sigma_{\varphi_1}$ , but  $\varphi$  is not  $l$ -equivalent to a  $(\Delta, s)$ -representable  $\varphi$ -function and  $\varphi_1$  is not  $l$ -equivalent to a  $(\Delta, s)$ -representable  $\varphi$ -function. For it may be easily seen that  $1/\varrho(u)$  is not pseudo-increasing for large  $u$  and  $\varrho(u)$  is not pseudo-decreasing for large  $u$ .

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
(INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, PAN)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Karamata, *Sur un mode de croissance régulière des fonctions*, *Mathematica (Cluj)* **4** (1930), 38—53.
- [2] M. A. Krasnosielskii, J. B. Rutickii, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, (in Russian) Moscow 1958.
- [3] W. Matuszewska, *On generalized Orlicz spaces*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math., astr. et phys.*, **8** (1960), 349.
- [4] —, *Przestrzenie funkcji  $\varphi$ -całkowalnych*, (doctoral-thesis), A. Mickiewicz University, Poznań, 1960, *Prace Matem.* **5**, (in press).