

A Note on Modular Spaces. I

by
 W. ORLICZ

Presented on January 6, 1961

1. Let X denote a real linear space, ϱ — a functional defined in X , $-\infty < \varrho(x) \leq \infty$. The functional ϱ will be called a *modular*, if the conditions

A. 1. $\varrho(0) = 0$; A. 2. $\varrho(\lambda x) = 0$ for all λ implies $x = 0$; A. 3. $\varrho(-x) = \varrho(x)$;

A. 4. $\varrho(ax + \beta y) \leq \varrho(x) + \varrho(y)$ for $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

1.1. A functional ϱ will be called *s-convex*, $0 < s \leq 1$, if

A_s. 4. $\varrho(ax + \beta y) \leq \alpha^s \varrho(x) + \beta^s \varrho(y)$ for $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha^s + \beta^s = 1$.

A 1-convex functional will be called briefly *convex*.

Evidently, A₁. 4. implies A. 4. If $\varrho(0) = 0$ for an *s-convex* functional ϱ , then $\varrho(ax) \leq \alpha^s \varrho(x)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and consequently, $\varrho(tx)t^{-s}$ is a nondecreasing function of t , $t > 0$.

1.2. The following properties of modulars are of importance in our considerations:

B. 1. $a_n \rightarrow 0$ implies $\varrho(a_n x) \rightarrow 0$;

B. 2. $\varrho(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies $\varrho(ax_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every $a > 0$.

1.2.1. We shall apply the following notation: $X_b^*(\varrho) = \{x : \varrho(x) < \infty, x \in X\}$, $X^*(\varrho) = \{x : \varrho(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for a certain } \lambda(x) > 0, x \in X\}$. Of course, every x satisfying B. 1. belongs to $X^*(\varrho)$. Denote by $X(\varrho)$ the set of x satisfying B. 1. $X^*(\varrho)$ is a linear space, $X(\varrho)$ — its subspace.

1.3. It is shown in [2] that in $X(\varrho)$ a norm may be defined as follows *):

$$(*) \quad \|x\|_\varrho = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : \varrho(x/\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon \},$$

possessing the following properties: a) $\|\cdot\|_\varrho$ is an *F-norm*, b) $\varrho(x) \leq \|x\|_\varrho$ for $\|x\|_\varrho < 1$, c) $\|\lambda x\|_\varrho$ is nondecreasing for $\lambda \geq 0$. In the sequel, we call this norm

) In [2] the norm () is defined assuming a condition stronger than A. 2. However, the proof that (*) is a norm possessing the properties in 1.3 can be performed by our assumption A.2 without any changes. In [3] modulars, defined as in 1, were termed *semimodulars*.

the norm generated by the modular ϱ . Under some additional assumptions on the modular ϱ , other definitions of the norm may be introduced in $X(\varrho)$ by means of ϱ . There holds the theorem:

1.4. If ϱ is an s -convex modular, then $X(\varrho) = X^*(\varrho)$ and an s -homogeneous norm can be defined in $X^*(\varrho)$ as follows:

$$(+)\quad \|x\|_{0s} = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : \varrho \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{1/s}} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We prove, e.g., the triangle inequality. Let $\|x\|_{0s} < \varepsilon_1$, $\|y\|_{0s} < \varepsilon_2$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho((x+y)\varepsilon^{-1/s}) &= \varrho(\varepsilon_1^{1/s}\varepsilon^{-1/s}x\varepsilon_1^{-1/s} + \varepsilon_2^{1/s}\varepsilon^{-1/s}y\varepsilon_2^{-1/s}) \leq \\ &\leq (\varepsilon_1^{1/s}\varepsilon^{-1/s})^s \varrho(x\varepsilon_1^{-1/s}) + (\varepsilon_2^{1/s}\varepsilon^{-1/s})^s \varrho(y\varepsilon_2^{-1/s}) \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

for $(\varepsilon_1^{1/s}\varepsilon^{-1/s})^s + (\varepsilon_2^{1/s}\varepsilon^{-1/s})^s = 1$. Thus, $\|x+y\|_{0s} \leq \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$.

1.41. The norms $\|\cdot\|_{0s}$ and $\|\cdot\|_e$ are equivalent, more exactly, there hold the inequalities: (a) $\|x\|_{0s} \leq (\|x\|_\rho)^s$, if $\|x\|_\rho < 1$, (b) $(\|x\|_\rho)^{s+1} \leq \|x\|_{0s}$, if $\|x\|_{0s} < 1$.

If $\|x\|_\rho < 1$ and $\|x\|_\rho < \varepsilon < 1$, then $\varrho(x(\varepsilon^s)^{-1/s}) \leq \varepsilon < 1$, whence $\|x\|_{0s} \leq \varepsilon^s$. If $\|x\|_{0s} < 1$ and $\|x\|_{0s} < \varepsilon < 1$, then $\varrho(x\varepsilon^{-1/(1+s)}) \leq (\varepsilon^{1/s} \varrho(x\varepsilon^{-1/(1+s)})) \leq \varepsilon^{1/(1+s)}$, whence $\|x\|_\rho \leq \varepsilon^{1/(1+s)}$.

1.5. If ϱ is an s -convex modular, then

$$\|x\|_{0s} = \inf_{t>0} [\sup (t^{-s}, \varrho(tx)t^{-s})].$$

It follows from (+) that $\varrho(x) \leq 1$ implies $\|x\|_{0s} \leq 1$. If $\varrho(x) > 1$, then $\varrho(x\varrho(x)^{-1/s}) \leq (\varrho(x)^{-1/s})^s \varrho(x) = 1$ and $\|x\varrho(x)^{-1/s}\|_{0s} = \|x\|_{0s} \varrho(x)^{-1} \leq 1$. Hence there follow the inequalities

$$\|x\|_{0s} \leq \sup (1, \varrho(x)), \quad \|x\|_{0s} \leq \sup (t^{-s}, \varrho(tx)t^{-s}) \text{ for } t > 0,$$

i.e. $\|x\|_{0s} \leq \inf_{t>0} [\sup (t^{-s}, \varrho(tx)t^{-s})]$. The definition of the norm (+) implies that if $0 < t^0 < (\|x\|_{0s})^{-1/s}$, then $\varrho(t_0 x) \leq 1$, i.e.

$$\inf_{t>0} [\sup (t^{-s}, \varrho(tx)t^{-s})] \leq \sup (t_0^{-s}, \varrho(t_0 x)t_0^{-s}) \leq t_0^{-s},$$

where t_0^{-s} is arbitrarily near to $\|x\|_{0s}$.

1.51. If ϱ is an s -convex modular, then the functional

$$\|x\|_s = \inf_{t>0} (t^{-s} + \varrho(tx)t^{-s})$$

is an s -homogeneous norm in $X^*(\varrho)$ and there hold the inequalities

$$(o) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_s \leq \|x\|_{0s} \leq \|x\|_s.$$

Denoting $\gamma(x) = 1 + \varrho(x)$, we have $\|x\|_s = \inf_{t>0} \gamma(tx) t^{-s}$. Moreover, $0 \leq \|x\|_s < \infty$; in fact, $\varrho(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in X$ and $\varrho(tx) < \infty$ for $x \in X^*(\varrho)$, if $t > 0$ is sufficiently small. The s -homogeneity being obvious, we prove only the triangle inequality. Let $t', t'' > 0$; $\gamma(x)$ is an s -homogeneous functional, whence

$$\gamma\left(\frac{t'^{1/s} t''^{1/s}}{(t' + t'')^{1/s}}(x + y)\right) = \gamma(\alpha t'^{1/s} x + \beta t''^{1/s} y) \leq \alpha^s \gamma(t'^{1/s} x) + \beta^s \gamma(t''^{1/s} y),$$

where

$$\alpha = t'^{1/s} (t' + t'')^{-1/s}, \quad \beta = t''^{1/s} (t' + t'')^{-1/s}, \quad \alpha^s + \beta^s = 1.$$

Choosing $t_0 = t'^{1/s} t''^{1/s} (t' + t'')^{-1/s}$ we obtain

$$\|x + y\|_s \leq \gamma(t_0(x + y)) t_0^{-s} \leq \gamma(t'^{1/s} x) t'^{-1} + \gamma(t''^{1/s} y) t''^{-1}.$$

The inequalities (o) follow from 1.5, $\frac{1}{2} \gamma(tx) t^{-s} \leq \sup(t^{-s}, \varrho(tx) t^{-s}) \leq \gamma(tx) t^{-s}$. If $\|x\|_s = 0$, then (o) implies $\|x\|_{0s} = 0$, i.e. $x = 0$. Hence, $\|\cdot\|_s$ is a norm and not only a quasinorm.

1.6. If ϱ is an s -convex modular and if $n(x)$ is a quasinorm in $X^*(\varrho)$ continuous with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$, then

$$(a) \quad \sup_{\varrho(x) \leq 1} n(x) = k$$

is finite; moreover, if $n(x)$ is s -homogeneous, then

$$(b) \quad n(x) \leq k(1 + \varrho(x)).$$

We prove (a). Suppose $\|x\|_\rho \leq \eta$ implies $n(x) \leq 1$. If x satisfies the inequality $\varrho(x) \leq 1$, we choose a positive integer l such that $l\eta > 1$, $l > \eta^{-(s+1)/s}$. We have $\varrho(l^{-1} \eta^{-1} x) \leq l^{-s} \eta^{-s} < \eta$, i.e. $\|l^{-1} x\|_\rho \leq \eta$, thence $n(l^{-1} x) \leq 1$, $n(x) \leq l$. Now, we prove (b). If $\varrho(x) > 1$, then $\varrho(x \varrho(x)^{-1/s}) \leq 1$, whence $n(x \varrho(x)^{-1/s}) \leq k$, $n(x) \varrho(x)^{-1} \leq k$; thus $n(x) \leq k \sup(1, \varrho(x))$ for an arbitrary $x \in X^*(\varrho)$.

The last inequality implies easily that, by the assumptions of 1.6 (b), $n(x) \leq k \|x\|_{0s}$.

1.61. If ϱ is an arbitrary modular and if $n(x)$ is an s -homogeneous quasinorm in $X(\varrho)$ satisfying the inequality

$$n(x) \leq k(1 + \varrho(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in X(\varrho),$$

then $\|x_n\|_\rho \rightarrow 0$ implies $n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Evidently, $n(x) \leq k(t^{-s} + \varrho(tx) t^{-s})$, $t > 0$; it suffices to note that $\|x_n\|_\rho \rightarrow 0$ implies $\varrho(tx_n) \rightarrow 0$ for an arbitrary $t > 0$.

1.7. If ϱ is a convex (i.e. a 1-convex) modular, then the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the Amemiya's norm, well-known in the theory of modular spaces (cf. [4]). It can be also defined as follows. By the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$, a distributive functional ξ over $X^*(\varrho)$ is continuous with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ and has a norm $\|\xi\| \leq 1$ if and only if

$$(+ +) \quad \xi(x) \leq 1 + \varrho(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X^*(\varrho).$$

Let $n(x) = \sup \xi(x)$, where the supremum is taken over all distributive functionals over $X^*(\varrho)$ satisfying $(++)$. Since for every ξ satisfying $(++)$ we have $\xi(x) \leq \leq t^{-1} + \varrho(tx) t^{-1}$ for $t > 0$, there holds $n(x) \leq \|x\|_1$. On the other hand, there exists a distributive functional ξ continuous in $X^*(\varrho)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_1$ satisfying the conditions $\xi(x_0) = \|x_0\|_1$, $\xi(x) \leq \|x\|_1 \leq 1 + \varrho(x)$; hence, $n(x_0) \geq \|x_0\|_1$. Thus, $n(x) = \|x\|_1$.

1.8. A modular ϱ satisfies the conditions (D), if it has the following property: to every $0 < a < 1$ there exists a decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2$ such that $\varrho(x_1) \leq a\varrho(x)$, $\varrho(x_2) \leq (1 - a)\varrho(x)$.

Convex modulars are examples of modulars satisfying the condition (D). In 2 we give further examples of such modulars.

1.81. If ϱ is a modular satisfying the condition (D) and if $n(x)$ is a quasinorm in $X(\varrho)$ continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\rho$, then 1.6 (a), (b) hold, where $k < \infty$.

Let $\varrho(x) \leq 1$. Given any positive integer k , there exists a decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{2k}$, $\varrho(x_i) \leq 2^{-k} \varrho(x) \leq 2^{-k}$. Assume $\|x\|_\rho < l^{-1}$ (l —an integer) implies $n(x) \leq 1$; choosing k sufficiently large (k is independent of x) we have $\varrho(lx_i l^{-1}) < l^{-1}$, whence $\|l^{-1} x_i\|_\rho < l^{-1}$, $n(l^{-1} x_i) \leq 1$, $n(x) \leq ln(xl^{-1}) \leq \leq l[n(x_1 l^{-1}) + n(x_2 l^{-1}) + \dots + n(x_{2k} l^{-1})] \leq l2^k$. Let $\varrho(x) = r + l$, where $r \geq 1$, $0 < l \leq 1$. There exist $x_1, x_2, x = x_1 + x_2$ such that $\varrho(x_1) \leq r\varrho(x)^{-1}\varrho(x) = r$, $\varrho(x_2) \leq (1 - r\varrho(x)^{-1})\varrho(x) = l\varrho(x)^{-1}\varrho(x) = l$. It is shown by induction that a decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_r + x_0$ exists such that $\varrho(x_i) \leq 1$, $\varrho(x_0) \leq \leq l \leq 1$. Hence it follows

$$n(x) \leq n(x_1) + n(x_2) + \dots + n(x_r) + n(x_0) \leq rk + k \leq k(\varrho(x) + 1).$$

1.9. If ϱ is a modular in $X(\varrho)$ then a quasinorm $n(x)$ (a distributive functional ξ) in $X(\varrho)$ is called ϱ -continuous, if $\varrho(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies $n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($\xi(x_n) \rightarrow 0$). A distributive and ϱ -continuous functional is called ϱ -linear. Evidently, a ϱ -continuous $n(x)$ is continuous with respect to the norm generated by ϱ (by 1.3, b)), but not conversely. If the condition B.2 is satisfied, then $\varrho(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies $\|x_n\|_\rho \rightarrow 0$ and then the ϱ -continuity is equivalent to the continuity with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\rho$. A set $X^0 \subset X(\varrho)$ is called modular-dense in $X(\varrho)$, if to every $x \in X(\varrho)$ there exist $x_n \in X(\varrho)$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $\varrho(\lambda(x_n - x)) \rightarrow 0$.

1.91. If ϱ is a modular satisfying the condition (D) and if there exists an s -homogeneous norm in $X(\varrho)$ continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\rho$, then there is a constant $c > 0$ for which

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(tx) t^{-s} \geq cn(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in X(\varrho).$$

If n is the norm satisfying the assumptions, then $n(x) \leq k(t^{-s} + \varrho(tx) t^{-s})$, by 1.81 and 1.6, (b).

1.92. If ϱ is a modular satisfying the condition (D) and if

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(tx) t^{-1} = 0$$

in a set modular-dense in $X(\varrho)$, then there exists only a trivial ϱ -linear functional in $X(\varrho)$ ([3]).

We put $n(x) = |\xi(x)|$; then, by 1.91 with $s = 1$, we get $\xi(x) = 0$ in a set modular-dense in $X(\varrho)$. Hence the ϱ -continuity implies $\xi(x) = 0$ always in $X(\varrho)$.

2. In this section the term φ -function will mean a function continuous and nondecreasing for $u \geq 0$, vanishing only at $u = 0$ and tending to ∞ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. If $\varphi(\alpha u + \beta v) \leq \alpha^s \varphi(u) + \beta^s \varphi(v)$, $0 < s \leq 1$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha^s + \beta^s = 1$, then this φ -function will be called s -convex (it is an s -convex modular in the space of real numbers). E.g. $\varphi(u) = \psi(u^s)$, where ψ is a convex φ -function, is s -convex.

2.1. We shall consider the following examples of modular spaces:

A. Let μ denote a finite, σ -additive measure, defined on a σ -algebra F of subsets of a set E , X — the space of functions μ -measurable in E , $\varrho(x) = \int_E \varphi(|x(t)|) d\mu$, $L^\varphi(\mu) = X^*(\varrho) = X(\varrho)$.

B. X — the space of sequences $x = \{t_v\}$, $\varrho(x) = \sum \varphi(|t_v|)$, $l^\varphi = X^*(\varrho) = X(\varrho)$.

C. X — the space the elements of which are classes of bounded functions in $\langle a, b \rangle$; two functions belong to the same class if they differ by a constant. $\varrho(x) = \sup \sum \varphi(|x(t_v) - x(t_{v-1})|)$, where the supremum is taken over all partitions $\pi : a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$, $V_\varphi^* = X^*(\varrho)$ ([1]).

2.2 If φ is an s -convex φ -function, then the modulars defined in A—C are s -convex. By this assumption, the modular in V_φ^* satisfies B. 1, whence a norm generated by ϱ can be introduced in V_φ^* . If the measure μ is nonatomic then the modular defined in A satisfies the condition (D). In general, modulars defined in B by means of arbitrary φ do not satisfy the condition (D), e.g. if $\varphi(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ or if $\varphi(t' + t'') < \varphi(t') + \varphi(t'')$ for $t', t'' > 0$.

2.3. A function $x \in V_\varphi^*$ is called *absolutely continuous with respect to φ* ([1]), if to any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\sum \varphi(|x(\beta_i) - x(\alpha_i)|) < \varepsilon$ for all systems of non-overlapping intervals $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \subset \langle a, b \rangle$ satisfying the inequality $\sum (\beta_i - \alpha_i) < \delta$. We denote by AC_φ^* the set of all x for which λx is absolutely continuous with respect to φ for a $\lambda > 0$ (two functions which differ only by a constant are considered to be the same element of the space). AC_φ^* is a linear subspace of V_φ^* .

2.4. Let $\varphi(u)/u \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$. By this assumption:

- (a) the modular ϱ defined in C satisfies the condition B. 1 for $x \in AC_\varphi^*$,
- (b) ϱ satisfies the condition (D).

Proof of (a). Denote $V_\varphi(x; \alpha, \beta) = \sup \sum \varphi(|x(t_v) - x(t_{v-1})|)$, where the supremum is taken over all partitions of the interval $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \subset \langle a, b \rangle$, $V_\varphi(x; \alpha, \alpha) = 0$. The definition of ϱ is $\varrho(x) = V_\varphi(x; a, b)$. The partition being given, denote $\mathcal{J}'(x) = \sum' \varphi(|x(t_v) - x(t_{v-1})|)$, $\mathcal{J}''(x) = \sum'' \varphi(|x(t_v) - x(t_{v-1})|)$, where the summation is extended over all intervals of the length $< \delta$ in the first sum, and over all intervals of the length $\geq \delta$ — in the second one. Let $\varrho(x) < \infty$, $x \in AC_\varphi^*$. Since $\varphi(u)/u < \varepsilon (b - a)^{-1}$ for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, we have $\mathcal{J}'(x) \leq \varepsilon (b - a)^{-1} \sum' (t_v - t_{v-1}) \leq \varepsilon$. Moreover, there holds the inequality $\mathcal{J}''(x) \leq (b - a) \delta^{-1} \varphi(2 \sup_{\langle a, b \rangle} |x(t)|)$, for the number of intervals in this sum is $\leq (b - a) \delta^{-1}$. Hence we obtain for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

$$\Sigma \varphi(a |x(t_v) - x(t_{v-1})|) \leq \mathcal{F}'(x) + (b-a) \delta^{-1} \varphi(2a \sup |x(t)|),$$

$$\varrho(ax) \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon \text{ for } a \text{ sufficiently small.}$$

Proof of (b). Let $0 < \varrho(x) < \infty$, $x \in AC_\varphi^*$. It is easily shown that $V_\varphi(x; a, \tau)$ and $V_\varphi(x; \tau, b)$ are continuous functions of τ for $a \leq \tau \leq b$. We define the functions

$$x'_\tau(t) = \begin{cases} x(t) & \text{for } a \leq t < \tau \\ x(\tau) & \text{for } b \geq t \geq \tau, \end{cases} \quad x''_\tau(t) = \begin{cases} x(\tau) & \text{for } a \leq t < \tau \\ x(t) & \text{for } b \geq t \geq \tau. \end{cases}$$

Since $x(t) + x(\tau) = x'_\tau(t) + x''_\tau(t)$ for $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$, we have $x = x'_\tau + x''_\tau$ and moreover, $\varrho(x'_\tau) = V_\varphi(x; a, \tau)$, $\varrho(x''_\tau) = V_\varphi(x; \tau, b)$. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$; there is a τ^* , $a < \tau^* < b$, such that $\varrho(x'_{\tau^*}) = \alpha \varrho(x)$. Since $\varrho(x'_{\tau^*}) + \varrho(x''_{\tau^*}) = V_\varphi(x; a, \tau^*) + V_\varphi(x; \tau^*, b) \leq V_\varphi(x, a, b) = \varrho(x)$, we have $\varrho(x''_{\tau^*}) \leq (1 - \alpha) \varrho(x)$.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, POZNAŃ
(INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIWERSYTET im. A. MICKIEWICZA, POZNAŃ)

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Musielak and W. Orlicz, *On generalized variations* (I), *Studia Math.*, **18** (1959), 11—41.
 [2] — — — — — *On modular spaces*, *Studia Math.*, **18** (1959), 49—65.
 [3] — — — — — *Some remarks on modular spaces*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math., astr. et phys.* **7** (1959), 669—676.
 [4] H. Nakano, *Topology and linear topological spaces*, Tokyo, 1951.