BULLETIN DE L'ACADÉMIE POLONAISE DES SCIENCES Série des sciences math., astr. et phys. — Vol. XXII, No. 1, 1974

MATHEMATICS
(CONVEX SETS)

Monadic Functors and Convexity

by

T. ŚWIRSZCZ

Presented by R. SIKORSKI on June 30, 1973

Summary. The purpose of this paper is to show that the forgetful functors from the category of compact convex sets to the category of compact spaces and to the category of sets are monadic (Theorems 3 and 4). These results show that, roughly speaking, the structure of a compact convex set is (in some sense) algebraic. On the other hand, it turns out that the forgetful functor from the category of convex sets to the category of sets is not monadic (Theorem 2), hence the convex structure on a set is not an algebraic structure. Theorem 1 gives some sufficient conditions for a functor to be monadic; this result is used in the proof of Theorem 3.

1. Let $\mathfrak A$ be a category. A monad (=triple, cf. [2, 4]) $T=(T, \eta, \mu)$ on $\mathfrak A$ is a covariant functor $T: \mathfrak A \to \mathfrak A$ with two natural transformations $\eta: I_{\mathfrak A} \to T$ and $\mu: T^2 \to T$ satisfying the following conditions: $\mu_A T(\mu_A) = \mu_A \mu_{TA}$ and $\mu_A \eta_{TA} = \iota_{TA} = \mu_A T(\eta_A)$ for each object A in $\mathfrak A$. A T-algebra is a pair (A, a) where $a: TA \to A$ is a morphism in $\mathfrak A$ such that $aT(a) = a\mu_A$ and $a\eta_A = \iota_A$. A morphism $f^{\Delta}: (A, a) \to (A', a')$ of T-algebras is a triple (f, a, a') where $f: A \to A'$ is any $\mathfrak A$ -morphism such that fa = a' T(f). $\mathfrak A^T$ will denote the category of T-algebras and their morphisms.

Let $\Psi: \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{A}$ be a functor having a left adjoint $\Phi: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ with front and back adjunctions $\eta: I_{\mathfrak{A}} \to \Psi \Phi$, $\rho: \Phi \Psi \to I_{\mathfrak{B}}$. In this situation, one obtains a monad $T = (\Psi \Phi, \eta, \Psi \rho_{\Phi})$ on \mathfrak{A} and a canonical comparison functor $\Theta: \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{A}^T$ defined by $\Theta B = (\Psi B, \Psi \rho_B)$, $\Theta \beta = (\Psi \beta, \Psi \rho_B, \Psi \rho_{B'})$ for $\beta: B \to B'$ in \mathfrak{B} .

A functor $\Psi: \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{A}$ is monadic (=tripleable) if it has a left adjoint and the corresponding comparison functor Θ is an equivalence of categories. A category \mathfrak{B} is monadic over \mathfrak{A} if there exists a monadic functor $\Psi: \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{A}$.

For unexplained terms and notation we refer the reader to [2, 4, 7].

We shall use the following strengthened version of a well-known theorem of Linton [1] (Linton has proved the theorem under an additional assumption that any epimorphism in $\mathfrak A$ is a retraction in $\mathfrak A$):

THEOREM 1. Let a category $\mathfrak A$ have kernel pairs of retractions, and let a category $\mathfrak B$ have kernel pairs and coequalizers. Let $\Psi \colon \mathfrak B \to \mathfrak A$ be a functor having a left adjoint. If

(i) for every morphism f in \mathfrak{B} , f is a coequalizer if and only if Ψf is a coequalizer,

(ii) for every parallel pair (f,g) in \mathfrak{B} , (f,g) is a kernel pair if (and only if) $(\Psi f, \Psi g)$ is a kernel pair, then the comparison functor $\Theta \colon \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{A}^T$ is an equivalence of categories.

The proof is omitted.

2. By a vector space we shall always mean a real vector space. Let E and E' be vector spaces. Let $K \subset E$ and $K' \subset E'$ be convex sets. A map $f: K \to K'$ is affine if it preserves the convex combinations, i.e., f(sx+(1-s)y)=sf(x)+(1-s)f(y) for x, y in K, $0 \le s \le 1$.

Conv is the category of convex sets and affine maps.

THEOREM 2. The category Conv is not monadic over Ens.

Proof. Let $B = \{x \in R : 0 \le x \le 1\}$, and let $A = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < 1 \& 0 < y < 1\} \cup \{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(1, 1)\}$. We shall consider the maps π_1 , $\pi_2 : A \to B$ defined by π_1 (x, y) = x, π_2 (x, y) = y for (x, y) in A. π_1 and π_2 are morphisms in Conv, and (π_1, π_2) is an equivalence relation in Conv, i.e., for every object K of Conv, $(\langle K, \pi_1 \rangle_{\text{Conv}}, \langle K, \pi_2 \rangle_{\text{Conv}})$ is a kernel pair in Ens (cf. [7], 10.2.3).

Let g be a coequalizer of (π_1, π_2) in Conv. It is clear that g is a constant map. We shall show that (π_1, π_2) is not a kernel pair of g in Conv. Indeed, let $p_1, p_2 : B \times B \to B$ be the canonical projections. Of course, they are morphisms in Conv. We have then $gp_1 = gp_2$, but there is no morphism h in Conv such that $\pi_1 h = p_1$ and $\pi_2 h = p_2$. Hence (π_1, π_2) is not a kernel pair of g. Since (π_1, π_2) is not a kernel pair of its coequalizer, it is not a kernel pair in Conv.

For every right adjoint functor Ψ : Conv \rightarrow Ens the pair $(\Psi\pi_1, \Psi\pi_2)$ is a kernel pair in Ens. Thus, by Linton's Theorem ([1], Theorem 3), Conv is not monadic over Ens.

In particular, the forgetful functor \square : Conv \rightarrow Ens is not monadic (cf. [7], 23.5.6).

3. By a compact convex set we shall mean a compact convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff space.

Compconv is the category of compact convex sets and continuous affine maps.

The forgetful functor \square : Compconv \rightarrow Comp has a left adjoint \varnothing : Comp \rightarrow Compconv, where $\varnothing(X)$ is the set of all probability measures on the compact space X. Composing \varnothing with the Stone—Čech functor β (restricted to discrete spaces) we get a functor $\varnothing \circ \beta$: Ens \rightarrow Compconv which assigns to each set X the free compact convex set $\varnothing(\beta(X))$ generated by X. $\varnothing \circ \beta$ is a left adjoint of the forgetful functor \square : Compconv \rightarrow Ens (cf. [7], 23.7.2).

LEMMA 1. A morphism f in Compconv or Comp is a coequalizer if and only if it is a surjection.

LEMMA 2. Let \square : Compconv \rightarrow Comp be the forgetful functor, and let $f_1, f_2: A \rightarrow B$ be morphisms in Compconv. If $(\square f_1, \square f_2)$ is a kernel pair in Comp, then (f_1, f_2) is a kernel pair in Compconv.

Proof. Let $f: B \to C$ be a coequalizer of (f_1, f_2) in Comp. Thus (f_1, f_2) is a kernel pair of f in Comp. B is a compact convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff space (E, τ) . We can assume that $0 \in B$ and $E = \operatorname{span} B$.

Let $M = \{x \in E : \text{ there exist } b_1, b_2 \text{ in } B \text{ and } t \text{ in } R \text{ such that } f(b_1) = f(b_2) \text{ and } x = t (b_1 - b_2) \}$. It can be proved that M is a linear subspace of E. It can be also shown that $f(b_1) = f(b_2)$ if and only if $b_1 - b_2 \in M$ (the proof of these facts is omitted). Consequently, for every x in E the set $(x + M) \cap B$ is empty or is an inverse image of a one-point set; hence it is compact.

The sets B-B and $(B-B)\cap M$ are compact. Indeed, the map $g: B\times B\to B-B$ defined by g(b',b'')=b'-b'' for (b',b'') in $B\times B$ is a continuous surjection. (The topologies in B and B-B are induced by τ and the topology in $B\times B$ is the product topology.) Hence B-B is compact and g is a closed map. Therefore it is enough to prove that

$$D=g^{-1}\left((B-B)\cap M\right)$$

is a closed subset of $B \times B$. Let (b', b'') be an element of the closure of D. For every neighbourhood U of zero in E the set

$$W = [(b'+U) \cap B] \times [(b''+U) \cap B]$$

is a neighbourhood of (b', b'') in $B \times B$. Thus there exists (b_1, b_2) in $W \cap D$. But the condition $(b_1, b_2) \in D$ is equivalent to $f(b_1) = f(b_2)$. Hence f(b') = f(b'') and $(b', b'') \in D$.

It is easy to see that the set K=B-B is absolutely convex, absorbing and compact in (E, τ) . Let $\|\cdot\|_K$ be the Minkowski functional of K. Then $(E, \|\cdot\|_K)$ is a Banach space. Moreover, there exists a Banach space $(F, \|\cdot\|)$ such that $(E, \|\cdot\|_K)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the conjugate of $(F, \|\cdot\|)$. (Cf. [5], 13.6 and [8], Proposition 1). Of course $(E, \sigma(E, F))$ is a locally convex Hausdorff space. The topologies τ and $\sigma(E, F)$ coincide on K (and consequently on E). Since E0 is compact in E1, E2 it is compact in E3, E4. Hence by the well-known Krein—Smulan theorem (cf. [3], IV.6.3) E3 is E4.

Let μ be the quotient topology on E/M determined by $\sigma(E, F)$. Then $(E/M, \mu)$ is a locally convex Hausdorff space. Let $\pi: E \to E/M$ be the quotient map. Then the map

$$p: B \rightarrow \pi(B)$$

defined by $p(b) = \pi(b)$ for b in B is a Compconv-morphism. It follows immediately from the definition of p that there exists a unique homeomorphism (Comp-isomorphism) $h: \pi(B) \to C$ such that f = hp. We shall show that (f_1, f_2) is a kernel pair of p in Compconv. Let (g_1, g_2) be a parallel pair in Compconv such that $pg_1 = pg_2$, i.e., $fg_1 = fg_2$. Hence there exists a unique morphism u in Comp such that $f_1u = g_1$ and $f_2u = g_2$. Simple verification shows that u is an affine map, i.e., it is a morphism in Compconv. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

THEOREM 3. The forgetful functor □: Compconv→Comp is monadic.

The Theorem immediately follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 and Theorem 1.

THEOREM 4. The forgetful functor □: Compconv→Ens is mon	adic.
Proof. The functor \square : Compconv \rightarrow Ens is the composition functors \square_1 : Compconv \rightarrow Comp and \square_2 : Comp \rightarrow Ens. It is well functor \square_2 is monadic (cf. [2], VI.9). Hence by the Linton theorem (\square_2 satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1. Therefore \square : Compconv \rightarrow Ens satisfies these conditions and consequently, is monadic.	of the forgetful known that the ([1], Theorem 3)
4. It is not difficult to prove that the forgetful functor □: Compsa (cf. [6]) satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 and consequer Similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4 show that the form	ntly is monadic
□: Compsaks→Comp	
□: Compsaks→Conv	
☐: Compsaks → Ens	
□: Compconv→Conv	
are also monadic.	
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 00-656 WARS (INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY PAN)	AW
REFERENCES	
 [1] F. E. J. Linton, Applied functorial semantics. II, in: Seminar on triples homology theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1969 pp. [2] S. MacLane, Categories for the working mathematician, Springer-Verlat Heidelberg—Berlin, 1971. [3] H. H. Schaefer, Topological vector spaces, New York, 1966. [4] H. Schubert, Categories, Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New [5] Z. Semadeni, Projectivity, injectivity and duality, Rozprawy Matem., 3 [6] — , The Banach-Mazur functor and related functors, Prace 111—182. [7] — , Banach spaces of continuous functions, PWN, Warszaw [8] L. Waelbroeck, Compacité et dualité en analyse linéaire, Publ. Dép. Math 72—92. 	53—74,. ag, New York— York, 1972. 35 (1963), 1—47. Mat., 14 (1970),

Т. Сьвирщ, Монадические функторы в теории выпуклости

Содержание. В настоящей работе приводится доказательство, что пренебрегающие функторы из категории компактных выпуклых множеств в категорию компактных пространств и в категорию множеств — монадические. Доказывается также, что катерогия выпуклых множеств не монадическая над категорией множеств.