Nuclear Physics Division University of Warsaw





# **Computer Tools for Nuclear Physics**

# Microscopic Transport Models (outline)

Krzysztof Piasecki

- 1. Type of kinematics and adequate degrees of freedom
- 2. Energy of nucleus and nuclear matter
- 3. BUU transport equation including collisions
- 4. QMD family. Interactions between nucleons
- 5. Summary of model properties and additional info
- 6. Characteristics of selected transport models: GiBUU, UrQMD, SMASH, PHSD, RQMD.RMF

 $(\boxtimes)$ 

- QMD simulation of Au+Au collision at beam kinetic energy T<sub>Beam</sub> = 15 MeV/nucleon (15A MeV)
  - [ www.fuw.edu.pl/~kpias/rhic/QMD\_Tb.015\_b08\_010.mpg ]



- **PHSD** simulation of Au+Au collision at beam kinetic energy  $T_{Beam} = 10 \text{ GeV/nucleon}$  (10A GeV)
  - [ theory.gsi.de/~ebratkov/phsd-project/PHSD/documents/movie\_AuAu\_10AGeV.mp4 ]



 $(\bowtie)$ 

Which basic parameters change with beam energy?



<b>T<sub>Beam</sub> / nucleon</b>	γ	β	$\lambda_{de Broglie}$ [fm]
5 MeV	1.005	0.10	13
50 MeV	1.05	0.3	4
500 MeV	1.5	0.75	1
5 GeV	6	0.99	0.2
50 GeV	55	0.9998	0.02
500 GeV	530	0.999998	0.002

Mean field sector Nucleon "sees" nucleus as a whole

Hadron-hadron sector Hadron production in hh channels

Quark-gluon sector

• How to calculate it?

$$T_{Beam} = m_N c^2 (\gamma - 1) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \gamma = 1 + \frac{T}{m_N c^2} \qquad \lambda_{de Broglie (N)} = \frac{h}{p_N} = \frac{\hbar c \cdot 2\pi}{m_N c^2 \cdot \gamma \beta}$$
$$\beta = \sqrt{1 - \gamma^{-2}}$$

- With increasing beam energy the **relativistic kinematics** becomes more and more relevant (e.g. for  $T_{\text{Beam}} = 500 \cdot \text{A MeV}$ , coefficient  $\gamma = 1,5$ ).
- Increase of beam energy causes contraction of de Broglie wavelength, and therefore also the objects adequate to the scale (so-called degrees of freedom) :

At <u>low energies</u> the interaction of selected nucleon with nucleus is described by the **potential**, generated by the whole nucleus (so-called *Mean field*).

At <u>higher energies</u> ( $T_{\text{Beam}} \gtrsim 100 \cdot \text{A MeV}$ ) the nucleon-nucleon collisions (NN) appear. These collisions may lead to production of new hadrons (h) , e.g.:

mesons  $\pi$ , K,  $\phi$ , J/ $\psi$ , D... baryons N,  $\Delta$ ,  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Xi$ ...

These hadrons move further within the nuclear matter – and can collide with other hadrons. The occuring process can be **two-body**  $(h_1h_2 \rightarrow h_3h_4)$ , **three-body**  $(h_1h_2 \rightarrow h_3h_4h_5)$ , ... Probabilities of these processes are quantified by **energy-dependent cross sections**.

At <u>ultrarelativistic energies</u> ( $T_{\text{Beam}} \geq 5 \cdot \text{A GeV}$ ) the **quark-gluon** processes appear.



Selected model framework should be adequate to the beam energy.

- Proton-neutron (p-n) interaction may lead to the production of *deuteron* (d).
   Deuteron-neutron (d-n) interaction may create *triton* (t) and deuteron-proton (d-p) create <sup>3</sup>He. Etc...
   These particles are called the *Light Charged Particles* (*LCP, clusters, small nuclear fragments*).
- At  $T_{_{\text{Beam}}} \sim 2 \cdot \text{A GeV}$ , as much as ¼ protons are bound in LCP. Trace amounts of deuterons are seen in LHC.



- Usually, if LCP appears in the models, they are assembled from p and n, already after the simulation.
   Full description of production and dynamics of LCP is currently absent.
   Attempts: *PHQMD* (*under development*).
  - If description of LCP is relevant, one should consider the predictive power of model-candidates.

## **Transport models across decades**



Many models were developed (more than shown above), but many of them inherit the main framework. We shall describe two branches: family of **BUU** and **QMD** models.

# **Energy in nucleus (schematic view)**



In nucleus' own frame,  $\overline{p}_{nucleus} = \overline{0}$ .  $E_n = M_n c^2 = \sum_i m_{N,i} c^2 - B_J$ quantitatively  $\frac{E_n}{A} = m_N c^2 - \frac{B_{nucleus}}{A}$  $\approx$  8 MeV

### Nucleus as a sum of nucleons:



Pauli exclusion  $\rightarrow$  nucleons must move,  $p_i \neq 0.$ 

$$E_{nucl.} = \sum_{i} e_{i}$$

$$e_i = \sqrt{(m_{N,i}c^2)^2 + (\vec{p}_ic)^2} + \frac{U_i}{A}$$

In reality the formulae are more complex.

- ① Coulomb interaction must be added ( $\varphi$ ,  $\overline{A}$ ).
- <sup>(2)</sup> Within QCD with strong interactions, so-called scalar and vector potentials appear, which e.g. change mass of nucleon inside nuclear matter.

 $e_i = \sqrt{(m_{N,i}c^2 - V_s)^2 + (\vec{p}_i c - \vec{V}_w)^2 + V_{w,0}}$ 

(not by heart :))

**Real atomic nucleus:** system of nucleons with finite N and V that interacts strongly, weekly and by Coulomb. Nucleons from  $\infty$  fall into the potential well (binding energy  $E_{\rm B}$ ),

wherein they acquire kinetic energy (Fermi motion). In the liquid drop model:

 $-a_A \frac{(N-Z)^2}{\Lambda}$ 

$$E_B = a_V A - a_S A^{2/3} - a_C \frac{Z^2}{A^{1/3}} - a_A \frac{(N-Z)^2}{A} \pm \delta(A,Z)$$

For average nucleus:  $E_{\rm p}/A \approx 8$  MeV.

**Nuclear matter**: abstract matter built of nucleons, of infinite N and V, but finite p. Depth of binding depends on: temperature, density, n/p ratio.

$$E_B(\rho = \rho_0, T = 0) = a_V A \qquad \dots$$

(  $\rho_{_{0}}$  = 0.17 fm  $^{\!\!-\!\!3}$  , "normal density of nuclear matter" )

For symmetric (N = Z) nuclear matter in normal state:  $E_{_{R}}/A \approx 16$  MeV.

During the collision the matter condenses and subsequently expands. How  $E_{\rm p}/A$  depends on density  $\rho$ ? Two points are fixed:

[1]	for $\rho = 0$	(free nucleons) ,	$E_{\rm B}/A =$	0 MeV
[2]	for $\rho = \rho_0$	(stable nucleus),	$E_{\rm B}/A =$	–16 MeV

One can derive models of interactions that aim to reproduce findings [1,2]. One can also insert into the model some functional dependence that agrees to [1, 2]. The testing ground are the kinematic distributions of particles: if/when model agrees with experiments? • Nuclear matter equation of state ("EoS") :

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{E}{A}(\rho, T) = m_n c^2 + \epsilon_{Kin}(\rho, T=0) + u(\rho, T=0) + \epsilon_{Kin}(\rho, T>0)$$

• It's worth to compare the plot to the dynamics of the nuclear collision. Let's trace it:

$$\rho_0 \rightarrow 3\rho_0 \rightarrow \rho_0$$

Thermodynamical quantities:

pressure

$$p = \dots = -\rho \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \rho}\Big|_{T=0}$$

Bulk modulus

$$= \dots = +\rho \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{T=0}$$

• In the state of normal nuclear density (  $\rho = \rho_0$  ) :

К

$$\kappa(\rho_0) = \dots = 9 \left. \frac{d^2 \epsilon}{d \rho^2} \right|_{\rho = \rho_0, T = 0}$$
  
or: ~curvature of  $\epsilon$  at  $\rho = \rho_0$ )



In nuclear studies:

Incompressibility modulus	$\kappa \equiv 9 \left. \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho} \right _{T=0}$
------------------------------	---

High (low) *K* : "*hard*" ("*soft*") *EoS* 

Usually in papers: "soft" :  $\kappa \approx 200$  MeV, "hard":  $\kappa \approx 380$  MeV Bolzmann–Ühling–Uhlenbeck transport equation. Exemplary introductions:

```
B.Serot, J.Walecka, arXiv:nucl/th/9701058 section 7A
C.Hartnack et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 1, 151 (1998)
```

<u>Outline</u>. We consider N particles moving in the phase space (x<sup>3</sup>, p<sup>3</sup>). Their distribution is described by the function f(r, p, t). Particles are in a field described by a potential U (mean field; it's the BUU's feature).



$$N = \int d^{3}r \int d^{3}p \ f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$$

$$df \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_{i}} dr_{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}} dp_{i}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_{i}} \ dr_{i} = (\nabla_{r}f) \cdot dr_{i} \\ dr = \mathbf{v} dt \\ d\mathbf{p} = -\nabla_{r}U dt \end{cases}$$

• Potential *U* of the mean field:

$$U = U(\rho) + U_{Coulomb} + U(\vec{p})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$U(\rho) = \alpha \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} + \beta \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{\gamma}$$
(nuclear matter "equation of state", typical parameterization)

• If *N* = *const*, we would have:

$$\frac{df}{dt} = 0$$

(momentum-dependent term of potential typical parameterization )

 $U(\vec{p}) = \delta \frac{8}{\rho_0 (2\pi)^3} \int d^3 p' \frac{f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})}{1 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'}{\Lambda}\right)^2}$ 

• ... but there are also **collisions**. Let's consider a two-body process  $(1,2) \rightarrow (3,4)$ . Probability of collision is described by the cross section  $\sigma$  (more precisely,  $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ). A collision occurs if:

$$d < \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{NN}}{\pi}}$$





But one should account for the Pauli exclusion (momenta cells p<sub>3</sub> i p<sub>4</sub> are not always available).
 It is included probabilistically:

 $f(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},t)$ is a probability of occupation of momentum cell p. $1 - f(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},t)$ is a probability that momentum cell p is free.



• The **BUU** (Boltzmann-Ühling-Uhlenbeck) equation in short:

$$\frac{df}{dt} = I_{col}$$

3

• For each collision a distribution (of nucleons) f(r, p, t) in the initial state is prepared:

$$f(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},t) = \sum_{i=1}^{A_{beam}+A_{target}} \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i) \ \delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_i)$$

## Initialization of positions

Usually: Woods-Saxon distribution with parameters based on experimental charge distribution



TABLE I. This table summarizes the specific parameters used in the Woods–Saxon initialization for some nuclei.

Nucleus	Α	<i>r</i> <sup>0</sup> [fm]	<i>d</i> [fm]
U	238	6.86	0.556
Pb	208	6.67	0.54
Au	197	6.38	0.535
Cu	63	4.20641	0.597



### Initialization of momenta

Usually: for nucleons away by r from the center, p is pulled randomly from unit sphere, i.e.:  $p \in [0, p_{\text{Fermi}}(r)]$ 

$$p_{\text{Fermi}}(\vec{r}) = \hbar \left[ \frac{3}{2} \pi^2 \rho(\vec{r}) \right]^{1/3}$$

Usually, Fermi momentum in the middle of a nucleus  $~\approx~~$  270 MeV/c .

• Motion of a given particle is governed by the *1-body hamiltonian* :

Non-relativistic variant:
$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + U$$
(it's a simplified picture:  
without EM and strong  
interactions)Relativistic variant: $H = \sqrt{p^2 + m^2} + U$ )

In consecutive time steps (n) position and momentum of i-th particle evolves due to: (non-relat. variant)

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{i} = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{p}_{i}} H$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{i} = -\nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}_{i}} H$$

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{i}(n+1) = \boldsymbol{r}_{i}(n) + \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{i}(n+\frac{1}{2})}{m} \cdot \Delta t + \nabla_{\boldsymbol{p}_{i}} U_{i} \cdot \Delta t$$

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{i}(n+\frac{1}{2}) = \boldsymbol{p}_{i}(n-\frac{1}{2}) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}_{i}} U_{i}(n) \cdot \Delta t$$

 $\oplus$  collisions: if  $d < d_{\min}$  and no Pauli blocking  $\rightarrow$  then  $\delta(p_1)$  and  $\delta(p_2)$  changes into  $\delta(p_3)$  and  $\delta(p_4)$ 

• <u>Caution</u>: Within BUU the only field-type (continuous) interaction – is through the mean field. No nucleon–nucleon interactions (except for collisions).

 $\rightarrow$  BUU usually knows nothing about adjacent nucleons coalescing into LCPs (d, t, <sup>3</sup>He, ... ).

 $\Psi = \prod_{i} \psi_{i} \sim \prod_{i} \exp \left[-\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{r}_{i}(t))^{2}}{L}\right] \cdot \exp \left[i \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \boldsymbol{p}_{i}(t)\right]$ 

• In **QMD** models the objects are the particle wave packets:

4

e.g. C.Hartnack et al. Eur. Phys. J. A 1, 151 (1998) arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/9811015

Parameter *L* describes the packet size. It is found such that  $\Psi$  describes the density drop at the nucleus' skin.

An *i*-th particle moves in the potential ⊕ collides with neighbours.
 However, the potential is built from a sum of potentials from '*j*-th' particles surrounding the '*i*-th' one.

Quantum hamiltonian:  

$$\langle H \rangle = \langle T \rangle + \langle V \rangle = \sum_{i} T_{i} + \sum_{i} \sum_{j > i} \int \psi_{i}^{*} \psi_{j}^{*} V^{ij}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \psi_{i} \psi_{j} dx_{1} dx_{2}$$
Equation of motion:  

$$\begin{vmatrix} \dot{r}_{i} &= \frac{p_{i}}{m} + \nabla_{p_{i}} \sum_{j} \langle V_{ij} \rangle$$

$$\dot{p}_{i} &= -\nabla_{r_{i}} \sum_{j \neq i} \langle V_{ij} \rangle$$
(in fact, the simulation traces the centroids of wave packets)

•  $N_i - N_j$  interactions:  $V_{ij} = V_{ij}^{Skyrme} + V_{ij}^{Yukawa} + V_{ij}^{p-dependent} + V_{ij}^{Coulomb} + V_{ij}^{p-n}$  assymetry

$$= \begin{bmatrix} t_1 + t_2 \ \rho^{\gamma-1}(\mathbf{x}_i) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j) + t_3 \frac{\exp \left\{-|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|/\mu\right\}}{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|/\mu} + t_4 \ln^2 \left(1 + t_5 (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_j)^2\right) \cdot \delta(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j) + \frac{Z_i Z_j e^2}{\{\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\}} + t_6 \frac{1}{\rho_0} T_i^3 T_j^3 \cdot \delta(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)$$

• From the *talk* of Ch. Hartnack and J. Aichelin (2015), the authors of IQMD:

# **Definition of the potentials**

$$\begin{split} V^{ij} &= G^{ij} + V^{ij}_{\text{Coul}} \\ &= V^{ij}_{\text{Skyrme}} + V^{ij}_{\text{Yuk}} V^{ij}_{\text{mdi}} + V^{ij}_{\text{Coul}} + V^{ij}_{\text{sym}} \\ &= t_1 \delta(\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) + t_2 \delta(\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) \rho^{\gamma - 1}(\vec{x}_i) + t_3 \frac{\exp\{-|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j|/\mu\}}{|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j|/\mu} + t_4 \ln^2(1 + t_5(\vec{p}_i - \vec{p}_j)^2) \delta(\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) + \frac{Z_i Z_j e^2}{|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j|} + t_6 \frac{1}{\varrho_0} T_3^i T_3^j \delta(\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j) \end{split}$$

# **Bethe Weizsaecker – mass formula:**

Volume term+Surface term+Coulomb term+symmetry term(nucl. eos)(+pairing term not included)(asy- eos)

**Hadron-hadron collision**. Occurs if the centroids between 2 nucleons approach closer than:

$$d < \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{NN}}{\pi}}$$

Pauli exclusion is accounted for.

• By selecting components  $V_{ij}$ :  $V^{\text{Skyrme}} + V^{\text{Yukawa}} + V^{\text{p-dependent}}$ , through the respective convolution one can "reproduce" the equation of state of nuclear matter.

$$U(\rho) = \alpha \frac{\rho_{\text{int}}}{\rho_0} + \beta \left(\frac{\rho_{\text{int}}}{\rho_0}\right)^{\gamma} + U^{\text{p-dependen}}$$

$$U^{p-dependent} = \delta \cdot \ln^2 (\epsilon \cdot (\Delta p)^2 + 1)$$

 $\Delta p$ : particle momentum w.r.t  $\langle p \rangle$  of medium

One can choose the $V_{\mu}$ parameters so that
the simulation reproduces given Equation of State,
(including "recreation" of the hard/soft scenarios)

	$\alpha$ (MeV)	$\beta ~({\rm MeV})$	$\gamma$	$\delta ~({ m MeV})$
S	-356	303	1.17	_
$\mathbf{SM}$	-390	320	1.14	1.57
Η	-124	71	2.00	
HM	-130	59	2.09	1.57

- The Isospin-QMD (IQMD) variant :
- Separate treatment of n, p,  $\Delta$ ,  $\pi$
- Possible proton-neutron interactions
- one can describe the asymmetric (n  $\neq$  p) part of EoS

IQMD provides the framework for description of binding of nucleons into LCPs (clusters).

Due to shortening of  $\lambda_{de Broglie}$  with beam *E*, with increasing energy we move from the hadron sector to that dominated by quarks and gluons (QG). Transport models aim to describe both these dynamics.

Some models propose the insertion of the QGP phase as the initial stage of collision, by transitioning to the hydronamical description, after which the hadronization occurs (QG fluid  $\rightarrow$  hadron gas).

In addition, most of models also at the hadronic stage switch on the QG degrees of freedom. It is possible if in the individual hadron-hadron collision the  $\sqrt{s}$  crosses the threshold value.



 Description of QG channels: so-called "strings". Between 2 quarks a segment is created ("string") with homogeneous energy distribution. This string is divided into portions, which generate hadrons. • Characteristics of main properties of selected ("currently on market") transport models

Property	GiBUU	IQMD	UrQMD	RQMD.RMF	SMASH	PHSD
Relativistic Kinematics	optionally	n,p : no K : yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Potential = Mean field	yes	n,p : no K : yes	no	optionally	yes	yes
Potential = sum of nucleon pots.	no	yes	yes	optionally	no	no
Electromagnetic potential	yes	yes	yes for baryons no for $\pi$	optionally	no	no
Momentum- dependent potential	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes
Creation of LCP (clusters)	at end of simulation	at end of simulation	no	at end of simulation	at end of simulation	no
modifications of hadron mass in the medium	yes	yes	no	baryons: yes K, π: no	no	yes
quark-gluon phase described by "strings"	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes

• <u>Fact</u>: each scalar product of two four-vectors is invariant w. r. t. Lorentz Transform.

 $p_{\mu}p^{\mu} = E^2 - \vec{p}^2 = const \rightarrow quite obvious, as: <math>E^2 - \vec{p}^2 = m^2$ 

 $\left(\sum_{i} E_{i}\right)^{2} - \left(\sum_{i} \vec{p}_{i}\right)^{2} = \text{invariant} \equiv \underbrace{s}_{i}^{*}$ at the same time:  $\begin{cases} \sum_{i} E_{i} = const\\ \sum_{i} \vec{p}_{i} = const \end{cases} \qquad \text{"s" is not only invariant.} \\ \text{It also is the integral of motion} \\ [= const(t)]. \end{cases}$ 

• Centre of mass frame (CM) : s

such frame that

$$\sum_{i} \vec{p}_{i,CM} = \vec{0}$$

$$s \equiv \left(\sum_{i} E_{i,CM}\right)^{2} - \left(\sum_{i} \vec{p}_{i,CM}\right)^{2} = \left(\sum_{i} E_{i,CM}\right)^{2}$$

$$\checkmark$$
  $\sqrt{s} = \sum_{i} E_{i,CM}$ 

 $\sqrt{s}$  is called the "available energy". It amounts to the sum of energy of the system in the CM frame.

• For fixed-target collisions, in order to heat up the system (in CM), we provide  $T_{\text{Beam}}$  energy (in Lab). Let's consider the nucleon-nucleon (NN) collision at the fixed target. How to translate  $T_{\text{Beam}} \rightarrow \sqrt{S}$ ?



 $\sqrt{s}$  is commonly used in the collisions of two opposing beams. Why so?



Momenta sum up to  $\overline{0}$ . We obtain:

$$\sqrt{s} = \sum_{i} E_{i,CM} = 2 \cdot (m_N + T_{Beam})$$

Nb. in the collider mode it's easier to inject much energy to the system of colliding nuclei:

$$\sqrt{s} \sim T_{Beam}$$

Consider process with initially 2 particles with 4-momenta  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  and finally also 2, with 4-momenta  $p_3$ ,  $p_4$ .



Energy + momentum conservation  $\equiv$  4-momentum conservation.

$$p_1 + p_2 = p_3 + p_4$$

So it's worth introducing the "available energy":

 $s \equiv (p_1 + p_2)^2 = (p_3 + p_4)^2$ 

If a process is the fusion of particles into a resonance, then its mass  $m = \sqrt{s}$ . We say a reaction is in the "s channel".





But the 4-momentum conservation law can be rearranged:

$$p_1 - p_3 = p_4 - p_2$$

and it's worth introducing here "4-momentum transfer":

$$t \equiv (p_1 - p_3)^2 = (p_4 - p_2)^2$$

If a process involves an exchange of a virtual particle, then its squared 4-momentum equals to t. A reaction is "in t channel"

Process  $\pi^- \Lambda \rightarrow K^- N$  is a "t-channel reaction". Example: Process  $\pi^- \Lambda \rightarrow \Sigma^{*-} \rightarrow K^- N$ 

is a "s-channel reaction".

• Simple tools in Bash for previewing text data:

```
cat [file]
head -[No. of lines] [file]
tail -[No. of lines] [file]
less [file]
wc -l [file]
```

```
cat mydata.txt | wc -l
grep [phrase] [file]
```

```
cat myfile | awk 'NF==8 && $5=="Z" '
cat myfile | awk 'NF==8 {print $3}'
```

- To display file contents
  To display first lines of file
- : To display last lines of file
- : File reader (handy hotkeys: gG/q)
- : To count the number of lines
- : The | (*pipe*) symbol redirects an output  $A \rightarrow input B$
- : To search for a (line with) phrase in a file
- : Accepts only lines with 8 words, where 5. word is Z
- : Accepts only lines with 8 words  $\oplus$  prints 3. word only

### • Example:

```
grep "# event" EventOutput.Real.oscar | wc -l
cat particle lists.oscar | awk 'NF == 12 && $10 == 321' > mykaons.dat
```

### Comment:

Shells like Bash offer many text-based tools that e.g. sort, position, substitute phrases etc. They allow for manipulation using variables, conditional instructions, loops – including these over files and directories. One can code in scripts.

Many tutorials on Bash and extensive forums are available on the web. You can find an interesting cheat-sheet here. The introduction to Bash on the pages of UW Faculty of Physics is e.g. here.

# **GiBUU Model**

-

# GiBUU

The Giessen Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck Project

• Home page:

gibuu.hepforge.org

Download.	Download instructions: Prerequisites: Download:	gibuu.hepforge.org/trac/wiki/download gibuu.hepforge.org/trac/wiki/tools gibuu.hepforge.org/downloads
Installation.	Compilation instructions:	gibuu.hepforge.org/trac/wiki/compiling
How to run:		gibuu.hepforge.org/trac/wiki/running
Help.	Tutorial: Presentations: Animations:	gibuu.hepforge.org/trac/wiki/tutorial gibuu.hepforge.org/trac/wiki/Talks gibuu.hepforge.org/trac/wiki/MovieMain
Table of PID co	odes:	gibuu.hepforge.org/trac/wiki/ParticleIDs

Main papers:

O. Buss *et al.* "Transport-theoretical description of Nuclear Reactions", Physics Reports 512, 1 (2012), arXiv: 1106.1344

### • On the neutronx computer:

```
mkdir gibuu ; cd gibuu
cp -r /home/kpiasecki/soft/gibuu/nkfj/* .
```

### Let's inspect the input file (c.f. next page), e.g:

```
less 001_CaCa1.91_test.job
```

**Rich collection of input options** (*please consider them thoughtfully*):

http://gibuu.hepforge.org/Documentation/

Rich collection of demonstrative input files in this folder:

/home/kpiasecki/soft/gibuu/testRun/jobCards/

Let's perform a simulation (here: in the background. Log goes into file: caca 1.91 test.log)

nohup ./GiBUU.x <001\_CaCa1.91\_test.job 1>caca\_1.91\_test.log 2>&1 &

Many output files. Among them – file with events containing particles (see next page):

less EventOutput.Real.oscar

Input file 001\_CaCa1.91\_test.job :

```
! file: ./inputOutput/input.f90
&input
      eventtype
                        =
                          1
      numEnsembles =
                             80
      num runs sameEnergy =
                          10
      delta T
                            0.25
                        =
      numTimeSteps
                        =
                           160
      printParticleVectorTime = T
      timeForOutput
                           = 0.
      timeSequence
                           = 20.
      path To Input = '{...}/buuinput'
{....}
```

```
! file: ./init/initHeavyIon.f90
&heavyIon
     impact parameter
                        = -7.
                        = 0
     impact profile
     distance
                        = 16.
     coulomb
                        = F
     ekin lab Target = 0.00
     ekin lab Projectile = 1.91
     adjustGridFlag
                        = T
     cmsFlag
                        = T
! file: ./density/nucleus.f90
&projectile
      projectile Z= 20, projectile A= 40
! file: ./density/nucleus.f90
&target
      target Z=20, target A=40
\{\ldots\}
```

• **Output file** EventOutput.Real.oscar :

		-	
#!OSCAR2013 particle lists t x y z mass p0 px py pz pdg II	Э	Table of PDG codes	
# Units: fm fm fm fm GeV GeV GeV GeV GeV none none			ļ
<pre># File generated by GiBUU (https://gibuu.hepforge.org)</pre>			
# event 1 out 80			-¥
0.000000E+0 7.183791E+0 3.961299E+0 2.780585E+1 9.22	27111E-1 ( 1.225751E+0 2.703973E-2 -9	9.247542E-2 8.011161E-1 2:	212 100000
0.000000E+0 5.118310E+0 -7.210583E-1 2.525251E+1 7.65	57091E-1 1.101021E+0 -5.729713E-3 -1	1.723748E-2 7.909611E-1 2	112 100001
0.000000E+0 2.584306E+0 -1.719659E-1 2.539883E+1 7.72	26719E-1 1.088114E+0 -1.631816E-1 -5	5.991384E-2 7.461942E-1 2	112 100002
0.000000E+0 2.133399E+0 3.397612E-1 2.692126E+1 7.94	44067E-1 1.045015E+0 -2.850862E-2 -9	9.578418E-2 6.717517E-1 2	112 100003
0.000000E+0 6.169842E+0 -1.890743E+0 2.453204E+1 8.20	54268E-1 1.030645E+0 -6.987948E-2 1	1.303097E-1 5.971482E-1 2	112 100004
0.000000E+0 -7.235081E+0 1.162180E+0 -2.613539E+1 7.6	77036E-1 1.057389E+0 3.919098E-2 1	1.860452E-1 -7.017274E-1 2	212 103239
# event 1 end 0			
# event 2 out 80			
0.000000E+0 3.456383E+0 6.567166E-1 2.607133E+1 7.64	48380E-1 8.782891E-1 -6.281280E-3 4	4.809546E-2 4.290245E-1 2:	212 100040
0.000000E+0 5.786570E+0 1.426497E-1 2.657666E+1 7.66	53178E-1 1.130114E+0 -1.239606E-1 §	9.851192E-2 8.153864E-1 2	112 100041
0.000000E+0 6.166525E+0 -3.298759E+0 2.666215E+1 8.63	35919E-1 1.227330E+0 9.365502E-2 -4	4.166068E-2 8.660087E-1 2	112 100042



# Simulating Many Accelerated Strongly-interacting Hadrons

A relativistic hadronic transport approach

Home page:		theory.gsi.de/~smash/userguide/current smash-transport.github.io
Download		github.com/smash-transport/smash
Installation		theory.gsi.de/~smash/userguide/2.0/md_README.html
How to run:		theory.gsi.de/~smash/userguide/2.0/page_smash_invocation.html
Help.	Tutorial: Presentations: Animations:	theory.gsi.de/~smash/userguide/current/ D. Oliinychenko (2020), A. Schäfer (2019) smash-transport.github.io/img/movie.mp4

### Table of PDG codes:

github.com/smash-transport/smash/blob/master/input/particles.txt

### • Main papers:

J. Weil et al. "Particle production and equilibrium properties within a new hadron transport approach for heavy-ion collisions" Physical Review C 94, 054905 (2016), arXiv: 1106.1344

H. Petersen *et al.* "SMASH – A new hadronic transport approach" Nuclear Physics A 982, 399 (2019), arXiv: 1808.06832



#### • On the neutronx computer:

```
mkdir smash ; cd smash
cp -r /home/kpiasecki/soft/smash/nkfj/*
```

### Let's inspect the input file, e.g. :

less config.yaml

**Rich collection of input options** (*please consider them thoughtfully*):

theory.gsi.de/~smash/userguide/2.0/input.html

Let's perform a simulation (here: in the background . Log goes into file: myrun . log )

nohup nice ./smash -i config.yaml -o outdir/ 1>myrun.log 2>&1 &

File with events containing particles can be found in folder outdir :

```
less outdir/particle lists.oscar
```

• Input file config.yaml :

{} General•		Modi:
Modus:	Collider	Collider: Projectile:
Time_Step_Mode: Delta Time:	Fixed 0.1	Particles: {2212: 79, 2112: 118} #Gold197
End_Time: Randomseed:	50.0 -1	Target: Particles: {2212: 79, 2112: 118} #Gold197
Nevents:	10	Impact: Sample: "quadratic"
Output:		Range: [0.0, 12.0]
Output_Interval	: 20.0	E_Kin: 1.20
Format: Only Final:	["Oscar2013"] false	Calculation_Frame: "center of mass" Fermi_Motion: "on"
		COLLISIONS WITNIN NUCLEUS: True

• Output file particle\_lists.oscar :

#!OSCAR2013 particle_lists t x y z mass p0 px py pz pdg ID charge					
# Units: fm fm fm fm GeV GeV GeV GeV GeV none none e					
# SMASH-1.7					
# event 1 in 394	¥				
-2.22563 -3.64001 -1.32594 -3.67708 0.938 2.236845 -0.03016814 0.00476	330 2.030443 2112 0 0				
-2.22563 -5.40173 -3.32581 -2.98890 0.938 2.101389 0.05289568 -0.05137	847 1.878977 2112 1 0				
-2.22563 $-0.84595$ $-2.10703$ $-5.13988$ $0.938$ $2.523251$ $0.22803293$ $0.04328$	960 2.330897 2112 2 0				
# event 1 out 394					
0 -3.67003 -1.32120 -1.65681 0.938 2.236845 -0.03016814 0.00476	330 2.030443 2112 0 0				
0 -5.34571 -3.38022 -0.99825 0.938 2.101389 0.05289568 -0.05137	847 1.878977 2112 1 0				

Table of PID codes



# Ultrarelativistic Quantum Molecular Dynamics

•	Home page:		urqmd.org				
	Download.		Home page $\rightarrow$ contact with the authors of the code.				
	Installation + h	low to run:	urqmd.org/en/compiling-and-running-urqmd/				
	Help.	Manual: Presentation:	Copy on the web M. Bleicher (2018)				
	Table of PID co	des:	urqmd.org/en/particle-ids				
•	Main papers:						
	S.A. Bass et al.	"Microscopic models fo Progress in Particle and	or ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions" Nuclear Physics 41, 225 (1998), arXiv: nucl-th/9803035				
	M. Bleicher <i>et</i> (	al. "Relativistic hadron-hadro Journal of Physics G: Nu	on collisions in the ultra-relativistic quantum molecular dynamics model" clear and Particle Physics 25, 1859 (1999), arXiv: hep-ph/9909407				



### On the neutronx computer:

mkdir urqmd ; cd urqmd
cp -r /home/kpiasecki/soft/urqmd-3.4/nkfj/\* .

### Let's inspect 2 input files, e.g. :

less inputfile\_NiNi1.5
less runqmd.bash

Rich collection of input options is in Chapter 5 of Manual (please consider them thoughtfully) :

Copy on the web

Let's perform the simulation (here: in the background. Log goes into file: mysim.log)

nohup nice ./runqmd.bash 1>mysim.log 2>&1 &

We've got a collection of files with events. In between the file with extension *f*14 with events (and subsequent timesteps), containing particles :

less test.f14

Input file inputfile.NiNi1.5 :	• Input file runqmd.bash :
pro 58 28	
tar 58 28	{ }
nev 10	<pre>export ftn09=inputfile_NiNi1.5</pre>
imp -10.	export ftn13=test.f13
elb 1.5	export ftn14=test.f14
tim 50 20	export ftn15=test.f15
cto 18 1	export ftn16=test.f16
	export ftn19=test.f19
f13	export ftn20=test.f20
#f14	{ }
f15	
#f16	
f19	
f20	
$\{\ldots\}$	

# • Output file test.f14 :

									lable	e ot	PID C	odes	5
UQMD versi	on: 30	1000 1000	30400 outpu	t_file 14									
projectile:	(mass, char	c) 58 28	target: (m	ass, char)	58 28				<b>1</b>				
transformati	on betas (NN	I,lab,pro)	0.0000000	0.6665679 -	-0.6665679								
impact_param	eter_real/mi	_n/max(fm):	6.28 0.00	10.00 tota	al_cross_sect	ion(mbarn):	3141.59			6	harge	•	
equation_of_	state: 0	E_lab(GeV/u	): 0.1500E+0	sqrt(s)(Ge	eV): 0.2517E+	-0 p_lab(GeV	/u): 0.2250E	:+0				•	
event#	1 random	seed: 16004	19089 (auto)	total_tin	ne(fm/c):	40 Delta(	t)_0(fm/c):	20.0	00		Î		
()			ſ								×		
pvec: r0	rx	ry	rz	pO	px	ру	pz	m	ıtyp	213 0	h ICI	ncl	or
119	20	4	7 10	0	0				<b>•</b>				
/3	4/ 22	4	10700710	0	0	0 010075 1	0.000500.0	0.004727				0	0
0.20000E+2	0.74819E+2	U.3666/E+U	0.10/99E+2	0.12222E+2	-U.81322E-2	0.9138/E-1	0.80353E+0	0.924/3E+			. 0	0	0
0.20000E+2	0.3416/E+2	0.2//92E+0	0.592/0E+2	0.11642E+2	U.18126E-1	-0.53284E-1	0.68819E+0	0.93800E+			. 79	1 O	0
0.2000E+2	0.781496+0	-0.306998+2	0.1039/E+2	0.134246+2	0.88550E-1	0.916998-2	0.9/368E+0	U.92038E+			. 0	0	0
{}		<b>`</b>									_		
02	54 20	)	7 10	0	0								
			/ L9	0 10000011		0 01210 1	0 00252010	0 0242751		1		0	0
0.40000E+2	U./4019E+1	U.3000/E+U	0.242396+2	U.IZZŐZE+I	-U.01322E-2	0.91310E-1	0.00353E+0	U.9243/E+		L L	. 0	0	0



# Parton-Hadron-String Dynamics Transport approach

Home page:		theory.gsi.de/~ebratkov/phsd-project/PHSD/				
Download.	[contact with authors]	theory.gsi.de/~ebratkov/phsd-project/PHSD/index4.html				
Installation -	⊦ how to run:	§ 1.2 of Manual. Authors insist on using Intel Fortran compiler				
Help.	Manual: Presentations: Animations:	Copy on the web E. Bratkovskaya, Home page Au+Au @ 10A GeV , Au+Au @ 35A GeV , Pb+Pb @ 158A GeV				
Table of PID	codes:	Tables 1.1 i 1.2 in Manual				

### Main papers:

 $\odot$  PHSD:

W. Cassing, E.L. Bratkovskaya "Parton transport and hadronization from the dynamical quasiparticle point of view", Physical Review C 78, 034919 (2018), arXiv: 0808.0022

 $\odot$  HSD:

W. Cassing, E.L. Bratkovskaya "Hadronic and electromagnetic probes of hot and dense nuclear matter" Physics Reports 308, 65 (1999), arXiv: 1808.06832



### On the neutronx computer:

mkdir phsd ; cd phsd
cp -r /home/kpiasecki/soft/phsd/nkfj/\* .

### Let's inspect the input file, e.g. :

less inputPHSD.NiNi1.9

### **Rich collection of input options is in Section 1.4 of Manual** (*please consider them thoughtfully*) :

Copy on the web

Let's perform the simulation (here: in the background. Log goes into file: mysim.log)

nohup nice ./run\_phsd.sh 1>mysim.log 2>&1 &

### We've got a collection of files with events. In between the file phsd.dat with subsequent events containing particles :

less phsd.dat

# PHSD Model

# • Input file input PHSD.NiNi1.9 :

58,	MASSTA: target mass
28,	MSTAPR: protons in target
58,	MASSPR: projectile mass
28,	MSPRPR: protons in projectile
1.91,	ELAB: (=4060000. Lab energy per nucleon LHC),=21300 RHIC,=13433049 (5 TeV) = 26120000 (7 TeV)
0.0,	BMIN: minimal impact parameter in fm ! no effect for p+A
7.0,	BMAX: maximal impact parameter in fm ! no effect for p+A
0.5,	DeltaB: impact parameter step in fm (used only if IBweight_MC=0)
150,	NUM: optimized number of parallel ensambles ("events")
10,	ISUBS: number of subsequent runs
{ }	

# • Output file phsd.dat :

	Table of	PD	G cod	es						
1										
	105	1	1	0.007010						
	$\frac{125}{27} = 0.204$	1 1536	L SE+00	0.6427918	<u>3E+UI</u> I ⊦NN N 317638€+N(	0.675407E+00	0 318581E+00 0	446779E+00	0 1375988+00	0 671028E+00
	2212	1	-0.25	568587E+00	-0 16134205E+00	-0 12625135E+01	0 16016214E+01	1	51	0.0710201100
	2112	0	-0.293	324052E+00	0.86835183E-01	-0.75108749E+00	0.12399625E+01	1	0	
	2212	1	0.61	904673E-01	0.12047570E+00	-0.11011121E+01	0.14528037E+01	-1	0	
$\langle \rangle$	2212	1	-0.25	516313E+00	0.11847670E+00	-0.90409690E+00	0.13328092E+01	-1	0	
	2212	1	0.434	185938E-02	0.11743490E-01	-0.73762423E+00	0.11933526E+01	-1	0	
	2212	1	-0.69	573373E-01	-0.43003834E-02	-0.66405290E+00	0.11513771E+01	) -1	0	
(	)				0.11.10000.100		0.10050501-000	0	0.0	
1	111		0.37	/81116E-01	0.11493234E+00	-0.78976639E-01	0.19979531E+00	2	20	
/ .	129 129	⊥ L		0.642/91	LØE+UI I 100 0 750000100	0 1625710.00	0 702561100 0	22171400		0 59671000
(	2212	1	-0 14	0.293669E1 189351E+00	0 92953674E-01	-0 11530347E+01	0 14963180E+01	.221/14E+00 1	-0.243801E+00	0.300/102+00
	2212	1	$-0.10^{\circ}$	42475E+00	-0.41412741E-01	-0.11190853E+01	0.14643080E+01	1	0	
	2212	1	0.14	764933E+00	-0.58835067E-01	-0.90158129E+00	0.13107077E+01	1	Õ	
				p	Ø	a	Ε			
				Pχ	Γγ	r z		)		
	char	ge								
	-									

•	Home page:		[Link] (retrieved page)
	Download.		Versions 1.x (Fortran). Versions 2.x (C++)
	Installation + h	now to run:	[Link] (retrieved page) + files INSTALL and README
	Help.	Manual: Cheat-sheet about input file:	copy on the web : README file
	Table of PDG of	odes:	[File 1], [File 2] (retrieved pages) or Appendix A in Manual.
•	Main papers:		
		·:	

- Y. Nara, "Sensitivity of the excitation functions of collective flow to relativistic scalar and vector meson interactions in the relativistic quantum molecular dynamics model RQMD.RMF" Physical Review C 100, 054902 (2019), arXiv: 1906.03537
- $\odot$  JAM:
  - Y. Nara et al. "Relativistic nuclear collisions at 10A GeV energies from p+Be to Au+Au with the hadronic cascade model" Physical Review C 64, 024901 (1999), arXiv: nucl-th/9904059

### On the neutronx computer:

mkdir rqmd.rmf ; cd rqmd.rmf
cp -r /home/kpiasecki/soft/rqmd.rmf/nkfj/\*

### Let's inspect the input file, e.g. :

less jam.cfg

### **Rich collection of input options is in Section 4.6 of Manual** (*please consider them thoughtfully*) :

Copy on the web

Let's perform the simulation (here: in the background. Log goes into file mysim.log)

nohup nice ./jamexe 1>mysim.log 2>&1 &

### We've got a collection of files with events. In between the file phase.dat with subsequent events containing particles :

```
gzip -d phase.dat.gz
less phase.dat
```

• Input file jam.cfg :

```
event=10
proj =108Ag
targ =108Ag
win=1.58gev
bmin=0.0
bmax = -10.
dt = 0.2
timestep = 150  # total number of time steps.
frame= nn
                # computational frame cm, nn, lab, collider
mstc(41)=0
                 ! 1: force resonance decays after sim, 0: let them remain
mstc(42)=1
                                weak
                                       decays after sim, 1: let them remain
                  ! 0: force
{ . . . . }
```

#### • Output file phase.dat :

Table of PDG codes

#	2000		0 0.821	L65 0.67	7597 1.3	35698 2						
#			1 223	25	21 9.1	.0 36	58 4	3				
1	2112	1	9.3960E-2	-7.4691E-2	4.6286E-3	-9.9425E-2	1.3700E+0	-7.8427E+0	3.5348E+0	-1.6458E+1	3.0200E+1	3.0200E+1
1	2112	1	9.3960E-2	-4.2849E-2	2.0180E-2	-8.9590E-2	1.3145E+0	-6.7592E+0	9.4156E+0	-1.7980E+1	3.0200E+1	3.0200E+1
1	2212	1	9.3960E-2	-1.1528E-2	2.2750E-2	-8.4282E-2	1.2676E+0	-7.5301E+0	5.3435E+0	-1.6708E+1	3.0200E+1	3.0200E+1
1	2112	5	9.3957E-2	3.0711E-2	2.4778E-2	-2.6403E-2	1.0527E+0	4.7449E+0	5.2862E+0	-4.7811E+0	3.0200E+1	3.0200E+1
{ .	}							^				
1	111	8	(1.3498E-1)	1.4090E-1	-1.5388E-1	-1.4472E-1	2.8757E-1	2.5947E+0	-5.0680E+0	-8.0321E+0	3.0200E+1	3.0200E+1
#			2 227	78	62 7.1	.2 85	152 12	4				
1	2212	1	9.3960E-1	-2.1659E-2	1.1828E-1	-5.13744E-1	1.0776E+0	-6.4530E+0	4.1473E+0	-9.0628E+0	3.0200E+1	3.0200E+1
1	2212	1	9.3960E-1	4.0508E-2	-1.0861E-1	-7.09055E-1	1.1827E+0	6.2874E-2	-6.4578E-1	-1.1831E+1	3.0200E+1	3.0200E+1
					<b>1</b>							
			Ý				r					
			mass	$\rho_{x}$	$ ho_{_{ m Y}}$	$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{z}$	E					