



# **MINOS:** *Do it yourself*

Introducing MINOSIntroducing NuMI

Bird's viewHighlights

### MINOS detectors

("Building a ship in a bottle")

- "technological" issues
- work "fronts"
- Lessons learned (hopefully)





#### "Anyone who has never made a mistake has never tried anything new."

Albert Einstein







Main Injector Neutrino Oscillation Studies

**Strategy for precision measurements**:

- Two-detector measurement
  - ⇒ long baseline (735km)
  - ⇒ underground (CR shielding + physics)
- High intensity beam from 120 GeV Main Injector
  - ⇒ (up to) 4x10<sup>13</sup> protons/pulse (0.4 MW beam) (potential for ~4x10<sup>20</sup> protons/year)
  - $\Rightarrow$  single turn extraction (8.67 µs)
- Flexible & well-controlled beam
  - ⇒ two parabolic magnetic horns
  - ⇒ movable target (→energy spectrum)









Main goals:

- □ Decisive low-systematics observation of disappearance ( $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{x}$ )
- **Determine**  $|\Delta m_{32}^2|$  and  $sin^2 2\theta_{23}$  with < 10% accuracy
- □ Measure (or improve limits) on  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e} / \nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{sterile} / "exotic" transitions$
- **Test CPT** in atmospheric  $CC_{\mu}$  charge-separated interactions











### Beam: a how to



#### (Main Injector = MI)

Image: MI is fed 1.56 µs batches from 8 GeV Booster (MI ramp time ~1.5sec)

#### NuMI designed for

- $\rightarrow$  8.67 µsec single turn extraction
- → 4 × 10<sup>13</sup>ppp @ 120 GeV
- ➔ 1.9 second cycle time
- → beam power ~400kW

#### □ Typical performance to date:

- → 3.2 × 10<sup>13</sup> ppp @ 120 GeV
- ➔ 2.2 second cycle time

#### □ Achieved records:

- → 3.7 ×10<sup>13</sup> ppp @ 120 GeV
- → 2.0 second cycle time
- → 320 kW



#### Experimental setup: NuMI beam Target **Decay Pipe Target Hall** $\mu^+$ 120 GeV $\pi$ protons From $\pi$ **Main Injector** Horns $\pi$ 30 m 10 m 675 m 5 m Hadron Monitor 210 m 12 m 18 m











### **MINOS Target Hall**









### Muon Monitors to Study v Beam













### The magnetized steel and scintillator calorimeters of the MINOS experiment





Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nima

#### The magnetized steel and scintillator calorimeters of the MINOS experiment



Fig. 1. End views of the second far detector supermodule, looking toward Fermilab. The drawing (left) identifies detector elements shown in the photograph (right): "A" is the furthest downstream steel plane, "B" is the cosmic ray veto shield, "C" is the end of the magnet coil and "D" is an electronics rack on one of the elevated walkways alongside the detector. The horizontal structure above the detector is the overhead crane bridge.

NUCLEAR INSTRUMENTS & METHODS

> IN PHYSICS RESEARCH

### Near Detector at Fermilab 100 m underground



193

D,G, Michael et al, / Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 596 (2008) 190-228



Fig. 2. End view of the near detector, looking toward Soudan. The drawing (left) identifies detector elements shown in the photograph (right): "A" is the furthest upstream steel plane, "B" is the magnet coil, and "C" is an electronics rack on the elevated walkway. Above the detector is the overhead crane bridge. The NuMI beam intersects the near detector near the "A" label.

#### Near Detector – 1,040 m from the target at Fermilab bfld\_160.dat - Near Detector 2.2 veto - target - µ spectrometer y [m] 1.5 mass = 1 kT1.8 **ഥ** 1.6 **153 scintillator planes** 1.4 0.5 1.2 **QIE-based front-end** 0 -0.5 0.8 3.8 x 4.8 "squeezed" octagon 0.6 -1È 12,300 scint.strips 0.4 -1.5 103 m 0.2 -2È 1-end readout underground 0 3 -1 2 -2 1 no-multiplexing x [m] 220 M64s View of the Near Detector Hall nearing end of 282 steel planes 65 km WLS fiber etector constructi 51 km clear fiber 3.8m v target region of these Planes of each Module Typ 48 of these Planes 48 of each Module ' 4.8m 29 of these Planes 29 of each Module Type 8 of these Planes 8 of each Module Tyj μ spectrometer region



#### Far Detector – 735.3 km away (Soudan Mine, Mn)

Running since July 2003

- 2 Supermodules
- ♦ 5.4 kT
- ♦ 484 scint. planes
- CR veto shield (2,070mwe)
- ◆ B ~ 1.5T (R=2m)
- 93,120 strips (4.1 x 1.0 cm)
- 8-fold MUXed 2-ended readout
- ◆ 1551 M16s
- 722 km of WLS fiber
- 794 km of clear fiber
- ♦ HAD = 56% / E <sup>1/2</sup>
- EM = 23% / E  $\frac{1}{2}$







Scintillator Plane (8 modules, 192 strips)







### Co-extruded scintillator strip + reflector use wavelength shifting (WLS) fibers as readout.







BLUE SCINTILLATOR CORE

- Polystyrene: Dow Styron 663 W
- Dopants: 1% PPO + 0.03% POPOP

#### WHITE CAPSTOCKING

Polystyrene with
12% TiO<sub>2</sub> – 0.25 mm thick

**GREEN FIBER** 

K-27 fiber – 1.2 mm diameter





## **EXTRUSION AT ITASCA PLASTICS**







### **EXTRUSION AT ITASCA PLASTICS**







## **EXTRUSION AT ITASCA PLASTICS**







# **EXTRUDED SCINTILLATOR STRIPS**









#### QC SETUP AT THE FACTORY

**COMPARISON OF MEASUREMENTS** 





### **Module Assembly II**







Scenes from Minnesota Module Factory





# **45 Degree End Manifold**







### **Module Assembly**











### **NearDet construction** (finished in Dec'2004)











## **Radioactive source mapping**







### **Plane assembly**







# **4-Plane Prototype**











SM 1 + SM2 (248 + 237 = 485planes)

K. Lang, University of Texas at Austin, MINOS - Do it yourself!, Warsaw, May 2009

coil



# **WLS Fiber Test Apparatus**







### Light yield in MINOS modules Far Detector






## Drift (down) of light yield







Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



NUCLEAR INSTRUMENTS & METHODS IN PHYSICS RESEARCH Section A



Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 545 (2005) 852-871

www.elsevier.com/locate/nima

# Characterization of 1600 Hamamatsu 16-anode photomultipliers for the MINOS Far detector

K. Lang<sup>a,\*</sup>, J. Day<sup>a</sup>, S. Eilerts<sup>a</sup>, S. Fuqua<sup>a</sup>, A. Guillen<sup>a</sup>, M. Kordosky<sup>a</sup>, M. Lang<sup>a</sup>,
 J. Liu<sup>a</sup>, W. Opaska<sup>a</sup>, M. Proga<sup>a</sup>, P. Vahle<sup>a</sup>, A. Winbow<sup>a</sup>, G. Drake<sup>b</sup>, J. Thomas<sup>c</sup>,
 C. Andreopoulos<sup>d</sup>, N. Saoulidou<sup>d</sup>, P. Stamoulis<sup>d</sup>, G. Tzanakos<sup>d</sup>, M. Zois<sup>d</sup>,
 A. Weber<sup>e</sup>, D. Michael<sup>f</sup>

FAR Detector

Hamamatsu's R-5900



#### NEAR Detector

Hamamatsu's R-5900

K. Lang, University of Texas at Austin, MINOS - Do it yourself!, Warsaw, May 2009



## **Multi-anode PMTs + fibers**







## **PMT Base and Mounting**





K. Lang, University of Texas at Austin, MINOS - Do it yourself!, Warsaw, May 2009



### M16 Photodetectors Read out 128 fibers



- Many new measurements of PMT response have been made in the last several months.
  - ➡ Tubes are scanned with 1.2 mm WLS fibers excited by blue LEDs.
  - The results from the positions of "final installed fibers" are tabulated.
- The measurements and other studies have confirmed the baseline plan for the far detector is optimal.
- We are still comparing M64 and M16 in the near detector due to no multiplexing.





## M16 test stand 1536 fibers into 128 "plugs"









## M16 alignment















## M16 cross talk



866

K. Lang et al. / Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 545 (2005) 852-871











## M16 uniformity





K. Lang et al. / Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 545 (2005) 852-871



Fig. 6. The uniformity of gain and effective collection efficiency (understood as collection efficiency  $\times$  quantum efficiency, or CE  $\times$  QE) of all tested tubes. Top left shows the gain RMS/mean taken over all 128 fiber positions on a tube. Bottom left shows RMS/mean of NPE, normalized and corrected by a monitor tube, The right two plots show the corresponding averages for all tubes as a function of the pixel position in a tube.



## **Linearity and Cross talk of M16**



865



Fig. 8. Linearity range of pixels as a function of observed photoelectrons. The ordinate shows the fraction of all tested pixels which deviate from linearity at the level of 2%, 3%, 5%, and 10% for a given flux of photoelectrons.



Fig. 9. Linearity range of PMTs as a function of observed photoelectrons. The ordinate shows the integrated fraction of all tested PMTs in which at least one pixel deviates from linearity at the level of 2%, 3%, 5%, and 10% for a given flux of photoelectrons.

K. Lang et al. / Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 545 (2005) 852-871



Fig. 10. The distributions of the magnitude of the cross-talk averaged over eight fiber positions in each pixel. The plots show the ratios of charges in a cross-talk pixel to the illuminated pixel. The figures represent the main six distinct categories of cross-talk from the illuminated pixel to: (1) the top pixel, (2) the bottom pixel, (3) the left pixel, (4) the right pixel, (5) the sum of all diagonal pixels, and (6) sum of all non-neighboring pixels, respectively.



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



NUCLEAR INSTRUMENTS & METHODS IN PHYSICS RESEARCH

www.elsevier.com/locate/nima



Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 539 (2005) 668-678

#### Performance of Hamamatsu 64-anode photomultipliers for use with wavelength—shifting optical fibres

N. Tagg<sup>a,\*</sup>, A. De Santo<sup>a,1</sup>, A. Weber<sup>a</sup>, A. Cabrera<sup>a</sup>, P.S. Miyagawa<sup>a</sup>, M.A. Barker<sup>a,2</sup>, K. Lang<sup>b</sup>, D. Michael<sup>c</sup>, R. Saakyan<sup>d</sup>, J. Thomas<sup>d</sup>

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
-8	0.60	1.00	0.99	1.10	0.97	0.99	0.99	0.61			
-7	0.63	1.04	1.03	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.03	0.64	- 0.2		
-6	0.64	0.97	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.91	0.96	0.67	- 0.4		
-5	0.62	0.92	0.85	0.83	0.83	0.84	0.91	0.65			
-4	0.62	0.87	0.78	0.75	0.76	0.78	0.87	0.65	- 0.6		
-3	0.64	0.83	0.75	0.75	0.74	0.76	0.83	0.69	- 0.8		
-2	0.65	0.83	0.76	0.73	0.72	0.75	0.83	0.69			
-1	0.67	0.93	0.84	0.81	0.82	0.84	0.93	0.70	_ 1		
	"Average" PMT Gain										

Fig. 9. Pixel gain pattern. The average gain for each pixel position is shown, averaged over 219 PMTs. Units are gain, to be multiplied by 10<sup>6</sup>. Dynode slats run left to right.



Fig. 11. Nonlinearity curve. The abscissa shows the expected charge response for pulses at different light levels. (The center of the plot is  $10^4$  fC  $\simeq 80$  p.e.). The ordinate scale shows the fractional deviation of the measured PMT charge from linearity. Vertical bars represent the RMS variation amongst all the pixels in the sample. The round markers show the average trend. Data is shown for all pixels with light injected at seven different intensities between  $\sim 10$  and  $\sim 300$  p.e.





#### •MINOS calibration challenge:

Near/Far relative calibration to 2%
absolute calibration of 5%

#### •Main ingredients:

•cosmic ray muons

energy scale calibration
strip-to-strip response
muon energy unit (MEU)
light injection system
PMT gain drifts
PMT/electronics linearity

•calibration detector (CalDet)

•define MEU•topology and pattern recognition







## CalDet – it's an experiment





ELSEVIER

\$ 5 tons
1 m x 1 m x 3.7 m
60 MINOS planes
5 modules (for moving)
24 strips/plane
 (a total of 1440 strips)
Consecutive scintillator
 planes rotated 90°
FarDet and/or NearDet readout
Full MINOS calibration scheme
Clear and green (to simulate size
 of far detector) ribbon cable
 transports light to PMTs
No B field
Took data 2001-2003

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 556 (2006) 119-133



The MINOS calibration detector

P. Adamson<sup>a</sup>, G. Crone<sup>a</sup>, L. Jenner<sup>a</sup>, R. Nichol<sup>a</sup>, R. Saakyan<sup>a</sup>, C. Smith<sup>a</sup>, J. Thomas<sup>a</sup>, M. Kordosky<sup>b,\*</sup>, K. Lang<sup>b</sup>, P. Vahle<sup>b</sup>, A. Belias<sup>c</sup>, T. Nicholls<sup>c</sup>, G. Pearce<sup>c</sup>, D. Petyt<sup>c</sup>,
M. Barker<sup>d</sup>, A. Cabrera<sup>d</sup>, J. Hartnell<sup>d</sup>, P.S. Miyagawa<sup>d</sup>, N. Tagg<sup>d</sup>, A. Weber<sup>d</sup>, E. Falk Harris<sup>e</sup>,
P.G. Harris<sup>e</sup>, R. Morse<sup>e</sup>, P. Symes<sup>e</sup>, D. Michael<sup>f</sup>, P.J. Litchfield<sup>g</sup>, R. Lee<sup>h</sup>, S. Boyd<sup>i</sup>

### **MINOS Calibration Detector Response**





Stopping Power for Muons in Polystyrene Scintillator





Had: 
$$\frac{56\%}{\sqrt{E}}$$
  $\oplus$  2%  
EM:  $\frac{21.4\%}{\sqrt{E}}$   $\oplus$   $\frac{4.1\%}{E}$ 



![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Comparisons of the MINOS Near and Far

## Detector Readout Systems at a Test Beam

![](_page_53_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fig. 40. Near-to-far asymmetry, Eq. (5), in the relative energy response as a function of the deposited energy, before linearity correction.

![](_page_53_Figure_7.jpeg)

Fig. 2. Average calibrated energy deposited versus plane by >2 GeV/c test beam muons. The error bars show the statistical error, thus the jitter from point to point is indicative of systematic error in the uniformity calibration. The observed response spread of the points is 2.4% and 3.0% for the FD and ND readout systems respectively.

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

NUCLEAR INSTRUMENTS & METHODS IN PHYSICS RESEARCH Section A

![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 545 (2005) 145-155

www.elsevier.com/locate/nima

#### Spontaneous light emission from fibers in MINOS

S. Avvakumov<sup>a</sup>, W.L. Barrett<sup>b</sup>, T. Belias<sup>c</sup>, C. Bower<sup>d</sup>, A. Erwin<sup>e</sup>, M. Kordosky<sup>f</sup>, K. Lang<sup>f,\*</sup>, R. Lee<sup>g</sup>, J. Liu<sup>f</sup>, W. Miller<sup>h</sup>, L. Mualem<sup>h</sup>, R. Nichol<sup>i</sup>, J. Nelson<sup>j</sup>, G. Pearce<sup>c</sup>, M. Proga<sup>f</sup>, B. Rebel<sup>d</sup>, K. Ruddick<sup>h</sup>, C. Smith<sup>k</sup>, J. Thomas<sup>i</sup>, P. Vahle<sup>f</sup>, R. Webb<sup>l</sup>

![](_page_54_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_10.jpeg)

Fig. 2. A distribution of decay time constants determined from fits to the data from 51 fully commissioned planes (between detector plane 61 to 120). We used a simple exponential function of the form  $R = C + R_0 e^{-t/T}$ . Examples of such fits are shown in Fig. 1.

K. Lang, University of Texas at Austin, MINOS - Do it yourself!, Warsaw, May 2009

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Special tests of spontaneous light emission by WLS fibers

![](_page_55_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Special tests of spontaneous light emission by WLS fibers

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_5.jpeg)

K. Lang, University of Texas at Austin, MINOS - Do it yourself!, Warsaw, May 2009

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 3. Net background rates (i.e., total rates minus the intrinsic PMT dark noise) (in Hz) of the first PMT. The tube had 16 fibers connected to it. Pairs of fibers were mounted in four different ways. They were (1) left free, (2) glued in scintillator strips, (3) glued in scintillator strips with blackened Epon 815C epoxy, and (4) glued in wooden strips. Fiber lengths were 75cm in pixels 1–8 and 150 cm in pixels 9–16. The rates at the end of our experiment (i.e., 260 days after the start of these tests) for all 16 pixels are shown in the bottom panel. Top four panels show total rates in 150 cm fibers as a function of elapsed time (in days). Solid lines represent the fit to an exponential function discussed in the text. The lower sets of data points in each plot show rates measured with the shutter in (i.e., the intrinsic dark noise of pixels). The solid line through these points shows the interpolated values used for background subtraction.

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Spontaneous rate / length

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 8. Rates measured for 2- and 8-m-long free fibers as a function of time.

#### Table 2

Attenuation corrected emission rates for exponential and asymptotic components of WLS fiber emission for fibers glued with Epon [9], free Bicron fibers [16], and clear fibers

Test condition	Initial rate (Hz/m)	Asymptotic rate (Hz/m)
Kuraray WLS fiber in Epon 815C	$75\pm4$	$9\pm1$
Bicron WLS fiber free Kuraray clear fiber free	$35 \pm 4 \\ 8 \pm 2$	$-3 \pm 3$ $0 \pm 2$

![](_page_58_Figure_8.jpeg)

Fig. 7. Measured rates for groups of free wavelength-shifting fibers of different lengths installed in grooves in wooden strips. The line is a fit to the data using equation 1 with a, the overall normalization, as the only free parameter. The fit yields  $a = (27 \pm 1) \text{ Hz/m}.$ 

#### Table 1

\_

Attenuation corrected emission rates for exponential and asymptotic components of WLS fiber emission

Fiber length (m)	Initial ra	te	Asymptotic rate				
	R (Hz)	a (Hz/m)	R (Hz)	a (Hz/m)			
1	$29 \pm 2$	$35 \pm 7$	$2 \pm 1$	$3 \pm 1$			
2	$38 \pm 2$	$28 \pm 2$	$2 \pm 2$	$2 \pm 2$			
4	$77 \pm 5$	$33 \pm 2$	$10 \pm 4$	$5 \pm 2$			
8	$81 \pm 3$	$25 \pm 1$	$9 \pm 3$	$3 \pm 1$			

![](_page_59_Figure_0.jpeg)

New experimental challenges in neutrino physics - intensity

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

**Near Detector spill** 

**1 spill lasts ~10** μs

![](_page_60_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Calibration – stopping muons (MEU)

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_3.jpeg)

Detector Response (Data)

![](_page_61_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **MEU – MINOS Energy Unit**

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_4.jpeg)

Fig. 13. The average response to stopping muons as a function of the distance from the end of the track along with the window used in the signal-scale calibration. The signals were corrected for gain drift, non-linearity, strip light-output non-uniformity and temperature fluctuations.

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

Argonne • Athens • Benedictine • Brookhaven • Caltech • Cambridge • Campinas • Fermilab Harvard • Holy Cross • IIT • Indiana • Minnesota-Twin Cities • Minnesota-Duluth • Otterbein Oxford • Pittsburgh • Rutherford • Sao Paulo • South Carolina • Stanford • Sussex • Texas A&M Texas-Austin • Tufts • UCL • Warsaw • William & Mary

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_2.jpeg)

**Avoid "building a ship in a bottle"** 

- Better horizontal than vertical access (tunnel vs mine)
- Share responsibilities among as many institutions as possible in all stages of the experiment (collaboration integration)
- Larger detector with PMTs impossible (spectral attenuation)
- Spontaneous light emission in WLS fibers

![](_page_65_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_1.jpeg)

"I wish to thank my parents for making it all possible...and I wish to thank my children for making it necessary."

Victor Borge

![](_page_66_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_1.jpeg)

**Backup slides** 

![](_page_67_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Expect: 27 ± 5(stat) ± 2(syst)
- Observed: 35 events
- Observed is 1.5σ higher than background expectation
- We do observe a similar sized excess of events in a (independent, signal-less) sideband region

![](_page_67_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Anti-neutrino disappearance (NEW !!!)

15

10

5

10

400 Low Energy Beam

5

15

Reconstructed  $\overline{v}_{\mu}$  Energy (GeV)

Events/4 GeV

![](_page_69_Picture_2.jpeg)

MINOS Preliminary: 3.2×10<sup>20</sup> POT

- Far Detector Data

Prediction no osc-

Background CPT

20 30 40 50

3.2×10<sup>20</sup> POT

Prediction CPT

- Observe 42 events in the Far detector
- Predicted events with
   CPT conserving oscillations:
  - ⇒ 58.3 ± 7.6 (stat.) ± 3.6 (syst.)
- Predicted events with null oscillations:
  - ⇒ 64.6 ± 8.0 (stat.) ± 3.9 (syst.)
- CPT conserving point from the MINOS neutrino analysis is within the 90% contour

![](_page_69_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_1.jpeg)

1																	18
IA																	VIIIA
1 H																	2 He
Hydrogen	2											13	14	15	16	17	Helium
1.00794	IIA	_										IIIA	IVA	VA	VIA	VIIA	4.002602
3 Li	4 Be												6 C	7 N	8 O	9 F	10 Ne
Lithium	Beryllium		PERIODIC TABLE OF THE ELEMENTS									Boron	Carbon	Nitrogen	Oxygen	Fluorine	Neon
6.941	9.012182											10.811	12.0107	14.00674	15.9994	18.9984032	20.1797
11 Na	12 Mg											13 Al	14 Si	15 P	16 S	17 CI	18 Ar
Sodium	Magnesium	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Aluminum	Silicon	Phosph.	Sulfur	Chlorine	Argon
22.989770	24 3050	IIIB	IVB	VB	VIB	VIIB		VIII		IB	IIB	26.981538	28.0855	30.973761	32.066	35.4527	39.948
19 K	20 Ca	21 Sc	22 Ti	23 V	24 Cr	25 Mn	26 Fe	27 Co	28 Ni	29 Cu	30 Zn	31 Ga	32 Ge	33 A	34 Se	35 Br	36 Kr
Potassium	Calcium	candium	Titanium	Vanadium	Chromium	Manganese	Iron	Cobalt	Nickel	Copper	Zinc	Gallium	German.	Arsenic	Selenium	Bromine	Krypton
39.0983	40.078	44.955910	47.967	50.9415	51 0061	54.938049	55.845	58.933200	58.6934	63.546	65-20	69.723	72.61	74.92160	79.06	79.904	83.80
37 Rb	38 Sr	39 Y	40 Zr	11 NF	42 Mo	43 Tc	44 Ru	45 Rh	46 Pd	47 Ag	48 Cd	49 In	50 Sn	51 S	52 Ie	53 I	54 Xe
Rubidium	Strontium	Yttrium	Zirconium	liobiun	Molybd.	Technet.	Ruthen.	Rhodium	Palladium	Silver	Cadmium	Indium	Tin	Antimor	Tellurium	Iodine	Xenon
85.4678	87.62	88.90585	91.224	92.90638	95.94	97.907215)	101.07	102.90550	106.42	107.8682	112.411	114.818	118.710	121.760	127.60	126.90447	131.29
55 Cs	56 Ba	57-71	72 Hf	73 Ta	74 W	75 Re	76 Os	77 lr	78 Pt	79 Au	80 Hg	81 TI	82 Pb	83 Bi	84 Po	85 At	86 Rn
Cesium	Barium	Lantha-	Hafnium	Tantalum	Tungsten	Rhenium	Osmium	Iridium	Platinum	Gold	Mercury	Thallium	Lead	Bismuth	Polonium	Astatine	Radon
132.90545	137.327	nides	178.49	180.9479	183.84	186.207	190.23	192.217	195.078	196.96655	200.59	204.3833	207.2	208.98038	(208.982415)	(209.987131)	(222.017570)
87 Fr	88 Ra	89-103	104 Rf	105 Db	106 Sg	107 Bh	108 Hs	109 Mt	110	111	112		114		116		118
Francium	Radium	Actinides	Rutherford	Dubnium	Seaborg.	Bohrium	Hassium	Meitner.									
(223.019731)	(226.025402)		(261.1089)	(262.1144)	(263.1186)	(262.1231)	(265.1306)	(266.1378)	(269, 273)	(272)	(277)		(289)		(289)		(293)
Lantha	nide 57	7 La 5	8 Ce !	59 Pr	60 Nd	6 Pm	62 Sn	n 63 E	u 64 (	Gd 65	Tb 66	Dy 67	Ho 68	Er 69	Tm 70	Yb 71	Lu
s	eries L	anthan.	Cerium F	raseodym	Neodym.	Frometh.	Samariun	1 Europiu	m Gadolii	n. Terbiu	ım Dysp	ros. Holn	nium Erl	bium Th	ulium Ytte	erbium Lut	etium
	13	38.9055	140.116	140.90765	144 24	(144.912745)	150.36	151.964	4 157.25	158.925	534 162	50 164.9	3032 16	7.26 168	.93421 17	3.04 174	4.967
			1	1						1	1	1		1			

U 93 Np 94 Pu 95 Am 96 Cm 97 Bk 98

Cf 99

 Uranium
 Neptunium
 Plutonium
 Americ.
 Curium
 Berkelium
 Californ.
 Einstein.
 Fermium
 Mendelev.
 Nobelium
 Lawrenc.

 238.0289
 (237.048166)
 (244.064197)
 (243.061372)
 (247.070346)
 (247.070298)
 (251.079579)
 (252.08297)
 (257.095096)
 (258.098427)
 (259.1011)
 (262.1098)

Es 100 Fm 101 Md 102 No 103 Lr

Actinide series Ac 90

89

Actinium

(227.027747)

Th 91

232.0381

Thorium Protactin.

Pa 92

231.03588

![](_page_71_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **EXTRUSION AT ITASCA PLASTICS**

![](_page_71_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_3.jpeg)


## **EXTRUSION AT ITASCA PLASTICS**







## **EXTRUSION AT ITASCA PLASTICS**















- The design critereon for the far detector was the light output.
  - → 4.7 pe's/muon (2 GeV muons) for the average sum of two strip ends.
- The measured light output is almost a factor of two higher than the design requirement.



Light output measured for all strips for muons in the far detector. The light output is corrected to 1 cm pathlength per plane but is not corrected for PMT gain variations. Average light output for one-side of readout vs plane number in the detector. (Not corrected for pathlength or gain variations). This shows the uniformity in the hardware and raw response.















# Alignment















Figure 15: Number of photoelectrons contour plots for twelve PMT scans. Blank areas for 9C15C1 and 9C15C3 were due to bad cables, while the blank pixel #9 in 8J03C2 appears to be a damaged pixel. Note the relatively small sizes of the outer pixel columns in 8G11C3, compared to the 9\* serial numbers, which shows the improvement in the effective pixel size. The fact that some tubes were scanned at very low light levels was due to a mistake which has since been corrected.







Figure 14: Charge contours, normalized pixel by pixel, for twelve PMT scans. These plots are the pulsed equivalent to the DC scans conducted by Hamamatsu.



#### MINOS Calibration Detector – an experiment 2001-2003 at CERN PS





### MINOS is a 3-detector Experiment!

•5 tons (5 modules for moving)
•1 m x 1 m x 3.7 m
•60 MINOS planes
•Long WLS andClear fiber cables
•No B field
•24 strips/plane (a total of 1440 strips)
•X-Y views
•FarDet and/or NearDet readout



Exercise a full MINOS calibration scheme
Determine the absolute energy scale to <5%</li>
Establish relative energy scale <2%</li>
Energy and topology response
Monte Carlo tuning
Beam p,π,e,μ 05-10 GeV/c
Cosmic ray muons (stopping)



## M16 base (voltage divider)



