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## Conformal structure

A conformal structure $\left(M^{n},[g]\right)$ on an $n=n_{+}+n_{-}$dimensional manifold $M^{n}$ is an equivalence $[g]$ class of $\left(n_{+}, n_{-}\right)$-signature metrics on $M^{n}$, such that two metrics $g$ and $\hat{g}$ are in the same class $[g]$ if and only if there exists a function $\phi$ on $M^{n}$, such that

$$
\hat{g}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \phi} g
$$

## Ambient metric

- Consider a conformal structure $\left(M^{n},[g]\right)$ as defined on the previous slide.
- An ambient space $\tilde{M}$ for ( $\left.M^{n},[g]\right)$ is locally a product
with respective coordinates ( $t, x^{i}, \rho$ ), and the ambient metric $\tilde{g}$ for $\left(M^{n},[g]\right)$ is an $\left(n_{+}+1, n_{-}+1\right)$-signature Ricci flat metric on N/ given by:
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## An old nontrivial example in five dimensions

Consider a function

$$
f=f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}, \quad f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots f_{6}=\text { const },
$$

and a 5-manifold $M^{5}$ parametrized by ( $x, y, p, q, z$ ), and equipped with a conformal structure [ $g$ ] represented by
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## The conformal class for $F=q^{2}+f(x, p)+b z$

If $F=q^{2}+f(x, p)+b z$, where $b$ is a real constant, the conformal class may be represented by a metric $g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}$ in a relatively simple form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}= & 8(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x)^{2}-6\left(\mathrm{~d} z-2 q \mathrm{~d} p+\left(q^{2}-f-b z\right) \mathrm{d} x\right) \mathrm{d} x- \\
& 2(\mathrm{~d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x)\left(6 \mathrm{~d} q-2 b \mathrm{~d} p-\left(\frac{2}{5} b^{2}+\frac{9}{10} f_{p p}\right)(\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x)-\left(4 b q+3 f_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## An ansatz

- Observation: The Schouten tensor for the class [go] has the form: $\mathbf{P}=\alpha \cdot\left(\omega^{1}\right)^{2}+2 \beta \cdot \omega^{1} \omega^{4}+\gamma \cdot\left(\omega^{4}\right)^{2}$, with $\omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x$ and $\omega^{4}=3 \mathrm{~d} x$, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ functions depending on $f$ and its derivatives.
- Idea: Make an ansatz for the ambient metric $\tilde{g}_{D_{f}}$ in which $g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}\left(x^{i}, \rho\right)$ assumes a similar form.
- Explicitly, make the following ansatz for gid:

with unknown functions $A=A(x, p, \rho), B=B(x, p, \rho)$ and $C=C(x, p, \rho)$.
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## An ansatz

- Observation: The Schouten tensor for the class $\left[g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}\right]$ has the form: $\mathbf{P}=\alpha \cdot\left(\omega^{1}\right)^{2}+2 \beta \cdot \omega^{1} \omega^{4}+\gamma \cdot\left(\omega^{4}\right)^{2}$, with $\omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x$ and $\omega^{4}=3 \mathrm{~d} x$, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ functions depending on $f$ and its derivatives.
- Idea: Make an ansatz for the ambient metric $\tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}$ in which $g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}\left(x^{i}, \rho\right)$ assumes a similar form.
- Explicitly, make the following ansatz for ?
with unknown functions
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## An ansatz

- Observation: The Schouten tensor for the class $\left[g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}\right]$ has the form: $\mathbf{P}=\alpha \cdot\left(\omega^{1}\right)^{2}+2 \beta \cdot \omega^{1} \omega^{4}+\gamma \cdot\left(\omega^{4}\right)^{2}$, with $\omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x$ and $\omega^{4}=3 \mathrm{~d} x$, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ functions depending on $f$ and its derivatives.
- Idea: Make an ansatz for the ambient metric $\tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}$ in which $g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}\left(x^{i}, \rho\right)$ assumes a similar form.
- Explicitly, make the following ansatz for $\tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}=2 \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d}(\rho t)+ \\
& \quad t^{2}\left(g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}+A \cdot\left(\omega^{1}\right)^{2}+2 B \cdot \omega^{1} \omega^{4}+C \cdot\left(\omega^{4}\right)^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with unknown functions $A=A(x, p, \rho), B=B(x, p, \rho)$ and $C=C(x, p, \rho)$.
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## Theorem (lan Anderson + PN)

The metric $\tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}$, as above, is an ambient metric for the conformal class $\left(M^{5},\left[g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}\right]\right)$, if and only if the unknown functions $A=A(x, p, \rho), B=B(x, p, \rho)$ and $C=C(x, p, \rho)$, satisfy the initial conditions $A_{\mid \rho=0} \equiv 0, B_{\mid \rho=0} \equiv 0, C_{\mid \rho=0} \equiv 0$ and the following system of PDEs:
with the linear operator $L$ given by

## Theorem (lan Anderson + PN)

The metric $\tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}$, as above, is an ambient metric for the conformal class $\left(M^{5},\left[g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}\right]\right)$, if and only if the unknown functions $A=A(x, p, \rho), B=B(x, p, \rho)$ and $C=C(x, p, \rho)$, satisfy the initial conditions $A_{\mid \rho=0} \equiv 0, B_{\mid \rho=0} \equiv 0, C_{\mid \rho=0} \equiv 0$ and the following system of PDEs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L A=\frac{9}{40} f_{p p p p} \\
& L B=-\frac{1}{36} A_{p}+\frac{3}{40} f_{p p p} \\
& L C=-\frac{1}{18} B_{p}+\frac{1}{324} A+\frac{1}{30} f_{p p}-\frac{2}{15} b^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the linear operator $L$ given by

## Theorem (lan Anderson + PN)

The metric $\tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}$, as above, is an ambient metric for the conformal class $\left(M^{5},\left[g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}\right]\right)$, if and only if the unknown functions $A=A(x, p, \rho), B=B(x, p, \rho)$ and $C=C(x, p, \rho)$, satisfy the initial conditions $A_{\mid \rho=0} \equiv 0, B_{\mid \rho=0} \equiv 0, C_{\mid \rho=0} \equiv 0$ and the following system of PDEs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L A=\frac{9}{40} f_{p p p p} \\
& L B=-\frac{1}{36} A_{p}+\frac{3}{40} f_{p p p} \\
& L C=-\frac{1}{18} B_{p}+\frac{1}{324} A+\frac{1}{30} f_{p p}-\frac{2}{15} b^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the linear operator $L$ given by

$$
L=2 \rho \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \rho^{2}}-3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}-\frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial p^{2}}
$$
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3 Examples of explicit ambient metrics

- Solutions analytic in $\rho$
- Nonanalytic in $\rho$ solutions
- Poincaré-Einstein picture


## Power series expansion in $\rho$

One can solve the above equations, assuming power series expansion in $\rho$ :

$$
A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}, \quad B=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}, \quad C=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}
$$

obtaining:

## Power series expansion in $\rho$

One can solve the above equations, assuming power series expansion in $\rho$ :

$$
A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}, \quad B=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}, \quad C=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}
$$

obtaining:

$$
A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k}
$$

## Power series expansion in $\rho$

One can solve the above equations, assuming power series expansion in $\rho$ :

$$
A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}, \quad B=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}, \quad C=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}
$$

obtaining:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
& B=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{15} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}} \cdot \rho^{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

## Power series expansion in $\rho$

One can solve the above equations, assuming power series expansion in $\rho$ :

$$
A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}, \quad B=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}, \quad C=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}
$$

obtaining:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
& B=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{15} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}} \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
& C=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{135} \cdot \frac{(k-3)(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} f}{\partial p^{2 k}}+\frac{2}{45} b^{2} \delta_{1 k}\right) \cdot \rho^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solutions being ploynomials in $\rho$

An important feature of the analytic solutions is that their coefficients behave as:
$a_{k}(x, p) \sim \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}}, \quad b_{k}(x, p) \sim \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}}, \quad c_{k}(x, p) \sim \frac{\partial^{(2 k)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k)}}$.
Thus, if we want to have an example of an ambient metric that does not involve powers in $\rho$ higher than $k_{0}$ we need to have 0 , i.e. the function $f=f(x, p)$ defining the distribution
must be a polynomial of order no higher than $2 \ell$
Because of $c_{3}(x, p) \equiv 0$, this statement can be improved, if we
want to have ambient metrics truncated at order $k_{0}=2$. Here
must be a polynomial of order no higher than $2 k_{0}+2=6$,
which is the case of examples of Leistner and PN.
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An important feature of the analytic solutions is that their coefficients behave as:

$$
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An important feature of the analytic solutions is that their coefficients behave as:

$$
a_{k}(x, p) \sim \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}}, \quad b_{k}(x, p) \sim \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}}, \quad c_{k}(x, p) \sim \frac{\partial^{(2 k)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k)}}
$$

Thus, if we want to have an example of an ambient metric that does not involve powers in $\rho$ higher than $k_{0}$ we need to have $\frac{\partial^{\left(2 k_{0}+2\right)} f}{\partial p^{\left(2 k_{0}+2\right)}} \equiv 0$, i.e. the function $f=f(x, p)$ defining the distribution must be a polynomial of order no higher than $2 k_{0}+1$. Because of $c_{3}(x, p) \equiv 0$, this statement can be improved, if we want to have ambient metrics truncated at order $k_{0}=2$. Here $f$ must be a polynomial of order no higher than $2 k_{0}+2=6$, which is the case of examples of Leistner and PN.

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy.
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It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $G_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $G_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :
$f=f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}+f_{7} p^{7}+f_{8} p^{8}+f_{9} p^{9}$,

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $G_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}+f_{7} p^{7}+f_{8} p^{8}+f_{9} p^{9}, \\
& \omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $G_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}+f_{7} p^{7}+f_{8} p^{8}+f_{9} p^{9}, \\
& \omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} z-\left(q^{2}+f+b z\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} q \omega^{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $G_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}+f_{7} p^{7}+f_{8} p^{8}+f_{9} p^{9} \\
& \omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} z-\left(q^{2}+f+b z\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} q \omega^{3}, \quad \omega^{3}=2 \sqrt{2}(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $G_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}+f_{7} p^{7}+f_{8} p^{8}+f_{9} p^{9} \\
& \omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} z-\left(q^{2}+f+b z\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} q \omega^{3}, \quad \omega^{3}=2 \sqrt{2}(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x), \quad \omega^{4}=3 \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $G_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}+f_{7} p^{7}+f_{8} p^{8}+f_{9} p^{9}, \\
& \omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} z-\left(q^{2}+f+b z\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} q \omega^{3}, \quad \omega^{3}=2 \sqrt{2}(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x), \quad \omega^{4}=3 \mathrm{~d} x, \\
& \omega^{5}=\frac{\sqrt{2} b}{2} \omega^{3}-6 \mathrm{~d} q+3\left(2 b q+f_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{10}\left(9 f_{p p}+4 b^{2}\right) \omega^{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $G_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}+f_{7} p^{7}+f_{8} p^{8}+f_{9} p^{9}, \\
& \omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} z-\left(q^{2}+f+b z\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} q \omega^{3}, \quad \omega^{3}=2 \sqrt{2}(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x), \quad \omega^{4}=3 \mathrm{~d} x, \\
& \omega^{5}=\frac{\sqrt{2} b}{2} \omega^{3}-6 \mathrm{~d} q+3\left(2 b q+f_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{10}\left(9 f_{p p}+4 b^{2}\right) \omega^{1}, \\
& \quad \tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}=2 \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d}(\rho t)+t^{2}\left(2 \omega^{1} \omega^{5}-2 \omega^{2} \omega^{4}+\left(\omega^{3}\right)^{2}+A \cdot\left(\omega^{1}\right)^{2}+2 B \cdot \omega^{1} \omega^{4}+C \cdot\left(\omega^{4}\right)^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $G_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}+f_{7} p^{7}+f_{8} p^{8}+f_{9} p^{9}, \\
& \omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} z-\left(q^{2}+f+b z\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} q \omega^{3}, \quad \omega^{3}=2 \sqrt{2}(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x), \quad \omega^{4}=3 \mathrm{~d} x, \\
& \omega^{5}=\frac{\sqrt{2} b}{2} \omega^{3}-6 \mathrm{~d} q+3\left(2 b q+f_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{10}\left(9 f_{p p}+4 b^{2}\right) \omega^{1}, \\
& \quad \tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}=2 \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d}(\rho t)+t^{2}\left(2 \omega^{1} \omega^{5}-2 \omega^{2} \omega^{4}+\left(\omega^{3}\right)^{2}+A \cdot\left(\omega^{1}\right)^{2}+2 B \cdot \omega^{1} \omega^{4}+C \cdot\left(\omega^{4}\right)^{2}\right), \\
& A=\frac{63}{8}\left(f_{8}+9 p f_{9}\right) \rho^{3}+\frac{27}{8}\left(f_{6}+7 p f_{7}+28 p^{2} f_{8}+84 p^{3} f_{9}\right) \rho^{2}-\frac{9}{5}\left(f_{4}+5 p f_{5}+15 p^{2} f_{6}+35 p^{3} f_{7}+70 p^{4} f_{8}+126 p^{5} f_{9}\right) \rho,
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $G_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}+f_{7} p^{7}+f_{8} p^{8}+f_{9} p^{9}, \\
\omega^{1}= & \mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} z-\left(q^{2}+f+b z\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} q \omega^{3}, \quad \omega^{3}=2 \sqrt{2}(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x), \quad \omega^{4}=3 \mathrm{~d} x, \\
\omega^{5}= & \frac{\sqrt{2} b}{2} \omega^{3}-6 \mathrm{~d} q+3\left(2 b q+f_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{10}\left(9 f_{p p}+4 b^{2}\right) \omega^{1}, \\
& \tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}=2 \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d}(\rho t)+t^{2}\left(2 \omega^{1} \omega^{5}-2 \omega^{2} \omega^{4}+\left(\omega^{3}\right)^{2}+A \cdot\left(\omega^{1}\right)^{2}+2 B \cdot \omega^{1} \omega^{4}+C \cdot\left(\omega^{4}\right)^{2}\right), \\
A= & \frac{63}{8}\left(f_{8}+9 p f_{9}\right) \rho^{3}+\frac{27}{8}\left(f_{6}+7 p f_{7}+28 p^{2} f_{8}+84 p^{3} f_{9}\right) \rho^{2}-\frac{9}{5}\left(f_{4}+5 p f_{5}+15 p^{2} f_{6}+35 p^{3} f_{7}+70 p^{4} f_{8}+126 p^{5} f_{9}\right) \rho, \\
B= & -\frac{63}{256} f_{9} \rho^{4}-\frac{7}{64}\left(f_{7}+8 p f_{8}+36 p^{2} f_{9}\right) \rho^{3}+\frac{1}{16}\left(f_{5}+6 p f_{6}+21 p^{2} f_{7}+56 p^{3} f_{8}+126 p^{4} f_{9}\right) \rho^{2}- \\
& \frac{3}{20}\left(f_{3}+4 p f_{4}+10 p^{2} f_{5}+20 p^{3} f_{6}+35 p^{4} f_{7}+56 p^{5} f_{8}+84 p^{6} f_{9}\right) \rho,
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}+f_{7} p^{7}+f_{8} p^{8}+f_{9} p^{9}, \\
\omega^{1}= & \mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} z-\left(q^{2}+f+b z\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} q \omega^{3}, \quad \omega^{3}=2 \sqrt{2}(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x), \quad \omega^{4}=3 \mathrm{~d} x, \\
\omega^{5}= & \frac{\sqrt{2} b}{2} \omega^{3}-6 \mathrm{~d} q+3\left(2 b q+f_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{10}\left(9 f_{p p}+4 b^{2}\right) \omega^{1}, \\
& \tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}=2 \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d}(\rho t)+t^{2}\left(2 \omega^{1} \omega^{5}-2 \omega^{2} \omega^{4}+\left(\omega^{3}\right)^{2}+\boldsymbol{A} \cdot\left(\omega^{1}\right)^{2}+2 B \cdot \omega^{1} \omega^{4}+C \cdot\left(\omega^{4}\right)^{2}\right), \\
A= & \frac{63}{8}\left(f_{8}+9 p f_{9}\right) \rho^{3}+\frac{27}{8}\left(f_{6}+7 p f_{7}+28 p^{2} f_{8}+84 p^{3} f_{9}\right) \rho^{2}-\frac{9}{5}\left(f_{4}+5 p f_{5}+15 p^{2} f_{6}+35 p^{3} f_{7}+70 p^{4} f_{8}+126 p^{5} f_{9}\right) \rho, \\
B= & -\frac{63}{256} f_{9} \rho^{4}-\frac{7}{64}\left(f_{7}+8 p f_{8}+36 p^{2} f_{9}\right) \rho^{3}+\frac{1}{16}\left(f_{5}+6 p f_{6}+21 p^{2} f_{7}+56 p^{3} f_{8}+126 p^{4} f_{9}\right) \rho^{2}- \\
& \frac{3}{20}\left(f_{3}+4 p f_{4}+10 p^{2} f_{5}+20 p^{3} f_{6}+35 p^{4} f_{7}+56 p^{5} f_{8}+84 p^{6} f_{9}\right) \rho, \\
C= & \frac{7}{1152}\left(f_{8}+9 p f_{9}\right) \rho^{4}+\frac{1}{360}\left(f_{4}+5 p f_{5}+15 p^{2} f_{6}+35 p^{3} f_{7}+70 p^{4} f_{8}+126 p^{5} f_{9}\right) \rho^{2}+ \\
& \frac{1}{45}\left(2 b^{2}-f_{2}-3 p f_{3}-6 p^{2} f_{4}-10 p^{3} f_{5}-15 p^{4} f_{6}-21 p^{5} f_{7}-28 p^{6} f_{8}-36 p^{7} f_{9}\right) \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polynomial solutions have $G_{2}$ holonomy

It is a matter of checking that the so obtained analytic in $\rho$ Fefferman-Graham metrics generically have full $G_{2}$ holonomy. As an example we give a formula for a Fefferman-Graham full $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ holonomy metric that truncates at order 4 in $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & f_{0}+f_{1} p+f_{2} p^{2}+f_{3} p^{3}+f_{4} p^{4}+f_{5} p^{5}+f_{6} p^{6}+f_{7} p^{7}+f_{8} p^{8}+f_{9} p^{9}, \\
\omega^{1}= & \mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} z-\left(q^{2}+f+b z\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} q \omega^{3}, \quad \omega^{3}=2 \sqrt{2}(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x), \quad \omega^{4}=3 \mathrm{~d} x, \\
\omega^{5}= & \frac{\sqrt{2} b}{2} \omega^{3}-6 \mathrm{~d} q+3\left(2 b q+f_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{10}\left(9 f_{p p}+4 b^{2}\right) \omega^{1}, \\
& \tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}=2 \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d}(\rho t)+t^{2}\left(2 \omega^{1} \omega^{5}-2 \omega^{2} \omega^{4}+\left(\omega^{3}\right)^{2}+\boldsymbol{A} \cdot\left(\omega^{1}\right)^{2}+2 B \cdot \omega^{1} \omega^{4}+C \cdot\left(\omega^{4}\right)^{2}\right), \\
A= & \frac{63}{8}\left(f_{8}+9 p f_{9}\right) \rho^{3}+\frac{27}{8}\left(f_{6}+7 p f_{7}+28 p^{2} f_{8}+84 p^{3} f_{9}\right) \rho^{2}-\frac{9}{5}\left(f_{4}+5 p f_{5}+15 p^{2} f_{6}+35 p^{3} f_{7}+70 p^{4} f_{8}+126 p^{5} f_{9}\right) \rho, \\
B= & -\frac{63}{256} f_{9} \rho^{4}-\frac{7}{64}\left(f_{7}+8 p f_{8}+36 p^{2} f_{9}\right) \rho^{3}+\frac{1}{16}\left(f_{5}+6 p f_{6}+21 p^{2} f_{7}+56 p^{3} f_{8}+126 p^{4} f_{9}\right) \rho^{2}- \\
& \frac{3}{20}\left(f_{3}+4 p f_{4}+10 p^{2} f_{5}+20 p^{3} f_{6}+35 p^{4} f_{7}+56 p^{5} f_{8}+84 p^{6} f_{9}\right) \rho, \\
C= & \frac{7}{1152}\left(f_{8}+9 p f_{9}\right) \rho^{4}+\frac{1}{360}\left(f_{4}+5 p f_{5}+15 p^{2} f_{6}+35 p^{3} f_{7}+70 p^{4} f_{8}+126 p^{5} f_{9}\right) \rho^{2}+ \\
& \frac{1}{45}\left(2 b^{2}-f_{2}-3 p f_{3}-6 p^{2} f_{4}-10 p^{3} f_{5}-15 p^{4} f_{6}-21 p^{5} f_{7}-28 p^{6} f_{8}-36 p^{7} f_{9}\right) \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here all $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots f_{9}$ are arbitrary functions of the variable $x$.

## Plan
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- Fefferman-Graham construction
- Conformal structures and Cartan's paper
(2)

Tre main theorem

- An ansatz
- The theorem
(3) Examples of explicit ambient metrics
- Solutions analytic in $\rho$
- Nonanalytic in $\rho$ solutions
- Poincaré-Einstein picture


## Indicial exponents

To find all, and in particular nonanalytic in $\rho$, solutions to the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L A=\frac{9}{40} f_{p p p p}, \quad \angle B=-\frac{1}{36} A_{p}+\frac{3}{40} f_{p p p} \\
& L C=-\frac{1}{18} B_{p}+\frac{1}{324} A+\frac{1}{30} f_{p p}-\frac{2}{15} b^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we first observe that the two independent solutions to $L\left(\rho^{k}\right)=0$ are $\rho^{0}$ and $\rho^{5 / 2}$. Thus, the most general solution to the above system can be obtained by the following series:

## Indicial exponents

To find all, and in particular nonanalytic in $\rho$, solutions to the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L A=\frac{9}{40} f_{p p p p}, \quad \angle B=-\frac{1}{36} A_{p}+\frac{3}{44} f_{p p p} \\
& L C=-\frac{1}{18} B_{p}+\frac{1}{324} A+\frac{1}{30} f_{p p}-\frac{2}{15} b^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we first observe that the two independent solutions to $L\left(\rho^{k}\right)=0$ are $\rho^{0}$ and $\rho^{5 / 2}$. Thus, the most general solution to the above system can be obtained by the following series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}+\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k} \\
& B=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}+\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k} \\
& C=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}+\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k}(x, p) \rho^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## General solutions

$$
A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k}
$$

## General solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k} \\
& B=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{15} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}} \cdot \rho^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## General solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k} \\
& B=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{15} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}} \cdot \rho^{k} \\
& C=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{135} \cdot \frac{(k-3)(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} f}{\partial p^{2 k}}+\frac{2}{45} b^{2} \delta_{1 k}\right) \cdot \rho^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## General solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k} \\
& B=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{15} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}} \cdot \rho^{k} \\
& C=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{135} \cdot \frac{(k-3)(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} f}{\partial p^{2 k}}+\frac{2}{45} b^{2} \delta 1 k\right) \cdot \rho^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the analytic solutions are totally determined by the distribution, i.e. by the function $f$ and the constant $b$.

## General solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k}+\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 60 \cdot \frac{(k+2)(k+1)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}} \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
& B=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{15} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}} \cdot \rho^{k} \\
& C=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{135} \cdot \frac{(k-3)(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} f}{\partial p^{2 k}}+\frac{2}{45} b^{2} \delta_{1 k}\right) \cdot \rho^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the analytic solutions are totally determined by the distribution, i.e. by the function $f$ and the constant $b$. On the other hand, the nonalytic sloutions do not depend on a distribution at all!!

## General solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k}+\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 60 \cdot \frac{(k+2)(k+1)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}} \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
& B=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{15} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}} \cdot \rho^{k}+ \\
& \quad \rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{20}{3} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot\left(9 \frac{\partial^{2 k} \beta_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}}-2 k \frac{\partial^{(2 k-1)} \alpha_{0}}{\left.\partial p^{(2 k-1)}\right) \cdot \rho^{k},}\right. \\
& C=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{135} \cdot \frac{(k-3)(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} f}{\partial p^{2 k}}+\frac{2}{45} b^{2} \delta_{1 k}\right) \cdot \rho^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the analytic solutions are totally determined by the distribution, i.e. by the function $f$ and the constant $b$. On the other hand, the nonalytic sloutions do not depend on a distribution at all!!

## General solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k}+\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 60 \cdot \frac{(k+2)(k+1)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}} \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
B= & -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{15} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}} \cdot \rho^{k}+ \\
& \rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{20}{3} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot\left(9 \frac{\partial^{2 k} \beta_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}}-2 k \frac{\partial^{(2 k-1)} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-1)}}\right) \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
C= & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{135} \cdot \frac{(k-3)(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} f}{\partial p^{2 k}}+\frac{2}{45} b^{2} \delta_{1 k}\right) \cdot \rho^{k}+ \\
& \rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{20}{27} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot\left(81 \frac{\partial^{2 k} \gamma_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}}-36 k \frac{\partial^{(2 k-1)} \beta_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-1)}}+2 k(2 k-1) \frac{\partial^{(2 k-2)} \alpha 0}{\partial p^{(2 k-2)}}\right) \cdot \rho^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the analytic solutions are totally determined by the distribution, i.e. by the function $f$ and the constant $b$. On the other hand, the nonalytic sloutions do not depend on a distribution at all!!

## General solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k}+\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 60 \cdot \frac{(k+2)(k+1)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}} \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
B= & -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{15} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}} \cdot \rho^{k}+ \\
& \rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{20}{3} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot\left(9 \frac{\partial^{2 k} \beta_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}}-2 k \frac{\partial^{(2 k-1)} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-1)}}\right) \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
C= & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{135} \cdot \frac{(k-3)(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} f}{\partial p^{2 k}}+\frac{2}{45} b^{2} \delta_{1 k}\right) \cdot \rho^{k}+ \\
& \rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{20}{27} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot\left(81 \frac{\partial^{2 k} \gamma_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}}-36 k \frac{\partial^{(2 k-1)} \beta_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-1)}}+2 k(2 k-1) \frac{\partial^{(2 k-2)} \alpha 0}{\partial p^{(2 k-2)}}\right) \cdot \rho^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the analytic solutions are totally determined by the distribution, i.e. by the function $f$ and the constant $b$. On the other hand, the nonalytic sloutions do not depend on a distribution at all!!

## General solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+2)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+2)}} \cdot \rho^{k}+\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 60 \cdot \frac{(k+2)(k+1)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}} \cdot \rho^{k} \\
B= & -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{15} \cdot \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{(2 k+1)} f}{\partial p^{(2 k+1)}} \cdot \rho^{k}+ \\
& \rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{20}{3} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot\left(9 \frac{\partial^{2 k} \beta_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}}-2 k \frac{\partial^{(2 k-1)} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-1)}}\right) \cdot \rho^{k} \\
C= & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{135} \cdot \frac{(k-3)(2 k-1)(2 k-3)(2 k-5)}{2^{2 k}(2 k)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} f}{\partial p^{2 k}}+\frac{2}{45} b^{2} \delta_{1 k}\right) \cdot \rho^{k}+ \\
& \rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{20}{27} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot\left(81 \frac{\partial^{2 k} \gamma_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}}-36 k \frac{\partial^{(2 k-1)} \beta_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-1)}}+2 k(2 k-1) \frac{\partial^{(2 k-2)} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-2)}}\right) \cdot \rho^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the analytic solutions are totally determined by the distribution, i.e. by the function $f$ and the constant $b$.
On the other hand, the nonalytic sloutions do not depend on a distribution at all!! They depend on $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}$ and $\gamma_{0}$, which can be arbitrary functions of the variables $x$ and $p$.

## Holonomy questions

- If $\alpha_{0} \equiv \beta_{0} \equiv \gamma_{0} \equiv 0$ and for a randomly chosen $f$ the holonomy of the corresponding ambient metric is equal to $G_{2}$ (Graham-Willse result).


## - What about the holonomy of FG metrics corresponding to the solutions with nontrivial $\rho^{5 / 2+k}$ terms?

- Problems:
- These solutions are only defined for
- They are only twice differentiable at $p$
- Holonomy on a manifold with a boundary?
- First calculate holonomy in the points where


## Holonomy questions

- If $\alpha_{0} \equiv \beta_{0} \equiv \gamma_{0} \equiv 0$ and for a randomly chosen $f$ the holonomy of the corresponding ambient metric is equal to $G_{2}$ (Graham-Willse result).
- What about the holonomy of FG metrics corresponding to the solutions with nontrivial $\rho^{5 / 2+k}$ terms?
- Problems:
- These solutions are only defined for - They are only twice differentiable at - Holonomy on a manifold with a boundary? - First calculate holonomy in the points where


## Holonomy questions

- If $\alpha_{0} \equiv \beta_{0} \equiv \gamma_{0} \equiv 0$ and for a randomly chosen $f$ the holonomy of the corresponding ambient metric is equal to $G_{2}$ (Graham-Willse result).
- What about the holonomy of FG metrics corresponding to the solutions with nontrivial $\rho^{5 / 2+k}$ terms?
- Problems:

> These solutions are only defined for $\rho \geq 0$. They are only twice differentiable at $\rho=0$. Holonomy on a manifold with a boundary? First calculate holonomy in the points where
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- If $\alpha_{0} \equiv \beta_{0} \equiv \gamma_{0} \equiv 0$ and for a randomly chosen $f$ the holonomy of the corresponding ambient metric is equal to $G_{2}$ (Graham-Willse result).
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- Problems:
- These solutions are only defined for $\rho \geq 0$.
- They are only twice differentiable at
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## Holonomy questions

- If $\alpha_{0} \equiv \beta_{0} \equiv \gamma_{0} \equiv 0$ and for a randomly chosen $f$ the holonomy of the corresponding ambient metric is equal to $G_{2}$ (Graham-Willse result).
- What about the holonomy of FG metrics corresponding to the solutions with nontrivial $\rho^{5 / 2+k}$ terms?
- Problems:
- These solutions are only defined for $\rho \geq 0$.
- They are only twice differentiable at $\rho=0$.
- Holonomy on a manifold with a boundary?


## Holonomy questions

- If $\alpha_{0} \equiv \beta_{0} \equiv \gamma_{0} \equiv 0$ and for a randomly chosen $f$ the holonomy of the corresponding ambient metric is equal to $G_{2}$ (Graham-Willse result).
- What about the holonomy of FG metrics corresponding to the solutions with nontrivial $\rho^{5 / 2+k}$ terms?
- Problems:
- These solutions are only defined for $\rho \geq 0$.
- They are only twice differentiable at $\rho=0$.
- Holonomy on a manifold with a boundary?


## Holonomy questions

- If $\alpha_{0} \equiv \beta_{0} \equiv \gamma_{0} \equiv 0$ and for a randomly chosen $f$ the holonomy of the corresponding ambient metric is equal to $G_{2}$ (Graham-Willse result).
- What about the holonomy of FG metrics corresponding to the solutions with nontrivial $\rho^{5 / 2+k}$ terms?
- Problems:
- These solutions are only defined for $\rho \geq 0$.
- They are only twice differentiable at $\rho=0$.
- Holonomy on a manifold with a boundary?
- First calculate holonomy in the points where $\rho>0, \ldots$


## Solutions with $f \equiv 0, b=0$

In case of a flat distribution, i.e. when $f \equiv 0$ and $b=0$ the solutions are:
> and, as in the general case, they depend on three arbitrary functions $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \gamma_{0}$ of variables $x$ and $p$.
> As an illustration we discuss holonomy properties of the corresponding ambient metrics on a very simple example, in
> which we have made a particular choice of these 3
> functionsons.
> We believe that the discussed behaviour is a typical one.

## Solutions with $f \equiv 0, b=0$

In case of a flat distribution, i.e. when $f \equiv 0$ and $b=0$ the solutions are:

$$
A=\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 60 \cdot \frac{(k+2)(k+1)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}} \cdot \rho^{k},
$$

> and, as in the general case, they depend on three arbitrary functions $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \gamma_{0}$ of variables $x$ and $p$.
> As an illustration we discuss holonomy properties of the corresponding ambient metrics on a very simple example, in
> which we have made a particular choice of these 3
> functionsons.
> We believe that the discussed behaviour is a typical one.

## Solutions with $f \equiv 0, b=0$

In case of a flat distribution, i.e. when $f \equiv 0$ and $b=0$ the solutions are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 60 \cdot \frac{(k+2)(k+1)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} \alpha_{0}}{\partial \rho^{2 k}} \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
& B=\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{20}{3} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot\left(9 \frac{\partial^{2 k} \beta_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}}-2 k \frac{\partial^{(2 k-1)} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-1)}}\right) \cdot \rho^{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

and, as in the general case, they depend on three arbitrary functions $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \gamma_{0}$ of variables $x$ and $p$.
As an illustration we discuss holonomy properties of the corresponding ambient metrics on a very simple example, in
which we have made a particular choice of these 3
functionsons.
We believe that the discussed behaviour is a typical one.

## Solutions with $f \equiv 0, b=0$

In case of a flat distribution, i.e. when $f \equiv 0$ and $b=0$ the solutions are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 60 \cdot \frac{(k+2)(k+1)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}} \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
& B=\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{20}{3} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot\left(9 \frac{\partial^{2 k} \beta_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}}-2 k \frac{\partial^{(2 k-1)} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-1)}}\right) \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
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## Ambient metrics for the flat equation $z^{\prime}=\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}$

- Take

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{0} & =\beta(x)+p \alpha(x), \quad \beta_{0}=f_{0}(x)+p f_{1}(x)+252 c p^{2} \alpha(x), \\
\gamma_{0} & =f_{3}(x)+p f_{4}(x)+\frac{1}{81} p^{2}\left(2268 f_{2}(x)-\beta(x)+18 f_{1}(x)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $c$ a real constant.

- This gives the following solution:
> corresponding to the following Fefferman-Graham family of metrics for the flat conformal structure:
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corresponding to the following Fefferman-Graham family of metrics for the flat conformal structure:

## Ambient metrics for the flat equation $z^{\prime}=\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}$
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\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{g}=2 \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d}(\rho t)+t^{2}\left(8(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x)^{2}-6\left(\mathrm{~d} z-2 q \mathrm{~d} p+q^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \mathrm{d} x-12(\mathrm{~d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x) \mathrm{d} q+\right. \\
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\end{aligned}
$$

- The family depends on seven arbitrary functions $\alpha=\alpha(x), \beta=\beta(x), f_{0}=f_{0}(x), f_{1}=f_{1}(x)$,
a real constant $c$.
- $\tilde{g}$ is an ambient metric for the flat conformal structure represented by a flat metric

- Note that $\tilde{g}$ is only two times differentiable at $\rho=0$; the third derivative at $\rho=0$ does not exist.
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## Holonomy of the ambient metrics from this family

Holonomy properties of this family are quite interesting:

- In general these metrics have full $S O(4,3)$ holonomy!!!!

the holonomy algebra behaves as this:
- the curvature defines 6 independent components of the holonomy algebra
- the first covariant derivative of the curvature produces next 9 independent ones
- the second derivative of curvature produces next 3 independent ones
- the third derivative of curvature produces still 3 new
- and after this the algebra stabilizes.
- So the holonomy algebra is $6+9+3+3=21$ dimensional, so it must be the full $s o(4,3)$ Lie algebra.
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- Interestingly these metrics include, as special cases, metrics with full $G_{2}$ holonomy, which can not be extended to anything larger!!!

```
- For this we put:
            Then the holonomy algebra behaves as this:
    - the curvature defines 4 independent components of the
    holonomy algebra
    - the first covariant derivative of the curvature produces next
    independent ones
    - the second derivative of curvature produces next 2
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    - the third derivative of curvature produces still 1 new
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    - So the holonomy algebra is \(4+7+2+1=14\) dimensional,
    and one can check that it is the \(g_{2}\) Lie algebra.
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## Holonomy of the ambient metrics from this family

- If we put: $\alpha(x) \equiv \beta(x) \equiv f_{0}(x) \equiv f_{1}(x) \equiv f_{2}(x) \equiv f_{4}(x) \equiv 0$, and $c=1 / 9$. Then the holonomy algebra behaves as this:
- the curvature defines 4 independent components of the holonomy algebra
- the first covariant derivative of the curvature produces next 5 independent ones
- the second derivative of curvature produces next independent ones
- and after this the algebra stabilizes.
- So the holonomy algebra g is $4+5+1=10$ dimensional.
- one can check that it is a semidirect product of a 7-dimensional radical and 3-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra.
- Of course it is a subgroup of so (4.3), but it is not a subgroup of $g_{2}$.
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- Poincaré-Einstein picture


## Passing from $\rho$ to $r$ such that $\rho=r^{2}$

- The nonanalytic in $\rho$ solutions have troubles at $\rho \leq 0$ because they are expessible in odd powers of $\sqrt{\rho}$.
- One can try to remedy the situation by passing to the coordinate $r$ such that
- On doing this we first assume that $r>0$, and bring the metric $\tilde{g}$ to the form
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- This metric is regular and Ricci flat for all $r \neq 0$, but because $2 \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d}\left(r^{2} t\right)=4 r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} t+2 r^{2} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}$, it is degenerate at
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## Poincaré-Einstein metrics in general

Given a normal form of a (4,3)-signature ambient metric $\tilde{g}_{D_{t}}$

$$
\tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}=2 \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d}(\rho t)+t^{2}\left(g_{\mathcal{D}_{t}}+A \cdot\left(\omega^{1}\right)^{2}+2 B \cdot \omega^{1} \omega^{4}+C \cdot\left(\omega^{4}\right)^{2}\right),
$$

one can associate with it a $(3,3)$ signature metric $g_{P E}$, called a Poincaré-Einstein metric,
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## Poincaré-Einstein metrics in general
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## Poincaré-Einstein metrics in general

- The metric $g_{P E}$ is Einstein,

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{P E}\right)=\frac{5}{2} g_{P E},
$$

if and only if the functions $A, B, C$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L A=\frac{9}{40} f_{p p p p}, \quad L B=-\frac{1}{36} A_{p}+\frac{3}{40} f_{p p p} \\
& L C=-\frac{1}{18} B_{p}+\frac{1}{324} A+\frac{1}{30} f_{p p}-\frac{2}{15} b^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. iff they correspond to the Fefferman-Graham (Ricci flat) metric $\tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}$.

- Note that in coordinate $r$ the linear operator is
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- The metric $g_{P E}$ is Einstein,

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{P E}\right)=\frac{5}{2} g_{P E},
$$

if and only if the functions $A, B, C$ satisfy
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& L A=\frac{9}{40} f_{p p p p}, \quad L B=-\frac{1}{36} A_{p}+\frac{3}{40} f_{p p p} \\
& L C=-\frac{1}{18} B_{p}+\frac{1}{324} A+\frac{1}{30} f_{p p}-\frac{2}{15} b^{2}
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$$

i.e. iff they correspond to the Fefferman-Graham (Ricci flat) metric $\tilde{g}_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}$.

- Note that in coordinate $r$ the linear operator is

$$
L=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}-\frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial p^{2}}
$$

so now the indicial exponents are 0 and 5 , and we have no troubles with $r<0$ range.

## Nontrivial Poincare-Einstein metrics associated with flat conformal structure

For example: a Poincaré-Einstein metric corresponding to the Fefferman-Graham metric associated with the flat conformal structure discussed few slides ago is then given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{g}=r^{-2}\left(-2 \mathrm{~d} r^{2}+8(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x)^{2}-6\left(\mathrm{~d} z-2 q \mathrm{~d} p+q^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \mathrm{d} x-12(\mathrm{~d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x) \mathrm{d} q+\right. \\
& (252 p \alpha+\beta) r^{5} \cdot(\mathrm{~d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x)^{2}+6\left((9 c-1) \alpha r^{7}+\left(f_{0}+p f_{1}+252 c p^{2} \alpha\right) r^{5}\right) \cdot(\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x) \mathrm{d} x+ \\
& \left.\left.9\left(\left(f_{2}+\left(\frac{1}{9}-4 c\right) p \alpha\right) r^{7}+\left(f_{3}+p f_{4}+\frac{1}{81} p^{2}\left(18 f_{1}+2268 f_{2}-\beta\right)\right) r^{5}\right) \cdot \mathrm{d} x^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Nonanalytic PE metrics and flat structure

The most general one associated with $f \equiv 0$ and $b=0$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{g}=r^{-2}\left(-2 \mathrm{~d} r^{2}+8(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x)^{2}-6\left(\mathrm{~d} z-2 q \mathrm{~d} p+q^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \mathrm{d} x-12(\mathrm{~d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x) \mathrm{d} q+\right. \\
& \left.A(\mathrm{~d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x)^{2}+6 B(\mathrm{~d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x) \mathrm{d} x+9 C \mathrm{~d} x^{2}\right) \\
& A=\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 60 \cdot \frac{(k+2)(k+1)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 k} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}} \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
& B=\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{20}{3} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot\left(9 \frac{\partial^{2 k} \beta_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}}-2 k \frac{\partial^{(2 k-1)} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-1)}}\right) \cdot \rho^{k}, \\
& C=\rho^{5 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{20}{27} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{2 k}(2 k+5)!} \cdot\left(81 \frac{\partial^{2 k} \gamma_{0}}{\partial p^{2 k}}-36 k \frac{\partial^{(2 k-1)} \beta_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-1)}}+2 k(2 k-1) \frac{\partial^{(2 k-2)} \alpha_{0}}{\partial p^{(2 k-2)}}\right) \cdot \rho^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be truncated at any half-integer order of $\rho$, starting at by choosing $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \gamma_{0}$ as polynomials in $p$ of an apropriate order.
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This can be truncated at any half-integer order of $\rho$, starting at $\rho^{5 / 2}$ by choosing $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \gamma_{0}$ as polynomials in $p$ of an apropriate order.

## TT tensors

The explicit solutions for the PE metrics can be used to calculate the trace-free, divergence-free tensors for each of the conformal structure $\left[g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}\right]$ :

$$
T T=\alpha_{0}(x, p)(\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x)^{2}+6 \beta_{0}(x, p)(\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x) \mathrm{d} x+9 \gamma_{0}(x, p) \mathrm{d} x^{2}
$$

For all choices of the free functions $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}$ and $\gamma_{0}$ they are trace-free and divergence-free in the metric
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$$
T T=\alpha_{0}(x, p)(\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x)^{2}+6 \beta_{0}(x, p)(\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x) \mathrm{d} x+9 \gamma_{0}(x, p) \mathrm{d} x^{2}
$$

For all choices of the free functions $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}$ and $\gamma_{0}$ they are trace-free and divergence-free in the metric

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\mathcal{D}_{f}}= & 8(\mathrm{~d} p-q \mathrm{~d} x)^{2}-6\left(\mathrm{~d} z-2 q \mathrm{~d} p+\left(q^{2}-f-b z\right) \mathrm{d} x\right) \mathrm{d} x- \\
& 2(\mathrm{~d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x)\left(6 \mathrm{~d} q-2 b \mathrm{~d} p-\left(\frac{2}{5} b^{2}+\frac{9}{10} f_{p p}\right)(\mathrm{d} y-p \mathrm{~d} x)-\left(4 b q+3 f_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

associated with $z^{\prime}=\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+f\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)+b z$.

## THANK YOU!

