

LETTER TO THE EDITOR**Locally Sasakian manifolds***

Michał Godliński, Wojciech Kopczyński, Paweł Nurowski

Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej, Uniwersytet Warszawski, ul. Hoża 69, Warszawa, Poland

E-mail: kopcz@fuw.edu.pl and nurowski@fuw.edu.pl

Received 17 May 2000, in final form 27 July 2000

Abstract. We show that every Sasakian manifold in dimensions $2k + 1$ is locally generated by a free real function of $2k$ variables. This function is a Sasakian analogue of the Kähler potential for the Kähler geometry. It is also shown that every locally Sasakian–Einstein manifold in $2k + 1$ dimensions is generated by a locally Kähler–Einstein manifold in dimension $2k$.

PACS numbers: 0240

1. Introduction

The Sasakian structure, which is defined on an odd dimensional manifold is, in a sense, the closest possible analogue of the Kähler geometry of even dimension. It was introduced by Sasaki [12] in 1960, who considered it as a special kind of contact geometry. Sasakian structure consists, in particular, of the contact 1-form η and the Riemannian metric g . The differential of η defines a 2-form, which constitutes an analogue of the fundamental form of Kähler geometry.

Sasakian geometry was primarily studied as a substructure within the category of contact structures. A review of this approach can be found in [1, 14]. In this Letter we exploit the analogy between Sasakian and Kähler geometry. We show that the well known fact that a Kähler geometry can be locally generated by a Kähler potential has its Sasakian counterpart. This result may be of some use in constructing a vast family of examples of Sasakian and Sasakian–Einstein structures.

The Sasakian and Sasakian–Einstein structures appear in physics in the context of the string theory. It turns out that a metric cone $(C(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathcal{S}, \bar{g} = dr^2 + r^2g)$ over a Sasakian–Einstein manifold (\mathcal{S}, g) is Kähler and Ricci flat, i.e. it constitutes a Calabi–Yau manifold. Moreover, the Sasakian–Einstein manifolds in dimensions $2k + 1$ and Sasakian manifolds with three Sasakian structures in dimension $4k + 3$ are related to the Maldacena conjecture [3, 4, 6, 13]. It turns out that they are one of very few structures which can serve as a compact factor \mathcal{S} in the (anti-de Sitter) $\times \mathcal{S}$ background for classical field theories which, via the Maldacena conjecture, correspond to the large N limit of certain quantum conformal field theories.

A formal definition of a Sasakian manifold is as follows.

Definition 1

Let \mathcal{S} be a $(2k + 1)$ -dimensional manifold equipped with a structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) such that:

* Research supported by Komitet Badań Naukowych (Grant number 2 P03B 060 17)

- (i) ϕ is a (1,1) tensor field,
- (ii) ξ is a vector field,
- (iii) η is a field of a 1-form,
- (iv) g is a Riemannian metric.

Assume, in addition, that for any vector fields X and Y on S , (ϕ, ξ, η, g) satisfy the following algebraic conditions:

- (1) $\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi$,
- (2) $\eta(\xi) = 1$,
- (3) $g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$,
- (4) $g(\xi, X) = \eta(X)$,

and the following differential conditions:

- (5) $N_\phi + d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$, where $N_\phi(X, Y) = [\phi X, \phi Y] + \phi^2[X, Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y]$ is the Nijenhuis tensor for ϕ ,
- (6) $d\eta(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y)$.

Then S is called a Sasakian manifold.

Example 1

A standard example of a Sasakian manifold is the odd dimensional sphere

$$S^{2k+1} = \{ C^{k+1} \ni (z^1, \dots, z^{k+1}) : |z^1|^2 + \dots + |z^{k+1}|^2 = 1 \} \subset C^{k+1},$$

viewed as a submanifold of C^{k+1} . Let J be the standard complex structure on C^{k+1} , \tilde{g} the standard flat metric on $C^{k+1} \cong R^{2k+2}$, and n be the unit normal to the sphere. The vector field ξ on S^{2k+1} is defined by $\xi = -Jn$. If X is a tangent vector to the sphere then JX uniquely decomposes onto the part parallel to n and the part tangent to the sphere. Denote this decomposition by $JX = \eta(X)n + \phi X$. This defines the 1-form η and the tensor field ϕ on S^{2k+1} . Denoting the restriction of \tilde{g} to S^{2k+1} by g we obtain (ϕ, ξ, η, g) structure on S^{2k+1} . It is a matter of checking that this structure equips S^{2k+1} with a structure of a Sasakian–Einstein manifold. This construction is, in a certain sense, a Sasakian counterpart of the Fubini–Study Kähler structure on CP^k .

Notation

We adapt the following notation: $K_{,l}$ denotes the partial derivative of a function K with respect to the coordinate z^l . The complex conjugate of an indexed quantity, e.g. of a^i_j , is usually denoted by a bar over it, i.e. $\overline{a^i_j}$. Our notation is: $\overline{a^i_j} = \bar{a}^i_{\bar{j}}$. The symmetrized tensor products of 1-forms η and λ is denoted by $\eta\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\eta \otimes \lambda + \lambda \otimes \eta)$.

The main result

The purpose of this letter is to prove the following theorem, which locally characterizes all Sasakian and Sasakian–Einstein manifolds.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{U} be an open set of $C^k \times R$ and let $(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^k, x)$ be Cartesian coordinates in \mathcal{U} . Consider:

- a vector field $\xi = \partial_x$
- a real-valued function K on \mathcal{U} such that $\xi(K) = 0$

an 1-form

$$\eta = dx + i \sum_{m=1}^k (K_{,m} dz^m) - i \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^k (K_{,\bar{m}} d\bar{z}^{\bar{m}})$$

a bilinear form

$$g = \eta^2 + 2 \sum_{m,\bar{k}=1}^k K_{,m\bar{k}} dz^m d\bar{z}^{\bar{k}}$$

a tensor field

$$\phi = -i \sum_{m=1}^k [(\partial_m - i K_{,m} \partial_x) \otimes dz^m] + i \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^k [(\partial_{\bar{m}} + i K_{,\bar{m}} \partial_x) \otimes d\bar{z}^{\bar{m}}].$$

- (I) If the function K is chosen in such a way that the bilinear form g has the positive definite signature, then \mathcal{U} equipped with the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is a Sasakian manifold. Moreover, every Sasakian manifold can locally be generated by such a function K .
- (II) The above Sasakian structure satisfies Einstein equation $Ric(g) = \lambda g$ if and only if $\lambda = 2k$ and the function K satisfies

$$-[\log \det(K_{,i\bar{j}})]_{,m\bar{n}} = 2(k+1)K_{,m\bar{n}}.$$

2. Almost contact versus Sasakian manifolds

Definition 2

Consider a $(2k+1)$ -dimensional manifold \mathcal{S} equipped with a structure consisting of a $(1,1)$ tensor field ϕ , a vector field ξ and a field of an 1-form η . Assume, in addition, that for every vector field X on \mathcal{S} the triple (ξ, η, ϕ) satisfies the following algebraic conditions:

- (1) $\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi$,
- (2) $\eta(\xi) = 1$.

Then \mathcal{S} is called an almost contact manifold. If, in addition, an almost contact manifold $(\mathcal{S}, (\xi, \eta, \phi))$ is equipped with a Riemannian metric g such that for every vector fields X and Y on \mathcal{S} we have

- (3) $g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$,
- (4) $g(\xi, X) = \eta(X)$,

then the almost contact manifold is called an almost contact metric manifold. Note that every Sasakian manifold is an almost contact metric manifold.

Let $T^C \mathcal{S}$ be the complexification of the tangent bundle of an almost contact manifold \mathcal{S} . The almost contact structure (ξ, η, ϕ) on \mathcal{S} defines the decomposition

$$T^C \mathcal{S} = C \otimes \xi \oplus N \oplus \bar{N},$$

where $C \otimes \xi$, N and \bar{N} are eigenspaces of ϕ with eigenvalues 0 , $-i$ and i , respectively.

We say that a vector subbundle Z of $T^C \mathcal{S}$ is involutive if and only if $[\Gamma(Z), \Gamma(Z)] \subset \Gamma(Z)$, where $\Gamma(Z)$ denotes the set of all sections of Z .

Lemma

For an almost contact structure the condition $N_\phi + d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$ is satisfied if and only if the bundle N is involutive, $[\Gamma(N), \Gamma(N)] \subset \Gamma(N)$, and $[\xi, \Gamma(N)] \subset \Gamma(N)$.

Proof

Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(N)$. Making use of the eigenvalue property of ϕ and of property (1) of definition 2, we get the following expressions for the Nijenhuis tensor of ϕ :

$$N_\phi(X, Y) = -2[X, Y] + 2i\phi([X, Y]) + \eta([X, Y])\xi,$$

$$N_\phi(X, \bar{Y}) = \eta([X, \bar{Y}])\xi,$$

$$N_\phi(X, \xi) = -[X, \xi] + i\phi([X, \xi]) + \eta([X, \xi])\xi.$$

Observe that the last component of the above formulae is the action of $-d\eta \otimes \xi$ on (X, Y) , (X, \bar{Y}) and (X, ξ) , respectively. Therefore $N_\phi + d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$ if and only if

$$\phi([X, Y]) = -i[X, Y]$$

and

$$\phi([X, \xi]) = -i[X, \xi].$$

This finishes the proof.

Corollary

For an almost contact structure satisfying $N_\phi + d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$ (in particular for a Sasakian structure) the bundle $C \otimes \xi \oplus N$ is involutive.

3. Sasakian geometry in a null frame

Let $(\mathcal{S}, (\xi, \eta, \phi, g))$ be a Sasakian manifold of dimension $2k + 1$. The algebraic conditions (1)–(4) of definition 1 imply an existence of a local basis $(\xi, m_i, \bar{m}_{\bar{i}})$, $i, \bar{i} = 1, 2, \dots, k$, of complex-valued vector fields on \mathcal{S} , with a cobasis $(\eta, \mu^i, \bar{\mu}^{\bar{i}})$, such that

$$g = \eta^2 + 2 \sum_{l=\bar{l}, l=1}^k \mu^l \bar{\mu}^{\bar{l}}, \tag{1}$$

$$\phi = i \sum_{\bar{j}=1}^k (\bar{m}_{\bar{j}} \otimes \bar{\mu}^{\bar{j}}) - i \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j \otimes \mu^j). \tag{2}$$

Since $(\mathcal{S}, (\xi, \eta, \phi, g))$ is Sasakian then its bundle $C \otimes \xi \oplus N$ is involutive. This is equivalent to the condition that the forms $\mu^1, \mu^2, \dots, \mu^k$ generate a closed differential ideal i.e.

$$d\mu^i \wedge \mu^1 \wedge \mu^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mu^k = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \tag{3}$$

Condition (6) of definition 1 of a Sasakian manifold in this basis reads

$$d\eta = -2i \sum_{l=\bar{l}, l=1}^k \mu^l \wedge \bar{\mu}^{\bar{l}}. \tag{4}$$

Thus, the fact that the manifold is Sasakian necessarily implies the existence of a local basis $(\xi, m_i, \bar{m}_{\bar{i}})$ with a dual basis $(\eta, \mu^i, \bar{\mu}^{\bar{i}})$ such that (1)–(4) holds. The converse is also true: if a real vector field ξ on a manifold \mathcal{S} can be supplemented by k complex-valued vector fields m_i such that $(\xi, m_i, \bar{m}_{\bar{i}})$ and $(\eta, \mu^i, \bar{\mu}^{\bar{i}})$ form a mutually dual basis for $T^C\mathcal{S}$ and $T^{*C}\mathcal{S}$, respectively, satisfying (3)–(4), then the structure (ξ, η, ϕ, g) defined by ξ, η and g, ϕ of (1)–(2) is a Sasakian manifold. This fact can be seen by noting that condition (3) is equivalent to the existence of complex-valued functions $a_{ijk}, b_{i\bar{j}k}$ and c_{ij} such that

$$d\mu^i = \sum_{j,n=1}^k a_{ijn} \mu^j \wedge \mu^n + \sum_{\bar{j}, n=1}^k b_{i\bar{j}n} \bar{\mu}^{\bar{j}} \wedge \mu^n + \sum_{j=1}^k c_{ij} \mu^j \wedge \eta. \tag{5}$$

The dual conditions to conditions (4)–(5) imply that N is involutive and that $[\xi, \Gamma(N)] \subset \Gamma(N)$. These, when compared with lemma of corollary 1, imply condition (7), which is the only condition from definition 1 which, *a priori*, was not assumed for (ξ, η, ϕ, g) . This proves the following proposition.

Proposition 1

(I) *Local version*

Let (ξ, η, ϕ, g) be a Sasakian structure on a manifold \mathcal{S} of dimension $2k + 1$. Then there exists a local basis $(\xi, m_i, \bar{m}_{\bar{i}}, i, \bar{i} = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ of $T^C\mathcal{S}$ with dual basis $(\eta, \mu^i, \bar{\mu}^{\bar{i}})$ such that

$$g = \eta^2 + 2 \sum_{l=\bar{l}, l=1}^k \mu^l \bar{\mu}^{\bar{l}}$$

$$\phi = i \sum_{\bar{j}=1}^k (\bar{m}_{\bar{j}} \otimes \bar{\mu}^{\bar{j}}) - i \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j \otimes \mu^j),$$

$$d\mu^i \wedge \mu^1 \wedge \mu^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mu^k = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

$$d\eta = -2i \sum_{l=\bar{l}, l=1}^k \mu^l \wedge \bar{\mu}^{\bar{l}}.$$

(II) *Global version*

Every almost contact metric structure which satisfies condition (6) of definition 1 is Sasakian if and only if its canonical decomposition $T^C\mathcal{S} = C \otimes \xi \oplus N \oplus \bar{N}$, consists of involutive $C \otimes \xi \oplus N$ part.

We close this section with a quick application of part (I) of proposition 1. It is well known that a vector field ξ on a Sasakian manifold $(\mathcal{S}, (\xi, \eta, \phi, g))$ is a Killing vector field. This in particular means that the Lie derivatives \mathcal{L}_ξ of g and η vanish. The second of these facts is an immediate consequence of (4). To calculate $\mathcal{L}_\xi g$ one uses (4) and (5). After some work one shows that vanishing of $\mathcal{L}_\xi g$ is equivalent to $c_{ij} + \bar{c}_{\bar{j}\bar{i}} = 0$ where c_{ij} are functions appearing in (5). On the other hand, these equations are automatically implied by application of d on both sides of equation (4).

4. Analogue of the Kähler potential

We pass to a construction of local coordinates on a Sasakian manifold $(\mathcal{S}, (\xi, \eta, \phi, g))$. We assume that all the fields defining the Sasakian structure are smooth on \mathcal{S} .

In a considered region of \mathcal{S} , we chose a local frame $(\xi, m_i, \bar{m}_{\bar{i}})$ of proposition 1. Now, the fact that ξ is a Killing vector field on \mathcal{S} together with the complex version of the Frobenius theorem, assures that condition (3) is equivalent to the existence of complex-valued functions f^i_j and $z^i, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ such that

$$\mu^i = \sum_{j=1}^k f^i_j dz^j. \tag{6}$$

Since the forms $(\mu^i, \bar{\mu}^{\bar{i}})$ form a part of the basis on the considered region of \mathcal{S} then we also have

$$dz^1 \wedge dz^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^k \wedge d\bar{z}^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^2 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}^k \neq 0. \tag{7}$$

For the basis $(\xi, \partial_{z^1}, \dots, \partial_{z^k}, \partial_{\bar{z}^1}, \dots, \partial_{\bar{z}^k})$ and its dual $(\eta, dz^1, \dots, dz^k, d\bar{z}^1, \dots, d\bar{z}^k)$ the Maurer–Cartan relations readily show that $[\xi, \partial/\partial z^i] = 0 = [\xi, \partial/\partial \bar{z}^{\bar{i}}]$. Therefore, there exists a real coordinate x complementary to $z^1, \dots, z^k, \bar{z}^1, \dots, \bar{z}^k$ such that

$$\xi = \partial_x \tag{8}$$

and the form η reads

$$\eta = dx + p_j dz^j + \bar{p}_{\bar{j}} d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}}. \tag{9}$$

Comparing this with the fact that ξ preserves η leads to the conclusion that the functions p_i are independent of the coordinate x , $\partial p_i/\partial x = 0$.

Condition (4) is now equivalent to the following two conditions for the differentials of functions p_i :

$$p_{i,j} - p_{j,i} = 0 \tag{10}$$

and

$$p_{j,\bar{i}} - \bar{p}_{\bar{i},j} = 2i \sum_{l=\bar{l}, l=1}^k f^l_j \bar{f}^{\bar{l}}_{\bar{i}}. \tag{11}$$

In a simply connected region of \mathcal{S} equation (10) guarantees an existence of a complex-valued function V such that

$$p_i = \frac{\partial V}{\partial z^i}. \tag{12}$$

Since p_i is independent of x it is enough to consider functions V such that $\partial V/\partial x = 0$. Inserting a so determined p_i in equation (11) we show that now (11) is equivalent to

$$K_{,j\bar{i}} = \sum_{l=\bar{l}, l=1}^k f^l_j \bar{f}^{\bar{l}}_{\bar{i}}, \tag{13}$$

where we have introduced $\text{Im}V = K$ and $\text{Re}V = L$. Finally we note that now

$$\eta = d(x + L) + i \sum_{j=1}^k K_{,j} dz^j - i \sum_{\bar{j}=1}^k K_{,\bar{j}} d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}},$$

so redefining the x coordinate by $x \rightarrow x + L$ we simplify η to the form $\eta = dx + i \sum_{j=1}^k K_j dz^j - i \sum_{\bar{j}=1}^k K_{\bar{j}} d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}}$. Using (13) we can eliminate functions f^i_j from formulae defining our Sasakian structure. Indeed,

$$g = \eta^2 + 2 \sum_{l=\bar{l}, l=1}^k \mu^l \bar{\mu}^{\bar{l}} = \eta^2 + 2 \sum_{l=\bar{l}, l=1}^k \sum_{j,\bar{i}=1}^k f^l_j \bar{f}^{\bar{l}}_{\bar{i}} dz^j d\bar{z}^{\bar{i}} = \eta^2 + 2 \sum_{j,\bar{i}=1}^k K_{,j\bar{i}} dz^j d\bar{z}^{\bar{i}}.$$

In this way we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1

Let \mathcal{U} be an open set of $C^k \times \mathbf{R}$ and let $(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^k, x)$ be Cartesian coordinates in \mathcal{U} . Consider:

- (i) a vector field $\xi = \partial_x$
- (ii) a real-valued function K on \mathcal{U} such that $\xi(K) = 0$
- (iii) a 1-form

$$\eta = dx + i \sum_{m=1}^k (K_{,m} dz^m) - i \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^k (K_{,\bar{m}} d\bar{z}^{\bar{m}})$$

(iv) a bilinear form

$$g = \eta^2 + 2 \sum_{m,\bar{k}=1}^k K_{,m\bar{k}} dz^m d\bar{z}^{\bar{k}}$$

(v) a tensor field

$$\phi = -i \sum_{m=1}^k [(\partial_m - i K_{,m} \partial_x) \otimes dz^m] + i \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^k [(\partial_{\bar{m}} + i K_{,\bar{m}} \partial_x) \otimes d\bar{z}^{\bar{m}}].$$

If the function K is chosen in such a way that the bilinear form g has positive definite signature then \mathcal{U} equipped with the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is a Sasakian manifold. Moreover, every Sasakian manifold can locally be generated by such a function K .

Since the characteristic vector field ξ is non-vanishing everywhere, it defines a one-dimensional foliation on the Sasakian manifold \mathcal{S} . Each such foliation can be locally viewed as a principal $U(1)$ -bundle, i.e. more precisely, each point of \mathcal{S} has a neighbourhood isomorphic to an open subset of a principal $U(1)$ -bundle. With such identification, the vector field ξ is a fundamental vector field of the bundle and the 1-form η is a connection form. Our coordinates (x, z^i) are consistent with a local trivialization of the bundle. The relation between the Sasakian metric on \mathcal{S} , the Kähler metric on the base space and the connection form can be understood as a special case of the construction known in the Kaluza–Klein unification scheme. In the context of the Sasakian structure, our result is to some extent known (see theorem 2.8 of [3]), however under some global assumptions concerning the manifold \mathcal{S} and the Sasakian structure on it (quasi-regularity assumption). We underline that the form of the Sasakian metric given above is valid without any topological restriction, however it is valid locally.

The function K appearing in the above theorem is a Sasakian analogue of the Kähler potential generating Kähler geometries. We call it a Sasakian potential.

We close this section with a remark that several Sasakian potentials may generate the same Sasakian structure. This is evident if one notes that the following transformations

$$K \rightarrow K + f(z^j) + \bar{f}(\bar{z}^{\bar{j}}) \quad x \rightarrow x + i\bar{f}(\bar{z}^{\bar{j}}) - if(z^j), \tag{14}$$

with f being a holomorphic function of z^j s, do not change the Sasakian structure of theorem 1. Thus, transformations (14) are the gauge transformations for the Sasakian potential.

5. Locally Sasakian–Einstein structures

In this section we calculate the Ricci tensor for the Sasakian metric g generated in a region \mathcal{U} by the Sasakian potential K of theorem 1. We also derive the equation which the Sasakian potential has to obey for the Sasakian metric to satisfy the Einstein equations $Ric(g) = \lambda g$. In this section we use the Einstein summation convention.

Let \mathcal{U} be a simply connected region of $C^k \times \mathbf{R}$ as in theorem 1. Consider a Sasakian structure defined in this theorem by the Sasakian potential K . In the holonomic cobasis

$$(dy^\mu) = (dx, dz^j, d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}})$$

the covariant components of the Sasakian metric read

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & iK_{,j} & -iK_{,\bar{j}} \\ iK_{,i} & -K_{,i}K_{,j} & K_{,i\bar{j}} + K_{,i}K_{,\bar{j}} \\ -iK_{,\bar{i}} & K_{,\bar{i}j} + K_{,\bar{i}}K_{,j} & -K_{,\bar{i}}K_{,\bar{j}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

The contravariant components of the metric read

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2K_{,i}K_{,j}k^{i\bar{j}} & iK_{,j}k^{i\bar{j}} & -iK_{,j}k^{j\bar{i}} \\ iK_{,i}k^{j\bar{i}} & 0 & k^{j\bar{i}} \\ -iK_{,i}k^{i\bar{j}} & k^{i\bar{j}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\kappa^{j\bar{l}}K_{,i\bar{l}} = \delta_i^j \quad \kappa^{l\bar{j}}K_{,i\bar{l}} = \delta_{\bar{l}}^{\bar{j}} \quad \overline{\kappa^{l\bar{j}}} = \kappa^{j\bar{l}} = \kappa^{\bar{l}j}. \quad (17)$$

The connection 1-forms $\Gamma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\nu,\rho} + g_{\rho\mu,\nu} - g_{\nu\rho,\mu})dy^\rho$ read

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{xx} = 0 \quad \Gamma_{xi} = iK_{,ij}dz^j \quad \Gamma_{x\bar{i}} = \overline{\Gamma_{xi}} \quad \Gamma_{ix} = iK_{,i\bar{j}}d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}} \quad \Gamma_{\bar{i}x} = \overline{\Gamma_{ix}} \\ \Gamma_{ij} = -K_{,i}K_{,j}ldz^l - K_{,j}K_{,i\bar{l}}d\bar{z}^{\bar{l}} \quad \Gamma_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \overline{\Gamma_{ij}} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\Gamma_{i\bar{j}} = iK_{,i\bar{j}}dx - K_{,l}K_{,i\bar{j}}dz^l + (K_{,i\bar{j}l} + K_{,i}K_{,j\bar{l}} + K_{,j}K_{,i\bar{l}} + K_{,l}K_{,i\bar{j}})d\bar{z}^{\bar{l}} \quad \Gamma_{\bar{i}j} = \overline{\Gamma_{i\bar{j}}}.$$

It is convenient to introduce the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{jm}^i = \kappa^{i\bar{l}}K_{,\bar{l}jm} \quad B_{jm}^i = C_{jm}^i + \delta_m^i K_{,j} + \delta_j^i K_{,m} \quad A_{jm} = C_{jm}^l K_{,l} + 2K_{,j}K_{,m} - K_{,jm} \\ C_{\bar{j}\bar{m}}^{\bar{i}} = \overline{C_{jm}^i} \quad B_{\bar{j}\bar{m}}^{\bar{i}} = \overline{B_{jm}^i} \quad A_{\bar{j}\bar{m}} = \overline{A_{jm}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the connection 1-forms Γ^μ_ν read

$$\Gamma_x^x = -dK \quad \Gamma_x^j = -K_{,j}dx - iA_{jm}dz^m \quad \Gamma_x^i = -idz^i \quad \Gamma_{\bar{j}}^x = \overline{\Gamma_x^j} \quad \Gamma_x^{\bar{i}} = \overline{\Gamma_x^i}$$

$$\Gamma_j^i = -i\delta_j^i dx - \delta_j^i K_{,\bar{l}}d\bar{z}^{\bar{l}} + B_{jl}^i dz^l \quad \Gamma_{\bar{j}}^i = -K_{,\bar{j}}dz^i \quad \Gamma_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{i}} = \overline{\Gamma_j^i} \quad \Gamma_j^{\bar{i}} = \overline{\Gamma_{\bar{j}}^i}.$$

The curvature 2-forms $\Omega^\mu_\nu = \frac{1}{2}R^\mu_{\nu\rho\sigma}dy^\rho \wedge dy^\sigma = d\Gamma^\mu_\nu + \Gamma^\mu_\rho \wedge \Gamma^\rho_\nu$ read

$$\Omega_x^x = iK_{,l}dx \wedge dz^l - iK_{,\bar{l}}dx \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{l}}$$

$$\Omega_x^j = -K_{,j}K_{,l}dx \wedge dz^l + (K_{,\bar{j}l} + K_{,j}K_{,\bar{l}})dx \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{l}} + iA_{jl,\bar{m}}dz^l \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{m}}$$

$$\Omega_x^i = -\delta_j^i dx \wedge dz^j + i\delta_j^i K_{,l}dz^j \wedge dz^l + iK_{,\bar{l}}d\bar{z}^{\bar{l}} \wedge dz^i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_j^i = -i\delta_l^i K_{,j}dx \wedge dz^l + (B_{mn}^i B_{jl}^m - B_{jn,l}^i + A_{jn}\delta_l^i)dz^n \wedge dz^l \\ + (K_{,j}K_{,\bar{l}}\delta_n^i - \delta_j^i K_{,n\bar{l}} - B_{jn,\bar{l}}^i)dz^n \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{l}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega_{\bar{j}}^i = i\delta_l^i K_{,\bar{j}}dx \wedge dz^l + (K_{,\bar{j}l} + K_{,\bar{j}}K_{,l})\delta_n^i dz^n \wedge dz^l - \delta_n^i K_{,\bar{j}}K_{,\bar{l}}dz^n \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{l}}$$

$$\Omega_{\bar{j}}^x = \overline{\Omega_j^x} \quad \Omega_x^{\bar{i}} = \overline{\Omega_x^i} \quad \Omega_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{i}} = \overline{\Omega_j^i} \quad \Omega_j^{\bar{i}} = \overline{\Omega_{\bar{j}}^i}.$$

The Ricci tensor $R_{\nu\sigma} = R^\mu_{\nu\mu\sigma}$ components read

$$R_{xx} = 2k \quad R_{xj} = 2ikK_{,j} \quad R_{ij} = -2kK_{,i}K_{,j} \quad R_{\bar{i}j} = 2kK_{,\bar{i}}K_{,j} - 2K_{,\bar{i}j} - C_{\bar{m}\bar{i},j}^{\bar{m}}$$

$$R_{x\bar{j}} = \overline{R_{xj}} \quad R_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \overline{R_{ij}}.$$

Now, the Einstein equations $Ric(g) = \lambda g$, which are nontrivial only for the components R_{xx} and $R_{\bar{i}j}$ become

$$\lambda = 2k \quad -(\kappa^{\bar{m}l}K_{,\bar{m}\bar{l}})_{,j} = 2(k+1)K_{,\bar{i}j}.$$

Since the matrix $(\kappa^{\bar{m}l})$ is the inverse of $(K_{\bar{i}j})$ then the left hand side of the second equations above is

$$-(\kappa^{\bar{m}l} K_{\bar{m}l})_{,j} = -[\log(\det(K_{,m\bar{n}}))]_{,\bar{i}j},$$

see e.g. [7].

Thus we arrive to the following theorem.

Theorem 2

Any Sasakian manifold of dimension $(2k + 1)$ can be locally represented by the Sasakian potential K of theorem 1. In the region where the potential is well defined the manifold satisfies Einstein equations $Ric(g) = \lambda g$ if and only if the cosmological constant

$$\lambda = 2k$$

and the potential satisfies

$$-\log[(\det(K_{,m\bar{n}}))]_{,\bar{i}j} = 2(k + 1)K_{,\bar{i}j}. \tag{19}$$

Surprisingly equation (19) is the same as the Einstein condition $Ric(h) = 2(k + 1)h$ for the Kähler metric $h = 2K_{,\bar{i}j}d\bar{z}^i dz^j$ in dimension $2k$. Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary

Every Sasakian–Einstein manifold in dimension $(2k + 1)$ is locally in one to one correspondence with a Kähler–Einstein manifold in dimension $2k$ whose cosmological constant $\lambda = 2(k + 1)$. The correspondence is obtained by identifying the Kähler potential for the Kähler–Einstein manifold with the Sasakian potential for the Sasakian–Einstein manifold.

Examples

(1) Sasakian potential for the sphere S^{2k+1} .

Consider a function

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2} \log(z^1 \bar{z}^1 + \dots + z^{k+1} \bar{z}^{k+1})$$

defined on $C^{k+1} - \{0\}$. Let

$$N = i(\mathcal{K}_{,j} dz^j - \mathcal{K}_{,\bar{j}} d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}}),$$

$$H = 2\mathcal{K}_{,\bar{i}j} dz^i d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}}$$

and

$$G = N^2 + H.$$

The tensor fields N and G restrict to a sphere

$$S^{2k+1} = \{(z^1, \dots, z^{k+1}) \in C^{k+1} - \{0\} \mid z^1 \bar{z}^1 + \dots + z^{k+1} \bar{z}^{k+1} = 1\}.$$

Denote these restrictions by η and g , respectively. Then the 1-form η and the Riemannian metric g define a Sasakian–Einstein structure on S^{2k+1} . This structure coincides with the one defined in example 1 of section 1.

To see this, recall the Hopf fibration $U(1) \rightarrow S^{2k+1} \rightarrow CP^k$ with the action of $e^{i\phi} \in U(1)$ on $(z^1, \dots, z^{k+1}) \in S^{2k+1}$ defined by $e^{i\phi}(z^1, \dots, z^{k+1}) = (e^{i\phi} z^1, \dots, e^{i\phi} z^{k+1})$. The canonical projection is given by $S^{2k+1} \ni (z^1, \dots, z^{k+1}) \rightarrow \text{dir}(z^1, \dots, z^{k+1}) \in CP^k$. The sphere is covered by $k + 1$ charts

$$U_j = V_j \times U(1),$$

where

$$V_j = \{\text{dir}(z^1, \dots, z^{k+1}) \mid (z^1, \dots, z^{k+1}) \in S^{2k+1} \text{ and } z^j \neq 0\}.$$

The local coordinates on each U_j are

$$\left(\xi^{ij} = \frac{z^i}{z^j}, \phi_j = \frac{i}{2} \log \frac{z^j}{\bar{z}^j} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, k+1, i \neq j.$$

Then on each chart U_j the form $\eta_j = \eta|_{U_j}$ reads

$$\eta_j = d\phi_j + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{k+1} (\bar{\xi}^{ij} d\xi^{ij} - \xi^{ij} d\bar{\xi}^{ij})}{1 + \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{k+1} |\xi^{ij}|^2}.$$

The metric g restricted to U_j is

$$g_j = (\eta_j)^2 + \frac{(1 + \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{k+1} |\xi^{ij}|^2)(\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{k+1} |d\xi^{ij}|^2) - |\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{k+1} (\xi^{ij} d\bar{\xi}^{ij})|^2}{(1 + \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{k+1} |\xi^{ij}|^2)^2}.$$

Now, observe that on each U_j the structure (g_j, η_j) may be obtained by means of theorems 1 and 2 choosing a Sasakian potential

$$K^j = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{k+1} |\xi^{ij}|^2 \right)$$

on the corresponding V_j . It is easy to check that K^j satisfies equation (19) on V_j . Thus, theorem 2 assures that the Sasakian structure generated by (g_j, η_j) is Sasakian–Einstein. Easy, but lengthy, calculation shows that the Weyl tensor of g_j vanishes identically on U_j . This proves that (U_j, g_j) is locally isometric to a standard Riemannian structure on S^{2k+1} . Since (g_j, η_j) originate from the global structure (g, η) then this global Sasakian structure must coincide with the standard Sasakian structure of example 1. Note also that $h_j = g_j - (\eta_j)^2$ projects to V_j and patched together defines the Fubini–Study metric on CP^k . In this sense the standard Sasakian structure on S^{2k+1} described in example 1 is the analogue of the Fubini–Study Kähler structure on CP^k .

(2) Sasakian–Einstein structure on $C^q \times C^n \times R$.

Consider a function

$$K = \frac{1}{q+n+1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^q \log(1 + |v^i|^2) \right] + \frac{n+1}{2(q+n+1)} \log \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n |w^l|^2 \right)$$

defined on $C^q \times C^n$, with coordinates $(z^\mu) = (v^i, w^l)$. It is easy to check that

$$[\log \det(K_{,\mu\bar{\nu}})]_{,\rho\bar{\sigma}} = -2(q+n+1)K_{,\rho\bar{\sigma}}.$$

Thus, via theorems 1 and 2, such K generates a Sasakian–Einstein structure on $C^q \times C^n \times R^1$.

(3) Locally Sasakian–Einstein structures in dimension 5.

If $k = 2$ then, modulo the gauge (14), equation (19) may be integrated to the form

$$K_{,1\bar{1}}K_{,2\bar{2}} - K_{,1\bar{2}}K_{,2\bar{1}} = e^{-6K}.$$

This is a well known equation describing the gravitational instantons in four dimensions [2, 5, 9, 10]. Examples of the Kähler–Einstein metrics generated by its solutions can be found e.g. in [5, 8, 11]. Via theorems 1 and 2, each of these Kähler–Einstein structures defines a nontrivial Sasakian–Einstein manifold in dimension 5.

References

- [1] Blair D E 1976 Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **509** (New York: Springer)
- [2] Boyer C P, Finley J D III and Plebański J F 1980 Complex general relativity, \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{H}H$ spaces—a survey of one approach *General relativity and gravitation (Einstein's memorial volume) vol 2* ed A Held (New York: Plenum) pp 241–81
- [3] Boyer C P and Galicki K 1998 On Sasakian–Einstein geometry *Preprint math.DG/9811098*
- [4] Figueroa-O'Farrill J M 1998 Near-horizon geometries of supersymmetric branes *Preprint hep-th/9807149*
- [5] Gibbons G W and Pope C N 1979 The positive action conjecture and asymptotically Euclidean metrics in quantum gravity *Comm. Math. Phys.* **66** 267–90
- [6] Maldacena J 1998 The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** 231–52; *Preprint: hep-th/9711200*
- [7] Landau L D and Lifshitz 1959 The classical theory of fields (London: Pergamon) p 266
- [8] Pedersen H and Poon Y S 1991 Hamiltonian constructions of Kähler–Einstein metrics and Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature *Commun. Math. Phys.* **136** 309–26
- [9] Przanowski M and Broda B 1983 Locally Kähler gravitational instantons *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B 14** 637–61
- [10] Przanowski M and Baka B 1984 One-sided type D gravitational instantons *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **16** 797–803
- [11] Plebański J F and Przanowski M 1998 Hermite–Einstein four-dimensional manifolds with symmetry *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15** 1721–35
- [12] Sasaki S 1960 On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure *Tohoku Math. J.* **12** 459–76
- [13] Witten E 1998 Anti-de Sitter space and holography *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** 253–291; *Preprint: hep-th/9802150*
- [14] Yano K and Kon M Structures on manifolds (Singapore: World Scientific)