

Coherent states for the many-body problem (continue)

Previous lecture: coherent states for bosons: $|\phi\rangle = e^{\sum_x \phi_x a_x^\dagger} |0\rangle$ $a_x |\phi_x\rangle = \phi_x |\phi_x\rangle$

x -labels single-particle basis states

$\phi_x \in \mathbb{C}$

$|\phi\rangle = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p, \dots} \phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p, \dots} |n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p, \dots\rangle$ (marked with an X)

$\phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p, \dots} = \frac{\phi_{x_1}^{n_{x_1}}}{\sqrt{n_{x_1}!}} \frac{\phi_{x_2}^{n_{x_2}}}{\sqrt{n_{x_2}!}} \dots \frac{\phi_{x_i}^{n_{x_i}}}{\sqrt{n_{x_i}!}} \dots$

• Overlap: $\langle \phi | \phi' \rangle = e^{\sum_x \phi_x^* \phi'_x}$

• $\text{Tr} A = \int \prod_x \frac{d\phi_x^* d\phi_x}{2\pi i} e^{-\sum_x \phi_x^* \phi_x} \langle \phi | A | \phi \rangle$

• $\langle \phi | A(a_{x_1}^\dagger, a_{x_2}^\dagger) | \phi' \rangle = A(\phi_{x_1}^*, \phi_{x_2}^*) e^{\sum_x \phi_x^* \phi'_x}$ (normal-ordered op.)
 $a_{x_1}^\dagger a_{x_2}^\dagger a_{x_1} a_{x_2}$ $a_{x_1}^\dagger a_{x_1}^\dagger a_{x_1}$

• Occupation number n_x (for each x) is Poisson distributed with mean value $|\phi_x|^2$:

$|\langle n_{x_1}, n_{x_2}, \dots | \phi \rangle|^2 = \prod_x \frac{|\phi_x|^2^{n_x}}{n_x!}$

$P(n) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}$
 ↓ $\lambda \leftrightarrow |\phi_x|^2$

• $\langle \hat{N} \rangle = \frac{\langle \phi | \hat{N} | \phi \rangle}{\langle \phi | \phi \rangle} = \frac{\sum_x \langle \phi | a_x^\dagger a_x | \phi \rangle}{\langle \phi | \phi \rangle} = \sum_x \phi_x^* \phi_x$

• $\langle \hat{N}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{N} \rangle^2 = (\bullet) = \langle \hat{N} \rangle$

In the thermodynamic limit $\langle \hat{N} \rangle \rightarrow \infty$

and $\frac{\sigma}{\langle \hat{N} \rangle} = \frac{1}{\langle \hat{N} \rangle} \rightarrow$ sharply peaked around $\langle \hat{N} \rangle$.

Coherent states for fermions

Analogous construction for fermions? \rightarrow eigenvalues must anticommute!!

Algebra of anticommuting "numbers" - Grassmann algebra vector space with additional multiplication operation (associative, anticommutative)

Grassmann algebra \rightarrow set of generators $\{\xi_x\}$. Consider $x \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ now.

- generators anticommute $\xi_x \xi_p + \xi_p \xi_x = 0$ ($\xi_x^2 = 0$ in particular)
- basis of the Grassmann algebra \rightarrow distinct products of generators
- a "number" in the Grassmann algebra \rightarrow linear combination (with complex coefficients) of the "numbers" $\{1, \xi_{x_1}, \xi_{x_1} \xi_{x_2}, \dots, \xi_{x_1} \xi_{x_2} \dots \xi_{x_n}\}$ ($x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ - convention)
- dimension of the Grassmann algebra = 2^n (•)
- consider n even ($n=2p$)
 - Addition defined as usual in vector spaces (commutative and associative)
- define a conjugation operation \rightarrow pick p generators ξ_x , to each of them associate the conjugate generator ξ_x^*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (\xi_x)^* &= \xi_x^* \\ (\xi_x^*)^* &= \xi_x \\ (\lambda \xi_x)^* &= \lambda^* \xi_x^* \quad (\text{for } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \\ (\xi_{x_1} \dots \xi_{x_n})^* &= \xi_{x_n}^* \dots \xi_{x_1}^* \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Conjugation in Grassmann algebra.}$$

Integration and differentiation

For simplicity - take the case with only 2 generators: $\xi, \xi^* \rightarrow$ algebra spanned by 4 elements:

$$\{1, \xi, \xi^*, \xi \xi^*\}$$

Consider a Grassmann-valued function $A(\zeta^*, \zeta) = a_0 + a_1 \zeta + \bar{a}_1 \zeta^* + a_{12} \zeta^* \zeta$

Derivative - defined to be "identical" to the usual derivative, but in order to act with

"operational" definition (no sense of talking about "infinitesimals") $\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}$ on ζ, ζ^* must be adjacent to $\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}$ \hookrightarrow e.g. $\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}(\zeta^* \zeta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}(-\zeta \zeta^*) = -\zeta^*$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} A(\zeta^*, \zeta) = a_1 - a_{12} \zeta^* \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \text{ is nilpotent } \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right)^2 = 0 \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^*} A(\zeta^*, \zeta) = \bar{a}_1 + a_{12} \zeta$$

(generalization to cases with more generators straightforward)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} A(\zeta^*, \zeta) = -a_{12} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^*} A(\zeta^*, \zeta) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^*} \text{ anticommute} \right)$$

Integral - linear mapping with the property that the integral of an "exact differential form" is zero $\hookrightarrow \int d\zeta 1 = 0$

"operational" definition (no analog to Riemann or Lebesgue integral)

$$\int d\zeta \zeta = 1 \quad (\text{definition})$$

$$\int d\zeta^* 1 = 0$$
$$\int d\zeta^* \zeta^* = 1$$

Mnemonic: Grassmann integration is identical to Grassmann differentiation...

$$\int d\zeta A(\zeta^*, \zeta) = \int d\zeta (a_0 + a_1 \zeta + \bar{a}_1 \zeta^* + a_{12} \zeta^* \zeta) = a_1 - a_{12} \zeta^*$$

$$\int d\zeta^* A(\zeta^*, \zeta) = \bar{a}_1 + a_{12} \zeta$$

$$\int d\zeta^* d\zeta A(\zeta^*, \zeta) = -a_{12} = -\int d\zeta d\zeta^* A(\zeta^*, \zeta)$$

Why such definitions ??? \rightarrow see later

Scalar product of Grassmann functions: $\langle f | g \rangle = \int d\zeta^* \int d\zeta e^{-\zeta^* \zeta} f^*(\zeta) g(\zeta^*)$

\rightarrow structure of a Hilbert space.

Back to fermions

(4)

To each a_x associate a generator ζ_x (Grassmann algebra G)
 $a_x^+ \longrightarrow \zeta_x^*$

Generalized Fock space \rightarrow set of linear combinations of states of the Fock space \mathbb{F} with coefficients in G .

Add a commutation rule between a 's and ζ 's : $[\zeta, a]_+ = 0$ $\zeta \in \{\zeta_x, \zeta_x^*\}$
 $(\zeta \tilde{a})^+ = \tilde{a}^+ \zeta^*$ $\tilde{a} \in \{a_p, a_p^+\}$

Define a fermion coherent state $|\zeta\rangle = e^{-\sum_x \zeta_x a_x^+} |0\rangle = \prod_x (1 - \zeta_x a_x^+) |0\rangle$
(exact because any $\zeta_x a_x^+$ commutes with any $\zeta_p a_p^+$)

• Verify that this is an eigenstate of the annihilation operators:

For a single state α $a_\alpha (1 - \zeta_\alpha a_\alpha^+) |0\rangle = -a_\alpha \zeta_\alpha |1_\alpha\rangle = \zeta_\alpha a_\alpha |1_\alpha\rangle = \zeta_\alpha |0\rangle = \zeta_\alpha (1 - \zeta_\alpha a_\alpha^+) |0\rangle$

$$a_\alpha |\zeta\rangle = a_\alpha \prod_p (1 - \zeta_p a_p^+) |0\rangle = \prod_{p \neq \alpha} (1 - \zeta_p a_p^+) a_\alpha (1 - \zeta_\alpha a_\alpha^+) |0\rangle = \prod_{p \neq \alpha} (1 - \zeta_p a_p^+) \zeta_\alpha (1 - \zeta_\alpha a_\alpha^+) |0\rangle = \zeta_\alpha \prod_p (1 - \zeta_p a_p^+) |0\rangle = \zeta_\alpha |\zeta\rangle$$

• The adjoint state : $\langle \zeta | = \langle 0 | e^{-\sum_x a_x \zeta_x^*} \longrightarrow \langle \zeta | a_x^+ = \langle \zeta | \zeta_x^*$

• Action of a_x^+ on $|\zeta\rangle$: $a_x^+ |\zeta\rangle = a_x^+ (1 - \zeta_x a_x^+) \prod_{p \neq x} (1 - \zeta_p a_p^+) |0\rangle = a_x^+ \prod_{p \neq x} (1 - \zeta_p a_p^+) |0\rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_x} (1 - \zeta_x a_x^+) \prod_{p \neq x} (1 - \zeta_p a_p^+) |0\rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_x} |\zeta\rangle$

• Overlap of two coherent states :

$$\langle 0 | \left(\prod_p (1 - a_p \zeta_p^*) \right) \prod_x (1 - \zeta_x' a_x^+) |0\rangle \stackrel{(\bullet)}{=} \langle 0 | \prod_x (1 + \zeta_x^* a_x) (1 - \zeta_x' a_x^+) |0\rangle = \langle 0 | \prod_x (1 + \zeta_x^* a_x - \zeta_x' a_x^+ + \zeta_x^* \zeta_x' a_x a_x^+) |0\rangle \stackrel{(\bullet)}{=} \langle 0 | \prod_x (1 + \zeta_x^* \zeta_x') |0\rangle = \prod_x (1 + \zeta_x^* \zeta_x') = e^{\sum_x \zeta_x^* \zeta_x'}$$

• Closure relation: $\int \prod_{\alpha} d z_{\alpha}^* d z_{\alpha} e^{-\sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^* z_{\alpha}} |\zeta\rangle \langle \zeta| = 1$ (unity in the physical fermionic Fock space!) (5)

Proof:

$\left. \begin{array}{l} |\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n\rangle \\ |\beta_1 \dots \beta_m\rangle \end{array} \right\}$ basis states for the Fock space

$$A := \int \prod_{\alpha} d z_{\alpha}^* d z_{\alpha} e^{-\sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^* z_{\alpha}} |\zeta\rangle \langle \zeta|$$

$$\langle \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n | \beta_1 \dots \beta_m \rangle = \langle \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n | A | \beta_1 \dots \beta_m \rangle \quad (*)$$

This we show.

$$\langle \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n | \zeta \rangle = \langle 0 | a_{\alpha_n} \dots a_{\alpha_1} | \zeta \rangle = \zeta_{\alpha_n} \dots \zeta_{\alpha_1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n | A | \beta_1 \dots \beta_m \rangle &= \int \prod_{\alpha} d z_{\alpha}^* d z_{\alpha} e^{-\sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^* z_{\alpha}} \langle \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n | \zeta \rangle \langle \zeta | \beta_1 \dots \beta_m \rangle \\ &= \int \prod_{\alpha} d z_{\alpha}^* d z_{\alpha} \prod_{\beta} (1 - z_{\beta}^* z_{\beta}) \zeta_{\alpha_n} \dots \zeta_{\alpha_1} z_{\beta_1}^* \dots z_{\beta_m}^* \end{aligned}$$

Consider the integral arising for a particular state ζ

$$\int d z_{\beta}^* d z_{\beta} (1 - z_{\beta}^* z_{\beta}) \begin{Bmatrix} \zeta_{\beta} \zeta_{\beta}^* \\ \zeta_{\beta}^* \\ \zeta_{\beta} \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}$$

Integral non-zero only if each β is either occupied in both

$|\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n\rangle$ and $|\beta_1 \dots \beta_m\rangle \rightarrow$

$\beta_1 \dots \beta_m$ is a permutation of $(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n) \rightarrow n=m$
 $\beta_i = \alpha_{p(i)}$
 PERMUTATION

(•) evaluate this integral

$$\text{Hint: } \zeta_{\alpha_n} \dots \zeta_{\alpha_1} z_{\beta_1}^* \dots z_{\beta_m}^* = (-1)^P \zeta_{\alpha_n} \dots \zeta_{\alpha_1} z_{\alpha_1}^* \dots z_{\alpha_n}^*$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \int \prod_{\alpha} d z_{\alpha}^* d z_{\alpha} \prod_{\beta} (1 - z_{\beta}^* z_{\beta}) \zeta_{\alpha_n} \dots \zeta_{\alpha_1} z_{\beta_1}^* \dots z_{\beta_m}^* \\ = (-1)^P \int \prod_{\alpha} d z_{\alpha}^* d z_{\alpha} \prod_{\beta} (1 - z_{\beta}^* z_{\beta}) (-1)^P \zeta_{\alpha_n} \dots \zeta_{\alpha_1} z_{\alpha_1}^* \dots z_{\alpha_n}^* \end{array} \right\} \quad (\bullet)$$

This demonstrates (*)

Trace of an operator

$$\text{Tr} A = \sum_n \langle n | A | n \rangle \quad \text{eg. } A = e^{-(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N})}$$

$$\text{Tr} A = \int \prod_{\alpha} d z_{\alpha}^* d z_{\alpha} e^{-\sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^* z_{\alpha}} \sum_n \langle n | z \rangle \langle z | A | n \rangle = (\otimes)$$

Be careful about interchanging the order of $\langle n | z \rangle$ and $\langle z | A | n \rangle$

Assume A does not change the particle number.

$$A | n \rangle = \sum_m a_m | m \rangle \quad p\text{-number of particles}$$

$$| n \rangle = a_{\alpha_1}^+ \dots a_{\alpha_p}^+ | 0 \rangle \quad \langle n | = \langle 0 | a_{\alpha_p} \dots a_{\alpha_1}$$

$$| m \rangle = a_{\beta_1}^+ \dots a_{\beta_p}^+ | 0 \rangle \quad \langle m | z \rangle = z_{\beta_p} \dots z_{\beta_1}$$

$$\langle n | z \rangle \langle z | m \rangle = (z_{\alpha_p} \dots z_{\alpha_1}) (z_{\beta_1}^* \dots z_{\beta_p}^*) = ((-1)^p)^p (z_{\beta_1}^* \dots z_{\beta_p}^*) (z_{\alpha_p} \dots z_{\alpha_1})$$

$$= (-1)^{p^2} \langle z | m \rangle \langle n | z \rangle \quad (-1)^{p^2} = (-1)^p$$

$$\langle n | z \rangle \langle z | m \rangle = (-1)^p \overset{\text{particle number}}{\langle z | m \rangle} \langle n | z \rangle$$

$$\langle n | z \rangle \langle z | m \rangle = (-1)^p \langle z | m \rangle \langle n | z \rangle = \langle -z | m \rangle \langle n | -z \rangle$$

E.g. $| z \rangle = (1 - z_1 a_1^+) (1 - z_2 a_2^+) | 0 \rangle \quad (n=2)$

$$= | 0 \rangle - z_1 | 10 \rangle - z_2 | 01 \rangle - z_1 z_2 | 11 \rangle$$

$$| -z \rangle = | 0 \rangle + z_1 | 10 \rangle + z_2 | 01 \rangle - z_1 z_2 | 11 \rangle \quad (\text{added a minus to the components with odd particle number})$$

$$\bullet \langle 0 | z \rangle \langle z | 0 \rangle = 1 \cdot 1 = \langle -z | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | -z \rangle$$

$$\bullet \langle 10 | z \rangle \langle z | 10 \rangle = (-z_1) (-z_1^*) = -z_1^* z_1 = -\langle z | 10 \rangle \langle 10 | z \rangle = \langle -z | 10 \rangle \langle 10 | -z \rangle$$

$$\bullet \langle 11 | z \rangle \langle z | 11 \rangle = (-z_1 z_2) (-z_2^* z_1^*) = (z_2^* z_1^*) (z_1 z_2) = \langle z | 11 \rangle \langle 11 | z \rangle$$

$$\langle -z | 11 \rangle \langle 11 | -z \rangle =$$

Going back to \otimes : $\otimes = \int \prod_{\alpha} d z_{\alpha}^{*} d z_{\alpha} e^{-\sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^{*} z_{\alpha}} \sum_n \sum_m a_m \langle -z | m \rangle \langle n | z \rangle$

$$= \left\{ \sum_m a_m |m\rangle = A |n\rangle \right\} = \int \prod_{\alpha} d z_{\alpha}^{*} d z_{\alpha} e^{-\sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^{*} z_{\alpha}} \langle -z | A | \sum_n |n\rangle \langle n | z \rangle$$

$$= \int \prod_{\alpha} d z_{\alpha}^{*} d z_{\alpha} e^{-\sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^{*} z_{\alpha}} \langle -z | A | z \rangle$$

$$\underline{\text{Tr} A = \int \prod_{\alpha} d z_{\alpha}^{*} d z_{\alpha} e^{-\sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^{*} z_{\alpha}} \langle -z | A | z \rangle}$$