

An Effective Description of the Landscape

Diego Gallego



Based on [hep-th/0812.0369](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0812.0369) and [hep-th/0904.2537](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0904.2537)
done in collaboration with M. Serone.

StringPheno09, Warsaw
16 June 2009

Effective Theory

- Effective theories are an important tool for particle physics, leading to reliable simplifications.
- However, even at the classical level, the integration of H^i heavy fields:

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}(L^\alpha) = \mathcal{L}(H^i(L^\alpha), L^\alpha) \ , \quad \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial H^i} \right|_{H^i(L^\alpha)} = 0. \quad (1)$$

is a very hard task for many interesting systems, e.g., 4D fields theories arising from String theory compactifications.

Effective Theory

- Effective theories are an important tool for particle physics, leading to reliable simplifications.
- However, even at the classical level, the integration of H^i heavy fields:

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}(L^\alpha) = \mathcal{L}(H^i(L^\alpha), L^\alpha) \ , \quad \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial H^i} \right|_{H^i(L^\alpha)} = 0. \quad (1)$$

is a very hard task for many interesting systems, e.g., 4D fields theories arising from String theory compactifications.

A common approach is to study a simplified version

$$\mathcal{L}_{sim}(L^\alpha) = \mathcal{L}(H_0^i, L^\alpha), \quad (2)$$

H_0^i the leading solution for H^i independent of L^α .

Freezing complete susy multiplets

The simplified version is described by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{sim}(L^\alpha, \bar{L}^{\bar{\alpha}}) &= K(H_0^i, \bar{H}_0^{\bar{i}}, L^\alpha, \bar{L}^{\bar{\alpha}}), & W_{sim}(L^\alpha) &= W(H_0^i, L^\alpha), \\ f_{sim,ab}(L^\alpha) &= f_{ab}(H_0^i, L^\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Freezing complete susy multiplets

The simplified version is described by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{sim}(L^\alpha, \bar{L}^{\bar{\alpha}}) &= K(H_0^i, \bar{H}_0^{\bar{i}}, L^\alpha, \bar{L}^{\bar{\alpha}}), & W_{sim}(L^\alpha) &= W(H_0^i, L^\alpha), \\ f_{sim,ab}(L^\alpha) &= f_{ab}(H_0^i, L^\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Moduli stabilization (Two Steps Stabilization)

Flux compactifications, (e.g. KKLT)

$$W(T) = W_{flux}(U_0, S_0) + W_{np}(U_0, S_0, T). \quad (4)$$

Some works addressing this:

- Extensions to KKLT. K. Choi, *et. al.* '04
- Conditions on the mass matrix. H. Abe, T. Higaki & T. Kobayashi '06
- Comments on the proper integration. S. P. de Alwis '05

UNDER WHAT CONDITIONS THIS IS A GOOD APPROXIMATION?

That is the Question

We focus on a *particular* class of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY theories inspired by flux compactifications.

- 1 Basic Set-up: No Matter Multiplets
 - Component Approach
 - Supersymmetric Approach
- 2 Matter Multiplets and Gauge Interactions
 - $\mathcal{O}(1)$ Yukawa Couplings.
 - Vector Multiplets
- 3 Conclusions

4D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUGRA theory described by

$$W(H^i, L^\alpha) = W_0(H^i) + \epsilon W_1(H^i, L^\alpha), \quad (5)$$

with $\epsilon \sim m_L/m_H$.

We allow **arbitrary**, but regular, Kähler potential.

- The eigenvalues of $g_{M\bar{N}} = \partial_M \partial_{\bar{N}} K$ are $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$.

4D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUGRA theory described by

$$W(H^i, L^\alpha) = W_0(H^i) + \epsilon W_1(H^i, L^\alpha), \quad (5)$$

with $\epsilon \sim m_L/m_H$.

We allow **arbitrary**, but regular, Kähler potential.

- The eigenvalues of $g_{M\bar{N}} = \partial_M \partial_{\bar{N}} K$ are $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$.

The following discussion excludes, then, the LARGE volume compactification scenario. This belongs to the so called *factorizable models*.

Canonically Normalized Fluctuations

- The eigenvalues of $g_{M\bar{N}} = \partial_M \partial_{\bar{N}} K$ are $\mathcal{O}(1)$.
- Then physical heavy and light modes are uniquely identified by its appearance in the scalar potential:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_{M\bar{N}} \rangle &= [(T^{-1})^\dagger (T^{-1})]_{M\bar{N}} \quad (\text{Cholesky decomposition}) \\ T &= \begin{pmatrix} (T_H)^i_j & 0 \\ (T_{HL})^\alpha_j & (T_L)^\alpha_\beta \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H} \\ \hat{L} \end{pmatrix} &= T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_c \\ \hat{L}_c \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The \hat{H}_c^i are linear combinations of only the \hat{H}^i with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ coefficients:

$$V(\langle H \rangle + \hat{H}, \langle L \rangle + \hat{L}) = V(\langle H \rangle + T_H \cdot \hat{H}_c, \langle L \rangle + T_{HL} \cdot \hat{H}_c + T_L \cdot \hat{L}_c). \quad (7)$$

Canonically Normalized Fluctuations

- The eigenvalues of $g_{M\bar{N}} = \partial_M \partial_{\bar{N}} K$ are $\mathcal{O}(1)$.
- Then physical heavy and light modes are uniquely identified by its appearance in the scalar potential:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_{M\bar{N}} \rangle &= [(T^{-1})^\dagger (T^{-1})]_{M\bar{N}} \quad (\text{Cholesky decomposition}) \\ T &= \begin{pmatrix} (T_H)^i_j & 0 \\ (T_{HL})^\alpha_j & (T_L)^\alpha_\beta \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H} \\ \hat{L} \end{pmatrix} &= T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_c \\ \hat{L}_c \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The \hat{H}_c^i are linear combinations of only the \hat{H}^i with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ coefficients:

$$V(\langle H \rangle + \hat{H}, \langle L \rangle + \hat{L}) = V(\langle H \rangle + T_H \cdot \hat{H}_c, \langle L \rangle + T_{HL} \cdot \hat{H}_c + T_L \cdot \hat{L}_c). \quad (7)$$

The kinetic part and canonical normalization are irrelevant for our discussion, so we can focus in the potential part of the Lagrangian.

Scalar potential and vacuum structure

Scalar potential, $G = K + \ln |W|^2$,

$$V = e^G \left(g^{\bar{M}N} \bar{G}_{\bar{M}} G_N - 3 \right). \quad (8)$$

Expanding in ϵ the leading terms are

$$V_0 = e^K \left(g^{\bar{M}N} \bar{F}_{0,\bar{M}} F_{0,N} - 3 |W_0|^2 \right), \quad (9)$$

where $F_{0,i} = \partial_i W_0 + (\partial_i K) W_0$, $F_{0,\alpha} = (\partial_\alpha K) W_0$.

Scalar potential and vacuum structure

Scalar potential, $G = K + \ln |W|^2$,

$$V = e^G \left(g^{\bar{M}N} \bar{G}_{\bar{M}} G_N - 3 \right). \quad (8)$$

Expanding in ϵ the leading terms are

$$V_0 = e^K \left(g^{\bar{M}N} \bar{F}_{0,\bar{M}} F_{0,N} - 3 |W_0|^2 \right), \quad (9)$$

where $F_{0,i} = \partial_i W_0 + (\partial_i K) W_0$, $F_{0,\alpha} = (\partial_\alpha K) W_0$.

- Solutions for $F_{0,i} = 0$ are not decoupled for generic K .

Scalar potential and vacuum structure

Scalar potential, $G = K + \ln |W|^2$,

$$V = e^G \left(g^{\bar{M}N} \bar{G}_{\bar{M}} G_N - 3 \right). \quad (8)$$

Expanding in ϵ the leading terms are

$$V_0 = e^K \left(g^{\bar{M}N} \bar{F}_{0,\bar{M}} F_{0,N} - 3 |W_0|^2 \right), \quad (9)$$

where $F_{0,i} = \partial_i W_0 + (\partial_i K) W_0$, $F_{0,\alpha} = (\partial_\alpha K) W_0$.

- Solutions for $F_{0,i} = 0$ are not decoupled for generic K .
- Decoupling requires $\langle W_0 \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. (This also ensures an $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ hierarchy).

With this in mind solve $\partial_M V = 0$, $\phi^M = \phi_0^M + \epsilon \phi_1^M$,

- $\mathcal{O}(1)$: decoupling at the SUSY solution $\partial_i W_0 = 0$, fixing all H_0^i if all eigenvalues for $\partial_i \partial_j W_0$ are $\mathcal{O}(1)$.
- $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$: shift in H^i is determined

$$H_1^i = -(\hat{K}^{-1})^i_j g^{\bar{J}M} (\partial_M W_1 + W \partial_M K), \quad \text{where } \hat{K}_j^{\bar{i}} = g^{\bar{i}k} \partial_k \partial_j W_0. \quad (10)$$

- At $H^i = H_0^i + \epsilon H_1^i$: $G_M = \mathcal{O}(1)$, $G^i = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, $G^\alpha = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

$$V_{full} = V(\langle H \rangle, L) + V_{int}(\langle H \rangle, L).$$

At the Gaussian level,

$$V_{int} = -\frac{1}{2} V_I V^{IJ} V_J|_{H=\langle H \rangle}, \quad I = i, \bar{i}. \quad (11)$$

$$V_{full} = V(\langle H \rangle, L) + V_{int}(\langle H \rangle, L).$$

At the Gaussian level,

$$V_{int} = -\frac{1}{2} V_I V^{IJ} V_J|_{H=\langle H \rangle}, \quad I = i, \bar{i}. \quad (11)$$

Up to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ we have, $\tilde{g}_{\bar{i}j} = (g^{\bar{j}i})^{-1}$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} V|_0 &= e^K \partial_{\bar{j}} \partial_{\bar{k}} \bar{W}_0 g^{\bar{k}j} \partial_i \partial_j W_0 \\ \partial_i V|_1 &= e^G \partial_i \partial_j W_0 G^j / \bar{W} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow V_{int} = -e^G G^i \tilde{g}_{\bar{i}j} \bar{G}^{\bar{j}}. \quad (12)$$

Thus with $\tilde{g}^{\bar{\alpha}\alpha} = (g_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})^{-1}$, satisfying $\tilde{g}^{\bar{\alpha}\alpha} = g^{\bar{\alpha}\alpha} - g^{\bar{\alpha}i} \tilde{g}_{\bar{i}j} g^{\bar{j}\alpha}$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{full} &= e^G \left[\bar{G}_{\bar{M}} \left(g^{\bar{M}N} - g^{\bar{M}i} \tilde{g}_{\bar{i}j} g^{\bar{j}N} \right) G_N - 3 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) \\ &= e^G \left(\tilde{g}^{\bar{\alpha}\alpha} G_{\alpha} \bar{G}_{\bar{\alpha}} - 3 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) = (1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) V_{sim}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

E.o.m. and two derivative truncation

L. Brizi, M. Gómez-Reino & C. Scrucca '09

Exploit the fact we are working with a SUSY theory.

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d\theta^4 (-3e^{-K/3} \bar{\Phi} \Phi) + \left(\int d\theta^2 W + h.c. \right). \quad (14)$$

The e.o.m. for a H^i chiral multiplet is

$$\partial_i W - \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 \left(e^{-K/3} \bar{\Phi} \partial_i K \right) \Phi^{-2} = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{two derivatives}} \partial_i W = 0. \quad (15)$$

The resulting effective theory is exact up to leading order in ∂^μ / m_H , $\psi^\alpha / m_H^{3/2}$, F^α / m_H^2 and F^Φ / m_H .

The two descriptions then differ at $(F^\alpha)^3$, $(F^\alpha)^2 F^\Phi$, $F^\alpha (F^\Phi)^2$ and $(F^\Phi)^3$, where

$$F^\Phi = \frac{1}{3} K_M F^M - e^{K/2} \bar{W}. \quad (16)$$

With $\langle W_0 \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ all these extra terms are $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$.

Solving expanding in ϵ , $H^i = H_0^i + \epsilon H_1^i$ (now as a chiral multiplet!)

$$\partial_i W_0(H_0^i) = 0, \quad H_1^i = -W_0^{ij} \partial_j W_1|_{H_0^i}. \quad (17)$$

So with $W_{sim} = W(H_0^i)$ and $K_{sim} = K(\bar{H}_0^{\bar{i}}, H_0^i)$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{full} &= W_{sim} + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_i \partial_j W_0 H_1^i H_1^j + \partial_i W_1 H_1^i \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \\ K_{full} &= K_{sim} + \epsilon \left(\partial_i K_{sim} H_1^i + \partial_{\bar{i}} K_{sim} \bar{H}_1^{\bar{i}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Solving expanding in ϵ , $H^i = H_0^i + \epsilon H_1^i$ (now as a chiral multiplet!)

$$\partial_i W_0(H_0^i) = 0, \quad H_1^i = -W_0^{j\bar{i}} \partial_j W_1|_{H_0^i}. \quad (17)$$

So with $W_{sim} = W(H_0^i)$ and $K_{sim} = K(\bar{H}_0^{\bar{i}}, H_0^i)$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{full} &= W_{sim} + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_i \partial_j W_0 H_1^i H_1^j + \partial_i W_1 H_1^i \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \\ K_{full} &= K_{sim} + \epsilon \left(\partial_i K_{sim} H_1^i + \partial_{\bar{i}} K_{sim} \bar{H}_1^{\bar{i}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

These corrections are clearly negligible,

$$V_{full} = (1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) V_{sim}. \quad (19)$$

Generalized setup: $\mathcal{O}(1)$ Yukawa couplings

Introducing C^α -multiplets with almost vanishing VEV's,

$$\begin{aligned} W &= W_0(H^i) + \eta \widetilde{W}_0(H^i, M^\mu, C^\alpha) + \epsilon W_1(H^i, M^\mu, C^\alpha), \\ K &= K_0 + K_{1,\alpha\bar{\beta}} C^\alpha \bar{C}^{\bar{\beta}} + (K_{2,\alpha\beta} C^\alpha C^\beta + \text{c.c.}) + \mathcal{O}(C^3), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

M^μ denoting any kind of multiplet with $\mathcal{O}(1)$, VEV.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}_0 &= Y_{3,\alpha\beta\gamma}(H^i, M^\mu) C^\alpha C^\beta C^\gamma + \mathcal{O}(C^4), \\ W_1 &= \widetilde{W}_1(H^i, M^\mu) + \mu_{2,\alpha\beta}(H^i, M^\mu) C^\alpha C^\beta + \mathcal{O}(C^3). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The following analysis can be generalized allowing $\mathcal{O}(1)$ mass terms.

Effective Theory

Solving $\partial_i W = 0$ around $\partial_i W_0(H_0^i) = 0$

$$W_{full} = W_{sim} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta \partial_i \widetilde{W}_0 + \partial_i W_1 \right) W_0^{ij} \left(\eta \partial_j \widetilde{W}_0 + \partial_j W_1 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\eta^3, \eta^2 \epsilon, \eta \epsilon^2, \epsilon^3),$$

$$K_{full} = K_{sim} - \eta \left[\partial_i K_{sim} W_0^{ij} \partial_j \widetilde{W}_0 + \partial_i K_{sim} \overline{W}_0^{\bar{j}\bar{j}} \partial_{\bar{j}} \widetilde{W}_0 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon, \eta^2).$$

(22)

In the field-space region $|C| \lesssim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$

C -dependent parts

- W_{sim} and K_{sim} are of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ respectively.
- With $W_0 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ these induce $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)$ terms in V .
- The induced couplings in W_{full} and K_{full} are at most of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$ respectively., then

$$V(C)_{full} = (1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) V(C)_{sim} . \quad (23)$$

In the field-space region $|C| \lesssim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$

C -dependent parts

- W_{sim} and K_{sim} are of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ respectively.
- With $W_0 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ these induce $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)$ terms in V .
- The induced couplings in W_{full} and K_{full} are at most of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$ respectively., then

$$V(C)_{full} = (1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) V(C)_{sim} . \quad (23)$$

Non-trustable operators

Schematically if $W \supset Y_N C^N$:

$$\delta W \supset \frac{1}{m_H} Y_{N_i} Y_{N_j} C^{N_i+N_j} , \quad \delta K \supset \frac{1}{m_H} \partial_i K_{sim} Y_{N_i} C^{N_i} + h.c. \quad (24)$$

- Gauging an isometry group \mathcal{G} generated by holomorphic Killing vectors X_A :

$$\delta_\lambda \phi^M = \lambda^A X_A^M, \quad \delta_\lambda \bar{\phi}^{\bar{M}} = \bar{\lambda}^A \bar{X}_A^{\bar{M}}. \quad (25)$$

With holomorphic gauge kinetic functions

$$f_{AB} = \delta_{AB} f_A(H^i, M^\mu, C^\alpha), \quad \text{Re}(f_A) = g_A^{-2}. \quad (26)$$

- D-term potential

$$V_D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_A g_A^2 D_A^2, \quad \text{with } D_A = iX_A^M G_M. \quad (27)$$

- Gauging an isometry group \mathcal{G} generated by holomorphic Killing vectors X_A :

$$\delta_\lambda \phi^M = \lambda^A X_A^M, \quad \delta_\lambda \bar{\phi}^{\bar{M}} = \bar{\lambda}^A \bar{X}_A^{\bar{M}}. \quad (25)$$

With holomorphic gauge kinetic functions

$$f_{AB} = \delta_{AB} f_A(H^i, M^\mu, C^\alpha), \quad \text{Re}(f_A) = g_A^{-2}. \quad (26)$$

- D-term potential

$$V_D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_A g_A^2 D_A^2, \quad \text{with } D_A = iX_A^M G_M. \quad (27)$$

Comments on freezing

- Gauge invariance of W_0 , relates the e.o.m.'s $X_A^i \partial_i W_0 = 0$.

- Gauging an isometry group \mathcal{G} generated by holomorphic Killing vectors X_A :

$$\delta_\lambda \phi^M = \lambda^A X_A^M, \quad \delta_\lambda \bar{\phi}^{\bar{M}} = \bar{\lambda}^A \bar{X}_A^{\bar{M}}. \quad (25)$$

With holomorphic gauge kinetic functions

$$f_{AB} = \delta_{AB} f_A(H^i, M^\mu, C^\alpha), \quad \text{Re}(f_A) = g_A^{-2}. \quad (26)$$

- D-term potential

$$V_D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_A g_A^2 D_A^2, \quad \text{with } D_A = iX_A^M G_M. \quad (27)$$

Comments on freezing

- Gauge invariance of W_0 , relates the e.o.m.'s $X_A^i \partial_i W_0 = 0$.
- Is not a meaningful gauge invariant statement for charged fields.

We impose

$$X_A^i = 0. \quad (28)$$

The solution to $\partial_i W = 0$, H^i , now further induces

$$f_{AB,full} = f_{AB,sim} - \partial_i f_{AB} W_0^{ij} \partial_j \widetilde{W}_0 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon, \eta^2). \quad (29)$$

New terms in the scalar potential

$$\delta V_D \supset \frac{g_A^2 Y_{N_i}}{m_H} C^{N_i+4} + \frac{\epsilon g_A^2 \mu_{M_i}}{m_H} C^{M_i+4}. \quad (30)$$

Taking $C \sim \epsilon$ these are again negligible.

Comments

- Even at two derivative level neglecting the covariant derivatives **misses** FD and D^2 terms.
- In particular this approach **cannot** lead to g_A^4 terms.

The solution to $\partial_i W = 0$, H^i , now further induces

$$f_{AB,full} = f_{AB,sim} - \partial_i f_{AB} W_0^{ij} \partial_j \widetilde{W}_0 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon, \eta^2). \quad (29)$$

New terms in the scalar potential

$$\delta V_D \supset \frac{g_A^2 Y_{N_i}}{m_H} C^{N_i+4} + \frac{\epsilon g_A^2 \mu_{M_i}}{m_H} C^{M_i+4} + \frac{(g_A^2)^2}{m_H^2} \partial_i K_{1,2} C^8. \quad (30)$$

Taking $C \sim \epsilon$ these are again negligible.

Comments

- Even at two derivative level neglecting the covariant derivatives **misses** FD and D^2 terms.
- In particular this approach **cannot** lead to g_A^4 terms.
- These are suppressed by powers of m_H .

Broken symmetry (Charged M^μ)

\mathcal{G} spontaneously broken to \mathcal{H} : $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$, and $a \in \mathcal{H}$.

- Extra heavy chiral multiplets: eaten by the Vector multiplet.
- These cannot be frozen being stabilized by D -term dynamics.
- The full massive Vector multiplet should be properly integrated out.

Broken symmetry (Charged M^μ)

\mathcal{G} spontaneously broken to \mathcal{H} : $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$, and $a \in \mathcal{H}$.

- Extra heavy chiral multiplets: eaten by the Vector multiplet.
- These cannot be frozen being stabilized by D -term dynamics.
- **The full massive Vector multiplet should be properly integrated out.**

SUSY integration of the Vector multiplet

Arkani-Hamed, Dine, Martin & Martin '98

- E.o.m. neglecting covariant derivatives, $\langle D \rangle / m_V^2 \ll 1$,

$$\partial_{V_a} K = 0. \quad (31)$$

- Gauge fixing: $M^{\hat{\mu}} = \langle M^{\hat{\mu}} \rangle = M_0^{\hat{\mu}}$, $M^{\hat{\mu}}$ such that $\langle \chi_{\hat{a}, \hat{\mu}} \rangle \neq 0$, i.e., non-vanishing component in the would-be Goldstone direction.
- Denoting $L^{A'}$ the remaining chiral fields and $V_{\hat{a}}^0(L^{A'})$ the solutions, the effective theory is described by

$$K' = K(M_0^{\hat{\mu}}, L^{A'}, V_{\hat{a}}^0(L^{A'}), V_a) \quad (32)$$

The new theory is described by

$$K', \quad W' = W_0(H^i) + \epsilon W_1(H^i, M_0^{\hat{\mu}}, L^{\alpha'}), \quad f'_a = f(M_0^{\hat{\mu}}, L^{\mathcal{A}'}), \quad (33)$$

and

- Gauge symmetry is un-broken.
- Is possible to define a simplified theory

$$K'_{sim} = K'(H_0^i, \bar{H}_0^{\bar{j}}), \quad W'_{sim} = W'(H_0^i), \quad f'_{a,sim} = f'_a(H_0^i), \quad (34)$$

and re-do our previous analysis for the matching.

The new theory is described by

$$K', \quad W' = W_0(H^i) + \epsilon W_1(H^i, M_0^{\hat{\mu}}, L^{\alpha'}), \quad f'_a = f(M_0^{\hat{\mu}}, L^{\alpha'}), \quad (33)$$

and

- Gauge symmetry is un-broken.
- Is possible to define a simplified theory

$$K'_{sim} = K'(H_0^i, \bar{H}_0^{\bar{j}}), \quad W'_{sim} = W'(H_0^i), \quad f'_{a,sim} = f'_a(H_0^i), \quad (34)$$

and re-do our previous analysis for the matching.

- This simplified theory coincide with the one obtained from,

$$K_{sim} = K(H_0^i, \bar{H}_0^{\bar{j}}), \quad W_{sim} = W(H_0^i), \quad f_{A,sim} = f_A(H_0^i), \quad (35)$$

after the integration of the heavy vector multiplet using the very same gauge fixing.

Summary

- In systems where the superpotential for the "moduli" is of the form

$$W = W_0(H^i) + \epsilon W_1(H^i, M^\mu), \quad (36)$$

with *arbitrary* sufficiently *regular Kähler* potential, freezing of *the H chiral* multiplets is a reliable approach provided that these are *neutral* and at H_0^i

$$\langle W_0 \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad \partial_i W_0 \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad \partial_i \partial_j W_0 \sim \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (37)$$

- Higher order couplings not described by the simple description are due to the presence of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ couplings in the matter sector. $W \supset Y_M C^M$:

$$\delta W \supset Y_{M_1} Y_{M_2} C^{M_1+M_2}, \quad \delta K \supset Y_M C^M.$$

The End

Thanks!