Quantum transport



Faculty of Physics UW Jacek.Szczytko@fuw.edu.pl



Dictionary

$$\vec{D} = \varepsilon \vec{E}$$

 ε_0 vacuum permittivity, permittivity of free space (przenikalność elektryczna próżni) ε_r relative permittivity (względna przenikalność elektryczna) $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r$ permittivity (przenikalność elektryczna)

$$\vec{B} = \mu \vec{H}$$

 μ_0 vacuum permeability, permeability of free space (przenikalność magnetyczna) $\mu_0 = 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7}$ H/m μ_r relative permeability (względna przenikalność magnetyczna)

 $\mu = \mu_0 \mu_r$ permeability (przenikalność magnetyczna)

magnetic susceptibility $\chi_m = \mu_r - 1$

electric field \vec{E} and the magnetic field \vec{B} displacement field \vec{D} and the magnetizing field \vec{H}

Maxwell's equations in matter

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$$
$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{j}_{sw} + \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}$$
$$\nabla \vec{D} = \rho_{sw}$$
$$\nabla \vec{B} = 0$$

The equations written in the form of a scalar φ and vector A potentials:

Material equations (linear)

$$\vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{H} + \vec{M} = \mu_0 (1 + \chi_m) \vec{H} = \mu \vec{H} = \mu_r \mu_0 \vec{H}$$

$$\vec{D} = \varepsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P} = \varepsilon_0 (1 + \chi_e) \vec{E} = \varepsilon \vec{E} = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \vec{E}$$

$$\vec{J}_{sw} = \hat{\sigma} \vec{E}$$

$$v^2 = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \varepsilon_0} \frac{1}{\mu_r \varepsilon_r} = \frac{c^2}{\mu_r \varepsilon_r} = \frac{c^2}{n^2}$$

Then
$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \vec{A}) \Rightarrow \nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla \times \left(\vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}\right) = 0$$

If the rotation of the gradient is zero, then:

$$-\nabla \varphi = \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$
 thus $\vec{E} = -\nabla \varphi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$

 $\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$

Maxwell's equations in matter

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$$
 $\vec{E} = -\nabla \varphi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$

Example: $\varphi = -\vec{E}\vec{r} + C_{\varphi}$ $\vec{A} = -\vec{E}t + C_A$

Not only constants C_{φ} and C_A we can add for the scalar and vector potentials:

$$\varphi \to \varphi - \frac{d\chi}{dt}$$
 $\vec{A} \to \vec{A} + \nabla \chi$ eg.: $\chi = \pm \vec{E}\vec{r}t$

We call it the gauge

Landau gauge: field
$$\vec{B} = (0,0,B_z) \Rightarrow B_z = \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y}$$
 $A_y = B_z x$ lub $A_x = -B_z y$

(unfortunately distinguishes direction)

Coulomb gauge:
$$\nabla \vec{A} = 0$$
 field $\vec{B} = (0,0,B_Z) \Rightarrow \vec{A} = \frac{1}{2}B_Z(-y,x,0) = \frac{1}{2}\vec{B} \times \vec{r}$

(unfortunately complicates calculations)

Lorentz gauge:
$$\nabla \vec{A} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = 0$$

Schrodinger equation in the \vec{E} and \vec{B} fields:

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \qquad \vec{E} = -\nabla \varphi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2m}\left[\hat{p}-q\,\vec{A}(\vec{r},t)\right]^{2}+q\varphi(\vec{r},t)+U(\vec{r},t)\right\}\psi(\vec{r},t)=i\hbar\frac{d}{dt}\psi(\vec{r},t)$$
The sum: kinetic momentum
Canonical momentum \hat{p}

Continuity equation
$$J(\vec{r},t) = \frac{\hbar q}{2 i m} (\Psi^* \nabla \Psi - \Psi \nabla \Psi^*) - \frac{q}{m} |\Psi|^2 \vec{A}(\vec{r},t)$$

or else:

$$J(\vec{r},t) = \frac{q}{2} \left[\Psi^* \left(\frac{\hat{p} - q \, \vec{A}(\vec{r},t)}{m} \Psi \right) + \left(\frac{\hat{p} - q \, \vec{A}(\vec{r},t)}{m} \Psi \right)^* \Psi \right]$$

On exercises



FIGURE 4.6. Triangular potential well V(z) = eFz, showing the energy levels and wave functions. The scales are for electrons in GaAs and a field of 5 MV m⁻¹.

Triangular well

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + eFz\right]\psi(z) = \varepsilon\psi(z)$$

Transformation:

- 7

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2}\psi(z) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}(eFz - \varepsilon)\psi(z)$$
Substituting: $z_0 = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2meF}\right)^{1/3}$, $\bar{z} = \frac{z}{z_0}$, $\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0}$

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2}\psi(z) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}(eFz - \varepsilon)\psi(z)$$
The equation reduces to Stokes or Airy equation:

1.00

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2}f(z) = zf(z)$$

Its two independent solutions the Airy functions Ai(z) and Bi(z). The solutions of the equation are the zeros of a function Ai(z) (after some rearrangements).

 $\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + q\varphi(\vec{r},t)\right]\psi(z) = \varepsilon\psi(z) \text{ selecting the gauging } q\varphi(\vec{r},t) = eFz$



FIGURE 6.1. (a) Potential energy eFz, three wave functions, and energies for electrons in GaAs in a uniform electric field of 5 MV m⁻¹. (b) Local density of states at z = 0, showing how the features correspond to the wave functions.

 $\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + q\varphi(\vec{r},t)\right]\psi(z) = \varepsilon\psi(z) \text{ selecting the gauging } q\varphi(\vec{r},t) = eFz$

The stationary solutions as an Airy function:

functions!



FIGURE 6.1. (a) Potential energy eFz, three wave functions, and energies for electrons in GaAs in a uniform electric field of 5 MV m⁻¹. (b) Local density of states at z = 0, showing how the features correspond to the wave functions.

The density of states (in general) can be defined as:

$$N(E) = \sum_{n} \delta(E - \varepsilon_n)$$

After integration

$$\int_{E_1}^{E_2} N(E) dE = \int_{E_1}^{E_2} \sum_n \delta(E - \varepsilon_n) dE = \sum_n \int_{E_1}^{E_2} \delta(E - \varepsilon_n) dE$$

For instance:

$$N^{1D}(E) = \sum_{k} \delta(E - \varepsilon(k)) = \int \frac{1}{E'(k)} \,\delta(k - k') \,2\,dk = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{E}}$$

$$N^{2D}(E) = \sum_{k} \delta(E - \varepsilon(k)) = \int \frac{1}{E'(k)} \,\delta(k - k') \,2\pi k \,dk = \frac{m}{\pi\hbar^2}$$

$$N^{3D}(E) = \sum_{k} \delta(E - \varepsilon(k)) = \int \frac{1}{E'(k)} \,\delta(k - k') \,4\pi k^2 \,dk = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E}$$
On exercise

The density of states (in general) can be defined as:

$$N(E) = \sum_{n} \delta(E - \varepsilon_n)$$

Local denisty of states:

$$N(E) = \sum_{n} |\phi_k(\vec{r})|^2 \delta(E - \varepsilon_n)$$

Fo

or instance:
$$N^{1D}(E,z) \sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Ai^2 \left(\frac{eFz-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0}\right) \delta(E-\varepsilon) d\varepsilon \sim \frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\varepsilon_0}} Ai^2 \left(\frac{eFz-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0}\right)$$

The density of states (in general) can be defined as:

$$N(E) = \sum_{n} \delta(E - \varepsilon_n)$$

Local denisty of states:

$$N(E) = \sum_{n} |\phi_k(\vec{r})|^2 \delta(E - \varepsilon_n)$$

For instance:



FIGURE 6.2. Local density of states $n^{(F)}(E, z)$ for electrons in GaAs in an electric field of **5MV** m⁻¹ as a function of local kinetic energy, $\varepsilon = E - eFz$. The thin curves are the results for free electrons. The units of n(E, z) are eV^{-1} nm^{-d} in d dimensions.

The density of states (in general) can be defined as:

$$N^{3D}(E,z) \sim \frac{m}{\pi\hbar^3} \sqrt{2m\varepsilon_0} \int_{-\infty}^{E} Ai^2 \left(\frac{eFz - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0}\right) d\varepsilon = \frac{m}{\pi\hbar^3} \sqrt{2m\varepsilon_0} [[Ai'(s)]^2 - s[Ai(s)]^2]$$

$$s = \frac{eFz - E}{\varepsilon_0}$$
For instance: $N^{1D}(E,z) \sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Ai^2 \left(\frac{eFz - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0}\right) \delta(E - \varepsilon) d\varepsilon \sim \frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\varepsilon_0}} Ai^2 \left(\frac{eFz - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0}\right)$

$$\int_{0.4}^{6} \frac{10}{0.2} \int_{0.1}^{0.4} \frac{10}{0.2} \int_{0.1}^{0.4} \frac{10}{0.2} \int_{0.1}^{0.06} \frac{10}{0.2} \int_{0.00}^{0.06} \frac{10}{0.01} \int_{0.2}^{0.06} \frac{10}{0.01} \int_{0.0}^{0.06} \frac{10}{0.01$$

FIGURE 6.2. Local density of states $n^{(F)}(E, z)$ for electrons in GaAs in an electric field of $5 \text{MV} \text{m}^{-1}$ as a function of local kinetic energy, $\varepsilon = E - eFz$. The thin curves are the results for free electrons. The units of n(E, z) are $eV^{-1}\text{nm}^{-d}$ in d dimensions.

13

The density of states (in general) can be defined as:

$$\mathbf{V}^{3D}(E,z) \sim \frac{m}{\pi\hbar^3} \sqrt{2m\varepsilon_0} \int_{-\infty}^{E} Ai^2 \left(\frac{eFz-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0}\right) d\varepsilon = \frac{m}{\pi\hbar^3} \sqrt{2m\varepsilon_0} \left[[Ai'(s)]^2 - s[Ai(s)]^2 \right]$$

Franz-Keldysh effect - in the electric field optical transitions occur at lower energies - the energy gap is "blurred", the wavefunctions are "leaking" into the band gap:



FIGURE 6.3. The Franz-Keldysh effect on interband absorption. The states shown in the valence and conduction bands are separated by $\Delta E < E_g$ but overlap because of the tail that tunnels into the band gap.

 $\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + q\varphi(\vec{r},t)\right]\psi(z) = \varepsilon\psi(z) \quad \text{We choose a gauge } q\varphi(\vec{r},t) = eFz$

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2m}\left[\hat{p}-q\,\vec{A}(\vec{r},t)\right]^2 + q\varphi(\vec{r},t) + U(\vec{r},t)\right\}\psi(\vec{r},t) = i\hbar\frac{d}{dt}\psi(\vec{r},t)$$

We choose a gauge $\varphi(\vec{r},t) = 0$, but then $\vec{A}(\vec{r},t) = -\vec{E}t$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2m} [\hat{p} - e \ \vec{E}t]^2 \} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi(\vec{r}, t) & \text{No stationary states} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{The potential is not position-depended - solution of } \exp(i \ \vec{k} \vec{r})$$

$$\text{Sign -, because } q = -e$$

$$\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}, t) = \exp(i \ \vec{k} \vec{r}) T(\vec{k}, t)$$

$$\frac{1}{2m} [\hat{p} - e \,\vec{E}t]^2 \psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{2m} [\hbar \vec{k} - e \,\vec{E}t]^2 \psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} [\vec{k} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \vec{E}t]^2 \psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r},t) = i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r},t)$$
$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} [\vec{k} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \vec{E}t]^2 \exp(i \,\vec{k}\vec{r}) \,\mathrm{T}(\vec{k},t) = i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \exp(i \,\vec{k}\vec{r}) \,\mathrm{T}(\vec{k},t)$$

$$\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r},t) = \exp(i\,\vec{k}\vec{r})\,\mathrm{T}(\vec{k},t) = \exp(i\,\vec{k}\vec{r})\exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int^{t}\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\left[\vec{k}-\frac{e}{\hbar}\vec{E}t'\right]^{2}dt'\right) = \\ = \exp\left(i\left[\vec{k}\vec{r}-\frac{1}{\hbar}\int^{t}\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\left[\vec{k}-\frac{e}{\hbar}\vec{E}t'\right]^{2}dt'\right]\right)$$

The particle accelerates with time with a momentum $\hbar \vec{k} - e\vec{E}t'$, corresponding to a constant force $-e\vec{E}$. The momentum of the particle increases. On the other hand, we would expect that this change in momentum can be observed in the change of spatial part of the wavefunction $\exp(i \vec{k}\vec{r})$ (changes the wavelength, or changes the wave vector \vec{k}) – which does not occure.

It is also difficult to define the density of states.

The current density is OK - constant in space and increases with time (constant acceleration)

$$J(\vec{r},t) = \frac{q}{2} \left[\Psi^* \left(\frac{\hat{p} - q \,\vec{A}(\vec{r},t)}{m} \Psi \right) + \left(\frac{\hat{p} - q \,\vec{A}(\vec{r},t)}{m} \Psi \right)^* \Psi \right] = -\frac{e}{m} \left(\hbar \vec{k} - e \vec{E} t \right)$$
$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[\vec{k} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \vec{E} t \right]^2 \exp(i \,\vec{k} \vec{r}) \,\mathrm{T}(\vec{k},t) = i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \exp(i \,\vec{k} \vec{r}) \,\mathrm{T}(\vec{k},t)$$

The density of states (in general) can be defined as:

$$N(E) = \sum_{n} \delta(E - \varepsilon_n)$$

After integration

$$\int_{E_1}^{E_2} N(E) dE = \int_{E_1}^{E_2} \sum_n \delta(E - \varepsilon_n) dE = \sum_n \int_{E_1}^{E_2} \delta(E - \varepsilon_n) dE$$

For instance:

$$N^{1D}(E) = \sum_{k} \delta(E - \varepsilon(k)) = \int \frac{1}{E'(k)} \,\delta(k - k') \,2\,dk = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{E}}$$

$$N^{2D}(E) = \sum_{k} \delta(E - \varepsilon(k)) = \int \frac{1}{E'(k)} \,\delta(k - k') \,2\pi k \,dk = \frac{m}{\pi\hbar^{2}}$$

$$N^{3D}(E) = \sum_{k} \delta(E - \varepsilon(k)) = \int \frac{1}{E'(k)} \,\delta(k - k') \,4\pi k^{2} \,dk = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^{2}}\right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E}$$
On exercise

The Landau gauge solution

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2m}\left[\hat{p} - q\,\vec{A}(\vec{r},t)\right]^2 + q\phi(\vec{r},t) + U(\vec{r},t)\right\}\psi(\vec{r},t) = i\hbar\frac{d}{dt}\psi(\vec{r},t)$$

The Landau gauge solution

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2m}\left[\hat{p}-q\,\vec{A}(\vec{r},t)\right]^2+q\varphi(\vec{r},t)+U(\vec{r},t)\right\}\psi(\vec{r},t)=i\hbar\frac{d}{dt}\psi(\vec{r},t)$$

(unfortunately distinguishes **Landau gauge**: magnetic field $\vec{B} = (0,0,B_z) \Rightarrow B_z = \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y}$ direction) $\vec{A} = [0, B_z x, 0]$ czyli $A_v = B_z x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B x \qquad q = -e$ We assume that in a plane xythere is no other potential $\left\{\frac{1}{2m}\left[-\hbar^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \left(-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + eBx\right)^2 - \hbar^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right] + U(z)\right\}\psi(\vec{r}) = E\psi(\vec{r})$ Which gives: $\left| -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \frac{ie\hbar}{m} Bx \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{(eBx)^2}{2m} + U(z) \right| \psi(\vec{r}) = E\psi(\vec{r})$ The evidence of the Lorentz force Parabolic potential!

Time-reversal invariance, T-symmetry (symetria względem odwrócenia czasu): if the solution of the Schrodinger equation is the function $\Psi(t)$, then $\Psi^*(-t)$ must be also the solution – only for a real Hamiltonian. For the magnetic field, we have to reverse also the direction of the magnetic field: $\Psi(t, \vec{B}) \rightarrow \Psi^*(-t, -\vec{B})$; we reverse the sign of kinetic momentum $[\hat{p} - q \ \vec{A}(\vec{r}, t)]$.



$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 - \frac{ie\hbar}{m}Bx\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{(eBx)^2}{2m} + U(z)\right]\psi(\vec{r}) = E\psi(\vec{r})$$

Vector potential does not depend on y, we can assume the function of the form:



$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 - \frac{ie\hbar}{m}Bx\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{(eBx)^2}{2m} + U(z)\right]\psi(\vec{r}) = E\psi(\vec{r})$$

Vector potential does not depend on y, we can assume the function of the form:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}m\,\omega_c^2\,\left(x + \frac{\hbar k_y}{eB}\right)^2\right]u(x) = \varepsilon u(x) \qquad \omega_c = \left|\frac{eB}{m}\right| \qquad R_c = \frac{v}{\omega_c} = \frac{\sqrt{2mE}}{|eB|}$$

Magnetic length: $l_B = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega_c}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{|eB|}}$ does not depend on mass *m*, but ONLY on magnetic field *B*!

The typical value for B = 1.0 T is $l_B = 26$ nm.

Solutions
$$\varepsilon_{nk} = \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar \omega_c$$
 (does not depend on k_y).
 $\phi_{nk}(x, y) \propto H_{n-1}\left(\frac{x - x_k}{l_B}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{(x - x_k)^2}{2l_B^2}\right] \exp(ik_y y)$

 $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$ they are subsequent Landau levels.

 $\psi(\vec{r}) = w(z)u(x)\exp(ik_{y}y)$

The 2D case:

Solutions
$$\varepsilon_{nk} = \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar \omega_c + E_n$$
 (does not depend on k_y ; E_n - is any 2D energy).
 $\phi_{nk}(x, y) \propto H_{n-1}\left(\frac{x - x_k}{l_B}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{(x - x_k)^2}{2l_B^2}\right] \exp(ik_y y) \qquad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$

Wave functions are the functions of the oscillator (along x, of the order of $l_B/\sqrt{2}$) and travelling waves (along y) – weird, right? Why?

The energy does not depend on k vector – states of different k have the same energy, so they are degenerated (therefore any combination of them does not change the energy). The density of states is reduced from the constant $\frac{m}{\pi\hbar^2}$ to a series of discrete values δ given by the equation of ε_{nk} - they are called **Landau levels**.

Full energy (including binding potential in z direction):

$$E = E_z + \varepsilon_{nk} = E_z + \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)\hbar\omega_c$$



The 2D case:

Solutions
$$\varepsilon_{nk} = \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar \omega_c + E_n$$
 (does not depend on k_y ; E_n - is any 2D energy).
 $\phi_{nk}(x, y) \propto H_{n-1}\left(\frac{x - x_k}{l_B}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{(x - x_k)^2}{2l_B^2}\right] \exp(ik_y y) \qquad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$





FGURE 6.7. Density of states in a magnetic field, neglecting spin splitting. (a) The states in each range $\hbar\omega_c$ are squeezed into a δ -function Landau level. (b) Landau levels have a non-zero width Γ in a more realistic picture and overlap if $\hbar\omega_c < \Gamma$. (c) The levels become distinct when $\hbar\omega_c > \Gamma$.

The 3D case (no U(z) potential)

Solution:



 $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$ are subsequent Landau levels.

DOS reminds 1D because it is possible to move only in the direction *z*

http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/physics/current/postgraduate/regs/mpags/ex5/mag/

D[E]

The solution in the symmetric gauge:

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2m}\left[\hat{p}-q\,\vec{A}(\vec{r},t)\right]^2+q\varphi(\vec{r},t)+U(\vec{r},t)\right\}\psi(\vec{r},t)=i\hbar\frac{d}{dt}\psi(\vec{r},t)$$

The symmetric gauge: field $\vec{B} = (0,0,B_z) \Rightarrow A_\theta = \frac{1}{2}Br, A_r = 0, A_z = 0$

$$\left\{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}\right] - \frac{i\hbar eB}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{e^2B^2r^2}{8m} + U(z)\right\}\psi(r,\theta,z) = E\psi(r,\theta,z)$$

This time a rotation angle θ is the invariant, which can be associated with angular momentum and the function in the form of $\exp(il\theta)$

$$\varepsilon_{nl} = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}l + \frac{1}{2}|l| - \frac{1}{2}\right)\hbar\omega_c$$
 $n = 1, 2, 3...$ $l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3...$

$$\phi_{nk}(r,\theta) \propto \exp(il\theta) \exp\left[-\frac{r^2}{4l_B^2}\right] r^{|l|} L_{n-1}^{(|l|)}\left(\frac{r^2}{2l_B^2}\right)$$

The symmetrical potential also has its

drawbacks - where is the origin of ALL

cyclotron orbits?

What are the solutions with negative sign?

Associate Laguerre polynomial

In a magnetic field, we cannot forget about spin!

Electron spin: $\mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_0}$ (Bohr magneton = magnitude of the magnetic moment of the electron on the orbit of the total angular momentum $1\hbar$)



In the case of free electron g = 2,0023 ..., but in the solid state it may have very different values (eg. g = -0.44 in GaAs and g = +0.4 in Al_{0.3}Ga_{0.7}As).

We return to the Landau gauge:

Solutions
$$\varepsilon_{nk} = \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar \omega_c + E_n$$
 (does not depend on k_y ; E_n - is any 2D energy).
 $\phi_{nk}(x, y) \propto H_{n-1}\left(\frac{x - x_k}{l_B}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{(x - x_k)^2}{2l_B^2}\right] \exp(ik_y y) \qquad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$

Question: for a given *n* (i.e. Landau level) how many different states $\phi_{nk}(x, y)$ of the same energy there are – i.e. what is the degeneration of the Landau levels?

Let's calculate how many different functions of quantum numbers k_y (only k_y counts, because in Landau gauge x_k depends only on k_y) – similar considerations can be worked out in an arbitrary gauge.

We return to the Landau gauge:

Solutions
$$\varepsilon_{nk} = \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar \omega_c + E_n$$
 (does not depend on k_y ; E_n - is any 2D energy).
 $\phi_{nk}(x, y) \propto H_{n-1}\left(\frac{x - x_k}{l_B}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{(x - x_k)^2}{2l_B^2}\right] \exp(ik_y y) \qquad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$

Question: for a given n (i.e. Landau level) how many different states $\phi_{nk}(x, y)$ of the same energy there are – i.e. what is the degeneration of the Landau levels?

Let's calculate how many different functions of quantum numbers k_y (only k_y counts, because in Landau gauge x_k depends only on k_y) – similar considerations can be worked out in an arbitrary gauge.

What is the <u>number of states per one level</u>? The sample $S = L_x \times L_y$, in the Landau gauge for y coordnate we have plane wave condition $k = (2\pi/L_y)n_y$ (where n_y is an <u>integer number</u>).

How many states of different n_{y} there are?

For x coordinate the wavefunction is centered in $x_k = -\frac{\hbar k}{eB} = -(2\pi\hbar n_y/eBL_y)$.

If n_y is too large then x_k can be outside the sample – no harmonic force, no harmonic solution.

The solution of the Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field gives a discrete spectrum.

What is the <u>number of states per one level</u>? The sample $S = L_x \times L_y$, in the Landau gauge for y coordnate we have plane wave condition $k = (2\pi/L_y)n_y$ (where n_y is an <u>integer number</u>). For x coordinate the wavefunction is centered in $x_k = -\frac{\hbar k}{eB} = -(2\pi\hbar n_y/eBL_y)$. The condition for x_k to be in the sample (rather than outside):



 $n_B = \frac{eB}{h}$ The degeneration of Landau levels – is the number of allowed states for each of the Landau level per unit area – it increases with increasing field B

The solution of the Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field gives a discrete spectrum.

What is the <u>number of states per one level</u>? The sample $S = L_x \times L_y$, in the Landau gauge for y coordnate we have plane wave condition $k = (2\pi/L_y)n_y$ (where n_y is an <u>integer number</u>). For x coordinate the wavefunction is centered in $x_k = -\frac{\hbar k}{eB} = -(2\pi\hbar n_y/eBL_y)$. The condition for x_k to be in the sample (rather than outside):

$$-L_{x} < \frac{2\pi\hbar n_{y}}{eBL_{y}} < 0 \qquad \text{czyli} \qquad 0 < n_{y} < \frac{eB}{h} L_{x}L_{y} = n_{B}S = \frac{e}{h} BS = \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_{0}}$$

flux $\Phi_{0} = \frac{h}{e} = 4.135667516 \times 10^{-15} \text{ Wb} \quad [\text{Wb}] = [\text{T m}^{2}]$

The magnetic flux quantum (pol. *flukson*) (In a superconductor h/2e, so this is not a "quantum")

 $\Phi = BS$ the total magnetic flux in the sample $S = L_x \times L_y$

 $0 < n_y \Phi_0 < \Phi$

The amount of allowed states is related to the amount of magnetic flux quanta passing through the sample!

The density of states (in general) can be defined as:

$$N(E) = \sum_{n} \delta(E - \varepsilon_n)$$

After integration

$$\int_{E_1}^{E_2} N(E) dE = \int_{E_1}^{E_2} \sum_n \delta(E - \varepsilon_n) dE = \sum_n \int_{E_1}^{E_2} \delta(E - \varepsilon_n) dE$$

For instance:

$$N^{1D}(E) = \sum_{k} \delta(E - \varepsilon(k)) = \int \frac{1}{E'(k)} \,\delta(k - k') \,2\,dk = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{E}}$$

$$N^{2D}(E) = \sum_{k} \delta(E - \varepsilon(k)) = \int \frac{1}{E'(k)} \,\delta(k - k') \,2\pi k \,dk = \frac{m}{\pi\hbar^{2}}$$

$$N^{3D}(E) = \sum_{k} \delta(E - \varepsilon(k)) = \int \frac{1}{E'(k)} \,\delta(k - k') \,4\pi k^{2} \,dk = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^{2}}\right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E}$$
On exercise



 τ_i this is single-particle (or quantum) lifetime – this is NOT the same time, which we discussed with Drude model (transport lifetime)

FGURE 6.7. Density of states in a magnetic field, neglecting spin splitting. (a) The states in each range $\hbar\omega_c$ are squeezed into a δ -function Landau level. (b) Landau levels have a non-zero width Γ in a more realistic picture and overlap if $\hbar\omega_c < \Gamma$. (c) The levels become distinct when $\hbar\omega_c > \Gamma$.

Counting 2 spins:
$$2n_B = \frac{2eB}{h} = \frac{2m\omega_c}{2\pi\hbar} = \frac{m}{\pi\hbar^2} \hbar\omega_c$$

Each of the states on the Landau level occupies an area $\frac{h}{eB} = 2\pi l_B^2$

$$l_B = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega_c}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{|eB|}}$$

 $n_B = \frac{eB}{h}$ The degeneration of Landau levels – is the number of allowed states for each of the Landau level per unit area – it increases with increasing field *B*

The carrier concentration in 2D: n_{2D} – on how many Landau levels these carriers can be hold? Filling factor ν (*współczynnik wypełnienia*) – usually this is not an integer

 $\nu = \frac{n_{2D}}{n_B} = \frac{hn_{2D}}{eB} = \frac{\Phi_0 n_{2D}}{B} = 2\pi l_B^2 n_{2D} \qquad \text{(taking into account the spin degeneracy)}$

Increasing the magnetic field we are successively filling the Landau levels. You can completely fill *n*-th level ($\nu = n$) and then $B_n = hn_{2D}/en$, until we reach n = 1, i.e. all electrons are at the same Landau level (ie. the *quantum limit*).

For $\nu < 1$ nteresting things happens (which'll be right back!)

 $n_B = \frac{eB}{h}$ The degeneration of Landau levels – is the number of allowed states for each of the Landau level per unit area – it increases with increasing field *B*

The carrier concentration in 2D: n_{2D} – on how many Landau levels these carriers can be hold? Filling factor ν (*współczynnik wypełnienia*) – usually this is not an integer



FIGURE 6.8. Occupation of Landau levels in a magnetic field neglecting the spin splitting, showing how the Fermi level moves to maintain a constant density of electrons. The fields are in the ratio 2:3:4 and give $\nu = 4, \frac{8}{3}$, and 2.

The Fermi level lies **between** Landau levels there is no DOS, change of E_F does not change DOS –incompressible states (*stany nieściśliwe*)



FIGURE 6.8. Occupation of Landau levels in a magnetic field neglecting the spin splitting, showing how the Fermi level moves to maintain a constant density of electrons. The fields are in the ratio 2:3:4 and give $v = 4, \frac{8}{3}$, and 2.

The Fermi level in the magnetic field:





FIGURE 6.9. Variation of the Fermi level as a function of magnetic field for a two-dimensional electron gas in GaAs with $E_{\rm F}^0 = 10 \,\text{meV}$ before the field was applied. Spin splitting is neglected. The fan of thin lines shows the Landau levels, while the discontinuous thick line is $E_{\rm F}$.

The Fermi level in the magnetic field:



$$\nu = \frac{n_{2D}}{n_B} = \frac{hn_{2D}}{eB} = \frac{\Phi_0 n_{2D}}{B} = 2\pi l_B^2 n_{2D}$$

Fig. 16. Landau level fan diagram for the magnetic 2DEG sample described in Fig. 15. Solid (dashed) lines correspond to spin-down (spin-up) states. The dark solid line shows the variation of the Fermi energy with magnetic field. Parameters used in this calculation are: E_F =7 meV at B=0, and T=360 mK. The spin-splitting parameters used are obtained by fitting the magneto-optical data in Fig. 3: T_0 =2.1 K and a saturation conduction band spin splitting of 12.9 meV.

Spin dynamics and quantum transport in magnetic semiconductor quantum structures D.D Awschalom, N. Samarth, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials **200** (1999) 130-147