

Controlling spatial modes in waveguided spontaneous parametric down conversion

<u>Michał Karpiński</u>

Konrad Banaszek, Czesław Radzewicz

Faculty of Physics University of Warsaw Poland









Wien, 13.09.2011



Plan:

- PP-KTP waveguide characteristics,
- Spatial mode dependent phase matching,
- Single spatial mode down conversion,
- Summary & outlook.











Three wave mixing (SFG, SPDC) in periodically poled KTiOPO₄ waveguides

Motivation:

- high efficiency
 - quasi phase matching
 - tight light confinement
 - collinear
- spatial characteristics defined by the waveguide geometry;
- integrated devices
- easy experimental setup.



PP-KTP waveguides





Waveguide characteristics

- waveguide chip KTP crystal with >50 waveguides under the surface,
- width 2, 3 or 4 μm, depth ~ 6 μm,
- 4 mm and 1 mm sample lengths,
- type II quasi phase matched @ 800 nm.
- produced by ion exchange (AdvR Inc.) → diffusion leads to exponential refractive index profile



Multiple transverse modes supported: > 6 ",red", >25 ",blue" modes for 2 μ m width

Waveguide characteristics

- waveguide chip KTP crystal with >50 waveguides under the surface,
 -) width 2, 3 or 4 μ m, depth ~ 6 μ m,
- 4 mm and 1 mm sample lengths,
- type II quasi phase matched @ 800 nm.
- produced by ion exchange (AdvR Inc.) → diffusion leads to exponential refractive index profile



Multiple transverse modes supported: > 6 ,,red", >25 ,,blue" modes for 2 μm width

- Horizontally: symmetric.
- Vertically assymetric (due to crystal-air interface & exponential refractive index profile).
- Largest fraction of power in the "lowest" maximum.

Mode selective coupling:





Coupling between spatial modes and phase matching (i.e. spectral characteristics) of the three wave mixing process.

$$n_{eff}^{(j)}(\lambda) = n_{KTP}(\lambda) + \Delta n^{(j)}$$

Phase matching:

$$\Delta k = k_s + k_i - k_p - \frac{2\pi}{\Lambda}$$

$$\Delta k^{(j,k,l)}(\lambda_s,\lambda_i) = \frac{n_s^{(j)}(\lambda_s)}{\lambda_s} + \frac{n_i^{(k)}(\lambda_i)}{\lambda_i} - \frac{n_p^{(l)}(\lambda_p)}{\lambda_p} - \frac{1}{\Lambda}$$

$$\eta^{(j,k,l)} \sim \operatorname{sinc}^2\left(\Delta k^{(j,k,l)}(\lambda_s,\lambda_i)L/2\right)$$



Spatial mode dependet phase matching condition! Different phase matching for different spatial mode triplets (*j*, *k*, *l*) of the interacting fields.



Type II sum frequency generation spectroscopy with spatial mode resolution (4D):

wavelengths of the 2 pump fields

(tuned by rotating 0,5 nm FWHM bandpass filters),

- independent control of transverse spatial modes of the 2 pump fields,
- active stabilization of pump beam coupling,
- measured signal: normalized sum frequency intensity $I_{SFG}\left(\lambda_{s},\lambda_{i},j,k
 ight)$.

Measured phase matching map



Measured phase matching map



Spatial mode dependent phase matching.

M. Karpiński, C. Radzewicz, K. Banaszek, Appl. Phys. Lett. **94**, 181105 (2009)





Relative efficiencies: calculated [Fallahkhair et al., J. Lightwave Tech. 26 (2008)] vs. measured.

M. Karpiński, C. Radzewicz, K. Banaszek, Appl. Phys. Lett. **94**, 181105 (2009)





$$ij \rightarrow kl + mn$$

Multimode blue pump



Momentum conservation



Spectrum of the downconverted field

Joint spectrum



$00 \rightarrow 00 + 00i$

Separation of the spectral bands enables selecting well defined spatial modes



Blacrad lavabrad of up romp p



Spatial-spectral correlations



Mosley et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 233901 (2009)

Source of spatially pure photon pairs





We need

- PP-KTP waveguide
- Narrowband (<2 nm) 400 nm pump
- ~10 nm FWHM spectral filtering of the downconverted field
- Single mode blue pump!







The MAX374 launch system features our high resolution differential adjusters, which are ideal for optimizing the coupling of a free space laser into a single mode fiber, even in the visible spectrum where the mode field diameter of the fibers are as small as 3 µm. The quick-release fiber holder provides six mounting surfaces

Source of spatially pure photon pairs



Test – let's entangle them:



A (postselected) entangled state

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|HV\rangle + |VH\rangle \right)$$

Shih, Alley PRL 61, 2921 (1988)

Correlations in HV and AD bases – iff photons indistinguishable

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|HV\rangle + |VH\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|DD\rangle - |AA\rangle)$$

With spatial filtering through SMF's:



| Basis | Visibility |
|-------|------------|
| HV | 90±1% |
| AD | 84±1% |

Without spatial filtering:



| Basis | Visibility | |
|-------|------------|--|
| HV | 83±1% | |
| AD | 76±1% | |

(Most probably) enables breaking Bell ineq. – with no spatial filtering



Without spatial filtering:

Source of visibility reduction: parasitic process delivering same polarized photon pairs. After substracting: 100% visibility in HV basis, 88% in diagonal basis.



Direct measurement of beam quality

Measure M² beam quality factor using razor blade method



Bright photon pair source



| Blue pump power | 200 μW |
|--|-------------------------|
| Efficiency of coupling the pump into the waveguide | 25-45% |
| Single counts | 1,2·10 ⁵ s⁻¹ |
| Coincidences | 1,5·10 ⁴ s⁻¹ |
| Coincidences/singles (@19% detection efficiency) | 12% |
| Coincidences/singles assuming 100% detection efficiency. | >60% |
| SMF coupling efficiency | >57% |



- Measurement of mode dependent phase matching in PP-KTP waveguides,
- Spectral control of transverse modes in downconversion,
- Entanglement without spatial filtering,
- Efficient photon pair generation.
- Future: efficient generation of spatial mode entangled and hyperentangled states.



Thank you for your attention









Support:

Team Programme (TEAM) – Grants for Innovations





EUROPEAN UNION EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND

