



« Electroweak Breaking After First Three Years at the LHC »

Aspects of Higgs rate fits

G. Moreau

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Orsay, France

Based on arXiv:1210.3977 (will be updated tuesday) & Work in progress with *A. Djouadi*





Outline

A - Focusing on new fermions

I) The Higgs fits with Extra-FermionsII) Constraining single Extra-Fermions

B – The interests of rate ratios

I) Get rid of the theoretical uncertaintyII) Fitting ratios of signal strengths

A - Focusing on new fermions

I) The Higgs fits with Extra-Fermions

Today : The LHC has discovered a resonance of ~ 125.5 GeV

→ it is probably the B.E.Higgs boson => **EWSB** mechanism

+ Tevatron and LHC provide 58 measurements of the Higgs rates

= new precious source of indirect information on BSM physics



nature of the EWSB : within the SM or a BSM context !?

On the theoretical side:

New fermions arise in most (all?) of the SM extensions,

- little Higgs [fermionic partners]
- supersymmetry [gauginos / higgsinos]
- composite Higgs [excited bounded states]
- extra-dimensions [Kaluza-Klein towers]
- 4th generations [new families]
- GUT [multiplet components]
- etc...



<u>Effective approach :</u> Corrections on the Higgs couplings from **any** extra-fermions (via mixing, new loops)

$$\mathcal{L}_{h} = -c_{t}Y_{t} h \bar{t}_{L} t_{R} - c_{b}Y_{b} h \bar{b}_{L} b_{R} - c_{\tau}Y_{\tau} h \bar{\tau}_{L} \tau_{R} + C_{h\gamma\gamma}\frac{\alpha}{\pi v} h F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + C_{hgg}\frac{\alpha_{s}}{12\pi v} h G^{a\mu\nu}G^{a}_{\mu\nu} + \text{h.c.}$$







Higgs production cross sections over their SM expectations :

$$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{gg} \to \mathrm{h}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{gg} \to \mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{SM}}} \simeq \frac{\left| (c_t + c_{gg}) A[\tau(m_t)] + c_b A[\tau(m_b)] + A[\tau(m_c)] \right|^2}{\left| A[\tau(m_t)] + A[\tau(m_b)] + A[\tau(m_c)] \right|^2} \qquad \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{h\bar{t}t}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{h\bar{t}t}}^{\mathrm{SM}}} \simeq |c_t|^2$$

Higgs partial decay widths over the SM predictions (no new channels) :

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\mathrm{h}\to\gamma\gamma}}{\Gamma_{\mathrm{h}\to\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{SM}}} \simeq \frac{\left|\frac{1}{4}A_{1}[\tau(m_{W})] + (\frac{2}{3})^{2}(c_{t}+c_{\gamma\gamma})A[\tau(m_{t})] + (-\frac{1}{3})^{2}c_{b}A[\tau(m_{b})] + (\frac{2}{3})^{2}A[\tau(m_{c})] + \frac{1}{3}c_{\tau}A[\tau(m_{\tau})]\right|^{2}}{\left|\frac{1}{4}A_{1}[\tau(m_{W})] + (\frac{2}{3})^{2}A[\tau(m_{t})] + (-\frac{1}{3})^{2}A[\tau(m_{b})] + (\frac{2}{3})^{2}A[\tau(m_{c})] + \frac{1}{3}A[\tau(m_{\tau})]\right|^{2}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{h}\to\bar{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{b}}}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{h}\to\bar{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{b}}^{\mathrm{SM}}} \simeq |c_b|^2 \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{h}\to\bar{\tau}\tau}}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{h}\to\bar{\tau}\tau}^{\mathrm{SM}}} \simeq |c_\tau|^2$$

Measured signal strengths all of the form (exp. selection efficiencies) : $\sigma_{\text{gg} \rightarrow h}|_{s} + \frac{\epsilon_{\text{hqq}}}{\epsilon_{\text{sc}i}}|_{s \in i}^{p} \sigma_{\text{hqq}}^{\text{SM}}|_{s} + \frac{\epsilon_{\text{h}\overline{\text{t}}}}{\epsilon_{\text{sc}i}}|_{s \in i}^{p} \sigma_{\text{h}\overline{\text{t}}\text{t}}|_{s \in i}^{p} \sigma_{\text{h}\overline{\text{t}}}|_{s \in i}^{p} \sigma_{\text{h}\overline{t$

$$\mu_{s,c,i}^{p} \simeq \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm gg\to h} + s,c,i - \ln q + s}{\sigma_{\rm gg\to h}^{\rm SM}|_{s} + \frac{\epsilon_{\rm hqq}}{\epsilon_{\rm gg\to h}}|_{s,c,i}^{p} \sigma_{\rm hqq}^{\rm SM}|_{s} + \frac{\epsilon_{\rm hv}}{\epsilon_{\rm gg\to h}}|_{s,c,i}^{p} \sigma_{\rm hv}^{\rm SM}|_{s} + \frac{\epsilon_{\rm h\bar{t}\bar{t}}}{\epsilon_{\rm gg\to h}}|_{s,c,i}^{p} \sigma_{\rm h\bar{t}\bar{t}}^{\rm SM}|_{s}} \frac{D_{\rm h\to XX}}{B_{\rm h\to XX}^{\rm SM}}$$

For the fit analysis, we define a function $\chi^2(c_t, c_b, c_{\tau}, c_{gg}, c_{\gamma\gamma})$:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{p,s,c,i} \frac{(\mu_{s,c,i}^p - \mu_{s,c,i}^p|_{\exp})^2}{(\delta \mu_{s,c,i}^p)^2}$$

Taking the latest experimental results...





« 3 conclusions for this first fit... »

- * The SM point ($\chi^2_{
 m SM}=57.10$) does not belong to the 1 σ region
- * Determination of c_{gg} and $c_{\gamma\gamma}$ relies on the knowledge of $\mathbf{Y_t^{EF}}$ (c_t)
- * \mathbf{Y}_{b}^{EF} (c_{b}) \nearrow B(h \rightarrow VV) \searrow compensated by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{gg-h}$ \nearrow i.e. c_{gg} \nearrow
 - \rightarrow Y_b cannot be determined by the (previous) Higgs fit

suggestion : avoid compensations by measuring $\bar{q}q \rightarrow h\bar{b}b$ and $gg \rightarrow h\bar{b}b$ $h \rightarrow \bar{b}b$



Varying the last parameter : c_{τ}



II) Constraining single Extra-Fermions

Single extra-fermion (starting approximation) => new loop-contributions :

$$c_{gg} = \frac{1}{C(t)A[\tau(m_t)]/v} \left[-C(t')\frac{Y_{t'}}{m_{t'}}A[\tau(m_{t'})] - C(q_{5/3})\frac{Y_{q_{5/3}}}{m_{q_{5/3}}}A[\tau(m_{q_{5/3}})] + \dots \right]$$

$$c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{1}{N_c^t Q_t^2 A[\tau(m_t)]/v} \left[-3\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 \frac{Y_{t'}}{m_{t'}}A[\tau(m_{t'})] - N_c^{q_{5/3}}\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^2 \frac{Y_{q_{5/3}}}{m_{q_{5/3}}}A[\tau(m_{q_{5/3}})] - Q_{\ell'}^2 \frac{Y_{\ell'}}{m_{\ell'}}A[\tau(m_{\ell'})] + \dots \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \frac{c_{\gamma\gamma}}{c_{gg}} \right|_{q'} = \frac{Q_{q'}^2}{(2/3)^2}$$

(same color repres. as the top)

independently of $Y_{q'}$, masses, SU(2)_L repres.









Already non-trivial & generic constraints on extra-fermions from the Higgs rate fit :

Potentially stringent constraints on extra-quark electric charges independently of the Yukawa's, masses, SU(2)_L representations





+ *Difficult* and *correlated* determinations of some Yukawa couplings and parameters for the new loop-contributions to hgg , hγγ.

B – The interests of rate ratios

I) Get rid of the theoretical uncertainty

the

The QCD uncertainty (PDF, α_s^2 @ LO, scale dependence) on the inclusive Higgs production cross section reaches ~ 15-20% [LHCHWG]

Taking μ ratios can allow to suppress the QCD error :

L

 D_X

$$\frac{\mu_{XX}}{\mu_{YY}}\Big|_{\exp} = \frac{N^{\text{evts.}}(pp \to H \to XX)}{N^{\text{evts.}}(pp \to H \to YY)} \underbrace{\sum_{i} \epsilon_{i}^{Y} \sigma_{i}(H)|_{\text{SM}}}_{\sum_{i} \epsilon_{i}^{X} \sigma_{i}(H)|_{\text{SM}}} \frac{\text{BR}(H \to YY)|_{\text{SM}}}{\text{BR}(H \to XX)|_{\text{SM}}}$$

$$can \text{ cancel out } !$$

$$\frac{e_{XX}}{e_{YY}}\Big|_{\text{th}} = \frac{e_{gg}^{X} \sigma(gg \to H) + e_{\text{VBF}}^{X} \sigma(qq \to Hqq) + e_{HV}^{X} \sigma(q\bar{q} \to VH)}{e_{gg}^{Y} \sigma(gg \to H) + e_{\text{VBF}}^{Y} \sigma(qq \to Hqq) + e_{HV}^{Y} \sigma(q\bar{q} \to VH)} + \underbrace{e_{t\bar{t}H}^{X} \sigma(gg \to t\bar{t}H)}_{\sum_{i} \epsilon_{i}^{X} \sigma_{i}(H)|_{\text{SM}}} \frac{\Gamma(H \to XX)}{\Gamma(H \to XX)|_{\text{SM}}}$$

$$\times \underbrace{\sum_{i} \epsilon_{i}^{Y} \sigma_{i}(H)|_{\text{SM}}}_{\sum_{i} \epsilon_{i}^{X} \sigma_{i}(H)|_{\text{SM}}} \frac{\Gamma(H \to XX)}{\Gamma(H \to XX)|_{\text{SM}}}$$

II) Fitting ratios of signal strengths

Usual fits of the Higgs rates :

 $\mathcal{L}_{h} = c_{W} g_{HWW} H W_{\mu}^{+} W^{-\mu} + c_{Z} g_{HZZ} H Z_{\mu}^{0} Z^{0\mu}$ $- c_{t} Y_{t} H \bar{t}_{L} t_{R} - c_{c} Y_{c} H \bar{c}_{L} c_{R} - c_{b} Y_{b} H \bar{b}_{L} b_{R} - c_{\tau} Y_{\tau} H \bar{\tau}_{L} \tau_{R} + \text{h.c.}$

$$(Y_{t,c,b,\tau} = m_{t,c,b,\tau}/v \quad g_{HWW} = 2m_W^2/v, \ g_{HZZ} = m_Z^2/v$$

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i} \frac{[\mu_{i}(c_{f}, c_{V}) - \mu_{i}|_{\exp}]^{2}}{(\delta\mu_{i})^{2}}$$

$$\delta\mu_i = \sqrt{\delta\mu_i|_{\exp}^2 + \delta\mu_i|_{th}^2}$$

(2 free parameters)



(without the CMS diphoton data from Moriond QCD)

Symmetry: $c_f \rightarrow -c_f, c_V \rightarrow -c_V$

Now fitting ratios of the Higgs rates :

$$\chi_{r}^{2} = \frac{\left[D_{Z\gamma}^{gg}(c_{f},c_{V}) - \frac{\mu_{ZZ}}{\mu_{\gamma\gamma}}|_{\exp}^{gg}\right]^{2}}{\left[\delta(\frac{\mu_{ZZ}}{\mu_{\gamma\gamma}})_{gg}\right]^{2}} + \frac{\left[D_{\tau W}^{gg}(c_{f},c_{V}) - \frac{\mu_{\tau\tau}}{\mu_{WW}}|_{\exp}^{gg}\right]^{2}}{\left[\delta(\frac{\mu_{\tau\tau}}{\mu_{WW}})_{gg}\right]^{2}} + \frac{\left[D_{\tau W}^{2g}(c_{f},c_{V}) - \frac{\mu_{\tau\tau}}{\mu_{WW}}|_{\exp}^{2}\right]^{2}}{\left[\delta(\frac{\mu_{\tau\tau}}{\mu_{WW}})_{VBF}\right]^{2}}$$

$$D_{Z\gamma}^{gg} \simeq \frac{\frac{\Gamma(H \to ZZ)}{\Gamma(H \to ZZ)|_{\rm SM}}}{\frac{\Gamma(H \to \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(H \to \gamma\gamma)|_{\rm SM}}} \quad , \quad D_{\tau W}^{gg} \simeq D_{\tau W}^{\rm VBF} \simeq \frac{\frac{\Gamma(H \to \tau\tau)}{\Gamma(H \to \tau\tau)|_{\rm SM}}}{\frac{\Gamma(H \to WW)}{\Gamma(H \to WW)|_{\rm SM}}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{Z\gamma} \simeq |c_Z|^2 \left\{ \frac{|\frac{1}{4}c_W A_1[m_W] + (\frac{2}{3})^2 c_t A[m_t] + (-\frac{1}{3})^2 c_b A[m_b] + (\frac{2}{3})^2 c_c A[m_c] + \frac{1}{3} c_\tau A[m_\tau]|^2}{|\frac{1}{4}A_1[m_W] + (\frac{2}{3})^2 A[m_t] + (-\frac{1}{3})^2 A[m_b] + (\frac{2}{3})^2 A[m_c] + \frac{1}{3} A[m_\tau]|^2} \right\}^{-1} \\ D_{\tau W} \simeq \frac{|c_\tau|^2}{|c_W|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \tau(m) = m_H^2/4m^2 & A[\tau(m) \ll 1] \rightarrow 1 \\ \text{for } m_H \simeq 125 \text{ GeV}, A_1[\tau(m_W)] \simeq -8.3 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2 free parameters)



(2 free parameters)





Assuming the statistical error to decrease like $1/\sqrt{\sigma_i \mathcal{L}}$ we add up 14TeV LHC results in the fits...

 χ^2 dominated by $\delta_{\rm th} =>$ constant region sizes χ^2_r uncertainties decrease => more precise c's

Crucial χ^2_r rôle

23/24

Conclusions (B)

Fitting the ratios of Higgs rates already improves the constraints on the c_f, c_v parameters (for linear combination of exp./th. uncertainties)

Combining the fits of the signal strengths and of their ratios can turn out to be crucial for the precise determination of the Higgs couplings @ LHC.

Back up

$$\epsilon_t c_t = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(m_t)}{\operatorname{sign}(m_t^{\text{EF}})} c_t = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(m_t)}{\operatorname{sign}(m_t^{\text{EF}})} \frac{\operatorname{sign}(-Y_t^{\text{EF}})}{\operatorname{sign}(-Y_t)} |c_t| = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(-Y_t^{\text{EF}})}{\operatorname{sign}(m_t^{\text{EF}})} |c_t| = \operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{-Y_t^{\text{EF}}}{m_t^{\text{EF}}}\right) \left|\frac{Y_t^{\text{EF}}}{Y_t}\right| = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(-Y_t^{\text{EF}})}{\operatorname{sign}(m_t^{\text{EF}})} |c_t| = \operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{-Y_t^{\text{EF}}}{m_t^{\text{EF}}}\right) \left|\frac{Y_t^{\text{EF}}}{Y_t}\right| = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(-Y_t^{\text{EF}})}{\operatorname{sign}(m_t^{\text{EF}})} |c_t| = \operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{-Y_t^{\text{EF}}}{m_t^{\text{EF}}}\right) |c_t| = \operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{-Y_t^{\text{EF}}$$