

CMS Experiment at LHC, CERN Data recorded: Sun Oct 17 06:19:04 2010 Run/Event: 148031 / 466240176 Lumi section: 586

LHC Run I Experimental Results

Dmytro Kovalskyi (UCSD)





- There are many interesting results produced by LHC experiments
- It's unrealistic to show them all
- I'll concentrate on a few topics that are interesting from my point of view
- Latest Moriond experimental summary talks:
 - Pierluigi Campana (Frascatti)
 - http://moriond.in2p3.fr/QCD/2014/Saturday/Campana.pdf
 - Kevin Einsweiler (Lawrence Berkeley Lab)
 - https://indico.in2p3.fr/getFile.py/access?contribId=227&sessionId=1&resId=1&materialId=slides&confId=9116



Overview



- Run1 overview and Run2 projections
- Standard Model
 - Higgs boson is discovered SM is complete
 - In depth: Higgs width measurement
 - SM Properties
- Physics beyond the Standard Model
 - Direct searches for SUSY and Exotic models
 - Indirect searches by exploring vector boson scattering
- Vacuum stability and top mass



LHC Run I



CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp



LHC Run I Results - Dmytro Kovalskyi



Run 2:2015-2017



Scheme	Number	Protons	$\beta_x^*[\text{cm}]/\beta_y^*[\text{cm}]/$	Emittance	Peak	Iile-up	Int.
	of	per bunch	half crossing	$[\mu m]$	luminosity		lumi
	bunches	$[10^{11}]$	angle $[\mu rad]$				fb ⁻¹
25 ns	2760	1.15	55/43/189	3.75	9.3e33	25	24
25 ns BCMS	2520	1.15	45/43/149	1.9	1.7 e34	52	45
50 ns	1380	1.6	42/43/136	2.3	1.6e34	87	40
					level to	level to	
					0.8e34	44	
50 ns BCMS	1260	1.6	38/43/115	1.6	2.3e34	138	40
					level to	level to	
					0.8e34	44	

LS 1 from 16th Feb. 2013 to Dec. 2014



- Target Integrated Iumi: 100/fb
- Pileup is a real concern
 - Jet and MET resolution degrades
 - Event reconstruction issues
- Triggers for Higgs and lighter object is a challenge

Higgs Discovery











European Physical Society PRIZE

The 2013 High Energy and Particle Physics Prize for an outstanding contribution to High Energy Physics

is awarded to the

ATLAS and CMS collaborations

"for the discovery of a Higgs boson, as predicted by the Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism"

and to Michel Della Negra, Peter Jenni, and Tejinder Virdee

"for their pioneering and outstanding leadership rôles in the making of the ATLAS and CMS experiments"

Stockholm, Sweden, July 2013

John Dudley J.D.J. President European Physical Society

Paris Sphicas Byfuce Chairman High Energy and Particle Physics Division





Signal is Solid





$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$ - golden channel

ATLAS : $\mu_{ZZ}(m_H = 125.5) = 1.44^{+0.40}_{-0.35}$ ATLAS : $6.6\sigma(4.4\sigma \text{expected})$ CMS : $\mu_{ZZ}(m_H = 125.6) = 0.93^{+0.29}_{-0.25}$ CMS : $6.8\sigma(6.7\sigma \text{expected})$



Higgs to VV





- Main decay channels: ZZ, WW, γγ
- Signal present in all of them consistent with SM



Fermionic decay channels





► $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ more than 5σ significance if combine ATLAS and CMS

First hints of $H \rightarrow bb$ - need more data





- CMS has performed a search in ttbar+H; H -> γγ, bb, τ_hτ_h, leptonic (2I SS, 3I, 4I), and combined
- Result is limit of μ = 4.3 (expected 2.9) 95% CL. Best fit value is μ = 2.5 + 1.1 1.0 (excess all in 2I SS).







- ATLAS has performed a search in ttbar+H; H -> γγ.
 Observed limit of μ = 4.7 (5.4 expected). Leptonic (2I, 3I, 4I, ττ) modes in progress
- New analysis in ttbar+H; H -> bb. Result is limit of μ = 4.1 (expected 2.6) 95% CL. Best fit value is μ = 1.7 +/- 1.4



Higgs Properties







	${\rm Tot}[{\rm pb}]$	$M_{\rm ZZ}>2M_Z[{\rm pb}]$	R[%]	
$gg \to H \to \text{ all}$	19.146	0.1525	0.8	
$gg \to H \to ZZ$	0.5462	0.0416	7.6	

Analysis Strategy



- On-peak cross-section Standard Higgs analysis
- Off-peak component predicts a broad excess at larger mass
 - ZZ has best sensitivity in this region
- Closer to the peak backgrounds are getting larger and more complex to measure a broad excess
- ▷ Dominant background is qq→ZZ



Channels and Methods







Results





	4ℓ	$2\ell 2\nu$	Combined
Expected 95% CL limit, r	11.5	10.7	8.5
Observed 95% CL limit, r	6.6	6.4	4.2
Observed 95% CL limit, $\Gamma_{\rm H}({ m MeV})$	27.4	26.6	17.4
Observed best fit, r	$0.5 \stackrel{+2.3}{_{-0.5}}$	$0.2^{+2.2}_{-0.2}$	$0.3 \substack{+1.5 \\ -0.3}$
Observed best fit, $\Gamma_{\rm H}({\rm MeV})$	$2.0 + 9.6 \\ -2.0$	$0.8 \stackrel{+9.1}{_{-0.8}}$	$1.4 \substack{+6.1 \\ -1.4}$









ATLAS measures somewhat different mass in $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ vs $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

- The difference is not statistically significant
- Both ATLAS and CMS combined results are fully consistent



Relative Cross-section





Couplings













Results are consistent with CP even SM Higgs

La Combinaisonnot just yet..... but it's coming...

			2011 $\sqrt{s} =$	7 TeV			no	A/	
$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	_		ATLAS-CONF-2014-009 $2012 \sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$						
$H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)}$	4ℓ		$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	\otimes conversion) z, E_{π}^{miss} -tag. (2)	}⊕ 2-jet VH}	20.3			
$H \to WW^{(*)}$	lvlv		$H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)}$	4ℓ	{4e, 2e2µ, 2µ2e, 4µ, 2-je	et VBF, l-tag	}	20.3	
	$Z \rightarrow vv$	$E_{T_{w}}^{miss}$	$H \rightarrow WW^{(*)}$ $\ell \nu \ell \nu$ {ee, $e\mu$, μe , $\mu\mu$ } \otimes {0-jet, 1-jet, 2-jet VBF}					20.3	
$VH \rightarrow Vbb$	$W \to \ell \nu$	$p_{T}^{"} \in$		$Z \rightarrow \nu \nu$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} \in \{120 - 160, 160 - 200, \ge 20\}$	200 GeV} ⊗ {	2-jet, 3-jet}	20.3	
	$Z \rightarrow \ell \ell$	$p_{T}^{L} \in$	$VH \rightarrow Vbb$	$W \rightarrow \ell \nu p_T^W$	€ {<90, 90-120, 120-160, 160-200), ≥200 GeV	⊗ {2-jet, 3-je	t} 20.3	
		_		$Z \to \ell \ell p_{\rm T}^{\rm Z}$	€ {<90, 90-120, 120-160, 160-200), ≥200 GeV}	⊗ {2-jet, 3-je	t} 20.3	
				$\tau_{lep}\tau_{lep}$	$\{ee, e\mu, \mu\mu\} \otimes \{boosted\}$	l, 2-jet VBF}		20.3	
			$H \rightarrow \tau \tau$	$\tau_{\rm lep} \tau_{\rm had}$	$\{e, \mu\} \otimes \{\text{boosted}, 2\}$	-jet VBF}		20.3	
CMS PAS	6 HIG-13-	005	$H \rightarrow \ell \ell$	$\tau_{\rm had} \tau_{\rm had}$	{boosted, 2-jet	VBF}		20.3	10.0
			Analyse	es		No. of	m _H	Lumi	(fb^{-1})
H decay	Prod. tag	Exclusi	ve final states			channels	resolution	7 TeV	8 TeV
	untagged	$\gamma\gamma$ (4 d	liphoton classes)			4 + 4	1-2%	5.1	19.6
$\gamma\gamma$	VBF-tag	$\gamma\gamma + (j$	ij) _{VBF} (two dijet	classes for 8 TeV	1+2	<1.5%	5.1	19.6	
	VH-tag	$\gamma\gamma + (e$	e, μ, ΜΕΤ)		3	<1.5%		19.6	
$ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$	$N_{\rm jet} < 2$	4e. 4u.	2e2u	3+3	1-2%	5.1	19.6		
	$N_{\rm jet} \ge 2$	10, 10,			3+3	12/0			
	0/1-jets	(DF or	SF dileptons) \times	(0 or 1 jets)	4+4	20%	4.9	19.5	
$WW \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$	VBF-tag	$\ell \nu \ell \nu +$	$(jj)_{VBF}$ (DF or SI	F dileptons for 8	1+2	20%	4.9	12.1	
	WH-tag	$3\ell 3\nu$ (s	ame-sign SF and	otherwise)	2+2		4.9	19.5	
	0/1-jet	$(e\tau_h, \mu)$	τ_h , e μ , $\mu\mu$) × (low	w or high p_T^i)	16 + 16	150/	10	10.0	
ττ	1-jet	$\tau_h \tau_h$. (22)	1+1	15%	4.9	19.6	
	VBF-tag	$(e\tau_h, \mu)$	$\tau_h, e\mu, \mu\mu, \tau_h\tau_h$	$+ (jj)_{VBF}$	5+5				
	ZH-tag	(ee, µµ	$(\tau_h \tau_h, e \tau_h, \mu) \times (\tau_h \tau_h, e \tau_h, \mu)$	τ _h , eμ)	8+8		5.0	19.5	
	VH tog	$\eta_{\mu}\mu$	heu, eth th, µ th th	2 h ista) v (low	$v $ or high $v_{-}(V)$ or loose h tog)	4+4 10 + 12	10%	5.0	12.1
bb	v11-tag	(<i>l</i> with	$(\nu\nu, ee, \mu\mu, e\nu, \mu\nu \text{ with 2 b-jets}) \times (low or high p_{\rm T}(\nu) or loose b-tag)$			6+6	10%	5.0	12.1
00	ttH-tag	(l with	6 jets with 2 b-ta	$4, 5 \text{ or } \geq 0$ jets) $\times (5 \text{ or } \geq 4 \text{ D-tags});$ (b jets with 2 b-tags): (ll with 2 or ≥ 3 b-tagged jets)				5.0	5.1
		(c min		Scrittings M	20186-100	010			

SM Properties



SM Cross Sections







Diboson Cross-section





QCD (Z+jets)







QCD (W+jets)



Compare with SHERPAI.4 (+ BlackHat), MadGraph5 (normalized to FEWZ)



Comparison with SHERPAI.3 (+ BlackHa ALPGEN



Physics Beyond Standard Model (direct searches)



ATLAS SUSY Limits



ATLAS Preliminary

 $\int \mathcal{L} dt = (4.6 - 22.9) \text{ fb}^{-1} \quad \sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$

Squarks/gluinos are > O(1 TeV), Stop/sbottom > O(300-600 GeV)

ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits

Status: SUSY 2013

	Model	e, μ, τ, γ	Jets	E_T^{miss}	∫£ dt[ft	Mass limit		Reference
Inclusive Searches	$ \begin{array}{l} MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ \overline{q}\overline{q}, \overline{q} \rightarrow q\overline{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \overline{g}\overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q\overline{q}\overline{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \overline{g}\overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q\overline{q}\overline{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \overline{g}\overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q\overline{q}\overline{k}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow q\overline{q}W^{+}\overline{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \overline{g}\overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q\overline{q}(\ell\ell/\ell\nu/\nu\nu)\overline{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \overline{g}MSB (\ell NLSP) \\ GMSB (\ell NLSP) \\ GGM (bino NLSP) \\ GGM (mino NLSP) \\ GGM (mino NLSP) \\ GGM (higgsino blSP) \\ GGM (higgsino NLSP) \\ GGM (higgsino NLSP) \\ Gravitino LSP \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \cdot 2 \ \tau \\ 2 \ \gamma \\ 1 \ e, \mu + \gamma \\ 2 \ e, \mu (Z) \\ 0 \end{array}$	2-6 jets 3-6 jets 2-6 jets 2-6 jets 2-6 jets 3-6 jets 3-6 jets 2-4 jets 0-3 jets 1 b 0-3 jets mono-jet	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 4.7 20.7 4.8 4.8 4.8 5.8 10.5	Q. g. 1.7 TeV Q. g. 1.2 TeV Q. g. 1.2 TeV Q. g. 1.1 TeV Q. g. 1.1 TeV Q. g. 1.3 TeV Q. g. 1.3 TeV Q. g. 1.3 TeV Q. g. 1.18 TeV Q. g. 1.12 TeV Q. g. 1.24 TeV Q. g. 1.07 TeV Q. g. 619 GeV Q. g. 690 GeV S. g. 690 GeV S. g. 645 GeV	$\begin{array}{l} m(\bar{q}) = m(\bar{g}) \\ any \ m(\bar{q}) \\ any \ m(\bar{q}) \\ any \ m(\bar{r}_{1}^{0}) = 0 \ {\rm GeV} \\ tang > 18 \\ m(\bar{r}_{1}^{0}) > 50 \ {\rm GeV} \\ m(\bar{r}_{1}^{0}) > 50 \ {\rm GeV} \\ m(\bar{r}_{1}^{0}) > 200 \ {\rm GeV} \\ m(\bar{r}_{1}^{0}) > 200 \ {\rm GeV} \\ m(\bar{r}_{1}^{0}) > 200 \ {\rm GeV} \\ m(\bar{g}) > 10^{-4} \ {\rm eV} \\ \end{array}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 1308.1841 ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 ATLAS-CONF-2013-069 1208.4688 ATLAS-CONF-2013-026 1209.0753 ATLAS-CONF-2012-144 1211.1167 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152 ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
3 rd gen.	$ \begin{array}{c} \vec{g} \rightarrow b \vec{b} \vec{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \vec{g} \rightarrow t \vec{t} \vec{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \vec{g} \rightarrow t \vec{t} \vec{x}_{1} \\ \vec{g} \rightarrow b \vec{t} \vec{x}_{1}^{+} \end{array} $	0 0 0-1 e,μ 0-1 e,μ	3 b 7-10 jets 3 b 3 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.3 20.1 20.1	x 1.2 TeV 8 1.1 TeV 8 1.34 TeV 8 1.3 TeV	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}) \! < \! 600 \; \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}) \! < \! 350 \; \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}) \! < \! 400 \; \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}) \! < \! 300 \; \text{GeV} \end{array}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-061 1308.1841 ATLAS-CONF-2013-061 ATLAS-CONF-2013-061
3 rd gen. squarks direct production	$ \begin{array}{c} \overline{b}_1 \overline{b}_1, \overline{b}_1 \rightarrow b \overline{k}_1^0 \\ \overline{b}_1 \overline{b}_1, \overline{b}_1 \rightarrow t \overline{k}_1^T \\ \overline{t}_1 \overline{t}_1 (\text{light}), \overline{t}_1 \rightarrow b \overline{k}_1^0 \\ \overline{t}_1 \overline{t}_1 (\text{light}), \overline{t}_1 \rightarrow b \overline{k}_1^0 \\ \overline{t}_1 \overline{t}_1 (\text{medium}), \overline{t}_1 \rightarrow t \overline{k}_1^0 \\ \overline{t}_1 \overline{t}_1 (\text{medium}), \overline{t}_1 \rightarrow t \overline{k}_1^0 \\ \overline{t}_1 \overline{t}_1 (\text{neavy}), \overline{t}_1 \rightarrow t \overline{k}_1^0 \\ \overline{t}_1 \overline{t}_1 (\text{neavy}), \overline{t}_1 \rightarrow t \overline{k}_1^0 \\ \overline{t}_1 \overline{t}_1 (\text{neavy}), \overline{t}_1 \rightarrow t \overline{k}_1^0 \\ \overline{t}_1 \overline{t}_1 (\text{neatural GMSB}) \\ \overline{t}_2 \overline{t}_2, \overline{t}_2 \rightarrow \overline{t}_1 + Z \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2 \ e, \mu \ (SS)\\ 1-2 \ e, \mu\\ 2 \ e, \mu\\ 2 \ e, \mu\\ 0\\ 1 \ e, \mu\\ 0\\ 1 \ e, \mu\\ 3 \ e, \mu \ (Z) \end{array}$	2 b 0-3 b 1-2 b 0-2 jets 2 jets 2 b 1 b 2 b nono-jet/c-1 1 b 1 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes ag Yes Yes	20.1 20.7 4.7 20.3 20.3 20.1 20.7 20.5 20.3 20.7 20.7	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}){<}90~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}){=}55~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}){=}55~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}){=}55~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}){=}0~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}){=}150~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}){=}150~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}){=}150~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{0}){=}150~\text{GeV} \\ \end{array}$	1308.2631 ATLAS-CONF-2013-007 1208.4305, 1209.2102 ATLAS-CONF-2013-048 ATLAS-CONF-2013-065 1308.2631 ATLAS-CONF-2013-037 ATLAS-CONF-2013-024 ATLAS-CONF-2013-025 ATLAS-CONF-2013-025
EW direct	$ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\ell}_{\perp,\mathbf{R}}\tilde{\ell}_{\perp,\mathbf{R}}, \tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}\nu(\ell\tilde{\nu}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}\nu(\tau\tilde{\nu}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{\perp}\nu\tilde{\ell}_{\perp}\ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu), \ell\tilde{\nu}\tilde{\ell}_{\perp}\ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}Z\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}h\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \end{array} $	2 e, µ 2 e, µ 2 r 3 e, µ 3 e, µ 1 e, µ	0 0 0 2 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.3	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0}) \!\!=\!\! 0 \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0}) \!\!=\!\! 0 \text{GeV}, m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{v}) \!\!=\!\! 0.5 (m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0}) \!\!+\! m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0}) \!\!=\!\! 0 \text{GeV}, m(\tilde{r}, \tilde{v}) \!\!=\!\! 0.5 (m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0}) \!\!+\! m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{r}_{2}^{0}), m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0}) \!\!=\!\! 0, m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{v}) \!\!=\!\! 0.5 (m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0}) \!\!+\! m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0}) \!\!=\!\! m(\tilde{r}_{2}^{0}), m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0}) \!\!=\!\! 0, \text{sloptans decoupled} \\ m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0}) \!\!=\!\! m(\tilde{r}_{2}^{0}), m(\tilde{r}_{1}^{0}) \!\!=\!\! 0, \text{sloptans decoupled} \end{array}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-049 ATLAS-CONF-2013-049 ATLAS-CONF-2013-028 ATLAS-CONF-2013-035 ATLAS-CONF-2013-035 ATLAS-CONF-2013-035
Long-lived particles	Direct $\tilde{x}_1^+ \tilde{x}_1^-$ prod., long-lived \tilde{x}_1^+ Stable, stopped \tilde{g} R-hadron GMSB, stable $\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{x}_1^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu}) + \tau(GMSB, \tilde{x}_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}, \log - \text{lived } \tilde{x}_1^0 = \tilde{q} \tilde{q}, \tilde{x}_1^0 \rightarrow q q \mu$ (RPV)	Disapp. trk 0 (e, μ) 1-2 μ 2 γ 1 μ , displ. vtb	1 jet 1-5 jets	Yes Yes Yes	20.3 22.9 15.9 4.7 20.3	X1 270 GeV 832 GeV 8 832 GeV 832 GeV X2 475 GeV 832 GeV X3 230 GeV 1.0 TeV	$\begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{*})\!-\!\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})\!=\!160\;\mathrm{MeV},\; \mathfrak{r}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{*})\!=\!0.2\;\mathrm{ns}\\ \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})\!=\!100\;\mathrm{GeV},\;10\;\mu\mathrm{s}\!<\!\mathfrak{r}(\tilde{g})\!<\!1000\;\mathrm{s}\\ 10\!<\!\mathrm{tan}\beta\!<\!50\\ 0.4\!<\!\mathfrak{r}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})\!<\!2\;\mathrm{ns}\\ 1.5\!<\!\mathrm{cr}\!<\!156\;\mathrm{mm},\;\mathrm{BR}(\mu)\!=\!1,\;\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})\!=\!108\;\mathrm{GeV} \end{array}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-069 ATLAS-CONF-2013-067 ATLAS-CONF-2013-058 1304.6310 ATLAS-CONF-2013-092
RPV	$ \begin{array}{l} LFV pp \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\tau} \rightarrow e + \mu \\ LFV pp \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\tau} \rightarrow e(\mu) + \tau \\ Bilinear RPV CMSSM \\ \tilde{x}_{1}^{+} \tilde{x}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{x}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow W \tilde{x}_{1}^{0}, \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow ee \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\mu}, e\mu \\ \tilde{x}_{1}^{+} \tilde{x}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{x}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow W \tilde{x}_{1}^{0}, \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \tau \tau \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{e}, e\tau \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow qqq \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_{1} t, \tilde{t}_{1} \rightarrow bs \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 e, \mu \\ 1 e, \mu + \tau \\ 1 e, \mu \\ \overline{\nu}_{e} 4 e, \mu \\ \overline{\nu}_{r} 3 e, \mu + \tau \\ 0 \\ 2 e, \mu (SS) \end{array} $	7 jets 6-7 jets 0-3 6	Yes Yes Yes Yes	4.6 4.7 20.7 20.7 20.3 20.3	R. 1.61 TeV P. 1.1 TeV G g 1.2 TeV R_1^+ 760 GeV R_1^+ 350 GeV g 916 GeV g 880 GeV	$\begin{array}{l} J_{311}'=0.10, \ J_{112}=0.05\\ J_{311}'=0.10, \ J_{1(2)33}'=0.05\\ m(\bar{q})=m(\bar{g}), \ cr_{1,5}e<1\ mm\\ m(\bar{t}_{1}^{0})>300\ GeV, \ J_{121}>0\\ m(\bar{t}_{1}^{0})>80\ GeV, \ J_{133}>0\\ BR(t)=BR(b)=BR(c)=0\% \end{array}$	1212.1272 1212.1272 ATLAS-CONF-2012-140 ATLAS-CONF-2013-036 ATLAS-CONF-2013-036 ATLAS-CONF-2013-091 ATLAS-CONF-2013-007
Other	Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow q\bar{q}$ Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow t\bar{t}$ WIMP interaction (D5, Dirac χ)	2 e, μ (SS) 0	4 jets 1 b mono-jet	Yes Yes	4.6 14.3 10.5	sgluon 100-287 GeV 800 GeV sgluon 800 GeV M* scale 704 GeV.	incl. limit from 1110.2693 m(¿)<80 GeV, limit of<687 GeV for D8	1210.4826 ATLAS-CONF-2013-051 ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
	Vs = 7 TeV full data	Vs = 8 TeV partial data	√s = full	8 TeV data		10 ⁻¹ 1	Mass scale [TeV]	

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. All limits quoted are observed minus 1 or theoretical signal cross section uncertainty.



CMS SUSY Limits







SUSY







Cross-section Scaling $8 \rightarrow 13$ TeV





~1/fb of 13TeV data surpasses our best gluino limits. ~3/fb of 13TeV data surpasses our sbottom and stop limits.





- Assume DM is pair-produced, but invisible. Then trigger/reconstruct using ISR hard emission
- Refer to this as "mono-X", where X = jet, γ , W/Z, Top.
- If mediator is heavy, interpret using EFT ("contact int")
- Alternatively: use simplified models (no validity issue).





CMS Exotica Results



https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsEXO



Boosted Top



CMS Searches for New Physics Beyond Two Generations (B2G) 95% CL Exclusions (TeV)





 Two different approaches: "indirect" using coupling results, "direct" using targeted searches

No sign of BSM Higgs (yet) !

- Limits from recent ATLAS couplings analysis:
 - Minimal Composite Higgs (MCHM)
 - Additional EW singlet
 - 2HDM
 - Simplified MSSM
 - Higgs Portal
- Limits from direct Searches:
 - H -> hh, A -> Zh (CMS)
 - 2HDM limits (CMS)
 - t -> cH (CMS) and t -> qH (ATLAS)
 - MSSM H -> ττ (CMS)
 - Charged Higgs (ATLAS)

Vector Boson Scattering (indirect searches)



EWK W/Z production

A DIE GO

arXiv:1401.7610





Anomalous Triple Gauge Couplings





- Approaching LEP limits
- New methods: merged fat jet
- Results are coming slowly
 - Trying to fix it for next RUN



Anomalous Quoter Gauge Couplings





Exclusive $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW$ exceptional sensitivity

- Very hard (impossible?) in high pileup
- Tools and Methods are in development
 - EFT with FeynmanRules in Madgraph becomes default

Top Mass



Stability of the SM vacuum

A THE COLOR

SM Higgs discovered, no BSM. Pushing SM to Plank scale the measured Mh and Mtop place the SM vacuum in a meta-stable state due to negative Higgs coupling



LHC Run I Results - Dmytro Kovalskyi



Top Mass Combination





CERN/FNAL Press Release:

A total of more than six thousand scientists from more than 50 countries participate in the four experimental collaborations. The CDF and DZero experiments discovered the top quark in 1995, and the Tevatron produced about 300,000 top quark events during its 25-year lifetime, completed in 2011. Since it started collider physics operations in 2009, the LHC has produced close to 18 million events with top quarks, making it the world's leading top quark factory.



Top Mass combination





LHC vs Tevatron - remarkably consistent results



Top Mass - Latest from CMS





 $\sigma=172.04\pm0.19\pm0.75GeV$

Lepton+MET+jets

- Simultaneous fit for top mass and energy scale using W-mass constraint
- Significant change in the central value



Systematics



	$\delta m_{\rm t}^{\rm 2D}$ (GeV)	δJSF	$\delta m_{\rm t}^{\rm 1D}$ (GeV)
Experimental uncertainties			
Fit calibration	0.10	0.001	0.06
$p_{\rm T}$ - and η -dependent JES	0.18	0.007	1.17
Lepton energy scale	0.03	< 0.001	0.03
MET	0.09	0.001	0.01
Jet energy resolution	0.26	0.004	0.07
b tagging	0.02	< 0.001	0.01
Pileup	0.27	0.005	0.17
Non-tīt background	0.11	0.001	0.01
Modeling of hadronization			
Flavor-dependent JSF	0.41	0.004	0.32
b fragmentation	0.06	0.001	0.04
Semi-leptonic B hadron decays	0.16	< 0.001	0.15
Modeling of the hard scattering process			
PDF	0.09	0.001	0.05
Renormalization and	0.12 ± 0.13	0.004 ± 0.001	0.25+0.08
factorization scales	0.12±0.10	0.004±0.001	0.25 ± 0.00
ME-PS matching threshold	0.15 ± 0.13	$0.003 {\pm} 0.001$	0.07 ± 0.08
ME generator	0.23 ± 0.14	0.003 ± 0.001	0.20 ± 0.08
Modeling of non-perturbative QCD			
Underlying event	0.14 ± 0.17	0.002 ± 0.002	$0.06{\pm}0.10$
Color reconnection modeling	0.08 ± 0.15	$0.002{\pm}0.001$	0.07±0.09
Total	0.75	0.012	1.29

Table 1: List of systematic uncertainties for the combined fit to the entire lepton+jets data set.







- Higgs discovered
 - Standard Model is complete
- Higgs boson looks exactly as SM predicts
- Searches for BSM show nothing new
 - Naturalness is looking decidedly less natural
- Run2 will increase energy to I3TeV
 - Last time in a while that we may have a quick discovery